Abstract We present simultaneous multifrequency radio observations for a complete subsample of 26 XBLs from the Einstein Extended Medium -Sensitivity Survey, obtained with the Very Large Array (VLA). Spectra are com puted using uxes at 20,6 and 3.6 cm .Unlike m any radio selected sam ples, the EM SS did not in pose any criterion on the radio spectrum to identify BL Lac objects. It is therefore possible to investigate the intrinsic radio spectral slope distribution and to determ ine the e ect produced by this selection criterion. We nd that 15% of the observed objects do not meet the at-spectrum criterion im posed on some other BL Lac samples. A dataset that includes non-simultaneous data (that are also taken with dierent VLA con gurations) shows an even higher percentage of steep spectrum sources. This e ect can be ascribed to a larger fraction of extended ux detected with the more com pact VLA con guration. Possible biases introduced by the at{radio-spectrum criterion in the radio-selected BL Lac samples cannot explain the discrepancies observed in the evolutionary properties of Radio and X-ray selected sam ples of BL Lacs.

K ey words: BL Lacertae objects: general; R adio continuum : galaxies Your thesaurus codes are: m issing; you have not inserted them

# Radio Spectra of a Sam ple of X -ray Selected BL Lacs

Francesca C avallotti<sup>1</sup>, A nna W olter<sup>1</sup>, John T. Stocke<sup>2</sup>, Travis R ector<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> O sservatorio A stronom ico di B rera, via B rera 28, 20121, M ilano, Italy, e-m ail cavallot@ brera m iastro.it

<sup>2</sup> Center for A strophysics and Space A stronom y, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0389, USA

<sup>3</sup> National Radio A stronom y O bservatory, P.D. Box O, Socorro, NM 87801, USA; present address: University of A laska, 3211 Providence Dr., BM B 212, Anchorage, AK 99508, USA

Received / Accepted

## 1. Introduction

BL Lac objects are an enigm atic class of active galactic nuclei (AGN) characterized by strong radio, optical and X – ray variability, relatively high optical and radio polarization and featureless optical spectra (e.g. Urry & Padovani, 1995). The properties of the class can be explained in term s of the relativistic beam ing scenario wherein the observed em ission is dom inated by Doppler-boosted nontherm al radiation from a relativistic jet aligned with the line of sight (B landford & Rees, 1978, Antonucci & U lvestad, 1985).

Owing to their featureless spectra and lack of UV excess in many BL Lacs, optical search techniques (e.g. by excess colors, em ission line strength), used to search for other AGN, failed to nd BL Lacs in large number (e.g. Fleming et al., 1993). Since BL Lacs are both radio-bud and X-ray-bud, surveys in these frequency bands discover them with high e ciency (e.g. the \1 Jansky" sam ple: Stickel et al., 1991; the Einstein Extended M edium -Sensitivity Survey (EMSS) sample: Morris et al., 1991; Rector et al., 2000; the Deep X-ray Radio Blazar Survey (DXRBS) sam ple: Perlm an et al., 1998, Landt et al. 2001; the ROSAT AllSky Survey (RASS) sam ple: Bade et al, 1998; the \sedentary" survey: G iom m i, M enna & Padovani, 1999; the Radio Em itting X-ray (REX) survey sam ple: Caccianiga et al., 1999, Caccianiga et al., 2002). This splits BL Lacs into two empirical subclasses, namely radio-selected BL Lacs (RBLs) and X -ray-selected BL Lacs (XBLs). Padovani & Giommi (1995) proposed to distinquish these two classes on a more physical basis, according to the spectral energy distribution (SED). For ease of description here we will refer to RBL and XBL only.

RBLs and XBLs show di erent behaviors with respect to properties like cosm ological evolution, polarization, variability, core-to-extended radio ux ratio, extended radio m orphology, spectral features (e.g.W olter et al., 1994; Stickel et al., 1991 M orris et al., 1991; Jannuzi, Sm ith & Elston, 1993, 1994; Perlm an & Stocke, 1993 to m ention just a few) which have not been satisfactorily explained

Send o print requests to: A nna W olter, anna@ brera m i.astro.it

yet. This led to the suggestion that the observed discrepancies are at least partially caused by selection e ects due to di erent criteria used in radio and X -ray surveys.

In particular, the standard selection technique for RBLs requires a at-radio-spectrum criterion for which the radio spectral slope must be less than 0.5 ( $_r$  0.5; S /  $_r$ ). This criterion has not been used for classical X-ray selected samples of BL Lacs like the EM SS. If surveys with this criterion select only part of the BL Lac population, the RBL samples could be biased and the differences between RBLs and XBLs like the ones mentioned above could be at least partially explained.

It is already known that a num berofob jects with steep spectra ( $_r > 0.5$ ), classi ed as radio galaxies in radio surveys like the \1 Jansky" (Owen, Ledlow, & Keel, 1996, Perlm an et al. 1996), otherwise meet the BL Lacs selection criteria, based on optical properties (equivalent width of emission lines; Ca II break contrast) of M archa et al. (1996), and have broadband properties (radio lum inosity and overall spectral energy distributions) that agree with those of BL Lacs (e.g. Rector et al., 2000).

To test if the at{radio-spectrum criterion really introduces biases in the BL Lacs selection and to estim ate the incom pleteness degree of RBLs sam ples, we studied the radio spectral indices of a complete subsam ple of XBLs in which the identi cation criteria were not based on the radio spectral properties. P revious multi-frequency studies had already been performed on XBLs. For instance, Laurent-M uehleisen et al. (1993) collected nonsim ultaneous data for several objects of this class, that how ever do not make a complete sam ple. We prefer to deal with the largest subsam ple for which we could have both sim ultaneous and non-sim ultaneous data.

