Detached white dwarfmain-sequence star binaries

B.W illem s[?] and U.Kolb

D epartm ent of P hysics and A stronom y, T he O pen U niversity, W alton H all, M ilton K eynes, M K 7 6A A, U K e-m ail: b-willems@northwestern.edu, U.C.Kolb@open.ac.uk

Received date; accepted date

Abstract. W e initiated a comprehensive state of the art binary population synthesis study of white dwarfm ainsequence star (W D M S) binaries to serve as a foundation for subsequent studies on pre-cataclysm ic variables, double white dwarfs, and white dwarf + B-star binaries. We considered seven distinct form ation channels subdivided into three main groups according to the evolutionary process that gives rise to the form ation of the white dwarf or its helium -star progenitor: dynam ically stable R oche-lobe over ow (A lgol-type evolution), dynam ically unstable Roche-lobe over ow (common-envelope evolution), or stellar winds (single star evolution). For each form ation channel, we exam ine the sensitivity of the population to changes in the amount of mass lost from the system during dynam ically stable Roche-lobe over ow, the common-envelope ejection e ciency, and the initial mass ratio or initial secondary mass distribution. In the case of a at initial mass ratio distribution, the local space density of WDMS binaries is of the order of 10³ pc³. This num ber decreases to 10⁴ pc³ when the initial m ass ratio distribution is approximately proportional to the inverse of the initial mass ratio. More than 75% of the WDMS binary population originates from wide systems in which both components essentially evolve as if they were single stars. The remaining part of the population is dom inated by system s in which the white dwarf is form ed in a common-envelope phase when the primary ascends the st giant branch or the asymptotic giant branch.W hen dynam ically stable mass transfer proceeds highly conservative and the common-envelope ejection process is very e cient, the birthrate of W DMS binaries form ing through a common-envelope phase is about 10 tim es larger than the birthrate of W DMS binaries form ing through a stable Roche-lobe over ow phase. The ratio of the num ber of helium white dwarf system s to the num ber of carbon/oxygen or oxygen/neon/m agnesium white dwarf system s derived from large samples of observed W DMS binaries by, e.g., future planet-search m issions such as SuperWASP, COROT, and Kepler m ay furtherm ore constrain the com m on-envelope ejection e ciency.

Key words. binaries: general { stars: evolution { stars: white dwarfs { m ethods: statistical

1. Introduction

In recent years, substantial numbers of detached white dwarfm ain-sequence star (W DM S) binaries have been detected in large-scale surveys searching for pre-cataclysm ic variables (H illw ig et al. 2000, R aym ond et al. 2003), double degenerates (Sa er et al. 1998), low -m ass white dwarfs (M arsh et al. 1995, M axted et al. 2000), planetary nebulae (B ond & Livio 1990, Livio 1992), or even the dark m atter content of the G alaxy's heavy halo (Silvestri et al. 2002). U pcom ing surveys looking for transiting extrasolar planets are furtherm ore expected to contribute further to this rapidly growing database (Farm er & A gol 2003). So far, the m a prity of W DM S binaries found consist of a white dwarf with a low -m ass com panion. W hite dwarfs in binaries with m ain-sequence secondaries m ore m assive than 0:3M are generally harder to detect due to the large lum inosity di erence between the component stars (Iben et al.1997, M arsh 2000). Despite this obstacle, several claim s have recently been m ade on the possible detection of white dwarfbinaries with bright companions of spectral types as early as B (e) (e.g. Holberg et al. 1998, Vennes et al. 1998, Burleigh & Barstow 2000, Burleigh et al. 2001, Shobbrook et al. 2003; and references therein).

W DMS binaries with early B (e)-type companions more massive than 10M are thought to be the end product of binaries in which a giant-type star transfers mass to its initially less massive companion. The masstransfer phase is responsible for exposing the giant's core as a white dwarf and for increasing the mass of the secondary so that it evolves towards earlier spectral types. In addition, transport of angular momentum may spin the secondary up to form a rapidly rotating Be star (W aters et al. 1988, Pols et al. 1991). The predicted number of W DMS binaries forming in this way is, however, rather small (e.g. de Kool & Ritter 1993, Figs. 2 and 4) which

Send o print requests to:B.W illem s

[?] P resent address: N orthwestern U niversity, D epartment of P hysics and A stronom y, 2145 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208, U SA

m ay pose a problem if the recent claims on the detection of white dwarf + B-star binaries are con med. In addition, as mass transfer is expected to increase the orbital period it is hard to explain the form ation of short-period white dwarf + early B-star candidates such as HD 161103 ($P_{orb} = 4:7$ days, Shobbrook et al. 2003) through this evolutionary channel.

The wealth of existing and expected new data combined with new up-to-date stellar evolution tools (see Hurley et al. 2000) render the W DMS binaries a potentially fruitful subject of a comprehensive binary population synthesis study. A system atic exploration of the formation channels in particular may shed new insights on the origin of short-period white dwarf + B-star binaries. O ther interesting subclasses of detached W DMS binaries include pre-cataclysm ic variables and progenitors of double degenerates.

To the best of our know ledge, de K ool & R itter (1993) were the rst to devote an entire study to the population of W D M S binaries as a class on its own. The authors used a M onte C arlo type simulation in which the initial binary parameters were random ly generated from observed orbital period, prim ary mass, and secondary mass distributions; and the evolution of the binaries was approximated by analytical ts to detailed stellar evolution tracks as described by Politano (1988) and de K ool (1992). The m ethod resulted in distribution functions for the form ation rates and space densities of detached W DM S binaries (or their direct progenitors) as a function of their expected orbital and stellar parameters.

In this paper, our aim is to update the population synthesis study by de K col& R itter (1993) by using the m ore recent and m uch m ore detailed analytical ts to stellar evolution derived by H urley et al. (2000). We study in m ore detail the di erences between the evolutionary channels leading to the form ation of W D M S binaries, and derive the form ation rates and absolute num bers of system s for each of the form ation channels separately. In addition, we consider a m uch m ore elaborate set of population synthesis m odels characterised by di erent assum ptions about the fate of the m ass transferred during dynam ically stable R oche-lobe over ow, di erent com m onenvelope ejection e ciencies, and di erent initialm ass ratio or secondary m ass distributions.

O ur m ethod furtherm ore di ers from that by de K ool & R itter (1993) in the implem entation of the observed distributions of the initial masses and orbital periods: instead of random ly generating them from the observed distribution functions at the onset of the binary evolution calculations, we start our simulations from a logarithm ically spaced 3-dim ensional grid of initial masses and orbital periods in which each set of binary param eters has an equal probability. The likelihood of the di erent initial binary param eters is then taken into account by weighting the contribution of each W DM S binary found in the simulations according to the adopted prim ary mass distribution, initial mass ratio or secondary mass distribution, and initial orbital period distribution. In doing so, we obtain a better and m ore uniform sampling of the entire parameter space, which particularly bene ts the resolution of low density tails in the distribution functions describing the m asses and orbital periods of W D M S binary components. This procedure also allow sus to vary the distribution functions for the initial m asses and orbital periods w ithout having to repeat the binary evolution calculations.

The results of this study are intended to serve as a foundation for more focused population synthesis studies of white dwarf+ B-starbinaries (W illem s et al., in preparation), double white dwarfs (W illem s et al., in preparation), and pre-cataclysm ic variables. Hence, we here concentrate on the e ect and the uncertainties associated with the di erent model parameters in general. In subsequent papers we hope to constrain these uncertainties by com paring our results with di erent types of W DMS binaries and W DMS binary descendants. The results presented here therefore do not necessarily represent the best possible t to observationally derived binary parameters.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present the basic ingredients and the assumptions adopted in the binary population synthesis calculations. In Section 3, we describe the di erent evolutionary channels leading to the form ation of W DMS binaries as well as the ranges of stellar m asses and orbital periods available to each formation channel. Section 4 deals with the effects of varying degrees of non-conservative mass transfer and di erent com m on-envelope ejection e ciencies on the population of W DMS binaries. In Section 5, we estimate the order of m agnitude of the form ation rates and the expected number of WDMS binaries currently populating the Galaxy. Section 6 brie y addresses the expected lum inosity di erences between the white dwarf and its com panion, as well as their expected orbital radial-velocity variations. A brief sum m ary of our results and som e concluding rem arks are presented in Section 7.

2.Basic concepts and assum ptions

2.1. The population synthesis code

W e use the B iSEPS binary population synthesis code introduced by W illem s & Kolb (2002) to study the form ation of detached white dwarfm ain-sequence star binaries. The code uses the single star evolution form ulae derived by Hurley et al. (2000) and follows the main steps of the binary evolution scheme outlined by Hurley et al. (2002). A ll binary orbits are treated as circular and stellar rotation rates are kept synchronised with the orbitalm otion at alltim es. For the purpose of this investigation, we furtherm ore lim it ourselves to Population I stellar com positions.

W hen a binary component over ows its Roche-lobe, the stability of the ensuing mass-transfer phase is determined by means of the radius-mass exponents introduced by W ebbink (1985). M ass transfer taking place on the dynamical time scale of the donor star is assumed to lead to a common-envelope (CE) phase during which the orbital separation is reduced and the envelope is expelled from the system . The phase is modelled in the usual way by equating the binding energy of the donor star's envelope to the change in the orbital energy of the binary components. The orbital separation a_f at the end of the commonenvelope phase is then related to the orbital separation a_i at the beginning of the phase as

$$\frac{a_{f}}{a_{i}} = \frac{M_{c} = M_{1}}{1 + 2M_{e} = (M_{2 CE} - r_{L})};$$
(1)

Here G is the gravitational constant, M $_{\rm C}$ and M $_{\rm e}$ are the core and envelopem assofthe Roche-lobe over owing star, M $_1$ = M $_{\rm C}$ + M $_{\rm e}$ is the total mass of the donor star, M $_2$ is the mass of the companion, and $r_{\rm L}$ = R $_{\rm L}$ =ai is the radius of the donor star's Roche lobe in units of the orbital separation at the start of the common-envelope phase. For the binding-energy parameter and the common-envelope ejection e ciency $_{\rm CE}$, we adopt the commonly used values = 0.5 and $_{\rm CE}$ = 1.0 (e.g. de Kool1992, Politano 1996).

In the case of dynam ically stable m ass transfer, a fraction 1 $_{\rm R\,LO\,F}$ of the transferred m ass is assumed to be accreted by the donor star's companion, while the remaining fraction $_{\rm R\,LO\,F}$ is lost from the system carrying away the speci c orbital angular m on entum of the companion. The amount of angular m on entum carried away by matter leaving the system during non-conservative R oche-lobe over ow is, how ever, still an unresolved issue, so that this quantity is e ectively a free parameter (see, e.g., the appendix in K olb et al. 2001).

For non-degenerate accretors, we set

$$1_{RLOF} = m in 10 \frac{M}{HK;a}; 1;$$
(2)

where $_{M_{-}}$ is the mass-transfer time scale of the donor and $_{HK;a}$ is the thermal time scale of the accretor.W ith this prescription, the mass-transfer phase is conservative as long as $_{HK;a} < 10 _{M_{-}}$ (see also, for example, Iben & Tutukov 1987, Pols et al. 1991, Hurley et al. 2002). A corretion onto white dwarfs is assumed to be fully nonconservative so that $_{RLOF} = 1$ and $M_{-WD} = 0$, where M_{WD} is the mass of the white dwarf. This assumption does not a ect the formation of detached W DM S binaries, but may in uence the fate of their descendants when the main-sequence star becomes larger than its critical R oche lobe.W e do not deal with neutron star and black hole accretors in this investigation.

For m ore details on the treatment of m ass-loss and m ass-accretion in the B iSEPS code we refer to W illem s & K olb (2002).

2.2. Initialm asses and orbital periods

W e start our population synthesis study by evolving a large num ber of binaries initially consisting of two zero-age m ain-sequence stars with a m ass between 0:1 and 30M and an orbital period between 0:1 and 100000 days. The initial prim ary and secondary m asses, M $_1$ and M $_2$, and the

initial orbital periods $P_{\rm orb}$ are taken from a grid consisting of 60 logarithm ically spaced stellar masses and 300 logarithm ically spaced orbital periods. The maximum evolutionary age considered for each binary is 10 G yr. For sym - metry reasons only binaries with M $_1$ > M $_2$ are evolved.

