# A Hybrid Cosm ological Hydrodynam ic/N -body Code Based on a W eighted Essentially Non-O scillatory Scheme

Long-Long Feng<sup>1;2;3</sup>, Chi-W ang Shu<sup>4</sup> and M engping Zhang<sup>5</sup>

# ABSTRACT

We present a new ly developed cosm ological hydrodynam ics code based on weighted essentially non-oscillatory (WENO) schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws. WENO is a higher order accurate nite dierence scheme designed for problem swith piecewise smooth solutions containing discontinuities, and has been successfully applied for problems involving both shocks and complicated smooth solution structures. We couple hydrodynam ics based on the WENO scheme with standard Poisson solver - particlemesh (PM) algorithm for evolving the self-gravitating system. The third order low storage total variation diminishing (TVD) Runge-Kutta scheme has been used for the time integration of the system. To test accuracy and convergence rate of the code, we subject it to a number of typical tests including the Sod shock tube in multidimensions, the Sedov blast wave and form ation of the Zeklovich pancake. These tests validate the WENO hydrodynam ics with fast convergence rate and high accuracy. We also evolve a low density at cosm ologicalm odel (CDM) to explore the validity of the code in practical simulations.

Subject headings: cosm ology: theory - gravitation - hydrodynam ics - m ethods: num erical - shock waves

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Purple M ountain O bærvatory, N an jing, 210008, P R. China. E-m ail: fengll@pm o.ac.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>C enter for A strophysics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P.R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>N ationalA stronom icalO bservatories, C hinese A cadem y of Science, C hao-Y ang D istrict, B eijing 100012, P.R. C hina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, U.S.A. E-mail: shu@dam.brown.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>D epartm ent of M athem atics, U niversity of Science and Technology of China, H efei, A nhui 230026, P R. China. E-m ail: m pzhang@ ustc.edu.cn

# 1. Introduction

Though the universe seems to be dominated by the dark sides of both m atter and energy (Tumer, 2002), the observed lum inous universe has been existing in the form of baryonic m atter, whose m ass density, constrained by the prim ordial nucleosynthesis (W alker, et al., 1991), only occupies a sm all am ount of the total density. To account for the observational features revealed by the baryonic m atter, i.e., X -ray em itting gas in galaxies and clusters (M ukhaey, 2000), intergalactic m edium inferred from Ly forest (R auch, 1998), X -ray background radiation (G iacconi et al. 1962) and distorted spectrum of the cosm ic background radiation due to the Sunyaev-Zeklovich e ect (Zel'dovich & Sunyaev 1969; O striker & V ishniac 1986) etc., it would be necessary to incorporate hydrodynam ics into cosm ological investigations. This m otivation has stim ulated great e orts to apply a variety of gas dynam ics algorithm s to cosm ological sim ulations. For a general review of the state-of-the-art on this topic, we refer to Bertschinger (1998).

Due to the high non-linearity of gravitational clustering in the universe, there are two signi cant features emerging in cosm ological hydrodynam ic ow, which pose more challenges than the typical hydrodynam ic simulation without self-gravity. One signi cant feature is the extrem ely supersonic motion around the density peaks developed by gravitational instability, which leads to strong shock discontinuities within complex smooth structures. A nother feature is the appearance of an enorm ous dynam ic range in space and time as well as in the related gas quantities. For instance, the hierarchical structures in the galaxy distribution span a wide range of length scales from a few kpc resolved by individual galaxy to several tens of M pc characterizing the largest coherent scale in the universe.

A variety of num erical schemes for solving the coupled system of collisional baryonic matter and collisionless dark matter have been developed in the past decades. They fall into two categories, particle methods and grid based methods.

The particle m ethods include variants of the sm ooth-particle hydrodynam ics (SPH; G ingold & M onagham 1977, Lucy 1977) such as those of Evrard (1988), H emquist & K atz (1989), N avarro & W hite (1993), C ouchm an, Thom as & Pierce (Hydra, 1995), Steinm etz (1996), O wen et al. (1998) and Springel, Yoshida & W hite (G adget, 2001). The SPH m ethod solves the Lagrangian form of the Euler equations, and could achieve good spatial resolutions in high density regions, but works poorly in low density regions. It also su ers from degraded resolution in shocked regions due to the introduction of sizable arti cial viscosity.

The grid based m ethods are to solve the Euler equations on structured or unstructured grids. The early attempt was made by Cen (1992) using a central di erence scheme. It uses

arti cial viscosity to handle shocks and has rst-order accuracy. The modern approaches in plemented for high resolution shock capturing are usually based on the Godunov algorithm. The two typical examples are the total-variation diminishing (TVD) scheme (Harten 1983) and the piecewise parabolic method (PPM) (Collella & Woodward 1984). Both schemes start from the integral form of conservation laws of Euler equations and compute the ux vector based on cell averages (nite volum e scheme). The TVD scheme modies the ux using an approximate solution of the Riemann problem with corrections added to ensure that there are no postshock oscillations. While in the PPM scheme, the R iem ann problem is solved accurately using a quadratic interpolation of the cell-average densities that is constrained to m in in ize postshock oscillations. In the cosm ological setting, the TVD based codes include those of Ryu et al. (1993), the moving-mesh scheme (Pen, 1998), and the smooth Lagrangian method (G nedin, 1995); and the PPM based codes include those of Stone & Norman (Zeus; 1992), Bryan et al. (1995), Somborger et al. (1996), Ricker, Dodelson & Lamb (COSMOS; 2000). The grid-based methods su er from the limited spatial resolution, but they work extrem ely well both in low and high density regions as well as in shocks. To reach a large dynam ical range, the G odunov m ethods have also been im plem ented with adaptive mesh re nem ent (RAM SES: Teyssier, 2002; EN ZO: Norm an & Bryan, 1999; O'Shea et al., 2004), which is more adequate to explore the ne structures in the hydrodynam ic simulation.

We describe in this paper an alternative hydrodynam ic solver which discretizes the convection terms in the Euler equations by the flh order nite di erence W ENO (weighted essentially non-oscillatory) method, rst developed in Jiang & Shu (1996), with a low storage third order R unge-K utta time discretization, which was proven to be nonlinearly stable in Gottlieb & Shu (1998). The WENO schemes are based on the essentially non-oscillatory (ENO) schemes ist developed by Harten et al. (1987) in the form of nite volume scheme for hyperbolic conservative laws. The ENO scheme generalizes the total variation diminishing (TVD) scheme of Harten (1983). The TVD schemes typically degenerate to st-order accuracy at locations with sm ooth extrem a while the ENO scheme maintains high order accuracy there even in multi-dimensions. WENO schemes further in prove upon ENO schemes in robustness and accuracy. Both ENO and WENO schemes use the idea of adaptive stencils in the reconstruction procedure based on the local sm oothness of the num erical solution to autom atically achieve high order accuracy and non-oscillatory property near discontinuities. For WENO schemes, this is achieved by using a convex combination of a few candidate stencils, each being assigned a nonlinear weight which depends on the local sm oothness of the num erical solution based on that stencil. WENO schemes can simultaneously provide a high order resolution for the sm ooth part of the solution, and a sharp, monotone shock or contact discontinuity transition. WENO

schem es are extrem ely robust and stable for solutions containing strong shocks and com plex solution structures. M oreover, a signi cant advantage of W ENO is its ability to have high accuracy on coarser m eshes and to achieve better resolution on the largest m eshes allowed by available com puter m em ory. W e will describe the fth order W ENO schem e em ployed in this paper brie y in x3. For m ore details, we refer to Jiang & Shu (1996) and the lecture notes by Shu (1998, 1999).

