Linear Polarization Observations of W ater M asers in W 3 IR S 5

Hiroshi Im ai^{1;2;3}, Shin ji Horiuchi^{4;5}, Shu ji Dequchi⁶ and Osam u Kameya^{1;2}

ABSTRACT

We present a magnetic eld mapping of water maser clouds in the star-forming region W 3 IRS 5, which has been made on the basis of the linear polarization VLBI observation. Using the Very Long Baseline A may (VLBA) at 22.2 GHz, 16 of 61 detected water masers were found to be linearly polarized with polarization degrees up to 13 %. A lthough 10 polarized features were widely distributed in the whole W 3 IRS 5 water maser region, they had similar position angles of the magnetic eld vectors (75 from the north). The magnetic eld vectors are roughly perpendicular to the spatial alignments of the maser features. They are consistent with the hourglass model of the magnetic eld, which was previously proposed to explain the magnetic eld in the whole W 3 IRS 5 magnetic eld was controlled by a collapse of the W 3 M ain molecular cloud rather than the out ow originated from W 3 IRS 5.

Subject headings: ISM : jets and out ows, individual (W 3 IRS 5) | m asers | star:form ation |

¹M izusawa A strogeodynam ics O bærvatory, N ational A stronom ical O bærvatory, M izusawa, Iw ate 023-0861, Japan

 $^{^2 \}rm V\,LB\,I$ E xploration of R adio A strom etry P roject O $\,$ ce, N ational A stronom ical O bservatory, M itaka, Tokyo 181–8588, Japan

³ Joint Institute for VLBI in Europe, Postbus 2, 7990 AA Dwingebo, the Netherlands

⁴VLBISpace Observatory Program m e Project Oce, Natinal Astronom ical Observatory, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

⁵ Jet P ropulsion Laboratory, 4800 O ak G rove D rive, P asadena, C A 91109, U SA

⁶N obeyam a Radio O bærvatory, N ational A stronom ical O bærvatory, M inam im aki, M inam isaku, N agano 384-1305, Japan

1. Introduction

B inth of massive stars is characterized by a collapse of molecular cloud core when selfgravity overwhelms the magnetic pressure in the core as a result of magnetic eld dilution. In this situation, the core is not supported by the magnetic eld pressure in the cloud core any more, and called to be magnetically super-critical (e.g., Shu, A dams, & Lizano 1987; Feigelson & Montmerle 1999, and references therein). Measurements of the magnetic eld strengths and directions in star-forming regions have been made in a variety of spatial scales, from whole star-forming regions (1{10 pc}) down to individual molecular cloud cores (e.g., Heiles et al. 1993; M cK ee et al. 1993; Evans II 1999, and references therein). In the smaller scale, the measurements were made mainly with radio interferometers with higher angular resolution. However, because of complicated structures of the magnetic elds expected from the presence of the dense clumps and out ows, and because of the uncertainty in calibration in the polarimetric interferometry, it is more di cult to map the magnetic eld accurately in this scale.

W aterm aser em ission is often associated with star-form ing regions (SFR s) with energetic out ows from young stellar objects (YSO s) (e.g., E litzur 1992a, b and references therein). VLBI observations have revealed that water maser em ission consists of clusters of compact maser features with a typical size of 1 AU and a velocity width of 1 km s¹ (e.g., Reid & M oran 1981). M easurements of D oppler velocities and proper motions of maser features have led to the conclusion that the spatial motions of maser features really reject the true three-dimensional gas kinematics (e.g., Gwinn, M oran, & Reid 1992; Tornelles et al. 2001a, b). P revious observations have found expansion motions produced by out ows from YSO s or expanding H II regions (e.g., Im ai et al. 2000, hereafter Paper I, and references therein). Shocks, which have velocities exceeding about 20 km s¹ and are running into high-density (n_{H_2} 3 10⁶ cm ³) magnetized material, successfully explain the water maser em ission associated with energetic Y SO out ow s (e.g., H ollenbach & M cK ee 1989; E litzur, H ollenbach, & M cK ee 1989, 1992; H ollenbach, E litzur, & M cK ee 1993).

Polarim etric m apping of individual water m aser features were made for the st time using VLBI data in W 51 M by Leppanen, Liljestrom, & Diamond (1998) (hereafter LLD). They found that the observed directions of linear polarization were well aligned along a stream of water maser features found in W 51 M. It should be noted that the observed direction of the linear polarization does not straightly indicate the magnetic eld direction of the cloud because it depends on the angle between the magnetic eld and the photon propagation direction (toward an observer) (e.g., Goldreich, Keeley, & Kwan 1973; Deguchi & W atson 1986b, 1990). In the case of W 51 M, the observed directions of linear polarization were interpreted to be parallel to the magnetic eld vector projected on the sky plane because the eld vector is expected to be roughly tangential to the line of sight due to coupling with the Sagittarius spiral arm. LLD concluded that the alignment of the water maser linear polarization was created by shocks caused by the nearby expanding HII region. The linear polarization of water masers as a tracer of the interstellar magnetic elds is indirectly supported by that the linear polarization directions in a maser region are stable on a timescale of several years despite of much shorter time scale of lifetimes and ux variability of maser features (A braham & V ilas Boas 1994; LLD; Horiuchi, M igenes, & D eguchi 2000; Horiuchi & K em aya 2003 in preparation).

