# Absolute T im ing of the C rab Pulsar with RXTE

A mold H.Rots

Harvard-Sm ithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street MS 67, Cambridge, MA 02138

arots@head.cfa.harvard.edu

Keith Jahoda

Laboratory for High Energy A strophysics, Code 660, NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771

and

Andrew G.Lyne

Department of Physics and Astronom y, University of Manchester, Jodrell Bank, Maccles eld, SK 11 9D L, UK

## ABSTRACT

We have monitored the phase of the main X -ray pulse of the C rab pulsar with the Rossi X -ray T in ing Explorer (RXTE) for almost eight years, since the start of the mission in January 1996. The absolute time of RXTE's clock is su ciently accurate to allow this phase to be compared directly with the radio pro le.

O ur monitoring observations of the pulsar took place biweekly (during the periods when it was at least 30 degrees from the Sun) and we correlated the data with radio tim inglephem erides derived from observations made at Jodrell Bank. We have determined the phase of the X-ray main pulse for each observation with a typical error in the individual data points of 50 s. The total ensemble is consistent with a phase that is constant over the monitoring period, with the X-ray pulse leading the radio pulse by 0.0102 0.0012 period in phase, or 344 40 s in time. The error estimate is dominated by a system atic error of 40 s in the radio data, arising from uncertainties in the variable am ount of pulse delay due to interstellar scattering and instrum ental calibration. The statistical error is 0.00015 period, or 5 s. The separation of the main pulse and interpulse

appears to be unchanging at time scales of a year or less, with an average value of 0.4001 0.0002 period. There is no apparent variation in these values with energy over the 2-30 keV range.

The lag between the radio and X-ray pulses may be constant in phase (i.e., rotational in nature) or constant in time (i.e., due to a pathlength di erence). We are not (yet) able to distinguish between these two interpretations.

Subject headings: X -rays: stars, pulsars: individual (C rab pulsar)

## 1. Introduction

For m any years it has been assumed that the m ain pulse and interpulse of the C rab pulsar (PSR B0531+21) are perfectly lined up in phase over the full range of the electrom agnetic spectrum. Even though there have been reports in the past that this alignment m ay not be as perfect as generally assumed, the absolute calibration of spacecraft clocks was not su ciently accurate to allow a precise measurement of the phase di erence. The most compelling result predating the Rossi X ray T in ing Explorer (RXTE) observations was presented by M asnou et al. (1994), based on F igaro II observations covering 0.15 to 4.0 M eV, that were m ade in 1986 and 1990. W hile discounting the 1986 result which has a considerable uncertainty due to potential errors in the dispersion measure, we consider the 1990 result (the ray pulse leading the radio pulse by 375 148 s) fairly reliable, though the error is probably underestin ated.

The precision with which absolute time can be determined with the RXTE clock allows us to time X-ray pulses with an accuracy better than 10 s, depending on pulse shape, as shown by Rots et al. (1998b). At the same time, the C rab pulsar monitoring program at JodrellB ank provides timing ephemeris data, reduced to in nite frequency. These (monthly) timing ephemerides represent the to the daily time-of-arrival measurements with rms residuals of order 20-50 s. This allows us to measure and monitor the radio to X-ray phase di erence of the pulses with an error of about one milliperiod. We have reported on these results in the past (Rots et al. (1998a), Rots, Jahoda, & Lyne (1998c), Rots, Jahoda, Lyne, & Manchester (2000a), Rots, Jahoda, & Lyne (2000b)).

At optical wavelengths, Sanwal (1999) has reported a time delay of 140 s (optical leading the radio), but the details are not easily accessible. Shearer et al. (2003) report that, in the case of giant radio pulses, the optical pulse in the wavelength range 600-750 nm is leading the radio pulse by 100 20 s. R om aniet al. (2001), on the other hand, claim that the optical (355-825 nm) and radio peaks are coincident within 30 s, based on test observations

with a prototype transition-edge sensor detector. However, it is not clear whether the tim ing calibration of the instrum ent was complete at the time.