The sources of this subsam ple are extracted from the EM SS (G ioia et al., 1990; Stocke et al., 1991), a catalog whose BL Lacs sam ple has been thoroughly studied for com pleteness (R ector, Stocke & Perlm an, 1999). A pilot study on the radio spectra of 8 XBLs from the EM SS and the HEAO-1 A-2 all-sky survey (P iocinotti et al., 1982) was perform ed by Stocke et al. (1985). Three objects showed a spectral slope which exceeds the lim it for

at spectrum and two more were marginally steep.Prelim inary analysis of the EM SS BL Lacs sam ple, by using non-simultaneous data at 6 and 20 cm from the EM SS and the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS:Condon et al., 1998) respectively, showed that about 30% of the objects have a spectral slope steeper than 0.5. This is the motivation that led us to investigate the EM SS sources by obtaining simultaneous spectra, which are not a ected by variability.

We brie y describe the sample of objects used for this work in x2; in x3 we present the data reduction process as well as ux densities and radio spectra for each object; in x4 we show the results obtained; our conclusions are summarized in x5. Throughout the paper we used H<sub>0</sub> = 50 km s<sup>1</sup> Mpc<sup>1</sup> and  $q_0 = 0$ , but no conclusions are dependent upon this choice of cosm ology.

2.The Sam ple

N am e	0 bs. date	Z
M S0122.1+0903	16 Jun 2000	0.339
M S0158.5+0019	16 Jun 2000	0.299
M S0205.7+ 3509	16 Jun 2000	> 0 <b>:</b> 351 <sup>+</sup>
M S0257.9+ 3429	16 Jun 2000	0.245
M S0317.0+1834	Jun 1984	0.190 <sup>y</sup>
M S0419.3+1943	16 Jun 2000	0.512
M S0607.9+7108	16 Jun 2000	0.267
M S0737 <b>.</b> 9+ 7441	16 Jun 2000	0.315
M S0922.9+7459	13 Jun 2000	0.638
M S0950 <b>.</b> 9+ 4929	13 Jun 2000	
M S1019.0+ 5139	13 Jun 2000	0.141
M S1050.7+4946	13 Jun 2000	0.140
M S1207 <b>.</b> 9+ 3945	Jun 1984	0.616 <sup>y</sup>
M S1221.8+2452	13 Jun 2000	0.218
M S1229,2+ 6430	13 Jun 2000	0.164
M S1235.4+ 6315	Jun 1984	0.297 <sup>y</sup>
M S1402.3+0416	Jun 1984	0.344 <b>:</b> <sup>y</sup>
M S1407 <b>.</b> 9+ 5954	13 Jun 2000	0.495
M S1443.5+ 6348	13 Jun 2000	0.299
M S1458.8+ 2249	13 Jun 2000	0.235
M S1534.2+0148	13 Jun 2000	0.312
M S1552.1+2020	13 Jun 2000	0.222
M S1757.7+7034	13 Jun 2000	0.407
M S2143.4+ 0704	16 Jun 2000	0.237
M S2336.5+0517	16 Jun 2000	0.74 <b>::</b> <sup>y</sup>
M S2347.4+1924	16 Jun 2000	0.515

( ) Redshift from Morris et al. (1991)

(<sup>+</sup>) Redshift from W atson et al. (2004)

 $\binom{Y}{}$  Redshift from Rector et al. (2000)

Table 1. The CW SR sam ple.

We restrict the analysis to the EMSS north of = 20 in order to ensure 100% identication rate. The revised EMSS BL Lac sample (Rector et al., 2000) then contains 36 BL Lacs from which we observed a subsample

of 22 objects, named hereafter CW SR. The sources were selected in posing  $f_{\rm fcm}$  1 mJy in the EM SS dataset and celestial positions that allowed observations at the Very Large A rray (VLA  $^1$ ) during June 2000. The resulting subsample is therefore not biased with respect to radio spectral index.

The VLA observations where perform ed on June 13 and June 16 in the L ( = 20 cm), C ( = 6 cm) and X ( = 3.6 cm) frequency bands. The observations were carried out in the C con guration. Thus, the maps have an angular resolution equal to  $16^{00}$ ,  $5^{00}$ . 1 and  $3^{00}$  at 20, 6 and 3.6 cm respectively. Each object was observed for 10 m inutes per frequency, obtaining a sensitivity of about 0.05 m Jy beam <sup>1</sup>. Flux densities were bootstrapped from 3C 286 (June 13) and 3C 48 (June 16) (B aars et al. 1977)

If we include in the CW SR sam ple the 4 EM SS objects published in Stocke et al. (1985), observed simultaneously on June 1984 at all the available wavelengths (20, 18, 6, 2.0 and 1.3 cm) with the VLA in the C/D hybrid con guration, we have 26 sources in total. These sources are listed in Table 1: the rst column indicates the source names, the second one the observation dates and the third one the redshift values.

For the same 26 ob jects we also have non-simultaneous data at 20 and 6 cm , respectively from NVSS and EMSS archives.

#### 3.D ata analysis

#### 3.1. Radio m aps

Radio m aps for the 22 CW SR sources observed on June 2000 were produced in A IP S with a standard procedure that includes: rem ovalofRFI from (u,v) data caused by incidental factors during the observations, calibration of all sources in am plitude and in phase, creation of a \cleaned" m ap of brightness distribution by using a CLEAN algorithm .Particular care was taken at 20cm to look and correct for contam inating sources.

For a m one stable phase calibration, a calibrator source was observed before and after each source observation. W henever possible, self-calibration was also applied.

We adopted a uniform weight function.We did some comparison with maps derived from natural weighting function and no signi cant di erences were observed.

The resulting m aps do not show m orphological structures that are worth publishing. All sources are tted by G aussians (even if som etim es with widths larger than the beam size).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The VLA is a facility of the National Radio Astronom y Observatory. The National Radio Astronom y Observatory (NRAO) is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreem ent by Associated Universities, Inc.