This paper discusses the results of magnetic eld mapping of water maser clouds in the massive-star forming region W 3 IRS 5 revealed by a VLBI observation. W 3 IRS 5 is considered to be at the very early phase of star formation because of lack of high velocity components in the water masers, as suggested by Genzel & Downes (1977). Previous observations also supported this interpretation on W 3 IRS 5 (e.g., C laussen et al. 1994; T ieffrunk et al. 1997; Roberts, Crutcher, & Troland 1997). In a 2⁰⁰ 3⁰⁰ eld of W 3 IRS 5, C laussen et al. (1994) and T ieffrunk et al. (1997) found the distribution of a cluster of centimeter-continuum emission sources. Im ai et al. (2000) investigated the 3-D kinematics of the water masers in W 3 IRS 5 and estimated a distance to this region to be 1.83 0.14 kpc. In the present paper, the value of 1.8 kpc is adopted as the distance to W 3 IRS 5. Im ai, D eguchi, & Sasao (2002) (hereafter Paper II) investigated the physical condition of the region where the water masers are excited. They found that turbulent motions dom inate the morphology and kinematics on a microscopic scale (down to 0.01 AU). Figure 1 shows the distribution and proper motions of the water maser features found in Paper I.

Troland et al. (1989) and Roberts et al. (1993) (hereafter RCTG) measured the Zeem an e ect of the HI line in W 3 IRS 5. They revealed the magnetic eld projected in the line of sight on a scale of 0.6 pc, and demonstrated an "hourglass" model of the magnetic eld. The above scale is roughly equivalent to the core size found in this cloud found in the ¹³CO line by Hayashi, Kobayashi, & Hasegawa (1989). A pinch of the hourglass was found to be located very close to W 3 IRS 5 region and to have the magnetic eld strength higher than 1 m G.G reaves, M urray, & Holland (1994) also made a polarim etric observation at sub-millim eter wavelengths and estim ated the magnetic eld in W 3 IRS 5 on a scale of 0.1 pc; the result supports the hourglass model. Barvainis & D eguchi (1989) found that the W 3 IRS 5 water masers were highly linearly polarized. Sam a, Troland, & Rom ney (2001) (hereafter STR) and Sam a et al. (2002) (hereafter STCR) measured the Zeem an e ect of the bright components of the water masers and estim ated the magnetic eld strength along the line of sight to be 30 m G.

In the present paper, we focus on an issue whether or not the magnetic eld measured in

water m asers traces that found on the larger scale. The cause that determ ines the shape of the large-scale m agnetic eld is also speculated: either the collapse of the parent m olecular cloud or the form ation of a m agneto-hydrodynam ical jet. Section 2 describes the polarim etic observations using the Very Long Baseline A may (VLBA) and the Very Large A may (VLA) of the N ational R adio A stronom y O bservatory (NRAO)¹ and data reduction. The results are presented in x3. Section 4 discusses m ainly the relation between the m easured directions of m aser linear polarization and possible directions and strength of the m agnetic eld in the observed scale (< 5⁰) of W 3 IRS 5.

2. Observations and data reduction

The polarim etric observation of water m asers in W 3 IRS 5 was made on 1998 Novem – ber 21 using 10 telescopes of the VLBA. The water masers and calibrators (NRAO 150, JVAS 0212+735, and 0423 014) were observed for 10 hrs in total. The observed signals were recorded in a 4-MHz base band with both right and left circular polarization (RCP, LCP) in 2-bit sampling. The recorded data were correlated with the VLBA correlator in Socorro, which yielded parallel and cross-hand visibilities with 128 spectral channels. The correlated data covered a velocity range of 58 km s¹ V_{LSR} 5 km s¹ and a velocity spacing of 0.42 km s¹ per spectral channel.

D ata calibration and imaging were made using the procedures in A \mathbb{P} S² mostly. First, the data of the parallel-hand-polarization visibilities were reduced in the usual procedures (e.g., Reid 1995; D iam ond 1995) and fringe- tting, self-calibration, and complex bandpass solutions were obtained independently in RCP and LCP. A lthough the water maser emission was strong enough to apply the "tem plate method" to calibrate the visibility amplitudes (D iam ond 1995), the band width of 4 M H z was too narrow to obtain a su cient number of emission-free velocity channels in the tem plate total-power spectrum of the maser emission. Instead, we applied tables of the measured system noise tem peratures and antenna gains. In the self-calibration, we obtained a Stokes I maser map of the velocity component (maser spot) at 40.2 km s¹ and complex gain solutions in every 6 s.

Second, the instrum ental polarization parameters (D-term s) of the telescopes were obtained using the A IP S RUN script CRO SSPOL and the task LPCAL with scans of 0420 014, which is well linearly polarized. The D-term solutions were applied to the maser data. A fler

¹The NRAO is a facility of the National Science Foundation of USA, operated under a cooperative agreem ent by A spociated Universities, Inc.

²The NRAO Astronom ical Im aging Processing System.

the D-term calibration, the Stokes I, Q, U m aps of m as spots were obtained independently. The naturally-weighted synthesized beam was 0.68 0.49 m as, with the major axis at a position angle (PA) PA = 3.3. No smoothing in velocity channel was applied. The detection limit of about 30 m Jy beam ¹ at a 5- noise was obtained in velocity channels without bright maser emission. Before making the nalimage cubes, we looked for the masers by making wider maps covering a 2^{0} 5^{0} eld with a larger synthesized beam.