U In er et al. (1994) presented results from OSSE observations (50-100 keV), indicating that the hard X-ray to radio lag was < 30 30 s. It would appear that the estim ate of their errors was too optim istic. Nolan et al. (1993) present pulse pro les but no absolute phases. K uiper et al. (2003) report on INTEGRAL data, covering 6-50 keV, and deriving a time delay (the radio trailing) of 280 40 s for a single epoch.

The precise timing of the pulses in the di erent wavelength regimes has important repercussions for the understanding of the nature and spatial origin of the emission processes that give rise to the pulses in di erent parts of the spectrum. Romani & Yadigaroglu (1995) have suggested that, while the radio precursor comes from the polar cap, the pulse and interpulse originate in the outer gap in the magnetosphere, with the higher energy pulses being generated at signi cantly greater height. Thus, measuring the pulse shapes and the absolute timing throughout the electrom agnetic spectrum places important constraints on the shape of the the outer gap and on the height in the magnetosphere at which the radiation is generated.

In this paper we will present the results of the RXTE monitoring cam paign of the Crab Pulsar from the start of that mission. We have adopted the radio nom enclature for the features in the pulse pro le (main pulse, bridge, and interpulse).

## 2. Observations

The observations presented here were m ade as part of an on-going m on itoring cam paign of the Rossi X -ray T im ing Explorer's (RXTE). Observations of about 1000 s in duration were initially m ade at weekly, later bi-weekly, intervals, with the exception of a period from m id-M ay until m id-July when the C rab pulsar is too close to the sun.

In this paper we shall use the events in the 2-16 keV range, collected with the RXTE's Proportional Counter Array (PCA) in 177 observations between MJD 50129 and 52941. For the st four and a half years the observations were made in pulsar fold mode, with approximately 80 bins per period. Halfway through the fth year this was changed to an event mode with 250 stime resolution.

The accuracy of the RXTE clock in absolute time is about 8 s for data taken before 29 April 1997 (M JD 50567); see Rots et al. (1998b). A first that date, the error decreased to 2 s (M arkwardt 2003). This accuracy can be achieved by applying the ne clock corrections supplied by the RXTE GOF. In addition to the clock correction, there is an instrum ental delay correction for the PCA of 16-20 s. W ithout the ne clock correction the uncertainty in absolute time is 100 s.

The radio tim ingephemeris is derived from Jodrell Bank observations, daily at 610 M H z and weekly at 1420 MHz. See, e.g., Lyne, Pritchard, & Graham-Smith (1993). Reduced to \in nite frequency", the ephemeris provides the dispersion-corrected time of arrival of the center of the main pulse and is published on the world-wide web<sup>1</sup>. The ephemeris records contain: range of validity (MJD, UTC); phase-zero in MJD (UTC; geocenter); RA, Dec (J2000, FK 5); and its rst two derivatives; m s of the solution's t. The tim ing ephemeris records, each of which covers one month, are created by the Tem po package, on the basis of the JPL solar system ephemeris DE200. In the period prior to MJD 50870 the Crab pulsar su ered a substantial amount of variable multipath scattering within the nebula; see also W ong, Backer, & Lyne (2001). W hile the Jodrell Bank sta endeavored to rem ove the e ects of this from the data, there was uncertainty in doing so, and this is re ected in the quoted errors and in the increased scatter. Apart from uncertainty in the delay due to scattering, which may change on timescales of a few months, the errors quoted in the ephemeris also contain a contribution arising from unknown systematic e ects in the system, such as unm odeled delays in Iterbanks and in perfect polarization calibration. This amounts to about 40 s and should not be treated as a statistical error which reduces in a known fashion upon averaging.

### 3. Analysis

The observations were analyzed using the program faseB in which applies the barycenter correction, ties the arrival times to the radio timing ephemeris, and bins the events in absolute phase. faseB in is the core of the Ftool (Blackburn 1995) fasebin. The unpulsed component is then subtracted and the data are integrated over the energy range 2-16 keV. A typical 2-16 keV pulse prole is shown in Fig. 1.