W ENO schemes have been widely used in applications. Some of the examples include dynam ical response of a stellar atmosphere to pressure perturbations (Zanna, Velli & Londrillo, 1998); shock vortex interactions and other gas dynam ics problem s (G rasso & P irozzoli, 2000a; 2000b); incom pressible ow problem s (Yang et al., 1998); Ham ilton-Jacobi equations (Jiang & Peng, 2000); m agneto-hydrodynam ics (Jiang & W u, 1999); underwater blast-wave focusing (Liang & Chen, 1999); the composite schemes and shallow water equations (Liska & W endro, 1998, 1999); real gas computations (M ontarnal & Shu, 1999), wave propagation using Fey's m ethod of transport (N celle, 2000); etc.

In the context of cosm ological applications, we have developed a hybrid N-body/hydrodynam ical code that incorporates a Lagrangian particle-m esh algorithm to evolve the collisionless matter with the ffh order W ENO scheme to solve the equations of gas dynam ics. This paper is to detail this code and assess its accuracy using some num erical tests. We proceed as follows. In x2, we present the basic cosm ological hydrodynam ic equation for the baryon-CDM coupling system . x3 gives a brief discussion of the num erical scheme for solving the hydrodynam ic equations, especially about the implementation of the nite di erence ffh order W ENO scheme and the TVD time discretization. In x4, we validate the code using a few challenging num erical tests. Concluding remarks are drawn in x5.

#### 2. The Basic Equations

The hydrodynam ic equations for baryons in the expanding universe, without any viscous and therm al conductivity term s, can be written in the following compact form ,

$$U_{t} + f(U)_{X} + g(U)_{Y} + h(U)_{Z} = F(t;U)$$
(1)

where U and the uxes f(U), g(U) and h(U) are ve-component column vectors,

Here t is the cosm ic time, R = (X;Y;Z) is the proper coordinates, which is related to com oving coordinates r = (x;y;Z) via R = a(t)r; the subscripts (X;Y;Z) in equation (1) denote spatial derivatives, e.g. (x) = 0 (x) = 0) is the expansion scale factor, is the com oving density, V = (u;v;w) is the proper peculiar velocity, E is the total energy including both kinetic and internal energies, P is com oving pressure, which is related to the total energy E by

$$E = \frac{P}{1} + \frac{1}{2} (u^2 + v^2 + w^2)$$
(3)

where we assume an ideal gas equation of state, P = (1)e, where e is the total internal energy and is the ratio of the speci c heats of the baryon; for a monatom ic gas, = 5=3. The left hand side of equation (1) is written in the conservative form form ass, momentum and energy, the \force" source term on the right hand side includes the contributions from the expansion of the universe and the gravitation:

where  $_{net}$  represents the net energy loss due to the radiative heating-cooling of the baryonic gas, and  $G = r_R$  is the peculiar acceleration in the gravitational eld produced by both the dark matter and the baryonic matter.

The motions of the collisionless dark matter in comoving coordinates are governed by a set of Newtonian equations,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{D}\,\mathrm{M}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{a}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{D}\,\mathrm{M}}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{D}\,\mathrm{M}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}} = \frac{\underline{a}}{\mathrm{a}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{D}\,\mathrm{M}} + \mathbf{G}$$
(5)

where  $r_{DM}$  and  $v_{DM}$  are the comoving coordinates and the proper peculiar velocity respectively, and the subscript D M refers to the dark matter. The peculiar gravitational potential obeys the Poisson equation,

$$r^{2}(x;t) = 4 G [_{tot}(x;t) _{0}(t)] = a$$
 (6)

in which G is the gravitational constant,  $_{tot} = _{b} + _{DM}$  is a sum of the com oving baryon and dark matter density, and  $_{0}$  (t) is the uniform background density at time t.

## 3. Num erical Techniques

#### 3.1. Hydrodynam ic Solver: Finite Di erence W ENO Schem es

3.1.1. Approximating the derivatives

The fith order W ENO nite di erence spatial discretization to a conservation law such as

$$u_{t} + f(u)_{x} + g(u)_{y} + h(u)_{z} = 0$$
(7)

approximates the derivatives, for example  $f(u)_x$ , by a conservative di erence

$$f(u)_x j_{x=x_j} = \frac{1}{x} \hat{f}_{j+1=2} \hat{f}_{j-1=2}$$

along the x line, with y and z xed, where  $\hat{f}_{j+1=2}$  is the numerical ux.  $g(u)_y$  and  $h(u)_z$  are approximated in the same way. Hence nite difference methods have the same form at for one and several space dimensions, which is a major advantage. For the simplest case of a scalar equation (7) and if  $f^0(u) = 0$ , the fith order nite difference W ENO scheme has the ux given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{j+1=2} = \mathbf{w}_1 \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{j+1=2}^{(1)} + \mathbf{w}_2 \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{j+1=2}^{(2)} + \mathbf{w}_3 \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{j+1=2}^{(3)}$$

where  $f_{j^{+}\,l^{=2}}^{(i)}$  are three third order accurate  $% f_{j^{+}\,l^{=2}}^{(i)}$  uses on three di erent stencils given by

f(1) j+ 1=2	=	$\frac{1}{3}f(u_{j 2}) - \frac{7}{6}f(u_{j 1}) + \frac{11}{6}f(u_{j});$
f <sup>(2)</sup> j+ 1=2	=	$\frac{1}{6}f(u_{j-1}) + \frac{5}{6}f(u_{j}) + \frac{1}{3}f(u_{j+1});$
f <sup>(3)</sup> j+ 1=2	=	$\frac{1}{3}f(u_{j}) + \frac{5}{6}f(u_{j+1}) - \frac{1}{6}f(u_{j+2}):$

Notice that the combined stencil for the  $ux f_{j+1=2}$  is biased to the left, which is upwinding for the positive wind direction due to the assumption  $f^{0}(u) = 0$ . The key ingredient for the success of W ENO scheme relies on the design of the nonlinear weights  $w_{i}$ , which are given by

$$w_{i} = \frac{W_{i}}{P_{k=1}^{3} W_{k}}; \qquad W_{k} = \frac{k}{("+k)^{2}};$$

where the linear weights  $_{k}$  are chosen to yield fith order accuracy when combining three third order accurate uxes, and are given by

$$_{1} = \frac{1}{10};$$
  $_{2} = \frac{3}{5};$   $_{3} = \frac{3}{10};$ 

the sm oothness indicators k are given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & = & \frac{13}{12} \left( f\left( u_{j \ 2} \right) & 2f\left( u_{j \ 1} \right) + f\left( u_{j} \right) \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left( f\left( u_{j \ 2} \right) & 4f\left( u_{j \ 1} \right) + 3f\left( u_{j} \right) \right)^{2} \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &$$

and they measure how smooth the approximation based on a speci c stencil is in the target cell. Finally, " is a parameter to avoid the denominator to become 0 and is usually taken as " =  $10^{-6}$  in the computation. The choice of " does not a ect accuracy, the errors can go down to machine zero with mesh re nement while " =  $10^{-6}$  is kept xed.

