A P.Hatzes¹ and D E.M krtichian^{2;3}

¹ Thuringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Sternwarte 5, D-07778, Tautenburg, Germany

²A strophysical Research Center for the Structure and Evolution of the Cosm os, Sejong University, Seoul 143–747, K orea

³A stronom ical O bservatory, O dessa N ational U niversity, Shevchenko P ark, O dessa, 65014, U kraine

ABSTRACT

W e present the analysis of 3 hrs of a rapid tim e series of precise stellar radial velocity (RV) m easurem ents (= 4.5 m s^{-1}) of the cool Ap star CrB. The integrated RV m easurem ents spanning the wavelength interval 5000-6000A show signi cant variations (false alarm probability = 10^{-5}) with a period of 16.21 m in (= 1028.17 H z) and an amplitude of $3.54 - 0.56 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. The RV m easured over a m uch narrow erw avelength interval reveals one spectral feature at 6272.0 A pulsating with the same 16.21 m in period and an amplitude of $138 - 23 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. These observations establish CrB to be a low-amplitude rapidly oscillating Ap star.

Keywords: Stars: individual: CrB { Stars: pulsation { Stars: variables

L IN TRODUCTION

K urtz (1989) rst suggested that the cool magnetic Ap star CrB was a prime candidate to be a rapidly oscillating Ap (roAp) star since it had stellar properties similar to several known oscillating Ap stars (HR 1217, 33 Lib, and Equ). The issue of whether CrB is a pulsating star has important implications for the excitation mechanism in roAp stars as well as the possible existence of an instability strip for roAp stars.

Several investigators have searched for pulsational variations in CrB using photom etric m easurem ents with null results (Heller & Kram er 1988; Kreidl 1991). Recently, K ochukhov et al. (2002, hereafter K 02) presented radial velocity (RV) m easurem ents for CrB spanning the wavelength interval 6105 { 6190 A. M easurem ents of m ost lines were constant to a level of 20{30 m s⁻¹; how ever, intriguing evidence for RV variations were found for one spectral feature, Fe I 6165.4 A. This feature had an am plitude of 71 11 m s⁻¹ and a period of 11.5 0.5 m in. Before we can place

C nB in the fam ily of roAp stars the K 02 result must be con nm ed since the false alarm probability for the detection was rather high (= 0.016). Here we present our own precise radial velocity m easurements for C nB and show that it is indeed a rapidly oscillating Ap star, but not with the period found by K 02.

2 OBSERVATIONS

Spectral observations of C nB were made for 3 consecutive hours on the night of 31 January 1998 (JD = 2450844.903220) using the \2dcoude" echelle spectrograph of the H arlan J.Sm ith 2.7m telescope of M cD onald O bservatory (Tullet al. 1995). A coording to the ephem eris of K urtz (1989) this corresponds to m agnetic phase 0.11. O ne hundred fty- ve observations were made with exposure times of 50 secs which yielded a typical signal-to-noise ratio of 150 per pixel. The description of the instrum ental setup can be found in previous papers (K anaan & H atzes 1998; M krtichian et al. 2003). Brie y, the wavelength coverage was 4700 { 6700 A at a resolving power of 60,000. P recise stellar radial velocities were achieved via an iodine absorption cell placed in the optical light path.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Integrated RV M easurem ents

To achieve the maximum RV measurement precision we rst used the full spectral region covered by the iodine reference absorption lines to produce a m ean" RV value for all spectral lines. In doing so we excluded the two reddest spectral orders that covered the wavelength range $6100{6300 \text{ A}}$. Here the iodine absorption lines are weak and this degrades the RV precision. To determ ine the relative RV for CrB each spectral order was divided into 60 chunks so that we could m odel the spatial (and temporal) variations of the instrumental pro le (IP) which can introduce signi cant RV er-



F igure 1. (Top) The Lom b-Scargle (L-S) periodogram of the RV m easurem ents of CrB in the wavelength interval 5000 (6000 A. The L-S power (unitless) is a measure of statistical signi cance. (Bottom) The discrete Fourier transform (am plitude spectrum) of the same RV measurem ents. In this case am plitude is in units of m s⁻¹

rors. In each chunk the RV shift was calculated along with the IP using the IP reconstruction procedure outlined in Valentiet al. (1996). The RV m easurements from all chunks were then combined weighted by the inverse square of the RV standard deviation for each chunk. RV m easurements of an individual chunk that diered by more than 4 from the m ean were rejected in the calculation of the mean.