At this point we needed to decide how to de ne the phase of the main X -ray pulse. The problem is that, while the radio pulse is very symmetric, the X -ray pulse is clearly asymmetric. In order to avoid any assumptions concerning the modeling of the pulse shape to enter into our analysis, we decided to use the peak of the pulse as representative of the X -ray phase. To this end we used three dimensional modeling algorithms, each designed to be free of model assumptions to the extent possible: a parabolic to the highest bin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://www.jbman.ac.uk/ pulsar/crab.html

in the pro le and its two neighbors, using 200 phase bins; tting a Lorentzian function to the phase range 0.98 to 1.00, using 400 phase bins; and calculating the rst moment over that part of the pulse where the bins exceed 80% of the highest bin, using 800 phase bins. This procedure allows us to determ ine the pulse phase in an individual observation with an accuracy of 1 m illiperiod. W e did investigate whether the time resolution of the observations gives rise to an additional system atic error and found this not to be the case: Lorentzian

ts to two back-to-back observations made in the fall of 2003 with resolutions of 250 s and 16 s, respectively, di ered by less than 0.1 m illiperiod.

The absolute phase of the peak of the X-ray main pulse (with respect to the peak of the radio main pulse, using the Lorentzian ts), as a function of time (in M odi ed Julian D ays) is shown in Fig. 2; the errors are a combination of the 1 m illiperiod error mentioned above and the rms deviations in the ts of the radio tim ing ephemerides and typically amount to 40 s. Independently from these statistical errors there is the system atic error of up to 40 s introduced by the radio receiver system and calibration, as mentioned in the previous section.

#### 4. Results and Discussion

Fig.2 shows the history of the main X -ray pulse phase, with the occurrences of glitches marked. Glitches 7 through 12 are taken from W ong, Backer, & Lyne (2001), while the glitch numbered 8 corresponds to N ote 12 on the Jodrell Bank web page and adding one to glitch numbers 12 through 18 yields the corresponding N ote numbers (13 through 19) on that page. We have monitored the X -ray emission more closely following two glitches, but found no unusual behavior.

The data points in this gure can be divided into four quality categories. First, the data points that are based on timing ephem erides prior to MJD 50870 obviously display larger deviations than the later ones; we attribute this to the poorer quality of those radio ephem eris records. Second, there are a number of points with high error estimates (> 5 m illiperiods), associated with glitches; the monthly timing ephem eris records are not su ciently negrained to handle glitches properly. Third, there are seven outlyers (below phase 0.9860) that are clearly well below the remaining data points. In all cases these represent either all observations covered by a single ephem eris record or observations at the edge of such a record; hence, we attribute these to inaccuracies in the timing ephem erides. Fourth, the remaining 111 data points form a norm all distribution with the expected rm s scatter of 1 m illiperiod. This indicates that the data are consistent with a constant value. The data points from the rst three categories are represented by open circles in Fig. 2; the high-quality data points

(category 4) are shown as led circles.

In order to determ ine the phase of the X-ray main pulse we have excluded all data points that were deemed awed (i.e., in the rst three categories above). Least-squares ts (weighted averages) to the results from the three di erent peak- nding algorithms that we used lead us to conclude that the X-ray main pulse leads the radio main pulse (as de ned by the radio tim ingle phemion erides) by 10.25 0.15 milliperiod, or 344 5 s, with a reduced <sup>2</sup> of 1.3. The quoted errors represent the di erences between the results from the three methods. The statistical errors in the three individual its are smaller. In addition, of course, there is still the uncertainty of the 40 s system atic error in the radio ephemierides. We emphasize that, although we believe these error estimates to be realistic, a di erent de nition of the pulse phase may lead to larger discrepancies. Ideally, one should analyze the data that are available in the di erent spectral bands with a uniform pulse de nition.