This nishes the description of the fth order nite dierence W ENO scheme in Jiang & Shu (1996) in the simplest case. As we can see, the algorithm is actually quite simple and the user does not need to tune any parameters in the scheme.

## 3.1.2. Properties of the W ENO scheme

We brie y sum marize the properties of this WENO nite di erence scheme. For details of proofs and num erical veri cations, see Jiang & Shu (1996) and the lecture notes of Shu (1998, 1999).

- 1. The scheme is proven to be uniform by fith order accurate including at smooth extrem a, and this is veried num erically.
- 2. Near discontinuities the scheme produces sharp and non-oscillatory discontinuity transition.
- 3. The approximation is self-similar. That is, when fully discretized with the Runge-Kutta methods in next section, the scheme is invariant when the spatial and time variables are scaled by the same factor. This is a major advantage for approximating conservation laws which are invariant under such scaling.

#### 3.1.3. Generalization to more complex situations

W e then indicate how the scheme is generalized in a more complex situation, eventually to 3D systems such as the Euler equations:

1. For scalar equations without the property  $f^{0}(u) = 0$ , one uses a ux splitting

$$f(u) = f^{+}(u) + f(u);$$
  $\frac{df^{+}(u)}{du} = 0; \frac{df(u)}{du} = 0;$ 

and apply the above procedure to  $f^+$  (u), and a m irror in age (with respect to j + 1=2) procedure to f (u). The only requirement for the splitting is that f (u) should be sm ooth functions of u. In this paper we use the simple Lax-Friedrichs ux splitting

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{2} (f(u) u);$$
 =  $m a x_u j f^0(u) j$ 

where the maximum is taken over the relevant range of u. This simple Lax-Friedrichs ux splitting is quite di usive when applied to rst and second order discretizations, but for the fith order W ENO discretization we adopt, it has very small num erical viscosity.

- 2. For system s of hyperbolic conservation laws, the nonlinear part of the W ENO procedure (i.e. the determ ination of the sm oothness indicators k and hence the nonlinear weights w<sub>i</sub>) is carried out in local characteristic elds. Thus one would rst nd an average u<sub>j+1=2</sub> of u<sub>j</sub> and u<sub>j+1</sub> (we use the Roe average, Roe (1978), which exists for m any physical system s including the Euler equations), and compute the left and right eigenvectors of the Jacobian f<sup>0</sup>(u<sub>j+1=2</sub>) and put them into the rows of a matrix R <sup>1</sup><sub>j+1=2</sub> and the columns of another matrix R <sub>j+1=2</sub>, respectively, such that R <sup>1</sup><sub>j+1=2</sub> f<sup>0</sup>(u<sub>j+1=2</sub>) R <sub>j+1=2</sub> = <sub>j+1=2</sub> where <sub>j+1=2</sub> is a diagonal matrix containing the real eigenvalues of f<sup>0</sup>(u<sub>j+1=2</sub>). O ne then transform s all the quantities needed for evaluating the numerical ux f<sup>1</sup><sub>j+1=2</sub> to the local characteristic elds by left multiplying them with R <sup>1</sup><sub>j+1=2</sub>, and then computes the numerical uxes by the scalar procedure in each characteristic eld. Finally, the ux in the original physical space is obtained by left multiplying the numerical ux obtained in the local characteristic elds with R <sub>j+1=2</sub>.
- 3. If one has a non-uniform but smooth mesh, for example x = x() where j is uniform and x() is a smooth function of , then one could use the chain rule  $f(u)_x = f(u) = x^0()$  and simply use the procedure above for uniform meshes to approximate f(u). Using this, one could use nite di erence W ENO schemes on smooth curvilinear coordinates in any space dimension.

4. W ENO nite di erence schem es are available for all odd orders, see Liu, O sher & Chan (1994) and Balsara and Shu (2000) for the form ulae of the third order and seventh through eleventh order W ENO schem es.

# 3.2. Tim e D iscretizations

The nite di erence W ENO scheme we use in this paper is formulated rst as method of lines, namely discretized in the spatial variables only. It is still necessary for us to discretize the time variable. Offen it is easier to prove stability (e.g. for TVD schemes) when the time variable is discretized by the storder accurate forward Euler, however time accuracy is as important as spatial accuracy, hence we would like to have higher order accuracy in time while maintaining the stability properties of the forward Euler time stepping. We use a class of high order nonlinearly stable Runge-Kutta time discretizations. A distinctive feature of this class of time discretizations is that they are convex combinations of rst order forward Euler steps, hence they maintain strong stability properties in any sem inorm (total variation norm, maximum norm, entropy condition, etc.) of the forward Euler step, with a time step restriction proportional to that for the forward Euler step to be stable, this proportion coe cient being term ed CFL (Courant-Friedrichs-Levy, referring to stability restrictions on the time step) coe cient of the high order R unge-K utta m ethod. Thus one only needs to prove nonlinear stability for the rst order forward Euler step, which is relatively easy in many situations (e.g. TVD schemes), and one automatically obtains the same strong stability property for the higher order time discretizations in this class. These methods were rst developed in Shu & Osher (1988) and Shu (1988), and later generalized in Gottlieb & Shu (1998) and Gottlieb, Shu & Tadmor (2001). The most popular scheme in this class is the following third order Runge-Kuttam ethod for solving

 $u_t = L (u;t)$ 

where L (u;t) is a spatial discretization operator (it does not need to be, and often is not, linear):

*(***1** ).

$$u^{(1)} = u^{n} + tL(u^{n};t^{n})$$

$$u^{(2)} = \frac{3}{4}u^{n} + \frac{1}{4}u^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4}tL(u^{(1)};t^{n} + t)$$

$$u^{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}u^{n} + \frac{2}{3}u^{(2)} + \frac{2}{3}tL(u^{(2)};t^{n} + \frac{1}{2}t);$$
(8)

which is nonlinearly stable with a CFL coe cient 1. However, for our purpose of 3D calculations, storage is a param ount consideration. We thus use a third order low storage

nonlinearly stable Runge-Kutta m ethod, which was proven to be nonlinearly stable with a CFL coe cient 0.32 (G ottlieb & Shu, 1998). A lthough the time step of this low storage m ethod must be smaller for stability analysis, in practice the time step can be taken larger (for example with a CFL coe cient 0.6 used in this paper) without observing any instability. In appendix A, the algorithm of the third-order low storage Runge-Kutta m ethod is given. This m ethod is to be applied to the num erical tests presented in the following section (x4).