The number of systems following a sequence of evolutionary phases similar to those of a given binary in our simulated sample is determined by the probability of the binary's initial parameters, by the star formation rate at the birth of the zero-agemain-sequence binary, and by the fraction of stars in binaries. We assume the initial primary masses to be distributed according to the normalised initialmass function $(M F)^1$

$$(M_{1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & M_{1} = M < 0:1; \\ 0:38415M_{1}^{1} & 0:1 & M_{1} = M < 0:75; \\ 0:23556M_{1}^{2:7} & 0:75 & M_{1} = M < 1; \end{cases}$$

the initialm ass ratios $q = M_2 = M_1$ according to 8

0

$$h(q) = \begin{cases} < q & 0 < q & 1; \\ : & 0 & q > 1; \end{cases}$$
(4)

and the initial orbital separations a according to

(a) =
$$\begin{pmatrix} \circ \\ < 0 \\ : 0:078636a^{1} 3 a=R 10^{6}; \\ : 0:078636a^{1} 3 a=R 10^{6}; \end{pmatrix}$$
 (5)

In Eq. (4), is a constant and a normalisation factor depending on .U nless stated otherwise, we set = 0 and = 1. The upper limit of 10^6 R in the distribution of the initial orbital separations is larger than in our previous investigations in order to properly take into account the contribution of very wide systems to the population of W DMS binaries. For more details and references on the adopted distribution functions, we refer to W illems & K olb (2002).

W e furtherm ore assume all stars to be in binaries and adopt a constant star-form ation rate S calibrated so that one binary with M₁ > 0.8 M is born in the Galaxy each year (see also Iben & Tutukov 1984, Han et al. 1995, Hurley et al. 2002). W hen combined with an e ective Galactic volume of 5 10^{11} pc³, this yields an average local birthrate of Galactic white dwarfs of 2 10^{12} pc³ yr¹, which is consistent with observations (W eidem ann 1990). From this calibration, it follows that

S

$$(M_1) dM_1 = 1;$$
 (6)

so that S = 4.9 yr^1 . This rate m ay be converted into an approximate local star formation rate (expressed in

¹ W e note that the adopted \mathbb{M} F is a sim pli ed version of the \mathbb{M} F by K roupa et al. (1993). The sim pli cation is introduced because of the still existing uncertainties in the \mathbb{M} F for bw-m ass stars (see, e.g., Scalo 1998, K roupa 2001). Its e ect on our results is sm all in comparison to the overall uncertainties of the population synthesis m odels.

pc 3 yr 1) by dividing it by 5 10^{11} pc 3 . As the star formation rate may have been higher in the past, the calibration of the rate to match the observationally inferred current birthrate of G alactic white dwarfs may yield an underestimate of the number of binaries with old component stars (Boissier & Prantzos 1999).

3.Form ation channels

Stars in close binaries can evolve into white dwarfs either through the loss of their envelope by the action of a stellar wind or by mass transfer resulting from dynamically stable or unstable R oche-lobe over ow . For brevity, we refer to binaries that do not undergo mass-transfer episodes as non-interacting binaries, even if some mass exchange and orbital evolution takes place due to the action of a stellar wind. B inaries in which a white dwarf or its direct progenitor is formed as the end product of mass transfer are referred to as interacting binaries. In what follows, we divide the latter group according to the stability of the mass-transfer phase and according to the rem nant it leaves behind.

Since detached W DMS binaries constitute an important interm ediary phase in the form ation of many more exotic binary systems such as cataclysmic variables and double degenerates, some of the form ation channels described below have been partially discussed before, albeit maybe in lesser detailand in di erent contexts. The evolution of binaries with low - to interm ediate-m ass component stars has been studied in detail by, e.g., Iben & Tutukov (1985, 1986a, 1987), van der Linden (1987), de Loore & Vanbeveren (1995), Langer et al. (2000), Han et al. (2000), N elson & Eggleton (2001), Chen & Han (2002, 2003); and references therein.

3.1. D ynam ically stable m ass transfer

3.1.1. Case B RLOF with a white dwarf rem nant

The rst form ation channel applies to initial binaries consisting of two low -m ass m ain-sequence stars with orbital periods that are too short to allow the prim ary to evolve on the giant branch without over owing its Roche lobe. M ost of the system s initiate m ass transfer on the therm al time scale of the donor star when it approaches the end of the m ain sequence or when it crosses the H ertzsprung gap. O noe the m ass ratio is inverted, m ass transfer generally slows down and continues as the donor star ascends the

rst giant branch. In m ost cases, the case B m ass-transfer phase is m ore important for determ ining the subsequent evolution than the initial case A phase. For brevity, we therefore simply refer to the case B phase as the phase characterising the form ation channel.

Since the therm al time scale of the accreting companion is of the same order of magnitude as that of the donor star, the mass-transfer phase is highly conservative [see Eq. (2)]. The secondary's mass and the orbital period may therefore increase substantially with respect to



F ig.1.Schem atic representation of the m ain evolutionary phases leading to the form ation of a W DMS binary via evolutionary channel 1 (see text for details). M S stands form ain sequence, HG for Hertzsprung gap, GB for giant branch, and W D for white dwarf.

their values at the onset of the R oche-bbe over ow phase. The m ass-transfer phase term inates when the giant's entire envelope is transferred to the com panion, exposing its helium core as a low-m ass white dwarf. The main evolutionary phases characterising this form ation channel are sum m arised schem atically in Fig. 1. For future reference, we label this channel as channel 1.

The two-dimensional probability distribution functions (PDFs) describing the regions of the (M₁;P_{orb})and the (M₂;P_{orb})-planes occupied by binaries evolving through form ation channel 1 are displayed in the upper panels of Figs. 2 and 3. The left-hand panels of the gures show the distributions of the W DM S binary progenitors at the beginning of their evolution as zero-age mainsequence binaries, while the right-hand panels show the distributions of the W DM S binaries at the time of their form ation. For the construction of the plots, only binaries contributing to the present-day G alactic population are taken into account². The distributions are norm alised so that the bin containing the largest contribution to the population has a PDF value equal to one. In order to show the maximum amount of detail this norm alisation

 $^{^2}$ N ote that our purpose here is to exam ine the form ation space of W DMS binaries. The plots are therefore not fully representative for the present-day population of short-period system s (P_{orb} < 1 day) which m ay have undergone signi cant orbital shrinkage due to m agnetic braking and/or gravitational radiation after their form ation. However, even though the orbital evolution is not shown in the gures, the nite life time of the system s resulting from the orbital evolution and/or the nuclear evolution of the Sciencary is taken into account in the determ ination of the W DMS binaries currently populating the G alaxy.



F ig.2.D istribution of present-day W DMS binaries in the (M $_1$; P_{ob})-plane at the beginning of the progenitors' evolution (left-hand panels) and at the beginning of the W DMS binary phase (right-hand panels). The distributions are normalised so that for each form ation channel the bin containing the largest contribution to the population has a PDF value equal to one.

is performed separately for each of the formation channels considered. The relative importance of the di erent formation channels will be illustrated in Section 3.4 and addressed in more detail in Section 5.

The initial orbital periods of the binaries evolving through form ation channel 1 typically range from 0.5 to 3 days. Binaries with shorter orbital periods tend to converge before the primary is able to evolve into a white dwarfdue to the angularm on entum losses caused by magnetic braking and/or gravitational radiation (Pylyser & Savonije 1988, 1989). System s with longer orbital periods on the other hand have som ew hat too evolved donor stars to initiate a dynam ically stable R oche-lobe over ow phase. Instead, they undergo a com m on envelope phase which, in view of the short initial periods, generally results in the m erger of the donor star's core with its m ain-sequence com panion.

The initial prim ary and secondary masses available to form ation channel 1 are limited to the intervals given by and $M_2 < 3M$. The primary mass < M 1 < 5M 1 M intervalarises from the dual requirem ent that the prim ary must be massive enough to evolve away from the zero-age m ain sequence within the imposed age lim it of 10 G yr, but not so massive that its core mass at the end of the masstransferphase is high enough to ignite helium in its central layers. In our model, stars more massive than 2:5M are still able to evolve into helium white dwarfs due to the reduction in m ass caused by the therm altim e scale m asstransfer phase on the main sequence. The upper lim it of on the secondary m ass is in posed by the secondary's 3M m ain-sequence life time which needs to be long enough to allow the primary to evolve into a white dwarf before the secondary leaves the main sequence. Since the life time of a star on the main sequence decreases with increasing values of its m ass, conservative m ass transfer here yields a com petitive race between the form ation of the white dwarf and the accelerating evolution of the secondary. A sim ilar behaviour can be deduced from the evolutionary scenarios described by Iben & Tutukov (1985).

The form ation channel eventually gives rise to W DMS binaries consisting of a 0:1 0:4 M He white dwarf and a main-sequence star with a mass up to 6M . Due to the stable mass-transfer phase on the giant branch the orbital periods may be as long as 100 days. The majority of the new ly form ed W DMS binaries have an orbital period which is correlated with the mass of the white dwarf. The correlation arises during the mass-transfer phase on the giant branch where the radius of the giant, which is approxim ately equal to the radius of its R oche-lobe, is determ ined by the mass of its core. The same relation gives rise to the well-known correlation between the white dwarf m assand the orbital period in wide binary millisecond pulsars (see, e.g., Joss et al. 1987, Savonije 1987, Rappaport et al. 1995, Ritter 1999, Tauris & Savonije 1999). The sm all num ber of W DM S binaries occupying the region below the P_{orb} M $_{W D}$ relation correspond to therm altime scale m ass-transfer system s for w hich the prim ary already lost most its envelope prior to the stable mass-transfer phase on the giant branch. The orbital periods of the new ly form ed W DMS binaries furtherm ore increase with increasing mass of the secondary. This relation arises from the narrow range of initial orbital periods and secondary m asses available to the form ation channel and from the increase of the orbital period with the amount of m ass transferred during the conservative Roche-lobe over ow phase on the giant branch.

3.1.2. Case B RLOF with a naked helium star rem nant

Binaries evolving through the second formation channel start their evolution with more massive component stars and somewhat longer orbital periods than those in channel 1. They again undergo a highly conservative case B m ass-transfer phase, possibly preceded by a short case A phase during the nal stages of the primary's evolution on the main sequence. Since the initial primary masses are higher and the initial orbital periods are longer than in channel1, the core of the Roche-lobe lling giant is now massive enough to ignite helium in its central layers so that a naked helium star is form ed instead of a white dwarf. During the subsequent evolution, the naked helium star at som e point loses its envelope either through the action of a stellar wind or through a short second phase of stable Roche-lobe over ow . The outcom e in both cases is a relatively wide binary consisting of a C /O or O /N e/M g white dwarf and an interm ediate- to high-mass main-sequence secondary. The main evolutionary phases of this form ation channel are sum marised schematically in Fig. 4.We will refer to the channel as channel 2.

From the middle panels of Figs. 2 and 3, it follows that most of the W DMS binaries evolving through form ation channel 2 originate from binaries with initial orbital periods between 2 and 130 days, initial primary masses between 2M and 12M, and initial secondary masses smaller than 11M. We note that there is a small num - ber of system swith primary masses up to 20M for which highly conservative mass transfer results in the form ation of secondaries with masses up to 30M. However, since it is uncertain whether or not a white dwarfm ay be form ed from primaries with such high initial masses, we do not include these systems in the following discussion.

The lim its on the range of initial orbital periods result from the evolutionary stage of the prim ary at the onset of the rst Roche-lobe over ow phase. For binaries with initial orbital periods shorter than 2 days, the core of the prim ary emerges from the mass-transfer phase as a low -m ass naked helium star with a helium -burning life time that is too long for it to form a white dwarf before the secondary leaves the main sequence. Binaries with initial orbital periods longer than 130 days on the other hand have signi cantly evolved donor stars with deep convective envelopes. They are therefore subjected to a com mon-envelope phase instead of to a therm al time scale mass-transfer phase.