The result obtained by Kuiper et al. (2003) of 280 40 s for a single INTEGRAL observation is probably to be considered consistent with our notings, especially since it used a tim ing ephem eris record at M JD 52685 that gives rise to slightly elevated phase values in our data. However, the phases that they quote for the main pulse on M JD 52683 and M JD 52697, derived from the same RXTE observations that we have used, di er from our values by +1.7 and +1.1 milliperiods, respectively. We believe that this di erence is due to the de nition of the phase that is used by these authors. Kuiper et al. (2003) de ne the phase of the main peak as the position of an asymmetric Lorentzian to the phase range 0.95 to 1.05. This de nition, in our opinion, is not as free of model-dependent assumptions as our analysis methodology; it appears that there is a system atic o set of about 40–50 s. Note that, since these authors used the same Jodrell Bank tim ing ephem eris records, the radio system atic error does not play a role here.

As to the question whether the lag between the X-ray and radio pulses is constant in phase (i.e., the lag is rotational in nature) or in time (i.e., the lag represents a pathlength di erence), the data are not conclusive. The form er would require the phase o set in Fig.2 to be constant with time, while the latter would require the phase to increase linearly with a slope of +1.0 10 <sup>8</sup> period/day. A linear t to the good data in Fig.2 yields a slope of  $(+3.3 \ 2.0)$  10 <sup>7</sup>. This result is probably a ected by M alm quist bias and possibly other sources of system atic errors. Unless our measurement accuracy can be dram atically improved, it will require at least another seven years of monitoring before we can answer this question de nitively in this manner. If indeed we are dealing with a time o set, this would correspond to a pathlength di erence of about 100 km.

Additional analysis of the RXTE data reveals that the PCA and HEXTE pulses are perfectly aligned to within 1 m illiperiod (i.e., no phase change over the 2 to 30 keV energy range), while pulse phase determ inations over a 12-hour C rab observation show less than 1 m illiperiod variation in the phase of the m ain pulse. This is all within the m easurem ent errors.

We have measured the phase di erence between the X-ray main pulse and interpulse, a quantity that is independent of any uncertainties in the radio tim ing ephemeris records. The average value over the 7.6 year period is 0.4001 0.0002 period. There do not appear to be any variations on time scales of the order of a year or less, but we cannot entirely exclude system atic variations or system atic errors of the order of 1 m illiperiod on time scales of several years.

### 5. Conclusion and Summary

The X-ray main pulse leads its radio counterpart by about 344 40 s (system atic error); the statistical error is 5 s. This is more than twice the time dimension of 140 s that Sanwal (1999) determined for the optical B band and three times the 100 s measured by Shearer et al. (2003) in red light. The time or phase dimension appears to have been constant over the past eight years, but the data are not accurate enough to distinguish between the two. The errors in individual measurements range up to 50 s. We should caution the reader when making comparisons with results in other wave bands. First, various authors have used dimensions of the pulse phase. In our estimation, our own de nition agrees with that used in the radio band, as does the demition of Shearer et al. (2003), but that is probably not true for most other reports. Second, the scatter in values for individual observations is fairly large (a milliperiod) and may be intrinsic. G reater accuracy can only be achieved with a statistically signin cant set of observations.

As we have mentioned, there is a system atic error of up to 40 s in the o set due to uncertainties in the interstellar scattering and the calibration of the radio equipment. This error may change on timescales of a few months, but since we do not know whether (and if so, by how much) the error is reduced by averaging, we quote 40 s as the nal uncertainty for the radio to X -ray timing of the pulse. However, such an error does not a left the comparison with results from other wavebands provided they are all approximately contemporaneous and use the same Jodrell Bank timing ephemeris records. On the other hand, it also appears that most (if not all) errors for the results at other wavebands quoted in the Introduction are seriously underestimated by ignoring the systematic error.

