Building Self-Consistent Triaxial Galaxy M odels U sing Schwarzschild's M ethod

Balsa Terzic

M athem atics D epartm ent, F brida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306 A stronom y D epartm ent, University of F brida, G ainesville, FL 32611 bterzic@astro.ufl.edu

ABSTRACT

We use Schwarzschild's orbit superposition method to build self-consistent models of elliptical galaxies with scale-free potentials. Our exhaustive study of all physical shapes and central densities for galaxies with scale-free potentials establishes a relationship between the presence of chaos and self-consistency. The extent and the onset of chaos is studied by varying parameters of the model which are believed to be its inducers, such as the steepness of the central density cusp, atness and triaxiality. We show that gravitational scattering of the central density cusp plays a dom inant role in restricting the shapes of elliptical galaxies.

Subject headings: celestial mechanics | stellar dynamics | galaxies: kinem atics and dynamics | galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, CD

1. Introduction

Recent observational data has shown that most, if not all, elliptical galaxies feature power-law density distributions which rise into the sm allest observable radii, thus causing them to have central density cusps (Crane et al. 1993; Moller, Stiavelli & Zeilinger 1995; Lauer et al. 1995; Gebhardt et al. 1996). Inner parts of most of these galaxies have two power-law regimes, with the core radius being the boundary between the steep outer and shallow inner density pro les (Gebhardt et al. 1996). Som e, how ever, seem to obey one uniform power-law throughout, thus rendering them scalefree. It is also in portant to note that orbits in the outer parts of galaxies with two power-law regimes as well as the ones quite close to the center are essentially scale-free because of their distance from the core. These reasons, along with the fact that a great deal of sim pli cation is introduced in studying this fam ily of potentials, m ake scale-free m odels of great interest.

It has long been speculated that a presence of a black hole or a central density cusps m ay disrupt m ost box orbits and thus cause a loss of triaxiality in the innermost parts (Gerhard & Binney 1985). Our goal is to study the dependence of the model's self-consistency on the strength of its central density cusp, as well as its attening and triaxiality. In order to foster a thorough and com prehensive investigation of this dependence, our m odels span the entire ranges of elongation and central density observed in nature, while varying triaxiality from one physical extrem e to the other. Photom etric observations of elliptical galaxies reveal that they range from spherical E 0 to quite elongated E 6, whose ratio of lengths of short to long axis is c=a = 0.4. High resolution HST observations, which peer into the very centers of elliptical galaxies, reveal that the galactic density pro les rise as power-laws r as the center is approached, with the strength of the central cusp being in the range = [0:25;2] (Lauer et al. 1995).

Schwarzschild's m ethod has been a traditional tool for probing the dynam ics of elliptical galaxies. It was applied to a num ber of axisym m etric and disky (C retton et al. 1999; Verolm e & de Zeeuw 2002; Jalali & de Zeeuw 2002), as well as triaxial potentials, both integrable (Statler 1987; Siopis 1999) and non-integrable (Schwarzschild 1979, 1993; Merritt & Fridm an 1996; Siopis 1999). Earlier applications of Schwarzschild's method to triaxial systems either studied models of one or two particular density pro les and varying galactic shapes (Statler 1987; Schwarzschild 1993; Merritt 1997; Poon & Merritt 2001), or have investigated a range of density pro les for xed galactic shapes (M erritt & Fridm an 1996; Siopis 1999). Our study provides the most exhaustive coverage of both density pro les and galactic shapes, spanning virtually the entire range of physically plausible models for galaxies with scale-free potentials and singular central densities. This enables us to better understand the dependence of models' selfconsistency on these properties. By investigating orbits in scale-free potentials, we single out the effects of power-law central density singularities on their stability. Ourm odels present a useful tool for studying the stability and self-consistency of triaxial elliptical galaxies with central density cusps. In x2, we outline the extent of our study and review major properties of scale-free potentials. x3 covers m a pr issues in the num erical in plem entation of Schwarzschild's method. Major ndings of the study are reported in x4 and their signi cance and impact discussed in x5.

2. M ass M odels

W e investigate scale-free models for which the isodensity surfaces are similar concentric ellipsoids. Their densities are given by the power-law form ula

$$= _{0} m ; (1)$$

where

$$m^{2} = \frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}} + \frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}} + \frac{z^{2}}{c^{2}}$$
: (2)

W e take a, b and c to be the long, interm ediate, and short axes respectively. To sim plify the com – putations of forces, we represent the density by the double expansion

$$(r; ;) = r \int_{m=0}^{M_{X^{m}ax}} D_{m n} \cos 2m P_{2n}^{2m} (\cos);$$

$$m = 0 n = m$$
(3)

in the usual spherical coordinates of radial distancer, azimuthalangle, and polarangle (Binney & Tremaine 1987). Here P_{2n}^{2m} is an associated Legendre function. The special form of this expansion is due to the eight-fold symmetry of the density which is even in x, y, and z. The potential is found from Poisson's equation as

$$(\mathbf{r}; ;) = \mathbf{r}^{2} \qquad \sum_{m=0 n=m}^{M_{X_{m}ax} M_{X_{m}ax}} C_{mn} \cos 2m P_{2n}^{2m} (\cos);$$
(4)

$$(r; ;) = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} {}^{M_{X^{m} a x}} M_{X^{m} a x} \\ & C_{m n} \cos 2m P_{2n}^{2m} (\cos) \\ & m = 0 n = m \\ & + 4 G D_{00} \ln r; \end{array}}$$
(5)

for = 2. Except for the special case of = 2 and m = n = 0, the coe cients of the two expansions are related by the form ula

$$D_{mn} = \frac{C_{mn}}{4 G} [(2)) (3) 2n (2n + 1)]:$$
 (6)

The coe cients of the expansion for the density are given by

$$D_{mn} = \frac{2 (2 (2 m))(4n + 1)(2n 2m)!}{(2n + 2m)!}$$

$$Z_{=2}$$

$$d \cos 2m$$

$$Z_{1}^{0} = \frac{2}{c^{2}} + (1 - 2)q$$

$$P_{2n}^{2m} ();$$

$$q = \frac{\cos^{2}}{a^{2}} + \frac{\sin^{2}}{b^{2}}$$

where m_0 is a K ronecker delta which is unity for m = 0 but zero otherwise. Even with $M_{max} = 4$, the truncated Fourier{Legendre expansions (4) and (5) for the potential are accurate to within 10⁴, while expansion (3) for the density is accurate to within 1% even for the most attened m odels.

