Published online in Science Express (26 Feb. 2004) To appear in print in Science (2004)

D iscovery of a large dust disk around the nearby star AU M icroscopium

PaulKalas<sup>1,2</sup>, MichaelC.Liu<sup>3</sup>, Brenda C.M atthew s<sup>1</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

W e present the discovery of a circum stellar dust disk surrounding AU M icroscopium (AU M ic, G J 803, HD 197481). This young M star at 10 parsec has the sam e age and origin as P ictoris, another nearby star surrounded by a dust disk. The AU M ic disk is detected between 50 AU and 210 AU radius, a region where dust lifetim es exceed the present stellar age. Thus, AU M ic is the nearest star where we directly observe the solid m aterial required for planet form ation. Since 85% of stars are M -type, the AU M ic disk provides new clues on how the m a prity of planetary system s m ight form and evolve.

#### 1. Introduction

About 15% of nearby main-sequence stars exhibit an excess of far-infrared radiation that points to the existence of circum stellar dust grains (1). Dust grains have short lifetimes, and their continued presence in plies a source of replenishment. The solar system has a disk-like distribution of dust that is continually replenished by the sublimation of comets and collisions between asteroids. We therefore infer that similar populations of undetected parent bodies produce dusty debris disks around infrared excess stars. Moreover, evidence for planets can be found by matching density variations in debris disks to theoretical models of how planets gravitationally perturb these disks (2, 3, 4). In elect, circum stellar debris disks are a signpost for the existence of extrasolar planetary systems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A stronom y D epartm ent and R adio A stronom y Laboratory, 601 C am pbell H all, B erkeley, CA 94720

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>N ational Science Foundation Center for A daptive Optics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Institute for A stronom y, 2680 W ood lawn Dr., Honolulu, HI 96822

D irect in ages of debris disks are rare. Starlight rejecting o optically thin debris disks is detected at optical and near-infrared wavelengths in only three cases – Pictoris, HR 4796A and HD 141569 (5, 6, 7). In three more cases – Vega, Fom alhaut, and Eridani – debris disk structure is seen only at therm al infrared wavelengths (8, 9). Pic, HR 4796A and HD 141569 are relatively young (< 20 M yr) main sequence stars that have the largest disk masses, and hence represent the more detectable of the debris disk system s.

Pic has G alactic space motions in common with two M stars, AU M ic and AT M ic, that have ages 20 M yr (10). These stars and Pic m ay be co-eval sister stars that have separated in space over time due to the small di erences in their space motions. A total of 17 stars m ay be members of this group with age 8 20 M yr (11). AU M ic has signi cant infrared excess at 60 m (12, 13) and recent sub-millimeter data reveal the the presence of cold (40 K) dust, a total dust mass roughly three times smaller than that of Pic (Table 1), an absence of molecular gas, and a lack of grains within 17 AU of the star (14).

#### 2. Observations

We endeavored to directly in age circum stellar dust around AU M ic with an optical stellar coronagraph at the University of H awaii 2.2-m telescope on M auna K ea, H awaii (15). The stellar coronagraph (F ig. S1) produces articial eclipses of stars by blocking the light at the focal plane with a circular opaque m ask suspended by four wires. Instrum ental directed light is blocked by a Lyot m ask in the pupil plane. The net result is signi cantly enhanced contrast in the regions surrounding bright stars. The in aging cam era behind the coronagraph is a Tek 2048 CCD with a scale of  $0.41^{\circ}$ /pixel. All our data were obtained through a standard broadband R lifer ( $_{c}$ = 647 nm, = 125 nm).

We acquired data on 14 and 15 O ctober, 2003, with  $6.5^{\circ\circ}$  and  $9.5^{\circ\circ}$  diam eter occulting spots, respectively. We obtained ve 240-s and three 300-s in ages of AU M ic on the rst and second nights, respectively. In addition to AU M ic, we observed ve other bright stars to check for spurious features such as di raction spikes and internal relections (Table S1). A disk-like relection nebulosity surrounding AU M ic was detected in raw data during both nights of observation and does not m atch the position angle (PA, measured east from north), width, or morphology of instrum ental di raction spikes. The im age quality as measured by the full-width at halfm aximum (FW HM) of eld stars was  $1.1^{\circ\circ}$ .