Cavalbtti, F., Wolter, A. et al.: VLA spectra of EMSS BL Lacs

	L band			C band			X band		
N am e	Ftot	Fpeak	mm s( 10 <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	Ftot <sup>a</sup>	Fpeak	mms(10 <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	Ftot	Fpeak	ms(10 <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>
M S0122.1+0903	1.34	1.44	6.26	1.47	1.39	3.30	1.28	1.08	2.60
M S0158.5+ 0019	13.26	12.46	8.67	9.70	8.87	3.00	8.16	7.36	3.00
M S0205.7+ 3509	3.53	3.53	13.85	5.24	5.04	3.40	4.96	4.24	2.49
M S0257.9+ 3429	8.80	8.23	10.00	10.79	9.41	3.80	11.23	9.98	3.05
M S0419.3+1943	6.10	5.66	8.44	9.05	7.91	3.18	8.07	7.16	2.57
M S0607.9+ 7108	15.33	13.12	40.71	14.37	13.97	3.58	10.34	9.82	3.74
M S0737.9+ 7441	25.60	24.78	9.29	22.46	21.04	3.45	20.07	19.39	3.26
M S0922.9+ 7459	43.37	21.88	8.57	4.17	3.59	4.00	2.89	2.66	3.30
M S0950.9+ 4929	4.58	4.56	5.67	3.42	3.16	2.88	3.25	2.89	2.72
M S1019.0+ 5139	2.71	2.84	8.03	2.97	2.75	3.16	2.86	2.70	2.60
M S1050.7+ 4946	57.13	48.84	7.34	42.71	39.59	3.46	38.19	32.44	3.31
M S1221.8+ 2452	23.31	21.17	13.02	22.00	20.95	3.50	21.59	19.80	2.75
M S1229.2+ 6430	54.68	51.51	11.87	46.31	43.97	4.65	40.96	38.21	3.20
M S1407.9+ 5954	31.51	24.54	12.67	19.55	16.80	4.44	16.77	13.67	3.02
M S1443.5+ 6348	9.74	9.55	51.29	4.47	3.88	4.69	6.29	5.11	3.17
M S1458.8+ 2249	48.42	47.47	8.75	87.99	85.33	5.23	94.41	91.87	3.00
M S1534.2+0148	65.12	60.16	8.10	34.07	25.29	3.29	24.01	15.96	2.57
M S1552.1+ 2020	64.46	56.92	53.89	38.79	31.93	3.79	29.61	24.06	2.71
M S1757.7+ 7034	11.68	11.45	15.61	11.15	10.53	3.78	9.80	9.03	3.07
M S2143.4+ 0704	78.59	65.10	15.48	51.87	45.27	6.31	43.57	37.21	2.29
M S2336.5+ 0517	11.82	8.83	12.62	5.86	5.26	3.30	4.69	4.38	2.80
M S2347.4+ 1924	4.27	4.64	9.96	3.01	2.87	2.90	2.42	2.19	2.70

<sup>a</sup> Totaland Peak Flux Density in m Jy <sup>b</sup> Root Mean Square in m Jy beam <sup>1</sup>

Table 2.  $F_{tot}$ ,  $F_{peak}$  and rm s m easured in the L (20 cm), C (6 cm) e X (3.6 cm) frequency band for the 22 X B Ls of CW SR sample observed on June 2000.

#### 3.2. Flux densities

# 32.1. Simultaneous ux densities

From the \cleaned" m aps we computed ux densities and their associated rm s errors by assuming the source signal as a Gaussian. We measured both the peak ux density ( $F_{peak}$ ) and the total ux density ( $F_{tot}$ ). We assume that  $F_{peak}$  represents the emission from the source core and we dene the extended ux  $F_{ext}$  as the di erence between  $F_{tot}$  and  $F_{peak}$ .

In Table 2  $F_{tot}$ ,  $F_{peak}$  and their associated rm s errors are listed for the CW SR sample. By comparing the peak and total ux densities, we con rm that this sample and the C data we obtained follow the BL Lac trend to be compact: we found that the maximum value of the ratio  $F_{tot}=F_{peak}$  is 1.98 at 20 cm. In x4.1 we better analyze this aspect.

The noise for each m ap was determ ined from the m s uctuations in areas free of radio em ission. We com pared these m s errors (see Table 2) with their ideal values (0.032, 0.028 and 0.023 m Jy in L, C and X band respectively) from the VLA m anual (Perley, 2000). While in C and X bands the m s errors were found sim ilar to their ideal limits, in L band they were larger than their theoretical values. This can be explained by additional interference at 20 cm, and is not a particularly unexpected result.

For 3 sources (M S0122.1+ 0903, M S1019.0+ 5139, M S2-347+1924) at 20 cm we measured a total ux density smaller than the peak ux density (but consistent within the errors) probably because of phase errors not com – pletely removed from radio maps by cleaning processes. D ue to the small numerical di erence between  $F_{tot}$  and  $F_{peak}$  ( $F_{tot}=F_{peak}$  equal to 0.93,0.95 and 0.92 respectively) and since BL Lacs are known as objects with a high coreto-extended ratio (e.g. Perlm an & Stocke, 1993), this does not introduce signi cant biases.

In three sources (namely M S0607.9+7108, M S1443.5+6348, M S1552+2020) we measured a very high m s threshold because of a source in the neighborhood, either very bright or extended, that could not be properly cleaned. In Table 3 we report simultaneous data for the 4 objects included in the CW SR sample from Stocke et al. (1985). The total ux density  $F_{tot}$  and their associated m s errors are listed at all the observed wavelengths.

