# C lose stars and accretion in Low Lum inosity A ctive G alactic N uclei

Sergei Nayakshin

M ax-P lanck-Institut fur A strophysik, K arl-Schwarzschild-Str. 1, 85740 G arching, G erm any

2004 X xxxx X X

#### ABSTRACT

Quasar accretion disks are believed to form stars by self-gravity. Low Lum inosity Active Galactic Nuclei (LLAGN) are much dimmer galactic centers, and are often believed to be quasars that ran out of gaseous fuel. LLAGN accretion disks should thus co-exist with thousands to millions of stars or proto-stars left from the previous stronger accretion activity. In principle, these stars may produce several important e ects: (i) contribute to the optical/UV spectra of som e LLAGN; (ii) reprocessing of the stellar radiation in the dusty disks could dom inate the LLAGN infra-red spectra; (iii) deplete the (accretion) gas disk much faster than it can accrete onto the supperm assive black hole (SM BH); (iv) stars, individually or in groups, may slow down and modulate the accretion ow signi cantly due to their inertia. In this way they may produce the LLAGN cut-o disks; (v) alternatively, frequent enough stellar collisions and resulting stellar disruptions could keep the inner disk em pty. Here we explore these ideas.We nd that, despite \low "lum inosities of LLAGN, unrealistically high stellar densities are required to m ake a sizable radiative contribution to the (HST) optical/UV spectra of these galactic nuclei. Stellar contribution to the infrared spectrum is more likely. Further, if LLAGN are in a quasi steady-state for as long as 10<sup>7</sup> years or m ore, too high stellar densities would again be required to signi cantly a ect the dynam ics of accretion ow. However, if LLAGN are \short"-lived phenom ena, e.g. t<sup><</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> years, quiescent states of quiescence-outburst cycles, then em bedded stars m ay be m uch m ore important through the masse ects (iii) { (v).W ith observations of LLAGN becoming progressively better, it will be m ore and m ore di cult to neglect the presence of close stars in and around nuclear accretion disks.

#### 1 IN TRODUCTION

LLAGN are galactic centers interm ediate in lum inosity and in som e key properties between quasars (bolom etric lum i- $10^{46}$  erg s <sup>1</sup>) and inactive galactic centers nosity L<sub>b</sub> (IGC), such as our own (SgrA ), whose lum inosity is about Lb  $10^{36}$  erg s<sup>1</sup>.LLAGN are also known as LINERs (low ionization nuclear em ission-line regions; Heckm an 1980) or as \dwarf" Seyfert nuclei (e.g. Ho, Filippenko & Sargent 1997, 2003). Typical nuclear bolom etric lum inosities of these sources are  $L_b < 10^{42}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> (e.g. H o 2003). It is well known that most present day galaxies harbor supper massive black holes (SM BHs), im plying that LLAGN and IGC too had to be bright accreting sources at som e earlier times. LLAGN and IGC are thus quasar relics or quiescent phases of a longterm evolution of galactic nuclei. Som e of the processes operating in the quasar phase may have long-lasting e ects and continue to in uence SM BH activity in the present dim epoch. One such process is star form ation inside quasar accretion disks due to self-gravity at distances greater than

 $10^{\circ}$  Schwarzschild radii (e.g. Paczynski 1978; Kolykhalov & Sunyaev 1980, Shlosm an & Begelm an 1989; Goodm an 2003), where  $R_s = 2GM_{BH} = c^2$ , the Schwarzschild radius for the black hole with mass  $M_{BH}$ . The goal of this paper

is to investigate som e of the possible e ects of the presence of these close and fast moving stars on the LLAGN phenom enon.

Let us summarize the observational and theoretical framework in which bright AGN, LLAGN and inactive galactic centers are currently understood. Quasar accretion disks are believed to be the \standard" accretion disks (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), possibly supplemented by an X-ray emitting corona. The cold accretion disk apparently extends down to the last stable orbit, as evidenced by a very prominent therm al-like UV feature called the Big Blue Bump (BBB) and by the broad Fe K lines observed in many cases (it is our personal opinion that the nondetection of broad Fe K lines in other sources is caused by an over-ionization of the accretion disk surface). The near-Eddington lum inosities of quasars, short tim e scale variability, and the high radiative e ciency with which most of the SM BHs are inferred to have grown (Yu & Trem aine 2002) are also best explained in the context of the standard accretion disks since they indeed have very high radiative e ciency.

LLAGN, and our Galactic Center (GC) black hole, SgrA, are distinctly di erent in this regard, possibly requiring a radiatively ine cient accretion. Chandra X-ray

# 2 Nayakshin

observations constrain the am ount of hot gas at the capture radius in SgrA and M 87 (B agano et al. 2003; D iM atteo et al. 2003), which allows one to estim ate the B ondi accretion rate onto the SM BHs. For other sources where direct X -ray data are not constraining enough, H o (2003) notes that the centers of the galaxies hosting LLAGN should be lled with hot winds from young stars (sim ilar to our GC), yielding an accretion rate estim ate. In addition, the accretion rate through a cold accretion disk m ay be estim ated by using the optical/UV data in som e LLAGN (e.g. Q uataert et al. 1999; P tak et al. 2004). All of these m ethods lead to the sam e conclusion { the expected lum inosity from a thin standard accretion disk fed at the deduced accretion rate is som e 1 4 orders of m agnitude higher than actually observed.

In addition, in stark contrast to quasars and Seyfert galaxies, LLAGN do not show the BBB in their spectra (Ho 1999), have no short time scale X -ray variability and no broad Fe K lines (e.g. Dewangan et al. 2004; Ptak et al. 2004). This strongly argues for the absence of the cold accretion disks in the inner 10° 10°R<sub>s</sub>. Theoretical interpretation of this fact is that the cold disk \evaporates" at few hundred to few thousand R<sub>s</sub> (e.g. Quataert et al. 1999; Fig. 2 in Ho 2003; Ptak et al. 2004) to form an inner hot Non-Radiative Accretion Flow (e.g. Narayan & Yi 1994; Blandford & Begelm an 1999). The most frequently discussed evaporation mechanism is that of Meyer & Meyer-Hofm eister (1994). If the ions of the hot ow are much hotter than the electrons, then the ow is radiatively ine cient and is much less lum inous than the standard ow, explaining the discrepancy. In the least luminous (in terms of  $L_b = L_{Edd}$  , where  $L_{Edd} = 1:3$   $10^{46} M_8 \text{ erg s}^1$ , the Eddington limit. for the black hole m ass M  $_{8}$  = M  $_{\rm B\,H}$  =10  $^{8}$  M  $\,$  ) cases, such as SgrA , it is possible that a cold accretion disk does not even exists (e.g. Falcke & M elia 1997; N arayan 2002).