The lower limit of 2M on the mass of the primary stems from the requirement that the starm ust be able to

B.W illem s and U.Kolb: D etached white dwarfm ain-sequence star binaries



F ig.3.D istribution of present-day W DMS binaries in the (M $_2$; P_{orb})-plane at the beginning of the progenitors' evolution (left-hand panels) and at the beginning of the W DMS binary phase (right-hand panels). The distributions are normalised in the same way as in Fig.2.

B.W illem s and U.Kolb: D etached white dwarfm ain-sequence star binaries





Fig.5. As Fig. 1, but for formation channel 3. CHeB stands for core helium burning on the horizontal branch.

F ig.4.AsFig.1, but for form ation channel2.nHe stands for naked helium star.

develop a su ciently massive core capable of igniting helium at the end of the stable mass-transfer phase on the giant branch. The upper limit of 12M corresponds to the highest mass for which a star subjected to mass loss may evolve into a white dwarf rather than into a neutron star (e.g. van den Heuvel 1981, Law & Ritter 1983). The lower and upper limits on the secondary mass result from the requirement that mass transfer from the primary is dynamically stable and from our convention that the secondary is initially less massive than the primary.

At the tim e of form ation, the W DMS binaries form ing through form ation channel 2 typically consist of a 0:65 1:44 M C/O or O/N e/M g white dwarf and a 1 20 M m ain-sequence star. The orbital periods range from 10 to 1000 days, with the bulk of the system s occupying a rather narrow band of orbital periods around $P_{\rm orb}$ 200 days.

3.1.3. Case C RLOF with a white dwarf rem nant

The third evolutionary channel applies to binaries with initial orbits wide enough to allow the primary to evolve to the bottom of the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) without over owing its Roche lobe. As it ascends the AGB, a strong stellar wind decreases the primary's mass below 2/3 of the mass of its companion so that when it nally does llits Roche-lobe, the ensuing mass-transfer phase is dynamically stable (e.g.W ebbink et al. 1983). When mass transfer ends, any remaining surface layers are quickly rem oved by the wind, leading to the exposure of the AGB star's C /O or O /N e/M g core as a white dwarf. The orbital period of the new ly form ed W DM S binary m ay be substantially longer than the initial binary period due to the com bined e ect of the stellar w ind and the stable m asstransfer phase on the AGB. The m ain evolutionary phases follow ed by a binary evolving through this form ation channel are sum m arised schem atically in Fig. 5.W e w ill refer to the channel as form ation channel 3.

The m iddle panels of Figs. 2 and 3 show that the binaries evolving through form ation channel 3 have initial orbital periods between 600 and 10000 days. In binaries with shorter orbital periods the AGB wind has insucient time to decrease the mass ratio below 2/3 before the primary lls its Roche lobe. The resulting mass-transfer phase is then dynamically unstable and leads to the form ation of a common envelope (see Section 32.3). Binaries with initial orbital periods longer than 10000 days are too wide to interact.

The initial prim ary and secondary m asses range from 1M to 8M, sim ilar to the mass range leading to the form ation of white dwarfs by single stars. This conform ity arises because the prim ary's Roche-lobe over ow phase does not take place until the very late stages of the AGB (see also Iben & Tutukov 1985). The fact that the mass ratio needs to drop below 2/3 furtherm ore implies that the initial secondary mass generally cannot be too much smaller than the initial prim ary mass.

The form ation channel eventually gives rise to W DMS binaries consisting of a 0.5 1.44 M C/O or O/N e/M g white dwarf and a 1 8 M main-sequence star revolving around each other with a period of 2000 to 20000 days.

3.2. D ynam ically unstable m ass transfer

B.W illem s and U.Kolb: D etached white dwarfm ain-sequence star binaries



Fig.6.AsFig.1, but for form ation channel4.

32.1. Case B CE phase with a white dwarf rem nant

The fourth evolutionary channel is characterised by a dynam ically unstable mass-transfer phase from a low-mass giant-branch star. A sthe secondary plunges into the donor star's rapidly expanding envelope, the orbit shrinks and orbital energy is transferred to the envelope until it is expelled from the system. At the end of the phase, the core of the Roche-lobe lling giant is exposed as a helium white dwarf which orbits the main-sequence secondary with a drastically reduced orbital separation. The main evolutionary phases com prising this form ation channel are sum marised schem atically in Fig. 6. W e will refer to the channel as channel 4.

It follows from the middle panels of Figs. 2 and 3 that the system's evolving through form ation channel 4 have initial orbital periods between 30 and 1000 days. B inaries with initial orbital periods shorter than 30 days do not survive the common-envelope phase evoked by the prim ary, while binaries with orbital periods longer than 1000 days do not undergo Roche-lobe over ow until the prim ary reaches the AGB. The lim its on the initial prim ary and secondary mass ranges, $1M < M_1 < 2M$ and M $_2$ < 2M , arise for sim ilar reasons as those in form ation channel 1 (see Section 3.1.1). The upper limits on M $_1$ and M $_2$ are here som ew hat sm aller because the longer initial orbital periods allow a primary of a given mass to reach a more evolved evolutionary state and thus to develop a more massive helium core than the same primary in a shorter-period binary. The mass and period ranges of binaries surviving the common-envelope phase in this evolutionary channel are in excellent agreem ent with the three-dim ensional hydrodynam ical sim ulations perform ed by Sandquist et al. (2000).

The form ation channel results in W DMS binaries consisting of a 0:3 0.5 M He white dwarf and a main-sequence starw ith a mass up to 2 M . The orbital period takes values between 0.05 and 30 days. The majority of the systems have $P_{\rm orb}$ < 3 days, M $_{\rm W \, D}$ 0:4 M and M $_2$ < 1 M .



Fig.7.AsFig.1, but for form ation channel5.

3.2.2. Case B CE phase with a naked helium star remnant

In the ffh evolutionary channel, a common-envelope phase occurs when an interm ediate-to high-m ass prim ary crosses the Hertzsprung-gap or ascends the rst giant branch. The core of the prim ary emerges from the spiralin phase as a low-mass naked helium starwhich orbits the main-sequence secondary with an orbital period of the order of a few days. The following few million years, the prim ary burns helium in its core until it loses its surface layers in a stellar wind or until it over ows its Roche lobe a second time when helium is exhausted in the core. In either case, the prim ary evolves into a C/O or O/Ne/Mq white dwarf orbiting an intermediate-to high-massmainsequence secondary. The main evolutionary phases characterising this form ation channel are sum m arised schem atically in Fig. 7.W e will refer to this channel as form ation channel5.

The upper panels of Figs. 2 and 3 show that the form ation channel applies to binaries with initial orbital periods between 10 and 1000 days, initial primary masses between 4 M and 12M , and initial secondary masses between . Stars in binaries with orbital periods 1 M and 11M shorter then 10 days are so close that Roche-lobe overow from the primary usually already occurs before it has developed a deep convective envelope, so that m ass transfer tends to be dynam ically stable (see form ation channel 2, Section 3.1.2). Binaries with periods longer than 1000 days on the other hand allow the primary to evolve beyond the rst giant branch without lling its Roche lobe. The gap in the initial period range around 100 days separates systems initiating mass transfer in the Hertzsprung gap from those initiating mass transfer on the

giant branch. M ass transfer from Hertzsprung-gap donor stars often starts of as a therm altim e scale m ass-transfer phase which evolves into a common-envelope phase as the donor star approaches the giant branch. The survival of these systems depends strongly on the ability of the therm al time scale mass-transfer phase to decrease the primary's mass su ciently before the onset of the com mon-envelope phase. The gap between system s with Hertzsprung-gap and giant-branch donor stars is related to the behaviour of the adiabatic radius-m ass exponents tabulated by H jellm ing (1989). The large values found by H jellm ing (1989) near the transition phase where the star starts to develop a deep convective envelope yield a sm all window in the parameter space where the mass-transfer phase is dynam ically stable, so that a di erent evolutionary scenario ensues (e.g. form ation channel2). How ever, in view of the still existing uncertainties in the detailed m odelling of this transition phase, the associated values of the adiabatic radius-m ass exponents are also quite uncertain. The occurrence of the gap m ay therefore be an artifact of the stability criterion separating systems undergoing dynam ically stable Roche-lobe over ow from those undergoing dynam ically unstable Roche-lobe over ow . The origin of the lim its on the prim ary mass range is similar to that of the limits found for formation channel 2 (Section 3.1.2). The lower limit of 1M on the secondary mass corresponds to the sm allest com panion m ass for which the binary is able to avoid a m erger.

At the time of form ation, the W DMS binaries form ing through form ation channel 5 consist of a 0.65 1.44 M C/O or O/Ne/Mg white dwarf and a 2 13 M main-sequence star orbiting each other with a period of 0.5 to 20 days. The high secondary masses result from mass accretion during the second Roche-lobe over ow phase of the primary.

323. Case C CE phase with a naked helium star or a white dwarf remnant

Similar to formation channel 3, the initial orbital separations of the binaries following the sixth evolutionary channel are wide enough to avoid any type of Roche-lobe over ow until the prim ary reaches the AGB. How ever, the prim ary here lls its critical Roche lobe before the wind has a chance to reduce the prim ary's mass below 2/3 that of its com panion so that the resulting m ass-transfer phase is now dynamically unstable. The binary emerges from the ensuing common-envelope phase as a W DMS binary consisting of a C/O or O/Ne/Mg white dwarf, the form er core of the AGB prim ary, and a low-to interm ediatem ass m ain-sequence star. If the common-envelope phase takes place early on the AGB, the C /O $\,$ or O /N e/M g core may retain a thin helium envelope which is subsequently stripped away by a Wolf-Rayet type stellar wind. The m ain evolutionary phases occurring in the form ation channel are sum m arised schem atically in Fig. 8.W e will refer to this channel as form ation channel 6.



Fig.8.AsFig.1, but for form ation channel6.

From the bottom panels of Figs. 2 and 3 it follows that the systems evolving through form ation channel 6 originate from binaries with initial orbital periods between 60 and 6500 days, initial prim ary masses between 1M and 9M, and initial secondary masses smaller than or equal to 8M. The lower limit on the orbital period range stems from the requirement that the binaries survive the common-envelope phase, while the upper limit corresponds to the longest orbital period for which the prim ary lls its Roche lobe on the AGB. The limits on the prim ary and secondary masses arise for similar reasons as those in channel 3 (see Section 3.1.3).

The W D M S binaries form ing through form ation channel6 typically consist of a 0.5 1:44 M $\,$ C/O or O/N e/M g white dwarf and a main-sequence star with a mass up to 8M . The orbital period ranges from 0.05 to 2000 days, where the long-period tail corresponds to system s in which the envelope mass of the primary is negligible in comparison to its core mass. A similar long-period tail was found by de K col & Ritter (1993, see their Fig. 2a). M ost W D M S binaries form ing through this channel have M $_{\rm W D}$ 0.5 0:6M , M $_2$ $^{<}$ 2M , and P $_{\rm orb}$ $^{<}$ 20 days.

3.3. Non-interacting system s

The last form ation channel is the most straightforw and one as it represents the non-interacting W DMS binaries. The initial orbital periods of these systems are wide enough for the two stars to evolve in much the same way as they would if they were single. Their contribution to the population is therefore independent of the assumptions adopted for the treatment of mass transfer in sem i-detached binaries, so that they may provide a convenient means to renorm alise our results for comparison with observations and with other authors.

The two-dimensional distribution functions describing the population of WDMS binaries forming without interacting are displayed in the upper panels of Figs. 2 and 3. The initial orbital periods are typically longer than 400 days, while the initial prim ary and secondary m asses range from 1M to 9M and from 1M to 8M, respectively. At the time of form ation, most binaries have $M_{\rm W\,D}$ 0.5 0.6M and M_2 < 2M. The nal orbital periods m ay be substantially longer than the initial ones due to the action the stellar wind responsible for exposing the prim ary's core as a C/O or O/N e/M g white dwarf.