#### 322.Non-simultaneous ux densities

M ost datasets available to compute radio spectra are not simultaneous; m oreover, BL Lacs are known to be variable objects (e.g. Angel & Stockman, 1980; U hich, M araschi & U rry, 1995). To assess the impact of variability on non-simultaneous m easurements of  $_r$ , we statistically compare the  $_r$  values m easured from simultanneous data to values determined with non-simultaneous data. W e used 20cm NVSS (Condon et al. 1998) and 6cm EM SS (M accacaro et al. 1994) catalog uxes. Since M S0122.1+ 0903 and M S0419.3+ 1943 do not appear in the NVSS catalog (they are at the completeness limit) we measured their uxes from the NVSS on-line radio im ages which can be down-loaded in FITS form at (see http://www.cv.nrao.edu/NVSS/postage.htm ]).

In Table 4 ux densities are shown for the 26 listed objects.

	F <sub>tot</sub> (m Jy)				
N am e	20cm	18cm	6cm	2 cm	1.3cm
M S0317.0+1834	17.3 0.6	16.5 0.8	11.5 0.23	5.5 0.3	< 4.5
M S1207.9+ 3945	15.1 1.1	18.3 1.3	6.1 0.4	0.9 0.3	< 4.2
M S1235.4+ 6315	10.7 0.5	10.1 0.5	7.0 0.38	4.6 0.3 1	lotObs.
M S1402.3+ 0416	35.5 1.3	38.2 1.4	20.8 0.4	14.5 0.3	8.7 1.4

Table 3. F<sub>tot</sub> and ms reported from Stocke et al. (1985) for the 4 EM SS objects included in the CW SR sample.

	N	EM SS	
		(6cm )	
N am e	Ftot	Fpeak	Ftot
M S0122.1+0903	2.49	1.75	1.4
M S0158.5+0019	12.7	12.7	11.3
M S0205.7+ 3509	4.7	4.7	3.6
M S0257.9+ 3429	10.1	10.1	10.0
M S0317.0+1834	20.9	17.9	11.5
M S0419.3+1943	2.85	2.76	0.8
M S0607.9+7108	25.2	25.2	18.2
M S0737.9+7441	22.7	22.7	24.0
M S0922.9+7459	84.2	59.2	3.3
M S0950.9+ 4929	2.6	2.6	3.3
M S1019.0+ 5139	4.8	4.8	2.4
M S1050.7+4946	64.1	64.1	53.8
M S1207.9+ 3945	18.7	18.7	6.1
M S1221.8+ 2452	24.5	24.5	26.4
M S1229.2+ 6430	58.0	54.5	42.0
M S1235.4+ 6315	12.1	12.1	7.0
M S1402.3+ 0416	40.7	40.7	20.8
M S1407.9+ 5954	35.7	33.3	16.5
M S1443.5+ 6348	17.6	17.6	11.6
M S1458.8+ 2249	31.9	31.9	29.8
M S1534.2+0148	72.3	72.3	34.0
M S1552.1+ 2020	79.0	79.0	37.5
M S1757.7+7034	10.3	10.3	7.2
M S2143.4+ 0704	101.1	95.1	50.0
M S2336.5+0517	16.5	13.6	4.9
M S2347.4+1924	4.7	4.7	3.2

Total and Peak Flux Density in m Jy

Table 4. Total ux densities drawn from NVSS (20 cm) and EMSS (6 cm) archives for the 26 sources.NVSS peak ux density is also listed.

## 3.3. Radio Spectra

By using the multi-frequency ux densities measured (Tables 2 and 3) we construct simultaneous spectra for CW SR sources. The spectral shape is assumed to be a power law (S /  $^{\rm r}$ ). The slopes were computed with the Least Square M ethod (LSQ) and are reported in Table 5.At 20 cm the M S0922.9+ 7459 radio m ap is confused due to the superposition of another source (probably a radio galaxy in a foreground cluster). Thus, the corresponding ux density reported in this work, even if it is the best measure we could obtain, is to be considered as an upper limit and was not included in all the spectral slope calculations for this source.

To investigate whether variability could a ect the spectral slope, we calculated simultaneous and non-simultaneous two-point (20 cm + 6 cm)  $_{\rm r}$  values with the EM SS (6 cm), NVSS (20 cm) and June 2000 (20 cm + 6 cm) observations for the CW SR sources.

We computed as well the spectral index for the source cores, nding no signi cant changes with respect the  $_{\rm r}$  calculated with total ux density. The only source that presents a remarkable di erence between the core spectral

	sim. r	non-sim . r		
N am e	CW SR	NVSS/	NVSS/	CW SR/
N am e	{	EM SS	CW SR	EM SS
M S0122.1+ 0903	0.09	0.48	0.44	0.02
M S0158.5+ 0019	0.28	0.10	0.22	0.13
M S0205.7+ 3509	0.22	0.22	0.09	0.017
M S0257.9+ 3429	0.15	0.01	0.05	0.11
M S0317.0+1834	0.48	0.57	0.50	0.02
M S0419.3+ 1943	0.19	0.86	1.00	0.23
M S0607.9+ 7108	0.20	0.27	0.47	0.14
M S0737.9+ 7441	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.05
M S0922.9+ 7459	0.72	2.70	2.50	2.32
M S0950.9+ 4929	0.21	0.20	0.23	0.27
M S1019.0+ 5139	0.01	0.56	0.40	0.14
M S1050.7+ 4946	0.24	0.15	0.34	0.05
M S1207.9+ 3945	1.25	0.94	0.93	0.80
M S1221.8+ 2452	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.10
M S1229.2+ 6430	0.16	0.27	0.19	0.22
M S1235.4+ 6315	0.36	0.48	0.46	0.35
M S1402.3+ 0416	0.42	0.59	0.56	0.64
M S1407.9+ 5954	0.37	0.64	0.50	0.54
M S1443.5+ 6348	0.33	0.35	1.14	0.15
M S1458.8+ 2249	0.41	0.06	0.84	0.40
M S1534.2+0148	0.57	0.63	0.63	0.54
M S1552.1+ 2020	0.45	0.62	0.59	0.45
M S1757.7+ 7034	0.09	0.30	0.07	0.40
M S2143.4+ 0704	0.34	0.59	0.55	0.38
M S2336.5+ 0517	0.53	1.01	0.86	0.73
M S2347.4+ 1924	0.39	0.32	0.37	0.31

T able 5. Simultaneous and non-simultaneous spectral indices are listed for 26 objects (S /  $^{\rm r}$  ).

index (  $_r = 0.14$ ) and the total spectral index (  $_r = 0.72$ ) is M S0922.9+ 7459.