The phase di erence between the two X-ray pulses is constant at 0.400 period, within the measurement errors. It is also equal to the phase di erence between the radio main pulse

and interpulse, within the measurement error. It may be of interest to note that in the X-ray pulse prole the trailing edges of the pulse as well as the interpulse are distinctly steeper than their leading edges. This does not appear to be the case for the optical interpulse.

If the X-ray to radio lag were a true phase lag, attributable to the (radial) energy distribution across a cone, with the pulses occurring near the cone edges, one would expect the placement to be symmetrical, i.e., one X-ray pulse to be leading, the other trailing. As it stands, both X-ray pulses are leading by the same amount. The simplest explanation for this phenomenon is that we are dealing with a time delay rejecting a pathlength difference: the radio pulses originate approximately 100 km closer to the surface of the neutron star, as already suggested by M asnou et al. (1994).

We gratefully addrow ledge the e orts of Edward Morgan and Robert Shirey to keep up with constructing pulsar fold mode con gurations as the pulsar slowed down. We are indebted to the RXTE-GOF for maintaining the RXTE ne clock correction le, tdc.dat. We are grateful to Robert Pritchard and Mark Roberts for maintaining the Jodrell Bank Crab tim ing ephemerides. We thank Dr.Kuiper for helpful discussions on pulse tting and an anonym ous referee for stimulating comments. This research has been supported by NASA grant NAG 5-7346 and NASA contract NAS 8-39073 (CXC).

#### REFERENCES

- Blackburn, J.K. 1995, ASP Conf. Ser. 77: Astronom ical Data Analysis Software and Systems IV, 367
- Kuiper, L., Herm sen, W., Walter, R., & Foschini, L. 2003, A&A, 411, L31
- Lyne, A.G., Pritchard, R.S., & Graham Smith, F. 1993, MNRAS, 265, 1003
- Markwardt, C.B. 2003, (private communication)
- Masnou, J.L. et al. 1994, A & A, 290, 503
- Nolan, P.L. et al. 1993, ApJ, 409, 697
- Romani, R.W. & Yadigaroglu, I.-A. 1995, ApJ, 438, 314
- Romani, R.W. et al. 2001, ApJ, 563, 221
- Rots, A. et al. 1998a, Neutron Stars and Pulsars: Thirty Years after the Discovery, Ed. N. Shibazaki et al., Tokyo, Japan : Universal A cadem y Press, p.379

Rots, A.H. et al. 1998b, ApJ, 501, 749

- Rots, A.H., Jahoda, K., & Lyne, A.G. 1998c, Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society, 30, 1313
- Rots, A.H., Jahoda, K., Lyne, A.G., & Manchester, R.N. 2000a, Rossi2000: A strophysics with the RossiX-ray T im ing Explorer. March 22-24, 2000 at NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center, G reenbelt, MD USA, pE56
- Rots, A.H., Jahoda, K., & Lyne, A.G. 2000b, Bulletin of the American Astronom ical Society, 32, 1241
- Sanwal, D. 1999, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Texas at Austin
- Shearer, A. et al. 2003, Science, 301, 493
- Ulmer, M.P.et al. 1994, ApJ, 432, 228
- W ong, T., Backer, D.C., & Lyne, A.G. 2001, ApJ, 548, 447

This preprint was prepared with the AAS  ${\mathbb I}{\mathbb P} T_E X$  m acros v5.0.

Crab Pulsar RXTE/PCA 2-16 keV Pulse Profile



Fig. 1. | TypicalRXTE pulse pro le for the Crab pulsar. The events are binned in 100 bins per period; errors are indicated by vertical bars.



Crab Pulsar Phase of Main X-ray Pulse (RXTE/PCA 2-16 keV)

Fig. 2. Phase of the X-ray main pulse relative to the Jodrell Bank radio timing ephemerides for 177 RXTE observations as a function of time. The phase is calculated by tting a Lorentzian function to the phase range 0.98 to 1.00 with 0.0025 bin size (see text). The error bars represent statistical errors only. Filled circles represent high-quality data points, open circles data points of questionable quality. Numbered tick marks indicate the times of glitches (see text).