3. Num erical Im plem entation

O ur com putations made use of the IBM RS/6000 SP supercom puter at the Florida State University School of C om putational Science and Inform ation Technology. It features 42 4-way 375 M H z P ow er 3 nodes. Parallel program m ing and aggressive com piler optim ization resulted in twenty-to thirty-fold increases in speed from the more conventional serial runs on Ultra Sparc UNIX workstations.

O rbit integrations used the DOP 853 integration routine, the explicit in bedded (7,8) pair of Dorm and and Prince (Hairer, Norsett & Wanner 1993). It proved to be quite e cient in generating large number of orbital points needed for the frequency analysis. The errors in the energy conservation as well as the num erical integration have been on the order of 10⁸ even after hundreds of dynam ical times.

3.1. Sam pling the Phase Space

In order to assemble a library of orbits which is representative of all orbits in a given potential and without missing any major orbital fam ilies, the phase space must be system atically sam pled. Schwarzschild (1993) proposed a two-fold 2-D start-space; the stationary start-space contains initial conditions starting from equipotential surfaces with zero velocities, while the principalplane start-space features radially strati ed initial conditions which pierce one of the three principalplanes with the velocity vector norm alto the plane. These spaces are designed to pick up different kinds of orbits arising in triaxial potentials; stationary start-space picks up orbits which have zero-velocity turning points, such as boxes and resonant boxlets, while the principal-plane startspace selects mostly tube orbits. It is probable, vet not certain, that the combination of these two start-spaces covers all the phase space of triaxial potentials (Schwarzschild 1993). In any case, it is necessary to understand which orbital structures are represented in such spaces, as well as determ ine which ones are likely to be left out (cf. Appendix A).

We integrate 2304 orbits from the stationary start-space, by placing 12 equally spaced initial conditions in each of the 192 cells of the reference sphere (cf. x3.4.1). A total of 1000 orbits with the same energy are sampled on each principal plane, spanning an elliptical annulus with an outer radius equal to the radius of the equipotential surface. The inner boundary of the annulus in each of the planes is chosen to be an ellipse whose axes are radii of the periodic 1:1 thin tube orbits perpendicular to that plane. This ensures that the innerm ost initial conditions from the principal plane start space correspond to thin tubes. In all, a total of 5304 initial conditions is integrated for each model (Figure 1). Each orbit is integrated for 200 dynamical times, which we de ne to be the maximum of the periods of thin tubes in three principal planes.



Fig. 1. Initial condition spaces: a) stationary start-space b) principal-plane start-space.

3.2. Orbital Structure

W e classify orbits based on the resonances between leading frequencies in the Cartesian coordinates (LFCCs), and follow the paradigm given in the Table 1 of (Schwarzschild 1993). The most dom inant fam ilies are boxes, short axis tubes (Stubes), inner long axis tubes (I-tubes), outer long axis tubes (0-tubes) and resonant boxlets. The LFCCs of the boxes are all distinct; tube orbits, how ever, have the sam eLFCCs in coordinates normal to their axis of rotation. For the resonant orbits, the ratio of LFCCs in two or more coordinates is a ratio of integers. Low order resonances, the ones for which the ratio of LFCCs is a ratio of sm all integers, occupy a larger portion of the phase space than the high-order resonant fam ilies (cf. Appendix A). This classi cation enables us to utilize the ratio of LFCCs space in analyzing populations of orbits.

Two initial condition spaces provide two dis-

tinct orbital populations. Stationary start-space yields mainly resonant orbits (boxlets) or unstable boxes, while the principal-plane start-space produces mostly tubes. This duality is evident in the graphs of the ratios of LFCCs. Note that the fundam ental frequencies do not necessarily correspond to LFCCs; the two are equivalent for box orbits and other resonant orbits, but the tube orbits have three distinct fundam ental frequencies, while having the sam e LFCCs norm alto the axis of revolution. This loss of inform ation does not hurt our purposes; using LFCCs to classify orbits, m easure chaotic di usion and dem onstrate duality of the two initial condition spaces is equivalent and just as e ective as using fundam ental frequencies. Figure 2 shows the locations of orbits for each of the two start-spaces in the ratio of frequencies space. In the ratio of frequencies space, tubes are concentrated around lines x = z = y = z (S-tubes) and y = z = 1 (I- and 0-tubes), which is indeed where most of the orbits from the principal-plane start-space are concentrated. R esonant orbits and boxes occupy a region between these lines, where a num ber of resonant lines intersect. Som e overlap between the two spaces is to be expected, since neither can exclusively pick up only one population of orbits. It is particularly interesting to look at the transition from prolate to oblate models. For prolate models, all orbits are I- or O-tubes, located along the line v = z = 1, but as the triaxiality decreases and the models become more oblate, orbits in both spaces seem to shift steadily toward the S-tubes (line x = z = y = z) until they com pletely align with it for oblate m odels. O ur earlier studies of 2D oblate and prolate scale-free models revealed very little chaos (Hunter et al. 1998), which is consistent with Figure 2. The bottom row shows the fraction of regular orbits as a function of the chaotic di usion parameter !, as de ned in (9).

3.3. Detecting Chaotic Orbits

Chaotic orbits are not tim e-independent { their orbital properties, such as eccentricity, radial excursion and orbital densities, evolve in time. In three degrees of freedom systems, all chaotic portions of the phase space are interconnected (A mold's web), so that every chaotic orbit will eventually visit each chaotic section. Thus, we may choose to look at each of the chaotic or-



Fig. 2. | Ratios of leading frequencies in Cartesian coordinates for the stationary start-space (rst colum n) and principal-plane start-space (second colum n) for (top to bottom) T=1 (prolate), T=0.7 T=0.3 and T=0.0 (oblate). The third column shows the fraction of orbits in each of the four m a prorbital families: B - boxes, S - short axis tubes, L - long axis tubes, R - resonant orbits. The fourth column shows the fraction of orbits with the di usion parameter greater than !.

bits as di erent parts of one chaotic 'super-orbit'. This super-orbit gives the averaged density of the stochastic portions of the phase space, and therefore is tim e-independent. If orbit integration is carried over su ciently long time intervals, then the time-averaged orbital density of each chaotic orbit would approxim ate the density of the chaotic super-orbit. However, the integration interval required for achieving a good tim e-averaged approxin ation m ay be quite long for som e weakly chaotic orbits residing near the boundary between chaotic and regular portions of the phase space, thus rendering this approach num erically im practical. It is more e cient to take a simple arithmetic average of orbital densities of all chaotic orbits of the model to get a more accurate representation of the chaotic super-orbit (Merritt & Fridm an 1996). W e are looking for true equilibrium solutions, and therefore only include time independent building blocks into Schwarzschild'smethod: regular orbits and one chaotic super-orbit. This necessitates careful distinction between chaotic and regular orbits.