In Table 5, spectral indices are listed from nonsimultaneous and simultaneous spectra. The second colum n gives simultaneous slopes for the 26 sources of the CW SR sample; in the third, fourth and fth column nonsimultaneous slopes are computed, respectively, with 20cm NVSS and 6cm EM SS observations, with 20cm NVSS and 6cm CW SR observations, with 20cm CW SR and 6cm EM SS observations.By comparing di erent values of spectral slope, we note that 11 of 26 objects have spectral indices that could be classi ed di erently depending on the dataset used; in particularly, 9 of 11 sources could have a at or steep spectrum, 1 source (M S0419+19-43) could have an inverted or at spectrum, 1 source (M S1458.8+2249) could have an inverted, at or steep spectrum.

In the next section we will consider a few explanation that could a ect these measurements: the well-known variability of these objects and the angular resolution of the observations. D at a taken with dierent angular resolution in fact could measure, if present, a dierent amount of extended ux. 4.Results

4.1. Core-to-total ux density ratio

frequency band				
L (20 cm)	0.94	0.02		
L (20 cm)	0.96	0.01		
C (6 cm)	0.99	0.03		
X (3.6 cm)	1.00	0.06		
F it without M \$0922 9+ 7459				

F it w ithout M S0922.9+ 7459

Table 6.Results of the tof F  $_{\rm P\,eak}$  vs.F  $_{\rm T\,ot}$  for the CW SR sources indicating that an extended component is visible at 20 cm .

It is already known that BL Lacs are very coredom inated sources (e.g. Perlm an & Stocke, 1993) and that this behavior is more evident in higher frequency observations. This is con rm ed from our data (see section 3.2.1). In fact, we con rm the trend of the core-dom inance in the CW SR sample by tting  $F_{peak}$  vs  $F_{tot}$  (from Table 2) with the LSQ. The LSQ slope and intercept are given in Table 6: the rst column gives the VLA frequency band, the second and the third column, respectively, give the slope and the intercept of the LSQ t.We compute the t also excluding the L band value of M S0922.9+7459 (that is confused, see section 3.3)

The errors on the slopes are typically 0.1-0.2, and therefore only at 20 cm there is a small deviation from a linear t, indicating the presence of an extended com – ponent which is more visible for brighter sources.

We also checked for a possible redshift dependence of the core-dom inance since extended surface brightness dim s as  $(1 + z)^4$ , so signi cant amount of ux from the extended component could be lost at high z. In addition, since at large distances a given angular resolution corresponds to a larger physical size, if much of the extended ux of a source is close to the core, some of its inner part could be subsumed into the central component and therefore included with the measured core ux. Perlm an & Stocke (1993) studied the possible biases introduced by these terms and found that for sources with z 0.5 there is only a modest correction. Since the objects in this work are allat z < 0.5, we estim ate that the loss of the extended ux due to these biases was not signi cant and, thus, was not considered.

#### 4.2. Spectral indices

We studied the distribution of spectral slopes reported in Table 5. In Figure 1 the 4  $_{\rm r}$  distributions obtained for the simultaneous and non-simultaneous datasets are shown.The dividing line at  $_{\rm r} = 0.5$  is plotted as a dashed line.



Figure 1. The  $_r$  distributions for the 26 sources in the CW SR sample. P lot a), upper left, shows the spectral indices calculated from the simultaneous CW SR data. P lot b), upper right, shows the spectral indices calculated from 20cm NVSS data and 6cm EM SS data. P lot c), bottom left, shows the spectral indices calculated from 20cm NVSS data and 6cm CW SR data. P lot d), bottom right, shows the spectral indices calculated from 20cm CW SR data and 6cm EM SS data. The vertical dashed line represents the cut-o value  $_r = 0.5$ .

In Table 7 we report the percentage of sources with a spectrum steeper than r = 0.5. The rst column gives the di erent datasets used to compute the spectra; the second colum n gives the percentage of steep spectra com puted; the third column gives the time span between the di erent datasets; the fourth colum n gives the VLA conqurations used for the observations. As shown in Table 7, we found that about 15% of the sources have steep spectra com puted with simultaneous data, and even larger percentages with non-simultaneous data. As shown in Figure 1 the distribution of r is a rather continuous one, so that picking a single value to divide it in two populations is rather arbitrary, and does not have a physical m eaning. However, this has both practical and historical reasons (see e.g. the 1Jy BL Lac sam ple de nition in Kuhr & Schmidt, 1990) and so it remains an important issue to be addressed.

To investigate whether the di erent subsamples under consideration are consistent with each other, we performed a Kolm ogorov-Sm imov test for the four  $_r$  distributions (see Figure 1) by comparing their respective datasets considered in Table 7. We cannot exclude that the four dataset combinations are extracted from the same population (P > 32%). How ever, the sm allnum ber of points does not allow any further investigations.

