The Star Form ation R ate of the Universe at z 6 from the H ubble Ultra D eep F ield

And rew J. Bunker^{1,2}, E lizabeth R. Stanway², R ichard S. E llis³, P ichard G. M eW shap²

Richard G.M. dM. ahon²

 1 School of P hysics, U niversity of E xeter, Stocker Road, E xeter, EX 4 4Q L, U $\tt K$.

email: bunker@astro.ex.ac.uk

 2 Institute of A strophysics, U niversity of C am bridge, M adingley Road, C am bridge, C B 3 0H A , U K .

 3 California Institute of Technology, M ail Stop 169–327, Pasadena, CA 91109, U.S.A.

A coepted for publication in MNRAS

ABSTRACT

W e determ ine the abundance of i^0 -band drop-outs in the recently-released HST/ACS Hubble Ultra Deep Field (UDF). Since the majority of these sources are likely to 6 galaxies whose ux decrement between the F775W f-band and F850LP z^{0} be z band arises from Lym an-alpha absorption, the num ber of detected candidates provides a valuable upper limit to the unextincted star form ation rate at this redshift. We demonstrate that the increased depth of UDF enables us to reach an 8 limiting m agnitude of $z_{AB}^0 = 28.5$ (equivalent to $1.5 h_{70}^2 M$ yr ¹ at z = 6.1, or $0.1 L_{UV}$ for 3 U -drop population), permitting us to address earlier am biguities arising the z from the unobserved form of the lum inosity function. We identify 54 galaxies (and only one star) at z_{AB}^0 < 28:5 with (i⁰ $z^{0}_{AB} > 13$ over the deepest $11 \operatorname{arcm} \operatorname{in}^{2}$ portion of the UDF eld. The characteristic lum inosity (L) is consistent with values observed at z 3. The faint end slope () is less well constrained, but is consistent with only modest evolution. The main change appears to be in the number density (). Speci cally, and regardless of possible contam ination from cool stars and lower redshift sources, the UDF data support our previous result that the star form ation 6 was approxim ately 6 less than at z3 (Stanway, Bunker & M dM ahon rate at z 2003). This declining comoving star form ation rate $(0.005 h_{70} M)$ yr ¹ M pc ³ at z 6 at $L_{UV} > 0.1L$ for a salpeter IM F) poses an interesting challenge for models which suggest that $L_{UV} > 0.1 L$ star form ing galaxies at z ' 6 reionized the universe. The short-fall in ionizing photons m ight be alleviated by galaxies fainter than our lim it, or a radically di erent IMF. A Lematively, the bulk of reionization m ight have occurred at z 6.

K ey words: galaxies:evolution { galaxies:formation { galaxies:starburst { galaxies: individual:SBM 03# 1 { galaxies:high redshift { ultraviolet:galaxies

1 IN TRODUCTION

There has been considerable progress over the past decade in locating galaxies and Q SO s at high redshifts. These sources have enabled us to probe the Universe at early epochs where its physical characteristics are fundam entally di erent from those at the present epoch. O bservations of the most distant z > 6.2 Q SO s (Becker et al. 2001, Fan et al. 2002) show near-com plete absorption at wavelengths shortward of Lyman- (G unn & Peterson 1965), suggesting an optical depth in this line that in plies a sm ooth neutral hydrogen fraction which is increasing rapidly with redshift at this epoch. Tem perature-polarization cross-correlations in the cosm ic m icrow ave background from W MAP indicate that

the U niverse was completely neutral at redshifts of $z > 10 \ (K \mbox{ ogut et al. } 2003)$.

A lthough there is a growing consensus that cosm ic reionization occurred in the redshift interval 6 < z < 15, a second key question is the nature of the sources responsible for this landmark event. O ptical and X -ray studies to z' 6 suggest the abundance of active galactic nuclei (AGN) at early epochs is insu cient when account is taken of the relevant unresolved backgrounds (B arger et al. 2003). A more prom ising source is star-forming galaxies whose early ancestors m ay be sm all and num erous. A long with the escape fraction for the ionizing photons from the massive and shortlived OB stars in such sources, a m a probservational quest

2 Bunker et al.

in this respect is a determ ination of the global star form ation rate at early epochs.

In previous papers, our group has extended the Lym anbreak technique (Steidel, Pettini & Ham ilton 1995; Steidel et al. 1996) to address this question. U sing the A dvanced C am era for Surveys (ACS, Ford et al. 2002) on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) with the sharp-sided SD SS F775W (i^0) and F850LP (z^0) lters, we located \i-drop" candidates with $z^0_{AB} < 25.6$ at z ' 6 for further study. In a series of papers, we have shown that this selection technique can effectively locate z > 5:7 galaxies using ACS in ages from the HST Treasury \G reat Observatory O rigins D eep Survey" (GOODS; G iavalisco & D ickinson 2002). On the basis of GOODS-South photom etric catalogues published by Stanway, Bunker & M cM ahon (2003, hereafter Paper I), spectroscopic follow-up using Keck/D E IM OS and G em ini/GM OS

eld dem onstrated our ability to nd high redshift galaxies (Bunker et al. 2003, hereafter Paper II; Stanway et al. 2004a). To address potential cosm ic variance issues, we perform ed a sim ilar analysis in the GOODDS-North eld, which yielded a consistent estim ate of the surface density of z ' 6 star form ing sources (Stanway et al. 2004b, hereafter Paper III).

Although our initial study (Papers I-III) revealed the importance of ascertaining the di cult spectroscopic veri cations, and highlighted the problem of contam ination from Galactic stars, we nonetheless determined that the abundance of con med star forming galaxies at z 6 must be less than that expected on the basis of no evolution from the well-studied z 3 4 Lym an break population (Steidel et al. 1999). W orking at the robustly-detected bright end of the lum inosity function, in Paper I we showed that the com oving star form ation density in galaxies with $z_{AB}^0 < 25$:6 is 6 6 than at z 3.0 ur $\frac{0}{A_{B}}$ < 25:6 ux lim it correless at z sponds to > $15h_{70}^{2}$ M yr¹ at z = 5:9, equivalent to L_{UV} 3. In Papers I{III we restricted our analysis to lum iat z nous galaxies (where we take $\low inous"$ to mean L > L for the rest-UV). O ther groups have claim ed less dram atic evolution or even no evolution in the volum e-averaged star form ation rate, based on the same elds (Giavalisco et al. 2004; Dickinson et al. 2004) and similar HST /ACS data sets (Bouwens et al. 2003; Yan, W indhorst & Cohen 2003), but these groups work closer to the detection lim it of the im ages and introduce large completeness corrections for the faint source counts. The major uncertainty in converting the abundance of our spectroscopically-con med sample in the GOODS $\,$ elds into a z $^{\prime}\,$ 6 com oving star form ation rate is the form of the lum inosity function for faint, unobserved, sources. As discussed in Paper III, if the faint end of the lum inosity function at z ' 6 was steeper than that at lower redshift, or if L was signi cantly fainter, a non-evolving star form ation history could perhaps still be retrieved.

The public availability of the H ubble U ltra D eep Field (UDF; Beckwith, Som erville & Stiavelli 2003) enables us to address this outstanding uncertainty. By pushing the counts and the inferred lum inosity function of 1°-band drop-outs at z = 6 to a limiting lower lum inosity equivalent to one well below L₃ for the z = 3 population, it is possible to rene the integrated star form ation rate at z = 6. In this paper we set out to undertake the rst photom etric analysis of 1°-drops in the UDF.0 ur prim ary goal is to understand the abundance of fainter objects with characteristics equivalent to those of z ' 6 sources and address uncertainties in the global star form ation rate at this redshift.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we describe the imaging data, the construction of our catalogues and our 1^0 -drop selection. In Section 3 we discuss the lum inosity function of star-form ing sources, likely contamination on the basis of earlier spectroscopic work, and estimate the density of star formation at z 6.0 ur conclusions are presented in Section 4. Throughout we adopt the standard \concordance" cosm ology of $_{\rm M} = 0.3$, = 0.7, and use $h_{70} = H_0 = 70$ km s¹ M pc¹. A llm agnitudes are on the AB system (O ke & G unn 1983).