3.4. The entire population

In order to get an idea of the relative importance of the di erent form ation channels, the form ation space of all W DMS binaries forming through channels 1{7 is shown in Fig. 9 without renorm alising the contributions of the di erent channels as in Figs. 2 and 3. The population is then clearly dom inated by wide non-interacting systems with low-mass main-sequence stars evolving through formation channel 7. The second largest group consists of systems with low-mass main sequence stars and periods in the range from 1 to 10 days originating from channels 4 and 6. The dom inance of W DMS binaries with wide noninteracting progenitors furtherm ore im plies that the maprity of the systems contain a C/O white dwarf with a m ass around 0:6M . System s with white dw arfm asses higher than 0**:**8M or secondary masses higher than are relatively rare. This is further illustrated by the 2 M one-dimensional distribution functions for M $_{\rm W\ D}$, M $_2$, and Porb displayed in Fig. 10. The bim odal nature of the orbital period distribution is in good agreem ent with Fig. 5 ofde Kool & Ritter (1993).

W e will quantify the relative contributions of the different form ation channels in m ore detail in Section 5.

4. The e ect of $_{RLOF}$ and $_{CE}$

The properties of the population of WDMS binaries form ing through the evolutionary channels described in Section 3 m ay depend sensitively on the assumptions underlying the binary evolution calculations. The two main uncertainties applying to the discussed form ation channels are the amount of mass accreted by a norm al-type star during episodes of stable Roche-lobe over ow and the treatment of the common-envelope phase resulting from dynam ically unstable Roche-lobe over ow. In order to assess how these uncertainties a ect the WDMS binary population, we repeated the calculations presented in Section 3 for di erent m ass-accretion param eters RLOF and common-envelope ejection e ciencies CE. The values of RLOF and CE adopted in the di erent population synthesism odels are listed in Table 1.0 ur standard m odel (model A) corresponds to the assumptions described and used in Sections 2 and 3.

The e ects of $_{RLOF}$ and $_{CE}$ on the parameter space occupied by W DM S progenitors at the start of their evolution and by W DM S binaries at the time of their form ation are sum marised in Table 2.As in Figs. 2 and 3, only bina-

la	b	le i	1.	Ρ	opu	lati	on	synt	hesi	sm	ode	el j	param	eters	•
----	---	------	----	---	-----	------	----	------	------	----	-----	------	-------	-------	---

m odel	RLOF	CE
A	Eq.(2)	1.0
G 0	0.0	1.0
G 025	0.25	1.0
G 05	0.5	1.0
G 075	0.75	1.0
G1	1.0	1.0
CE1	Eq.(2)	0.2
CE3	Eq.(2)	0.6
CE6	Eq.(2)	3.0
CE8	Eq.(2)	5.0

ries contributing to the present-day G alactic population are taken into account. In order to focus on the bulk of the system's forming through each formation channel, the m ass and period ranges quoted are limited to bins containing at least 1% of the channel's contribution to the W D M S binary population. In the case of model A, the extent of the parameter space listed in the table is therefore somewhat more restricted than in Section 3.W e also note that by simply quoting the minimum and maximum values of M₁, M₂, and P_{orb} we neglect the deviations of the high-density regions in the parameter space from a simple 'rectangular' shape.

Since the mass-accretion parameter $_{\rm RLOF}$ determines the amount of mass and thus the amount of orbital anqular momentum lost from the system during episodes of dynam ically stable Roche-lobe over ow, the param eter directly a ects the evolution of W DMS binaries forming through form ation channels 1{3. The parameter also indirectly a ects the form ation of W DMS binaries through channels 4{6 because it enters the stability criterion separating dynamically stable from dynamically unstable Roche-lobe over ow systems (for details see, e.g., the appendix in Kolb et al. 2001). The dependency of the criterion on $_{RLOF}$ is such than an increase in $_{RLOF}$ generally increases the critical mass ratio $Q_c = M_{donor} = M_{accretor}$ separating dynam ically stable ($Q < Q_c$) from dynam ically unstable ($Q > Q_c$) Roche-lobe over owing system s³. As we will see, the overalle ect of the change in the stability criterion on the population is, however, rather sm all.

From Table 2, it follows that the parameter space occupied by the bulk of the W DMS binaries and their progenitors is fairly robust to changes in the mass-accretion parameter $_{\rm RLOF}$. Channels 2 and 3 are the most sensitive to the value of the mass-accretion parameter. The most obvious overall e ect of changing $_{\rm RLOF}$ is the decrease of the secondary mass M $_2$ at the birth of the W DMS binaries with increasing degree of non-conservativeness. In addition, for a given initial primary mass M $_1$, the increase of the critical mass ratio Q $_{\rm C}$ with increasing values of $_{\rm RLOF}$ in plies that in models G 05 and G 1 lower initial

 $^{^3}$ Note that the denition of the mass ratio as Q = M _donor=M _accretor may dier from the denition of the initial mass ratio given by q = M _2=M _1.

B.W illem s and U.Kolb: D etached white dwarfm ain-sequence star binaries



Fig.9. Distribution of present-day W DMS binaries in the $(M_{WD}; P_{otb})$ - and $(M_2; P_{otb})$ -planes at the start of the W DMS binary phase.



F ig.10. W hite dwarfm ass, secondary m ass, and orbital period distributions of present-day W DMS binaries at the start of the W DMS binary phase. The distributions are norm alised so that the integral over all systems found is equal to one.

secondary masses become available for dynamically stable Roche-lobe over ow . Due to the shape of the initialm ass function [Eq. (3)] and the adopted initial mass ratio distribution [Eq. (4)], this behaviour shifts the bulk of the system s evolving through form ation channels 2 and 3 towards lower primary and secondary masses. An increase in RLOF is usually also accompanied by a decrease of the minimum orbital period at the birth of the WDMS binaries because more angular momentum is lost from the system . The stellar masses and orbital periods resulting from fully conservative mass-transfer (modelG0) are furtherm ore very close to those found in our standard m odel (modelA). This concordance arises from the sm all values of RLOF inferred from Eq. (2) for binaries in which the therm altim e scale of the accretor is not too much longer than the mass-transfertime scale of the donor. Finally, we note that the system's evolving through form ation chan-

nels 1{3 have typical orbital periods longer than 1 day, in agreem ent with the orbital period distribution of postmode II W DMS binaries derived by de Kool & Ritter (1993). We recall that the term inology of mode II m ass transfer was introduced by W ebbink (1979) to indicate m ass transfer from donor stars with radiative envelopes.

The common-envelope ejection e ciency $_{CE}$ only affects the W DMS binaries forming through evolutionary channels 4{6. Since smaller values of $_{CE}$ require more orbital energy to expel the envelope from the system, the minimum orbital period required for a binary to survive the spiral-in process increases with decreasing values of $_{CE}$. For a given donor star mass M₁, the donor star in a binary surviving the common-envelope phase therefore has more time to evolve when $_{CE}$ is small, so that the minimum mass of the nascent white dwarf also increases with decreasing values of $_{CE}$. Larger values of $_{CE}$, on

Table 2. The e ect of the population synthesis model parameters on the masses and orbital periods of W DMS binary progenitors at the start of their evolution and of W DMS binaries at the time of their formation. For each formation channel, the mass and period ranges refer to bins containing at least 1% of the channel's contribution to the W DMS binary population. The quoted ranges should therefore be considered as approximate ranges for the bulk of the system s and not as strict limits. For the construction of the table, only binaries contributing to the present-day G alactic population are taken into account. D etails of the parameters adopted in the di erent population synthesis models are listed in Table 1.

			In itial P aram eters			Form ation Param eters			
Channel	M ode	el	M 1	⊨M	M ₂=M	P _{orb} =days	M _{WD} ≓M	M ₂=M	P _{orb} =days
1	А	(standard)	1	3	< 2	0:5 3	0:15 0:35	< 5	1 100
	G 0	$(_{R L O F} = 0)$	1	3	< 2	0:5 3	0:15 0:35	1 5	3 100
	G 05	$(_{R LOF} = 0:5)$	1	3	< 2	0:5 3	0:15 0:35	< 4	2 100
	G 1	$(_{R L O F} = 1)$	1	3	< 2	0:5 3	0:15 0:35	< 3	1 100
2	А	(standard)	2	11	1 9	2 100	0:3 1:44	1 17	10 1000
	G 0	$(_{R L O F} = 0)$	4	11	29	2 100	0:7 1:44	6 17	30 1000
	G 05	$(_{R LOF} = 0:5)$	2	10	1 8	2 100	0:3 1:44	2 11	20 1000
	G 1	$(_{R LOF} = 1)$	2	9	1 6	2 100	0:3 1:25	1 6	10 1000
3	A	(standard)	1	8	1 7	600 6000	0:55 1:44	1 7	2000 20000
	G 0	$(_{R LOF} = 0)$	1	8	1 7	600 6000	0:55 1:44	1 7	2000 20000
	G 05	$(_{R LOF} = 0:5)$	1	8	< 6	600 6000	0:5 1:4	< 6	1000 20000
	G 1	$(_{R LOF} = 1)$	1	6	< 4	200 6000	0:5 0:95	< 4	600 20000
4	CE1	$(_{CE} = 0.2)$	1	2	< 2	200 600	0:4 0:5	< 2	0:1 3
	A	(standard)	1	2	< 2	30 600	0:3 0:5	< 2	0:1 20
	C E 8	$(_{CE} = 5:0)$	1	2	< 2	10 600	0:25 0:5	< 2	0:1 60
	G 0	$(_{R L O F} = 0)$	1	2	< 2	30 600	0:3 0:5	< 2	0:1 20
	G 05	$(_{R LOF} = 0:5)$	1	2	< 2	30 600	0:3 0:5	< 2	0:1 20
	G 1	$(_{R LOF} = 1)$	1	2	< 2	30 600	0:3 0:5	< 2	0:1 20
5	CE1	$(_{CE} = 0.2)$	8	11	4 7	30 100	0:95 1:4	7 11	2 6
	А	(standard)	5	11	29	20 1000	0:75 1:44	3 11	0:5 20
	C E 8	$(_{CE} = 5:0)$	3	11	< 7	10 1000	0:4 1:44	< 9	0:3 30
	G 0	$(_{R LOF} = 0)$	6	11	29	20 1000	0:8 1:44	3 11	1 20
	G 05	$(_{R LOF} = 0:5)$	4	11	29	20 1000	0:7 1:44	2 10	0:5 20
	G 1	$(_{R LOF} = 1)$	6	11	29	200 1000	0:8 1:44	29	1 20
6	CE1	$(_{CE} = 0.2)$	1	2	< 3	300 2000	0:5 0:8	< 3	0:1 10
	А	(standard)	1	5	< 3	100 2000	0:5 0:9	< 3	0:1 60
	C E 8	$(_{CE} = 5:0)$	1	5	< 3	30 2000	0:5 0:9	< 3	0:2 300
	G 0	$(_{R LOF} = 0)$	1	5	< 3	100 2000	0:5 0:9	< 3	0:1 60
	G 05	$(_{R LOF} = 0:5)$	1	5	< 3	100 2000	0:5 0:9	< 3	0:1 60
	G 1	$(_{R L O F} = 1)$	1	5	< 3	100 2000	0:5 0:9	< 3	0:1 30
7	A	(standard)	1	5	< 2	10^3 10^8	0:5 0:9	< 2	$3 \ 10^3 \ 5 \ 10^8$

the other hand, yield wider orbital separations at the end of the common-envelope phase, making it easier for short-period binaries to survive the spiral-in process. These tendencies are clearly seen in Table 2 when comparing the variations in the parameter space between models CE1 ($_{CE} = 0.2$), A ($_{CE} = 1.0$), and CE8 ($_{CE} = 5.0$).