Cavallotti, F., Wolter, A. et al.: VLA spectra of EMSS BL Lacs

data	asets	8		V T.A
20 cm	6 cm	steep sp.	yrs	con g
CW SR	CW SR	15.4	0	C + C /D
NVSS	EM SS	38.5	5-10	D,C
NVSS	CW SR	38.5	4-7	D,C
CW SR	EM SS	23.1	12-14	C+C/D,C
A lso 3.6 cm observations in C con guration and 18 and 2 cm observations				
in C/D con guration are used				

Table 7. The percentage of objects with steep spectra ( $_r > 0.5$ ; S /  $_r$ ) is listed for di erent datasets.

Since by using non-simultaneous data we nd a higher percentage of steep spectrum sources, it seems straightforward to ascribe this e ect to ux variability, that we know is present in this class of objects. However, other factors are at play. Again from Table 7, we notice that the higher percentages of steep spectra correspond to the use of the 20cm NVSS data, which were carried out with the VLA array in D con guration. A spreviously discussed (see 4.1), this probably indicates that a larger fraction of extended ux, which has a spectrum steeper than the core emission, is detected in these datasets. The G aussian distribution of the NVSS-to-CW SR 20cm data ratio seems to con m this hypothesis: the distribution m ean value is  $x_{m ean} = 1:19$ , indicating that the NVSS ux densities exceed on average the CW SR values by 19%.

The e ect cannot be ascribed to confusion due to a large beam, since inspection of the higher resolution C con guration images shows that no sources fall into the NVSS beam beside the target BL Lac.

Thus, along with variability, we might suggest that a signi cant fraction of extended ux, that is considered negligible by BL Lacs beaming models (e.g. Blandford & Rees, 1978, Antonucci & Ulvestad, 1985), is present, and could at least partially explain the di erences obtained in the  $_{\rm r}$  distributions.



Figure 2.  $_{\rm r}$  against z is plotted for the simultaneous dataset. The LSQ  $\,$  t and the respective functional form are also shown.

To investigate what in uence the detection of an extended component has on the spectral index, we plotted the spectral slopes of the CW SR sources against redshift z in Figure 2.W e con m what we assumed in x4.1 that no redshift e ect is present in the detection of extended ux due to decreasing of brightness. The LSQ t in Figure 2 is consistent with a constant due to the large error on slope (0.41 0.39) and if anything sources at larger redshift seem to have steeper slopes.

To assess possible biases due to the varying spectral slope, we computed total lum inosity for the 25 XBLs with known redshift in the L, C and X frequency bands. For the 22 CW SR sources observed on June 2000, peak and extended lum inosities could also be computed from the peak and total ux densities; where extended em ission was not detected, conservative upper limits on extended radio lum inosity levels were obtained by assuming that each source has uniform ly bright extended em ission at 1 detection level over a 3000 kpc<sup>2</sup> area surrounding the core (see Rector et al. 2000 for a description of how to compute the extended lum inosities). The lum inosity of the extended com ponent is in general in agreem entwith what found previously (e.g. Rector et al. 2000, Perlm an & Stocke 1993) so we do not report values here. In fact the C con guration snapshot data do not have a su cient uv coverage to warrant a better de nition of the core than the previous A con quration data on the same sources.

To test whether there is a correlation between lum inosities and spectral slope, we can puted the correlation are cient for the LSQ t and its goodness probability. We found again that there is a 99% probability that a correlation exists only for extended lum inosity at 20 cm. We check also a possible dependence of  $_{\rm r}$  on NVSS and EM SS radio lum inosities. The correlation between spectral slope and 20 cm lum inosity seems to support the idea that the extended com ponent is in portant in these objects and that this is the main factor to explain the di erence in the spectral measures.

By splitting our sample of 26 objects in two groups, steep and at spectra, we studied the di erent distribution of redshift, radio lum inosity (at 20 and 6 cm), X lum inosity (0.3-3.5 keV) and 20cm core dom inance.

We plot in Figure 3 (left) the histogram of the redshift distribution for objects with  $_r > 0.5$  and  $_r = 0.5$  respectively. The at-spectrum dataset has on average redshift values smaller than the steep-spectrum one. Since this is a ux limited sample, it is fair that we nd the same be-



Figure 3. The redshift left and core dom inance right distribution of at spectra objects compared to the steep spectra ones.

havior also for the radio and X -ray lum inosities. However we stress that the redshift range of this sample is small, and in particular lower than the radio selected samples like the 1Jy.

In Figure 3 (right), we show the histogram of core dom inance for the two datasets, at 20 cm. As expected, the steep spectrum sources show in general a smaller degree of core dom inance, even if the statistical signi cance of the result is marginal.



Figure 4. The logarithm of core-dom inance R (at 20 cm) is plotted against the radio spectrum  $_{\rm r}$ . The regression line has a slope of 1.02 with rather large uncertainties (0.32).

We also plot in Figure 4 the core-dom inance at 20 cm against the radio spectral slope  $_{\rm r}$ , for the sources for which we have a measure (i.e. not an upper lim it) for R. The two variables have a correlation coe cient of 0.63, indicating that, within the available statistics, they are correlated at > 99%.

#### $4.3. hV_e = V_a i$ and the spectral index selection

O ne controversialissue in the study of BL Lacs is their evolutionary behavior. The rst studies were based on the EM SS and found evidence for \negative evolution" (ie.



Figure 5.  $V_e=V_a$  against  $_r$  is shown for the 25 XBLs with a redshift measure. The  $hV_e=V_a$  i trend is also plotted with error-bars for  $_r$  grouped in three bins ( $_r < 0.2$ ;  $0.2 < _r < 0.5$ ;  $_r > 0.5$ ). The dotted line represents the mean value of  $hV_e=V_a$  i.

less num erous/lum inous objects in the past; M accacaro et al. 1984; M orris et al. 1991; W olter et al. 1994; R ector et al. 2000). At the same time the 1Jy sample (selected in the radio band, and with a constraint on  $_{\rm r} < 0.5$ , K uhr & Schmidt 1990) showed no or mild positive evolution (Stickel et al. 1991; R ector & Stocke 2001). It is therefore interesting to think that the di erent criteria, in particular the at radio spectral index, could select sam ples with di erent evolution properties. This result is obtained if the steep spectrum BL Lacs, present only in X-ray selected sam ples, all show a very negative evolution. Since the num ber involved in these sam ples are sm all (20-40 objects in total), even few objects have a large weight.