Both regular orbits and the chaotic super-orbit can be viewed as ergodic { they sam ple all of the allowed phase space. A major di erence between the two is that the phase-space volume occupied by the chaotic orbits ism uch larger, thus making it in possible to represent it accurately with just one orbital 'snapshot' obtained after a nite integration of a single orbit. The size of the phase-space volume of the stochastic region enables vast num – bers of these di erent orbital snapshots of a same e super-orbit to exist. Therefore, in order to have a good approximation to the contribution of the stochastic orbits to the model's density, we com – bine the information conveyed in the individual snapshots into a single chaotic super-orbit.

W e use Hunter's method (Hunter 2002) to compute the di usion in fundam ental frequencies (FFs) of an orbit integrated over two consecutive time intervals. The method extracts frequencies from an orbit given in the form of a time series. W e have discovered that Hunter's method is more accurate and more e cient than Laskar's num erical analysis of fundam ental frequencies method (Laskar et al. 1992; Laskar 1993) by a few orders ofm agnitude. This is because it relies entirely on discrete Fourier transform s. All of the extracted frequencies will be linear combinations of three FFs. These FFs will remain constant if the motion is regular. When the motion is chaotic, these FFs will change. The relative rate of change in FFs of an orbit over the integration interval is the measure of its averaged stochasticity over that time span. We compute the norm of the di usion in the leading frequencies in Cartesian coordinates, instead of the norm of the di usion in the fundam ental frequencies. The two are equivalent, since frequencies in Cartesian coordinates are linear combinations of the fundam ental frequencies.

W e seek to com pute the average stochasticity of an orbit over a certain nite tim e interval. The average rate of di usion in FFs is com puted for each orbit over an integration intervalt = [0;2T], where 2T corresponds to $n_d = 200$ dynam ical times. W e use these rates of di usion to com pute how m any crossings it would, at that rate, take for a particular orbit to lose m em ory' of its initial FFs, i.e. becom e relaxed. M ore precisely, if we m easure the FFs over two consecutive tim e intervals $t_1 = [0;T]$ and $t_2 = [T;2T]$ to be $_1$ and $_2$, the average di usion rate of FFs over the tim e interval [0;2T] is

$$= \frac{j_{1} 2 j}{T}$$
 (8)

We de nean orbit to be relaxed when the change in FFs in on the order of the FFs them selves. At the rate of di usion of , this corresponds to the num ber

$$! = \frac{j \quad j}{j \quad j \quad j} n_d : \tag{9}$$

of crossings (or dynam ical tim es). M easuring diffusion in FFs is equivalent to computing short tim e Lyapunov exponents, since both m easure an orbit's average orbital stochasticity over a nite tim e interval.

The scale-free potentials do not have an intrinsic tim e/length scale associated with them. This requires our criterion for determining an orbit's stochasticity to be tied in with the number of crossings of an orbit instead of som e absolute tim e interval, such as the H ubble tim e. W e de ne ! to be the number of crossings it takes for an orbit to become fully relaxed. W e adopt a convention that an orbit is chaotic if the full relaxation is expected to set in within 10^4 crossings ($\log_{10} ! = 4$). C onsidering that the number of crossings a typicalorbit of an elliptical galaxy has gone through is between 20-50 for the ones in the outer parts and a few hundred for the ones near the center, this seems like a reasonable cut-o point. We discuss the e ects of varying this stochasticity criterion in x4.1.

As the chaotic threshold is increased, more orbits are labeled as chaotic and included into a super-orbit. In theory, averaging over all chaotic orbits will approxim ate the phase-space density of the interconnected chaotic sea, with its accuracy increasing as with the increase in the number of stochastic orbits and the length of the integration time. In practice, however, the integration time is nite, and the weakly chaotic orbits may only sample a limited region of the phase space, which may lead to a super-orbit which is not entirely tim e-independent. Possible tim e-dependence of the super-orbit is of little practical signi cance, since we nd that including the super-orbit, as opposed to not including it, will never change m odel's self-consistency. This is the consequence of the fact that the super-orbit is an average of an ensemble of many nearly-ergodic orbital densities, which make its orbital density round and, as such, not crucial for reproducing the desired galaxy shapes.

3.4. Constructing Self-consistent Scale-Free M odels

3.4.1. Coarse-graining of the Conguration Space

The advantage of using a scale-free potential with triaxial symmetry is that one needs to consider only an octant of a 2-D reference sphere $(r = R_{ref})$ (Richstone 1980; Schwarzschild 1993). Each orbit produces a template orbital density which represents an ensemble of geometrically similar orbits. For any orbit through a point at radial distance r, there will be a geometrically similar orbit which passes through the reference sphere whose length scale di ers by a factor R_{ref} =r. W ith density proportional to r , it follows that we should give each orbital point on a computed orbit the weight (r= R_{ref}) ³ (Richstone 1980; Schwarzschild 1993).

Following Schwarzschild (1993), we consider only a positive octant of the reference sphere, which we divide into 3 regions with planes x = y, x = z, and y = z, so that Region 1 is the one with x > y, x > z, Region 2 with y > x, y > z

	h		V	
Region 1	h _{m in} $\frac{z}{x}$	h _{m ax}	V _{m in}	$\frac{y}{x}$ V _{m ax}
Region 2	h _{m in} $\frac{z}{y}$	$h_{m \ ax}$	V _{m in}	$\frac{x}{y}$ V _{m ax}
Region 3	h _{m in} y/z	$h_{\text{m}\;\text{ax}}$	V _{m in}	$\frac{x}{z}$ Vm ax
	m in		m ax	
Region 1	tan ¹ v _{m in}		tan ¹ v _{m ax}	
Region 2	$\tan \frac{1}{v_{max}}$		$\tan \frac{1}{v_{m in}}$	
Region 3	$\tan \frac{1}{v_{max}}$		$\tan \frac{1}{\frac{h_{max}}{v_{min}}}$	
	m in		m ax	
Region 1	tan ¹ _{hm a}	1 Ix COS	$\frac{1}{1 \cos}$ tan $\frac{1}{h_{m in} \cos}$	
Region 2	tan ¹ _{hm a}	1 Ix sin	$\tan \frac{1}{\frac{1}{h_{m in} \sin}}$	
Region 3	$\tan \frac{1}{\sin} \frac{h_{m}}{\sin}$		$\tan \frac{1}{\sin} \frac{h_{max}}{\sin}$	