Third, each velocity component (m aser spot, see e.g., G w inn 1994a, b; Paper II) in the image cube was tted by a two-dimensional G aussian brightness distribution using the A IPS task JM F II. The uncertainty of the estimated position due to thermal noise was 3 m icroarc-seconds (as) for a 1-Jy spot. On the other hand, positional accuracy of the brightness peak of a maser feature (a cluster of maser spots) depends on the distribution of maser spots in the feature and the brightness distributions of the maser spots and limited to be typically 10 as. The electric vector position angle (EVPA, see LLD), EVPA = arctan (Q = U)/2 of each maser spots was calculated from the Stokes Q and U parameters. For each maser feature (a cluster of maser spots existing together within 1 m as and 1 km s¹ in position and velocity, Paper I and II), the degree of linear polarization and the EVPA were obtained from the Stokes parameters (I, Q, U) of the intensity peak in the maser feature.

The waterm asers were also observed with the VLA (26 antennas) in C-con guration on 1998 N ovem ber 28, one week later the VLBA observation. The waterm asers and calibrators (3C 48,0420 014, and 3C 138) were scanned for 2 hrs in total. The observed signals were recorded in a 3-M Hz base band both in right and left circular polarization. The correlated data had 64 spectral channels with a velocity spacing of 0.63 km s¹ per spectral channel. The D-term softhe antennas were obtained from the scans of 3C 48, which covered a variation of parallactic angle over 180, assuming the intrinsic EVPA of 72.5. Then we con rm ed that the obtained EVPAs of 3C 138 and 0420 024 were consistent with those previously obtained by A kujpr et al. (1993) and M arscher et al. (2002), respectively.

The EVPA at V_{LSR} = 42.2 km s¹ in the maser feature W 3 IRS 5:I2003 5 (see table 1) was compared between the VLBA and VLBA data. At this velocity, the maser spot was most prominent and its polarimetric parameters were well determined. A correction (EVPA = 39.3) was applied to the EVPAs obtained with the VLBA. Taking into account the variation of EVPA in the maser feature (3), the ambiguity of the assumed EVPA of 3C 48 (5), and the accuracy of the calibration of the VLA data (uncertainty in R L phase determination, 0.3), the accuracy of EVPA was expected to be 6. Because the VLA observation was made 7 days after the VLBA observation, the estimated EVPA sm ight su er from the EVPA time variation of the feature W 3 IRS 5:I2003 5.

3. Results

We detected 61 m as features consisting of 290 m as spots. These numbers are smaller by factors of 2{3 and 4{7, respectively, than those in the previous VLBA observations (Paper I, see also Paper II) while the sensitivity of the present observation was better than the previous ones. The decrease of features was likely due to less activity in maser excitation, while the decrease of spots was due to less velocity resolution by a factor of 4 in the present observation.

Table 1 sum marizes the parameters of water maser features in W 3 IRS 5. Only for 15 of 61 features, the proper motions were measured previously in Paper I. It was discult to identify the same features between the observations of Paper I and the present work because many features were likely to appear and disappear in the crowded regions during the epoch separation of 11 month. The highest degrees of polarization was 13% in the present observation, which was smaller than those (up to 30%) found in a previous single dish observation (Barvainis & Deguchi 1989) and an unpublished VLBI observation with a 400-km baseline. As shown in gure 2, no correlation was found between a feature intensity and a degree of linear polarization. LLD found a system atic change of EVPAs in a single maser feature with the full velocity width V = 1.3 km s⁻¹. On the other hand, as shown in gure 3, EVPAs were alm ost the same (within 15) in all of the features with measured linear polarization in W 3 IRS 5.

Figure 4 presents the spatial distribution and the linear polarization directions of the maser features. The present maser map was easily overlaid on the previous ones in Paper I.W e found that a cluster of maser features is elongated in the north {west and south {east direction and that the radial velocities have been almost the same among previous maser maps of Paper I and II and the present one (gure 4c). Very likely this cluster corresponds to the cluster of maser features with the measured Zeem an elect (the features in gure 1 of STR, see also STCR). As STR mentioned, the cluster was located very close to the radio continuum source "c" (Claussen et al. 1994, see in gure 1). It was quite di cult, however, to exactly identify the same features from one observing epoch to another because of too large time spans between two observations (& 14 months).

The observed EVPAs were roughly the same among distant maser features; see the features in gures 4b and c, within a separation of 3800 AU, as well as adjacent maser features within 100 AU.Figure 3 shows that 10 out of 16 polarized features exhibited this tendency. The EVPAs were also constant at 13 5 in the individual features. The exception was found in the cluster of features seen in gure 4e, in which EVPAs changed by 90. Only one feature at the south {west corner of the cluster, W 3 IRS 5:I2003 40, had an EVPA roughly equal to those in other clusters.

In W 51M (LLD) and, EVPAs of masers are well aligned along the direction of a highly collim ated ow. In W 3 IRS 5, on the other hand, EVPAs are not parallel to the direction of the whole out ows, which is oriented at PA 10 (see gure 1 and gure 6 of Paper I). In addition, they are not aligned in the direction of the large-scale CO out ow (PA 50, M itchell, M aillard, & Hasegawa 1991; Hasegawa et al. 1994). Note that the alignment of EVPAs observed in the present paper appears more evidently than the alignment of proper m otions observed in Paper I. This is probably because the random motions are dominant in the proper motions.