The RV measurements had a long-term trend of unknown origin. This could be due to rotational modulation caused by the abundance spots known to occur on Ap stars, long-period oscillations, or a stellar or sub-stellar companion. O bservations with a longer time base are needed to answer this. Since we are primarily interested in searching for pulsational variations on time scales of roAp stars (5-15 m in), a second order polynomial was tted to the longterm variations and subtracted. Variations in the sky transparency during the course of the observations resulted in some spectra having signi cantly lower signal-to-noise ratio (S=N) than the mean (S=N 150). O bservations having S=N < 100 (freen data points) were eliminated from the time series analysis.

Figure 1 shows both the Lomb-Scargle (L-S) periodogram (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982) and the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of the residual RV time series for CrB. These show signi cant power at 1028.17 Hz (P = 16.21m in). When trying to detect a periodic signal in time series data and assessing its statistical signi cance the Lomb-Scargle (L-S) periodogram is a more appropriate analysis tool over the DFT. In this case the L-S power is not a measure of the am plitude of the signal, but instead is related to the statistical signi cance of a peak. M any researchers in the eld of oscillating stars are m ore accustom ed to dealing with DFT. In this instance the power is directly related to the true am plitude of the periodic signal in the data and can also be used to assess the noise level of the data. The DFT, how ever, has limited use in quantifying the signi cance of a signal. W e thus show both the L-S periodogram and DFT (bow er panel of Figure 1).

The L-S periodogram of the CrB data has powerm ore than 15 which is the rst hint that we are dealing with a statistically signi cant signal. Using the Eq. 14 of Scargle (1982) this power results in a false alarm probability (FAP), i.e. the chance that noise is creating the signal, of 2 10 5 .

The power in a L-S periodogram only gives a rough estimate of the false alarm probability of a signal. A more accurate determ ination is to use a bootstrap random ization technique (e.g. Kurster et al. 1997). This technique random ly shu es the RV m easurements keeping the times xed. A Lomb-Scargle periodogram is calculated for each \random " data set and these data re-shu ed. A fter a large number of these shu es, the fraction of the periodogram s having maximum power greater than the observed data periodogram over the frequency interval of interest (in this case = 200{5000 Hz}) represents the false alarm probability (FAP), or the chance that random noise can produce the observed power in the periodogram. U sing 2 10^5 shu es we establish a FAP = 10^{-5} for the peak in Figure 1.

Figure 2 shows the residual RV m easurements after removal of the long-term trend phased to the 16.2 m in period. The top panel is the raw data while the bottom panel represents phase-binned (0.05) values. The amplitude of these RV variations is $3.54 \quad 0.56 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (K -amplitude).

3.2 Narrow-band M easurem ents

As rst shown by Kanaan & Hatzes (1998) the RV am plitude of individual spectral lines in roAp stars can dier by factors of 10 { 100. Later studies established that elements of N d and Pr in som e roAp stars had the highest pulsational RV am plitudes (Savanov et al. 1999, Kochukhov & Ryabchikova 2001; Kurtz et al. 2003). To search for spectral lines that that m ight have high am plitude RV variations the individual wavelength chunks (each chunk typically contained at most 1{2 spectral lines) prior to combining these in a mean velocity were examined. Because high RV amplitude lines can also be found in the reddest orders (excluded from the integrated RV analysis) these were also used to search for RV variations). A periodogram analysis was performed on the RVs from the individual wavelength chunks. If a periodogram showed signi cant power (Lomb-Scargle power > 7) a statistical assessment was made using the bootstrap random ization technique (50,000{200,000 shu es).

Only one chunk (6271.7 {6273.3A) had highly signi cant variations with the same period as found in the integrated RV data. The spectral region for this chunk is shown in Figure 3. The RV amplitude (K) for this chunk, 138 23 m s⁻¹, was signi cantly higher than the value for the integrated RV measurem ents. Figure 4 shows both the Lom b-Scargle periodogram and DFT of the RV s from this wavelength chunk. U sing 200,000 shu es we established FAP 1.5 10⁻⁵ for this peak. The pulsational phase for this



F igure 2. (Top) The residual RV variations phased to a period of 16.2 m in. (Bottom) The phased-binned averages (0.05) for data shown in the top panel. In both panels data points are repeated for the second cycle.



F igure 3. Spectral region of the wavelength chunk (6271.7 { 6273.3A) showing high am plitude RV variations.



Figure 4. The Lomb-Scargle periodogram (top) and DFT (bottom) of the RV variations for the spectral region ($6271.7\ \{ 6273.3\,A\,)$

chunk is identical to within the errors of the pulsation phase calculated using the integrated RV measurem ents.