Table 1: Lim its of integration for each of the cells on the reference sphere.

and Region 3 with z > x, z > y. Each region is then subdivided into 64 parts of equal surface area as follows. We rst divide Region 1 into two equal halves with a vertical line v $y=x = v_{i}$ and then split each half in two with two horizontal lines h $z=x = l_i$ and $h = r_i$. This step yields four parts of equal surface area, and can be recursively applied to each partition; in n such recursive steps, the region can be divided into 4ⁿ cells of equal area. W e repeat this process until the region is split in 64 equal parts. Sim ilar partitioning is done for the other two regions by rotating coordinates (x;y;z) ! (y;x;z) for Region 2, and (x;y;z) ! (z;x;y) for Region 3. We use a Maple program to carry out the relatively straightforw and integral com putations involved in nding the positions of the vertical and horizontal dividing lines, i.e. the values of v_i , l_i and r_i . Figure 3 shows the entire equipartitioned octant. Schwarzschild (1993) uses cells that are dierent in area to within few percent. Even though the equipartitioning of the reference sphere is not one of the key issues in the implementation of the Schwarzschild's method, it is, nonetheless, noteworthy that the algorithm outlined above achieves m axim alaccuracy with the sam e am ount of work.

3.4.2. Computing M odel M ass in Cells

We compute surface mass on the reference sphere by integrating the density (3) over r =



Fig. 3. Equipartitioning of the reference sphere.

 $R_{ref} = 1$ to get

$$m_{i} = M_{X_{m}^{m}ax} M_{X_{m}ax} Z_{P}ax$$

$$m_{i} = D_{mn} d \cos 2m$$

$$m = 0 n = m m_{in}$$

$$Z_{P}ax$$

$$d \sin P_{2n}^{2m} (\cos); (10)$$
m in

for the surface m ass in the i-th cell. Each cell on the reference sphere is delimited by two constant values of each horizontal and vertical coordinates (h;v), which, in turn, provide limits of integration for and (Table 1). The inner integrand is a polynom ial of degree 2n in \cos , for which the G aussian quadrature with 2n points is exact.

3.4.3. Computing Orbital Mass in Cells

W e need to be able to compute accurately the amount of time orbits spend in each of the cells of the reference sphere. W hen integrated for a xed time interval, an orbit will generally not go through an integer number of crossings. In particular, if an orbit has n complete crossings in t, but t=n is not an integer, som e parts of the orbit's path will be sampled n + 1 times, while others only n times. This will introduce a certain inaccuracy, which we minim ize by increasing t in order to make n large. O rbits are given by a dense output of orbital points, which we further in prove upon by linearly interpolating between them. We use an algorithm proposed by Siopis (1999); as long as consecutive orbital points are inside the sam e cell, advance orbital output in steps of t_0 . When the next orbital point is outside the current cell, the time is advanced in steps that are a factor of 10 and 100 sm aller as the boundary between cells is approached. This algorithm ensures that the accuracy in determ ining the orbit's contribution to the mass of each cell is computed to within 0.01 t_0 .

3.4.4. Form ulating the Optim ization Problem

Schwarzschild's method is formulated as an optimization problem :

M in imize:
$$f(w_i);$$

Subject to: $v_i = j;$ (11)
 $w_i = 0;$

where $j = 1;2; ...; N_{c}, i = 1;2; ...; N_{o}, f(w_{i})$ is the cost function, ij is the contribution of the orbital density of the ith template to jth cell, i is the model's density in the jth cell and w_i is the orbital weight of the ith orbit. This becomes a linear program ming problem (LPP) when the cost function f is a linear function of the weights; for example, to m in im ize weights of orbits labeled from m to n, the cost function would simply be $f(w_i) =$ $w_{\,\rm i}$. The solutions of the LPP are often quite noisy, with a signi cant num ber of orbits unpopulated (Siopis 1999). It is often custom ary to impose additional constraints in order to 'sm oothen' out the solutions, such as m in im izing the sum of squares of orbital weights, which makes this a quadratic program ming problem, or m in in izing the least squares. A thorough discussion of e ects of this 'sm oothing', as well as problem s involving the form ulation of the optim ization problem, is given in Siopis (1999). Since our study focuses on establishing existence of self-consistent solutions, we solve only the LPP. We use the BPM PD (M eszaros 1996) routine, a prim al-dual interior point algorithm for LPP. It features powerful pre-solve techniques, e cient sparcity handling, and exible linear algebra. Each LPP of size N $_{\odot}$ 192, where N $_{\odot}$ 5304, takes only a few seconds of CPU time on the RS/6000 SP.

In order to investigate how non-self-consistency sets in, it is advantageous to know which cells of the reference sphere are infeasible. We do this by reform ulating the LPP above into another LPP which uses slack variables $_i$ and $_i$ to make the problem always feasible (Siopis 1999):

where $j = 1;2; ...; N_{c}$, $i = 1;2; ...; N_{o}$, and p, the penalty parameter, is some positive constant. A self-consistent problem will have zero j and j, while the deviation from exact self-consistency in non-self-consistent m odels can be traced by locating the non-vanishing values of the slack variables.

4. Results

We study the set = f0:5;1;1:5;2g of values of the central density cusp. For each we vary the elongation from c=a = 0:4 to 1 in increments of 0:1. At each elongation, the triaxiality is varied through the triaxiality parameter T = $(a^2 b^2)=(a^2 c^2)$, from oblate (T = 0) to prolate (T = 1) in the interval T = f0;0:1;0:3;0:5;0:7;0:9;1g. Figure 4 plots the 42 di erent shapes we investigated in axis{ratio space. The hypotenuse of the triangle (line b = c) represents prolate spheroids (T = 1), the vertical side (b = a line) represents oblate spheroids (T = 0), the vertex (1;1) at which those two sides meet represents spherical models (Figure 4).

Only regular orbits and a single chaotic superorbit (as an approximation to a time-independent phase space density of the chaotic portion of the phase space) are used in building self-consistent models using Schwarzschild's method. Figure 5 shows the fraction of orbits from both stationary and principal-plane start-spaces, as a function of the degree of stochasticity ! for models with weak and strong central cusps, = 0.5 and



Fig. 4. Axis ratio space: the stars represent models investigated. The lines connect points of equal triaxiality, from right to left, T = 0;0:1;0:3;0:5;0:7;0:9;1.