2 HST IM AG ING: OBSERVATIONS AND I-DROP SELECTION

2.1 HST/ACSObservations

The Hubble Ultra Deep Field (UDF) is a public HST survey made possible by Cycle 12 STScID irector's D iscretionary Time program me GO/DD-9978 executed over September 2003 { January 2004. For the present program, the HST has in aged a single ACSW ide Field Camera (WFC) tile (11.5 arcm in²) for 400 orbits in 4 broad-band liters (F435W B band for 56 orbits; F606W V-band for 56 orbits; F775W i⁰-band for 144 orbits; F850LP z⁰-band for 144 orbits). The UDF eld lies within the Chandra Deep Field South (CDF-S) with coordinates RA = 03^h 32^m 39^s:0, Decl= 27 47⁰29⁹1 (J2000). As the UDF represents the deepest set of in ages yet taken, signi cantly deeper than the I-band exposures of the Hubble Deep Fields (W illiam s et al. 1996; 1998), and adds the longer-wavelength z⁰-band, it is uniquely suited to the goals of our program.

The W FC on ACS has a eld of 202^{00} 202^{00} , and a pixel scale of 0.05^{00} . The UDF lies within the survey area of GOODS-South area (G iavalisco et al. 2004), surveyed using ACS with the same liters to shallower depth (3,25,25 & 5 orbits in the B, V, i^0 & z^0 bands). The UDF was observed at two main orientations di ering by 90 degrees, and within each of these data was taken in 2 blocks rotated by 4 deg (orientations of 310,314,40 & 44 deg). A 4-point dither box spanning 0.3 arcsec was used, with half-pixel centres to im – prove the sam pling. During each \visit", there were 3 larger 3 arcsec dithers to span the W FC inter-chip gap.

For our analysis we use the reduced UDF data v1.0 m ade public by STScI on 09 M arch 2004. The pipeline reduction involved bias/dark current subtraction, at-elding, and the combination of background-subtracted frames rejecting cosm ic ray strikes and chip defects. The resulting reduced im ages had been \drizzled" (Fruchter & Hook 2002) using the \M ultiD rizzle" software (K oekem oer et al. 2004) on to a ner pixel scale of 0.03° , to correct for geom etric distortion and to improve the sampling of the point spread function (PSF). The UDF data has been placed on the same astrom etric system as the GOOD Sv1.0 im ages of the UDF¹. The photom etric zeropoints adopted were those provided by STScI for the UDF v1.0 data release: 25.673, 26.486, 25.654 & 24.862 for the B, V, i° & z° lters, where m ag_{AB} = zeropoint 2.5 log (counts=s).W e have corrected

for the sm all am ount of foreground G alactic extinction toward the CDFS using the COBE/DIRBE & IRAS/ISSA dust m aps of Schlegel, Finkbeiner & D avis (1998). The optical reddening is E (B V) = 0.008, equivalent to extinctions of A_{F 775} = 0.017 & A_{F 850LP} = 0.012.

2.2 Construction of Catalogues

C and idate selection for all objects in the eld was perform ed using version 2.3.2 of the SExtractor photom etry package (Bertin & Amouts 1996). As we are searching speci cally for objects which are only securely detected in z^0 , with minin all ux in the 1-band, xed circular apertures 0.5 in diam eter were trained in the z^0 -im age and the identied apertures used to measure the ux at the same spatial location in the i⁰-band image by running SExtractor in two-image mode. For object identi cation, we adopted a limit of at least 5 contiguous pixels above a threshold of 2 per pixel (0:0005 counts=pixel=s) on the data drizzled to a scale of 0.03 pixel¹. This cut enabled us to detect all signi cant sources and a number of spurious detections close to the noise limit. As high redshift galaxies in the rest-UV are known to be compact (e.g., Ferguson et al. 2004, Brem er et al. 2004, Bouwens et al. 2004), we corrected the aperture m agnitudes to approxim ate total m agnitudes through a xed aperture correction, determ ined from bright com pact sources: 0:11 m ag in 1-band and 0:14 m ag in 2-band, the larger latter correction arising from the more extended PSF wings of the z^0 -band.

The measured noise in the drizzled images underestim ates the true noise as adjacent pixels are correlated. To assess the true detection lim it and noise properties, we exam ined the raw ACS/WFC im ages from the HST archive and measured the noise in statistically-independent pixels. For the 144-orbit z⁰-band, we determ ine that the 8 detection lim it is $z_{AB}^0 = 28.5$ for our 0.05-diam eter aperture. This is consistent with the noise decreasing as time from the 5orbit GOODSv1.0 to the 144-orbit UDF z⁰-band.W e adopt this high S=N = 8 cut as our conservative sample lim it. We trim m ed the outerm ost edges w here few er fram es overlapped in order to exploit the deepest UDF region, corresponding to a survey area of 11 arcm in². From the output of SE xtractor we created a sub-catalogue of all real objects brighter than z_{AB}^0 < 28:5m ag (8 in a 0²:5-diam eter aperture), of which 63 appear to be prom ising i^0 -band dropouts (see x2.3) with (i⁰ $z^{0}_{AB} > 1:3.$

To quantify possible incom pleteness in this catalogue, we adopted two approaches. First we exam ined the recovery rate of arti cial galaxies created with a range of total m agnitudes and sizes.W e used de Vaucouleurs $\texttt{r}^{1=4}$ and exponential disk pro les, convolved with the ACS/W FC PSF derived from unsaturated stars in the UDF in ages. Secondly we created fainter realisations of the brightest i⁰-dropout in the UDF con med to be at high redshift (SBM 03# 1 with $z_{AB}^{0} = 25:4$, con med spectroscopically to be at z = 5:83by Stanway et al. 2004b; Dickinson et al. 2004). By excising a sm all region around this i⁰-dropout, scaling the sub-im age to a fainter magnitude, and adding it back into the UDF data at random locations, we assessed the recoverability as a function of brightness. For such objects we recover 98% of the simulated sources to $z_{AB}^0 = 28.5$, the remainder being mainly lost via source confusion through overlapping



F igure 1. The completeness (norm alized to unity) for arti cial galaxies added to the UDF z⁰-band im age, as a function of total m agnitude and half-light radius; we re-ran SE xtractor on this im age to assess the fraction of arti cial galaxies recovered. The completeness is > 97% for R_h < 0^o/₂ and z_{AB}^{0} < 28:5.

objects. From these analyses, we determ ine that, for unresolved sources ($r_h = 0^{\circ}_{.}05$), we are complete at our 8 lim it of $z_{A B}^0 = 28.5$, and are 97% complete at this magnitude for $r_h = 0^{\circ}_{.}2$ (Figure 1). For objects with larger half-light radii we will underestim ate the z^0 -band ux due to our 0°.5-diam eter photom etric aperture. However, this e ect is small for our sample of compact sources (Table 1 lists both the 0°.5-diam eter magnitudes with an aperture correction which we adopt, and the SE xtractor \MAG_AUTO" estimate of the total magnitude using a curve-of-grow th: these are broadly consistent).