W e tentatively identify the spectral features in the high am plitude chunk as being a CeII 6272.026 A line that is blended in the blue wing by a weaker CrII 6271.870 A feature.

4 DISCUSSION

O urprecise RV m easurements for C rB establish that this star is with high probability a rapidly oscillating Ap star with an amplitude of $3.54 ext{ 0.56 m s}^{1}$ and a period of $162 ext{ 0.7 m in}$. A lthough additional observations are needed to con rm our result, we believe that the signal we have detected is real for a num ber of reasons:

W e derive a very low false alarm probability.

The probability that this is a false signal due to noise is 10 5 as determ ined through the bootstrap random ization procedure. This is a more rigorous way of determ ining the FAP than strictly using the Lom b-Scargle power. This result represents the com bined m ean velocity of all spectral lines spanning the wavelength interval 5000{6000 A. Any system - atic or instrum entalerror would have to a ect allwavelength chunks used in the analysis in the sam e way which seem s unlikely.

The variations are not due to a few outliers.

We think it is unlikely that a few outliers are causing our signal. (We de ne an outlier as deviating signi cantly from the mean RV value and not from the best t sine wave through the data.) If only a few outliers are driving the power in the periodogram then this would be evident in a high false alarm probability.

For a real periodic signal in the presence of noise, them ore data one accumulates the more signi cant the detection becomes, even with the presence of a few occasional outliers. Thus the power in a Lomb-Scargle periodogram should increase with increasing number of data points. This is dem onstrated in Figure 5 which shows the Lomb-Scargle power at

= 1028.17 Hz (circles) as a function of the num berofdata points used in the periodogram. For comparison we generated a synthetic signal consisting of a sine wave with the same period and am plitude as found in our data and sam – pled in the same m anner as the real data. R andom noise was added with the same m s scatter as our m easurements. The crosses in Figure 5 show that the L-S power of the fake data (with a signal present) increases with increasing num ber of data points in the same way.

An iodine absorption cell was used to perform our RV m easurem ents because it is designed to elim inate, or at least m inim ize instrum ental e ects. However, one could argue that there m ight be residual instrum ental shifts not corrected by the reduction process, or that our instrum ental pro lem odelling som ehow introduces a false signal into the data. W e do not believe this is the case.

W e investigated whether periodic instrum ental shifts were present in our data using the wavelength solution calculated from the iodine absorption lines. A Fourier analysis of these shifts showed the strongest period at 176 m inutes, and sm aller variations with periods of 20 and 24 m inutes. All periods were signi cantly di erent from the one found in our RV data. Furtherm ore, we also perform ed a Fourier analysis of all IP param eters used in our RV determ ination. W e found no variations at the period coinciding with the one found in the RV data. This demonstrates that using the iodine cell technique does an excellent job of excluding instrum ental variations.

The same signal is found in the 6272A feature.

The spectral orders used to determ ine the integrated RV did not include the order covering the 6272 A feature. Thus this spectral line is not contributing to the signal found in our integrated RV m easurem ents. However, a separate analysis of this spectral chunk did nd the same 16.21 m in period and with the same statistical signi cance as with the integrated RV m easurem ents. A lthough this is not an independent con rm ation (we are using the same data set, but di erent parts of the spectrum), this result and the above argum ents argue strongly that the 16.21 m in oscillations in C rB are real.

O ur RV m easurem ents fail to con m the results of K 02 on the Fe I 6165A feature. The wavelength chunk containing this spectral line had an ms scatter of 130 m s⁻¹ (due to the weak iodine absorption lines in this wavelength region). However, we had a factor of 3 m ore m easurem ents than K 02. M onte C arlo simulations indicate that we would have detected an 11.5 m in period with an amplitude of 70 m s⁻¹ and a FAP of 10⁻⁴. An amplitude of 50 m s⁻¹ would have been detected with a FAP = 0.01. Thus we would have detected any variations in the Fe I 6165A line if they were present at the same amplitude as reported by K 02.

We believe that the result of K 02 on C rB is spurious. The FAP is much too high (FAP = 0.016) and our measure-



Figure 5. The Lomb-Scargle power at = 1028.17 Hz as a function of number of data points used in the periodogram using real (circles) and fake data (crosses). The fake data was generated using a sine wave with the same am plitude (3.56 m s^{-1}) and period (= 16.21 m in) as found in our data and sam pled in the same m anner. R andom noise with the same scatter (= 4.5 m s^{-1}) as our data was also added to the fake signal.

m ents have failed to nd the presence of an 11.5 m in period in either the integrated RV m easurem ents, or in the analysis of the narrow wavelength chunks. M ore troubling is that the K 02 period of 11.5 0.5 m in found in C rB is very close to the values of periods (11.7 m in) found in several spectral lines in the roAp star 10 A qlusing the same instrum ent.