4. Discussion

4.1. Relation between the EVPA and the magnetic eld

The present work has investigated for the rst time the magnetic eld of the whole water maser regions covering a large mapping area $(2^0 \ 5^0)$ and the wide maser velocity range (V = 53 km s⁻¹). Despite the proper motions that have quite dierent PAs among the maser features, we found the similar EVPA values (13.7(3.7 in median) among 10 maser features widely distributed in the whole maser region. This position angle should be perpendicular or parallel to the magnetic eld in the water maser region of W 3 IRS 5.

A maser theory suggests that the directions of the linear polarization of saturated masers should be perpendicular (or parallel) to the magnetic eld projection on the sky if the inclination angle of the magnetic eld with respect to the line of sight is j_{M} j & 55 (or j_M j. 55) (Goldreich, Keeley, & Kwan 1973; Dequchi & Watson 1986b). This inclination angle was roughly estimated from the observable parameter Q = I (see qure 2 of D equchi & W at son 1986a). We estimated the inclination angle to be 45 . $_{\rm M}$. 60 for the observed value $p = I_j$. 0.15, which is close to the critical inclination angle mentioned above (55)). We expect that the feature at the south {west comer of the cluster, W 3 IRS 5:I2003 40, exhibits a " ip" of the linear polarization direction by 90 because the magnetic eld has an inclination angle close to the critical value. However, in other features, we favor j_{M} j & 55 because the magnetic eld on the larger scale is almost tangential to the sky plane as mentioned above. Thus, the magnetic eld projection was estimated to have a 76. In contrast, the directions of linear polarization in the W 51 M position angle PA water maser are considered to be parallel to the magnetic eld (LLD).

Note that the linear polarization is a ected more or less by Faraday rotation due to free electron in the interstellar medium along the line of sight. We evaluated Faraday rotation for the maser linear polarization at 1.35-cm wave length, , using the following quation,

1:48
$$10^{2} D n_{e} H_{k}$$
; (1)

where D (pc) is the size of an interstellar cloud, n_{a} (cm³) the number density of free electron, and H_{k} (G) the magnetic eld strength along the line of sight. For the cases of a maser cloud (D $10^{4} \text{ pc, } n_{e}$ 1 cm^3 , H_k 30 mG), a molecular cloud (D 0.1 pc, 100 G), and the interstellar space between the W 3 region and the Sun 1 cm^{3} , H_{k} n 1.8 kpc, n 10^2 cm 3 , H_k 10 G), we obtain 4.4 10 4 rad, 1.5 10 3 rad, Ð and 2.7 10² rad, respectively, which are negligible e ects. A lthough the hyper-com pact H II regions found in the W 3 IRS 5 region (D 2 10³ pc, n_e $1.3 \ 10^5 \text{ cm}^3$, H_k 30 m G , Claussen et al. 1994) might introduce the strongest Faraday rotation (120 rad), they are not located in front or behind the water maser features with linear polarization.

4.2. The magnetic eld on the arcsecond-scale in W 3 ${\rm IR}$ S 5

Troland et al. (1989), RCTG, and G reaves, M urray, & Holland (1994) found a gradient of them agnetic eld along the line of sight and proposed "hourglass" m odels for the W 3 IRS 5 m agnetic eld on a large scale (1°). In these m odels, an inclination angle of the hourglass m a jor axis ' 2 was adopted with respect to the sky plane on the basis of the angular distribution of the m agnetic eld strength along the line of sight, which was obtained by RCTG. A position angle of the m a jor axis, PA 50, and a FW HM width of the pinch, FW HM = $10^{\circ0}$, were adopted on the basis of the spatial distribution of the EVPA s, which was obtained by G reaves, M urray, & Holland (1994) with an angular resolution of $13^{\circ0}$.

In this paper, we propose an hourglass model of the magnetic eld shown in qure 5. This model is made to explain the magnetic eld on the small scale (< 10°), and consistent the large scale magnetic eld (1⁰) observed by RCTG and Greaves, Murray, & Holland (1994). The modeled eld is pinched-in at the peak of the 800-m continuum emission. Ladd et al. (1993) observed the 450- m/800- m continuum emission with a 7^{0} -beam (a pointing accuracy of $2^{(0)}$, and found that the peak of the emission has a position o set $2^{\circ}.5$ in R.A. and $+0^{\circ}.5$ in decl. with respect to the center of the maser cluster. of Although the continuum emission peak should coincide with the ¹³CO and C¹⁸O emission peaks (O kham et al. 1994; Tieffrunk et al. 1995; Roberts, Crutcher, & Troland 1997), the observed position is somewhat uncertain because of the large beams. It is possible that the C¹³O em ission peak observed by Roberts, Crutcher, & Troland (1997) traces a segment of the out ow while the continuum emission peak traces the densest part of the parent molecular cloud. Tieffrunk et al. (1995) found four gas clumps traced by $C^{34}SJ = 3\{2 \text{ and } J = 5\{4\}$ em ission, but none of the em ission peaks coincides with the pinch center.

The magnetic eld projection on the sky (PA 76) mentioned in the previous subsection is well consistent with that in the proposed magnetic eld model (see gure 5b). Taking into account that the magnetic eld vector is oriented toward the observer (a negative value of the measured Zeem an e ect, STR; STCR), the maser region is likely located in front of the major axis of the hourglass (see gure 5c, d). The inclination of the magnetic eld to the line of sight, however, cannot be completely consistent with the model, in which the inclination signi cantly varies from a point to another in the whole maser region.