The best studied example of an inactive galactic center is the center of our own galaxy, Sgr A . Genzel et al. (2003; see also Levin & Beloborodov 2003) discovered that most of hot young (t <  $10^7$  years) stars in the GC lie in one of the two stellar disks, strongly suggesting these stars were formed by the self-gravity in two massive accretion disks existing in earlier times. In the case of the GC, these young stars actually dom inate and determ ine the SM BH activity, since their radiative output is some 5 orders of magnitude greater than that of the accretion ow, and since the hot winds produced by these stars are believed to be the main source of fuel for Sgr A (e.g. Coker & Melia 1997).

It is thus likely that important interactions between close stars and the accretion ow may be taking place in LLAGN as well. Star form ation may even continue in the LLAGN phase as well (e.g., see Fig. 1 in Goodman 2003; also M ilosavljevic & Loeb 2004). Q uite generally, close stars should assume more prominent roles in the LLAGN (and IG C ) phase than they do in quasars because the mass and the lum inosity output of the gaseous accretion disk are m odest in these sources. The possible e ects of the close stars can be divided into two categories: the lum inosity and the mass e ects. The former are due to the combined stellar lum inosities; whereas the latter are due to stellar m ass { dynam ical and accretion e ects. We nd that the lum inosity e ects, besides reprocessing of the stellar light into the infrared region, are unlikely to be in portant due to existing observational constraints. The mass e ects are much more

likely to be important especially if the disk inner regions are \light" as is the case when the disk is unstable to a limit cycle instability (e.g. Siem ignow ska, C zemy, & K ostyunin 1996; Lin & Shields 1986) or is emptied out in the inner part for other reasons.

#### 2 SELF-GRAVITY IN QUASAR DISKS

W e shall not discuss the implications of self-gravity for quasardisks because these have been investigated previously by a number of workers (e.g. Paczynski 1978; Kolykhalov & Sunyaev 1980; Shlosm an & Begelm an 1989; Goodm an 2003). Quasars are believed to be accreting near the Eddington lim it and hence their accretion disks need to be quite m assive. The disks are expected to form stars at radii for which the Toom re (1964) parameter satis es

$$Q = \frac{C_s}{G} \prime \frac{2H}{G} = \frac{H M_{BH}}{R^3} \frac{M_{BH}}{M_d (R)} \frac{H}{R} < 1$$
(1)

where  $c_s$  is the isotherm al sound speed of the disk, is the K eplerian circular rotation frequency, (R) is the disk column depth at radius R, H is the disk half-thickness (pressure height-scale), and nally, M<sub>d</sub>(R) = R<sup>2</sup> is approximately the disk mass within radius R.Following G oodm an (2003), the radii which satisfy this conditions in the standard accretion disk are

$$R > R_{Q=1} ' 2.7 \quad 10^{3} R_{S} \quad \frac{1m^{2}}{M_{8}} ^{2=9} ;$$
 (2)

where  $_{1}$  is the norm alized Shakura-Sunyaev viscosity param eter,  $\underline{m} = M_{-Edd}$ , where  $M_{-Edd} = L_{Edd} = \hat{C}$ , with radiative e ciency = 0:1. In this paper we shall use the notations in which  $_{1} = 10^{1}$ ,  $\underline{m}_{3}$ ,  $\underline{m}_{-1}=10^{3}$ , etc.

It has been argued that, in addition to Q  $^{<}$  1, a second condition for star form ation by self-gravity in accretion disks m ust be fulled: the cooling time should be shorter than a fraction of the K eplerian rotation period (e.g. Shlosm an & B egelm an 1989; G am m ie 2001). How ever, recent simulations by Johnson & G am m ie (2003) dem onstrated that the instability dom ain is much larger. In the non-linear instability stage, the local cooling time m ay be orders of m agnitude sm aller from that found in the unperturbed disk m odel. T herefore Johnson & G am m ie (2003) concluded that it is extrem ely di cult to prevent even the m arginally stable,

0 1, optically thick disk from fragm enting and form ing stars. Since the form ation of gravitationally bound structures is extremely fast (Toom re 1964), it is then feared that most of the disk mass would be used up to build up stars rather than feed the SMBH. Sirko & Goodman (2003) dem onstrated that the am ount of heat required to stop star formation in the disk outer regions is excessive (i.e. larger than  $L_{Edd}$  for a typical quasar), and m oreover the observed quasar SEDs rule out such a heating. Thus it seem s inevitable that stars will form in quasar disks at radii.  $^{>}$  10<sup>3</sup>R<sub>s</sub>. Having form ed there, the stars can migrate (inspiral) radially through exchange of the angular m om entum with the disk (e.g. Syer, C larke & Rees 1991), so their radial distribution m ay evolve signi cantly on tim e scales that are long enough.

It is not clear how much mass would be converted to stars in a single accretion outburst episode, but if we assume that this is a fraction q < 1 of the disk mass, then obviously

$$M N = qM_{d} \frac{q}{Q} \frac{H}{R} M_{BH} ' 3 10^{5} M \frac{q}{Q} M_{8}; \qquad (3)$$

where we set H = R 3  $10^3$  (see equation 5), N and M are the total number of stars created and a typical stellar m ass, respectively. It is also unclear how exactly this stellar distribution evolves with time under the interaction with the accretion ow, since stars may be swallowed or tidally disrupted by the SM BH if they m igrate close enough (Syer et al. 1991), they may explode as supernovae (e.g. Syer et al. 1991; Rozyczka, Bodenheim er, & Lin 1995), destroyed by direct star-star collisions, and evolve under the in uence of long-range two-body interactions (e.g. Bahcall& W olf1976).

It is outside the scope of this paper to discuss ways for quasars to m aintain high enough m ass transfer rates into the SM BHs when the self-gravity sets in their accretion disks. N ow, suppose that the quasarm ass supply has substantially decreased, so that m ost of the gaseous disk m ass has been used up, and that som e num ber of the \original" self-gravity created stars is still caught up in the disk. The quasar presum ably becom es a LLAGN.W hat would then be the role of such stars?