At the relatively bright cut of $z_{A,B}^0 < 25.6$ used in Paper I from the GOOD Sv0.5 individual epochs, the UDF data is 98% complete for sources as extended as $r_h = 0.5$ arcsec. Interestingly, we detect no extended (low surface brightness) i⁰-drops to this magnitude lim it in addition to SBM 03# 1 (Papers I,III) in the deeper UDF data. This supports our assertion (Paper I) that the i⁰-drop population is predom – inantly compact and there cannot be a large completeness correction arising from extended objects (c.f. Lanzetta et al. 2002). The ACS in aging is of course picking out H II star form ing regions, and these UV -bright knots of star form ation are typically < 1 kpc (< 0⁰2 at z 6) even within large galaxies at low redshift.

2.3 z 6 C and idate Selection

In order to select z 6 galaxies, we use the Lym an break technique pioneered at z 3 using ground-based telescopes by Steidel and co-workers and using HST by M adau et al. (1996). At z 3 4 the technique involves the use of three

lters:one below the Lym an lim it ($_{\rm rest}$ = 912A), one in the Lym an forest region and a third longward of the Lym an-

4 Bunker et al.

line ($_{rest} = 1216A$). At z 6, we can e ciently use only two lters, since the integrated optical depth of the Lym an- forest is 1 (see Figure 2) rendering the shortestwavelength lterbelow the Lym an lim it redundant. The key issue is to work at a su ciently-high signal-to-noise ratio that i⁰-band drop-outs can be safely identi ed through detection in a single redder band (i.e., $SD SS-z^0$). This approach has been dem onstrated to be e ective by the SD SS collaboration in the detection of z 6 quasars using the 1- and z^0 -bands alone (Fan et al. 2001). The sharp sides of the SD SS liters assist in the clean selection using the photom etric redshift technique. In Figures 3 & 4 we illustrate how a colour cut of $(i^0 z^0)_{AB} > 1.5$ (used in Papers I-III) can be e ective in selecting sources with z > 5:7. Here we relax this cut to $(i^0 z^0)_{AB} > 1:3$ to recover m ost galaxies at redshifts z > 5.6, but at the expense of potentially larger contam ination by z 1 2 ellipticals. Near-infrared colours from the N IC M O S in aging of the U D F should identify these Extremely Red Objects (EROs), and we consider this in a com panion paper (Stanway, M cM ahon & Bunker 2004c).

Six of the 63 candidate i^0 -dropouts in our z_{AB}^0 < 28.5 UDF catalogue were identi ed visually as di erent regions of the sam e extended source, and where these were within our aperture diam eter of 0.5 the duplicates were elim inated from the nalselection. One spurious 1-drop arose from the di raction spikes of bright stars due to the more extended PSF in the z^0 -band com pared with that in the i^0 -band.0 nly one of the i⁰-dropouts is unresolved (Figure 5). This is the brightest at $z_{AB}^0 = 25:3$ (# 11337 in Table 1), detected in the V -band in age and rem oved from our catalogue of potential 6 objects as a probable star. At the edge of the UDF z fram e (and outside the central 11 arcm in² region of lowest noise where we do our main analysis) there is a second unresolved i^0 -drop with $z^0_{AB} = 25.2$, rst identi ed in Paper I (SBM 03# 5), where we argued that the near-IR colours are likely to be stellar. It is interesting that the level of stellar contam ination in the UDF i⁰-drops is only 2%, compared with about one in three at the bright end $(z_{AB}^{0} < 25.6, Pa$ pers I & III). This may be because we are seeing through the Galactic disk at these faint magnitudes to a regime where there are no stars at these faint lim iting m agnitudes.

From our original list of 63 i^0 -drops, 6 duplications were removed, along with one di raction spike artifact. The remaining objects satisfying our $(i^0 - 2)_{AB} > 1.3$ & $z_{AB}^0 < 28.5$ selection criteria are detailed in Table 1, ofwhich 54 are good candidate z 6 galaxies, along with the probable star # 11337, and another objected (# 46574) detected in V-band. The surface density of i^0 -drops as a function of lim - iting magnitude is shown in Figure 7. None of the i^0 -drops (with the exception of the Galactic star) are detected in the B-band im age of the UDF, to a 3 limit of $B_{AB} > 292$ in a $0^{0.5}$ -diam eter aperture, as would be expected for the z 6 interpretation where the B-band liter covers wavelengths below the 912 A Lym an limit.

3 SELECTION EFFECTS AND THE LUM INOSITY FUNCTION OF STAR FORM ING GALAXIES AT Z 6



Figure 2. The ACS- i^0 and $-z^0$ bandpasses overplotted on the spectrum of a generic z = 6 galaxy (solid line), illustrating the utility of our two-lter technique for locating z 6 sources.

3.1 Estimate of Star Formation Rate from the Rest-UV

W e will base our m easurem ent of the star form ation rate for each candidate on the rest-fram e UV continuum, redshifted into the z^0 -band at z 6 and m easured from the counts in a 0° 5-diam eter aperture (with an aperture correction to total m agnitudes, Section 2.2). In the absence of dust obscuration, the relation between the ux density in the rest-UV around

1500A and the star form ation rate (SFR in M yr¹) is given by $L_{\rm UV} = 8 - 10^{27} \rm SFR \, ergs s^{-1} \, Hz^{-1}$ from M adau, Pozzetti & Dickinson (1998) for a Salpeter (1955) stellar initial mass function (IMF) with 0:1M < M < 125M. This is comparable to the relation derived from the models of Leitherer & Heckman (1995) and K ennicutt (1998). However, if a Scalo (1986) IMF is used, the inferred star form ation rates will be a factor of 2.5 higher for a similar m ass range.

Recognising the limitations of our earlier studies (Papers I-III) which by necessity focussed on the brighter i^0 -drops, we now attempt to recover the z 6 rest-frame UV luminosity function from the observed number counts of i^0 -drops to faint magnitudes in the UDF. A lthough our colour cut selects galaxies with redshifts in the range 5.6 < z < 7.0, an increasing fraction of the z^0 -band ux is attenuated by the redshifted Lyman-forest. At higher redshifts we probe increasingly shortward of $_{\rm rest} = 1500$ A (where the luminosity function is calculated) so the k-corrections become signic cant beyond z 6.5.

Figure 6 dem onstrates this bias and shows the lim iting star form ation rate as a function of redshift calculated by accounting for the lter transm issions and the blanketting e ect of the intervening Lym an- forest. By introducing the small k-correction to $_{rest}$ = 1500 A from the observed rest-wavelengths longward of Lym an- redshifted

T ab le 1. i⁰-band dropouts in the UDF. The two stars are above the line { all others are spatially resolved. O ur ID and the corresponding m atch from the UDF catalogues released by STScI are listed. W here two close i⁰-drops lie within our 0^oD-diam eter aperture, the ux only counted once in the star form ation total { those ID s and star form ation rates in parentheses are not counted. The star form ation rates assume the i⁰-drops lie at z = 6.0, the expected m edian redshift of our sam ple. The z_{AB}^{0} (total) is the SE xtractor \MAG_AUTO".