D at a reduction followed the standard steps of bias subtraction, at-elding and skysubtraction. We then subtracted the stellar point spread function (PSF) to rem ove excess stellar light from around the occulting spot. We used the real PSF's from other stars observed throughout each night, as well as arti cial PSF's. A rti cial PSF subtraction is e ective for AU M ic because the circum stellar disk is close to edge-on. W e extracted the stellar PSF for each in age of AU M ic by sam pling the in age radially in a direction perpendicular to the PA of the disk. We then t a polynom ial to the data and generated an arti cial PSF that is a gure of rotation of the polynom ial. The PSF's were then scaled and registered to each data fram e such that subtraction m in im ized the residual light in directions perpendicular to the disk beyond the edge of the occulting spot. In general, the di erent PSF subtractions produce com parable results, with m inor di erences appearing a few pixels beyond the edge of the occulting spot. To evaluate the uncertainties in our nal im age, we measured disk properties in four di erent data sets that represent two nights of observation, and each with two di erent PSF subtraction techniques. The data for Pic from (16) that are discussed below were obtained with the same telescope, coronagraph, and lter, and analyzed using sim ilar techniques.

#### 3. Circum stellar Dust M orphology

The rejection nebulosity around AU M ic is consistent with a circum stellar disk seen at a near edge-on viewing geom etry (Fig. 1, Fig. S2). We detect the disk as far as  $21^{00}$  (210 AU) from the star (17). This sensitivity-limited value is a lower limit to the true disk outer radius. The inner radius of the detected disk is  $5^{00}$  (50 AU) and is mainly limited by the radius of the occulting spot and artifacts of the PSF subtraction. The position angles of the two disk midplanes di er by about 6 3; PA = 124 2 for the SE side and PA = 310 1 for the NW side. A similar, 1.0 2.5 o set, called the \wing-tilt asymmetry", was measured for the Picmidplanes (16). A symmetric disk can appear to have a wing-tilt when the disk axis is tilted to the line of sight and the scattering phase function is non-isotropic. A m odel-dependent relationship between the observed wing-tilt and the intrinsic disk inclination (16) suggests that AU M ic disk is inclined 7 20 from edge-on. On the other hand, the sharp m idplane m orphology is consistent with m odel disks that have inclinations no greater than 5 from edge-on (18, Fig. S3). Until higher resolution data are obtained and analyzed, we adopt a disk inclination of 5 from edge-on.

Power-law ts to the disk m idplanes between  $6^{\circ}$  (60 AU) and  $16^{\circ}$  (120 AU) radius give indices of -3.6 and -3.9 for the NW and SE extensions, respectively (Fig. 2). These indices are similar to the power-law ts for the NE and SW disk extensions of Pic (Table 1) (16). However, the m idplane proles for Pic become less steep inward of 100 AU. No such turnover is seen for the AU M ic radial proles. The NW m idplane of AU M ic also shows a signi cant enhancement in surface brightness  $9^{\circ}$  radius from the star (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). This could be due to a background source, but further tests using color, polarization and proper motion information should be evaluated before excluding a physical connection to AU M ic.

#### 4. Discussion

The existence of morphologically similar dust disks around AU M ic and P ic supports the hypothesis that these are sister stars born at the same time and location. However, the two disks are not twins. The total mass of dust estimated from the spectral energy distributions is 3.3 times greater for P ic relative to AU M ic (Table 1). The relative brightnesses of the two disks in optical data are consistent with this result. To make the comparison, we imagine placing the P ic dust disk around AU M ic. In F igure 2, we include the m idplane surface brightness pro le for P ic using data from (16) that is now scaled by factors that account for the AU M ic heliocentric distance and stellar lum inosity. W e nd that if the disk of P ic surrounded AU M ic it would be about 1.5 m ag arcsec<sup>2</sup> brighter than what we measure for the AU M ic disk (F ig. 2). This corresponds to a factor of four greater scattering cross section of P ic grains relative to AU M ic grains. If we assume that the two disks have exactly the same structure, grain properties, and view ing geom etry then the AU M ic disk requires a dust mass that is four times smaller than that of P ic. Future observations of disk properties such as the inclination of AU M ic will elucidate the validity of these assumptions, but this result is consistent with the infrared dust lum inosity.