#### 3 DIRECT CONTRIBUTION TO LLAGN SPECTRUM

Even with the HST, except for very few nearby galaxies, galactic centers are not resolvable to scales better than 1 pc in the optical/UV.Stars form ed in a self-gravitating disk at a distance of  $R = 0.04 (M_{BH} = 4 = 10^{\circ} M) r_5 pc$ , where  $r = R = R_s$ , and  $r_5 = r = 10^5$ , cannot be directly separated out from the accretion disk spectrum . In addition, the galactic centers are viewed through a large column depth of galactic stars (i.e. the galactic bulges). However, Filippenko & Sargent (1985), and Ho, Filippenko & Sargent (1997), created a large tem plate of galaxies without or with very weak em ission lines. U sing a sophisticated technique to t the absorption lines in the spectra of LLAGN, they were able to subtract out contribution of galactic stars, and to obtain the nuclear (very weak com pared to the galaxy) continuum and broad em ission lines of LLAGN.

The stars that we are interested in are very close to the SM BH, and their circular K epler velocities are  $v_K$  (r)  $2000 r_4^{1=2}$  2000 (0:1 pcM<sub>8</sub>=R)<sup>1=2</sup> km/sec, where  $r_4 = r=10^4$ . Since the galaxy template contains spectra with FW HM velocities of < 500 km/sec (Ho et al. 1997), it is most likely that the D oppler-broadened spectra of the inner stars in the LLAGN would be counted as the continuum em ission (Ho 2004, private communication). C an such stars make a non-negligible contribution to the LLAGN nuclear spectrum? Two cases exist.

#### 3.1 Hot massive young stars

The stellar spectrum of the inner central parsec of our GC is dominated by hot young stars. It is thus interesting to compare its spectrum with the better of the available nuclear LLAGN spectra.M 81 is a nearby LLAGN, with the SM BH



F igure 1.M 81 infrared to UV SED compared to the spectrum of the central parsec of the M ilky W ay (solid curve). The dotted line shows the spectrum of a nuclear star cluster that  $\$  ts" the M 81 data. N ote that the latter m odel requires too high a spatial density of stars and hence it is ruled out on physical grounds (see text).

mass estimated at a value very close to that of Sgr A (e.g. Schodelet al. 2003; G hez et al. 2003), i.e.  $M_{BH} = 4 \quad 10^{\circ} M$ . M 81 nuclear infrared to UV spectrum is shown as thick data points and upper lim its in Figure 1 as com piled in table 2 of Ho (1999). Ho (1999) argues against a signi cant intrinsic dust extinction in LLAGN. The spectrum of stars in the inner 1 pc in our GC is relatively well known (Mezger et al. 1996): there is a contribution of ubiquitous low mass stars with e ective temperature  $T_e = 4$ 10′K,witha combined lum inosity of  $10^6\,{
m L}\,$  , and that of  $\,$  30 hot young stars with the total lum inosity of 3 10 L and the e ective temperature of T<sub>e</sub> = 3:5 10<sup>4</sup> K. This spectrum is shown as a solid curve in Figure 1 and assum es no extinction (of course we actually observe the GC through some 30 m agnitudes of extinction in the visual).

C learly, had there been a sim ilar am ount of such hot lum inous young stars in M 81 as in the GC, these stars would easily out-shine the LLAGN in M 81 in the UV band. How ever in the GC the vast majority of the hot young stars move with velocities of order < 500 km /sec, so that the subtraction technique of H o et al. (1997) would have probably removed them from the nuclear spectrum. In any event, stars moving with so \low " velocities are outside the radius where most of the accretion ow physics is played out ( $< 10^5 R_s$ ). The results of H o (1999) thus clearly show that there are no or very few hot young lum inous O -stars in the inner accretion ow region in most of the LLAGN (LINERS) as otherw ise they would produce a component sim ilar to the BBB.

Since the history of star form ation and evolution in an accretion disk is extremely model- and parameterdependent, it is possible that such young massive stars never formed in the LLAGN accretion disk. It is also possible that these stars have already gone through the supernova phase (e.g. Syer et al. 1991), or are on the red giant branch, thus

# 4 Nayakshin

shifting their spectrum from the UV into the optical frequencies (but see the next section).

#### 3.2 Low mass stars or evolved red giant stars

The dotted line in Figure 1 is a very simple model that  $\setminus$  ts" the M 81 data much better. In this model the  $\setminus low$ " mass stars have average temperature of 6  $10^3$  K, with the total lum inosity of 6  $10^6$  L , and just one hot lum inous star with L =  $10^6$  L and  $T_{\rm e}$  = 3.5  $10^6$  K. C learly, a more realistic model with a power-law mass function could be designed that would easily t the ifra-red to UV SED of M 81 with no contribution from the accretion onto the SM BH whatsoever.

How ever, there are several argum ents against this possibility. As we argued above, to appear as AGN continuum, the spectrum of such stars would have to be strongly sm eared, say with random velocities of order 2000 km /sec or more. For M 81, the mass of the stars would exceed the deduced SM BH m ass, thus leading to a direct contradiction with observations. Further, the stellar densities in the inner part of the stellar cluster would be as large as  $10^{14}$  M pc<sup>3</sup> if M .Such high the stars are m ain sequence stars with M stellar densities are extrem ely un likely because stellar physical collisions and disintegrations would be expected (e.g. Murthy, Cohn, & Durisen 1991). If the stars are much more lum inous super-giants, then the required stellar num ber density is much lower but because of their large radii, we nd that the collision time is of the same order, i.e. too short. The red giant stars are also easy targets for ablation ofm ass due to star-disk collisions (A m itage , Zurek & D avies 1996). Finally, there is a de cit of such stars in the inner cusp of ourGC (Genzelet al. 2003).

#### 3.3 Infrared spectrum : reprocessing in the disk?

The stellar cusp/cluster could also contribute to heating the gaseous disk, especially its surface layers. If the stars are uniform ly distributed within radius R, then the e ective tem – perature of the stellar radiation is  $63L_{41}^{1-4}$  (R =pc)  $^{1-2}$  K elvin, where  $L_{41}$  is the total stellar lum inosity in units of  $10^{41}$  erg sec  $^1$ .W e argued that it is not plausible for the stars to dom – inate the nuclear optical/UV continuum of LLAGN, hence it seems unlikely that they would signi cantly add to the reprocessed disk em ission.