#### 3.3. Resolving the H igh M ach N um ber P roblem

In cosm ological hydrodynam ic simulations, one main challenge is to track precisely the therm odynam ic evolution in supersonic ow s around the density peaks due to gravitational collapse. The supersonic ow could have a high M ach number, as large as M 100, at which the ratio of the internal therm all energy  $E_{th}$  to the kinetic energy  $E_k$  is as small as M<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>4</sup>. In an Eulerian num erical scheme for hydrodynam ics, the therm all energy is obtained by subtracting the kinetic energy from the total energy  $E_{th} = E = E_k$ . This calculation leads to a signi cant error if the therm all energy is negligibly small comparing with the kinetic energy. Even though there is an improvement of the quality when W ENO scheme is used, due to its high order accuracy near shock fronts, the problem still remains. This is what is referred to in the literature as the high M ach number problem.

To tackle the high M ach number ow that frequently appears in cosm obgical hydrodynam ic simulations, the current common practice is to solve the therm all energy accurately using a complementary equation in the unshocked region, either a modiled entropy equation (R yu et al., 1993) or the internal energy equation (B ryan et al., 1995). In this paper, we combine these two approaches. That is, we take the dual energy approach of B ryan et al., but instead of solving the internal energy equation, we follow R yu et al. (1993) to solve the modiled entropy equation. W ithout taking account of the energy loss across shocks, the conservative form of the modiled entropy is,

$$\frac{\text{@S}}{\text{@t}} + \frac{1}{a}r \quad (\text{SV}) = \begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2}\text{S} \\ a \end{array}$$
(9)

where S is the modi ed entropy de ned by S p=<sup>1</sup>. It is noted that the entropy equation is only valid in unshocked regions, and can be solved num erically by the standard W ENO nite di erence scheme. W ith the entropy equation (9), the therm all energy is updated from the results of either total energy inside shocks or modi ed entropy outside shocks according to an ad hoc criterion, which operates on each cell using

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} ( & 1) (E & \frac{1}{2} & v^{2}); & (E & \frac{1}{2} & v^{2}) = E \\ S & ^{1}; & (E & \frac{1}{2} & v^{2}) = E < : \end{pmatrix}$$
(10)

where is a free parameter. We take = 10<sup>3</sup> in our calculations in order to have no noticeable dynamical e ect on the system. To incorporate the pressure obtained from the modil ed entropy equation into the total energy equation, we reset the total energy E or the entropy S at each time loop. Namely, according to the criterion equation (10), if the pressure is determined by the total energy equation, we update the entropy by S = p = -1; and if the pressure is given by the entropy equation, we reset the total energy by  $E = p = (-1) + -v^2 = 2$ . These procedures enable us to track both the therm all energy and total energy correctly in the shocked and unshocked regions.

#### 3.4. Im plem entation

In practical cosm obgical simulations, the code proceeds according to the following stages:

- 1. Under the Gaussian assumption of the primordial density uctuations, we initialize a simulation using the Zeldovich approximation to set up a distribution of CDM particles. The baryonic density and velocity elds are then given as in Cen (1992);
- 2. The W ENO scheme is applied to compute the advection uses for the hydrodynamic variables as described in x3.1;
- 3. The gravitational eld is solved by the standard particle-m esh N-body technique (See Hockney & Eastwood, 1988; Efstathiou et al. 1985). Nam ely, for the dark m atter particles, the density is assigned to the grid with a cloud-in-cell (C IC) m ethod and then subjected to a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) to generate the discretized density eld; the gravitational potential to the Poisson equation is then obtained by a convolution technique, in which we make use of the optimized G reen function appropriate to the seven-point nite di erence approximation to the Laplacian;
- 4. The positions and velocities of CDM particles as well as the hydrodynam ic variables are updated with the third order low storage Runge-Kutta method, which ensures third order accuracy in the time integration of the system.

The time step is chosen by the minimum value among three time scales. The rst is from the Courant condition given by

t 
$$\frac{CFL \quad a(t) \times}{\max(j_{x}j+c_{s};j_{y}j+c_{s};j_{z}j+c_{s})}$$
(11)

where x is the cell size,  $c_s$  is the local sound speed,  $u_x$ ,  $u_y$  and  $u_z$  are the local uid velocities and CFL is the Courant number for the stability of time discretization. The analysis for nonlinear stability allows the Courant number to be up to 1 for the regular third order nonlinearly stable Runge-K utta time discretization given by equation (8), and up to 0.32 for the low storage third order nonlinearly stable Runge-K utta time discretization given by equations (A1)-(A2), that we use in this paper. Typically, we take CFL = 0.6 in our computation and observe stable results. The second constraint is imposed by cosm ic expansion which requires that a=a < 0.02 within a single time step. This constraint comes from the requirement that a particle moves no more than a xed fraction of the cell size in one time step. In cosm obgical simulations, the time step is always controlled by the cosm ological expansion at the early stage of evolution, but most of the CPU time is spent in Courant time steps at the later nonlinear clustering regimes.

O ur hybrid cosm ological hydrodynam ic/N-body code has been written in Fortran 90. C om piling on a DELL precision 530 workstation with one Intel(R) X eon (TM) Processor 2.8G H z/533M H z, it runs at the speed of 1:9  $10^4$  zones per second without the N-body/gravity solver and 1:6  $10^4$  zones with the N-body/gravity solver. For the benchm ark of the W ENO code with the same im plem entation as ours but without gravity, perform ed on an IBM SP parallel com puter, we refer to Tables (1) and (2) of Shi, Zhang & Shu (2003) for details.

#### 4. Num erical Tests

The W ENO scheme in application to both compressible and incompressible gas hydrodynamics has been subjected to a variety of numerical tests, e.g., shock tube problem, D ouble M ach rejection, 2-dimensional shock vortex interactions, etc. All of these tests work very well, especially for the situation when both shocks and complicated smooth ow features co-exist, demonstrating the advantages of high order schemes. For these test results of W ENO schemes, see, e.g. Shu (2003) and the references therein. In this section, we are going to run the following tests: (1) the Sod shock tube tests in one-, two- and three-dimensions; (2) the Sedov spherical blast wave in 3-dimensions; (3) the Zeldovich pancake which characterizes the structure formation in the universe by the single-mode analysis; and (4) nally, we demonstrate the code by simulating the adiabatic evolution of the universe in a CDM model.