We used the standard  $hV_e=V_a i$  test (A vni & Bachall, 1980) to check the uniform ity of the spatial distribution of the objects in a ux limited sample. For each object the two volumes ( $V_e$  and  $V_a$ ) are derived on the basis of the observed redshift and the maximum redshift at which the object could be still included in the survey. A value of  $hV_e=V_a i = 0.5$  is given by a uniform distribution, while lower values of  $hV_e=V_a i$  indicate a distribution skew ed towards low redshifts. D etails can be found e.g. in M orris et al (1991). We stress here that only the X-ray ux limits are used to derive the maximum available volume. In fact the EM SS did not have any requirement on the radio ux or optical ux to have an object enter the sample, and all the EM SS BL Lacs have been also detected in the radio band.

To test the impact of the  $_r$  selection criteria on the measure of the evolution, we studied the correlation between  $V_e=V_a$  and the radio spectral index  $_r$  for the 25 objects in the CW SR sample with a redshift measure (see Rector et al. 2000 for the  $V_e=V_a$  values), plotted in Figure 5. The data have been also grouped in three  $_r$  bins to

better show the trend ( $_r < 0.2; 0.2 < _r < 0.5; _r > 0.5$ ) and plotted in Figure 5 with error bars that reject number statistics. We indicate the mean value is consistent with a constant in the 3 intervals of  $_r$ . If anything there is a slight suggestion that XBLs with steep spectra ( $_r > 0.5$ ) have a higher mean  $hV_e = V_a$  i value. Thus, it seems that the exclusion of steep spectra objects in RBLs could not cause the di erences observed in the cosm ological evolution with respect to the EM SS sam ple.

The picture ishow ever far from clear: while surveys like the Deep X -ray Radio B lazar Survey (DXRBS; Perlm an et al. 1998; Padovaniet al. 2002; G iom m iet al., 2002), the \sedentary" survey (G iom m iet al. 1999) and the ROSAT - All Sky Survey (RASS; Bade et al. 1998; Beckm ann et al. 2003) found very low values of  $N_e=V_a$  i for XBLs, other surveys like the Radio Em itting X -ray survey (REX; C accianiga et al. 1999, C accianiga et al., 2002) did not nd the sam e result, possibly indicating that the negative evolution in this class of objects is not so strong as was previously m easured.

# 5.Conclusions

By using uxes at 20, 6 and 3.6 cm, simultaneously measured with the VLA, we computed the radio spectra for a complete subsample of 22 XBLs from the EMSS (G ioia et al., 1990, Stocke et al., 1991). To this sam ple we added 4 sources whose simultaneous spectra were obtained by Stocke et al. (1985). The aim was to study the spectral slope distribution at radio frequencies without possible biases introduced by the at-radio-spectrum criterion offen used in selecting BL Lac samples. W e found that about 15% of the sources have steep spectra.W e considered the archived non-simultaneous data as well, nding an even higher percentage of steep spectra. This e ect could be ascribed to variability, but other factors seem more significant in determ ining the measured slope of spectra. The higher percentage of steep spectra objects ( 38%), obtained by using the 20cm NVSS observations, im plies the presence of a large fraction of extended ux that is preferentially detected by the VLA D con guration. This seems also supported by a possible correlation between spectral index and 20cm extended lum inosities, computed either with simultaneous or non-simultaneous data.

We nd that the possible biases introduced by the at{ radio-spectrum criterion in the RBLs sam ples cannot easily account for the discrepancies observed in the  $hV_e=V_a$  i values of RBLs and XBLs sam ples and therefore the issue of evolution of di erent BL Lac classes is still open. The percentages of steep spectrum BL Lacs found for the EM SS sam ple cannot be applied straightforw ardly to RBL sam ples, since a) the selection by radio spectral index is som etim es com puted using non-sim ultaneous data; b) the XBLs properties are di erent from those of RBLs in the sense that RBLs are m ore variable and possibly m ore core dom inated. If the observed spread in  $_r$  is m ostly due to variability, we should expect a larger fraction of steep RBLs than XBLs. If most of the e ect is due, as the data seem to suggest, to the presence of a signi cant extended component, that is lesser in RBL, the in uence on the radio selected objects should be less severe.

We stress here that the  $_r$  distribution in the EM SS BL Lacs simultaneous data is a continuous one and so any division in two subsamples is som ewhat arbitrary and does not have a physical underlying support. We are tempted to suggest a di erent cut-o value of  $_r = 0.7$  (as done e.g. by Perlm an et al. 1998 in DXRBS) that would then exclude 10% of all BL Lacs based upon our ndings here. But, because this would also include a very large number of \norm al" radio galaxies, som e of which with optical featureless spectra (see e.g. discussions in Rector & Stocke, 2001, Perlm an et al. 1996), other inform ation would have to be used to de ne them as BL Lacs (e.g. variable optical polarization).

However, we have shown that the selection e ects applied by using a cut-o value in the radio spectral index of  $_r = 0.5$  are not so severe. The steeper BL Lacs that are excluded from this criterion seem to have the same overall properties of the at ones, especially for what concerns the cosm ological distribution. We can therefore conclude that the discrepancies found by using di erent sam ples cannot be ascribed to the at radio spectrum criterion.

A cknow ledgem ents. It is pleasure to thank Tom m aso M accacaro for stimulating discussions and suggestions. We thank the referee for his comments that helped improve the paper. This work has received partial nancial support from the Italian Space Agency (ASI) and M IUR.