0ur D	ST ScI D	RA & Declination (J2000)		$z^0_{A B}$ $i^0_{A B}$ (0 ⁰ .5-diam eter aperture)			(i ⁰ z ⁰) _{A B} 0 ° 5-aper		R _h arcsec	z ⁰ _{A B} (total)		$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{SFR}_{\mathrm{UV}}^{\mathrm{z}=6} \\ \mathrm{h_{70}}^{2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{yr}^{1} \end{array}$	
[(2140) [?] (11337)	 443	03 32 38.80 03 32 38.02	27 49 53.6 27 49 08.4	25.22 25.29	0.02 0.02	27 . 91 26 . 79	0.04 0.04	2.69 1.50	0.05 0.05	0.06 0.05	25.17 25.43	0.02 0.02	(star)] (star)
20104 ¹	2225	03 32 40.01	27 48 15.0	25.35	0.02	26.99	0.03	1.64	0.04	0.08	25.29	0.02	19.5[z = 5:83]
42929 ²	8033	03 32 36.46	27 46 41.4	26.56	0.03	29.05	0.14	2.49	0.15	0.14	26.55	0.04	6.75
41628	8961	03 32 34.09	27 46 47 2	26.65	0.04	28.81	0.12	2.15	0.12	0.09	26.70	0.04	6.18
(46574) ³	7730	03 32 38,28	27 46 17.2	26.71	0.04	29.38	0.18	2.67	0.18	0.09	26.74	0.04	(5.87)
24019	3398	03 32 32.61	27 47 54.0	26.80	0.04	28.22	0.08	1.42	0.09	0.18	26.73	0.04	5.42
52880	9857	03 32 39.07	27 45 38.8	27.00	0.05	28.47	0.09	1.47	0.10	0.09	27.10	0.05	4.50
23516	3325	03 32 34.55	27 47 56.0	27.04	0.05	28.57	0.10	1.53	0.11	0.11	27.05	0.05	4.35
10188	322	03 32 41.18	27 49 14.8	27.10	0.05	29.15	0.16	2.04	0.16	0.20	27.06	0.05	4.10
21422	2690	03 32 33.78	27 48 07.6	27.23	0.05	28.99	0.14	1.76	0.15	0.10	27.37	0.05	3.64
25578 ^D		03 32 47.85	27 47 46.4	27.30	0.06	29.96	0.31	2.66	0.31	0.18	27.28	0.06	3.41
25941	4050	03 32 33.43	2/4/44.9	27.32	0.06	29.30	0.18	1.99	0.19	0.11	27.38	0.06	3.35
260915	4110	03 32 41.57	2/4/44.2	27.38	0.06	29./4	0.25	2.35	0.26	0.14	27.21	0.07	3.16
24458	3630	03 32 38 28	2/4/51.3	27.51	0.07	29.11	0.13	1.00	0.15	0.20	27.07	0.08	2.80
13/9/	2024	03 32 31 30	27 48 00 5	27.56	0.07	30.62	0.15	3.06	0.15	0.20	27.49	0.08	2.78
24228	3450	03 32 37 28	27 47 52 3	27.50	0.07	29.10	0.55	1 47	0.00	0.12	27.40	0.08	2.09
16258	1400	03 32 36.45	27 48 34.3	27.64	0.07	29.07	0.15	1.42	0.16	0.18	27.25	0.07	2.49
42414	9202	03 32 33.21	27 46 43.3	27.65	0.07	29.10	0.15	1.45	0.17	0.16	27.54	0.08	2.46
27173 ⁵	4377	03 32 29.46	27 47 40.4	27.73	80.0	29.87	0.28	2.13	0.29	0.13	27.74	0.09	2,28
49117 ^D		03 32 38.96	27 46 00.5	27.74	80.0	29.77	0.26	2.03	0.27	0.17	27.36	0.07	2.28
49701	36749	03 32 36.97	27 45 57 . 6	27.78	80.0	30.79	0.64	3.02	0.64	0.19	27.90	0.09	2.20
24123		03 32 34 29	27 47 52.8	27.82	80.0	29.89	0.29	2.07	0.30	0.15	27.65	0.09	2.11
27270	33003	03 32 35.06	27 47 40.2	27.83	80.0	30.69	0.58	2.87	0.59	0.11	27.99	0.09	2.10
23972	3503	03 32 34.30	27 47 53 . 6	27.84	0.09	29.38	0.19	1.54	0.21	0.17	27.77	0.10	2.07
14751	1086	03 32 40.91	27 48 44.7	27.87	0.09	29.27	0.17	1.40	0.19	0.09	27.92	0.09	2.02
44154	35945	03 32 37.46	27 46 32.8	27.87	0.09	> 30.4	(3)	> 2.5	(3)	0.16	27.87	0.10	2.01
35084	34321	03 32 44.70	27 47 11.6	27.92	0.09	29.86	0.28	1.94	0.30	0.14	27.90	0.09	1.93
42205	8904	03 32 33.55	27 46 44.1	27.93	0.09	29.51	0.21	1.57	0.23	0.11	27.91	0.09	1.90
46503	7814	03 32 38,55	27 46 17.5	27.94	0.09	29.43	0.20	1.50	0.22	0.12	28.07	0.09	1.89
19953	2225	03 32 40.04	2/48 14.6	27.97	0.09	29.50	0.21	1.54	0.23	0.17	27.68	0.10	1.85
52086	36/86	03 32 39.45	27 45 43.4	27.97	0.09	30.83	0.66	2.86	0.66	0.11	28.04	0.10	1.84
44194 21111 ^D	2631	03 32 37.40	27 48 52.5	20.01	0.10	20.01	0.04	2.00	0.55	0.10	27.40	0.09	1.76
46223 ⁴	35506	03 32 42.00	27 46 19 1	28.02	0.10	32.18	2.23	4 15	2.23	0.14	28.10	0.11	1 74
22138	32007	03 32 42.80	27 48 03.2	28.03	0.10	> 30.4	(3)	> 2.3	(3)	0.14	28.14	0.10	1.73
$(46234)^4$		03 32 39.86	27 46 19.1	28.05	0.10	30.61	0.54	2.56	0.55	0.12	28.30	0.12	(1.70)
14210	978	03 32 35.82	27 48 48.9	28.08	0.10	29.51	0.21	1.43	0.24	0.10	28.16	0.11	1.66
45467	35596	03 32 43.02	27 46 23.7	28.08	0.10	> 30.4	(3)	> 2.3	(3)	0.11	28.25	0.10	1.66
12988 ^D	30534	03 32 38.49	27 48 57.8	28.11	0.11	30.47	0.48	2.36	0.49	0.10	28.22	0.11	1.61
30359	33527	03 32 30.14	27 47 28.4	28.13	0.11	29.58	0.22	1.46	0.25	0.13	28.02	0.11	1.59
11370	482	03 32 40.06	27 49 07.5	28.13	0.11	30.45	0.47	2.32	0.48	0.06	28.27	0.08	1.59
24733	32521	03 32 36.62	27 47 50.0	28.15	0.11	30.92	0.71	2.76	0.72	0.13	28.34	0.12	1.55
37612	34715	03 32 32.36	27 47 02.8	28.18	0.11	29.98	0.31	1.80	0.33	0.13	28.15	0.11	1.52
41918	7829	03 32 44.70	27 46 45.5	28.18	0.11	29.81	0.27	1.63	0.29	80.0	28.36	0.10	1.52
21530	31874	03 32 35.08	27 48 06.8	28,21	0.12	30.24	0.39	2.03	0.41	0.12	28.35	0.12	1.47
42806	8033	03 32 36.49	2/4041.4	28,21	0.12	3U./6	0.62	∠.55 1.24	0.63	0.00	28.12	0.12	1.4/
Z/U3Z ⁻	4377	03 32 29.45	2/4/40.6	28.22	0.12	29.55	0.22	2.06	0.25	0.06	28./0	0.12	1.46
JZ891 17000	1831	03 32 31 23	21 43 38.4	∠o.∠⊃ 28.25	0.12	22 ∠1 29 66	∠.∠ŏ 0.24	3.90 1 /1	∠.∠ŏ ∩ 27	0.15	20.04	0.12	1 43 1 43
1,300 (27029)5	1034 1353	03 32 34.00	27 40 20.0	20,20	0.12	29.00 29.00	0.24	1 73	0.23	0.10	20.22	0.17	1.42 (1.72)
48989 ^D	36570	03 32 41.43	27 46 01 2	28.26	0.12	> 30.4	(3)	> 2.1	(3)	0.09	28.45	0.12	1.41
17487		03 32 44 14	27 48 27 1	28.30	0.12	30.10	0.35	1.81	0.37	0.07	28.51	0.11	1.36
18001	31309	03 32 34.14	27 48 24.4	28.40	0.13	30.46	0.48	2.06	0.49	0.14	28.59	0.14	1.23
35271	6325	03 32 38.79	27 47 10.9	28.44	0.14	29.77	0.26	1.33	0.30	0.10	28.60	0.13	1.19
22832		03 32 39.40	27 47 59.4	28.50	0.15	30.46	0.47	1.96	0.50	0.14	28.60	0.13	1.13

^D double.[?] star SBM 03# 5 (Paper I), outside central UDF.¹ SBM 03# 1 (Paper I); SiD 002 (D ickinson et al. 2004).² SiD 025 (D ickinson et al. 2004).³ 46574 has a close neighbour visible in the v-band (i.e. low redshift.)⁴ 46234 is close to 46223.⁵ 27029 is close to 27032.