## 4.1. Shock Tube Test

The Sod shock tube problem (Sod, 1978) has been widely used to test the ability of hydrodynam ic codes for shock capturing. Under a speci cally chosen initial condition, it could produce all of three types of uid discontinuity: shock, contact and rarefaction. The Sod problem is set as a straight tube of gas divided by a membrane into two chambers. The initial state of the gas are specified by uniform density and pressure on both chambers respectively. On the left chamber, we set  $_{\rm L} = 1.5$ ,  $p_{\rm L} = 1.0$ , and on the right,  $_{\rm R} = 1.0$ ,  $p_{\rm R} = 0.2$ . The gas is assumed to be at rest everywhere initially. The polytropic index is = 1.4.

The Sod shock tube is actually a 1-dimensional problem. To nd how well the shock structure is resolved in high dimensions, we perform the test in one-, two- and three-dimensional cases. In 1-dimension, the shock propagates along the line of the x-axis. For two- and three-dimensional cases, the shock propagates along the main diagonal of the calculation region, i.e., along the line (0,0) to (1,1) in the square and along the line (0,0,0) to (1,1,1) in the cube. Fig. 1 compares the numerical results at t = 0.195 with the analytical solution in one-, two- and three-dimensions respectively, in which 64 cells in each direction have been used. Comparable to the calculations done with PPM or TVD schemes (e.g. R yu et al. 1993, Pen 1998, R icker et al., 2000), the shock and contact discontinuity can be resolved within two to three cells in the multidimensional calculations, and moreover, each quantity gets some improvements with the increase of spatial dimensions.

#### 4.2. Spherical Sedov-Taylor B last W ave

A nother challenging test for the 3-dim ensional hydrodynam ic code is the Sedov blast wave (Sedov, 1993). We initialize the simulation by setting up a point-like energy release in a hom ogeneous medium of density and negligible pressure. This explosion will develop a spherical blast wave that sweeps material around as it propagates outward along the radial direction. The derivation of the full analytical solutions can be found in Landau & Lifshitz (1987). It has been currently used for modeling the supernova explosion.

The shock front propagates according to

$$r_{s}(t) = {}_{0} \frac{E_{0}t^{2}}{1}^{!} = (12)$$

where  $_0 = 1.15$  for an ideal gas with a polytropic index = 5=3. The velocity of the shock is given by  $v_s = 0r_s$  (t)=0t. Behind the shock, the density, momentum and pressure are

given by

$$_{2} = \frac{+1}{1}_{1}$$
 (13)

$$(v)_2 = \frac{2}{1} v_s$$
 (14)

$$P_2 = \frac{2}{+1} v_s^2$$
(15)

We apply the 3-dimensional WENO scheme to run the Sedov-Taylor blast test. The simulation is performed in a cubic box with a 256<sup>3</sup> grid, and initialized by setting up a uniform density  $_1 = 1$  and negligible pressure with a very small value  $p_0 = 10^{-5}$  to match a numerical approximation to zero. A point-like energy  $E_0 = 10^{-5}$  is injected at the center of the box, and the medium is at rest initially. The challenging nature of the spherical Sedov-Taylor blast wave stems from the fact that a Cartesian grid is used. To minimize the anisotropic elects due to the Cartesian coordinates, we convolve the initial condition with a spherical G aussian liter with a window radius of 1.5 grids.

The full three-dimensional numerical solutions for density, momentum and pressure are displayed in Fig. 2 by projecting onto the radial coordinate. As can be seen in Fig. 2, the numerical solution captures the spatial prole of the shock well, although there is still some scattering around the analytical solution. O byiously, the scattering originates from the geometric elect of the projection from the Cartesian grid onto the spherical coordinate. Such geometric anisotropy also leads to the shock front being not fully resolved within one cell as described by the analytical solution. A coordingly, in the widened shock front of the numerical solution, both density and pressure have been underestimated in comparison with their predicted maximum values. Fig. 3 presents the density distributions in a slice across the explosion point. The anisotropy could be clearly seen in this gure.

# 4.3. Zeldovich Pancake

The Zeldovich pancake problem (Zeldovich, 1970) provides a stringent numerical test for cosm ological hydrodynam ic codes. It involves the basic physics underlying in cosm ological simulation, namely, hydrodynam ics, self-gravity, cosm ic expansion, and strong shock formed in smooth structure with high M ach numbers. In the one-dimensional case, the problem can be formulated by placing a sinusoidal perturbation along the axis and tracking its evolution. In the linear or quasi-linear regime, there exists an exact solution in the Lagrangian coordinate if the pressure is neglected. For a strong ology, the solution

can be written in the following form s

$$(\mathbf{x}_{1}) = {}_{0}^{h} 1 \quad \frac{1+z_{c}}{1+z} \cos(kx_{1})^{i} \qquad (16)$$

$$v(x_1) = H_0 \frac{1 + z_c}{(1 + z)^{1-2}} \frac{\sin(kx_1)}{k}$$
 (17)

where  $z_c$  is a redshift at which the gravitational collapse results in the form ation of caustics, i.e., Zeldovich pancake. H<sub>0</sub> is the Huuble constant, H<sub>0</sub> = 100hkm =s=M pc, and k = 2 = speci es the com oving perturbation wavelength.  $x_1$  is the Lagrangian coordinate which is related to the Eulerian position  $x_e$  by

$$x_{1} = \frac{1 + z_{c}}{1 + z} \frac{\sin(kx_{1})}{k} = x_{e};$$
(18)

For the numerical model, we adopt the same parameters as those used in Bryan et al. (1995), which are given by  $z_c = 1$ , = 1, h = 0.5,  $= 64h^{-1}M$  pc. The simulation is performed from the initial redshift  $z_i = 100$  for the purely baryonic gas with a uniform temperature distribution  $T_i = 100K$ .

It is noted that the analytical solution given by equation (16) holds until the redshift  $z = z_c$  of caustic formation. In Fig. 4, we can pare the numerical solution using a 256 grid to the Zeldovich pancake with the analytical solution at z=10 and z=1.05. We can clearly see an excellent agreement between the numerical and analytical solutions. To access the accuracy to which our W ENO/PM code is able to reach, we run the code with a xed perturbation wavelength but a varying number of zones N . U sing the exact solution (16)–(18), we de not the L<sup>1</sup> error norm s for the density as

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{j}{zel_{i}}^{i} \frac{zel_{i}}{zel_{i}} j$$
(19)

where  $_{i}$  is the numerical solution on the grid, and  $_{zel;i}$  is the Zeldovich solution given by equation (16). The L<sup>1</sup> error norm for the velocity elds are dened similarly. The results at di erent redshifts ranging from the linear regime z = 20 to the highly nonlinear collapsing phase z = 1.05 are displayed in Fig. 5. U sually, the L<sup>1</sup> error should be scaled with N according to a power law N<sup>r</sup> or with spatial resolutions as  $x^r$ , where r is dened as the convergence rate. For the density eld, the L<sup>1</sup> error declines rapidly with r' 1.8 at z = 20. W ith decreasing redshifts, the convergence rate slows down, e.g., the error varies approximately as N<sup>-1</sup> at z = 1.05, namely, roughly a linear convergence law with the spatial resolution. The velocity at z = 20 converges som ewhat faster than the density with convergence rate r' 1:9, but som ewhat slower at z = 1.05 with r' 0:9. The non-linear evolution subsequent to the caustics form ation is more di cult to track num erically than that in the linear phase. The form ation of the caustics is due to the head-on collision of two cold bulk ows and gravitational collapsing, which result in a strong shock and large gradients in the involved physical elds. Moreover, a large range of variation in the tem perature distribution is also di cult to capture num erically. In Fig. 6 we plot the solution for the density, velocity and tem perature distribution at z = 0 obtained from a 256 zone run. It should be noted that, in the unshocked region, the tem perature is solved from the entropy equation and remains with a uniform tem perature 1K, which is the arti cialm inimum temperature.