#### References

- Angel, J.R.P. and Stockm an, H.S., 1980, ARA&A, 18, 321
- Antonucci, R. R. J., Ulvestad, J.S., 1985, ApJ, 294, 158
- Avni, Y., & Bahcall, J.N., 1980, ApJ, 235, 694
- Baars, JW M, Genzel, R., Pauliny-Toth, IIK., W itzel, A., 1977, A&A, 61, 99
- Bade, N., Beckmann, V., Douglas, N.G., Barthel, P.D., Engels, D., Cordis, L., Nass, P., Voges, W., 1998, A&A, 334, 459
- Beckm ann, V ., Engels, D ., Bade, N ., W ucknitz, O ., 2003, A & A , 411, 327
- Blandford, R. and Rees, M. J., 1978, in Pittsburgh Conference on BL LacObjects, ed. A. M. Wolfe (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press), p.328
- Caccianiga, A., Maccacaro, T., Wolter, A., Della Ceca, R., Gioia, IM., 1999, ApJ, 513, 51
- Caccianiga, A., Marcha, M.J.M., Maccacaro, T., Wolter, A., Della Ceca, R., Gioia, IM, 2002, ASP Conf. Ser. 284: AGN Surveys, 257
- Condon, J.J., Cotton, W D., Greisen, E.W., Yin, Q.F., Perley, R.A., Taylor, G.B., Broderick, J.J., 1998, AJ, 115, 1693
- Fleming, T A., Green, R F., Jannuzi, B. T., Liebert, J., Smith, P.S., Fink, H., 1993, AJ, 106, 1729
- Gioia, IM., Maccacaro, T., Schild, R.E., Wolter, A., Stocke, J.T., Morris, S.L., Henry, J.P., 1990, ApJS, 72, 567
- Giom mi, P., Menna, M.T., Padovani, P., 1999, MNRAS, 310, 465

- G iom m i, P., Padovani, P., Perri, M., Landt, H., Perlm an, E., 2002, B lazar A strophysics with BeppoSAX and O ther Observatories, Eds. G iom m i, P., M assaro, E., Palum bo, G., ESA-ESR IN, 133
- Jannuzi, B.T., Sm ith, P.S. and Elston, R., 1993, ApJS, 85, 265
- Jannuzi, B.T., Sm ith, P.S. and Elston, R., 1994, ApJ, 428, 130

Kuhr, H., Schm idt, G D., 1990, AJ, 99, 1

- Landt, H., Padovani, P., Perlman, E.S., Giommi, P., Bignall, H., Tzioumis, A., 2001, MNRAS, 323, 757
- Laurent-Muehleisen, SA., Kollgaard, R.I., Moellenbrock, G.A., Feigelson, E.D., 1993, AJ, 106, 875
- M accacaro, T., W olter, A., M cLean, B., G ioia, IM., Stocke, J.T., D ella C eca, R., Burg, R., Faccini, R., 1994, ApJL&C, 29, 267
- Marcha, MJM., Browne, IW A., Impey, CD., Smith, PS., 1996, MNRAS, 281, 425
- Morris, S.L., Stocke, J.T., Gioia, IM., Schild, R.E., Wolter, A., Maccacaro, T., Della Ceca, R., 1991, ApJ, 380, 49
- Owen, FN, Ledlow, MJ. and Keel, W.C., 1996, AJ, 111, 53
- Padovani, P., & Giommi, P., 1995, ApJ, 444, 567
- Padovani, P., 2002, Blazar Astrophysics with BeppoSAX and Other Observatories, Eds. G iom m i, P., M assaro, E., Palum bo, G., ESA-ESR IN, 101
- Perley, R A ., 2000, The Very Large A rray O bservational Status Sum m ary, ed. R M . H jellm ing
- Perlm an, E.S. and Stocke, J.T., 1993, ApJ, 406, 430
- Perlm an, E S., Stocke, J.T., W ang, Q D., M orris, S L., 1996, A pJ, 465, 451
- Perlm an, E.S., Padovani, P., Giom mi, P., Sam bruna, R., Jones, L.R., Tzioumis, A., Reynolds, J., 1998, AJ, 115, 1253
- Piccinotti, G., Mushotzky, R.F., Boldt, E.A., Holt, S.S., Marshall, F.E., and Serlem itsos, P.J., and Shafer, R.A., 1982, ApJ, 253, 485
- Rector, T A ., Stocke, J.T. and Perlm an, E .S., 1999, ApJ, 516, 145
- Rector, T A ., Stocke, J.T ., Perlm an, E S ., M orris, S L ., G ioia, IM ., 2000, A J, 120, 1626
- Rector, T A , Stocke, J.T , 2001, AJ, 122, 565
- Stickel, M., Padovani, P., Urry, C.M., Fried, J.W., Kuhr, H., 1991, ApJ, 374, 431
- Stocke, J.T., Liebert, J, Schmidt, G., Gioia, IM., Maccacaro, T., Schild, R.E., Maccagni, D., App, H.C., 1985, ApJ, 298, 619
- Stocke, J.T., Morris, S.L., Gioia, IM., Maccacaro, T., Schild, R.E., Wolter, A., Fleming, T.A., Henry, J.P., 1991, ApJS, 76, 813
- Ulrich, M. H., Maraschi, L., Urry, C. M., 1997, ARA & A, 35, 445
- Urry, C M . and Padovani, P., 1995, PASP, 107, 803
- W atson, D., M cBreen, B., Hanlon, L., Reeves, J.N., Smith, N., Perlman, E., Stocke, J. & Rector, T. 2004, A & A, in press (astro-ph/0402115).
- W olter, A., Caccianiga, A., Della Ceca, R., Maccacaro, T., 1994, ApJ, 433, 29