For a given a given orbital separation a_i at the onset of the common-envelope phase and a given donor starm ass M_1 , the orbital separation a_f at the end of the commonenvelope phase furtherm ore increases with increasing secondary masses M_2 . The mass of the secondary may therefore be the deciding factor determining whether or not a binary close to the borderline separating merging from non-merging system s survives the common-envelope phase or not. From Eq. (1), it follows that the minimum secondary mass M_2 required to survive the common-envelope phase increases with decreasing values of $_{CE}$. This behaviour is responsible for the variations in the initial secondary m ass range of binaries evolving through form ation channel 5. Since M $_1=M_2 > Q_c$ is a necessary requirement for the occurrence of a common-envelope phase initiated by the primary, the changes in the initial secondary mass range are accompanied by similar changes in the initial primary mass range.

The in uence of the donor star's mass M₁ on the outcome of the common-envelope phase can be understood by noting that the radius of giant-type stars is predom – inantly determined by the mass of their core, and that the radius of the star at the onset of Roche-lobe over ow is approximately equal to the radius of their Roche lobe. For a given initial orbital separation a_i and a given secondary mass M₂, the orbital separation a_f at the end of the common-envelope phase therefore increases with decreasing values of M₁. Consequently, the maximum primary mass M₁ for which a system may survive the commonenvelope phase and the maximum mass of the resulting white dwarf decrease with decreasing values of $_{CE}$. This behaviour is responsible for the lower upper limit on the initial primary mass range of formation channel 6 when $_{CE} = 0.2$ (m odel CE1).

Besides these general tendencies, the following additional di erences between the various models may be observed upon inspection of the individual formation channels:

Channel 2. In model GO, the minimum initial primary mass M $_1$ increases from 2M to 4M . The increase is associated with the destabilising e ect of sm aller RLOF - values on the mode of mass transfer from the primary: since the main-sequence radius of a star increases with increasing mass, a lower mass star has more time to evolve and thus to develop a deep convective envelope before it lls its Roche-lobe than a higher mass star in a binary with the same orbital period. For $_{RLOF} = 0$, primaries with mass $M_1 < 4M$ therefore lead to dynam ically unstable m ass transfer which usually results in the merger of the primary's core with its main-sequence com panion.W e furtherm ore note that for modelA a gap occurs in the initial primary mass range between 3M and 4M , in the white dwarfm ass range at the time of formation between 0:4M and 0:7M , and in the secondary mass range at the time of form ation between 3M and 6M . This behaviour is caused by the dependency of RLOF on the ratio of the mass-transfer time scale of the donor to the therm al time scale of the accretor [see Eq. (2)]. As a consequence, som e combinations of masses and orbital periods in model A are subjected to the same destabilising e ect of sm all RLOF -values as described for m odelG0.Finally, we point that m odelsA, G05 and G1 yield C/O white dwarfs with masses as low as 0:3M Com parably low -m ass C /O white dwarfs were also found by Iben & Tutukov (1985) and Han et al. (2000) on the basis of m ore detailed num erical calculations.

Channel3. In m odelG1, the minimum initial period of W DMS binary progenitors is signi cantly shorter than in any of the other population synthesis m odels considered. The reason for this is that in m odels A, G0, and G 05, system s with initial orbital periods shorter than

600 days undergo a com m on-envelope phase instead of a dynam ically stable Roche-lobe over ow phase on the rst giant branch, so that they evolve through form ation channel 4 or 5 rather than through form ation channel 3. W hen m ass transfer becom es highly non-conservative, as in m odel G 1, the m ass-transfer phase becom es dynam ically stable and the prim ary can avoid losing its entire envelope until it reaches the AGB.

Channel 5. | In model G 05, the minimum initial primary mass M_1 is about 2M lower than in models G 0 and G 1. This behaviour is again associated with the stabilising e ect of larger $_{RLOF}$ -values. Binaries with primary masses near 4M do not survive the commonenvelope phase in model G 0, while they undergo a dynamically stable mass-transfer phase in model G 1. In the latter case, the system s therefore evolve through form ation channel 2 rather than through form ation channel 5.W hen

0:5 (modelG05), mass transfer from primaries RLOF with M ₁ 4M initially takes place on the therm altime scale of the donor star, so that the dynam ical instability is delayed until the donor reaches the red-giant branch. Contrary to the outcom e of the com mon-envelope phase in m odelG 0, the decrease of the donor star's m ass during the initial therm altim e scale m ass-transfer phase here yields a prim ary mass at the onset of the common-envelope phase that allows the binary to survive the spiral-in process. For a som ew hat related reason, the longest possible initial orbital period decreases from 1000 days to 100 days in modelCE1.As discussed in Section 322, systems with P_{orb} < 100 days start m ass transfer on the therm altim e scale of the donor star, while system s with P_{orb} > 100 days go straight into the common-envelope phase. W hen $_{CE} = 0.2$, the prim ary masses of the latter systems at the onset of the common-envelope phase are too high to avoid a merger during the spiral-in process, so that only the group with $P_{orb} < 100$ days survives. Finally, the increase of the lower limit on the initial orbital periods in model G1 is associated with the disappearance of binaries with Hertzsprung-gap donor stars (i.e. binaries with P_{orb} < 200 days). For highly non-conservative m ass transfer these binaries evolve through form ation channel 2 instead of through form ation channel 5.

Channel 6. | In model G1, the upper limit on the orbital periods at the form ation time of the WDMS binaries decreases with respect to models G0 and G05. The stabilising e ect of larger $_{\rm RLOF}$ -values here implies that less mass needs to be lost via the stellar wind in order for mass transfer to be dynamically stable. Many of the longer period systems (i.e. the systems that have evolved furthest on the AGB and have thus lost the most mass in a stellar wind) therefore now evolve through form ation channel 3 instead of form ation channel 6.

5.W DMS binary num bers and form ation rates

Sim ilar to the variations in the parameter space discussed in the previous section, the assumptions adopted in the various population synthesism odels listed in Table 1 m ay a ect the formation rates and the number of W DMS binaries currently populating the G alaxy. These variations do not apply to system sevolving through formation channel 7 since their evolution is governed solely by mass-loss and mass-accretion from stellar winds.

The total number of W DMS binaries currently populating the G alaxy and the relative number of system s that form ed through the interacting and the non-interacting form ation channels are listed in Table 3 for di erent initial mass ratio or initial secondary mass distributions. The m ost striking e ect is the strong decrease of the absolute number of system s for the initial mass ratio distribution n (q) / q^{0:99}, for 0 < q 1. The decrease is related to the larger number of system s undergoing dynam ically unstable mass transfer which ends in the merger of the two component stars.

Table 3. Total number of W DMS binaries currently populating the Galaxy and relative contributions of the interacting and the non-interacting formation channels for di erent initial mass ratio or initial secondary mass distributions. The absolute numbers may be converted into an approximate local space density of W DMS binaries by dividing them by 5 10^{11} pc^3 (see Section 2.2).

	TotalNum ber	Interacting	N on-Interacting
M odel	(Channel1{7)	(Channel1{6)	(Channel7)
	n (q) =	= 1,0< q 1	
A	1.6 10 ⁹	13.6%	86.4%
G 0	1.6 10 ⁹	13.4%	86.6%
G 025	1.6 10 ⁹	13.7%	86.3&
G 05	1.6 10 ⁹	14.0%	86.0%
G 075	1.6 10 ⁹	14.6%	85.4%
G1	1.7 10 ⁹	15.5%	84.5%
CE1	1.5 10 ⁹	3.5%	96.5%
CE3	1.6 10 ⁹	9.8%	90.2%
CE6	1.8 10 ⁹	20.1%	79.2%
CE8	1.8 10 ⁹	23.4%	76.6%
	n (q)	/ q,0 < q 1	
A	1.3 109	15.6%	84.4%
G 0	1.3 10 ⁹	15.4%	84.6%
G 025	1.3 10 ⁹	15.8%	84.2%
G 05	1.3 10 ⁹	16.2%	83.8%
G 075	1.3 10 ⁹	17.2%	82.8%
G 1	$1.3 \ 10^9$	18.5%	81.5%
CE1	$1.2 \ 10^9$	4.9%	95.1%
CE3	$1.2 \ 10^9$	11.9%	88.1%
CE6	$14 10^9$	22.4%	77.6%
CE8	$1.5 \ 10^9$	24.7%	75.3%
	n(a) / ($\frac{1}{2}$	
Δ	66 10 ⁷	10.7%	80.3%
G O	$6.6 10^7$	10.6%	89.48
C 025	$6.6 10^7$	10.08	80.35
C 05	$67 \ 10^7$	10.78	89.19 89.19
G 075	$67 \ 10^7$	11.29	09.1%
G 075	$6.7 10^7$	11.20	00.0%
GI CE1	$6.0 10^7$	2 0%	00.0%
CEI	$6.0 \ 10^7$	2.0%	90.08
CES	$7.2 \ 10^7$	10.6%	93.0%
CEO	7.5 ± 10^7	10.05 21 69	01.40 70.40
CEO	7.0 IU		(2)
7	M 2 Irom IM 2 4 10 ⁹	1 F given by Eq.	(3)
A	$2.4 \ 10$	10.35	09.16
GO	2.4 10	10.18	89.98
G 025	2.4 ± 10^{-9}	10.3%	۲۶۰/۶ ۵۰ ۲۵
G 05	2.4 10°	10.4%	89.6%
G 075	$2.4 \ 10^{\circ}$	10.6%	89.4%
GI	2.4 10	10./%	89.3%
CE1	2.2 10	1.8%	98.2%
CE3	2.3 10°	6.6%	93.4%
C E 6	2.6 10°	18.2%	81.8%
CE8	2 . 7 10°	21.3%	78.7%

A lthough the absolute number of systems resulting from formation channel 7 is independent of the adopted population synthesism odel parameters, the relative number of systems changes due to variations in the number of systems form ing through formation channels 1{6. The non-interacting system s generally account for 75% to 85% of the total population if the initial mass ratio is distributed according to n (q) = 1 or n (q) / q, for 0 < q = 1. This relative number increases to 95% when $_{CE} = 0.2$ (m odelCE1) due to the signi cant decrease of the number of system s surviving the com m on-envelope phase in form ation channels 4{6. In the cases where the initial mass ratio is distributed according to n (q) / q $^{0:99}$, for 0 < q = 1, or where the initial secondary mass M $_2$ is distributed independently from the prim ary mass M $_1$ according to the initial mass function given by Eq. (3), the relative contribution of the non-interacting system s to the population of W DM S binaries may be even larger.

In Table 4, the contribution of W DMS binaries with interacting progenitors to the present-day G alactic population is further subdivided according to the followed form ation channel. The absolute number of systems forming through form ation channels 1{6 increases with increasing values of $_{\rm R\,LO\,F}$, although the overall di erence is rather sm all: the number increases by less than a factor of 125 between m odels G 0 ($_{\rm R\,LO\,F}$ = 0) and G 1 ($_{\rm R\,LO\,F}$ = 1) for all initial mass ratio or initial secondary m ass distributions considered. The e ect of $_{\rm C\,E}$ on the absolute number of system s is larger: the number increases by about an order of magnitude between m odels CE1 ($_{\rm C\,E}$ = 0.2) and CE8 ($_{\rm C\,E}$ = 5.0). A similar dependency on $_{\rm C\,E}$ was noted by Iben et al. (1997) for WDMS binaries with secondaries less m assive than 0.3M

The relative contributions of formation channels 1{ 3 increase with increasing values of RLOF due to the stabilising e ect of non-conservative mass transfer. Correspondingly, the relative contributions of channels 4 and 6 decrease with increasing values of RLOF. The in uence of RLOF is largest for form ation channels 1{3 whose relative contributions increase by factors of 5 15 between models G0 ($_{RLOF}$ = 0) and G1 ($_{RLOF}$ = 1). Increasing the common-envelope ejection e ciency ce, on the other hand, increases the relative contributions of channels 4 and 5. The increase is caused by the larger num ber of system s surviving the com m on-envelope phase resulting from case B Roche-lobe over ow events. The relative contribution of form ation channel 6, som ew hat surprisingly, decreases with increasing values of CE, but the absolute number of systems forming through this channel still increases. The decrease of the relative num ber is therefore caused by the more prominent increase of the absolute number of systems forming through channels 4 and 5. For sim ilar reasons, the relative contributions of channels 1{3 also decrease with increasing values of CE.