The underlying grain properties are also likely to di er due to the weak radiation environment of an M star relative to an A star. AU M ic is 3.6 times less massive than P ic, and 87 times less lum inous (Table 1). For the AU M ic disk, the collision timescale at 100 AU radius is  $0.2 \ 1.8 \text{ M}$  yr assuming a dust optical depth of  $10^{-3} \ 10^{-4}$ , respectively (F ig. S4). At 200 AU, near the outer boundary of the detected disk, the collision timescale is  $0.5 \ 5.0 \text{ M}$  yr. G iven an age of 8 20 M yr for AU M ic, most disk particles have undergone at least one collision. However, as objects are shattered into smaller pieces, the radiation pressure force around AU M ic is too weak to remove the fragments (19). They can be removed by the system either by joining together to form larger objects, or by spiraling into the star by Poynting-Robertson (PR) drag. The PR timescales at 100 AU are  $0.2 \ 1.8 \text{ Gyr}$  for 1 10 m particles, respectively many times longer than the age of the system (1). For

P ic, on the other hand, grains a few m icrons and smaller are quickly ejected by radiation pressure and the disk m ass diminishes over time (20). The AU M ic disk should preserve a larger population of sub-m icron sized grains, and the m ass of solid objects observed today should approximate the primordial disk m ass. In other words, m ost of the disk seen in our optical scattered light im age m ay consist of primordial solid m aterial.

W ithin 50 AU of the star, the timescales for grain removal by collisions and PR drag become signi cantly shorter than the stellar age. Prim ordial dust at the inner lim it of our images (Fig. 1, 2) has mostly vanished, and the grains observed here, as well as those discovered as close as 17 AU from the star (14), must be continually replenished by the collisional erosion of much larger objects such as comets and asteroids. The existence of planetesim als in this region lends plausibility to the argum ent that the sam e objects will form planets by accretion. Given that AU M ic is only 10 M yr old, we may be able to observe planets that are still in the process of accreting mass, or at least discern disk structure that is sculpted by planet-mass bodies. Because AU M ic is closer to the Sun than Pic, the 2 30 AU zone where terrestrial and gas giant planets might form can be resolved by current and future instrum entation (Fig. S5). Planets around AU M icm ay also be detected by indirect methods. The low stellar mass means that the star will display a signi cant astrom etric re ex m otion (2 m illi-arcsec for a Jupiter-analog). The near edge-on orientation favors planet detection by transits of the stellar photosphere. Finally, if a planet is detected by radial velocity techniques, then the near edge-on orientation gives the planet mass by constraining the sin (i) am biguity intrinsic to these m easurem ents.

R eferences and N otes

1. D.E.Backman, F.Paresce, in Protostars and Protoplanets III, E.H.Levy and J.I. Lunine, Eds. (University of Arizona Press, Tucson, 1993), pp. 1253–1304.

2. F. Roques, H. Scholl, B. Sicardy, B. A. Smith, Icarus 108, 37 (1994).

3. J.-C. Liou, H.A. Zook, Astron. J. 118, 580 (1999).

4. L.M. O zemoy, N.N.Gorkavyi, J.C.Mather, T.A. Taidakova Astrophys. J. 537, L147 (2000).

5. B.A. Sm ith, R.J.Terrile, Science 226, 1421 (1984).

6. G. Schneider et al., A strophys. J. 513, L127 (1999).

7. M. D. Silverstone et al, Bull. Am. A stron. Soc 30, 1363 (1998).

8.W.S.Holland et al, Nature 392, 788 (1998).