To determ ine how well the shock is resolved by the W ENO scheme at dierent resolutions, we also make runs with 32, 64, 128 zones and compare with a high resolution run with 512 zones. Unlike the similar comparison done by Bryan using the PPM scheme, we have not degraded the solution at the high resolution to appropriate scales. The results are shown in Fig. 7. Clearly, the shock structure is well resolved by approximately equal number of zones for the three low resolution solutions, although the width of the shock is widened with reduced resolution correspondingly. Moreover, we see that the solution with 128 zones has already converged to that with 512 zones, which is likely to be the real physical solution to the problem. This demonstrates the rapid convergence rate of the high order W ENO scheme even in the highly nonlinear phase of the caustics.

# 4.4. A Cosm ological Application: the CDM M odel

W e run the hybrid hydrodynam ic/N-body W ENO/PM code to track the cosm ic evolution of the coupled system of both dark matter and baryonic matter in a at low density CDM model (CDM), which is specified by the density parameter  $_{\rm m}$  = 0.3, cosm ological constant = 0.7, Hubble constant h = 0.7, and the mass uctuation within a sphere of radius 8h <sup>1</sup>M pc, <sub>8</sub> = 0.9. The baryon fraction is xed using the constraint from prim ordial nucleosynthesis as  $_{\rm b}$  = 0.0125h <sup>2</sup> (W alker et al., 1991). The initial condition has been generated by the Gaussian random eld with the linear CDM power spectrum taken from the tting formulae presented by Eisenstein & Hu (1998). The simulation is performed in a periodic box of side length of 25h <sup>1</sup>M pc with a 192<sup>3</sup> grid and an equal number of dark matter particles. The universe is evolved from z = 49 to z = 0. The initial tem perature is set to T = 10<sup>4</sup>K and the polytropic index takes the value = 5=3. For comparison, two sets of sinulation have been performed by using the W ENO-E and W ENO-S schemes, which update the energy and entropy respectively outside the shocked regions, see section 3.3. Fig. 8 plots density contours for the baryonic m atter (lower panel) and the cold dark m atter (upper panel) in a slice with 2-cell thickness of 0.26h <sup>1</sup>M pc at z = 1.5. In Fig. 9, we compare the cell temperature contours drawn from the simulations using the W ENO  $\pm$  (lower panel) and W ENO -S (upper panel) codes. O by builty, the two simulations coincide in the high temperature (>  $10^4$ K) regions, but in the low temperature regions, the W ENO  $\pm$  simulation gives less fractions of the volume than those from W ENO -S. This phenom enon is due to the articial numerical errors, which heats up signi cantly the regions with temperature  $10^2$ K to T  $10^2$   $10^4$ K in the W ENO  $\pm$  calculation. In contrast, since the entropy equation is capable of tracking the temperature eld with high accuracy and hence the spurious heating is minimized, the cold unshocked regions occupy m ore volumes in the W ENO -S calculation.

Fig. 10 gives an example of density, velocity and temperature distributions along a random ly chosen lines of sight. To demonstrate further the di erence between the W ENO  $\pm$  and W ENO -S codes, we plot the results from both calculations in each panel. Once again, the num erical heating in the pre-shock or unshocked regions is clearly seen in this plot. Our result is compatible with that of R yu et al. (1993) for a purely baryonic universe. M oreover, it is also noted from Fig. 10 that there are not signi cant di erences between the density and velocity elds in the W ENO -S and W ENO  $\pm$  calculations, while for the temperature distribution, the di erence occurs in the regions of cold gas, T  $10^4$ K. This demonstrates that the internal energy corrections m ade in cold regions (m ostly in unshocked gas) by the m odi ed entropy equation have little dynam ical e ect on the ow structure except for the internal energy or temperature elds.

In Fig. 11, we present an alternative view of the di erence between the W ENO-E and WENO-S codes by plotting histogram s of the volum e-weighted (upper panel) and m ass-weighted (lower panel) tem perature on the 192<sup>3</sup> grids. C learly, the articial num erical heating is serious in the regions occupied by the low-temperature gas in the W ENO-E calculation, however it is less signi cant in the fraction of mass. A nother illustration indicating such a di erence can be given by contour plots of the volum e fraction with given tem perature and density. As displayed in Fig. 12, the volum e di erence only occurs in the low-density/low temperature regions with =1 and T 10<sup>4</sup>K, typically around 0:1, T  $10^{3}$ K. It should be noted that, although the spurious heating does exist also in the standard WENO code, it is weaker than those in low-order schemes, e.g., the second order TVD code of Ryu et al. (1993). This bene t clearly com es from the higher order accuracy acquired in our ffh-order W ENO scheme, which leads to smaller numerical errors in the calculations. However, for realistic cosm ological simulations, such a di erence m ay not be signi cant while the radiation eld is taken into account. For instance, in the presence of ionizing UV background, the baryonic intergalactic medium could be heated

up to  $10^4$ K. The simulation including the radiative heating-cooling and ionization and relevant statistical analysis will be presented elsewhere.

# 5. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we have described a newly developed hybrid cosm ological hydrodynamic code based on weighted essentially non-oscillatory (W ENO) schemes for the Euler system of conservation laws. We implement the ffh order nite dierence W ENO to solve the inviscid uid dynamics on a uniform Eulerian grid combining with a third order low storage Runge-Kutta TVD scheme for advancing in time. In order to solve the cosm ological problem involving both collisional baryonic matter and collisionless dark matter, we incorporate the particle-m esh method for computing the self-gravity into our cosm ological code.

The code has been subjected to a number of tests for its accuracy and convergence. As expected, the W ENO scheme demonstrates its capacity of capturing shocks and producing sharp and non-oscillatory discontinuity transition without generating oscillations. In comparison with other existing hydrodynamic codes such as the TVD or PPM schemes, one striking feature of the W ENO code is that it retains higher order accuracy in sm ooth regions including at sm ooth extrem a even in multidim ensions, and yet it is still highly stable and robust for strong shocks. In perform ance, the W ENO scheme needs more oating point operations per cell than those of the PPM and TVD schemes. However, in compensating for tw ice or more loss of the computational speed, the W ENO scheme achieves both higher order accuracy and convergence rate than PPM and TVD codes according to our num erical tests.