This may not be the case, however, because the accretion disk is very thin (equation 5), and only a very small fraction of the lum inosity generated by accretion onto the SM BH m ay im pact the outer disk. In contrast, the accretion disk is geom etrically thick com pared to stars and would reprocess a large fraction of stellar light even if stars are exactly in the disk m idplane. If stars are on m ore general orbits (see x5), then roughly half of their radiation is reprocessed in the disk, possibly making it important in the mid-infrared region where a \bare" disk (without surrounding stars) is predicted to be dim . In this case the distribution of the radiation impinging on the disk will be quite patchy. Therefore there may be a broad range of tem peratures resulting from the stellar illum ination of the disk which would obviously lead to a broad infra-red feature in the SED of the LLAGN. This feature will be spectroscopically much broader than

that resulting from the disk illum ination by the single central source (the accreting SM BH), and it may be also variable on time scales of weeks to years because of bright stars approaching and passing through the disk (e.g. Nayakshin & Sunyaev 2003; Cuadra et al. 2003). Stars also heat the disk via bodily impacts (e.g. Zentsova 2003, Norm an & Silk 1983) and can increase the elective disk viscosity (O striker 1983).

#### 4 EM BEDDED STARS AND DISK ACCRETION

Stars orbiting the SMBH in the inner parsec of the accretion ow will also modify the accretion ow of gas. We assum e that the com bined m ass of stars in this region is m uch sm aller than M  $_{\rm B\,H}$  , and consider the case when the stellar and disk planes coincide exactly. In this lim it the relative velocity of a star and the gas in the disk surrounding the star is negligible. Thus star-disk interactions are qualitatively di erent. The interaction is best understood based on the analogy between this case and that of a better studied circum stellar disk with embedded (proto-) planets. If the star does not open up a radial gap in the disk (see below), then it grows via Bondi-like gas accretion. If the gap is opened, the accretion onto the star slows down signi cantly. The star how ever continues to interact with the disk due to gravity (essentially via dynam ical friction). In both gap/nogap cases the star can migrate, that is spiral-in, radially. C learly the ows of gas and stars are not independent.

#### 4.1 G ap form ation conditions

A star co-rotating with the disk interacts with the gas in the disk via gravitational torques (e.g. G oldreich & Trem aine 1980; Lin & Papaloizou 1986a,b). The linear velocity of gas at radii greater than the radius at which the star circles the SM BH, R, is increased as a result of the interaction with the star. Thus its angular momentum increases and it moves outward from the star's location. The opposite happens to the gas interior to the star's location. Hence the star pushes the gas away from its radial position. If this e ect is strong enough, a radial gap is opened in the disk.

The Hill's radius R  $_{\rm H}$  ,

$$R_{H} = \frac{h}{3M_{BH}} \sum_{a=1}^{a} R ; \qquad (4)$$

de nes the star's sphere of in uence in the disk:dynamics of gas within the sphere is dom inated by the star rather than the SM BH .

There are two conditions for form ation of the radial gap in the disk. The rst is that R  $_{\rm H}$  is greater than the pressure scale height of the disk, H .0 therw ise the gas would be able to over ow the star at vertical heights z > R  $_{\rm H}$ . The disk height-scale H for gas-pressure standard accretion disk at r  $R=\!\!R_{S}$  1 is

$$H = R = 2.5 \quad 10^{3} (M_{8})^{1=10} r^{1=20} m^{1=5}$$
 (5)

(see Svensson & Zdziarski1994).W e assume that the accretion disk is not strongly self-gravitating during the LLAGN epoch, i.e. that Q  $^{>}$  1.Since

where M = M. The rst condition for a gap form ation is usually satis ed in LLAGN disks.

The second criterion is that the rate with which the gas is pushed away from the star's location due to gravitational interaction is higher than the rate with which the gap could be led in due to viscous gas transport. This is possible only when the disk viscosity is low enough. Param eterized in term softhe Shakura-Sunyaev -param eter, this condition becom es

$$< m_{in} = \frac{1}{40} \left( \frac{M}{M_{BH}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{R}{H} \right)^5$$
 (7)

(e.g. Syer et al. 1991). U sing the expression for  ${\rm H}$  =R , we get

$$m_{in} = 3.8 \quad 10^{5} \frac{m^{4}}{M_{8}^{3}} r_{2}^{1=2} \underline{m}_{3}^{2} :$$
 (8)

It is unlikely that is as low as this, and hence the low m ass stars are unable to open a gap in the disk of a \large" SM BH, i.e. M  $_8$   $^>$  1.H ow ever, for M  $_{\rm B\,H}$  = 4  $10^6$  M  $_{\rm }$ , the coe cient in equation 8 is  $_{\rm m\ in}$  = 0.6: low m ass stars may open a gap in accretion disks of lighter galactic nuclei. Finally, for M  $_8$  = 1 and m  $_{\rm }$  = 10, i.e. a high m ass star with M  $_{\rm }$  = 10M  $_{\rm }$ , we have  $_{\rm m\ in}$  = 0.38, thus a gap form ation is again likely.

### 4.2 No gap: accretion onto em bedded stars. Form ing an inner hole?

C onsider now the cases when the stars are unable to open up a gap. As shown above, a gap is unlikely to form for high m ass black holes, i.e. M  $_8$   $^>$  1, or when the stars are low m ass stars. A lso, gap form ation is m ore problem atic at large radii  $r_2$  1. The stars then grow by accretion of gas from the disk (Syer et al. 1991).