The most likely explanation for the RV signal found in CrB by K 02 is that it is due to short term uncorrected instrum entalvariations in the G ecko spectrograph used for the m easurem ents. C incum stantial evidence for this com es from M atthews & Scott (1995) who reported an 11.1 m in period in the RV variations in Equ. This period is di erent from known pulsation m odes and has never been con rm ed by subsequent RV m easurem ents from other investigations. A lthough the authors used a superim posed m ercury em ission line from an arc lamp to elim inate instrum ental shifts, the 11.1 m in period could still be a residual instrum ental e ect due to the stellar light a calibration source having a slightly di erent optical path (not the case when using the iodine absorption cell).

The RV m easurem ents of K 02, on the other hand, were m ade without a simultaneous wavelength calibration (either I_2 absorption cellor simultaneous Th-Ar calibration), rather the wavelength calibration used a Th-Ar exposure taken before and after the time series used for the RV m easurem ents. This cannot correct for any instrum ental variations on time scales signi cantly shorter than the time between the two calibration m easurem ents. Because K 02 have failed to exclude an instrum entalorigin with a period of 11.5 m in for the RV variations in C rB their results on this star (and possibly 10 A ql) should be considered suspect. An investigation of the short-term instrum ental shifts of the G ecko spectrograph would be useful.

Our RV measurements for CrB seem to establish that this star is an roAp star with the lowest RV amplitude (3.5 m s¹) and one of the longest periods (162 m in). CrB is also unique am ong roAp stars in that it shows high am plitude pulsational in only one spectral feature, the 6271.9A. This showed signi cant variations (FAP = $1.5 \ 10^{-5}$) with the same 16.2 m in period and an amplitude of 138 23 m s¹.W e tentatively identify this feature as a blend of C e II and CrII lines. W hy CrB has such a low RV am plitude, alm ost no high am plitude spectral lines, and a longer period mode compared to other roAp stars with similar spectral properties should provide clues as to the origin of the roAp phenom enon.W e are currently planning observations of this star over a full rotation period not only to con m our detection, but to search for rotationally m odulated am plitude variations of the pulsations.

5 ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

APH acknow ledges the support of grant 500 W 0204 from the D eutsches Zentrum fur Luft- und R aum fahrt e.V. (D LR). D EM acknow ledges his work as part of research activity of the A strophysical R esearch C enter for the Structure and E volution of the C osm os (ARCSEC) which is supported by the K orean Science and Engineering Foundation.W e thank W illiam C ochran for useful discussions and for his assistance in developing the radial velocity reduction software and Antonio K anaan for his help in acquiring the data.

REFERENCES

- Heller, C.H., & Kramer, K.S. 1988, PASP, 238, 261.
- Kanaan, A., Hatzes, A.P. 1998, ApJ, 503, 848
- K reidl, T .J. 1991, M N R A S 248, 701
- Kochukhov, O., Ryabchikova, T. 2001, A & A, 374, 615.
- K ochukhov, O ., Landstreet, J.D ., R yabchikova, T ., W eiss, W .W . & K upka, F . 2002, M N R A S, 337, L1 (K 02)
- Kurster, M., Schmitt, J.H.M.M., Cutispoto, G. & Dennerl, K. 1997 A&A 320, 831
- Kurtz, D.W .1989, MNRAS 238, 261
- Kurtz, D.W., Elkin, V.G., & Mathys, G. 2003, 343, L5.
- Lom b N .R. 1976, Ap& SS, 39, 477
- M atthews J.M. Scott, S. 1995, in A strophysical Applications of Stellar O scillations, eds.R.S.Stobie and P.A.W hitelock, ASP C onf. Series, 83, p347
- M krtichian, D E ., H atzes A P ., K anaan A ., 2003, M N R A S, 345, 781
- Savanov, I.S., M alanushenko, V P., & Ryabchikova, T A. 1999, A stronom y Letters, 25, 902.
- Scargle, J.D. 1982, ApJ, 263, 835
- Tull, R G., M acQueen, P J., Sneden, C. & Lambert, D L. 1995, PASP, 107, 251.
- Valenti, J.A., Butler, R.P., & Marcy, G.W. 1995, PASP, 107, 966