= 2 respectively. W e note that the curves representing each of the start-spaces are relatively close together. As the strength of the cusp increases, the two lines move in opposite directions for non-axisymmetric cases (T 6 0;1); the one representing the orbits from the stationary startspace drops o sooner and m ore abruptly, while the line corresponding to the principal-plane startspace becom es rounder, with its drop-o delayed. This clearly implies that increasing the strength of the central cusp has a destabilizing e ect on orbits from the stationary start-space, which, as we established earlier, are mainly boxes and boxlets which pass near to the center. Increasing central m ass concentration m akes gravitational scattering of orbits more e cient, thus causing orbits to becom e m ore chaotic. A lso, the tube orbits, which dom inate the principal-plane start-space, are stabilized by the strengthening of the central cusp. They stay signi cantly away from the center, and therefore 'see' the central cusp as a point mass. The strengthening of the central cusp has the same e ect on them as increasing the central point mass { it moves them toward a more Keplerian, integrable regime, causing them to be less chaotic. This behavior is more pronounced for the rounder models. In the absence of a central density cusp, we expect rounder, more spherical, models to be closer to integrability and thus to feature more regular orbits. This in turn means that the chaotic e ects of introducing a central density cusp are better isolated and therefore more prominently displayed in rounder models. In the case of axisymm etric models, most orbits are regular tubes whose stability is only reinforced by the central m ass concentration.



Fig. 5. Fraction of orbits as a function of the degree of stochasticity for models: a) = 0.5, b) = 2. D ashed lines represents orbits from the stationary start-spaces, and the full lines orbits from the principal-plane start-spaces. $\log_{10} ! = 4$ is the chaotic threshold. Each column represents models with triaxiality T = 1.0;0.7;0.3;0.0 (from left to right) and each row models with long-to-short axis ratios c=a = 0.9;0.7;0.4 (top to bottom).

In Figure 6, we analyze the orbital structure of

m odels by graphing the fraction of orbits in each of the four major orbital fam ilies: boxes, short axis tubes, long axis tubes and low-order resonant orbits. One drawback of this coarse breakdown is that higher order resonant orbits will be identi ed as boxes. As expected, bounding axisym metric models will feature mostly short axis tubes (oblate, T = 0) and long axis tubes (prolate, T = 1). As the central density cusp is increased, a roughly even distribution between the boxes, short axis tubes and long axis tubes, present in weak-cusped models only formore spherical cases, expands to include more attened models with steepercentraldensity cusps. If we recall that boxdom inated stationary start-space composes only about 40% of the total number of orbits integrated, it becomes evident that many initial conditions from the principal-plane start-spaces of atter, weak-cusped models also produce box orbits. The work of Statler (Statler 1987) on building self-consistent m odels of 'berfect triaxial galaxies' which feature sm ooth cores has evidenced similar behavior to our weak-cusped case; as one moves down the middle of the gure but away from the oblate and prolate lim its, the box orbits become progressively m ore dom inant. The reason for this is that, as the mass model becomes elongated in one direction, the tube orbits elongate in the direction norm alto it, thus opposing the shape of the mass distribution. This leaves the box orbits as the only ones which can support the triaxial shapes for most models (Statler 1987).

The solutions of the LPP formulation of the Schwarzschild's method are sum marized in Figure 7. For weak cusps, chaotic scattering is less e cient and many regular orbits suitable for reproducing broad ranges of galactic shapes still escape the destabilizing e ects of the center. This results in nding self-consistent solutions for all but the most attened shapes of galaxies with weak central density cusps (Figure 7.a). The non-selfconsistency rst sets in for at and nearly prolate models. As the strength of the central cusp is increased, the region of non-self-consistency expands along the prolate boundary (without actually including it) and up the middle of the axis ratio space to include m ore triaxialm odels. Eventually, in the case of the strong central density cusp, i.e. the logarithm ic scale-free potential, the region of non-self-consistency dom inates the en-



Fig. 6. Fraction of orbits in each of the ve orbital fam ilies: B - boxes, S - short axis tubes, L - long axis tubes, R - second and third order resonant orbits. a) = 0.5, b) = 2. Each column represents models with triaxiality T = 1.0;0.7;0.3;0.0 (from left to right) and each row models with long-to-short axis ratios c=a = 0.9;0.7;0.4 (top to bottom).

tire axis ratio space. It is important to note that the self-consistent region around the oblate edge of the axis ratio space remains fairly large, even when a strong central density cusp is present, while the one around the prolate models remains very thin. This is consistent with the previous ndings in which self-consistency prevails only for nearly oblate and prolate models; Figure 1 of (M erritt 1997) shows that for a Ja e's density power law, which behaves as r^2 near the center, i.e. has a strong central density cusp of

= 2, the self-consistent models are conned to a narrow strip near the prolate boundary and a much thicker region around the oblate boundary. Holley-Bockelmann et al. (2001) used N-body simulations to construct self-consistent models for a Hemquist = 1 prolewith a range of triaxiality and modest attening, which is consistent with our Figure 7 b.



Fig. 7. Self-consistent () and non-selfconsistent () models for each of the fourmodels: a) = 0.5, b) = 1, c) = 1.5, d) = 2.

In order to understand the transition from selfconsistency to non-self-consistency, we look at the infeasible cells of the reference sphere, the ones for which constraints (12) are not satis ed. Figure 8 shows the non-feasible cells for each of the models (denoted by dots). As the strength of the central density cusp increases, non-self-consistency is introduced through infeasibility of cells mainly around the short axis (z-axis) and the y-z plane. These infeasible regions correspond to chaotic regions of the stationary start-space. O rbits which would reproduce the model's density in those infeasible regions are chaotic and as such averaged into a round super-orbit. We solidify this argum ent by integrating additional dense population of orbits in these infeasible regions, which results in m ost orbits being chaotic, while the regular ones were still not su cient to reproduce the desired density. This strongly suggests that the chaotic nature of orbits needed to reproduce mass density in those infeasible cells is responsible for the non-self-consistency. However, before any meaningful conclusions about the intrinsic dynamics can be made, one must clearly understand the im plications and limitations of the Schwarzschild's method and its dependence on parameters such as the number of orbits integrated, the number of cells of the reference sphere, and the stochastic threshold.

4.1. Distinguishing Between Numerical Artifacts and Intrinsic Dynamics of the System

As with any numerical model, it is necessary to lter out all of the numerical e ects associated with Schwarzschild's method from the underlying dynamics of the system before any physical conclusions are reached. There are two major assumptions and simplications introduced by Schwarzschild's method. First, the conguration space is coarse-grained, divided into cells on which we require the distribution function (DF) to be self-consistent, i.e. to satisfy both the collisionless Boltzm ann equation (CBE) and the Poisson equation simultaneously. Second, the system is in equilibrium, i.e. the phase space DF is timeindependent.