#### 4.2.1 Low mass stars

For low m ass stars, stellar radiation and w inds are relatively weak. The accretion of gas onto a low m ass star is then sim ilar to the growth of terrestrial planets in a planetesim aldisk (Lissauer 1987; B ate et al. 2003; Tan & G oodm an 2004). Since  $R_H > H$ , accretion onto the star is quasi twodim ensional. The accretion rate is determined by the rate at which di erential rotation brings the matter into the H ill's sphere,

$$M_{-} = M_{-H} \quad 4 \quad R_{H} \quad H \quad v_{H} \quad 4 \quad R_{H}^{2} \quad C_{s} ;$$
 (9)

where = =2H is the mean disk density. We used the fact that the characteristic gas velocity (relative to the star) at the Hill's distance from the star,  $v_H$ , is  $v_H$  =  $R_H$  jd =d ln R j  $c_s (R_H = H)$  since the angular velocity for K eplerian rotation is =  $c_s = H$ . Equation 9 is valid as long as  $R_H > H$  since in the opposite case the gas therm alvelocity becomes important and the accretion would proceed at the B ondirate (e.g., Syer et al 1991). O f course M- cannot exceed the Eddington lim it value, e.g.,

$$M_{-,Edd} = 10^{3} \frac{m}{r} M \text{ year}^{1}$$
; (10)

#### where r R = R, star's radius in Solar radii units.

#### 4.2.2 High mass stars in the disk

The enorm ous radiation eld of lum inous high m ass stars pre-heats the disk m aterial at distances  $R_{\rm H}$  from the star. If the tem perature established is much higher than the unperturbed disk tem perature, the ow of gas will be strongly a ected. Indeed,  $T_{\rm e}$  ( $R_{\rm H}$ ) = [L =4  $R_{\rm H}^2$ ]<sup>1=4</sup>,

$$T_{e} (R_{H}) = 730 \text{ K} \frac{L_{5}^{1-4}M_{8}^{1-3}r_{4}^{1-2}}{(M = 30 \text{ M})^{1-6}};$$
 (11)

(where  $L_5 = L = 10^5 L$  ) can be larger than the disk elective tem perature,  $T_{e \ id}$ :

$$T_{e,d} = 110 \text{ K} \qquad \frac{m_{4}}{M_{8}r_{4}^{3}} \qquad : \qquad (12)$$

The accretion disk next to a high m ass star is thus bloated. At distances from the star  $^<$  R $_{\rm H}$ , the star's gravity takes over and a thin sm all scale circum -stellar disk develops. Perpendicular to the disk, strong stellar winds sweep up the colder matter. A sort of torus thus forms around the star. There will be a thin ionized (Stromgren) layer on the side of the torus facing the star. At low disk surface densities appropriate to LLAGN, high m ass stars loose rather than gain m ass from the disk; the winds m ay cool and condense on the disk surface<sup>1</sup>. The accretion rate onto the massive star is strongly reduced due to the disk pre-heating. The disk accretion on the high m ass stars is com pletely negligible com pared with that on the much m ore num erous low m ass stars that do not su er from pre-heating and stellar winds.

The observational appearance of the star will be strongly angle dependent. Viewed pole-on to the disk, the intrinsic stellar em ission is clearly visible, as is the line em ission resulting from the disk illum ination. However, when viewed at large inclination angles, the stellar em ission is hidden from the observer sim ilar to the central regions of type 2 AGN. The optical line em ission of the inner part of the \torus" around the star m ay still be visible.

Note that for quasar disks,  $\underline{m}_{\rm l}$  1 and then  $T_{e~,d} \ ^{>}$   $T_{e}$  (R\_{\rm H}) even for the brightest 0 stars. In addition, for smaller radii, i.e. R  $100R_{S}$ , the disk pre-heating is unim portant even for LLAGN.

#### 4.2.3 Eating the disk from within

As shown previously, the embedded stars gain m ass from the accretion disk. Here we consider only the low m ass stars that are certain to dom inate the disk-stars m ass exchange due to their large number. From the standard accretion theory, the gas accretion rate onto the SM BH is

$$M_{-BH} = 4 RH j_{R} j 4 H^{2} c_{s}$$
(13)

since  $j_{V_R} j$  (H=R) (e.g. Frank, King & Raine 1992). Therefore the rate of accretion on the single star compares to the accretion rate onto the black hole as

$$\frac{M_{-H}}{M_{-BH}} \prime \frac{R_{H}^{2}}{H^{2}} > 1 !!$$
(14)

 $^1$  N ote that neither the hot stellar wind nor the gas evaporated from the disk escape to in nity because the escape tem perature is  $T_{\rm vir}~3~10^8 K \, r_{\rm s}^{-1}$ 



F igure 2. M odi ed cold disk ts to M 81 infrared to UV SED. The standard disk with the inner cuto radius of 100R  $_{\rm S}$  is show n with the thick solid curve (Q uataert et al. 1999 m odel). The rest of the curves assume cold inner disk persisting to the last stable orbit but the accretion rate decreasing with radius as prescribed (see text for detail).

Surprisingly, just one star in a LLAGN disk may consume the disk quicker than the SM BH, leaving little for the SM BH growth. O f course the accretion onto the proto-star is lin – ited to  $M_{-,E\,dd}$ , for one. P re-heating of the gas by the radiation from the star and the circum -stellar accretion disk may decrease the accretion rate further. N evertheless, even if accretion on a single star is very ine cient com pared with equation 9, a large number of proto-stars in the disk can make up for this, and thus the com bined mass accretion on these stars could well exceed that onto the SM BH during the LLAGN phase.

#### 4.3 Carving out an inner hole?

If stars embedded inside the disk eat it away before the gas can reach the SM BH, then the disk inner region m ay in principle be almost empty and hence underlum inous compared with the steady-state standard accretion disk. This could then explain the observed lack of the BBB in the LLAGN spectra, interpreted as an inner hole in the accretion disk (e.g. Q uataert et al. 1999).

W e test this idea by com paring the expected disk spectra to the observations of M 81 as an example. Given the quality of the data, we model the SED of cold disks consumed by the stars with a very simple model. We use the standard multi-tem perature black-body spectrum with the exception that the accretion rate, M-(R), is a function of radius. We neglect stellar irradiation compared with internal disk viscous heating. The local disk elective tem perature is then given by equation 12.

We assume that stars are only present at radii larger than a \self-gravity" radius,  $R_{sg}$ . The latter may be smaller than  $R_{Q=1}$  because of the possible radialm igration of stars. For simplicity, we choose  $\underline{m}_{(R)} = \underline{m}_{(R_{out})}(R=R_{out})^{p}$ , for  $R_{sg} < R < R_{out}$ , and  $\underline{m}_{(R)} = \underline{m}_{(R_{sg})}$  for  $R < R_{sg}$ . Figure 2 shows the same data as Figure 1 with severalm odels for the

disk em ission. The solid line shows the alternative cut-o disk model sim ilar to Quataert et al. (1999) { the standard accretion disk with the inner radius of  $R_i = 100R_s$  and the disk accretion rate  $m_{(R_{out})} = 4$  10<sup>3</sup>. The cold ow is presum ed to evaporate and not to em it in the optical/UV region for R < R<sub>i</sub>. The dotted line shows the model with  $p = 1, R_{sq} = 100R_{s}, R_{out} = 10^{5}R_{s}$  and m = 410<sup>3</sup>. Since for p = 1 the energy emitted per a decade in radius (/  $GM_{BH}M_{-}(R)=R^{3}$  $R^2$ ) becomes independent of radius, there is a at part in the infrared frequency part  $10^3$   $10^4$  Hz) of the spectrum for this model. The ( model is too dim in the optical/UV. The dashed line shows a similar model but with  $R_{sq} = 10^3 R_s$ . The model is clearly incom patible with the data.