9. J.S.G reaves et al, A strophys. J. 506, L133 (1998).

10. D.Barrado y Navascues, J.R.Stau er, I.Song, J-. P.Caillault, Astrophys. J. 520, L123 (1999).

11. B. Zuckerm an, I. Song, M. S. Bessell, R. A. Webb, Astrophys. J. 562, L87 (2001).

12. V. Tsikoudi, Astron. J. 95, 1797 (1988).

13. I. Song, A. J. W einberger, E. E. Becklin, B. Zuckerm an, C. Chen, Astron. J. 124, 514 (2002).

14. M. C. Liu, B. C. Matthews, J. P. Williams, P. G. Kalas, Astrophys. J., in press (2004).

15. M aterials and m ethods are available as supporting m aterial on Science Online.

16. P.Kalas, D. Jew itt, Astron. J. 110, 794 (1995).

17. To increase the signal-to-noise of the data shown in Fig. 1, we binned the data 3 3 pixels and then smoothed by a Gaussian function with = 0.5 pixel. This smoothed im age was used to nd the maximum outer extent of the disk. All other measurements were made using the unbinned and unsmoothed image shown in Fig. 1.

18. P. Kalas, D. Jew itt, Astron. J. 111, 1347 (1996).

19. R. Saija, et al. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 341, 1239 (2003).

20. P. Artym ow icz, Astrophys. J. 335, L79 (1988).

21. M. S. Bessel, F. Castelli, B. Plez, Astron. Astrophys. 333, 231 (1998).

22. F. Crifo, A. Vidal-Madjar, R. Lallement, R. Ferlet, M. Gerbaldi, Astron. Astrophys. 333, L29 (1997).

23. J.D. Larwood, P.G. Kalas, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 323, 402 (2001).

24.W.R.F.Dent, H.J.Walker, W.S.Holland, J.S.G reaves, Mon. Not. R.Astron. Soc. 314, 702 (2000).

25. This work has been supported by the NASA O rigins Program under grant NAG 5-11769, and the NSF C enter for A daptive O ptics, m anaged by the University of California at Santa C ruz under cooperative agreement No. AST-9876783. BCM acknow ledges support from NSF grant # 0228963. MCL acknow ledges support from a Hubble Postdoctoral Fellow ship (NASA G rant HST-HF-01152.01). The authors acknow ledge the insightful contributions of two anonym ous referees.

Supporting Online M aterial www.sciencemag.org M aterials and M ethods Figs. S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5 Table S1



Fig. 1. | The disk surrounding AU M ic seen in optical scattered light. North is up, east is left, and each side of this false-color in age corresponds to  $60^{\circ\circ}$ . The central dark region is produced by the  $9.5^{\circ\circ}$  diam eter focal plane occulting spot which is suspended by four wires and completely masks our direct view of the star. This in age represents 900 seconds total integration in the R band and each pixel corresponds to 4 AU at the distance to AU M ic. Residual light evident near the occulting spot edge in the NE-SW direction is attributed to asymmetries in the point-spread function caused by instrum ental scattering and atm ospheric seeing.



Fig. 2. Midplane surface brightness as a function of radius. The midplane was sampled between  $5^{\circ}$  and  $21^{\circ}$  radius along a strip  $1.2^{\circ}$  wide. We show the mean value from two nights of data with two dient PSF subtraction techniques. The error bars represent one standard deviation of a single measurement. We the data between  $6^{\circ}$  and  $16^{\circ}$  radius with power laws that give indices -3.6 and -3.9 for the NW and SE midplanes of AU Mic, respectively. The radial prole for the NW midplane has a signi cant brightness enhancement at  $9^{\circ}$  radius that is either intrinsic to the disk or a background object. We also plot the surface brightness of the Pic disk from (16), but with the surface brightness uniform by 3.0 m ag arcsec<sup>2</sup> fainter to simulate the existence of Pic's disk around AU Mic at 9.9 pc. This scaling takes into account the fact that the absolute R-band magnitude of AU Mic is 5.6 m ag fainter than Pic (Table 1), and at a constant angular radius the Pic disk is roughly a factor of  $(d_{AUM ic}=d_{Pic})^{3.6} = (9.9 / 19.3)^{3.6} = 11.3$  times brightness the or the pic disk is brightness to fight provide the pice of pice of the pice of the pice of pice of pice of pice of pice of pice of the pice of pice of

P ic disk is roughly a factor of  $(d_{AUM ic}=d_{Pic})^{3.0} = (9.9 / 19.3)^{3.0} = 11.3$  times brighter (i.e. 2.6 m ag brighter; see Eqn. 4 in 18).