We probe the coarse-grainedness of the solution by renning the reference grid { we test whether the solutions which are self-consistent on the original grid of 192 cells remain self-consistent when the grid resolution is doubled. The LPP is solved for this ner grid of 384 cells for the = 1.5m odel (Figure 9; compare to Figure 8 b). Only oblate and prolate m odels remain self-consistent, while other previously self-consistent m odels fail



Fig. 8. Non-feasible cells (marked by dots) for models with a) = 1 and b) = 1:5 on a grid of 192 cells.

to satisfy constraints of the optimization problem in several cells. M athem atically, the num ber of constraints increases with the number of cells, which shrinks the solution space of the LPP and eventually renders the problem infeasible. By the same token, increasing the number of orbital tem plates increases the number of free variables in the LPP and thus expands the solution space. For non-self-consistent models with 192 cells, increasing the number of orbital tem plates will not yield self-consistent solutions, which shows that the solutions above re ect true dynam ical properties of the model, rather than a num erical artifact. On the other hand, models which are selfconsistent on a grid of 192 cells will remain selfconsistent if both the num ber of orbital tem plates and cells (free variables and constraints, respectively) are increased simultaneously. This strongly in plies the existence of self-consistent solutions in the continuous lim it. W e can, therefore, study the e ects of central density cusps and galaxy shapes on the true self-consistency (the continuous lim it) by studying self-consistency on the discrete grid of 192 cells.



Fig. 9. Non-feasible cells (marked by dots) for = 1:5 on a grid of 384 cells.

The integration time of 200 dynam ical times was chosen so that the orbital density is well sam – pled. Such long integration times ensure that most of the Sticky' orbits (Contopoulos 1971; Kandrup, Pogorelov & Sideris 2000; Siopis & Kandrup 2000), which behave as regular for many dynam ical tim es, are detected. If the orbit integration time was any shorter, and the stochasticity criterion not stringent enough to detect such weak stochasticity, many of these orbits would have been included in Schwarzschild's method as regular, tim e-independent building blocks. We nd that if both regular and chaotic orbits are included into Schwarzschild's method as timeindependent building blocks, self-consistent solutions are readily found for most models (Figure 10, rst column). These are non-equilibrium solutions, producing a tim e-dependent phase space distribution function. Schwarzschild (1993) built several attened, strongly triaxial, self-consistent models which included chaotic orbits integrated over one Hubble time. Upon integrating orbits from his self-consistent models over three Hubble tim es instead, the change in orbital densities of the stochastic orbits caused the models to becom e m ore round. However, including the tim edependent building blocks in the search for timeindependent DFs leads to time-dependent solutions, and violates the initial assumption that the system is in equilibrium. If a numerically obtained DF, a superposition of orbital properties of the library of integrated orbits, undergoes albeit secular changes, the resulting change in model's mass density gives rise to a dierent set of orbits. Then the previously computed DF is not a result of a superposition of orbits arising from the changed m ass density, and therefore will not be a true self-consistent solution, i.e. will not solve Poison's equation and CBE simultaneously. However, in practice it is useful to relax the strict equilibrium requirem ent, in order to allow those slow lyevolving, quasi-equilibrium solutions to provide us with an insight as to what m ay happen with selfconsistent models in time. Their steady evolution tow ard m ore rounder shapes, leads one to suspect that the triaxiality may be a transient feature of elliptical galaxies.

It is interesting to observe the dependence of self-consistent solutions on varying the chaotic criterion used to distinguish between regular and chaotic orbits. As the chaotic criterion becomes less restrictive, i.e. as the stochastic threshold ! decreases, a larger number of orbital templates are used in the search for self-consistency. Figure 10 demonstrates that as ! is decreased, it is possible for a previously non-self-consistent model



Fig. 10. In feasible regions of the axis ratio space (shaded) when chaotic orbits are treated as regular (rst column) and for varying values of chaotic threshold !.

to attain self-consistency. That is indeed what happens form ost non-self-consistent models under consideration. If all orbits are treated as regular and included in the Schwarzschild's method, selfconsistency is attained for allbut the attest models with strong cusps. On the other hand, if only regular orbits are included (M erritt 1997), or if they are combined with a chaotic super-orbit, the self-consistent regions are signi cantly limited as we show in this study. This is the consequence of the fact that in atter and more cuspy potentials, the regular orbits do not have su cient variety, or, m ore precisely, do not reproduce the density of the m odel along the axes. The orbits that would support the prescribed density in these potentials and thus foster self-consistency are rendered chaotic by the scattering e ects of the central mass. This points to chaos as the main cause of non-selfconsistency. We also observe that as the central density cusp becomes steeper, more centrophilic orbits become chaotic through gravitational scattering of the massive center, thus causing more m odels to become non-self-consistent through the shortage of regular tim e-independent orbits which can reproduce the mass density of attened and triaxial models. It is evident from this that the gravitational scattering by the massive center is the main inducer of chaos.

It has long been known that the solutions to

self-consistent problem s are highly non-unique (H unter 1995; Statler 1987; M erritt 1997). Em – pirically, we see this from the fact that we were able to nd as m any di erent DFs as we had cost functions for the LPP problem. Our results show that whenever self-consistent solutions are found, there exists a solution which includes only the regular orbits. Such a solution is found by choosing a cost function for the LPP such as to m inim ize the chaotic super-orbit. This is, indeed, to be expected, since the orbital density of the chaotic super-orbit is round, and not particularly suitable for reproducing m ass density for elongated and strongly triaxialm odels.

5. D iscussion and C onclusion

W e have studied the e ects of central density concentrations on the existence of self-consistent solutions com puted using Schwarzschild's orbit superposition method. The ranges of central density cusps, elongation, and triaxiality, render this analysis com prehensive in its scope. The im plementation of the method is described and justied in detail. Some of its main aspects provide a great deal of information about the dynamics of the system . The start-spaces, stationary and the principal-plane, provide us with a system atic manner in which to sample the phase space and gain an insight about the orbital structure of a given triaxial potential. The classi cation of orbits based on the leading frequencies in Cartesian coordinates provides us with further information about the orbital structure. Detecting chaotic orbits using a frequency extraction algorithm based on discrete Fourier transform senables us to distinquish between stochastic and regular orbits. This is essential when it comes to including them in Schwarzschild's method, since the two are treated di erently. W e also analyze and discuss in detail the assumptions, implications, and limitations, of Schwarzschild's orbital superposition method.