The alignment of the magnetice did in the coverage of about 1^{00} 4^{00} in the water maser region provides a constraint on the pinch ratio of the hourglass model around A=B 0.14, as well as on the pinch width around FW HM 10⁰⁰) (de nitions of A and B are given in the caption of gure 5). As discussed by RCTG, one obtains a magnetic eld strength of 1.3 m G at the pinch center when assuming a strength of the total undisturbed magnetic eld of 25 G and considering the r 2 dependence of the magnetic eld. This value is one order of m agnitude sm aller than that obtained by STR and STCR (30 m G) but one order of magnitude larger than that estimated by RCTG. Crutcher (1999) found an empirical relation of a magnetic eld strength, B / $n^{0.47}$, over a large range of gas densities n up to 10^7 cm⁻³. Therefore, it is expected that the eld strength found in the water maser cloud (n & $10^8 \{ 10^9 \text{ cm}^3 \}$ is consistent with the B {n law (c.f. STR). STCR also pointed out that the magnetic eld in the interstellar space (preshock region) should be enhanced in the maser region (postshock region) by a factor of 20.

4.3. The magnetodynam ically super-critical collapse of the parent molecular cloud of W 3 IR S 5 $\,$

The origin of the magnetic eld pinch is still obscured. The direction of the whole magnetic eld is almost parallel to a molecular out ow found in W 3 A (M itchell, M aillard, & Hasegawa 1991; Hasegawa et al. 1994). Momose et al. (2001) pointed out that a magnetic eld would control the direction of a molecular out ow rather than that the magnetic eld is in uenced by the out ow. In W 3 IRS 5, however, although the magnetic eld seems to along the molecular out ow on a larger scale (1°), it may not necessarily be parallel to the out ow s on a smaller scale (5°) as seen in the water masers. We evaluated the energy densities of the magnetic eld and of the out ow of W 3 IRS 5 using the same equations described in M om ose et al. (2001). The critical magnetic eld strength, B_{critical}, at which the eld has the same energy density as that of the cylindrical out ow, is expressed by

$$B_{\text{critical}} = \frac{8E_{\text{ow}}}{r^2 1} ; \qquad (2)$$

where E $_{ow}$, r and lare the kinetic energy, the radius, and the total length of the cylindrical out ow, respectively. A dopting the total out ow energy estimated by H asegawa et al. (1994), E $_{ow}$ ' 12 10⁴⁶ erg, and the radius and the length of the out ow estimated by M itchell, M aillard, & H asegawa (1991), r ' 4.1 10¹⁷ cm and l ' 2.5 10¹⁸ cm, respectively (assuming the distance to W 3 IRS 5 of 1.8 kpc here), we obtained B _{critical} ' 0.48 m G, which is larger than the maximum strength observed in the HIZeem an elect by RCTG (. 0.22 m G). To obtain B _{critical} for the water maser cloud, we converted equation (2) to,

$$B_{\rm critical} = (8 \, _{\rm m \, aser} v_{\rm m \, aser}^2)^{1-2};$$
(3)

where $_{maser}$ & 3.3 10¹⁴ g cm³ (corresponding to $n_{H_2} = 10^8$ cm³) is the gas density of the maser region necessary for maser excitation, v_{maser} is the out ow velocity. A dopting the out ow velocity v_{maser} 15 km s¹, we obtained $B_{critical}$ ' 250 mG. Thus, the magnetic eld strength estimated on the basis of the Zeem an splitting (' 30 mG, STR) is one order of magnitude lower than the critical strength. This suggests that the magnetic cannot control the out ow dynamics.

This evaluation is consistent with that made for the W 51M region by LLD. On the other hand, there is no observational evidence that the out ow controls the magnetic eld structure. Momose et al. (2001) suggested that the magnetic eld is aligned more in the younger phase of star-form ation than in the more evolved one so that the disturbance of the form erm agnetic eld due to the small-scale turbulence is not signi cant yet. This suggestion supports that W 3 IRS 5 should be at the very early stage of star form ation. O kham et al. (1994) and T ieffrunk et al. (1995) estimated them assofteem olecular cloud associated with W 3 IRS 5 to be 800{900 M}. This value is still larger than the magnetic eld of the W 3 IRS 5 to be 800{900 M}. This value is still larger than the magnetic eld of the W 3 IRS 5 could with a radius of 9000 AU (corresponding to 5^{00} at 1.8 kpc) and a magnetic eld strength of 30 m G. This indicates that the magnetic eld of the W 3 IRS 5 could be may be used to be worked, in otherwords, that a part of the W 3 IRS 5 could be a strength of 30 m G. This indicates that the magnetic eld of the W 3 IRS 5 could be a strength of 30 m G. This indicates that the magnetic eld of the W 3 IRS 5 could be a strength of 30 m G. This indicates that the magnetic eld of the W 3 IRS 5 could be a strength of 30 m G. This indicates that the magnetic eld of the W 3 IRS 5 could be a strength of 30 m G. This indicates that the magnetic eld of the W 3 IRS 5 could is collapsing under the magnetodynam ically super-critical condition (Shu, A dam s, & Lizano 1987).