F igure 3. M odel colour-redshift tracks for galaxies with nonevolving stellar populations (from C olem an, W u & W eedm an 1980 tem plate spectra). The contam inating 'hum p' in the ($i^0 z^0$) colour at z 1 2 arises when the Balm er break and/or the 4000 A break redshifts beyond the i^0 - lter.

into the z^0 -band we can correct for this e ect. We considered a spectral slope of = 2:0 (where f /) appropriate for an unobscured starburst (at in f), and also a redder slope of = 1:1 which appropriate for m ean reddening of the z 3 Lym an break galaxies given by M eurer et al. (1997). A more recent determ ination for this population by A delberger & Steidel (2000) gives = 1:5, in the middle of the range. At our 8 limiting magnitude of $z_{AB}^{0} = 28.5$, we deduce we can detect unobscured star formation rates as low as 1:0 $[1:1]h_{70}^2$ M yr¹ at 5:6 < z < 5:8 and $1.5 [1:7]h_{70}^{2} M$ yr¹ at z < 6:1 for spectral slope 2:0 [1:1] (Figure 6).

R ecognising that contam ination by interlopers will only reduce the value, we now com pare the com oving star formation rate deduced for z 6 galaxies based on our candidate i⁰-dropout source counts with predictions based on a range of rest-fram e UV lum inosity functions. For convenience we assume that there is no evolution over the sam pled redshift range, 5:6 < z < 6:5, spanned by the UDF data (equivalent to a range between 0:8 $1:0 h_{70}^{\perp}$ G yr after the Big Bang). We take as a starting point the lum inosity function for the well-studied Lyman-break U-dropout population, reported in Steidel et al. (1999), which has a characteristic rest-UV lum inosity $m_{R} = 24.48$ (equivalent to M₃ (1500 A) = 21:1 m ag or $L_3 = 15 h_{70}^2$ M yr¹ for our cosm ology). The faint end slope of the Schechter function at z 3 is relatively steep (= 1:6) compared with 1:0 to 1:3 for lower-redshift galaxy samples (e.g., Lilly et al. 1995; E fstathiou et al. 1988; B lanton et al. 2003 { see G abasch et al. 2004 for recent determ inations at 1500 A). The characteristic comoving number density at z 3 is $_3 = 0.00138 h_{70}^3 \text{ Mpc}^3 \text{ mag}^1$ in our cosm ology.

We adopt two approaches to determ ining the galaxy

F igure 4.C obur-m agnitude diagram for the UDF data with the lim it $z_{AB}^0 < 28:5$ and $(i^0 z^0)_{AB} = 1:3$ colour cut shown (dashed lines). As discussed in the text, such a catalogue could be contam inated by cool stars, EROs and wrongly identi ed extended objects and di raction spikes but nonetheless provides a secure upper lim it to the abundance of z 6 star form ing galaxies. C incles and arrows (low er lim its) indicate our i^0 -drop candidate z 6 galaxies. The solid circle is the spectroscopically-con rm ed galaxy SBM 03# 1 (Stanway et al. 2004b; D ickinson et al. 2004), and the asterisk is the only unresolved i^0 -drop in our UDF sam ple, the probable star # 11337.

num berdensity and star form ation density at z 6:the rst m ethod (Section 3.1.1) is the one used in Papers I& III, an application of the \e ective volum e" technique (Steidelet al. 1999). The second m ethod (Section 3.1.3) involved com paring them easured surface density of 1° -dropout z 6 galaxies with that predicted on the assumption they have the sam e characteristics as the U-dropout population at z 3.

3.1.1 E ective Survey Volum e

W e have followed the approach of Steidelet al. in calculating the e ect of lum inosity bias on our sample of z 6 LBG s. W e account for the k-correction: as redshift increases, the z⁰-band samples light in the rest-frame of the galaxies at wavelengths that are increasingly far to the blue of 1500 A, where the LBG s' lum inosity function was calculated. A dditionally, at redshifts z > 6, Lym an- absorption from the forest enters the z⁰-band and makes galaxies fainter still, as there is incom plete coverage of the liter by the continuum longward of Lym an-. A coounting for these lum inosity and redshift biases, we compute an elective survey volume using 7.

$$V_{\rm e}$$
 (m) = dzp(m;z) $\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}z}$

where p(m;z) is the probability of detecting a galaxy at redshift z and apparent z^0 m agnitude m, and $dz \frac{dv}{dz}$ is the com oving volum eper unit solid angle in a slice dz at redshift



F igure 5. The distribution of angular sizes (half-light radius, R_h, in arcseconds) for objects in our z⁰-band selected catalogue. O ur i⁰-drop candidate z 6 are m arked as open circles, with the con m ed z = 5.8 galaxy SBM 03# 1 a solid circle. The f-drops appear to be com pact but resolved (the stellar locus at 0^o05 is clearly visible). The asterisk denotes the only unresolved i⁰-drop in our UDF sam ple, the probable star # 11337.

z. We integrate over the magnitude range we are sensitive to, and over the redshift range 5:6 < z < 7:0 from our colour selection, and calculate that for a spectral slope of = 2:0 (i.e., at in f) the e ective com oving volum e is 40 per cent the total volum e in the range 5:6 < z < 7:0 (i.e., the sam e as 5:6 < z < 6:1). For our 11 arcm in² survey area (excluding the edge regions of the UDF where fewer fram es overlap) this is a com oving volum e of 2:6 $10^{4} h_{70}^{-3} Mpc^{3}$.

Hence we calculate a volum e-averaged com oving star formation density at z 6 of (0:005 0:001) h₇₀ M yr¹ Mpc³ for the 50 1-dropout galaxies with $z^{0}(AB) < 28:5$ ($L_{UV} > 0:1L_{3}$). This is plotted on the M adau-Lilly diagram (Figure 11). D ata from other groups are shown on this gure, where we have corrected all the datasets to the same limiting star formation rate of $1.5 h_{70}^2$ M yr ¹ (i.e., typically integrating their claim ed lum inosity functions down to $0:1L_3$) to provide a fair com parison of evolution. Integrating the lum inosity function down to 0:1 L , as here, represents m ost of the total lum inosity density for faint end slopes > 1:6 (com pared with integrating to zero lum inosity). If we assume that the Schechter function holds for the unobserved faint galaxies with $L < 0.1 L_3$, then the observed population with $L > 0:1 L_3$ represents (87.5%, 78.9%, 56.4%, 32.4%, 17.4%) of the total star form ation rate for faint-end slopes = (1:1; 1:3; 1:6; 1:8; 1:9).