The self-consistent solutions obtained through Schwarzschild's method with chaotic orbits averaged into a super-orbit are equilibrium solutions, since all of their constituents are tim e-independent building blocks. This tim e-independence restriction is proven to be the reason why a number of attened triaxial models are found to be nonself-consistent; som e orbits necessary to reproduce m odel's elongation and triaxiality are chaotic and thus averaged into a m ore round super-orbit, causing the m ass constraint not to be satis ed. On the other hand, if chaotic orbits are directly included in Schwarzschild'sm ethod, irrespective of the fact that their orbital properties evolve in time, such quasi-equilibrium self-consistent solutions are easily found for m ost m odels. This clearly im plies that there is no shortage of orbits which could tem porarily reinforce triaxiality even for time intervals several times longer than the age of the U niverse. Truly perm anent existence depends on the regularity of orbits responsible for reinforcing the prescribed galactic density distribution.

The scale-free property of the potentials investigated here restricts us from knowing directly anything about the self-consistency of models at varying tim e/length scales. However, it does enable us to isolate the e ects of the central density cusp on the global dynam ics of the system . Our ndings strongly suggest that strengthening the central density cusp increases its ability to scatter centrophilic orbits e ciently and render them chaotic. This is in agreement with earlier studies of cuspy potentials (Merritt & Fridm an 1996; Merritt 1997; Siopis 1999). We also nd that this results in increased stability of the centrophobic tube orbits, since for them the strengthening the central density cusp has the e ect sim ilar to that of the increase of the central point m ass on K eplerian orbits. The breadth of our study, spanning virtually all plausible galactic shapes, elongations, and central densities, shows us how and to what extent triaxiality and central density can cusps coexist. W e nd self-consistent solutions of weakly-cusped galaxies for alm ost the entire range of triaxial shapes, while the self-consistent region of the axis-ratio space for strong cusps is lim ited to nearly axisym m etric, m ildly triaxial, regions near the prolate and oblate boundaries.

O ur study shows that the gravitational scattering of the massive galactic center is more e ective in rendering centrophilic orbits chaotic as the centraldensity cusp becom esstronger. The scattering rids models of regular box-like orbits necessary to reproduce attened triaxial shapes, thus rendering them non-self-consistent. This establishes gravitational scattering as the key factor in restricting the shapes of elliptical galaxies. The author is grateful to Christopher Hunter for stimulating discussions, comments, and suggestions. Suggestions by the anonymous referee contributed to the clarity and accuracy of the manuscript. The Florida State University School of C om putational Science and Information Technology has been generous in granting access to their supercomputer facilities, which greatly expedited the computations presented here. This study has been supported by the NSF grant DM S-9704615.

A. Orbital Structure of 2-D Start Spaces

The stationary start-space samples only the positive octant of the equipotential surface. This poses no restrictions on the orbits represented because of the triaxial nature of the potential. Initial conditions are sampled in some system atic fashion over this octant: one can either select the vertices of the equally spaced rectangular grid in each of three regions bounded by planes x = y, x = z and y = z (Schwarzschild 1993; M erritt & Fridm an 1996) or choose centers of equal area segments (Siopis 1999). There is no obvious advantage of one choice over the other.

The principal plane start-space was initially thought of as only an x-z start-space (Schwarzschild 1993), but was later extended to the other two principal planes so that the symmetries of the system are better respected (Siopis 1999). In both cases, an attempt to minimize duplication of orbits is made by restricting the portion of the principal plane sam pled to an elliptical annulus having the equipotential surface as the outer, and the minimum of the amplitudes of the 1:1 periodic thin tube orbits perpendicular to that plane as the inner boundary. The justication of this choice is as follows. Each tube orbit which crosses a given principal plane does so at two points, except in the case of thin tubes, for which the two merge (Statler 1987). Therefore, Schwarzschild argues (Schwarzschild 1993), if one determines the line in the start-space on which thin tubes are located, and if the areas inside these thin tube lines are ignored, one is left with the part of the start-space in which each tube or box orbit is represented by one point (Schwarzschild 1993). This indeed will rid us of the unwanted non-uniqueness of the tube orbits, but it will also eliminate some other non-tube orbits entirely. We illustrate this on the same example as Schwarzschild used to argue his point: an x-z start-space for the 2-D oblate logarithm is potential with c=a = 0:3 and T = 0 (Schwarzschild 1993, Figure 2).

For 2-D potentials, the x-z start-space represents both stationary and the principal-plane start-space. Each point in the space is a zero-velocity turning point of an orbit at some L_z , as well as a point at which an orbit pierces the principal plane with velocity component normal to that plane (if one recalls that the magnitude of the z-component of the angular momentum is given by $L_z = j_x y_y j$). It may be viewed as a compression of the continuum of surfaces of section: one for each curve of constant z-component of the angular momentum (L_z) (Schwarzschild 1993). Each point on that curve represents an orbit at that angular momentum (L_z) (Schwarzschild 1993). Each point on that curve represents an orbit at that angular momentum . A point at which the 1:1 thin tube orbit touches this curve is the boundary which Schwarzschild proposes for the inner boundary of the ellipsoidal annulus. This leaves out all orbits which touch the $L_z = const$: curve only at points to the left of this point, such as the 2:3 ` sh' orbit, 2:5 and other resonant orbits (Figure 11, top panel). These orbits occupy a non-negligible portion of the phase space, as can be seen from the traditional Poincare surfaces of section (Figure 11, bottom panel). It is evident from the bottom panel of Figure 11 that the order of the resonance of the box let orbit is inversely proportional to the area of the phase space that it occupies.

In order to better understand the structure of orbits on a $L_z = const:$ line, we compute the ratios of leading frequencies in x and z coordinates for a large num ber of orbits with initial conditions equally spaced along the curve. Figure 12 shows such ratios for orbits along curves as L_z is increased. All thick tube orbits are represented by two points, one on each side of the thin tube. Clearly, the ratio of frequencies at such two points is the same, since they both represent the same orbit. Therefore, if the phase space consists only of tubes, the graph of the ratios of leading frequencies should be symmetric with respect to the thin tube location (as in Figure 12.f), albeit with x-scale som ewhat altered. Furtherm ore, any deviations from such symmetry indicate the presence of resonant non-tube orbits. These resonant orbits are manifested in the graphs of ratios of leading frequencies by sudden jumps and attenings away from the thin tube. The intersection of the plane in which the Poincare surface of section is taken with the thick resonant orbit is a set of invariant 'islands' surrounding the thin resonant orbit. Our earlier study (Terzic 1998) showed that all of these islands have the same rotation number (de ned to be the fraction of a full revolution that an orbit traverses in one iteration around a 1:1 thin tube orbit). Similarly, in the vicinity of a thin orbit, ratios of leading frequencies will be nearly invariant, and appear as attenings on the curve.