The population of W DMS binaries with interacting progenitors is dom inated by system s form ing through form ation channels 4 and 6.D epending on the adopted m odel parameters, they generally account for 80% to 95% of the population if the initial mass ratio is distributed according to n (q) = 1 or n (q) / q, for 0 < q 1, or even for 90% to 99% of the population if the initial mass ratio is distributed according to n (q) / q $^{0:99}$, for 0 < q 1, or the initial secondary m ass is distributed according to the initial

Table 4. Total number of present-day Galactic W DMS binaries that formed through formation channels 1{6 and relative contributions of each form ation channel for di erent initial mass ratio or initial secondary mass distributions. The absolute numbers may be converted into an approximate local space density by dividing them by 5 $10^{11} \, \mathrm{pc}^3$.

		Dynamically stable mass transfer			Common-envelope phase			
			•	&		•	&	
		Cas	еB	Case C	Cas	еB	Case C	
		•	&	#	•	&	#	
		WD	nHe star	nHe star	WD	nHe star	W D or nHe star	
M odel	TotalN um ber	(Channel1)	(Channel2)	(Channel3)	(Channel 4)	(Channel5)	(Channel 6)	
			n (q)	= 1,0< q 1				
A	2.2 10°	3.4%	0.2%	0.1%	40.5%	0.1%	55.7%	
G 0	2.2 10°	2.0%	0.2%	0.1%	41.1%	0.1%	56.5%	
G 025	2.2 10°	4.1%	0.3%	0.2%	40.1%	0.2%	55.1%	
G 05	2.3 10°	6.2%	0.7%	0.4%	39.1%	0.2%	53.5%	
G 075	$2.4 \ 10^{\circ}$	10.2%	1.6%	0.6%	37.1%	0.1%	50.5%	
GI	$2.6 \ 10^{3}$	14.8%	2.8%	1.5%	34.4%	0.1%	46.5%	
CE1	5.2 10'	14.5%	1.0%	0.4%	18.8%	< 0.1%	65.2%	
CE3	1.5 10°	4.9%	0.3%	0.1%	35.5%	0.1%	59.0%	
CE6	3.7 10°	2.0%	0.1%	9.18	47.18	0.4%	50.2%	
CE8	4.3 10°	1.8%	0.1%	0.1%	49.78	0.8%	47.6%	
	75		n (q)	/q,0 <q 1<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></q>				
A	2.0 10 ⁸	3.8%	0.3%	0.2%	44.9%	0.2%	50.6%	
G 0	2.0 10 ⁸	2.7%	0.3%	0.2%	45.4%	0.2%	51.2%	
G 025	2.1 10 ⁸	5.2%	0.5%	0.4%	44.2%	0.2%	49.6%	
G 05	2.1 10 ⁸	7.7%	1.0%	0.6%	42.8%	0.2%	47.8%	
G 075	2.3 10 ⁸	12.7%	2.2%	1.1%	39.9%	0.1%	44.1%	
G 1	2.5 10 ⁸	18.6%	3.6%	2.6%	36.0%	0.1%	39.3%	
CE1	5.7 10'	13.6%	1.2%	0.6%	20.2%	< 0.1%	64.3%	
CE3	1.5 10°	5.2%	0.5%	0.2%	39.1%	0.1%	54 . 9%	
C E 6	3.2 10°	2.4%	0.2%	0.1%	52.6%	0.5%	44.1%	
CE8	3.6 10°	2,2%	0.2%	0.1%	55.6%	0.9%	41.1%	
			n (q) /	q ^{0:99} , 0 < q	1			
A	$7.1 \ 10^{6}$	2.3%	0.1%	< 0.1%	35.5%	0.1%	62.0%	
G 0	7.0 10°	1.1%	0.1%	< 0.1%	35.9%	0.1%	62.7%	
G 025	7.1 10°	2.5%	0.2%	0.1%	35.4%	0.1%	61.8%	
G 05	$7.2 \ 10^{6}$	3.8%	0.4%	0.1%	34.9%	0.1%	60.8%	
G 075	7.5 10°	6.0%	0.8%	0.2%	33.9%	< 0.1%	59.0%	
G1	7.7 10°	8.6%	1.6%	0.6%	32.6%	< 0.1%	56.6%	
CE1	1.2 10°	13.3%	0.7%	0.2%	17.9%	< 0.1%	67 . 9%	
CE3	4.5 10°	3.6%	0.2%	0.1%	32.0%	< 0.1%	64.1%	
C E 6	1.4 107	1.2%	0.1%	< 0.1%	39.8%	0.2%	58.8%	
CE8	1.6 10'	1.0%	0.1%	< 0.1%	41.5%	0.6%	56 . 9%	
			M ₂ from I	MF given by E	q.(3)			
A	2.5 10 ⁸	2.3%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	37.0%	< 0.1%	60.7%	
G 0	2.4 10 ⁸	1.0%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	37.5%	< 0.1%	61.5%	
G 025	2.5 10 ⁸	2.3%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	37.0%	< 0.1%	60.7%	
G 05	2.5 10 ⁸	3.5%	0.1%	0.1%	36.5%	< 0.1%	59.9%	
G 075	2.6 10 ⁸	5.4%	0.2%	0.1%	35.7%	< 0.1%	58.6%	
G 1	2.6 10 ⁸	6.9%	0.4%	0.3%	35.0%	< 0.1%	57.4%	
CE1	4.1 10 ⁷	14.0%	0.1%	< 0.1%	19.0%	< 0.1%	66.9%	
CE3	1.5 10 ⁸	3.7%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	33.5%	< 0.1%	62.8%	
CE6	4.8 10 ⁸	1.2%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	40.9%	< 0.1%	57.8%	
CE8	5.8 10 ⁸	1.0%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	42.6%	0.2%	56.2%	

ries resulting from channels 4 and 6 (see Table 2) together of WDMS binaries with interacting progenitors stem from with the long-period systems forming from wide non-formation channels 2, 3 and 5. We note, however, that interacting progenitors are therefore responsible for the channels 2 and 5 are interesting candidates for the form abin odal nature of the orbital period distribution shown tion of WD + early B-star binaries with long and short

tialm ass function given by Eq. (3). The short-period bina- in Fig. 10. The sm allest contributions to the population

orbitalperiods, respectively (Fig.3).Wewilladdress these channels in this context in a forthcoming paper (Willems et al., in preparation).

In Table 5, we list the present-day birthrates of W DMS binaries form ing through each of the considered form ation channels. The birthrate of system s form ing through form ation channel 7 is independent of the adopted population synthesis model parameters and amounts to 0.3 yr^1 , unless the initial mass ratio is distributed according to n (q) / q^{0:99}, for 0 < q 1. In the latter case, the birthrate of wide non-interacting system s is of the order of 0.01 yr¹. The birthrate derived for our standard m odel with n (q) = 1, for 0 < q 1, is in excellent agreement with the birthrate for C/O white dwarfs in wide binaries of 0.29 yr¹ derived by Iben & Tutukov (1986b).

The general dependencies of the birthrates of formation channels 1{6 on the adopted population synthesis model are similar to those of the absolute and relative numbers of systems described above. The e ect of changing $_{RLOF}$ is largest for channels 2 and 3 where the birthrate increases by an order of magnitude between modelsG0 ($_{RLOF}$ = 0) and G1 ($_{RLOF}$ = 1). The impact of RLOF on the other channels is typically smaller than a factor of 3.C hanges in CE, on the other hand, predom inantly a ect form ation channels 4 and 5. The birthrates of systems forming through these channels increase by 1 and 3 orders of m agnitude, respectively, between m odel CE1 ($_{CE} = 0.2$) and modelCE8 ($_{CE} = 5.0$). In the case where the initial secondary mass is distributed according to the same initial mass function as the initial primary mass, the birthrate of channel 5 increases by 4 orders of m agnitude. The birthrate of systems form ing through form ation channel 6 varies by less than a factor of 5, while the birthrates of system s form ing through form ation channels 1{3 are not a ected at all. For $_{RLOF}$ < 0.25 and $_{CE} > 0$;6, the total birthrate of all W DMS binaries forming through a common-envelope phase (channels 4{ 6) is furtherm ore about 10 tim es larger than the total birthrate of all W DM S binaries form ing through a stable Roche-lobe over ow phase (channels 1{3). This is again in excellent agreem ent with de Kool & Ritter (1993).

For conclusion, we consider the ratio of the num ber of system s containing a H e w hite dw arfto the num ber of system s containing a C /O or O /N e/M g w hite dw arf. T his ratio is independent of the norm alisation of the adopted star form ation rate [Eq. (6)] and of the fraction of stars in binaries. Due to the dom inance of the wide non-interacting system s and the system s form ing through the commonenvelope channels 4 and 6, the ratio is fairly insensitive to changes in the mass-accretion parameter RLOF. The role of the common-envelope ejection parameter $_{CE}$, on the other hand, is much more prominent. This is illustrated in the left-hand panel of Fig. 11. For $_{CE} = 0.2$ (model) CE1) the ratio takes com parably sm all values for each of the initial mass ratio or initial secondary mass distributions considered. Provided that a complete observational sample of W DMS binaries can be compiled and the nature of the white dwarf can be established, com parison of the observationally derived ratio of H e white dwarf systems to C/O or O/N e/M g white dwarf systems with the theoretically predicted values m ay therefore constrain the common-envelope ejection e ciency. For higher values of $_{\rm C\,E}$, the e ect of changing $_{\rm C\,E}$ can not be distinguished from the e ect of changing the adopted initial mass ratio or initial secondary mass distribution. As shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 11 sim ilar dependency on $_{\rm R\,LO\,F}$ and $_{\rm C\,E}$ is also found when the subset of short-period systems resulting from the dom inant channels 4 and 6 is considered. This subset is potentially more interesting than the whole sam ple of W DMS binaries since shorter period systems are easier to detect observationally than longer period system s.

6. Lum inosity ratios and radial velocities

The detection and identi cation of W DMS binaries generally relies on the ability to detect the white dwarf's signature in the composite binary spectrum and to determ ine the components' orbital radial-velocity variations. Regardless of any additional selection e ects, the detection of the white dwarf's spectral signature becomes increasingly di cult with decreasing ratio of the white dwarf's lum inosity to the main-sequence star's lum inosity, while the detection of radial-velocity variations becomes more di cult with increasing orbital periods.

The lum inosity ratio may be particularly stringent for binaries with older white dwarfs since the time during which a white dwarf is detectable is typically of the order of 108 years or shorter (e.g. Iben et al. 1997). The distribution of the lum inosity ratios $L_{W,D} = L_{M,S}$, where $L_{W,D}$ is the lum inosity of the white dwarf and L_{MS} that of its mainsequence com panion, for W DMS binaries form ing through the di erent form ation channels are displayed in Fig. 12 in the case of population synthesis model A and the initial m ass ratio distribution n(q) = 1. For the determ ination of the lum inosity ratios, we adopted the expression for white dwarf cooling by Hurley et al. (2000) and neglected the relatively sm all increase of the secondary's lum inosity during its evolution on the main sequence. The lum inosity ratio distributions typically peak between 10 5 and 10 3 , except in the case of form ation channel 4 where a much broader distribution is found which extends up to significantly higher lum inosity ratios. The gure furtherm ore shows that channels 4 and 6 contain a signi cant number of W DMS binaries with sm all lum inosity ratios, so that it m ay actually be hard to com pile a com plete sam ple of system s to constrain the com m on-envelope ejection e ciency CE ·

In Fig. 13, we combine the lum inosity ratio distributions shown in Fig. 12 with the relative contributions of the di erent formation channels to the W DMS binary population given in Tables 3 and 4 to determ ine the fraction of systems for wich the white dwarf to main-sequence star lum inosity ratio is larger than a given limiting ratio $(L_{W D} = L_{M S})_{crit}$. The thick solid line represents the total for all systems forming through formation chan-

Table 5.Bi	thrates	ofG alactic W	DMS binaries fo	m ing through	form ation	channels i	l {7 in the o	caseofdi	erent in itia
m ass ratio o	r initial :	secondary m a	ass distributions.	The rates m a	y be conve	erted into	approxim a	te local b	irthrates by
dividing the	n by 5	$10^{11}{\rm pc}^{3}$.							