In the presence of gravity, the hydrodynam ics become more challenging than that without gravity due to the highly non-linearity of gravitational clustering. One serious problem encountered in many cosm ological applications is the so called high M ach number problem. To address this problem, we have incorporated an extra technique into our cosm ological W ENO /PM code, which is actually a combination of the dual energy algorithm (B ryan et al. 1995) and the energy-entropy algorithm (R yu et al. 1993). Namely, instead of solving the internal energy equation in regions free of shocks as was done in the dual energy algorithm (B ryan et al. 1995), we solve the modi ed entropy equation (R yu et al. 1993), which takes a conservative form and can be easily solved using the standard W ENO scheme. This im provem ent over our hydrodynam ic W ENO code ensures an accurate tracking of the temperature eld in regions free of shocks.

It is pointed out that the high order W ENO discretization, e.g., the fth order W ENO

schem e adopted in this paper, introduces a quite small num erical viscosity, which does not lead to a signi cant violation of energy conservation in the presence of gravitational elds. W hile for a second-order TVD scheme, the num erical di usion is no longer negligible. In order to have a better conservation of the total energy, it is usually corrected by adding a compensation term in the gravitational force term (Ryu et al, 1993).

The Euler hydrodynamics on xed meshes have several distinct advantages which includes sim plicity for implementation, easy data parallelization, relatively low oating point cost, large dynamic range in mass and high resolution of shock capturing. In particular, the W ENO scheme can also achieve a higher accuracy on coarse meshes and a better resolution on the largest meshes allowed by available memory. To suit for large simulations of the cosm ological problem, further improvement of the hydrodynamic W ENO code is needed in its implementation on distributed memory computers. The parallel version of the code based on the M essage-Passing Interface (M P I) has been under development.

LLF acknow ledges support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) and NationalK ey Basic Research Science Foundation. CW S and MZ acknow ledge the support by NNSFC grant 10028103 while CW S is in residence at the D epartm ent of M athem atics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, PR. China. Additional support for CW S is provided by ARO grant DAAD 19-00-1-0405 and NSF grant DM S-0207451.

#### A. Low Storage Runge-Kutta Scheme

General low-storage Runge-Kutta schemes can be written in the form

$$du^{(i)} = A_{i}du^{(i 1)} + tL(u^{(i 1)};t^{n} + it)$$

$$u^{(i)} = u^{(i 1)} + B_{i}du^{(i)}; \quad i = 1;:::m$$

$$u^{(0)} = u^{n}; u^{(m)} = u^{n+1}; A_{1} = 0:$$
(A1)

Only u and du must be stored, resulting in two storage units for each variable, instead of three storage units for equation (8). The third order nonlinearly stable version we use, Gottlieb & Shu (1998), has m = 3 in (A1) with

$$z_{1} = \frac{q}{36c_{2}^{4} + 36c_{2}^{3}} \frac{135c_{2}^{2} + 84c_{2}}{12}$$

$$z_{2} = 2c_{2}^{2} + c_{2} 2$$

$$z_{3} = 12c_{2}^{4} \frac{18c_{2}^{3} + 18c_{2}^{2}}{11c_{2} + 2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_4 &= 36c_2^4 \quad 36c_2^3 + 13c_2^2 \quad 8c_2 + 4 \\ z_5 &= 69c_2^3 \quad 62c_2^2 + 28c_2 \quad 8 \\ z_6 &= 34c_2^4 \quad 46c_2^3 + 34c_2^2 \quad 13c_2 + 2 \\ B_1 &= c_2 \quad (A 2) \\ B_2 &= \frac{12c_2(c_2 \ 1)(3z_2 \ z_1) \quad (3z_2 \ z_1)^2}{144c_2(3c_2 \ 2)(c_2 \ 1)^2} \\ B_3 &= \frac{24(3c_2 \ 2)(c_2 \ 1)^2}{(3z_2 \ z_1)^2 \quad 12c_2(c_2 \ 1)(3z_2 \ z_1)} \\ A_2 &= \frac{z_1(6c_2^2 \ 4c_2 + 1) + 3z_3}{(2c_2 + 1)z_1 \quad 3(c_2 + 2)(2c_2 \ 1)^2} \\ A_3 &= \frac{z_4z_1 + 108(2c_2 \ 1)c_2^5 \quad 3(2c_2 \ 1)z_5}{24z_1c_2(c_2 \ 1)^4 + 72c_2z_6 + 72c_2^6(2c_2 \ 13)} \\ 1 &= 0 \\ 2 &= B_1 \\ 3 &= B_1 + B_2(A_2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_2 = 0.924574$ .

#### REFERENCES

- Balsara, D., & Shu, C.-W., 2000, J. Comput. Phys., 160, 405
- Bertschinger, E., 1998, ARA & A, 36, 599
- Bryan, GL., Norman, ML., Stone, JM., Cen, R., & Ostriker, JP., 1995, Comput. Phys. Comm., 89, 149
- Cen, R., 1992, ApJS, 78, 341
- Colella, P., & Woodward, P.R., 1984, J.Comp. Phys., 54, 174
- Couchman, HM, P., Thomas, PA, & Pearce, FR, 1995, ApJ, 452, 797
- Efstathiou, G., Davis, M., Frenk, C.S., & White, S.D.M., 1985, ApJS, 57, 241
- Eisenstein, D.J., & Hu, W., 1999, ApJ, 511, 5
- Evrard, A E., 1988, M NRAS, 235, 911
- Giacconi, P., Gursky, H., Paolini, F., & Rossi, B., 1962, Phys. Rev. Lett., 9, 439
- Gingold R A. & Monaghan, J.J., 1977, MNRAS, 181, 375
- Gnedin, N.Y., 1995, ApJS, 97, 231