Finally, the dot-dashed curve shows a more complex m odel with m = const = 3 10<sup>2</sup> for 500R<sub>s</sub> = R<sub>sq</sub> <  $R < R_{out}, \underline{m} = \underline{m} (R_{sg}) (R = R_{sg})^6$  for  $100R_s < R < R_{sg}$ , and  $\underline{m}(R) = \underline{m}(R_{sg})$  for  $R < R_{sg}$ .  $R_{out} = 10^5 R_s$  in the model. This model roughly  $\$  ts" the data. However, is is clearly ne-tuned to have a similar inner radius of 100R  $_{\rm S}$ as the model of Quataert et al. (1999). The \eaten-from within" disk model may t the data only if the accretion few hundred  $R_S$  is sim ilar to the cutrate at the region R o disk model, and then drops very sharply. (W hat happens at larger radii is not that important as long as the outer disk is not too lum inous in the infra-red region). A sudden disk depletion at a small range of radii is not im possible if there is a large concentration of low mass stars there, but is seems a rather unlikely case to us. The total mass of the stars in this disk depletion region would not  $M_{-}(R_{out})t' 10^{3} M$  (t=10<sup>7</sup> years) for be very large, M  $10^{3}$ . How ever, the radial size of the  $100R_{s}$  re-<u>m</u> = 4  $10^{14}$  cm = 3 10 <sup>5</sup> pc, for the M 81 SM BH gion is only m ass, yielding stellar density of order 3  $10^{16}$  M = pc<sup>3</sup>. Any star present at such sm all radii from a pre-existent isotropic cluster would have been destroyed in only 3 years. The resulting disintegration of the stars would have resulted in a very energetic accretion event. Thus this picture would m andate periods of quiescence when stars stealm ost of the mass from the accreting ow, and shorter periods of strong accretion activity onto the SM BH when the stellar collisions or supernova explosions (if stars grow to be too m assive) release the stored gas. A s tim e between these lum inosity variations is long com pared to history of A stronom y, it is not im m ediately clear whether such model is supported by observations or not.

# 4.4 G ap accretion.C logging accretion ow with em bedded stars?

For higher stellar m ass to the SM BH m ass ratios, gap form ation occurs (see eqs. 7 & 8). The accretion rate of the star is reduced by the gap presence, but does not stop com – pletely (e.g. B ate et al. 2003). When the m ass of the star is sm all compared to the surrounding disk, the radial m otion of the star is sim ilar to that of the uid at the same position in the disk. The star thus m igrates closer to the SM BH on the disk viscous time scale. Because of its sm all m ass, the star does not signi cantly alters the radial surface density pro le of the disk (Lin & Papaloizou 1986b). While the detailed disk spectrum m ay be a ected by the presence of the star, the e ect is quite m inor as long as the star's m ass is sm all compared to that of the disk, and the disk is optically thick.

However, when the mass of the star (or any massive satellite such as a secondary black hole) is considerable, the accretion disk is bound to \notice" the satellite (Syer & C larke 1995). Since the angular momentum exchange continues through the gap, the disk interior to the star is able to continue accretion onto the SM BH. At the same time, the gas at radii larger than the position of the star is blocked by the gap. Because of the high inertia of the star, the radial velocity of the gas just outside of the gap is smaller than its unperturbed (i.e. star-free) value, and the disk surface density builds up there (Lin & Papaloizou 1986b; Syer et al. 1991; Ivanov, Papaloizou, & Polnarev 1999). Syer & C larke (1995) show that the radialm igration velocity of the satellite becom es

$$v_{\rm s} = v_{\rm R, id} \frac{2 R^2}{M}$$
; (15)

where  $v_{R,d} = 3$  (H = R)<sup>2</sup>  $v_{K}$  (R) is the disk unperturbed ra-R $^2$ , when the disk acdial viscous velocity. If M cretion is basically tem porarily stopped, until the mass behind the satellite becom es large enough to push it through into the black hole. M eanwhile, the inner disk, cuto from the mass supply, empties out and may become quite dim (Syer & Clarke 1995). This situation will persist during time t M = M\_  $10^3$  m M  $_8^{-1}$  m  $_3^{-1}$  years. This is actually an upper lim it, because the over ow of the gap m ay occur at low er values of  $% \mathcal{A}$  , i.e. at somewhat earlier times. A fler enough mass has been accumulated, the accretion resumes, resulting in a bright outburst. The star and the built-up disk accrete onto the SM BH on the disk viscous time scale, the one that re ects the am ount of the stored m ass. If the accretion rate in such an outburst is high enough, the inner disk becom es radiation-pressure dom inated and the viscous tim e m ay be signi cantly shorter than that of the quiescent disk at the same location (this is due to a change in the factor  $(H = R)^2$ ). The activity phase is thus relatively short com pared with the mass accum ulation phase.

A re such blocked accretion ows relevant to the apparently cuto disks of LLAGN? If this were the case, the LLAGN activity would be periodic, with the LLAGN being the quiescent state and a more brighter phase, such as Seyfert galaxies nuclei, would be the outburst state. The mass of the unperturbed accretion disk, estimated as  $M_d = R^2$  (R) in the standard accretion ow is

$$M_{d} = 60 M \qquad {}^{4=5}M_{8} {}^{11=5}r_{2}^{7=5}\underline{m}_{3}^{3=5} :$$
(16)

The disk is too heavy for a single star to induce serious accretion rate m odulation for these param eters. For a sm aller SM BH m ass, say 4  $10^6$  M , the coe cient in equation 16 is about 0.05 M , m aking a single star a big drag onto the accretion ow . The accretion lum inosity can then be substantially m odulated. Syer et al. (1991), considering the case of a  $10^6$  M SM BH, already m entioned such a possibility. The quiescence time can be as long as  $10^5$  years in this case.