Note that the above evaluation is still consistent with the suggestion that the magnetic eld should control the water maser excitation (E litzur, Hollenbach, & McK ee 1989, 1992; STCR). To consider the maser excitation, the velocity dispersion of the postshock region where the masers are excited, $_v$. 0.6 km s¹, is inserted instead of v_{maser} in equation 3

(Paper I, II; STCR). Then one can estimate B_{maser} ' 10 mG, smaller than or equal to the observed magnetic eld strength.

It is still unclear what kind of object exists in the pinch center of the magnetic eld. Tieffrunk et al. (1995) found fourm olecular gas clumps in W 3 IRS 5, but none of the clumps is located at the pinch center. It is likely that these clumps were formed in the common parent molecular cloud and are responsible for the observed magnetic eld. The magnetic eld which controlled the multiple clumps supports the mild pinch ratio A=B ' 0.14 rather than the extrem ely small ratio A=B ' 0.04 speculated by RCTG.

5. Sum m ary

Polarim etric VLB Iobærvations of waterm aærs in W 3 IRS 5 have revealed that obærved EVPAs of waterm aærs features are wellaligned in the whole maær region except for a small number of maær features. The directions of the magnetic eld projected on the sky in the maær region are estimated to be perpendicular to the directions of the linear polarization of the maærs (PA 76). The magnetic eld estimated supports the hourglass magnetic eld model proposed previously. Thus, water maær linear polarization may reveal in detail the magnetic elds of the interstellar medium in star-form ing regions. The W 3 IRS 5 water maær region has a position o set from the pinch center of the hourglass and its magnetic eld is unlikely to control the out ow dynamics. All of these obærvational facts suggest that the W 3 IRS 5 cloud is collapsing under magnetically super-critical condition and that the out ow s are not yet developed enough to completely disturb the magnetic eld in this cloud. The hourglass structure of the magnetic eld is suggested to be form ed as a result of the collapse of the parent molecular cloud that is magnetically super-critical.

A uthors addrow ledge to Dr. Chris Carilli for helping our VLBA and VLA observations as a technical contact person. A uthors also thanks Drs. Tetsuo Sasao, M akoto M iyoshi, and Yoshiharu A saki for helping the VLBA observation, and Dr. M otohide Tam ura for providing fruitful inform ation on interstellar polarim etric data. H. I. was nancially supported by the R essarch Fellow ship of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientist.

{ 12 {

REFERENCES

- Abraham, Z., & Vilas Boas, J.W. S. 1994, A&A, 290, 956
- A kujor, C.E., Spencer, R.E., Zhang, F.J., Fanti, C., Ludke, E., & Garrington, S.T. 1993, A & A , 274, 752
- Barvainis, R., & Deguchi, S. 1989, AJ, 97, 1089
- Crutcher, R.M. 1999, ApJ, 520, 706
- Claussen, M. J., Gaume, R. A., Johnston, K. J., & Wilson, T. L. 1994, ApJ, 424, L41
- Deguchi, S., & Watson, W.D. 1990, ApJ, 354, 649
- {.1986a, ApJ, 302, 750
- {.1986b, ApJ, 302, 108
- Diam ond, P.J.1995, in ASP Conf.Ser.82, VERY LONG BASELINE INTERFEROMETRY AND THE VLBA, ed. J.A. Zensus, P.J.Diam ond, & P.J.Napier (San Francisco: ASP), 227
- E litzur, M. 1992a, in A stronom ical M asers (D ordrecht: K luwer)
- {.1992b, ARA&A, 30, 75
- Elitzur, M., Hollenbach, D.J., & McKee, C.F. 1989, ApJ, 346, 983
- {.1992, ApJ, 394, 221
- Evans II, N.J. 1999, ARA&A, 37, 311
- Feigelson, E.D., & Montmerle, T. 1999, ARA&A, 37, 363
- Goldreich, P., Keeley, D.A., & Kwan, J.Y. 1973, ApJ, 179, 111
- Greaves, J.S., Murray, A.G., & Holland, W.S. 1994, A&A, 284, L19
- Genzel, R., & Downes, D. 1977, A&AS, 30, 145
- Gwinn, C.R. 1994a, ApJ, 429, 241
- {.1994b, ApJ, 429, 253
- Gwinn, C.R., Moran, J.M., & Reid, M.J. 1992, ApJ, 393, 149

Hasegawa, T. I., Mitchell, G. F., Mattews, H. E., & Tacconi, L. 1994, ApJ, 426, 215