Table 1. Star (row s 1-8) and disk (row s 9-13) properties for AU M ic and Pic. The stellar parameters for AU M ic are derived from data given by (10, 13, 21). For Pic's stellar parameters, we use (22) and references therein. The Pic disk R-band surface brightness (SB) at 6<sup>0</sup> radius is given in (16), while its maximum extent is given in (23).

	AU Mic	Pic
SpectralType	M 1Ve	A 5V
Mass (M)	0.5	1.8
T <sub>eff</sub> (K)	3500	8200
Luminosity (L )	0.1	8.7
Distance (pc)	9.9	19.3
V (mag)	8.8	3.9
$M_V$ (m ag)	8.8	2.4
V R	88.0	0.08
D isk SB (6 <sup>00</sup> )	20.1 0.3	15.4 0.3
SB fall-o <sup>a</sup>	-3.6 to -3.9	-3.8 to -4.1
Max.Radius (AU)	210	1835
$= L_{disk} / L_{bol}^{b}$	6.1 10 $^{4}$	3 10 <sup>3</sup>
TotalDustMass $(g)^{c}$	6.6 10 <sup>25</sup>	2.2 10 <sup>26</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Value of exponent for a power-law t to disk R-band surface brightness fall-o between  $6-16^{0}$  radius. The shallower surface brightness pro les correspond to the NW and NE brightness pro les of AU M ic and Pic, respectively. The Pic values are taken from (16).

<sup>b</sup>The fractional dust lum inosity, assuming an optically thin disk, determined by taking the ratio of excess infrared lum inosity to stellar bolom etric lum inosity. The values are obtained from (14) and (1) for AU M ic and Pic, respectively.

<sup>c</sup>D ust mass from model ts to the spectral energy distributions taken from (14) for AU M ic, and (24) for P ic.



## SUPPORTING ONLINE MATERIAL Materials and Methods

Fig. S1: The optical stellar coronagraph used for these observations. The main function of a stellar coronagraph is to block light from a bright star in order to detect faint, nearby objects. The occulting spot is placed at the focal plane of the telescope and prevents light from striking any optical elements further down in the optical path. W ithout the occulting spot, light from the star would saturate the CCD, and the optical elements would ll the background with scattered light, as well as produce spurious rejections. The optical elements in the coronagraph are used to create an in age of the pupil plane, essentially an image of the telescope m irrors, and support structures. The Lyot stop is appropriately shaped to block this image of the telescope di raction pattern – stars in aged with a coronagraph do not have di raction spikes. The rem oval of the di raction pattern is a major advantage when trying to image faint sources near the star.

### { 11 {



Fig. S2: R-band surface brightness m ap corresponding to Fig. 1. Isophotes are plotted at 0.5 m ag arcsec<sup>2</sup> intervals from 23.5 to 20.0 m ag arcsec<sup>2</sup>. As with Fig. 1, north is up, east is left, and the sides of the box represent  $60^{\circ\circ}$ .



Fig. S3: Comparison of the AU M ic disk to model disks at di erent inclinations to the line of sight. We rotate the surface brightness map of AU M ic such that the m idplane of the NW disk extension is oriented horizontally (black contours). The three panels above show a model disk (white contours) that is inclined edge-on (left panel), 5 from edge-on (middle panel), and 10 from edge-on (right panel). The model used is identical to the P ic model described in Section 4.1 of (1). It is normalized to the AU M ic surface brightness at  $6^{0}$  radius (Fig. 2), and convolved with a G aussian to simulate the seeing conditions during the observations. In the dust disk model, the m idplane volum e num ber density distribution decreases with radius as a power-law with index -2.6. A llm odel param eters are kept the same in the three models above, except for the disk inclination to the line of sight. O urm ain conclusion is that the AU M ic disk strongly resembles the P ic disk in the analy disk inclination. The model that is 10 away from edge-on (right panel) demonstrates isophotes that are signi cantly m ore rounded at the m idplane than what we observe for AU M ic. Thus, given the lim ited  $1.1^{0}$  resolution of our observations, we constrain the disk inclination to no greater than 5 from edge-on.