F igure 6.Lim iting star form ation rate as a function of redshift for the UDF catalogue with $z_{AB}^0 < 28.5 \,\mathrm{m}$ ag (8). Star form ation rates are inferred from the rest-fram e 1500 A ux (M adau, Pozzetti & Dickinson 1998) taking account of k-corrections, Iter transm ission and blanketting by Lym an- absorption. The solid line assumes a spectral slope = 2:0 (where f /) appropriate for an unobscured starburst, and the dotted line has = 1:1 (corresponding to mean reddening of z 3 Lym an break galaxies given in M eurer et al. 1997). The lim it as a fraction of L₃ (L [1500A] at z 3, equivalent to SFR_{UV} = $15 h_{70}^2 \,\mathrm{M} \,\mathrm{yr}^{1}$ from Steidel et al. 1999) is shown on the right axis. O ur colour selection should rem ove m ost z < 5:6 galaxies (solid vertical line), and our average i⁰-drop redshift for z⁰ < 28:5 should be z 6:0 (vertical dot-dash line): we are sensitive as faint at 0:1 L₃ at this redshift.

3.1.2 Cobur Selection: spectral slope and forest blanketting

W e model the e ect of the break below the Lym an- em ission line due to blanketting by the forest, where the continuum break D_A (O ke & K orycansky 1982) is de ned as

$$D_{A} = 1 \frac{f (1050 \ 1170A)_{obs}}{f (1050 \ 1170A)_{pred}} :$$
 (1)

W e assumed ux decrements of $D_A = 0.9 \quad 1.0$, consistent with that observed in the z > 5.8 SD SS Q SO s (Fan et al. 2001). We not that low ering D_A reduces the completeness in the low est redshift bin 5.6 < z < 5.8 for a $(i^0 \quad z^0)_{AB} > 1.5$ colour cut. A $(i^0 \quad z^0)_{AB} > 1.3$ cut improves the selection somewhat but at the risk of higher contamination from red objects at $z = 1 \quad 2$: we consider this in Stanway, M cM ahon & Bunker (2004c).

We nd that altering the spectral slope of the 1-drop spectral energy distribution (f /) over the range 1:1 > > 2:0 changes the predicted number of 1-dropouts by only 10%.

8 Bunker et al.

3.1.3 Surface Density Predictions

First, we compare our observed number of i^0 -band dropout galaxies with a simple no-evolution model, which assumes the same luminosity function for Lyman break galaxies at z = 6 as at z = 3 (with a faint-end slope = 1.6, spectral slope = 2.0 and Lyman- forest decrement $D_{\rm A} = 1.0$). This no-evolution model would predict 169 galaxies satisfying our $i_{\rm A\,B}^0 < 28.5~{\rm k}~(i^0~z^0)_{\rm A\,B} > 1.3$ selection with a total star formation rate of $866\,h_{70}^{-2}$ M $\,yr^{-1}$. This compares with our observed number of 54 i^0 -drops (1/3rd that predicted), which have a total star formation rate of $140\,h_{70}^{-2}$ M $\,yr^{-1}$ (1/6th of the no-evolution prediction). The predicted median redshift of our i^0 -drop sample for the no-evolution model is z = 5.95, with the luminosity-weighted average z = 6.05.

C learly, evolution in the UV lum inosity function of Lym an break galaxies is required. To t this, we constructed a grid of models based upon the z 3 lum inosity function, varying between 1:1 and 1:9, and L between $0.3 L_3$ and $2 L_3$.W e leave the norm alization of the lum inosity function, , as a free parameter,

W e m inim ize ² for our grid of m odel lum inosity functions: our best t (Figure 8) is compatible with no evolution of L from z 3, but a large decline in the com oving space density, (by about a factor 6 relative to z 3). The faint end slope is less well constrained, although no evolution is compatible with the results. At the faintest m agnitude bin, there m odestly higher counts, perhaps indicating a slightly steeper if the results at the faintest m agnitudes are to be trusted (Figure 9).

3.1.4 C om parison with i^0 -drop num ber counts from other groups

R ecognizing the very limited area of the UDF and the problem s of cosm ic variance, it is nonetheless interesting to compare our measured i⁰-drop number counts with previous determ inations from shallower data sets. The surface density derived in Paper I to $z_{AB}^0 = 25.6$ is consistent with the present data { we detect only one resolved i⁰-dropout this bright: SBM 03# 1. N ote that the UDF pointing was selected to include this object. No other spatially-resolved i⁰-dropouts are detected to $z_{AB}^0 < 26.5$, in plying a surface density of 0.1 0.1 arcm in ². This is in contrast with the density of 0.4 arcm in ² measured by G iavalisco et al. (2004) to the same $z_{AB}^0 < 26.5$ limit, and 0.5 0.2 arcm in ² from the completeness-corrected estim ate of B ouwens et al. (2003)²,



F igure 7. Cumulative source counts per arcm in² of i⁰-dropouts as a function of z⁰-band m agnitude. The new UDF data (over a smaller area of 11 arcm in² for $z_{A,B}^0$ 27:0) is compared with $z_{A,B}^0$ 25:6 single epoch GOODSv0.5 ACS/W FC imaging over 300 arcm in² (Papers I-III) and combined 5 epoch GOODSv1.0 im ages to $z_{A,B}^0$ < 27:0 (Stanway 2004).

and the even higher surface density of 2.3 arcm in 2 (after removing stellar contam ination) claim ed by Yan, W indhorst & Cohen (2003), after correcting for a factor of 4 error in their original ux calibration (see Yan & W indhorst 2004). C learly, there are large discrepancies from the various groups in the number density measured to the same limiting magnitude of z_{AB}^0 < 26.5, with measurements up to a factor of 20 higher than our UDF measurement (Yan & Windhorst 2004). These discrepancies may be due to cosm ic variance, or too m any spurious sources in the sam ples of these team s, due to working close to the sensitivity lim its. By using a high signal-to-noise (S=N = 8) cut, we guard against the low -S=N bias: where there are many more objects with intrinsically bluer colours that scatter up into our $(i^0 - z^0)_{AB} > 1.3$ selection than there are real i-drops which scatter out of the colour selection through photom etric errors.

From Som erville et al. (2004) we estim ate that the cosm ic variance for the UDF is 40%, assuming the z = 6 LBGs are clustered in the same way as the z = 3 LBGs and assuming a volume of derived by scaling our UDF area with our wider-area GOODS data (with an elective volume of 1.8 $10^{6} h_{70}^{-3}$ Mpc³ for the 146 arcm in² of GOODS-S, Paper I). Indeed, the spatial distribution of our i⁰-drops on the sky does indicate some clustering (Figure 10), and we had already agged 6 of our candidates as being \double" sources (Table 1), with another 2 having near neighbours. In the GLARE GMOS/G em inispectroscopy of the GOODS-South i⁰-dropouts, Stanway et al. (2004a) have already spectroscopically identi ed an overdensity at z = 5.8.

3.2 Im plications for R eionization

The increased depth of the UDF enables us to resolve the uncertainties associated with the unobserved portion of the lum inosity function (LF) for z 6 sources. Our best-t LF suggest little or no change in L over 3 < z < 6, with

less well constrained but consistent with modest evolution, im plying the major evolution is a decline in space den-

 $^{^2}$ N ote added in proof: a recent paper by Bouwens et al. (2004), based on number counts of i^0 -drops in the ACS parallel observations to the N ICM OS UDF eld, signi cantly revises their previous estimate of the number density of $z^0_{AB} < 2655 \, i^0$ -drops from 0.5 0.2 to 0.2 0.1 arcm in 2 (4 ob jects in 21 arcm in 2 , consistent with our UDF work presented here). The conclusion of B ouwens et al. (2003) {that the com oving star form ation at z 6 is consistent with no evolution from z 4{ is revised in B ouwens et al. (2004) to be a factor of 3 decline from z = 3.8 to z 6.U sing the evolution in com oving number density of $(1 + z)^{2:8}$ suggested by B ouwens et al. (2004), this fall in star form ation rate at z = 6 is consistent with our result of a factor of 6 decline from z = 3.0 to z 6 from the G O O D S data in Stanway, B unker & M cM ahon (2003), con m ed in this paper from the deeper UDF data.