	D vnam ical	lv stable mas	stransfer	Co	Non-		
	· &						
	Cas	e B	Case C	Cas	æ B	Case C	Interacting
		æ	#		&	#	5
	WD	nHe star	nHe star	WD	nHe star	W D or nHe star	Systems
M odel	(Channel1)	(Channel2)	(Channel 3)	(Channel4)	(Channel 5)	(Channel 6)	(Channel 7)
			n (q) = 1, 0 < q	1		
A	5.0 10 ³	1.0 10 ³	3.5 10 ⁴	3.5 10 ²	5,2 10 ⁴	3.4 10 ²	3.0 10 ¹
G 0	4.6 10 ³	9.6 10 ⁴	3.5 10 ⁴	$3.5 \ 10^{-2}$	5.1 10 ⁴	3.4 10 ²	3.0 10 ¹
G 025	6.8 10 ³	1.5 10 ³	5.9 10 ⁴	$3.5 \ 10^{-2}$	$6.5 10^{-4}$	3.4 10 ²	3.0 10 ¹
G 05	8.0 10 ³	3.1 10 ³	8.8 10 ⁴	$3.5 \ 10^{-2}$	7.4 10 ⁴	3.4 10 ²	3.0 10 ¹
G 075	1.0 10 ²	6.2 10 ³	1.3 10 ³	$3.5 \ 10^{-2}$	$2.5 10^{-4}$	3.3 10 ²	3.0 10 ¹
G 1	$1.2 \ 10^{-2}$	$7.5 10^{-3}$	2.7 10 ³	$3.5 \ 10^{-2}$	$2.6 10^{4}$	$3.2 \ 10^{-2}$	3.0 10 ¹
CE1	5.0 10 ³	1.0 10 ³	$3.5 10^{-4}$	8.3 10 ³	2 . 9 10 ⁶	1.3 10 ²	3.0 10 ¹
CE3	5.0 10 ³	1.0 10 ³	$3.5 10^{-4}$	$2.6 \ 10^{-2}$	1.8 10 ⁴	$2.7 \ 10^{-2}$	3.0 10 ¹
CE6	5.0 10 ³	1.0 10 ³	$3.5 10^{-4}$	5.6 10 ²	2.4 10 ³	4.4 10 ²	3.0 10 ¹
CE8	5.0 10 ³	1.0 10 ³	3.5 10 ⁴	6.5 10 ²	4.3 10 ³	4.4 10 ²	3.0 10 ¹
			n (a) / a. 0 < a	1		
A	6.6 10 ³	1.4 10 ³	5.6 10 ⁴	$\frac{1}{3.8}$ 10 ²	6.6 10 ⁴	$3.5 \ 10^{-2}$	3.0 10 ¹
G 0	$6.3 \ 10^{-3}$	$1.3 \ 10^{-3}$	$5.6 \ 10^{4}$	$3.8 \ 10^{-2}$	6.4 10 ⁴	$3.5 \ 10^{2}$	$3.0 \ 10^{-1}$
G 025	$9.1 \ 10^{-3}$	$2.0 \ 10^{-3}$	$9.8 \ 10^{4}$	$3.8 \ 10^{-2}$	$8.0 \ 10^{4}$	$3.5 \ 10^{2}$	$3.0 \ 10^{-1}$
G 05	$1.1 \ 10^{2}$	$4.0 \ 10^{-3}$	$1.4 \ 10^{-3}$	$3.8 \ 10^{-2}$	$9.1 \ 10^{4}$	$3.4 \ 10^{-2}$	$3.0 \ 10^{-1}$
G 075	$1.4 \ 10^{2}$	8.3 10 ⁻³	$2.2 \ 10^{-3}$	$3.8 \ 10^{-2}$	$2.9 \ 10^{4}$	$3.3 \ 10^{-2}$	$3.0 \ 10^{-1}$
G 1	$1.5 \ 10^{-2}$	$1.0 \ 10^{-2}$	$4.6 \ 10^{-3}$	$3.7 \ 10^{-2}$	$2.9 \ 10^{4}$	$3.2 \ 10^{-2}$	$3.0 \ 10^{-1}$
CE1	6.6 10 ³	$1.4 \ 10^{3}$	$5.6 \ 10^{4}$	9.4 10 ³	3.2×10^{-6}	$1.5 \ 10^{2}$	$3.0 \ 10^{-1}$
CE3	6.6 ± 10^{-3}	$1.4 \ 10^{-3}$	5.6 ± 10^{-4}	$2.8 \ 10^{-2}$	$2.3 \ 10^{4}$	$2.9 \ 10^{-2}$	$3.0 \ 10^{-1}$
CE6	6.6 ± 10^{-3}	$1.4 \ 10^{-3}$	5.6 ± 10^{-4}	5.9×10^{-2}	2.8 ± 10^{-3}	$4.4 \ 10^{-2}$	$3.0 \ 10^{-1}$
CE8	$6.6 \ 10^{-3}$	$1.4 \ 10^{-3}$	5.6 10 4	$6.8 10^{-2}$	$4.7 \ 10^{-3}$	$4.4 \ 10^{-2}$	$3.0 \ 10^{-1}$
			(n) n	$(\alpha^{0:99}) < \alpha$	r 1		
Δ	8 3 10 ⁵	15 10 ⁵	4.5 10 6	$\frac{7 \text{q}}{92 10^{4}}$	<u>1 1</u> 88 10 ⁶	1 0 10 3	98 10 3
C O	$73 10^{5}$	$1.0 \ 10$	45 10 ⁶	$92 10^{4}$	85 10 ⁶	$1.0 \ 10^{-3}$	9.8 ± 10^{-3}
G 025	1110^{4}	$22 10^{5}$	$74 10^{6}$	92 10 92 10 4	$11 10^{5}$	$1.0 \ 10^{-3}$	9.8 10 ³
G 025	$13 10^{4}$	4 9 10 ⁵	1 1 10 ⁵	$92 10^{4}$	1 3 10 ⁵	$1.0 \ 10^{-3}$	9.8 10 ³
G 075	$1.7 \ 10^{4}$	95 10 ⁵	1.6 10 ⁵	$92 10^{4}$	4 9 10 ⁶	$1.0 \ 10^{-3}$	9.8 10 ³
G 0 / J	$1.8 \ 10^{-4}$	$12 \ 10^{4}$	$33 10^{5}$	$92 10^{4}$	$50 10^{6}$	99 10 ⁴	9.8 10 ³
CE1	83 10 ⁵	$15 10^{5}$	45 10 ⁶	$18 \ 10^{-4}$	5.0 10 ⁸	3.3 ± 10^{-4}	9.8 10 ³
CER	$83 \ 10^{-5}$	$1.5 \ 10^{5}$	45 10 ⁶	$65 10^{4}$	$29 10^{6}$	$78 ext{ 10}^{4}$	9.8 10 ³
CEG	$83 \ 10^{-5}$	$1.5 \ 10^{-5}$	45 10 ⁶	$15 \ 10^{-3}$	45 10 ⁵	$14 \ 10^{-3}$	9.8 10 ³
CE8	83 10 ⁵	$1.5 \ 10^{-5}$	$45 \ 10^{-6}$	$1.8 \ 10^{-3}$	90 10 ⁵	$1.1 \ 10$	9.8 10 ³
010		10 10	M from	ME citron bu	F (2)	10 10	5.0 10
7	2.2×10^{-3}	4-0 10 ⁵	M_2 IIOIII	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	Eq.(3)	2.1 10 ²	22 10 ¹
A C ()	2.2 ± 10 1.9 10 ³	4.0 10 2.7 10 ⁵	2.0 ± 0	3.3 10 ²	1.7 10 1.5 10 ⁵	3.1 10 ²	ວ⊷ ⊥0 33 10 ¹
	2.0 10 ³	2.7 IU 5.0 10 ⁵	2.0 IU 6.0 10 ⁵	2.2 ± 10^{-2}	1.0 10 2.7 10 ⁵	$2.1 \ 10^{2}$	ວ.ວ 10 ¹
G 020 G 05	3.0 IU 3.3 10 ³	$2.4 10^{4}$	$1.2 10^{4}$	3.3 10 ²	2.7 IU 3.1 10 ⁵	3.1 10 ²	23 10 ¹
G 075	$1.1 \ 10^{3}$	2.4 ± 10	12 10	3.3 ± 10^{-2}	1.1 10 ⁵	$3.0 \ 10^{2}$	3.3 ± 0
G 075	4.1 IU	$5 \times 10^{-6.7}$	2.0 ± 10^{-4}	3.3 10 ²	1.0 10 ⁵	3.0 ± 10^{-2}	23 10 ¹
GI CE1	4.5 IU 2.3 10 ³	10^{-10}	3×10^{-5}	5.5 IU 6.7 10 ³	12 10 60 10 ⁸	$1.0 \ 10^{2}$	22 10 ¹
CEI	2 10 3	4.0 10 ⁵	2.0 ± 10^{-5}	$2.2 10^{2}$	0 Z IU 1.5 10 ⁶	1.0 10	ンシ IU ンシ IO 1
CES	2.2 ± 10^{-3}	4.0 10 ⁵	2.0 ± 0	2.0 IU 5.5 10 ²	4.0 10 4	4.9 10 ²	22 10 ¹
CEQ	2.5 ± 0 2.3 10^{-3}	4.0 10 ⁵	2.0 ± 0	5.5 10 ²	2.0 ± 0 7.7 10 ⁴	42 10 45 10 ²	33 10 ¹
	2~ IU	- O TO	Z.0 IU		/ •/ IU	IN IN	

nels 1{7, while the thin lines distinguish between the inate up to $(L_{W D} = L_{M S})_{crit}$ contributions of the individual formation channels. For $\left({{L_{\,\text{W}}}_{\,\text{D}}} = {{L_{\,\text{M}}}_{\,\text{S}}} \right)_{\rm crit}$ = 10 6 , the fractional contributions of the di erent channels correspond to those obtained from Tables 3 and 4. The wide non-interacting systems dom -

10 1 . For larger values of $(\!L_{\text{W D}}\!=\!\!L_{\text{M S}})_{\text{crit}}$, the system s form ing through formation channel 4 becom e equally im portant.

The amplitudes K $_{\rm W\ D}\,$ and K $_{\rm M\ S}\,$ of the white dwarf and the main-sequence star's orbital radial-velocity vari-



Fig.11. Ratio of He white dwarf W DMS binaries to C/O or O/Ne/M g W DMS binaries for di erent initial mass ratio or initial secondary mass distributions. The left-hand panel shows the ratios as a function of the common-envelope ejection e ciency $_{CE}$ for W DMS binaries form ing through all considered formation channels, while the right-hand panel shows the ratios for system s form ing through formation channels 4 and 6 only. In the left-hand panel, the curves associated with n (q) / q $^{0:99}$ and with M $_2$ drawn independently from the same IMF as M $_1$ are almost indistinguishable.



F ig.12.Norm alised distribution functions of white dwarf to main-sequence star lum inosity ratios for form ation channels 1{7 in the case of population synthesis model A and the initial mass ratio distribution n(q) = 1.

ations in the case of a typical orbital inclination i = 60 and under the assumption of a xed Keplerian orbit are listed in Table 6. This assumption breaks down for shortperiod systems in which magnetic braking and/or gravitational radiation cause the components to spiral-in towards each other. However, we do not expect this to signi cantly a ect our radial-velocity estimates, unless the orbital evolution time scale becomes shorter than or com parable to the white dwarf cooling time. As present-day radial-velocity surveys easily reach accuracies down to 10 km s¹, all formation channels except channels 3 and 7 produce W DMS binaries with readily detectable radial-velocity amplitudes. If the spectra of the component stars can be disentangled, the common-envelope scenarios furthermore produce W DMS binaries in which both components may have observable radial-velocity variations.