Fig. S4: Dust lifetim es as a function of radius. A fter gas in a circum stellar disk has dispersed, and the system becomes optically thin, Poynting-Robertson (PR) drag causes grains to spiral into the star. Using the equation for PR drag timescales given by (2), we plot the PR drag timescales for 0.1 m and 1.0 m grains. At radii < 67 AU, the 0.1 m grains have spiraled into the star by 8 M yr. The 1.0 m grains, on the other hand, take much longer to spiral into the star if they are initially located at 67 AU radius. In fact, only if they were within 20 AU radius would 1.0 m grains have enough time (8 M yr) to spiral into the star. In the region between 20-67 AU we would expect to nd a lack of 0.1 m grains. Beyond 67 AU, the 0.1 m grains have not had enough time to spiral into the star. The disk scattered light may appear bluer at these larger radii due to Raleigh scattering. The collision timescales are also shown (2). We assume two values for optical depth, , that span a likely range of values inferred from the observed spectral energy distribution (Table 1). At 100 AU radius, and for = 0.0001, the collision timescale is 1.8 M yr. If the age is 8 M yr, then grains are likely to have had at least one collision. Collisions tend to be destructive, increasing the population of submicron grains. Thus most of the grains seen in the discovery im age (Fig. 1) are pieces of larger objects, and this is why such disks are called "debris disks". Unlike very lum inous stars such as P ic and Vega, the subm icron grains orbiting AU M ic will not be ejected outward by radiation pressure. Therefore, the disk around AU M ic should retain a larger fraction of its prim ordial material. Beyond 200 AU radius we expect the disk to be collisionally un-evolved. The grains here m ay represent the pristine m aterial in interstellar clouds that are the building blocks for larger particles that pin to form planetesin als such as comets and asteroids. A loo shown above is a gray region that indicates the inferred radius of a hole in the disk (3). The force of PR drag discussed above should cause grains to spiral into this region from the outer parts of the disk. The fact that very warm material is not evident at infrared wavelengths indicates that grains are prevented from approaching closer than 17 AU radius. The existence of the hole hints at the possibility of a planet-m ass object orbiting the star because planet-grain encounters are one mechanism for e cient grain rem oval.



Fig. S5: Beta Pic on the left (4) was in aged with the same telescope, Iter, and instrument as AU M ic on the right (this paper). The total integration times are nearly equal, providing roughly the same sensitivity. The Pic disk appears brighter because it surrounds a brighter star, and it contains more dust grains than the AU M ic disk. These false-color in ages are also shown to the same angular scale - the circular black masks have diam eter  $10^{\circ\circ}$ . Because P ic is about twice as distant as AU M ic is,  $10^{\circ\circ}$  corresponds to 193 astronom icalunits at Pic, and only 99 astronom icalunits at AUM ic. Therefore, in the case of AU M ic, the dust disk observed near the boundary with the black m ask approximately corresponds to the Kuiper Belt in our solar system . The proximity and youth of AU M ic m ake it an ideal target to directly study the possible planet-form ing environm ent hidden behind the mask used in our observations. G round-based telescopes with adaptive optics and the Hubble Space Telescope are well suited for exam ining this region. Together, AU M ic and P ic present an exciting opportunity to understand how two planetesim al system s born at the same time will evolve di erently.

# Signposts for planet formation around sister stars

R eferences for the Supporting Online M aterial

1. P.Kalas, D. Jew itt, A stron. J. 111, 1347 (1996).

2. D.E.Backman, F.Paresce, in Protostars and Protoplanets III, E.H.Levy and J.I. Lunine, Eds. (University of Arizona Press, Tucson, 1993), pp. 1253–1304.

3. M. C. Liu, B. C. Matthews, J. P. Williams, P. G. Kalas, Astrophys. J., in press (2004).

4. P. Kalas, D. Jew itt, Astron. J. 110, 794 (1995).

Table S. 1: PSF reference stars used in the analysis of the AUM ic data

N am e	RA (J2000)	DEC (J2000)	V (m ag)	SpT
HD 17925	02 52 32	-12 46 10	6.0	K 1V
HD 22049	03 32 56	-09 27 30	3.7	K 2V
HD 193281	20 20 28	-29 11 50	63	A 2III
HD 207129	21 48 15	-47 18 13	5.6	g ov
HD 216489	22 53 02	+16 50 28	5.9	K1.5II-IIIe