F igure 8. Cumulative source counts per arcm in² of i⁰-dropouts as a function of z⁰-band m agnitude, with various norm alisations of the characteristic number density at z 6, 6 (in term softhe value at z 3, 3), assuming L₆ = L₃ and the same as the z 3 Lym an break population (= 1:6). Our faintest point from the GOODS data (at z_{AB}^0 = 26:5) is excluded from the t due to incom pleteness.



F igure 9.C um ulative source counts per arcm in² of i⁰-dropout as a function of z⁰-band m agnitude, with various values of the faint end slope () assuming L₆ = L₃ and $_6 = _3=6.0$ ur faintest point from the GOODS data (at $z^0_{AB} = 26.5$) is excluded from the t due to incom pleteness.

sity (and global star form ation rate) by ' 6 at z 6. This sharp decline, which must represent a lower limit to the true decline given the likelihood of contam ination from foreground sources, suggests it may be di cult for lum inous star-form ing z 6 i-dropout galaxies to be the main source of ionizing photons of the Universe.

W e attempt to quantify this by comparing with the estimate of M adau, H aardt & R \approx (1999) for the density of star formation required for reionization (their equation 27):

This relation is based on the same Salpeter Initial Mass Function as we have used in deriving our volum e-averaged



F igure 10. The spatial distribution of our UDF i^0 -drops on the sky (diam onds). The location of the con m ed z = 5:8 source from Paper I is marked (# 1) as are two other sources just outside the UDF, spectroscopically identi ed at z = 5:8 5:9 by Stanway et al. (2004a).

star form ation rate. C is the concentration factor of neutral hydrogen, C = ${}^{2}_{H_{II}}$ h $_{H_{II}i}$ ². Simulations suggest C 30 (G nedin & O striker 1997). O ur com oving star form ation rate of 0.005 h₇₀ M yr ¹ M pc ³ from the i⁰-drop galaxies we detect is a factor of > 2.5 lower than the original M adau, H aardt & Rees (1999) requirement at z 5. W e have updated their equation 27 for the more recent concordance cosm ology estimate of the baryon density of Spergel et al. (2003), $_{b} = 0.0224 h_{100}^{2} = 0.0457 h_{70}^{2}$, and for the predicted mean redshift of our sample (z = 6:0):

$$_{\rm SFR} \quad \frac{0.026M \quad {\rm yr}^{1} \ {\rm Mpc}^{3}}{f_{\rm esc}} \quad \frac{1+z}{7} \quad \frac{{}^{3}}{0.0457} \quad \frac{{}^{2}}{30} \quad (3)$$

The escape fraction of ionizing photons (f_{esc}) for highredshift galaxies is highly uncertain (e.g., Steidel, Pettini & A delberger 2001), but even if we take $f_{esc} = 1$ (no absorption by H 1) this estimate of the star form ation density required is a factor of 5 higher than our measured star form ation density of 0:005 h₇₀ M yr¹ M pc³ at z 6 from galaxies in the UDF with SFRs> $1.5 h_{70}^2$ M yr¹. For faint end slopes of 1.8! 1:3 galaxies with L > 0:1 L account for 32 80% of the total lum inosity, so would fall short of the required density of Lym an continuum photons required to reionize the Universe. If the faint-end slope is as steep as

1:9 then there would just be enough UV Lym an continuum photons generated in star forming galaxies at z $_{\rm 6}$ (assuming a Salpeter IM F), but the required escape fraction for complete reionization would still have to be implausibly high (f_{esc} 1, whereas all high-z measurements to date indicate f_{esc} 0:5: Fern anadez-Soto, Lanzetta & Chen 2003; Steidel, A delberger & Pettini 2001).

AGN are also under-abundant at these epochs (e.g., D ijstra, H aim an & Loeb 2004). If star form ing galaxies at red-



Figure 11. An updated version of the Madau-Lilly' diagram (M adau et al. 1996; Lilly et al. 1996) illustrating the evolution of the com oving volum e-averaged star form ation rate. Our work from the UDF data is plotted a solid symbol. O ther determ inations have been recalculated for our cosm ology and lim iting UV lum inosity of 1:5 h_{70}^2 M yr¹ at z = 6:1 (equivalent to 0:1 L_3 at z 3 from Steidel et al. 1999), assuming a slope of = 1:6 for z > 2 and = 1:3 for z < 2. Data from the CFRS survey of Lilly et al. (1996) are shown as open circles; data from Connolly et al. (1997) are squares; and the Lym an break galaxy work of Steidel et al. (1999) is plotted as crosses, of Fontana et al. (2002) as inverted triangles and that by Iwata et al. (2003) as an open diam ond. Pentagons are from Bouwens, Broadhurst & Illingworth (2003). The upright triangles are the GOODS $\rm i^0\text{-}drop$ results from G iavalisco et al. (2004). The three ACS estim ates of Bouwens et al. (2003) are shown by sm all crossed circles and indicate three di erent com pleteness corrections for one sam ple of objects { the larger symbol is the recent re-determ ination using a new catalogue by this group from a deeper dataset (the UDF

anking elds { Bouwens et al. 2004); we have recomputed the com oving number density from the Bouwens et al. (2004) because of a discrepancy on the scale of their plot of star form ation history (their Fig. 4 in astro-ph/0403167 v1 & v2).

shifts close to z=6 were responsible for the bulk of reionization, then a very di erent initial mass function would be required, or the calculations of the clum ping factor of neutral gas would have to be signi cantly over-estimated. A l-ternatively another low-lum inosity population (e.g., forming globular clusters; Ricotti 2002) could be invoked to provide some of the shortfall in ionizing photons. It is also plausible that the bulk of reionization occured at redshifts well beyond z=6: the W MAP polarization data indicate $z_{\rm reion}>10$ (K ogut et al. 2003), and it is possible that the G unn-Peterson troughs seen in $z>62\ Q$ SO s (Becker et al. 2001; Fan et al. 2002) mark the very last period of a neutral IG M .

4 CONCLUSIONS

W e sum m arize our m ain conclusions as follows:

(i) W e present an i⁰-dropout catalogue of z 6 star form ing galaxy candidates in the Ultra D eep Field (UDF) to a limiting ux (8) of $\frac{\rho}{A_B}$ < 28:5. This represents a substantial advance over the depths achieved in the GOODS catalogues and enables us, for the st time, to address questions concerning the contribution of the faint end of the lum inosity function.

(ii) We detect 54 resolved sources with $(i^0 \quad z^0)_{AB} > 1:3$ in the deepest 11 arcm in² portion of the UDF and consider this to be an upper lim it to the abundance of star form ing galaxies at z = 6.

(iii) U sing simulations based on lower redshift data, we deduce that, regardless of contam ination by foreground interlopers, the abundance of i^0 -dropouts detected is signi - cantly less than predicted on the basis of no evolution in the com oving star form ation rate from z = 3 to z = 6 (integrating to the same lum inosity level). The UDF data supports our previous suggestions that the star form ation rate at z = 6 was about 6 less than at z = 3 (Stanway, Bunker & M cM ahon 2003).

(iv) The inferred comoving star formation rate of $0.005 h_{70} M$ yr $^{1} M pc$ 3 from L > $0.1 L_{\rm UV}$ galaxies at z 6 may poses a signi cant challenge for models which require that lum inous star forming galaxies in the redshift range 6< z < 10 are responsible for reionizing the Universe.

(v) The contam ination of our i^0 -drop sam ple of candidate z 6 galaxies by cool G alactic stars appears to be m inim al at $z^0_{A B} > 26$, possibly because we are seeing beyond the G alactic disk at the faint m agnitudes probed by the UDF.

Note Added in Proof

A recent preprint by Yan & W indhorst (astro-ph/0407493) independently repeats our selection of candidate z 6 galaxies in the Hubble Ultra D eep Field with $(i^0 z^0)_{AB} > 1:3$ (astro-ph/0403223 and this paper). The Yan & W indhorst catalogue also pushes to fainter m agnitudes than our $z_{AB}^0 < 28.5$ lim it, where the com pleteness corrections become signi cant. This subsequent independent analysis recovers alm ost all of our original i^0 -band drop-out galaxies, and the catalogues agree at the 98% level (one discrepant object out of 50). In astro-ph/0407562 (Bunker & Stanway 2004) we present a matched catalogue of these i-band dropouts in the Hubble Ultra D eep Field.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e thank Steve Beckw ith and colleagues at the Space Telescope Science Institute for making the UDF data available as a public database, on schedule and in a manner suitable for immediate analysis. ERS acknowledges a Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council (PPARC) studentship supporting this study. Based on observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained from the Data Archive at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555. These observations are associated with program # 9978. We thanks the anonymous referee for some helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Barger A.J. et al., 2003, AJ, 126, 632
- Becker R.H. et al., 2001, AJ, 122, 2850 Beckwith, S., Som erville, R., Stiavelli, M. 2003, ST ScIN ew sletter
- vol20 issue 04
- Bertin E., A mouts S., 1996, A & A S, 117, 393
- Blanton M.R., et al., 2003, ApJ, 592, 819 Bouwens R., et al., 2003, ApJ, 595, 589
- Bouwens R., Broadhurst T., Illingworth G., 2003, ApJ, 593, 640 Bouwens R., et al., 2004, preprint (astro-ph/0403167)
- Bouwens R.J., Illingworth G.D., Blakeshee, J.P., Broadhurst T., Franx M., 2004, ApJ, 611, L1
- Bremer M.N., Lehnert M.D., Waddington I., Hardcastle M.J., Boyce P.J., Phillipps S., 2004, MNRAS, 347, L7
- Bunker A.J., Stanway E.R., Ellis R.S., M cM ahon R.G., M c-Carthy P.J., 2003, MNRAS, 342, L47 [Paper II]
- Connolly A. J., Szalay A. S., Dickinson M., Subbarao M. U., Brunner R. J., 1997, ApJ, 486, L11
- Cim attiA., et al., 2002, A & A , 381, L68
- Colem an G.D., W u C.-C., W eedm an D.W., 1980, ApJS, 43, 393
- D ickinson M ., et al., 2004, A pJ, 600, L 99
- Dijkestra M., Haiman Z., Loeb A., 2004, preprint (astroph/0403078)
- Efstathiou G., Ellis R. S., Peterson B. A., 1988, MNRAS, 232, 431
- Fan X., et al., 2001, AJ, 122, 2833
- Fan X., et al., 2002, AJ, 123, 1247
- Ferguson H.C., et al., 2004, ApJ 600 L107
- ern andez-Soto A., Lanzetta K.M., Chen H.-W., MNRAS, 342, 1215
- Fontana A., PoliF., MenciN., Nonino M., Giallongo E., Cristiani S., D'Odorico S., 2003, ApJ, 587, 544
- Ford H.C., et al., 2002, BAAS, 200.2401
- Fruchter A., Hook R., 2002, PASP, 114, 144
- Gabasch A., et al., 2004, A& A in press, preprint (astroph/0403535)
- G iavalisco M ., D ickinson M ., 2002, ApJ, 550, 177
- G iavalisco M ., et al., 2004, ApJ, 600, L103
- Gnedin N.Y., Ostriker J.P., 1997, ApJ, 486, 581
- Gunn J.E., Peterson B.A., 1965, ApJ, 142, 1633
- Hawley S.L., et al., 2002, AJ, 123, 3409
- Iwata I., Ohta K., Tamura N., Ando M., Wada S., Watanabe C., Akiyam a M ., AokiK ., 2003, PASJ, 55, 415
- Kogut A., et al., 2003, ApJS, 148, 161
- Lanzetta K. M., Yahata N., Pascarelle S., Chen H.-W., Fem andez-Soto A ., 2002, ApJ, 570, 492
- Leitherer C., Heckman T.M., 1995, ApJS, 96, 9
- Lilly S. J., Tresse L., Hammer F., Crampton D., Le Fevre O., 1995, ApJ, 455, 108
- Koekem oer A. M., Fruchter A. S., Hook R. N., Hack W., 2002, HST Calibration Workshop, Eds. Arribas S., Koekemoer A.M., W hitm ore B., (ST ScI: Baltim ore), p. 325
- Madau P., Ferguson H.C., Dickinson M.E., Giavalisco M., Steidel C.C., Fruchter A., 1996, MNRAS, 283, 1388
- M adau P., Pozzetti L., D ickinson M., 1998, ApJ, 498, 106
- M adau P., H aardt F., R ees M., 1999, A pJ, 514, 648
- M eurer G . R ., H eckm an T . M ., Lehnert M . D ., Leitherer C ., Low enthal J., 1997, A J, 114, 54
- O ke J.B., K orycansky D.G., 1982, ApJ, 225, 11
- Oke J.B., Gunn J.E., 1983, ApJ, 266, 713
- RicottiM., 2002, MNRAS, 336, L33
- Salpeter E.E., 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
- Scalo J.M., 1986, Fund. Cosm ic Phys., 11, 1
- SchlegelD.J., FinkbeinerD.P., Davis M., 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Som erville R.S., Lee K., Ferguson H.C., Gardener J.P., Moustakas L.A., G iavalisco M., 2004, ApJ, 600, L171
- Spergel, D.N., et al., 2003, ApJS, 148, 175
- Stanway E.R., Bunker A.J., M cM ahon R.G., 2003, MNRAS, 342,439 [Paper I]

- Star Form ation at z 6 in the UDF 11
- Stanway E.R., et al., 2004a, ApJ, 604, L13
- Stanway E.R., Bunker A.J., M dM ahon R.G., Ellis R.S., Treu T., McCarthy P.J., 2004b, ApJ in press, preprint (astroph/0308124) [Paper III]
- Stanway, E.R., 2004, PhD Thesis (University of Cambridge)
- Stanway E.R., M cM ahon R.G., Bunker A.J., 2004c, submitted to MNRAS, preprint (astro-ph/0403585)
- Steidel C. C., Pettini M., Ham ilton D., 1995, AJ, 110, 2519
- Steidel C. C., Giavalisco M., Pettini M., Dickinson M. E., Adelberger K.L., 1996, ApJ, 462, L17
- Steidel C. C., A delberger K. L., G iavalisco M., D ickinson M. E., PettiniM ., 1999, ApJ, 519, 1
- W illiam s R. E., et al., 1996, A J, 112, 1335
- W illiam sR.E., et al., 1998, BAAS, 30, 1366 (AAS 193, # 75.01)
- Yan H., W indhorst R.A., Cohen S., 2003, ApJ, 585, L93
- Yan H., W indhorst R.A., 2004, ApJ, 600, L1

This paper has been typeset from a $T_{\rm E}X / \mathbb{B}T_{\rm E}X$ le prepared by the author.