Hayashi, M., Kobayashi, H., & Hasegawa, T. 1989, ApJ, 340 298

- Heiles, C., Goodman, A.A., McKee, C.F., & Zweibel, E.G. 1993, in Protostar and Planets III, ed.E.H.Levy, & J.I.Lunine (Tucson: Univ. Arizona Press.), 279
- Hollenbach, D. J., Elitzur, M., & McKee, C. F. 1993, in Astrophysical Masers, ed. A.W. Clegg, & G.E. Nedoluha (Heidelberg: Springer), 159
- Hollenbach, D., & McKee, C.F. 1989, ApJ, 342, 306
- Horiuchi, S., Migenes, V., & Deguchi, S. 2000, in Astrophysical Phenomena Revealed by Space VLBI, Proceedings of the VSOP Symposium, eds. H. Hirabayashi, P.G. Edwards, & D.W. Murphy, (Sagamihara, Japan: ISAS), 105
- Horiuchi, S., & Kameya, O. 2000, PASJ, 52, 545
- Im ai, H., Deguchi, S., & Sasao, T. 2002, ApJ, 567, 971 (Paper II)
- Im ai, H., Kameya, O., Sasao, T., Miyoshi, M., Deguchi, S., Horiuchi, S., & Asaki, Y. 2000, ApJ, 538, 751 (Paper I)
- Ladd, E.F., Deane, J.R., Sanders, D.B., & Wynn-William s, C.G. 1993, ApJ, 419, 186
- Leppanen, K., Liljestrom, T., & Diamond, P.J. 1998, ApJ, 507, 909 (LLD)
- Marscher, A.P., Jorstad, S.G., Mattox, J.R., & Wehrle, A. 2002, ApJ, 577, 85
- M CK ee, C.F., Zweebe, E.G., Godman, A.A., & Heiles, C. 1993, in Protostar and Planets III, ed.E.H.Levy, & J.I.Lunine (Tucson: Univ. Arizona Press.), 327
- M ttchell, G.F., M aillard, J.P., & Hasegawa, T.I. 1991, ApJ, 371, 342
- Momose, M., Tamura, M., Kameya, O., Greaves, J.S., Chrysotomou, A., Hough, J.H., & Morino, J.-I. 2001, ApJ, 555, 855

Oldham, P.G., Grin, M.J., Richardson, K.J., & Sandell, G. 1994, A&A, 284, 559

Reid, M. J. 1995, in ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 82, Very Long Baseline Interferom etry and the VLBA, ed. J.A. Zensus, P. J. Diam ond, & P. J. Napier (San Francisco: ASP), 209

Reid, M.J., & Moran, J.M. 1981, ARA & A, 19, 231

Roberts, D.A., Crutcher, R.M., & Troland, T.H. 1997, ApJ, 479, 318

Roberts, D.A., Crutcher, R.M., Troland, T.H., & Goss, W.M. 1993, ApJ, 412, 675 (RCTG)

- Sarm a, A.P., Troland, T.H., & Crutcher, R.M., & Roberts, D.A. 2002, ApJ, 580, 928 (STCR)
- Samma, A. P., Troland, T. H., & Romney, J. D. 2001, ApJ, 554, L217 (STR)
- Shu, F.H., Adam s, F.C., & Lizano, S.1987, ARA & A, 25, 23
- Tieffrunk, A.R., Gaume, R.A., Claussen, M.J., Wilson, T.L., & Johnston, K.J. 1997, A&A, 318, 931
- Tieffrunk, A.R., Wilson, T.L., Steppe, H, Gaume, R.A., Johnston, K.J., & Claussen, M.J.1995, A&A, 303, 901
- Torrelles, J.M., Patel, N.A., Gomez, J.F., Ho, P.T.P., Rodoriguez, L.F., Anglada, G., Garay, G., Grænhill, L.J., et al. 2001a, Nature, 411, 277

{.2001b, ApJ, 560, 853

Troland, T.H., Crutcher, R.M., Goss, W.M., & Heiles, C. 1989, ApJ, 347, L89

This preprint was prepared with the AAS $\mathbb{P}T_{E}X$ m acros v5.0.

M aser feature ¹	0	set ²	LSR velo	city (km s ¹)	Peak Intensity	P 4	ΕVΡΑ	M aser feature ⁵
(W 3 IRS 5: 12003)	R.A. (m as)	decl. (m as)	P eak	W idth ³	(Jy beam ¹)	(%)	(deg)	(W 3 IRS 5: 12000)
1	1.50	3.33	56.0	1.7	0.04			
2	1075.72	1016.29	43.1	3.8	18.41	11.0	12.1	
3	389.93	661.34	42.9	1.7	7.78			
4	0.08	0.99	42.6	1.3	6.95			
5	1.49	3.36	42.1	3.8	111.05	0.8	15.1	
6	388.32	665.56	42.0	2.1	9.60	0.7	19.8	
7	388.08	671 67	41 7	0.8	3 63			
8	431.85	620.46	41 7	0.8	1 48			29
9	387.87	667.89	41 3	0.4	0.50			29
10	0.01	0.09	41 3	13	26.10			
11	18.42	0.05	40.7	1.5	8 95			•••
12	17.26	1 79	40.5	1 3	2.63			
13	1 37	4 38	40.5	1.5	8.69			•••
14	1.07	1.50	40.5	5.1	70.99	0.9	1/ 9	•••
15	1.66	5.47	40.3	13	9.05	0.0	14.9	
16	1.00	11.64	40.5	1.5	20.91			
17	0.37	±1.04 E 22	40.0	2.5	20.01			•••
10	207 50	670.20	39.0	0.4	5.05		17.0	•••
10	307.30	670.30	39.3	4.2	3.33	0.0	1/.0	•••
19	386.67	0//.3/	38.9	3.0	1.25			
20	4.28	8.23	38.8	1.3	5.63			
21	3./8	7.50	38.8	0.8	3.91			
22	6.27	8.07	38.2	3.8	20.49	10.7	11.8	
23	9.72	16.39	37.8	2.1	11.11	12.8	11.4	
24	386./3	6/6./4	37.7	1.3	0.29			
25	7.97	13.24	37.5	1./	1.88			
26	9.20	14.11	36.9	1./	2.14			
27	786.55	1792.69	36.7	2.1	10.01	1.1	15.5	64
28	797.51	1750.03	36.2	8.0	0.56			65
29	783.13	1802.02	35.8	3.8	14.81	0.9	18.0	66
30	787.03	1794.17	35.5	5.1	91.10	0.4	12.5	63
31	328.54	2001.74	35.0	1.7	2.24	•••		71
32	796.47	1750.38	35.0	3.0	0.53			
33	416.12	600.11	34.6	4.2	11.55			
34	1.46	3.30	34.6	0.4	0.11			
35	0.02	0.02	34.6	0.4	0.06	•••		
36	6.21	8.51	34.6	0.4	80.0			
37	794.01	1751.23	34.5	0.4	2.10			
38	794.65	1750.92	34.1	0.4	0.23			79
39	295.92	2025.00	33.9	1.7	0.94			73
40	294.72	2025.82	33.8	2.5	4.43	5.4	5.0	76
41	293.69	2027.11	33.7	8.0	1.06			
42	331.95	2004.59	33.7	1.3	6.95	7.0	89.6	
43	325.76	1999.60	33.6	4.6	21.89	7.3	30.4	82
44	332.52	2004.95	33.3	8.0	4.25	12.9	79.2	
45	415.21	602.42	33.2	3.0	1.00			
46	334.25	2006.04	33.1	3.0	10.90	8.4	59.1	83
47	415.45	604.25	33.0	3.4	3.84			
48	324.56	1998.90	32.9	5.9	13.12			
49	324.99	1998.91	32.4	2.1	6.56	1.5	62.5	
50	795.87	1750.48	32.3	2.1	1.93			
51	326.65	1999.60	32.0	1.3	0.19			77
52	331.83	2004.52	31.2	0.4	0.05			
53	249.87	2079.93	30.4	4.6	4.02			91
54	228.02	2083.62	29.9	1.7	1.05			94
55	230.35	2083.38	29.9	0.8	0.44			98
56	229.26	2083.48	29.9	0.4	0.01			
57	227.05	2083.52	29.9	1.7	1.09			
58	325.72	1999.57	29.6	2.1	0.06			
59	795.39	1750.80	29.5	1.3	0.13			
60	503.83	2377.04	23.8	3.0	0.58			
61	205.57	1830.29	6.8	2.1	1.32			