- Gottlieb, S., & Shu, C.-W., 1998, Math. Comp., 67, 73
- Gottlieb, S., Shu, C.-W., & Tadmor, E., 2001, SIAM Review, 43, 89
- Grasso F., & Pirozzoli, S., 2000a, Theor. Comp. Fluid Dyn., 13, 421
- Grasso, F., & Pirozzoli, S., 2000b, Phys. Fluids, 12, 205
- Hemquist, L., & Katz, N., 1989, ApJS, 70, 419
- Harten, A., 1983, J. Comput. Phys., 49, 357
- Harten, A., Engquist, B., Osher, S.J., & Chakravarthy, S., 1987, J. Comput. Phys., 71, 231
- Hockney, RW., & Eastwood, JW., 1988, Computer Simulation Using Particles (Philadelphia: IDP Publishing)
- Jiang, G., & Peng, D.P., 2000, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 21, 2126
- Jiang, G., & Shu, C.-W., 1996, J. Comput. Phys., 126, 202
- Jiang, G., & Wu, C.-C. 1999, J. Comput. Phys., 150, 561
- Landau, LD., & Lifshitz, EM., 1987, Fluid Mechanics (2nd ed.; Oxford: Pergam on Press)
- Liang, S., & Chen, H., 1999, AIAA J., 37, 1010
- Liska, R., & Wendro, B., 1998, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 35, 2250
- Liska, R., & Wendro, B., 1999, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fl, 30, 461
- Liu, X D ., O sher, S J., & Chan, T ., 1994, J. Com put. Phys., 115, 200
- Lucy, L.B., 1977, ApJ, 82, 1013
- Montamal, P., & Shu, C.-W., 1999, J.Comput. Phys., 148, 59
- Mulchaey, J.S., 2000, ARA&A, 38, 289
- Navarro, J.F., & White, S.D.M., 1993, MNRAS, 265, 271
- Noelle, S., 2000, J. Com put. Phys., 2000, 283
- Norman, M L., & Bryan, G L., 1999, in Numerical astrophysics, 1998, ed. S.Miyama, K. Tomisaka, & T.Hanawa (Boston: Kluwer)
- O'Shea, BW, Bryan, G, Bordner, J., Norman, ML, Abel, T., Harkness, R., & Kritsuk, A., 2004, in Adaptive Mesh Renement – Theory and Applications, eds. Plewa, T., Linde T. & Weirs, V.G. (Springer)
- Ostriker, J.P. & Vishniac, E.T., 1986, ApJ, 306, L51
- Owen, JM ., Villum sen, J.V., Shapiro, P.R., & Martel, H., 1998, ApJS, 116, 155
- Pen, U L., 1998, ApJS, 115, 19

- Rauch, M., 1998, A&A, 36, 267
- Ricker, P.M., Dodelson, S. & Lamb, D.Q., 2000, ApJ, 536, 122
- Roe, P., 1978, J. Com put. Phys., 27, 1
- Ryu, D., Ostriker, J.P., Kang, H. & Cen, R., 1993, ApJ, 414, 1
- Sedov, L.I., 1993, Sim ilarity and D in ensional M ethods in M echanics (Boca R aton, CRC press, 10th ed.)
- Shi, J., Zhang, Y.T. & Shu, C.-W., 2003, J. Com put. Phys., 186, 690
- Shu, C.-W., 1988, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput., 9, 1073
- Shu, C.-W., 1998, in Advanced Numerical Approximation of Nonlinear Hyperbolic Equations,
   B. Cockburn, C. Johnson, C.-W. Shu and E. Tadmor (Editor: A. Quarteroni),
   Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1697, 325 (Springer)
- Shu, C.-W., 1999, in High-Order M ethods for C om putational Physics, T.J. Barth and H. D econinck, editors, Lecture N otes in C om putational Science and Engineering, 9, 439 (Springer)
- Shu, C.-W , 2003, Int. J. Com put. Fluid Dyn., 17, 107
- Shu, C.-W. & Osher, S.J., 1988, J. Comput. Phys., 77, 439
- Sod, G A., 1978, J. Com put. Phys., 27, 1
- Somborger, A., Fryxell, B., Olson, K. & MacNeice, P., 1996, preprint (astro-ph/9608019)
- SpringelV, Yoshida N., & White S.D. M., 2001, New Astronomy, 6, 51
- Steinm etz, M ., 1996, M NRAS, 278, 1005
- Stone JM ., & Norman, M L., 1992, ApJS, 80, 753
- Teyssier, R., 2002, A&A, 285, 337
- Tumer, M S, 2002, ApJ, 576, L101
- Walker, T., Steigma, G., Schramm, D.N., Olive, K.A. & Kang, H.S., 1991, ApJ, 376, 51
- Yang, J., Yang, S., Chen, Y. & Hsu, C., 1998, J. Com put. Phys., 146, 464
- Zanna, L.Del, Velli, M. & Londrillo, P., 1998, A&A, 330, L13
- Zeldovich, Ya. B., 1970, A&A, 5, 84
- Zel'dovich, Ya. B., & Sunyaev, R.A., 1969, A&A, 20, 189

This preprint was prepared with the AAS  $IAT_EX$  m acros v4.0.

(† || Fig. 1. one-, two-and three-dimensions. Open circles are given by the numerical solution output at 0:195 using the D ensity (left), velocity (center) and pressure (right) for the Sod shock tube tests in fth order W ENO scheme, solid lines represent the analytical solutions.



{ 23 {



Fig. 2. The density (top), m on entum density (m iddle) and pressure (bottom) in the threedimensional spherical Sedov blast wave test at t=9.22. The computation was performed on a 256<sup>3</sup> grid, and the scattered points are plotted by the projection of the results on the Cartesian grids onto the spherical radial coordinates. The solid lines represent the analytical solutions.

30

r

40

10

0

20

50

60



Fig. 3. The gray in age of density distribution in a slice across the explosion point in the three-dimensional spherical Sedov blast wave at t = 9.22.



Fig. 4. The one-dimensional Zeldovich Pancake test at redshifts z=10 and z=1.05 prior to the caustics formation. The results from a 256 grids run (open circle) are plotted against the exact solutions (solid line).



Fig. 5. The  $L^1$  error norm of one-dimensional Zeldovich pancake tests varying with the number of zones N for a xed perturbation wavelength. The results for density (upper panel) and velocity (lower panel) are plotted at di erent redshifts.



Fig. 6. The density (top), velocity (middle) and temperature (bottom) for a onedimensional Zeldovich pancake at redshift z=0. The results are drawn from a 256 grid run.



Fig. 7. The density (top), velocity (middle) and temperature (bottom) for a onedimensional Zeldovich pancake at redshift z=0.0 pen circles with dotted lines are obtained from the runs with 32, 64, 128 grids. The solid lines are for the solution computed with a 512 grid run.



Fig. 8. Gas density (lower panel) and CDM density (upper panel) contour plots for a slice of 0.26h  $^{1}$ M pc thickness in a 192<sup>3</sup> grid at z = 1.5. The solid line contours represent overdense regions with = 1, and the dotted lines represent underdense regions with

= 1.



Fig. 9. Comparison of temperature contours for the W ENO-E (low erpanel) and W ENO-S (upper panel) for a slice of 0.26h  $^1{\rm M}$  pc thickness in a  $192^3$  grid at z = 1:5. The solid line contours represent hot regions with T  $10^4{\rm K}$  and the dotted lines represent cold region with T  $< 10^4{\rm K}$ .



100

10



Fig. 10. Density, velocity and tem perature distributions along a random ly chosen lines of sight at z = 1.5. The solid lines are for the W ENO-S simulation and the dotted lines for the WENO-E simulation.



Fig. 11. The cell temperature histogram in a  $192^3$  grid at z = 1.5. The top panel is for the volum e-weighted cell temperature and the lower panel for the mass-weighted cell temperature. In both panels, the solid lines are given by the W ENO-S simulation and the dotted lines given by the W ENO-E.



Fig. 12. Contour plot of the volum e w ith given tem perature and density at z = 1.5. The left panel is for the calculation with the W ENO-E and the right panel with the W ENO-S.