The sequence of Figure 12 clearly reinforces the ndings of our earlier studies of axisym m etric scale-free





Fig. 11. | Top: Som em a prthin resonant orbits, each representative of an entire fam ily of orbits, originating from points in the x-z start-space inside the radius of the thin tube for the logarithm ic potential ($L_z = 0.1$, c=a = 0.3, T = 0). Bottom : Poincare surface of section for the thick fam ilies associated with the thin resonant orbits shown on the left half of the gure. The islands corresponding to the higher order resonances occupy sm aller areas.



Fig. 12. Ratios of leading frequencies from the x-z start-space for a logarithm ic potential at varying L_z values $L_z = 0;0:1;0:2;0:3;0:4;0:5$. Dashed lines represent the locations of thin tube at that angular momentum. Graphs for higher values of L_z (up to its maximum of $L_z = e^{1=2} = 0:6065$) are similar to the graph of $L_z = 0:5$, with the domain continually shrinking until it becomes a single point overlapping with the thin tube at the maximum L_z .

potentials (Hunter et al. 1998) that resonant orbits dom inate the phase space at low angular momenta, while the only orbits that remain at large angular momenta are tubes. It is also apparent that most of the resonant orbits bifurcate from the bounding outer planar orbit, while the thin tube becomes unstable only at low angular momenta (Hunter et al. 1998; Terzic 1998). The electiveness of graphs of ratios of the leading frequencies in identifying the origin of the instabilities makes it bene cial to use them in conjunction with traditional Poincare surfaces of section in the analysis of phase space and stability.

For triaxial potentials, we divide the duty of sampling the full range of orbits between two 2-D spaces, as outlined above, so that each picks up di erent types of orbits. The stationary start-space is supposed to select all the resonant orbits with turning points on the equipotential surface. These will not yield any tube orbits, since now L_z is no longer an integral of motion. The principal plane start-space is three-fold, one in each principal plane. It is designed to pick up tube orbits, but in the process will also pick up resonant orbits which pierce principal planes with velocities norm alto the plane (Schwarzschild 1993). Som e duplication of orbits is inevitable. If the only goal of the principal-plane start-space is to sam ple the tube orbits, restricting it to the area outside the thin tube orbit will indeed minimize duplication of tube orbits, without system atically leaving out any of them . The same is true of the stationary start-space; if its only goal is to produce the boxes, boxlets and other resonant orbits with zero-velocity turning points, it will indeed do so, albeit with som e duplication, without system atically leaving out any orbits. O f course, som e m inor orbital fam ilies m ay be left out because of the nite resolution of the coverage of these spaces. However, at least theoretically, all tube orbits and orbits with zero-velocity turning points have a chance of being represented, and in the limit of number of points in these spaces N ! 1, they are represented. The only remaining question then is whether there exist other families of orbits that this choice of start-spaces system atically leaves out, denying them even a theoretical chance of being represented. One cannot be absolutely de nitive in answering this question, but all the empirical indications are that this is not the case, at least not on the level at which it would seriously jeopardize accurate sampling of the phase space.

REFERENCES

Binney, J., & Tremaine, S. 1987, Galactic Dynamics Princeton: Princeton University Press]

Contopoulos, G. 1971, ApJ, 76, 147

- Crane, P., Stiavelli, M., King, I. R., Deharveng, J.M., Albrecht, R., Barbieri, C., Blades, J.C., Boksenberg, A., Disney, M. J., Jakobsen, P., Kamperman, T.M., Machetto, F., Mackay, C. D., Paresce, F., Weigelt, G., Baxter, D., Greeneld, P., Jedrzejewski, R., Nota, A., & Sparks, W.B. 1993, AJ, 106, 1371
- C retton, N ., de Zeeuw, P.T ., van der M arel, R ., & R ix, H . 1999, A pJS, 124, 383
- G ebhardt, K ., R ichstone, D ., A jhar, E ., Lauer, T ., B yun, Y ., K omm endy, J., D ressler, A ., Faber, S ., G rillm air, C ., & Trem aine, S. 1996, AJ, 112, 105

Gerhard, O., & Binney, J. 1985, MNRAS, 216, 467

- Hairer, E., Norsett, S. P., & Wanner, G. 1993, Solving ordinary di erential equations [New York: Springer Verlag]
- Holley-Bockelm ann, K., M ihos, C., Sigurdsson, S., & Hemquist, L., 2001, ApJ, 549, 862
- Hunter, C. 1995, Annals of the New York A cademy of Sciences, 751, 76
- Hunter, C. 2002, Space Science Review, in press
- Hunter, C., Terzic, B., Burns, A., Porchia, D., & Zink, C., 1998, Annals of the New York A cademy of Sciences 867, 61
- Jalali, A.& de Zœuw, P.T.2002, MNRAS, 335, 928
- Kandrup, H., Pogorebv, I., & Sideris, I., 2000, MNRAS, 311, 719
- Laskar, J. 1993, Physica D, 67, 257
- Laskar, J., Froeschle, C., & Celleti, A. 1992, Physica D, 56, 253
- Lauer, T., A jhar, E., Byun, Y., Dressler, A., Faber, S., Grillmair, C., Kormendy, J., Richstone, D., & Tremaine, S. 1995, AJ, 110, 2622
- Levison, H., & Richstone, D. 1987, ApJ, 314, 476

Merritt, D. 1997, ApJ, 486, 102

- Merritt, D., & Fridman, T. 1996, ApJ, 460, 136
- M eszaros, C. 1996, PhD thesis, Eotvos Lorand University of Sciences
- M oller P., Stiavelli, M., & Zeilinger, W. 1995, MN-RAS, 276, 979
- Poon, M.Y., & Merritt, D. 2001, ApJ, 549, 192
- Richstone, D. 1980, ApJ, 238, 103

Schwarzschild, M . 1979, ApJ, 232, 236

- Schwarzschild, M. 1993, ApJ, 409, 563
- Siopis, C. 1999, PhD thesis, University of Florida
- Siopis, C., & Kandrup, H., 2000, MNRAS, 319, 43
- Statler, T.S. 1987, ApJ, 225, 83
- Terzic, B. 1998, Annals of the New York A cademy of Sciences, 867, 85
- Verolm e, E.K.& de Zeeuw, P.T.2002, MNRAS, 331, 959

T his 2-column preprint was prepared with the AAS ${\rm IAT}_EX$ m acros v5.0.