F ig.13. The fraction F of systems for wich the white dwarf to main-sequence star lum inosity ratio is larger than the limiting ratio $(L_{W D} = L_{M S})_{crit}$, in the case of population synthesis model A and the initial mass ratio distribution n(q) = 1. The thick solid line represents the total for all systems form ing through form ation channels 1{7, while the thin lines distinguish between the contributions of the di erent form ation channels. The inserts zoom in on the regions where the distribution functions are small.

Table 6.Typical orbital radial-velocity amplitudes of W DMS binary components in the case of population synthesis modelA and the initial mass ratio distribution n(q) = 1. The radial-velocity amplitudes correspond to a typical orbital inclination of 60.

Form ation channel	К _{WD}	К _{мs}
1 (Stable case B RLOF ! W D)	80 100 km s ¹	5 10 km s ¹
2 (Stable case B R L O F ! n H e star)	50 $100~{ m km~s}^{-1}$	5 10 km s 1
3 (Stable case C RLOF ! nHe star)	5 15 km s 1	2 5 km s 1
4 (CaseBCE ! WD)	70 220 km s 1	30 $$ 140 km s 1
5 (Case BCE ! nHestar)	170 220 km s 1	20 30 km s 1
6 (Case C C E ! n H e star or W D)	40 $$ 170 km s 1	20 140 km s 1
7 (Non-interacting system s)	1 10 km s 1	1 5 km s 1

7. Concluding rem arks

We used the BiSEPS binary population synthesis code described by W illem s & Kolb (2002) to study the population of detached white dwarfm ain-sequence star binaries form ing through seven distinct evolutionary channels. In six of these, the white dwarf is form ed through binary interactions resulting from Roche-lobe over ow. The channels mainly dier in the stability and the outcom e of the m ass-transfer phase that eventually gives rise to the formation of the white dwarf. The six formation channels are depicted schematically in Figs. 1 and 4{8. The seventh evolutionary channel is characterised by the absence of any type of binary interactions other than mass and angularm om entum exchange via a stellarwind. Binaries evolving through this channel are typically very wide, so that the white dwarf form s in much the same way as it would if the primary were a single star.

Our results show that the wide non-interacting binaries generally comprise more than 75% of the total WDMS binary population. The remaining part of the population is dominated by system s undergoing a common-envelope

phase when the white dwarfprogenitor ascends the rst giant branch or the asym ptotic giant branch. The total num ber of system s currently populating the Galaxy, the relative number of systems evolving through each formation channel, and the birthrates of systems forming through each channel are given in Tables 3{5 for dierent dierent assumptions about the fate of the mass transferred during dynam ically stable Roche-lobe over ow, di erent common-envelope ejection e ciencies, and di erent initialm ass ratio or secondary m ass distributions. The total 10⁹ when a at number of systems is of the order of initial mass ratio distribution n(q) = 1, for 0 < q1, is considered. This number decreases to 7 10^7 for an initial mass ratio distribution of the form $n(q) / q^{0.99}$, for 0 < q1. An even stronger decrease is found for the birthrates of systems forming through the dierent form ation channels. Besides the di erent assum ptions regarding the treatment of dynamically stable and unstable m ass transfer, the total number of W DMS binaries currently populating the Galaxy also depends on the nite life time of the system swhich, in the case of short-period

system s, m ay be a ected by the adopted m agnetic braking prescription. A detailed analysis of the e ects of di erent braking laws is, how ever, beyond the scope of this investigation.

The total number of Galactic W DMS binaries evolving through a common-envelope phase is of the order of 2 10⁸ when a at initial mass ratio distribution n(q) = 1 is considered, and of the order of 7 10^6 when an initial mass ratio distribution of the form $n(q) / q^{0.99}$ is considered. Under the assumption that the Galaxy has an e ective volum e of 5 $10^{11} \,\mathrm{pc}^3$, these num bers correspond to approximate local space densities of 4 10^{4} pc 3 and 10⁵ pc³, respectively. The latter space density is com parable to the space density of 6 $\,$ 30 $\,$ 10 6 pc 3 derived by Schreiber & Gansicke (2003) from an observed sample of 30 post-common-envelope binaries with lowm ass m ain-sequence secondaries. How ever, since the space densities derived by Schreiber & Gansicke (2003) are likely to be lower limits, the space density of 4 10^4 pc³ is not necessarily in disagreem ent with observations.W e also note that 12 of the 30 post-com m on-envelope binaries considered by Schreiber & Gansicke (2003) contain an sdOB primary instead of a white dwarf. These sdOB + mainsequence star systems are not included in our simulated W DMS binary sam ples.

We furthermore nd that the ratio of the number of He white dwarf systems to the number of C/O or O /N e/M g white dwarf systems is weakly dependent on the amount of mass lost from the system during dynam ically stable R oche-lobe over ow and strongly dependent on the common-envelope ejection e ciency. We therefore propose that comparison of the observationally derived ratio from , e.g., large-scale planet-search m ission as SuperWASP, COROT, and Kepler with the ratios presented in Fig. 11 m ay yield constraints on the commonenvelope ejection e ciency, provided that the e ciency is low. For higher e ciencies, the role of the ejection e ciency parameter cannot be distinguished from the role of the initial mass ratio or initial secondary mass distribution. We note, however, that the theoretically predicted ratios are derived under the assumption of a constant envelope binding-energy param eter and a constant envelope-ejection parameter. In addition, the derivation of constraints on the common-envelope ejection e ciency requires the detection of W DMS binaries with lum inosity ratios down to 10 5 , which poses a severe and not easily overcom e observational challenge.

This paper is to serve as a rst comprehensive step in a study of more specic subclasses of W DMS binaries and binaries descending from them. In future investigations we will address W DMS binaries in the context of pre-cataclysmic variables, double white dwarfs (W illem s et al., in preparation), and white dwarf + B-star binaries (W illem s et al., in preparation). O ther potentially interesting applications are the study of type Ia supernovae progenitors through both the 'standard' evolutionary channel and the recently proposed therm altim e scale m ass-transfer channel (K ing et al. 2003).

A cknow ledgem ents

W e are grateful to Jarrod Hurley, Onno Pols, and Chris Tout for sharing their SSE software package and to the referee, G ijs N elem ans, whose constructive report and valuable suggestions contributed to the im provem ent of the paper. Rob M undin contributed to the subroutine that calculates the com m on envelope evolution. This research was supported by the British Particle Physics and A stronom y Research Council (PPARC) and made use of NASA's A strophysics D ata System Bibliographic Services.

References

- Boissier S., Prantzos N. 1999, MNRAS 307, 857
- Bond H E., Livio M. 1990, ApJ 355, 568
- Burleigh M R., Barstow M A. 2000, A&A 359, 977
- Burleigh M R., Barstow M A., Schenker K J., Sills A I., W ynn G A., Dobbie P D., Good S A. 2001, M N R A S 327, 1158
- Chen X., Han Z. 2002, MNRAS 335, 948
- Chen X., Han Z. 2003, MNRAS 341, 662
- deKoolM.1992, A&A 261, 188
- de KoolM , Ritter H . 1993, A & A 267, 397
- de Loore C., Vanbeveren D. 1995, A & A 304, 220
- Farm er A J., AgolE. 2003, ApJ 592, 1151
- Han Z., Podsiadlowski P., Eggleton P.P. 1995, MNRAS 272, 800
- Han Z., Tout C A., Eggleton P.P. 2000, MNRAS 319, 215
- Hillwig T ${\cal L}$, H oneycutt R K , R obertson J W .2000, A pJ 120, 1113
- H jellm ing M S. 1989, R apid m ass transfer in binary systems, PhD. Thesis Illinois University at Urbana-Champaign, Savoy
- Holberg J.B., Barstow M.A., Bruhweiler F.C., Cruise A.M., Penny A.J. 1998, ApJ 497, 935
- Hurley JR., Pols O.R., Tout CA. 2000, MNRAS 315, 543
- Hurley JR., Tout CA., Pols OR. 2002, MNRAS 329, 897
- Iben I. Jr., Tutukov A.V. 1984, ApJS 54, 335
- Iben I. Jr., Tutukov A .V. 1985, ApJS 58, 661
- Iben I. Jr., Tutukov A .V . 1986a, ApJ 311, 742
- Iben I. Jr., Tutukov A V. 1986b, ApJ 311, 753
- Iben I. Jr., Tutukov A.V. 1987, ApJ 313, 727
- Iben I. Jr., Tutukov A. V., Yungelson L.R. 1997, ApJ 475, 291
- Joss P.C., Rappaport S., Lew is W. 1987, ApJ 319, 180
- King A.R., Rolfe D.J., Schenker K. 2003, MNRAS 341, L35
- Kolb U., Rappaport S., Schenker K., Howell S. 2001, ApJ 563, 958
- K roupa P.2001, M N R A S 322, 231
- K roupa P., Tout C A., G im ore G. 1993, M N R A S 262, 545
- Langer N., Deutschmann A., Wellstein S., Ho ich P. 2000, A&A 362,1046
- Law W .Y., Ritter H. 1983, A & A 123, 33
- Livio M. 1992, in Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symposium 155, Eds. Acker A., Weinberger R., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, p. 279
- Marsh T R , D hillon V S., Duck S R . 1995, M N R A S 275, 828
- Marsh T.R. 2000, New Astronom y Reviews 44, 119
- M axted P F L., M arsh T R., M oran C K J.2000, M NRAS 319, 305
- Nelson CA., Eggleton PP. 2001, ApJ 552, 664
- Politano M J. 1988, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois
- Politano M J. 1996, ApJ 465, 338

- PolsO R., Cote J., W aters L B F M ., Heise J. 1991, A & A 241, 419
- Pylyser E., Savonije G.J. 1988, A&A 191, 57
- Pylyser E., Savonije G.J. 1989, A&A 208, 52
- Rappaport S., Podsiad low skiPh., Joss P.C., diStefano R., Han Z. 1995, MNRAS 273, 731
- Raymond SN., Szkody P., Hawley SL., Anderson SF., Brinkmann J., Covey K R., McGehee P.M., Schneider D.P., West A.A., York D.G. 2003, AJ 125, 2621
- Ritter H . 1999, M N R A S 309, 360
- Sa er R A , Livio M , Yungelson L R . 1998, A pJ 502, 394
- Sandquist E L., Taam R E., Burkert A. 2000, ApJ 533, 984
- Savonije G J. 1987, Nature 325, 416
- Scalo J. 1998, ASP Conf. Ser. 142: The Stellar Initial M ass Function (38th Herstm onceux Conference), 201
- Schreiber M R ., Gansicke B .T . 2003, A & A 406, 305
- Shobbrook R R ., W u K ., Soria R ., Johnston H M .2003, A & A , submitted
- SilvestriN M ., O swalt T D ., Haw ley S L. 2002, A J 124, 1118
- Tauris T M ., Savonije G J. 1999, A & A 350, 928
- van den Heuvel E P.J. 1981, in Fundamental Problems in the Theory of Stellar Evolution, IAU Symposium 93, Dordrecht, D.Reidel Publishing Co., p. 155
- van der Linden T.J. 1987, A & A 178, 170
- Vennes S., Christian D.J., Thorstensen J.R. 1998, ApJ 502, 763
- W aters L B F M ., Taylor A R ., van den HeuvelE P J., Habets G M H J., Persi P. 1988, A & A 198, 200
- W ebbink R F.1979, in W hite D warfs and Variable D egenerate Stars, IAU Colloquium 53, Eds. van H om H., W eidem ann V., U niversity of R ochester P ress, 426
- W ebbink R F. 1985, in Interacting Binary Stars, Eds. Pringle JE., W ade R A., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Webbink R F., Rappaport S., Savonije G.J. 1983, ApJ 270, 678
- W eidem ann V.1990, ARA&A 28, 103
- W illem sB., Kolb U. 2002, MNRAS 337, 1004

This figure "BiSEC.ch1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

This figure "BiSEC.ch2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

This figure "BiSEC.ch3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

This figure "BiSEC.ch4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

This figure "BiSEC.ch5.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

This figure "BiSEC.ch6.gif" is available in "gif" format from: