Chapter 5

THE FORMATION AND EVOLUTION OF THE FIRST MASSIVE BLACK HOLES

Zoltan Haiman Departm ent of A stronom y, 1328 Pupin Laboratories Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA zoltan@astro.colum bia.edu

E liot Q uataert

Department of A stronom y, 601 C am pbell H all UC Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA ^Y eliot@astron.berkeley.edu

A bstract

The rstm assive astrophysical black holes likely form ed at high redshifts $(z^{>} 10)$ at the centers of low mass (10^{6} M) dark matter concentrations. These black holes grow by m ergers and gas accretion, evolve into the population of bright quasars observed at lower redshifts, and eventually leave the supermassive black hole remnants that are ubiquitous at the centers of galaxies in the nearby universe. The astrophysical processes responsible for the form ation of the earliest seed black holes are poorly understood. The purpose of this review is threefold: (1) to describe theoretical expectations for the form ation and growth of the earliest black holes within the general paradigm of hierarchical cold dark m atter cosm ologies, (2) to sum m arize several relevant recent observations that have im plications for the form ation of the earliest black holes, and (3) to look into the future and assess the power of forthcom ing observations to probe the physics of the stactive galactic nuclei.

Partial funding provided by NSF grants AST-0307200 and AST-0307291.

^yPartial funding provided by NASA grant NAG 5-12043, NSF grant AST -0206006, and an Alfred P. Sloan Fellow ship.

5.1 Introduction

It seems established beyond reasonable doubt that som e superm assive black holes (SM BHs) were fully assembled early in the history of the universe. The handful of bright quasars at $z^> 6$ are likely powered by holes as massive as 10° M , and the spectra and m etallicity of these objects appear remarkably similar to their counterparts at moderate redshifts (Fan et al. 2003). Indeed, if one selects individual quasars with the same lum inosity, their properties show little evolution with cosm ic epoch.¹

This implies that the behavior of individual quasars is probably determ ined by local physics near the SM BH and is not directly coupled to the cosm ological context in which the SM BH is embedded. However, it is clear that the quasar population as a whole does evolve over cosm ic timescales. Observations from $0 \le z \le 6$ in the optical (e.g., the Anglo-Australian Telescope's Two Degree Field, or 2dF, and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, or SD SS) and radio bands (Shaver et al. 1994) show a pronounced peak in the abundance of bright quasars at z 2.5. Recent X-ray lum inous sources ($L_X > 10^{44}$ ergs s ¹; Barger et al. 2003) but have not shown evidence for a decline at still higher redshifts (M iya ji et al. 2000).

The cosm ic evolution of quasar black holes between $0 \le z \le 6$ is likely driven by a mechanism other than local physics near the hole. This is reinforced by the fact that the tim escale of activity of individual quasars is signi cantly shorter than cosm ic tim escales at $z \le 6$, both on theoretical grounds ($4 = 10^{\circ}$ yr, the e-folding time for the grow th of mass in a SM BH, whose accretion converts mass to radiation with an eliency of $= M - c^2 = L_{Edd}$ 10%) and is limited by its own [Eddington] luminos-

ity), and using the duty cycle of quasar activity inferred from various observations (10^7 yr, e.g., M artini 2004 and references therein; see also H aim an, C iotti & O striker 2004).

In the cosm obgical context, it is tempting to link the evolution of quasars with that of dark matter halos condensing in a Cold Dark Matter (CDM) dominated universe, as the halo population naturally evolves on cosm ic timescales (E fistathiou & Rees 1988). Indeed, this connection has proven enormously fruitful and has resulted in the following broad picture: the rst massive astrophysical black holes appear at high redshifts ($z^{>}$ 10) in the shallow potential wells of low mass (< 10⁸ M)

 $^{^1\}mathrm{A}$ possibly in portant exception is tentative evidence for increasing E ddington ratios tow ards higher redshifts, as discussed in x 5.2.1.

dark m atter concentrations. These black holes grow by m ergers and gas accretion, evolve into the population of bright quasars observed at lower redshifts, and eventually leave the SM BH rem nants that are ubiquitous at the centers of galaxies in the nearby universe.

Nevertheless, many uncertainties about this scenario remain. Most in portantly, the astrophysical process (es) responsible for the form ation of the earliest seed black holes (and indeed for the presence of SM BHs at all redshifts) remain poorly understood. In this review, we focus on the emergence of the rst generation of black holes, though m any of the in portant questions are quite general and apply equally to subsequent generations of black holes. This review is organized as follows. In x 52, we sum m arize several relevant recent observations that have im plications for early black holes. In x 5.3, we describe theoretical expectations for the form ation and grow th of these black holes within the paradigm of hierarchical CDM cosm ologies and also discuss early black holes in the context of $\cos m$ ological reionization. In x 5.4, we \zoom in" and $\cos m$ the local physics of black hole formation. In x 5.5, we look into the future, with the goal to assess the power of forthcoming observations to probe the physics of the rst Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN). We o er our conclusions in x 5.6.

5.2 Observational Constraints

In this section, we review several recent observations and their in plications for the form ation of black holes at high redshifts.

5.2.1 High Redshift Quasars in the Sloan Survey

The most distant quasars to date have been discovered in the SDSS. This is perhaps som ew hat surprising, since the SD SS is a relatively shallow survey (i 22) capable of detecting only the rarest bright quasars at redshifts as high as z 6. Nevertheless, the large solid-angle searched for high redshift quasars to date (2800 square degrees) has yielded a handful of such objects (Fan et al. 2000, 2001, 2003). The most im portant properties (for our purposes) of these sources are that they are probably powered by SM BHs as large as a few 10° M and they appear to be indistinguishable from bright quasars at m oderate (z 2 3) redshifts, with similar spectra and inferred metallicities. In addition, a large reservoir of m olecular gas is already present, even in the most distant (z = 6:41) source (W alter et al. 2003).

In short, these SM BHs and their surroundings appear as fully developed" as their lower redshift counterparts, despite the young age (< 10^9 years) of the universe at z[>] 6. These rare quasars are likely

harbored by massive (10^{13} M) dark matter halos that form out of 5 peaks of the uctuating primordial density eld. The large halo 4 m ass follows directly from the space density of these sources (see below and Haiman & Loeb 2001; another method to con m the large halo masses is to study the expected signatures of cosm ological gas infall onto such m assive halos, as proposed by Barkana & Loeb 2003). Indeed, the environm ent and dynam ical history of an individual massive dark 6 and z m atter halo at z 3 can be sim ilar; it is their abundance that evolves strongly with cosm ic epoch. This is broadly consistent with the observations: the bright z 6 quasars look sim ilar to their z 3 counterparts, but their abundance is much reduced (by a factor of 20).

The fact that these quasars are so rare has in portant in plications. First, they are likely to be the \tip of the iceberg" and accompanied by much more num erous populations of fainter quasars at $z^>$ 6. Pushing the magnitude lim its of future surveys should prove rewarding. The slope of the lum inosity function is expected to be very steep at i 22, and only weak constraints are available to date from the source counts (Fan et al. 2003), and from gravitational lensing (Comerford, Haiman, & Schaye 2002; Wyithe & Loeb 2002a,b; Richards et al. 2004). Combining these two yields the strongest limit of $d \log = d \log L^{<} 3$ (W yithe 2004). Second, the steep slope of the dark halo mass function im plies that the m asses of the host halos can be \m easured" from the abundance quite accurately (see discussion in x 52.4). Conversely, since sm all changes in the assumed host halo mass results in large changes in the predicted abundance, large uncertainties will rem ain in other m odel param eters. In this sense, fainter, but m ore num erous quasars (or lack thereof) can have more constraining power for models that relate quasars to dark halos (see x 522).

The most striking feature of the SDSS quasars, however, is the large black hole mass already present at z 6. This presents interesting constraints on the growth of these holes and how they are fueled (see x 5.4.2 below). In the rest of this section, we critically assess whether the inferred large black hole masses are robust.

The m asses of the black holes powering the SD SS quasars are inferred by assuming that (1) they shine at the Eddington luminosity with a bolom etric correction identical to that of lower redshift quasars (this is justiled by their similar spectra), and (2) they are neither beamed nor gravitationally lensed (both of these elects would make the quasars appear brighter). These assumptions lead to black hole masses M (2) 10 M for the four z > 6 quasars known to date. These are reasonable assumptions, which have some empirical justilication. The hypothesis that the quasars are strongly beam ed can be ruled out based on their line/continuum ratio. If the quasar's emission was beam ed into a solid angle covering a fraction f of 4, it would only excite lines within this cone, reducing the apparent line/continuum ratio by a factor f. However, the SD SS quasars have strong lines. Haim an & C en (2002) found that the line/continuum ratio of the z = 628 quasar SD SS 1030+ 0524 is about twice that of the median value in the SD SS sam ple at z > 2.25 (Vanden Berk et al. 2001). W illott et al. (2003) apply this argument to the M g II line of the z = 6.41 quasar SD SS J1148+ 5251 and reach a sim ilar conclusion.

A nother in portant uncertainty regarding the inferred black holem asses is whether the SD SS quasars m ay be strongly m agnied by gravitational lensing. The optical depth to strong lensing along a random line of sight 6 is sm all (10^3 ; e.g., K ochanek 1998; Barkana & Loeb 2000). to z Nevertheless, magni cation bias can signi cantly boost the probability of strong lensing. If the intrinsic (unlensed) lum inosity function at 6 is steep and/or extends to faint magnitudes, then the probaz bility of strong lensing for the SDSS quasars could be of order unity (Comerford, Haiman, & Schaye 2002; Wyithe & Loeb 2002a,b). The overwhelming majority (more than 90%) of strong lensing events would be expected to show up as multiple in ages with separations at least as large as 0.3^{00} (it is di cult to produce strong m agni cation without such multiple im ages, even in non-standard lensing models; Keeton, Kuhlen, & Haim an 2004). How ever, Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations of the highest redshift quasars show no signs of multiple in ages for any of the z > 6 sources down to an angle of 0.3⁰⁰ (R ichards et al. 2004). A nother argum ent against strong lensing com es from the large apparent size of the H II regions around the SD SS quasars (Haim an & C en 2002). For example, the spectrum of the z = 6.28 quasar SD SS 1030+0524 shows transmitted ux over an 100 A stretch of wavelength blueward of Ly, corresponding to an 30 (com oving) M pc ionized region around the source. Provided that this source is embedded in a neutral intergalactic medium (IGM) the key assumption for this constraint (it has som e justi cation, see below) it is in possible for an intrinsically faint quasar to produce such a large H II region, even for a long source lifetim e (Haiman & Cen 2002). White et al. (2003) derive a similar conclusion for the quasar J1148+ 5251, although this source could be magnied by a factor of approxim ately a few by lensing (subject to the uncertainty of its actual redshift, z = 6:376:41).

F inally, whether or not the SD SS quasars are shining at the Eddington lim it is di cult to decide empirically. Vestergaard (2004) estimated Eddington ratios in a sample of high redshift quasars using an observed correlation between the size of the broad line region and the lum inosity of the quasar (the correlation is calibrated using reverberation mapping of lower redshift objects; e.g. K aspiet al. 2000; Vestergaard 2002). She nds values ranging from 0:1 to > 1, with the z > 3:5 quasars having som ewhat higher $L=L_{E\,dd}$ than the lower redshift population. In particular, Vestergaard estimates $L=L_{E\,dd}$ 0:3 for two of the z > 6 SDSS quasars. G iven the uncertainties in these results, this is quite consistent with the assumption of near-Eddington accretion. Note further that in an extended lower redshift 0 < z < 1 sample, W oo & Urry (2002) also nd higher Eddington ratios towards z = 1, but this may represent a trend towards higher ratios at higher lum inosities. W hether the trend is prim arily with redshift or lum inosity is an important question, but large scatter and selection e ects presently preclude a manswer.

Inferences about Eddington ratios at high redshifts can also be m ade by utilizing models of the quasar population as a whole. Such models typically assume the Eddington lum inosity at higher redshifts, where fuel is thought to be readily available (Sm all & B landford 1992; H achnelt & Rees 1993). Several sem i-analytic models for the quasar population (Haiman & Loeb 1998b; Haehnelt, Natarajan, & Rees 1998; Valageas & Silk 1999; Haiman & Menou 2000; Kau mann & Haehnelt 2000; Wyithe & Loeb 2003b; Volonteriet al. 2003) have found that Eddington ratios of order unity during most of the growth of the black hole mass also yield a total rem nant SM BH space density at z = 0 that is consistent with observations (M agorrian et al. 1998; Ferrarese & M erritt 2000; G ebhardt et al. 2000; G raham et al. 2001). C iotti & O striker (2001) have m odeled the behavior of an individual guasar and found that (provided fuel is available) the lum inosity is near the Eddington value during the phases when the quasar is on. Despite these arguments, one cannot directly rule out the possibility that the SD SS quasars shine at super-Eddington lum inosities (theoretically, this is possible in the photon bubblem odels of Begelm an 2002; see x 5.4.1). We emphasize that if this were true and the m asses were lower than 10^9 M , then the SD SS guasars would have to be lum inous for only a short time: maintaining the observed lum inosities for $> 10^7$ years with a radiative e ciency of $L=mc^2 = 0:1$ would bring the black hole m asses up to values of 10^9 M anyway.

5.2.2 Chandra and Hubble Deep Fields

As discussed above, a relatively shallow but large survey, such as the SDSS, can discover only the rare AGN at high redshifts. To constrain population m odels, deeper surveys that reveal the \typical" sources are m ore advantageous. W hen completed, the SDSS will have delivered

perhaps 10 20 z > 6 quasars, but not more this is due to the paucity of quasars as bright as i 22 at z 6. Furthermore, the reddest SD SS lter (the z^0 band) extends only to 9500 A, making the survey insensitive to sources beyond z 65. In comparison, deep X -ray observations with Chandra and XMM -N ewton (and also near-infrared observations with HST) could directly detect SM BHs to redshifts well beyond the horizon of SD SS, provided that such SM BHs exist.

A SM BH at redshift z = 10 with mass $M = 10^8$ M (30 times lower than the masses of the z 6 SM BHs in the SD SS) would have an observed ux of 2 10^{16} ergs cm 2 s 1 in the soft X-ray band (Haim an & Loeb 1999b), under the reasonable assumptions that it shines at the Eddington lum inosity and that its emission has a spectral shape similar to a typical quasar near redshift z 2 3 (with 3% of the bolom etric ux emitted in the range 0.5(1 + z) keV < E < 2(1 + z) keV for redshifts 5 < z < 10; e.g., E kvis et al. 1994).

Sem i-analyticm odels can be utilized to derive the num ber and redshift distribution of quasars at z > 5 by associating quasar activity with the dark m atter halos that are present at these redshifts (H aim an & Loeb 1998b; K au m ann & H aehnelt 2000; W yithe & Loeb 2003b). The predictions of the sim plest version of these sem i-analyticm odels (H aim an & Loeb 1999b) now appear to be signi cantly higher (by about a factor of

10) than the num ber of possible z > 5 quasar candidates (B arger et al. 2003; discrepancies had also been noted earlier by M ushotzky et al. 2000, A lexander et al. 2001, and H asinger 2002). In the most up-to-date version of such a sem i-analytic model, W yithe & Loeb (2003b) nd predictions consistent with (at most) only a few z > 5 sources in the C handra D eep Field-N orth (C D F-N). The main di erence in this updated model (w hich is what reduces the expected number of high redshift quasars) is that the assumed quasar lifetime is increased from 10^6 to 10^7 years, and the scaling M / M halo is modiled to M / M $_{halo}^{5=3}$ (1 + z)⁵⁼². These scalings arise due to the radiative feedback assumed to limit the black hole growth in these models (see discussion in x 52.4).

M ore generally, the number (or, currently, the upper limit) of high redshift (z > 6) sources detected in the CDFs will place the best constraints to date on quasar evolution m odels at these high redshifts (although no system atic assessment of these constraints yet exists in a suite of m odels). The current best constraint comes from comparing m odel predictions to the dearth of high redshift quasars in the optical H ubble D eep Fields (HDFs; H aim an, M adau, & Loeb 1999). The results of H aim an & Loeb (1999) demonstrate that the CDF constraints are superior to these. M odels satisfying the upper limit from the HDFs (e.g., by postulating that SM BHS do not exist, or are not fueled, in halos with

circular velocities v < 75 km/s) still result in signi cant overpredictions for the CDFs.

5.2.3 Shedding (Quasar) Light on the Accretion H istory

It has long been proposed that quasar activity is powered by accretion onto SM BHs (Salpeter 1964; Zel'dovich 1964; Lynden-Bell 1967). It has also been realized that the cumulative radiation output of all quasars translates into a signi cant am ount of rem nant black hole m ass, presum ably to be found at the centers of local galaxies (Lynden-Bell 1967; Soltan 1982). Over the past few years, there has been renewed interest in this connection because we now have a good estimate for the total present-day black hole mass density (in addition to an estimate of the cum ulative quasar light output). This estimate is allowed by the recent detection of SM BHs at the centers of several dozen nearby galaxies (M agorrian et al. 1998), and the tight correlation of their m asses with the masses (Magorrian et al. 1998), velocity dispersions (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000), and light pro les (Graham et al. 2001) of the spheroids of their host galaxies. The connection between quasar light output and rem nant m ass provides constraints on the accretion history of the SM BHs and on the presence of a (yet undetected) population of very high redshift AGN.

M any studies have used this correlation to estim ate the total presentday black hole mass density (e.g., Salucciet al. 1999; Haehnelt & Kau mann 2000; Yu & Tremaine 2002; Haiman, Ciotti, & Ostriker 2004). The simplest way to quickly estimate this quantity is to multiply the local spheroid m ass density $_{sph} = (0.0018^{+0.00121})h^{-1}$ (Fukugita et al. 1998) by the mean ratio M = M $_{\rm sph}$ = 10 $^{2:9}$ (M erritt & Ferrarese 2001). The latter ratio is a factor of 4 tim es sm aller than the value 10 $^{2.28}$ in the original paper (M agorrian et al. 1998); the correction is due mostly to improved models that include velocity anisotropies (see also van der 10^5 M Mpc ³. The M arel 1997). This gives (for h = 0.72) = 5 most sophisticated analysis to date is by Yu & Tremaine (2002), who relation and the velocity function of early-type utilize the tight M = (3 $10 \text{ M} \text{ Mpc}^3$. galaxies measured in SDSS to nd 0:5) An additional correlation is observed between black hole mass and host circular velocity beyond the optical radius (Ferrarese 2002a). This directly ties the black hole to its dark matter halo, and, although the results depend strongly on the assum ed halo pro le, this allows a more re ned modeling of the evolution of the quasar black hole population (e.g., W yithe & Loeb 2003b).

The rem nant black hole m ass density (see Ferrarese, this volum e) has in portant in plications for understanding the rstAGN.Several authors have compared the cumulative light output of known quasars (which must be summed over all lum inosities and redshifts) with the remnant black hole m ass density. In general, this yields the average radiative e ciency of SM BH accretion, with the result 10%. Note the paradigm shift: originally, the same analysis was used to argue that (then unobserved) SM BH sm ust be ubiquitous in local galaxies (Lynden {Bell 1969; Soltan 1982). Im m ediately after the discovery of the local SM BHs, it appeared that there was too much local black hole mass, which was taken to imply that most of the quasar accretion must occur in an optically faint phase (e.g., Haehnelt, Natarajan, & Rees 1998). The revised, m ore accurate estimates of the local SM BH space density (decreased by a factor of four from the original value) appear consistent with the hypothesis that the optical quasar population has a mean radiative ef-10%. It then follows that most of the mass of SM BHs ciency of was accreted during the lum inous quasar phase at z 2 3, and only could have been built during the form ation of a fraction of the total the earliest AGN at z > 6. However, there are caveats to this argument: a large (and even dom inant) contribution to the total mass from high redshifts is allowed if the radiative e ciency of the z 3 population is as high as 20% (and if the high redshift quasars remain undetectable, either because they are intrinsically faint or obscured).

The above discussion has focused on optically lum inous quasars. A signi cant fraction of black hole growth may, however, occur via obscured objects, which show up in hard X -ray, infrared, or submillim eter observations, but not in the optical (see C ow ie & B arger, this volum e). This possibility is strongly suggested by models of the X-ray background, which require a factor of a few more obscured AGN than unobscured AGN (see Fabian 2004 for a review). The precise fraction of black hole grow th that occurs in an optically obscured phase is uncertain by a factor of a few. For example, the local black hole m ass density has about a factor of two uncertainty, depending on the details of which M correlation is used and how it is extrapolated to the entire galaxy sample in the universe (Yu & Trem aine 2002; Ferrarese 2002b). This im m ediately allows for a comparable amount of obscured and unobscured accretion with a typicale ciency of 10%. If, how ever, most black holes are rapidly rotating, or if m agnetic torques are important at the last stable orbit (e.g., Gammie 1999), then the mean e ciency could be signi cantly larger (40%). In this case, most accretion may occur in an optically obscured phase. Hard X-ray (e.g., the NuSTAR telescope recently selected by NASA for Phase A study as a SMEX mission) and infrared

(e.g., Spitzer Space Telescope) observations are required to provide an unbiased view of the growth of SM BHs.

5.2.4 Local B lack H oles as Fossils

As mentioned above, SMBHs appear ubiquitous in local galaxies, with their masses correlating with the global properties of their host spheroids. Several groups have noted the broad natural implication that the form ation of the SMBHs and their host spheroids must be tightly linked (see, e.g., Monaco et al. 2000; Kau mann & Haehnelt 2001; Granato et al. 2001; Ciotti & van Albada 2001; Cattaneo et al. 2003; Haiman, Ciotti, & Ostriker 2004). Various independent lines of evidence suggest that spheroids are assembled at high redshifts (z 2; see Cattaneo & Bernardi 2003 for the recent age determ inations from the Shan sample and references to older work), which would be consistent with most of the SM BH mass being accreted around this redshift (coinciding with the peak of the activity of lum inous quasars, as discussed in x 5.2.3). This then has the unwelcome (but unsurprising) in plication that the local SM BH s m ay contain little direct evidence of the form ation of their seeds at z > 6. Indeed, it seems most plausible that the observed tight correlations, such as between M and , are established by a feedback process which operates when most of the black hole mass is assembled. However, the signi cance of this hypothesis is that with the identication of a specic feedback mechanism | physically motivated extrapolations can be made towards high redshifts.

A nother interesting observational question is whether the local M relation holds at higher redshifts, both in norm alization and in slope (as discussed by several authors), and also in range (which has received less attention, but see N etzer 2003 and discussion below). The highest redshift SD SS quasars do appear to approxim ately satisfy the M relation of the local SM BHs. If M is estimated assuming the Eddington is estimated from the circular velocity of the host lum inosity, and dark matter halos with the right space density (e.g., Haim an & Loeb 2001), then the SDSS quasars are within the scatter of the M relations of Gebhardt et al. (2000) and also of Ferrarese (2002a). As explained in x 5 2.1, the mass inference is reasonable. The determ ination of the halo mass and circular velocity from the observed abundance of quasars is also m ore robust than it m ay at rst appear. This is because, despite the dependence on the poorly known duty cycle, the halo mass 10¹³ М function is exponentially steep for the massive M halos 6; therefore, the dependence of the inferred halo mass on the at z duty cycle (and other uncertainties in the estim ated halo abundance) is

only logarithm ic. The weakest link in the argument is associating the spheroid velocity dispersion with the circular velocity of the dark matter halo. Ferrarese (2002a) shows evidence of a correlation between M and

, with the velocity dispersion measured in the dark matter dominated region of SM BH host galaxies; this establishes a direct link to the dark halo and puts the above argument on somewhat merground (although there are still large errors in the inferred correlation, depending on the halo prole one adopts to convert the measured circular velocity to total halo mass).

The (tentative) evidence that high redshift AGN also satisfy the M

relation further supports the idea that the form ation of SM BHs and their host galaxies must be tightly coupled by cosm ology-independent physical processes (since the SD SS quasars are the rare peaks that have already form ed at z 6 instead of at z 2). Netzer (2003) raises the point that besides the slope and norm alization of the M relation, the range (of m asses and velocity dispersions) over which observed galaxies satisfy this relation has to m atch between low and high redshifts. In particular, the largest > 10^{10} M black holes observed at high redshifts should also exist at low redshifts, but have not yet been discovered.

There have been several suggestions in the literature for the nature of the dynam ical coupling between the form ation of the black hole and its spheroid host. The most promising is probably radiative or mechanical feedback from the SM BH on the gas supply in the bulge (Silk & Rees 1998; Haehnelt, Natarajan, & Rees 1998; Blandford 1999; King 2003; Wyithe & Loeb 2003b). The essential idea is that when the black hole in the center of the galaxy grows too large, its out ows and radiation unbind the gas in the bulge or in the disk, quenching further black hole grow th via accretion and further star form ation. Com petition with star form ation for the gas supply may also play a role (D iM atteo et al. 2003). Note that these mechanism s can readily work at any redshift.

A Itemative possibilities for the origin of the M relation include: (1) Filling the dark matter loss cone (O striker 2000). In this model, the growth of the SM BH occurs is through the accretion of collisional dark matter particles, and subsequently through the scattering of these particles into orbits that are then perturbed to pass su ciently close to the black hole's Schwarzschild radius to be captured. This model runs into di culties with the Soltan argument discussed in x 522; since the SM BH same fed mostly dark matter rather than gas, there is no associated radiation. (2) D irect capture of stars on high eccentricity orbits by the SM BH (Zhao, Haehnelt, & Rees 2002, Merritt & Poon 2004). This model has a sim ilar problem because black holes more massive than $> 10^8$ M do not tidally disrupt stars, so there is again no radiative output associated with the black hole growth. (3) Stellar captures by the accretion disk feeding the hole (M iralda-E scude & Kollm eier 2004).

5.3 First Structure Form ation

In this section, we sketch some basic theoretical arguments relevant to the formation of structure in the universe. We then discuss formation mechanisms for SM BHs.

5.3.1 Cosm ological Perturbations as the Sites of the First B lack H oles

Recent m easurements of the Cosm ic M icrowave Background (CMB) temperature anisotropies by the W ilkinson M icrowave Anisotropy Probe (W MAP), determinations of the luminosity distance to distant type Ia Supernovae, and other observations have led to the emergence of a robust \best t" cosm ological model with energy densities in CDM and \dark energy" of ($_{\rm M}$;) (0:3;0:7) (e.g., Spergel et al. 2003).

The growth of density uctuations and their evolution into nonlinear dark matter structures can be followed in this cosm ological model from rst principles by sem i-analytic methods (P ress & Schechter 1974; Sheth et al. 2001). More recently, it has become possible to derive accurate dark matter halo mass functions directly in large cosm ological N-body simulations (Jenkins et al. 2001). Structure formation in a CDM dom – inated universe is \bottom -up", with low mass halos condensing rst. Dark matter halos with the masses of globular clusters (10^{5-6} M) are predicted to have condensed from 3 peaks of the initial primordial density eld as early as 1% of the current age of the universe, or at redshifts of z 25.

It is natural to identify these condensations as the sites where the rst astrophysical objects, including the rst AGN, were born. The nature of the objects that form in these early dark matter halos is currently one of the most rapidly evolving research topics in cosm ology.

5.3.2 Chem istry and G as Cooling at H igh R edshifts

Baryonic gas that falls into the earliest nonlinear dark matter halos is shock heated to the characteristic virial temperatures of a few hundred K elvin. It has long been pointed out (R ees & O striker 1977; W hite & R ees 1978) that such gas needs to lose its therm all energy e ciently (within about a dynamical time) in order to continue contracting, or in order to fragment. In the absence of any dissipation, it would simply reach hydrostatic equilibrium and would eventually be incorporated into a more massive halo further down the halo merger hierarchy. W hile the form ation of nonlinear dark matter halos can be followed from rst principles, the cooling and contraction of the baryons, and the ultimate form ation of stars or black holes in these halos, is much more di cult to model ab initio.

The gas content of a cosm ological perturbation can contract together with the dark matter only in dark halos above the cosm ological Jeans mass, $M_J = 10^4 M [(1 + z)=11]^{3=2}$, in which the gravity of dark matter can overwhelm therm algas pressure. In these early, chem ically pristine clouds, radiative cooling is dom insted by H_2 m olecules. As a result, gas phase H_2 \astrochem istry" is likely to determ ine the epoch when the rst AGN appear (the role of H₂ m olecules for early structure form ation was reviewed by Abel & Haim an 2001). Several papers have constructed complete gas-phase reaction networks and identied the two possible ways of gas-phase form ation of H_2 via the H or H_2^+ channels. These were applied to derive the H₂ abundance under densities and tem peratures expected in collapsing high redshift objects (Hirasawa 1969; Matsuda et al. 1969; Palla et al. 1983; Lepp & Shull 1984; Shapiro & Kang 1987; Kang et al. 1990; Kang & Shapiro 1992; Shapiro, Giroux, & Babul 1994). Studies that incorporate H₂ chem istry into cosm ological models and that address issues such as non-equilibrium chemistry, dynam ics, or radiative transfer have appeared relatively more recently. Haiman, Thoul, & Loeb (1996) used spherically symmetric simulations to study the m asses and redshifts of the earliest objects that can collapse and cool via H2; their ndings were con med by a sem i-analytic treatment in Tegmark et al. (1997). The rst three dimensional cosm ological simulations that incorporate H₂ cooling date back to G nedin & O striker (1996, 1997) and Abelet al. (1997).

The basic picture that energed from these papers is as follows. The H₂ fraction after recombination in the smooth \protogalactic" gas is small (x_{H2} = n_{H2}=n_H 10⁶). At high redshifts (z > 100), H₂ form ation is inhibited, even in overdense regions, because the required intermediaries H₂⁺ and H are dissociated by cosm ic \microw ave"background (CMB, but with the typical wavelength in the infrared) photons. However, at lower redshifts, when the CMB photons redshift to lower energies, the intermediaries survive, and a su ciently large H₂ abundance builds up inside collapsed clouds (x_{H2} 10³) at redshifts z < 100 to cause cooling on a time scale shorter than the dynam ical time. Su cient H₂ form ation and cooling is possible only if the gas reaches temperatures in excess of 200 K orm asses of a few 10[§]M [(1+z)=11] ³⁼² (note that while the

cosm ological Jeans m ass increases with redshift, the m ass corresponding

to the cooling threshold, which is well approximated by a xed virial temperature, has the opposite behavior and decreases at high redshift). The e cient gas cooling in these halos suggests that the rst nonlinear objects in the universe were born inside 10^6 M dark matter halos at redshifts of z 20 (corresponding to an 3 peak of the primordial density peak).

The behavior of metal-free gas in such a cosm ological \minihalo" is a well posed problem that has recently been addressed in three dimensional numerical simulations (Abel, Bryan, & Norman 2000, 2002; Bromm, Coppi, & Larson 1999, 2002). These works have been able to follow the contraction of gas to much higher densities than previous studies. They have shown convergence towards a tem perature/density 10 cm^{-3} , dictated by the critical density at 200 K, n regime of T which the excited states of H₂ reach equilibrium and cooling becomes less e cient (Galli & Palla 1998). The 3D simulations suggest that the m ass of the gas does not fragm ent further into clum ps below sizes of 10^{2} 10° M , but rather it form s unusually massive stars. Such stars would naturally leave behind black hole seeds, which can subsequently grow by m ergers and accretion into the SM BHs. Interestingly, m assive stars have an \either/or" behavior. Nonrotating stars with masses be-40 140 M and above 260 M collapse directly into a black tween hole without an explosion, and hence without ejecting their m etal yields into the surrounding m edium, whereas stars in the range 140 260 M explode without leaving a rem nant (Heger et al. 2003). This dichotom y is especially interesting because early massive stars are attractive candidates for polluting the IGM with metals at high redshifts (Madau, Ferrara, & Rees 2001; W asserburg & Q ian 2000). It is likely that the rst stars had a range of masses, in which case they could contribute to both m etal enrichm ent and to the seed black hole population, with a relative fraction that depends sensitively on their initial mass function (IM F).

5.3.3 Cosm ological R eionization: D o the F irst B lack H oles C ontribute?

Perhaps the most conspicuous e ect of the rst generation of light sources, once they collectively reach a critical emissivity of ionizing radiation, is the reionization of the IGM. As has long been known, the absence of strong HI Ly absorption (i.e., a so-called G unn-Peterson trough, G unn & Peterson 1965, hereafter GP) in the spectra of distant sources in plies that the IGM is highly ionized (with volume averaged neutral fractions < 10⁴) at all redshifts $z^{<}$ 6. There have been two ob-

servational breakthroughs recently. On the one hand, there is evidence, from the strong absorption in the spectra of the highest redshift SD SS quasars, that the transition from a neutral to a highly ionized state of the IGM is occurring close to z 6 (Becker et al. 2001; Fan et al. 2003; W hite et al. 2003).² On the other hand, the recent detection of a large electron scattering optical depth by the WMAP satellite implies that signi cant ionization had taken place at much higher redshifts (z 15, Spergelet al. 2003). There is currently a unry of activity trying to interpret these results in the context of reionization m odels (see H aim an 2004 for a recent review). The electron scattering optical depth m easured by W MAP still has a signi cant uncertainty, = 0.17 0.04 (K oqut et al. 2003; Spergel et al. 2003). Nevertheless, these developm ents bring into sharp focus an interesting \old" question: could AGN have contributed to the reionization of the IGM ? A natural follow -up question would then be, can we use reionization as a probe of the earliest AGN? In this section, we highlight the need for an early population of ionizing sources and assess whether these could be early AGN.We start with a critical review of the recent observations and their im plications.

Reionization and the Gunn {Peterson Troughs. At the time of this writing, there are four known quasars at z > 6 (Fan et al. 2003). This redshift appears to coincide with the tailend of the epoch of reionization. In the spectra of about a dozen bright quasars at z > 5, the am ount of neutral hydrogen absorption increases signi cantly (by about an order of m agnitude) from z = 5:5 to z = 6 (M cD onald & M iralda-E scude 2001; C en & M cD onald 2002; Fan et al. 2002; Lidz et al. 2002), with the highest redshift quasars show ing full G unn-Peterson troughs consistent with no transmitted ux.³ A ssum ing photoionization equilibrium, this corresponds to a sharp increase, by at least an order of m agnitude, in the H-ionizing background radiation from z = 6 to z = 5:5.

The actual num erical lim it on the m ean m ass (volum e) weighted neutral fraction at z 6 is only $x_{\rm H\,I} > 10^{-2}$ ($x_{\rm H\,I} > 10^{-3}$), which does not directly establish that we are probing the neutral epoch of the IG M with $x_{\rm H\,I}$ 1. However, the observed steep evolution of the ionizing background suggests that this is the case. Note that the ionizing background scales as J / , where is the emissivity (per unit volum e), and

is the mean free path of ionizing photons. Speci cally, the increase from z = 6 to z = 5.5 is much steeper than the evolution of the em issiv-

 $^{^{2}}$ The above statem ent refers to a transition from neutral to ionized hydrogen. A transition from HeII to HeIII appears to be occurring at a lower redshift, z 3 (e.g., Heap et al. 2000). 3 W hite et al. (2003) detect ux blueward of Ly in the spectra of the z = 6:41 quasar, but they attribute this ux to an intervening z = 4:9 galaxy. Even if the feeble ux originated from the quasar, the strong lower lim its on the mean neutral fraction would remain.

ity of the known galaxy population (e.g., M adau & Pozzetti 2000). The em issivity of the bright quasar population evolves faster, but they cannot account for the background needed to cause the observed high level of ionization (Shapiro, G iroux & B abul 1994; H aim an, A bel & M adau 2001). A Itematively, the observed steep evolution of J could come from a rapid evolution of the m ean free path. At lower redshifts, the m ean free path is dom inated by the poorly known abundance of Lym an lim it systems (H aardt & M adau 1996) and evolves rapidly. N evertheless, this evolution, scaling approximately as $m_{\rm fp} / (1 + z)^{-6}$ (C en & M dD onald 2002), still accounts only for a factor of about two change in J from z 55 to z 6.

It therefore appears that the steep evolution of J seen in the SD SS quasar spectra is di cult to understand without invoking som e additional physical e ect(s). On the other hand, the steep evolution would be naturally expected if we were detecting the end of the reionization epoch. Before the discrete ionized bubbles around isolated sources rst overlap, each hydrogen atom is exposed to at most a single (or a few, if the sources are clustered) ionizing sources. However, after the bubbles percolate, the m ean free path to ionizing photons undergoes a sudden drop, and the background seen by a typical atom will sharply increase to a sum over the entire \O bers' integral", dom inated by num erous distant sources (e.g., H aim an & Loeb 1999; G nedin 2000). The tim escale for the build-up of the background, the light crossing tim e across individual H II bubbles, is a sm all fraction of the H ubble tim e. This would be the m ost econom ical explanation of the observed steep evolution of J.

Note that Songaila & Cowie (2002) and Songaila (2004) reach a di erent conclusion, and nd that the spectra are consistent with a sm oothly evolving ionizing background over this redshift interval. The strongest conclusions about whether or not there is a sudden change in the ioniz-6 can be drawn from the level of Ly and Ly ing background at z absorption. Because of the sm aller oscillator strengths of these higher transitions, the IGM is less opaque in these lines than in the corresponding Ly line. Hence, these higher lines yield a stronger lower lim it on the neutral fraction and a stronger upper limit on the ionizing background. Quantifying the value of the corresponding Ly opacity and of the implied ionization rate, from the observed transmission in the Ly and Ly region, is complicated, and must involve a detailed modeling of the underlying density distribution. It appears that the di erences between Songaila & Cowie's conclusions, and that of the other groups are in the treatm ent of the higher lines (Songaila 2004). G iven the signi cance of whether or not we are detecting the reionization epoch, this will be important to clarify in future work.

Reionization and the CMB. The free electrons produced by reionization scatter a fraction of the background CM B photons, o ering an alternative way of probing the reionization history. (This approach probes to redshifts much higher than can currently be studied spectroscopically.) The scattering of the photons damps the temperature uctuations on sm all angular scales (i.e., on scales below the apparent size of the horizon at reionization, corresponding to spherical index > 10; this is a purely geom etrical e ect) but boosts the \prim ordial" polarization signal at large angles (< 10; see Zaldarriaga 1997). The e ect on the tem perature an isotropies is essentially an overall suppression of power on the scales where it is measurable, and it is therefore unfortunately nearly degenerate with the intrinsic amplitude of the uctuation power spectrum. However, Kaplinghat et al. (2003) showed that one can break this degeneracy, and detect the reionization signature, in the polarization power spectrum. It is indeed in the \TE" (tem perature { polarization anqular cross(correlation) m ap that the W M A P team discovered evidence of early reionization and measured the value $= 0.17 \quad 0.04$ for the electron scattering optical depth (Spergel et al. 2003; Kogut et al. 2003). Assuming a single step reionization (sudden transition from a neutral to a fully ionized IGM at redshift z_r), this translates to a reionization redshift of $z_r = 17$ 4.

Reionization and Early Black Holes. The above W MAP result is inconsistent at the 3 level with a sudden percolation of H II bubbles occurring at z 6, which would correspond to the low optical depth of

= 0:04. This discrepancy is reduced (to the 2 level) even in the simplest models for reionization in which the ionizing emissivity traces the collapse of DM structures. With a reasonable choice of e ciency parameters in such a model, percolation indeed occurs around z 6, satisfying the GP trough detections. In such m odels, there is a natural \tail" of partial ionization, extending to redshifts beyond the percolation epoch, which predicts the total 0:08 (Haiman & Holder 2003; Ciardiet al. 2003). However, if the high value of = 0.17 is con med in future CMB polarization data (e.g., by several additional years of W M A P data), the implication will remain: there are additional sources of ionizing radiation at z 15. M ost in portantly, with further in proved CMB polarization measurements by Planck, the reionization history at high redshifts can be mapped to high precision (Kaplinghat et al. 2003; Holder et al. 2003).

The em issivity of the bright optical quasar population drops steeply at high redshifts (z > 3; e.g., Fan et al. 2002). There is a hint that the evolution towards high redshifts is atter in X-rays (M iyaji et al. 2000). W hile this could be explained if optical quasars were selectively m ore dust-obscured at high redshifts, this interpretation would fail to explain the sharp decline towards high redshifts that is also seen in the radio (Shaver et al. 1996). If the sharp decline is real, it is easy to show that quasars do not contribute signi cantly to the ionizing background at z > 6 (M adau, H aardt, & R ees 1999; H aim an, A bel, & M adau 2001; Fan et al. 2001; B arger et al. 2003) and thus cannot account for the G P troughs detected in the SD SS quasars at this redshift.

However, there is, at least in principle, still room for AGN to contribute to reionization. First, the above ignores the possible presence of faint \m iniquasars" (a term inology introduced by Haim an, Madau, & Loeb 1999) below the current detection thresholds. It has been pointed out (Haiman & Loeb 1998b; Haehnelt, Natarajan, & Rees 1998) that there could be a signi cant population of such faint quasars and that their expected abundance depends crucially on the duty cycle of quasar activity. If the quasar lifetim e is short (< 10^7 years), then quasars must reside in intrinsically abundant, low mass halos in order to match their observed surface density on the sky. C onversely, if quasars are long-lived, they must be harbored by the rarer, more massive halos (for the same apparent abundance). The abundance of low mass halos declines less rapidly (and can even increase for $M_{halo} < M_{o}$) towards high redshifts, and therefore if the quasar duty cycle is short, one expects a larger num ber of yet-to-be detected \m iniquasars". Quasar lifetim es are currently uncertain but are constrained to lie in the range 10^6 10[°] years (see the review by Martini 2004). A particularly relevant method to obtain the lifetime (and thus host halo mass) for the typical quasar at a xed lum inosity is to study the spatial clustering of quasars in large surveys such as 2dF or SD SS (Haim an & Hui 2001; Martini & Weinberg 2001). Current results from 2dF favor t 10^7 years (see C room et al. 2004).

In the simple models of Haiman & Loeb (1998) that assume a short quasar lifetime, quasars can reionize the IGM by z > 10. That model was \calibrated" to reproduce the original observed relation between SM BH mass and bulge mass at z = 0 by Magorrian et al. (1998). However, the model runs into di culties with more recent observations: (1) it overproduces the expected counts of faint X-ray sources in the CDFs, and (2) it is no longer consistent with the more recent local SM BH mass estimates (which are reduced by a factor of 4) and their steeper dependence on the velocity dispersion M / $\frac{4}{5}$ rather than / $\frac{3}{5}$. Wyithe & Loeb (2003b) recently presented an updated model satisfying these constraints. In their model, the abundance of faint quasars at high redshifts falls short of reionizing the universe at z = 6.

D expite the above conclusions, it is natural to ask whether the abundance of fainter m iniquasars could be higher, and whether they could

then signi cantly contribute to the reionization history. Ricotti & 0 striker (2004) show that such SM BHs can signi cantly ionize the universe if they contain a fraction $> 10^{5}$ of all baryons. Another example is a large population of interm ediate (100 M) black holes, which have a harder spectrum and are more e cient ionizers (M adau et al. 2004). A general constraint on the quasar contribution to reionization com es from the delay between H I and H eII reionization epochs. Q uasars have a hard spectrum, with the ratio of the number of photons above 4 and 1 Rydbergs about 10%, roughly the ratio of He versus H atom s (the spectra of interm ediate m ass black holes (MBHs) are harder, and they produce a factor of two more He-ionizing photons). One may naively expect that hydrogen and helium would be reionized simultaneously, in contrast with the observed redshift $z_r (H) > 6$ and $z_r (HeII)$ 3. Including the fact that H eII recombines 5 times faster than H I would translate to a delay that is consistent with the values above (M iralda {E scude & Rees 1993). However, a delay from z_r (H) 15 to z (HeII) 3 would be inconsistent with a pure miniquasar reionization scenario. This means that if hydrogen reionization was caused by miniquasars at z 15, then HeII was likely reionized around the same redshift; it then subsequently recombined (as stellar sources overtook miniquasars as the dominant ionizing sources) and was reionized again by the bright quasar population at z 3. This non-trivial evolution implies a complex therm all history of the IGM, which may leave detectable imprints on its temperature distribution at lower redshifts (Hui & Haim an 2003).

The hard spectra of quasars produce several other distinguishing features for reionization (O h 2001; Venkatesan et al. 2001). Because the m ean free path is longer than the Hubble length for photons with energies > $[(1 + z)=10]^{1=2}$ keV, there is no sharp \edge" for the discrete H II regions surrounding the ionizing sources. As a result, the neutral fraction should decrease gradually throughout most of the entire IGM. This is in sharp contrast with the Swiss cheese topology of reionization by softer photons. Furtherm ore, X -ray photons deposit a signi cant fraction (1=3) of their energy into ionizations only, while the IGM is close to neutral. Once the ionized fraction reaches 30%, most of their energy is therm alized with the electrons (e.g., Shull & van Steenberg 1985). As a result, reionization by quasars would be quite di erent from the stellar case: the IGM would be gradually ionized to the ionized fraction of 30% (as opposed to suddenly fully ionized). These features make it unlikely that quasars contributed signi cantly to the sudden elim ination of the GP troughs at z 6. However, the same features would be attractive in producing partial reionization at high redshifts, and thus would help in explaining the large optical depth measured by WMAP

M adau et al. 2004; O striker et al. 2003). Note that in this scenario, norm al stars would \take over" and dom inate the ionizing background at z 6, causing the overlap of highly ionized regions. The stars would then concurrently heat the IGM to 2 10 K. Hui & Haim an (2003) have argued (see also Theunset al. 2002) that the IGM could not be kept fully ionized continuously from z = 15 to z = 4 because it would then cool adiabatically to a tem perature that is below the observed value at z 4. The above scenario could naturally avoid this constraint.

We have therefore seen that the rst AGN at z > 6 could, in principle, still be important contributors to reionization at high redshifts. To conclude this section, we point out yet another potential constraint. At energies above 1 keV (rest frame at z = 0), there is little absorption, and whatever radiation was produced by the high redshift quasar population would add cumulatively to the present-day background. Most of the soft X-ray background has already been resolved into low redshift sources (M ushotzky et al. 2000; see also W u & X ue 2001 and references therein). D ijkstra, H aim an, & Loeb (2004a) and that the putative high redshift quasars, if they are to fully reionize the IGM, would overproduce the soft X-ray background. H ow ever, distant m iniquasars that produce enough X {rays to only partially ionize the IGM to a level of at most $x_e = 50$ % are still allowed.

5.4 M assive B lack H ole Form ation

Having reviewed the general problem of structure form ation at high redshifts, we now focus on the poorly understood question of how SM BH s were assembled in the rst place. This is an outstanding problem, and it is not even clear whether the rst nonlinear objects in the universe were stars or black holes, and whether galaxies or their central black holes form ed rst (see below). The leading ideas related to the form ation of SM BH s at high redshifts can be broadly divided into three areas: (1) form ation of seed black holes from \norm al" stellar evolution and subsequent accretion to form SM BH s, (2) direct collapse of gas to a SM BH, usually via a superm assive star/disk, and (3) form ation of a SM BH (or an IM BH seed) by stellar dynam ical processes in dense stellar system s, such as star clusters or galactic nuclei. It is, of course, likely that all of these processes could be relevant (e.g., Begelm an & Rees 1978; Rees 1984).

5.4.1 Seed BHs and A ccretion

In view of the evidence described in x 5.2.1, it is quite convincing that the SD SS quasars at z = 6 have m assess of several 10° M = . Having

black holes as massive as this at such an early stage in the evolution of the universe requires explanation. The simplest possibility is that they grow by gas accretion out of a stellar mass seed black hole, left behind by an early massive star. The earliest stars, forming out of metal free gas, are thought to be massive (several 100 M ; A bel, B ryan, & N orm an 2000, 2002; B rom m, C oppi, & Larson 1999, 2002). Such stars can leave behind a substantial fraction of their original mass as a black hole (H eger et al. 2003; C arr, B ond, & A mett 1984). As emphasized by H aim an & Loeb (2001), if the subsequent gas accretion obeys the Eddington lim it and the quasar shines with a radiative e ciency of 10%, then the tim e it takes for a SM BH to grow to the size of 3 10^{6} M from a stellar seed of

100 M is 3 $10^7 \ln (3 \ 10^9=100) \text{ yr}$ 7 18° yr . This is comparable to the age of the universe at z = 6 (9 18° yr for a at CDM universe with H₀ = 70 km s $^1 \text{ M pc}$ $^1 \text{ and }_{M} = 0.3$). Therefore, the presence of these black holes is consistent with the sim plest m odel for black hole grow th, provided that the seeds are present early on, at $z^>$ 15.

In this context, the crucial question is whether gas can accrete at a highly super-Eddington rate onto a black hole, i.e., with M-M-Edd, where $M_{-E dd} = 10L_{E dd} = c^2$ 1:7M₈ M yr ¹ is the accretion rate that would produce an Eddington lum inosity if accretion onto a black hole of m ass 10⁸ M₈ M proceeded with 10% radiative e ciency. If so, this could lead to rapid black hole grow that high redshifts. Constraints on BH seeds and their formation redshifts would therefore be much less stringent. If mass is supplied to a black hole at m_ M_=M_E.dd 1, the photons are trapped in the in owing gas because the photon diusion time out of the ow becomes longer than the time it takes the gas to accrete into the black hole (e.g., Begelm an 1978; Begelm an & M eier 1982). The resulting accretion is thus not via the usual thin disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), but rather via a radiatively ine cient ow (RIAF); the lum inosity is still set by the Eddington lim it, but most of the gravitational binding energy released by the accretion process is not radiated away (being trapped in the ow).

The growth of SM BHs at high redshifts probably proceeds via an optically thick photon trapped accretion ow with \underline{m} 1. Indeed, it would be a remarkable coincidence if the mass supply rate were precisely

 $M_{-E dd}$ (required for a thin accretion disk) during the entire growth of m assive black holes. It is more likely that the mass supply rate is initially much larger in the dense environments of high redshift galaxies (m_1) and then slow ly decreases with time as the galaxy is assembled (e.g., Sm all & B landford 1992; C avaliere, G iacconi, & M enci 2000). Recent theoretical calculations in ply that if m_1, very little of the mass supplied to the black hole actually reaches the horizon; most of it is

driven away in an out ow (see, e.g., simulations of RIAFs by Stone, Pringle, & Begelm an 1999; Igum enshchev & Abram ow icz 1999; Stone & Pringle 2001; Haw ley & Balbus 2002; Igum enshchev et al. 2003; Proga & Begelm an 2003; and the analytic models of Blandford & Begelm an 1999, 2004; Quataert & Gruzinov 2000). The accretion rate onto a black hole thus probably cannot exceed $M_{-E dd}$ by a very large factor, even if the mass supply rate is large (see Shakura & Sunyaev 1973 for an early discussion of this point).⁴

The above discussion focuses on whether highly super-Eddington accretion is possible. The question of whether the Eddington lim it can be exceeded by a modest factor of 10 is a bit more subtle. Magnetized radiation dominated accretion disks are subject to a \photon bubble" instability that nonlinearly appears to lead to strong density inhom ogeneities (see, in particular, Begelm an 2001, 2002; and Arons 1992; Gammie 1998; Blaes & Socrates 2001). Density inhom openeities allow super-Eddington uxes from the accretion ow because radiation leaks out of the low density regions while most of the matter is contained in high density regions. Begelm an (2002) estimates that the Eddington lim it can potentially be exceeded by a factor of 10 100. This would allow much more rapid growth of black holes at high redshifts, circum venting the above arguments that seed black holes at z 15 are required. Magnetohydrodynam ic (MHD) simulations of radiation dominated accretion ows are in progress that should help assess the nonlinear saturation of the photon bubble instability (Neal Turner and collaborators).

5.4.2 A ccretion versus M ergers

M ergers between halos can help build up the m ass of individual black holes (w ithout signi cantly changing the total m ass of the population), provided that the central black holes in the halos coalesce rapidly. The m ean accretion e ciency of 10% inferred from comparing the local black hole m ass density with the integrated quasar light suggests that accretion dom inates at least the last e-folding of the black hole m ass (Yu & Trem aine 2002). M ergers m ay, how ever, be signi cant earlier on (H aim an, C iotti, & O striker 2004). In addition, uncertainties in the expected radiative e ciency of black hole accretion lim it how accurately one can constrain the growth of black hole m ass by m ergers. For exam ple, if the typical e ciency was 40%, as for a m axim ally rotating

 $^{^4\}mathrm{N}$ ote that this is fully consistent with the m ean accretion e ciency of 10% inferred from comparing the local black hole m ass density with the integrated quasar light, even though accretion is not via a thin disk.

K err black hole, then m ergers could clearly dom inate black hole grow th (on the other hand, note that multiple m ergers would have a tendency to cancel the black hole spin; Hughes & Blandford 2003). In order for m ergers to contribute signi cantly to the grow th of individual black hole m asses, stellar seeds must be present in m ost of the num erous m inihalos that form at $z^> 15$, down to sm all halo m asses.

For concreteness, consider possible m erger histories for the z = 5.82 SD SS quasar SD SS 1044-0125 (H aim an & Loeb 2001; the following arguments would be stronger for them ore recently discovered z = 6.41 quasar SD SS J1148+5251). One can estimate the mass of the dark matter halo harboring the quasar by its abundance. SD SS searched a comoving volume of $1 \text{ Gp}c^3$ to nd each quasar. A ssuming a duty cycle of a few times 10^7 years, one estimates that the dark matter halos corresponding to this space density have masses of 10^{13} M (using the halo mass function in Jenkins et al. 2001; the original P ress & Schechter 1974 form ula would give a similar answer). A 10^{13} M halo at z = 6 typically has only

10 progenitors with circular velocities of v > 50 km s¹ (the other progenitors being sm aller). This im plies that m ergers can only help build up the black hole m ass if seed black holes are present in progenitor halos with much smaller masses. Haim an & Loeb (2001) argued for a cuto in the black hole mass function around halos with v = 50 km s¹ because the cosm ic ultraviolet background can suppress gas infall into smaller halos (e.g., Efstathiou 1992; Thoul & Weinberg 1996; Navarro & Steinm etz 1997; K itayam a & Ikeuchi 2000). H ow ever, D ikstra et al. (2004b) have recently shown that this suppression is ine ective at redshifts as high as z > 6. Thus, there is no known obstacle to form ing seed black holes in halos down to v 10 km s¹ (below this threshold, atom ic H cooling becom es ine cient). It therefore needs to be reassessed whether a large fraction of the mass growth can be accounted for by mergers am ong halos. Clearly, placing a seed black hole in each arbitrarily low m ass progenitor halo, with the same black hole m ass to halo m ass ratio as inferred for the SDSS quasars $(M = M_{halo})$ 10⁴), could account for the observed black hole masses in quasars by z = 6, even without any gas accretion (Haiman, Ciotti, & Ostriker 2004).

A prom ising way of assessing the role of mergers in black hole grow th and evolution is via their gravity wave signatures (see, e.g., Menou 2003 or Haehnelt 2003 for reviews). In particular, mergers occur frequently between the dark matter halos that host high redshift black holes. If each such merger results in the coalescence of two massive black holes, the expected event rates by the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) are signi cant (see below).

The question of whether halo m ergers necessarily lead to black hole m ergers is, how ever, still not resolved (see M ilosavlievic & M erritt 2004 for a review). During a galaxy merger, the black holes sink via dynam ical friction to the center of the galaxy and form a black hole binary on scales of about a parsec. The black hole binary can continue to shrink by ejecting low angular momentum stars that pass close to the binary (those in the \loss cone"). In spherical galaxies, this process is ine cient because the loss cone must be replenished by two-body relaxation. The black hole binary thus appears to stall and cannot coalesce even during a Hubble time (e.g., Begelm an, Blandford, & Rees 1980). Several ideas for circum venting this di culty have been proposed. G as accretion m ay drag the binary together in a manner similar to Type II migration in planetary system s (Gould & Rix 2000; A m itage & Natara jan 2002). In addition, in triaxial galaxies, low angular momentum orbits are populated much more e ciently because the stellar orbits can be chaotic; the resulting binary decay times are in many cases signi cantly less than a Hubble time, even if only a few percent of the stellar mass is on chaotic orbits (e.g., Yu 2002; Merritt & Poon 2004). Finally, if SMBHs are brought together by successive halo mergers at a rate higher than the rate at which they can coalesce, then the lowest mass SM BH is likely to be ejected out of the nucleus of the m erger rem nant by the slingshot mechanism (Saslaw, Valtonen, & Aarseth 1974), with implications both for gravity wave event rates and for SM BH m ass build-up.

Essentially all of the work on the gravity wave signal from black holeblack hole in-spiral has assumed e cient (nearly instantaneous) mergers. Because LISA has spectacular sensitivity, it can detect such m ergers at any redshift (if black holes are present). A more important constraint is on the masses of the merging black holes the sum of the two coalescing holes needs to be 10^3 M < M $< 10^6$ M in order for the resulting gravity waves to be within LISA's frequency range (e.g., M enou 2003). Several authors (Haehnelt 1994; Menou, Haiman, & Narayanan 2001; Islam, Taylor, & Silk 2004; W yithe & Loeb 2003a) have m ade predictions for LISA event rates. If every galaxy hosts a massive black hole, LISA should detect several hundred m ergers per year, with most events at high redshifts (M enou, H aim an, & N arayanan 2001; see also W yithe & Loeb 2003a). On the other hand, K au m ann & H aehnelt (2000) argue that only galaxies with deep potential wells (v_c > 100 km/s) will form SM BHs; in this case, the event rate is much less (1 yr^1) and is dom insted by z < 5 (Haehnelt 2003). On a related note, M enou et al. (2001) showed that the LISA event rate is very sensitive to the fraction of dark matter halos that host massive black holes. This can be 1 at high redshifts (im plying a low LISA event rate) because m ergers ensure

that every galaxy will end up with a black hole at its center by z = 0, anyway. Note that in this case, the predicted redshift distribution of LISA events would be very di erent, peaking at low redshifts (z 2 in Fig. 2 of M enou et al. 2001). These examples highlight the fact that gravity waves will provide a powerful probe of the form ation and grow th of SM BHs. We also note that predictions for the gravity wave \lightcurve" have been published to date only for equalm ass black holes (Hughes 1998); since the typicalm erger will take place with large m ass ratios, it is necessary to work out predictions for the general case.

F inally, we note that the observed m orphologies of quasar hosts can, in principle, provide constraints on the prevalence of mergers. In num erous existing m odels, quasar activity is exclusively triggered by mergers; one then expects the images of quasar hosts to appear disturbed. D irect interpretation is di cult because galaxies m ay relax and display an undisturbed m orphology on a timescale shorter than the lifetime of the activated quasar, especially afterm inorm ergers (with large mass ratios). How ever, it is interesting to note that hosts appear clum py at high redshifts, and sm oother and relaxed at low er redshifts (e.g., K ukula et al. 2001), broadly consistent with the merger rates of dark halos peaking at high redshifts (H aim an & M enou 2000; K au m ann & H aehnelt 2000).

5.4.3 Stars versus B lack H oles

Instead of growing by accretion/m ergers from solar mass progenitors, SMBHsmay form directly in the collapse of gas clouds at high redshifts, via a supermassive star or disk. This depends critically on whether fragmentation of the gas cloud into stars can be avoided, particularly in view of the large angular momentum barrier that must be overcome to reach small scales in a galactic nucleus.

A number of papers have sketched how thism ay occur (e.g., Haehnelt & Rees 1993; Loeb & Rasio 1994). The essential idea is that when contracting gas in a protogalactic nucleus becomes optically thick and radiation pressure supported, it becomes less susceptible to fragmentation and star formation. It is, how ever, unlikely that radiation pressure becomes in portant before angular momentum does, im plying that the gas forms a viscous accretion disk in the galactic nucleus (fragmentation before the disk form s can be avoided because the forming fragments would collide and \coalesce" before they can separate into discrete dense clum ps; Kashlinsky & Rees 1983). On the other hand, if self-gravitating, the resulting disk is strongly gravitationally unstable and become s prone to fragmentation and star formation (e.g., Shlosman & Begelman 1989; G oodm an 2003). W hether this fragmentation can be avoided is unclear.

One possibility is to stabilize the disk by keeping its tem perature high, which may be possible in a virtually metal free high redshift halo. In particular, H_2 molecules are fragile and can be easily dissociated by an early soft ultraviolet background (Haiman, Rees, & Loeb 1997). If m olecular hydrogen cooling can be suppressed, the gas will lack coolants and collapse isotherm ally at a tem perature of 8000 K set by atom ic line cooling. If m olecules are prevented from forming, the gas m ay then 10° M SM BH instead be unable to fragment into stars and form a (this seems di cult to arrange, but options to achieve this are discussed in Oh & Haim an 2003). Bromm & Loeb (2003) have carried out num erical simulations of this scenario and indeed nd that if the temperature is kept at 10^4 K, > 10^6 M can condense to scales of < 1 pc. At the end of their simulations, the gas is still in owing with no indication of fragm entation.

A nother possibility is that, even in the presence of signi cant cooling, angular momentum transport by gravitational instabilities, spiral waves, bars, etc., can drive a fraction of the gas to yet smaller scales in the galactic nucleus. E isenstein & Loeb (1995) argued that this was particularly likely to occur in rare low angular momentum dark matter halos because the disk could viscously evolve before star form ation commenced. In addition, even if most of the gas is initially converted into stars, stellar winds and supernovae will eject a signi cant am ount of this gas back into the nucleus, som e of which will eventually collapse to smaller scales (Begelm an & Rees 1978).

A though the detailed evolutionary pathways are still not understood, a possible outcome of the above scenarios is the continued collapse of some gas to smaller scales in the galactic nucleus. As the gas ows in, it becomes optically thick, and the photon di usion time eventually exceeds the in ow time. Radiation pressure dom inates for su ciently massive objects so that the adiabatic index is 4=3. Radiation pressure may temporarily balance gravity, forming a supermassive star or disk (SM S; e.g., Hoyle & Fow ler 1963; W agoner 1969; see, e.g., Shapiro & Teukolsky 1983 for a review and additional references to earlier work). The SM S will radiate at the Eddington lim it (but see x 5.4.1 above) and continue contracting. W hen the SM S is su ciently compact 10 ${}^{4}M_{8}$ for nonrotating stars), general relativistic cor- $(GM = Rc^2)$ rections to the gravitational potential become important, and the star becom es dynam ically unstable because its e ective polytropic index is $^{<}$ 4=3. For m asses $^{<}$ 10 5 M $\,$, therm onuclear reactions halt the collapse and generate an explosion (e.g., Fuller, Woosley, & Weaver 1986), but m ore m assive objects appear to collapse directly to a SM BH (see Shapiro

2004 for a review; and, e.g., Shibata & Shapiro 2002; Saijo et al. 2002 for recent simulations).

5.4.4 The Form ation of B lack Holes in Stellar C lusters

The negative heat capacity of self-gravitating stellar systems m akes them vulnerable to gravitational collapse in which the core of the cluster collapses on a timescale t_{cc} comparable to the two-body relaxation time of the cluster (B inney & Tremaine 1987). If core collapse proceeds unimpeded, the resulting high stellar densities can lead naturally to the runaway collisional grow the of a single massive object which may evolve to form a black hole (as in the discussion of SM Ss above). This process provides an additional route for the direct formation of SM BHs at high redshifts (or, more likely, intermediate mass seeds).

Early work suggested that the fate of stellar clusters depends sensitively on the number of stars in the cluster. Lee (1987) and Quinlan & Shapiro (1990) found that very dense massive stars clusters (N > 10^6 10^7 stars) were required to have successful core collapse and runaway grow th of a single massive object. In less massive clusters, core collapse was halted by binary heating, in which the cluster gains energy at the expense of binaries via three-body interactions (H eggie 1975; H ut et al. 1992). Successful core collapse also requires that t_{cc} is shorter than the tim escale for the most massive stars to evolve o the main sequence (R asio, Freitag, G urkan 2004; this requirement in plies compact clusters < 1 pc in size). O therwise, mass loss from evolved stars and supernovae prevents the core from collapsing (in much the same way as binary star system s can become unbound by supernovae).

Recent work has revived earlier ideas that stellar clusters are subject to a \m ass segregation instability" that m akes even less m assive clusters prone to forming black holes (Spitzer 1969; V ishniac 1978; Begelm an & Rees 1978). Because m assive stars in a cluster sink by dynamical friction towards the center (m ass segregation), they invariably dom inate the dynamics of the cluster core and can undergo core collapse on a tim escale m uch shorter than that of the cluster as a whole (and on a tim escale shorter than their m ain sequence lifetim e). Portegies Zwart & M cM illan (2002) showed with N-body simulations that the resulting core collapse likely leads to runaway m erger and form ation of a single black hole. Gurkan, Freitag, & Rasio (2004) reached a sim ilar conclusion for m uch larger N 10^7 using M onte C arlo simulations.

The above processes provide a promising channel for the formation of IM BH seeds, which can grow via mergers and/or accretion to form SM BHs. For example, Volonteri et al. (2003) and Islam, Taylor, & Silk (2003) have recently incorporated such early black hole seeds into M onte C arlo simulations of the black hole m erger histories. W ith reasonable prescriptions for the m erging and accretion of black holes inside dark halos, these m odels can account for the observed evolution of the quasar lum inosity functions at z < 5 and can serve for physically m otivated extrapolations to high redshifts to describe the rst AGN.

It should be noted that IM BH sm ay have been directly detected using stellar dynam ics in the globular clusters G1 (G ebhardt et al. 2002) and M 15 (van der M arel et al. 2002; although this object can be m odeled without an IM BH, van der M arel 2004) and/or as ultralum inous X -ray sources in nearby galaxies (e.g., C olbert & M ushotzky 1999; K aaret et al. 2001). There are, how ever, viable non-IM BH interpretations of both the globular cluster (e.g., B aum gardt et al. 2003) and ultralum inous X -ray source observations (e.g., K ing et al. 2001; Begelm an 2002).

5.5 The Future

In this section, we brie y summarize the possibility of probing the continuum and line em ission from AGN beyond the current redshift hori-6. This discussion is necessarily based on models for how the zon of z AGN population evolves at z > 6. Such models can be constructed by assuming that SMBHs populate dark matter halos, e.g., in accordance with the locally measured M relation (or an extrapolation of the relation to higher redshifts). W hile there is no direct m easurem ent of this relation at high redshifts, this assumption is at least plausible. There is, e.g., tentative evidence that the relation holds for z 3 quasars (this is based on using the H /0 III lines as proxies for black hole mass and , respectively; e.g., Shields et al. 2003), and also at z 6 (based on the argum ent in x 52.1). No doubt the observational constraints will im prove as both black hole m asses and velocity dispersions are m easured in larger sam ples of distant quasars (e.g., from the SD SS). Correspondingly, extrapolations to high redshifts will be more reliable as the feedback processes that regulate black hole growth are better understood. Here we sum marize predictions from the simplest models.

5.5.1 B roadband D etections

P redictions for the number counts of high redshift AGN have been made using simple sem i-analytic models for the near-infrared (Haiman & Loeb 1998b) and in the soft X-rays (Haiman & Loeb 1999b). In these early models, the quasar black hole was assumed to have a xed fraction

10 4 of the halo m ass, shine at the Eddington lum inosity, and have a duty cycle of bright activity of t_q 10^6 years.

In such models, the surface density of sources is very high in the optical/near-infrared bands, even at z 10. For example, in the 1 5 m 1nJy sensitivity of the Jam es W ebb Space Telescope (JW ST) band, the will allow the detection of an 10° M black hole at z = 10 (provided that the black hole shines at the Eddington limit with the Elvis et al. 1994 spectrum). Surface densities as high as several sources per square arcm inute are predicted at this threshold from z > 5, with most of these sources at z > 10 (Haim an & Loeb 1999a). We note, how ever, that these predictions are very sensitive to the assum ed duty cycle of bright activity. For example, for $t_q = 10^7$ years, or M / M $_{halo}^{5=3}$, the z 10 counts can be smaller by a factor of 10-100 (depending on what redshift { dependence is assumed for the above scaling relation between black hole and halo m ass at high redshift; see H aim an & Loeb 1998b; Haehnelt, N atara jan, & Rees 1998; and W yithe & Loeb 2003 for related discussion). It would also be interesting to detect the host galaxies of ultrahigh redshift AGN, which should be feasible with JW ST's sensitivity. If the galaxies occupy a fair fraction (5%) of the virial radius of their host halos, then a large fraction (> 50%) of them can potentially be resolved with JW ST's planned angular resolution of 0.06¹⁰ (Haim an & Loeb 1998a; Barkana & Loeb 2000). The Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST⁵; Tyson 2002), with a planned capability of going 5 m agnitudes deeper than 3 tim es larger solid angle, would be an ideal instrum ent SD SS in a for studying high redshift quasars in the optical/near-infrared, provided that it is equipped with a su ciently red lter.

In the soft X-rays, the 0.5 2 keV ux of 2.5 10^{17} ergs cm 2 s 1 reached in a 2 M s exposure of CDF-N orth (A lexander et al. 2003) corresponds to a larger (2 10 M; see Figure 1 in H aim an & Loeb 1999) black hole at z = 10, but nevertheless, thousands of sources are predicted at $z^>$ 5 per square degree, and tens per square degree at z > 10. This would im ply that tens of z > 5 sources should have been detectable already in the CDFs, whereas only a handful of potential candidates, and no con rm ed sources, have been found (as discussed in x 522). In revised m odels with longer quasar lifetim es and thus a steeper scaling of M with M hab, these numbers can be sharply decreased (H aim an & Loeb 1998b; H aehnelt, N atarajan, & R ees 1998), which can bring the expected counts into agreem ent with current non-detections (W yithe & Loeb 2003b).

Large numbers of dusty z > 6 AGN could be detected at mid-infrared wavelengths (10 m). Although we are not aware of predictions at these wavelengths for AGN, strong dust-enshrouded starbursts that turn most of the gas into stars would result in large source counts at longer wavelengths. Hundreds of galaxies per square arom inute could be detectable at the 100nJy threshold (in the sem i-analytic models of Haiman, Spergel, & Turner 2003). This ux level can be reached in 10° s exposure with the Spitzer Space Telescope. Depending on acan tual source counts, confusion may, however, set a limit of a few Jy for the SST. The source confusion lim it is di cult to estim ate at long wavelengths (> 10 m), where counts are currently known only to the 100 times brighter limit of 10 5 Jy, and confusion calculations are model{ dependent (see, e.g., qure 3 in Vaisanen et al. 2001). On the other 100nJy ux density is well within the sensitivity of future hand, the high { resolution instrum ents, such as the JW ST and the proposed Terrestrial P lanet F inder (T P F).

The radio sensitivity of the extended Very Large Array and other forthcom ing instrum ents (e.g., Allen Telescope Array and Square Kilom eter Array) is also promising for detecting AGN beyond z 6. Using the updated scaling of black hole m ass with halo m ass and redshift from W yithe & Loeb (2003b) and assuming the same radio-boud fraction (10%) as at lower redshifts, we nd that ten 10 Jy sources per square degree should be detectable at 1 10 GHz (Haim an, Quataert & Bower 2004). The identication of these quasars is a challenge, but should, in principle, be feasible with deep optical/IR observations.

In addition to direct detection of AGN at very high redshifts, it may also be possible to detect lower mass seed black holes at comparable redshifts (or higher). In particular, a plausible model for gam ma-ray bursts (GRBs) invokes accretion onto a new ly form ed 10 M black hole (the collapsar model; e.g., W oosley 1993). GRB afterglow emission may be directly detectable from z 10 20 (e.g., Lamb & Reichart 2000; Ciardi & Loeb 2000). Such afterglow swould show up as, e.g., fading Iband dropouts in infrared surveys (which are under development; Josh B bom, private communication). Their detection would open up a new probe of black hole form ation and evolution at high redshifts (as well as a new probe of the IGM along the line of sight; e.g., Barkana & Loeb 2004).

In sum mary, model predictions for the continuum emission of z > 6AGN are very sensitive to how one extrapolates the M M_{halo} relation to $z^> 6$. However, this should be viewed as \good news": (1) large numbers of detectable AGN at these redshifts are certainly possible, and (2) their detection will put strong constraints on models for the origin and evolution of the black hole population.

5.5.2 Em ission Line M easurements

The strongest recombination lines of H and He from 5 < z < 20 AG Nw ill fall in the near-infrared bands of JW ST and could be bright enough to be detectable. Speci c predictions have been m ade for the source counts in the H em ission line (O h 2001) and for the three strongest H eII lines (O h, H aim an, & R ees 2001; Tum linson, G iroux, & Shull2001). The key assumption is that m ost of the ionizing radiation produced by the m iniquasars is processed into such recombination lines (rather than escaping into the IG M). Under this assumption, the lines are detectable for a ducial 10^5 M m iniquasar at z = 10. The Ly line is m ore susceptible to absorption by neutralhydrogen in the IG M near the source but should be detectable for bright sources that are surrounded by a large enough H II region so that Ly photons shift out of resonance before hitting the neutral IGM (C en & H aim an 2000).

The simultaneous detection of H and He lines would be especially signi cant. As already argued above, the hardness of the ionizing continuum from the rst sources of ultraviolet radiation plays a crucial role in the reionization of the IGM. It would therefore be very interesting to directly measure the ionizing continuum of any z > 6 source. W hile this may be feasible at X-ray energies for exceptionally bright sources, the absorption by neutral gas within the source and in the intervening IG M will render the ionizing continuum of high redshift sources inaccessible to direct observation out to 1 m. This is a problem if the ionizing sources are black holes with $M < 10^8 M$ at z 10 (easily detectable at wavelengths redward of redshifted Ly in the near-infrared by JW ST, but too faint to see in X-rays). The comparison of H and HeII line strengths can be used to infer the ratio of H eII to H I ionizing photons, $Q = N_{ion}^{H eII} = N_{ion}^{H I}$. A measurement of this ratio would shed light on the nature of the rst lum inous sources, and, in particular, it could reveal if the source has a soft (stellar) or hard (AGN-like) spectrum. Note that this technique has already been successfully applied to constrain the spectra of sources in several nearby extragalactic H II regions (G arnett et al. 1991).

Provided the gas in the high redshift AGN is enriched to solar levels, several molecular lines may be visible. In fact, CO has already been detected in the most distant z = 6.41 quasar (W alter et al. 2003). The detectability of CO for high redshift sources in general has been considered by Silk & Spaans (1997) and by Gnedin, Silk, & Spaans (2001). If

AGN activity is accompanied by a star form ation rate of > 30 M = yr, the CO lines are detectable at all redshifts z = 5 30 by the M illimeter A rray (the redshift independent sensitivity is due to the increasing CMB temperature with redshift), while the A tacam a Large M illimeter A rray could reveal fainter CO em ission. The detection of these m olecular lines will provide valuable inform ation on the stellar content and gas kinem atics near the AGN.

5.6 Conclusions

In this review, we have sum marized theoretical ideas and observational constraints on how massive black holes form at the centers of galaxies, and how such black holes grow via accretion and mergers to give rise to the observed population of black holes in the local and moderate redshift universe. This remains a poorly understood but in portant problem. In addition to being of intrinsic interest for understanding the AGN phenomena, sources of gravity waves, etc., there is strong evidence that the form ation and evolution of black holes is coupled to the formation and evolution of the host galaxy in which the black hole resides (e.g., the M relation), and thus to the cosm ological form ation of nonlinear dark matter structures (i.e., the dark halos surrounding these galaxies). We anticipate that this will remain a grow th area of research in the coming years, with continued rapid progress on both the observational and theoretical fronts.

A cknow ledgm ents

The authors wish to thank the editor of this volum e, Am y B arger, for her patience during the preparation of this article.

References

Abel, T., Anninos, P., Zhang, Y., Norman, M. L. 1997, New A, 2, 181
Abel, T., Bryan, G. L., & Norman, M. L. 2000, ApJ, 540, 39
Abel, T., Bryan, G. L., & Norman, M. L. 2002, Science, 295, 93
Abel, T., & Haiman, Z. 2001, in \M olecular Hydrogen in Space", Cam – bridge Contemporary A strophysics, Eds. F. Combes & G. Pineau des Forrets. (Cambridge, U K.: Cambridge University Press), p237
A lexander, D. M., et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 2156
A lexander, D. M., et al. 2003, AJ, 126, 539
A m itage, P. J., & Natarajan, P. 2002, ApJ, 267, L9
A rons, J. 1992, ApJ, 388, 561
Barger, A. J., et al. 2003, ApJ, 584, L61

```
Barkana, R., & Loeb, A. 2000, ApJ, 531, 613
Barkana, R., & Loeb, A. 2003, Nature, 421, 341
Barkana, R., & Loeb, A. 2004, ApJ, in press (astro-ph/0305470)
Baum gardt, H., Makino, J., Hut, P., M cM illan, S., & Portegies Zwart,
  S.2003, ApJ, 589, L25
Becker, R.H., et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 2850
Begelm an, M.C. 1978, MNRAS, 184, 53
Begelm an, M.C. 2001, ApJ, 551, 897
Begelm an, M.C. 2002, ApJ, 568, L97
Begelm an, M. C., Blandford, R. D., & Rees, M. J. 1980, Nature, 287,
  307
Begelm an, M.C., & Meier, D.L. 1982, ApJ, 253, 87
Begelm an, M.C., & Rees., M.J. 1978, MNRAS, 185, 847
Bennett, C.L., et al. 2003, ApJS, 148, 1
Binney, J., & Trem aine, S. 1987, Galactic Dynam ics. (Princeton: Prince-
  ton University Press)
Blaes, O., & Socrates, A. 2001, ApJ, 553, 987
Blandford, R.D. 1999, in \Galaxy Dynamics", Eds. D.R.Merritt, M.
  Valluri, & J.A. Sellwood. (San Francisco: ASP Conference Series),
  182, p87
Blandford, R.D., & Begelm an, M.C. 1999, MNRAS, 303, L1
Blandford, R.D., & Begelman, M.C. 2004, MNRAS, in press (astro-
  ph/0306184)
Bromm, V., Coppi, P.S., & Larson, R.B. 1999, ApJ, 527, 5
Bromm, V., Coppi, P.S., & Larson, R.B. 2002, ApJ, 564, 23
Bromm, V., & Loeb, A. 2003, ApJ, 596, 34
Carr, B.J., Bond, J.R., & Amett, W.D. 1984, ApJ, 277, 445
Cattaneo, A., & Bernardi, M. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 45
Cavaliere, A., Giacconi, R., & Menci, N. 2000, ApJ, 528, L77
Cen, R., & Haiman, Z.2000, ApJ, 542, L75
Cen, R., & M cD onald, P. 2002, ApJ, 570, 457
Ciardi, B., Ferrara, A., & White, S.D.M. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 7
Ciardi, B. & Loeb, A. 2000, ApJ, 540, 687
Ciotti, L., & Ostriker, J.P. 2001, ApJ, 551, 131
Ciotti, L., & van Albada, T.S. 2001, ApJ, 552, L13
Colbert, E.J.M., & Mushotzky, R.F. 1999, ApJ, 519, 89
Com erford, J., Haim an, Z., & Schaye, J. 2002, ApJ, 580, 63
Croom, S., et al. 2004, in "AGN Physics with the Sloan Digital Sky
  Survey", Eds.G.T.Richards & P.B.Hall, in press (astro-ph/0310533)
DiMatteo, T., Croft, R.A.C., Springel, V., & Hemquist, L.2003, ApJ,
  593,56
```

```
Dijkstra, M., Haiman, Z., & Loeb, A. 2004a, ApJ, submitted (astro-
  ph/0403078)
Dirkstra, M., Haiman, Z., Rees, M. J., & Weinberg, D. H. 2004b, ApJ,
  601,666
E fstathiou, G. 1992, MNRAS, 256, 43
Efstathiou, G., & Rees, M.J. 1988, MNRAS, 230, 5
Eisenstein, D., & Loeb, A. 1995, ApJ, 443, 11
E lvis, M ., et al. 1994, ApJS, 95, 1
Fabian, A.C. 2004, in \Coevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies",
  Carnegie Observatories Astrophysics Series, Vol. 1, Ed. L. C. Ho.
   (C am bridge, U K .: C am bridge U niversity P ress), in press (astro-ph/0304122)
Fan, X., Narayanan, V.K., Strauss, M.A., White, R.L., Becker, R.H.,
  Pentericci, L., & Rix, H. 2002, AJ, 123, 1247
Fan, X., et al. 2000, AJ, 120, 1167
Fan, X., et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 2833
Fan, X., et al. 2003, AJ, 125, 1649
Ferrarese, L. 2002a, ApJ, 578, 90
Ferrarese, L. 2002b, in \Current High-Energy Emission A round Black
  Holes", Eds. C. H. Lee & H.-Y. Chang. (Singapore: W orld Scientic
  Publishing), 3
Ferrarese, L., & Merritt, D. 2000, ApJ, 539, L9
Fukugita, M., Hogan, C.J., & Peebles, P.J.E. 1998, ApJ, 503, 518
Fuller, G.M., Woosley, S.E., & Weaver, T.A. 1986, ApJ, 307
Galli, D., & Palla, F. 1998, A & A, 335, 403
Gammie, C.F. 1998, MNRAS, 297, 929
Gammie, C.F. 1999, ApJ, 522, L57
Gamett, D.R., Kennicutt, R.C., Chu, Y.H., & Skillman, E.D. 1991,
  ApJ, 373, 458
Gebhardt, K., Rich, R.M., & Ho, L. 2002, ApJ, 578, L41
Gebhardt, K., et al. 2000, ApJ, 539, L13
Gnedin, N.Y. 2000, ApJ, 535, 530
Gnedin, N.Y., & Ostriker, J.P. 1996, ApJ, 472, 63
Gnedin, N.Y., & Ostriker, J.P. 1997, ApJ, 486, 581
Gnedin, N.Y., Silk, J., & Spaans, M. 2001, MNRAS,
Goodman, J. 2003, MNRAS, 339, 937
Gould, A. & Rix, H.-W. 2000, ApJ, 532, L29
Graham, A.W., Erwin, P., Caon, N., & Trujillo, I. 2001, ApJ, 563, L13
Granato, G.L., Silva, L., Monaco, P., Panuzzo, P., Salucci, P., De Zotti,
  G., & Danese, L. 2001, MNRAS, 324, 757
Gunn, J.E., & Peterson, B.A. 1965, ApJ, 142, 1633
Gurkan, M.A., Freitag, M., & Rasio, F.A. 2004, ApJ, in press (astro-
  ph/0308449)
```

```
Haardt, F., & Madau, P. 1996, ApJ, 461, 20
Haehnelt, M.G. 1994, MNRAS, 269, 199
Haehnelt, M.G. 2003, Classical and Quantum Gravity, 20, S31 (astro-
  ph/0307379)
Haehnelt, M.G., Natara jan, P., & Rees, M.J. 1998, MNRAS, 300, 827
Haehnelt, M.G., & Rees, M.J. 1993, MNRAS, 263, 168
Haiman, Z. 2004, in \C oevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies", Carnegie
  Observatories A strophysics Series, Vol. 1, Ed. L. C. Ho. (Cambridge,
  UK.: Cambridge University Press), in press (astro-ph/0304131)
Haiman, Z., Abel, T., & Madau, P. 2001, ApJ, 551, 599
Haiman, Z., & Cen, R. 2002, ApJ, 578, 702
Haiman, Z., Ciotti, L., & Ostriker, J. P. 2004, ApJ, in press (astro-
  ph/0304129)
Haiman, Z., & Holder, G.P. 2003, ApJ, 595, 1
Haiman, Z., & Hui, L. 2001, ApJ, 547, 27
Haiman, Z., & Loeb, A. 1998a, in \Science with the NGST", Eds. E.P.
  Sm ith & A.Koratkar. (San Francisco: ASP Conference Series), 133,
  p251
Haiman, Z., & Loeb, A. 1998b, ApJ, 503, 505
Haiman, Z., & Loeb, A. 1999a, in \After the Dark Ages: When Galaxies
  Were Young", Eds. S. Holt & E. Smith. (Melville, New York: AP
  Conference Proceedings), 470, p63
Haiman, Z., & Loeb, A. 1999b, ApJ, 519, 479
Haiman, Z., & Loeb, A. 2001, ApJ, 552, 459
Haiman, Z., Madau, P., & Loeb, A. 1999, ApJ, 514, 535
Haiman, Z., & Menou, K. 2000, ApJ, 531, 42
Haiman, Z., Rees, M. J., & Loeb, A. 1997, ApJ, 476, 458, Erratum:
  1997, ApJ, 484, 985]
Haiman, Z., Spergel, D. N., & Turner, E. 2003, ApJ, 585, 630
Haiman, Z., Thoul, A.A., & Loeb, A. 1996, ApJ, 464, 523
Haiman, Z., Quataert, E., & Bower, G. 2004, ApJ, submitted (astro-
  ph/0403104)
Hasinger, G., in \N ew V isions of the X -ray U niverse in the XMM -N ew ton
  and Chandra Era", Ed. F. Jansen. (Noordwijk: ESA / ESTEC), ESA
  SP-488
Heap, S.R., Williger, G.M., Smette, A., Hubeny, I., Sahu, M., Jenkins,
  E.B., Tripp, T.M., & Winkler, J.N. 2000, ApJ, 534, 69
Heger, A., et al. 2003, ApJ, 591, 288
Heggie, D.C. 1975, MNRAS, 173, 729
Hirasawa, T. 1969, Prog. Theor. Phys., 42(3), 523
Holder, G.P., Haiman, Z., Kaplinghat, M., & Knox, L. 2003, ApJ, 595,
  13
```

Hoyle, F., & Fowler, W.A. 1963, MNRAS, 125, 169 Hughes, S.A., & Blandord, R.D. 2003, ApJ, 585, 101 Hui, L., & Haiman, Z. 2003, ApJ, 596, 9 Hut, P., et al. 1992, PASP, 104, 981 Igum enshchev, I., Narayan, R., & Abram ow icz, M. 2003, ApJ, 592, 1042 Igum enshchev, I., & Abram ow icz, M. 1999, MNRAS, 303 Islam, R.R., Taylor, J.E., & Silk, J.2003, MNRAS, 340, 647 Islam, R.R., Taylor, J.E., & Silk, J. 2004, MNRAS, in press (astroph/0309559) Jenkins, A., et al. 2001, MNRAS, 321, 372 Kaaret, P., et al. 2001, MNRAS, 321, L29 Kang, H., & Shapiro, P.R. 1992, ApJ, 386, 432 Kang, H., Shapiro, P.R., Fall, S.M., & Rees, M.J. 1990, ApJ, 363, 488 Kaplinghat, M., Chu, M., Haiman, Z., Holder, G., Knox, L., & Skordis, C.2003, ApJ, 583, 24 Kashlinsky, A., & Rees, M. J. 1983, MNRAS, 205, 955 Kaspi, S., Smith, P.S., Netzer, H., Maoz, D., Jannuzi, B.T., & Giveon, U.2000, ApJ, 533, 631 Kau mann, G., & Haehnelt, M. 2000, MNRAS, 311, 576 Keeton, C.R., Kuhlen, M., & Haiman, Z. 2004, in preparation King, A.R. 2003, ApJ, 596, L27 King, A.R., et al. 2001, ApJ, 552, L109 Kitayama, T., & Ikeuchi, S. 2000, ApJ, 529, 615 Kochanek, C.S. 1998, in \Science with the NGST", Eds. E.P. Smith, & A.Koratkar. (San Francisco: ASP Conference Series), 133, p96 Kogut, A., et al. 2003, ApJS, 148, 161 Kukula, M. J., et al. 2001, MNRAS, 326, 1533 Lamb, D.Q., & Reichart, D.E. 2000, ApJ, 536, L1 Lee, H.M. 1987, ApJ, 319, 801 Lepp, S., & Shull, J.M. 1984, ApJ, 280, 465 Lidz, A., Hui, L., Zaldarriaga, M., & Scoccim arro, R. 2002, ApJ, 579, 491 Loeb, A., & Rasio, F. 1994, 432, 52 Lynden-Bell, D. 1967, MNRAS, 136, 101 Lynden-Bell, D. 1969, Nature, 223, 690 Madau, P., Ferrara, A., & Rees, M. J. 2001, ApJ, 555, 92 Madau, P., Haardt, F., & Rees, M. J. 1999, ApJ, 514, 648 Madau, P., & Pozzetti, L.2000, MNRAS, 312, 9 Madau, P., & Rees, M. J. 2001, ApJ, 551, L27 Madau, P., Rees, M. J., Volonteri, M., Haardt, F., & Oh, S.-P. 2004, ApJ, in press (astro-ph/0310223) Magorrian, J., et al. 1998, AJ, 115, 2285

```
Martini, P.2004 in \Coevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies", Camegie
  Observatories A strophysics Series, Vol. 1, Ed. L. C. Ho. (Cambridge,
  UK.: Cambridge University Press), in press (astro-ph/0304009)
Martini, P., & Weinberg, D.H. 2001, ApJ, 547, 12
Matsuda, T., Sato, H., & Takeda, H. 1969, Prog. Theor. Phys., 42(2),
  219
M cD onald, P., & M iralda-E scude, J. 2001, ApJ, 549, 11L
Menou, K. 2003, Classical and Quantum Gravity, 20, S37 (astro-ph/0301397)
Menou, K., Haiman, Z., & Narayanan, V.K. 2001, ApJ, 558, 535
Merritt, D., & Ferrarese, L.2001, MNRAS, 320, L30
Merritt, D., & Poon, M.Y. 2004, ApJ, in press (astro-ph/0302296)
M ilosavljevic, M., & Merritt, D. 2004, in \The Astrophysics of G ravi-
  tational W ave Sources", Ed. J. Centrella. (M elville, New York: A P
  Conference Proceedings), in press (astro-ph/0211270)
M iralda-E scude, J., & Kollm eier, J.A. 2004, ApJ, in press (astro-ph/0310717)
Miralda-Escude, J., & Rees, M.J. 1993, MNRAS, 260, 617
M iya ji, T , Hasinger, G , & Schm idt, M . 2000, A & A , 353, 25
Monaco, P., Salucci, P., & Danese, L. 2000, MNRAS, 311, 279
Mushotzky, R.F., Cowie, L.L., Barger, A.J., & Amaud, K.A. 2000,
  Nature 404, 459
Navarro, J.F., & Steinm etz, M. 1997, ApJ, 478, 13
Netzer, H. 2003, ApJ, 583, L5
Oh, S.P. 2001, ApJ, 553, 499
Oh, S.P., & Haiman, Z.2002, ApJ, 569, 558
Oh, S.P., & Haiman, Z.2003, MNRAS, 346, 456
Oh, S.P., Haiman, Z., & Rees, M. J. 2001, ApJ, 553, 73
Ostriker, J.P. 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett., 84, 5258
Palla, F., Salpeter, E.E., & Stahler, S.W. 1983, ApJ, 271, 632
Portegies Zwart, S.F., & M cM illan, S.L.W. 2002, ApJ, 576, 899
Press, W.H., & Schechter, P.L. 1974, ApJ, 181, 425
Proga, D., & Begelm an, M.C. 2003, ApJ, 592, 767
Quataert, E., & Gruzinov 2000, ApJ, 545, 842
Quinlan, G.D., & Shapiro, S.L. 1990, ApJ, 356, 483
Rasio, F.A., Freitag, M., & Gurkan, M.A. 2004, in \Coevolution of
  Black Holes and Galaxies", Camegie Observatories A strophysics Se-
  ries, Vol. 1, Ed. L.C. Ho. (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University
  Press), in press (astro-ph/0304038)
Rees, M. J. 1984, ARA & A, 22, 471
Rees, M. J., & Ostriker, J.P. 1977, ApJ, 179, 541
Richards, G.T., et al. 2004, AJ, 127, 1305
```

Ricotti, M., & Ostriker, J.P.2004, MNRAS, in press (astro-ph/0311003)

```
Saip, M., Shibata, M., Baum garte, T.W., & Shapiro, S.L. 2002, ApJ,
  569,349
Salpeter, E.E. 1964, ApJ, 140, 796
Salucci, P., et al. 1999, MNRAS, 307, 637
Saslaw, W. C., Valtonen, M. J., & Aarseth, S. J. 1974, ApJ, 190, 253
Shakura, N. I., & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A & A, 24, 337
Shapiro, S. L. 2004, in \Coevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies",
  Camegie Observatories Astrophysics Series, Vol. 1, Ed. L. C. Ho.
  (C am bridge, U K .: C am bridge U niversity P ress), in press (astro-ph/0304202)
Shapiro, P.R., Giroux, M.L., & Babul, A. 1994, ApJ, 427, 25
Shapiro, P.R., & Kang, H. 1987, ApJ, 318, 32
Shapiro, S.L., & Teukolsky, S.A. 1983, Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and
  Neutron Stars. (New York: Wiley)
Shaver, P.A., et al. 1996, Nature, 384, 439
Sheth, R.K., Mo, H.J., & Tormen, G. 2001, MNRAS, 323, 1
Shibata, M., & Shapiro, S.L. 2002, ApJ, 527, L39
Shields, G.A., Gebhardt, K., Salviander, S., Wills, B.J., Xie, B., Broth-
  erton, M.S., Yuan, J., & Dietrich, M. 2003, ApJ, 583, 124
Shlosm an, I., & Begelm an, M.C. 1989, ApJ, 341, 685
Shull, J.M., & van Steenberg, M.E. 1985, ApJ, 298, 268
Silk, J., & Rees, M. J. 1998, A&A, 331, L1
Silk, J., & Spaans, M. 1997, ApJ, 488, L79
Sm all, T.A., & Blandford, R.D. 1992, MNRAS, 259, 725
Soltan, A. 1982, MNRAS, 200, 115
Songaila, A. 2004, AJ, in press (astro-ph/0402347)
Songaila, A., & Cowie, L.L. 2002, AJ, 123, 2183
Spergel, D. N., et al. 2003, ApJS, 148, 175
Spitzer, L., L., Jr. 1969, ApJ, 158, L139
Stone, J.M., & Pringle, J.E. 2001, MNRAS, 322, 461
Stone, J., Pringle, J., & Begelm an, M. 1999, MNRAS, 310, 1002
Susa, H., Uehara, H., Nishi, R., & Yam ada, M. 1998, Prog. Theor. Phys.,
  100,63
Tegmark, M., Silk, J., Rees, M. J., Blanchard, A., Abel, T., & Palla, F.
  1997, ApJ, 474, 1
Theuns, T., Schaye, J., Zaroubi, S., Kim, T.S., Tzanavaris, P., Carswell,
  B.2002, ApJL, 567, 103
Thoul, A.A., & Weinberg, D.H. 1996, ApJ, 465, 608
Tum linson, J., G iroux, M. L., & Shull, M. J. 2001, ApJ, 550, L1
Tyson, J.A. 2002, Proc. SP E Int. Soc. Opt. Eng, 4836, 10 (astro-
  ph/0302102)
Yu, Q. 2002, MNRAS, 331, 935
Yu, Q., & Tremaine, S. 2002, MNRAS, 335, 965
```

Vaisanen, P., Tollestrup, E.V., & Fazio, G.G. 2001, MNRAS, 325, 1241 Valageas, P., & Silk, J. 1999, A& A, 347, 1

- Van der Marel, R.P. 1997, in \Galaxy Interactions at Low and High Redshift", Proc. IAU Symp. 186., Eds. JE. Barnes, & D.B. Sanders, (Dordrecht: Kluwer), p102
- Van der Marel, R. P. 2004, in \Coevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies", Carnegie Observatories A strophysics Series, Vol. 1, Ed. L. C. Ho. (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press), in press (astroph/0302101)
- van der M arel, R.P., et al. 2002, AJ, 124, 3255
- Vanden Berk, D.E., et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 549
- Venkatesan, A., Giroux, M.L., & Shull, J.M. 2001, ApJ, 563, 1
- Vestergaard, M . 2002, ApJ, 571, 733
- Vestergaard, M . 2004, ApJ, 601, 676
- Vishniac, E.T. 1978, ApJ, 223, 986
- Volonteri, M., Haardt, F., & Madau, P. 2003, ApJ, 582, 559
- W agoner, R.V. 1969, ARA & A, 7, 553
- W alter, F., et al. 2003, Nature, 424, 406
- W andel, A., Peterson, B.M., & Malkan, M.A. 1999, ApJ, 526, 579
- Wasserburg, G.J., & Qian, Y.-Z. 2000, ApJ, 538, L99
- W hite, R.L., Becker, R.H., Fan, X., & Strauss, M.A. 2003, AJ, 126, 1
- W hite, S.D.M., & Rees, M.J. 1978, MNRAS, 183, 341
- W illott, C.J., M cLure, R.J., & Jarvis, M.J. 2003, ApJ, 587, L15
- Woo, J.H., & Urry, C.M. 2002, 579, 530
- Woosley, S.E. 1993, ApJ, 405, 273
- Wu,X., & Xue,Y.2001, ApJ, 560, 544
- W yithe, S. 2004, ApJ, in press (astro-ph/0308290)
- Wyithe, S., & Loeb, A. 2002a, Nature, 417, 923
- Wythe, S., & Loeb, A. 2002b, ApJ, 577, 57
- Wyithe, S., & Loeb, A. 2003a, ApJ, 590, 691
- Wyithe, S., & Loeb, A. 2003b, ApJ, 595, 614
- Zaldarriaga, M. 1997, Phys. Rev. D., 55, 1822
- Zel'dovich, Y.B. 1964, Dok.Akad.Nauk SSSR, 155, 67
- Zhao, H., Haehnelt, M.G., & Rees, M.J. 2002, New A, 7, 385