Therefore, the possibility of a signi cant time variability due to presence of stars in the disk, and the \empty" observational appearance of the inner disk, cannot be ruled out for lowerm ass black holes. However, the absence of the UV bump (BBB) in the LLAGN spectrum is quite a general feature, seem ingly independent of the SM BH m ass. Indeed, m any of the objects in the Ho (1999) sample have SM BH m asses higher than  $10^8$  M . The recent observations of P tak et al. (2004) of NGC 3998, a LLAGN with the SM BH estimated at  $10^9$  M , also shows no clear BBB. M any stars embedded in the accretion ow and all drifting together could slow down the accretion in the inner regions of high SM BH accretion disks, but one would naively expect the stars to be smoothly distributed in the disk. Hence embedded stars cannot explain cuto disks in high m ass LLAGN. Therefore clogging up the disk ow with embedded stars is possible, but it cannot be the reason behind the m issing inner accretion disk of LLAGN.

## 5 STARSAT HIGH INCLINATION ANGLES TO THE DISK

So far we have been concerned with stars embedded in the disk. In general, however, there will be stars on highly inclined (to the disk) orbits, brought in by relaxation of the old central star cluster (e.g. Bahcall & W olf 1976), or from partial capture of stars by the accretion disk (Syer et al. 1991), etc. If stellar orbital planes and the disk plane are signi cantly m is-aligned, then m ost of the interactions take place when the stars hit the disk and pass through it (e.g. 0 striker 1983; Norm an & Silk 1983; Zentsova 1983; Perry & W illiam s 1993; Nayakshin et al. 2004). The stars move through the disk at very high M ach num bers and produce strong shocks. Quite generally, the stars are expected to enhance (speedup) the accretion ow because of the additional angular momentum transfermechanism (Ostriker 1983) and the extra heating. Thus this e ect works in the opposite direction to that discussed in x4.4.

For radii sm aller than som e value R<sub>i</sub>, the angular momentum transfer in the disk is dom inated by star-disk collisions rather than by the internal disk viscosity. The value of R<sub>i</sub> is a function of the number density of stars in the inner part of the stellar cusp and their orbits (see N ayakshin et al. 2004 for estimates for Sgr A under assumption of an isotropic stellar cusp). If the angular momentum transfer m ediated by stars is very fast, then the surface column depth of the disk is much smaller (for the sam e M<sub>-</sub> = 2 R v<sub>R</sub> = const) than it is for the standard disk. If it becomes optically thin, the disk will be much hotter for the sam e accretion rate. In fact, if it is unable to cool, the disk switches to a hot accretion mode, opening up an inner hole in the optically thick geom etrically thin disk<sup>2</sup>.

Due to interactions with the disk, embedded stars have K eplerian circular velocities. If two such stars meet in the disk, their relative velocity is small. In contrast stars out of the plane are expected to have a broad velocity distribution (e.g. B ahcall & W olf 1976) and are prone to self-destruction in hard collisions (e.g. see x3 of M urthy et al. 1991, and references therein). Such a collision m ay involve two highly inclined stars or one star embedded in the disk and the other at a highly inclined orbit. If such an event happens in the inner disk region, and especially if the mass of the inner disk is not too much greater than the combined m ass of the two

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Interestingly, this remark is also relevant to accretion disks around stellar-m ass compact objects interacting with a cloud of com ets { see P ineault & Landry 1994 and references therein

# 8 Nayakshin

stars, then the resulting energy release will be su cient to signi cantly speed up the accretion of the inner disk. The inner disk m ay then be quickly emptied out, and while it is being re lled the disk will radiate as a cut-o disk.

As did the e ects discussed in x4.4, these two mechanisms for the inner disk rem oval are also of a temporary nature.Unless being at as an accretion disk, the inner stellar cusp will self-destruct by binary collisions in a relatively short time, e.g.  $10^7$  10<sup>°</sup> years for typical cluster param – eters (see, e.g., Fig. 6 of M urthy et al. 1991). Hence these mechanisms for the inner disk rem oval can be relevant to LLAGN only if these are relatively short lived phenom ena in the SM BH/AGN activity cycles.

#### 6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Here we suggested that close stars (R 1 pc from the SM BH) created in the accretion disk by self-gravity in the bright quasar phase, may be present in the LLAGN disks. W e found (x3) that it is unlikely that these disk stars significantly contribute to the LLAGN optical/UV spectrum directly. Hot young massive stars are nuled out because most LLAGN are weak in the UV; and low mass stars would have to be too num erous. Stellar contribution in the infra-red range is plausible, how ever, because SED of standard accretion disks are weak in that region.

The e ects of stars' m ass, i.e. inertia, are much m ore realistic because they require a sm all num ber of low -m ass stars em bedded in the disk (say  $10^2$   $10^4$  stars). These stars can consume the disk faster than it can accrete on the SM B H (if no radialgap form s in the disk). In this case little gas m ay be owing into the central SM B H. How ever, when tting the optical/UV spectrum of a typical LLAGN, M 81, we found that this m odel would only work if the disk were quickly and abruptly consumed by the em bedded stars in the inner

few hundreds Schwarzschild radii. Further work is needed to determ ine whether this is natural and may be sustained for long enough times to explain the LLAGN phenomenon.

If a radial gap does form, then stars embedded in the disk do not accrete gas from the disk. How ever their presence will be still felt by the disk if the disk mass interior to the star is smaller than the mass of the star (or group of stars). This appears to be especially important in the quiescent phase of limit-cycle unstable disks, in which case the stars will clog-up the inner accretion ow for time necessary for accumulation of enough disk matter to push the star in the disk. Since the disk interior to the star's location is quickly emptied out (Syer & C larke 1995), such a star-term inated disk would appear as a cut-o disk. Finally, we noted that hard stellar collisions in the inner disk region may disrupt the disk { create temporary inner holes.

W e shallhow ever notice that it is unlikely that the stardisk interactions discussed in this paper are the only explanation to the LLAGN \inner holes" in the accretion disk. As we have seen in x4.4, the character of star-disk interactions depends strongly on the m ass of the SM BH. O bservations span a very large range in the SM BH m ass, i.e. alm ost  $10^3$ (see e.g. H o 1999, P tak et al. 2004). M oreover, the accreting (stellar m ass) binary system s m ay have inner holes in their accretion disks in the low lum inosity states as well (e.g. see E sin, M cC lintock & N arayan 1997; M cC lintock et al. 2003). Therefore the star-disk interactions may be an additional reason for a complicated time-variability in a disk that is already unstable (e.g.Lin & Shields 1986; Siem iginow ska et al. 1996) or time-dependent because of a changing supply of fuel at large distances, but they are unlikely to be the prim ary reason for such variations in the disk structure.

N evertheless with observations become ing progressively better, we should expect many surprises, especially exotic variability, from the elects of star-disk interactions.

#### 7 ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The author acknow ledges very useful discussions with Jorge Cuadra, Luis Ho, Jerem y Goodman and Marat Gilfanov.

#### REFERENCES

Arm itage, P.J., Zurek, W.H., & Davies, M.B. 1996, ApJ, 470, 237

Bagano , FK , Maeda, Y , Morris, M . et al. 2003, ApJ, 591, 891

Bahcall, JN., & Wolf, RA. 1976, ApJ, 209, 214

Bate, M R., Lubow, SH., Ogilvie, G J., & Miller, K A. 2003, M N R A S, 341, 213

Coker, R., & Melia, F. 1997, ApJL, 488, L149

Cuadra, J., Nayakshin, S., & Sunyaev, R A. 2003, A&A, 411, 405

Dewangan, G  $\mathcal{L}$ , G ri ths, R E., D iM atteo, T., & Schurch, N J. 2004, to appear in ApJ (astro-ph/0402327).

D iM atteo, T., Allen, SW ,, Fabian, A.C., W ilson, A.S., & Young, A.J. 2003, ApJ, 582, 133

Esin, A A ., M cC lintock, J.E., & Narayan, R. 1997, ApJ, 489, 865

Falcke, H., & Melia, F., 1997, ApJ, 479, 740

Frank, J., King, A., & Raine, D. 1992, Accretion Power in Astrophysics (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press)

Gammie, CF. 2001, ApJ, 553, 174

Genzel, R., et al. 2003, ApJ, 594, 812

Ghez, A M ., et al. 2003, ApJL, 586, L127

Goldreich, P., & Tremaine, S., 1980, ApJ, 241, 425

Goodman, J. 2003, MNRAS, 339, 937

Heckman, T.M., 1980, A&A, 87, 152

Ho, L.C. 1999, ApJ, 516, 672

Ho,L.,C.,Filippenko,A.V., & Sargent,W.L.W. 1997, 112, 315

Ho, L.C., 2003, Active Galactic Nuclei: from Central Engine to Host Galaxy, ed.S.Collin, F.Combes, & I.Shlosman (San Francisco: ASP)

Ho,L.,C.,Filippenko,A.V.,& Sargent,W.L.W. 2003,583, 159

Ivanov, P.B., Papaloizou, J.C.B., & Polnarev, A.G. 1999, MNRAS, 307, 79

Johnson, B M ., & G am m ie, C F . 2003, A pJ, 597, 131

Kolykhalov, P.I., & Sunyaev, R.A. 1980, Sov. A stron. Lett., 6, 357

Lasota, J.-P. 2001, New Astronom y Reviews, 45, 449

Levin, Y. & Beloborodov, A. M. 2003, ApJL, 590, L33

Lin, D N.C., & Shields, G A. 1986, ApJ, 305, 28

Lin, D N C., & Papaloizou, JC B. 1986a, ApJ, 307, 395

Close stars in LLAGN 9

Lin, D N C., & Papabizou, J C B. 1986b, 309, 846 Lissauer, J.J. 1987, Icarus, 69, 249 M cC lintock, J.E., Narayan, R., Garcia, M.R., Orosz, J.A., Rem illard, R.A., & Murray, S.S. 2003, ApJ, 593, 435 M eyer, F., & M eyer-H ofm eister, E. 1994, A & A, 288, 175 Mezger, P.G., Duschl, W.J., Zylka, R. 1996, A&ARv, 7, 289 Milosavljevic, M., & Loeb, A. 2004, to appear in ApJL (astro-ph/0401221) Murthy, B.W., Cohn, H.N., & Durisen, R.H. 1991, ApJ, 370,60 Narayan, R., & Yi, I. 1994, ApJL, 428, L13 Narayan R. 2002, in Lighthouses of the Universe, Gilfanov, M., Sunyaev, R., & Churazov, E. (editors), Springer Nayakshin, S., & Sunyaev, R.A. 2003, MNRAS, 343, L15 Nayakshin, S., Cuadra, J., & Sunyaev, R.A. 2004, A&A, 413, 173 Norman, C. & Silk, J. 1983, ApJ, 266, 502 0 striker, J.P. 1983, ApJ 273,99 Paczynski, B. 1978, AcA, 28, 191 Perry, J.J., & W illiam s, R. 1993, MNRAS, 260, 437 Pineault, S., & Landry, S. 1994, 267, 557 Ptak, A., Terashima, Y., Ho, L.C., & Quataert, L.2004, to appear in ApJ (astro-ph/0401525) Quataert, E., D iM atteo, T., N arayan, R., & Ho, L.C. 1999, ApJL, 525, L89 Rozyczka, M., Bodenheimer, P., Lin, D.N.C. 1995, MN-RAS, 276, 597 Schoel, R., Ott, T., Genzel, R., Eckart, A., Mouawad, N., & A lexander, T. 2003, ApJ, 596, 1015 Shakura, N.I., & Sunyaev, R.A. 1973, A&A, 24, 337 Shlosm an, I., & Begelm an, M.C. 1989, ApJ, 341, 685 Siem iginowska, A., Czemy, B., & Kostyunin, V. 1996, ApJ, 458,491 Sirko, E., & Goodman, J., 2003, MNRAS, 341, 501 Syer, D., Clarke, C.J., & Rees, M.J. 1991, MNRAS, 250, 505 Syer, D., & Clarke, C.J. 1995, MNRAS, 277, 758 Tan, J.C., & Goodman, J. 2004, to appear in ApJ (astroph/0307361) Toom re, A. 1964, ApJ, 139, 1217 Yu, Q., & Trem aine, S. 2002, MNRAS, 335, 965 Zentsova, A S. 1983, Ap& SS, 95, 11