Table 1: Param eters of the water maser features toward W 3 IRS 5.

¹ W ater m aser features detected toward W 3 IRS 5. The feature is designated as W 3 IRS 5:I2003 N, where N is the ordinal source num ber given ¹ this column (I2003 stands for sources found by Imai et al. and listed in 2003). ² Relative value with respect to the location of the position-reference maser feature: W 3 IRS 5:I2003 14.

 3 A channel velocity spacing multiplied by a number of detected m aser spots in the feature.

⁴ Fraction of linear polarization intensity to total intensity.

⁵ The feature identical to that found in Paper I, W 3 IR S 5:I2000 M, where M is the ordinal source number given in this column.



Relative R.A. offset (mas)

Fig. 1. Water maser features and compact radio continuum sources in W 3 IRS 5. A rrows indicate locations and proper motions of maser features, which were revealed by Paper I. The arrow length indicates the magnitude of the proper motion per year (325), respectively. The radio continuum sources, which were mapped by C laussen et al. (1994), are shown as contours. The designations the continuum sources are same as those in Tieffrunk et al. (1997). Two plus marks A and B indicate the locations of driving sources of the out ows in W 3 IRS 5, which were estimated by Paper I.



Fig. 2. Distribution of polarization degrees of maser features against their peak intensities.



Fig. 3. Distribution of EVPAs of maxer spots against their D oppler velocities. A led circle shows the maxer spot detected its linear polarization. Maxer spots in the same maxer feature are connected each other with a thick line.

{ 18 {



Fig. 4. Map of linearly-polarized maser features in W 3 IRS 5. (a): Locations of the maser features (lled circle) detected on 1998 November 21. Two plusmarks are the same as those in gure 1. The locations of the maser features were estimated with respect to the driving sources of the out ow s by assuming the stable distribution of clusters of maser features among observations made in the previous (Paper I) and the present works. The location uncertainty of the A out ow will be within 100 mas. (b)-(e): D etails of the feature distribution and the directions of linear polarization of the features. A length and a position angle of a bar indicate a logarithm is scale of the degree of linear polarization and an EVPA, respectively.



Fig. 5. Hourglass model of the magnetic eld in the W 3 IRS 5 region. The angle 2 is the angle of inclination with respect to the sky plane, 50 the position angle, A = B the pinch ratio (A = 5° , B = 35°) and FW HM = 10° the FW HM width of the the pinch at the location of the water m asers. The all of the de nitions are the same as those described in gure 7 of R obert et al. (1993). A square $(10^{0} \ 10^{0})$ in the overview panel indicates the area zoom ed up and described in other three sub-panels. Thick parts of the magnetic eld lines in the zoom ed-up front view sub-panel indicate the locations where the eld line have 85. The location and the area of the W 3 IRS 5 water maser position angles 65 РА_м region are indicated by a gray ellipse. The area center is located at $2^{\circ}5$, $0^{\circ}5$, and $2^{\circ}0$. in R A , decl., and line-of-sight o sets, respectively. The orientation of the ellipse major axis indicates the direction of the out ow found in the maser region (see gure 6 and 7 of Paper I). Thick parts of the magnetic eld lines in the zoom ed-up top and east-side views indicate the locations where the eld line have inclinations 45 jмj 60.