X { ray polarization properties of the accretion column in magnetic CVs

G iorgio M att

D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita degli Studi Rom a Tre, via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146 Rom a, Italy

Received ; accepted

Abstract. The accretion column in magnetic C ataclysm ic Variables may have a not negligible Thom son optical depth. A fraction of the therm al radiation from the post{shock region may therefore be scattered { and then polarized { before escaping the column.M oreover, part of the therm al radiation is relected { and again polarized { by the W hite D warf surface. W e show that X {ray polarimetry can provide valuable, and probably unique inform ations on the geometry and physical parameters of the accretion column by calculating, by means of M onte C arlo simulations, the expected polarization properties of magnetic CV s as a function of the geometrical parameters (assuming a cylindrical geometry) and the Thom son optical depth of the column.W e indicate the optimal magnetic CV, AM Herculis.

Keywords.Polarization { X -rays: binaries { X -rays: individuals: A M Herculis

1. Introduction

The magnetic eld in some subclasses of Cataclysmic Variables (notably in Polars and in at least a fraction of intermediate Polars; see W amer 1995 for a complete overview on CVs) is strong enough to channel the accreting matter along the eld lines. For a dipolar eld, this means that the accretion occurs via accreting columns on one or both the magnetic poles; the disalignement between the spin and magnetic axes results in pulsed emission.

H and X {rays are then produced by optically thin therm al line and continuum emission in the so{called post{ shock region, where temperatures can reach values as large as several tens of keV (e.g. Frank et al. 1992; C ropper et al. 1999). Therm alemission is expected to be unpolarized (see next section); how ever, the T hom son optical depth in the accretion colum n, while probably less than unity, m ay be not negligible. Indeed, H ellier et al. (1998) found significant broadening in the iron K line of several magnetic C V s, which they interpreted as due to C om pton broadening. T hom son scattered radiation is polarized, provided that the geom etry is not spherical. It is therefore to be expected that the hard X {ray emission in magnetic C V s is polarized, with the net polarization degree increasing with the T hom son optical depth of the accretion colum n.

In this paper we calculate, by means of M onte C arb simulations, the polarization properties of the accretion column in magnetic CVs. In Sec. 2 the numerical code is described, while the results (including an application to the archetypalPolar, AM Herculis) are presented in Sec. 3. Results are then summarized, and observational perspectives discussed, in Sec. 4.

2.Calculations

The M onte C arlo technique adopted in our code is described in M att (1993) and M att et al. (1989; 1996). A full C ompton scattering m atrix is adopted (M dM aster 1961). However, in the energy range under consideration (< 20 keV), the scattering m atrix basically reduces to the Rayleigh one.W e assumed that prim ary photons (i.e. photons before scattering) are unpolarized. B rem sstrahlung photons have a polarization, i.e. electric, vector perpendicular to the interaction plane, and the random velocity eld ensures that the radiation has a null net polarization. In practice, in the code each photon is assigned a random polarization vector. A fler em itted, the photon path is followed until it leaves the accretion colum n. W e assum ed total ionization of the m atter, i.e. no photoelectric absorption.

We assumed, for simplicity, a cylindrical geometry, constant density (and therefore emissivity) and zero tem perature of the electrons. W hile the rst assumption, as any geometrical assumption, is critical for polarization calculations, the second assumption is not very relevant,



Fig.1. The degree of polarization, P, as a function of $= \cos$ for four values of $H = r_c$. In each panel, ve values of T are shown: 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 1 (in increasing order of the absolute value of P).

the important parameter being the total scattering optical depth. The third assumption is apparently very strong { typical maximum temperatures of magnetic CVs are 10-20 keV for polars, and up to 30-40 keV for Intermediate Polars. However, especially for polars and for unpolarized primary emission, the zero temperature approximation is still reasonably good as far as polarization properties (see e.g. Poutanen & Vilhu 1993) and intensity distributions are concerned.

W e calculated the polarization properties as a function of two parameters: the radial T hom son optical depth ($_{\rm T}$), and the H =r_C ratio, where r_C is the radius and H the height of the cylinder. Sim ple modeling of the accre-

tion colum n gives, for these two param eters, the following equations (e.g. Frank et al. 1993):

$$H = r_{C} / 4.5 M_{-16}^{-1} f_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} M_{wd;1}^{\frac{3}{2}} R_{wd;9}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(1)

$$_{\rm T}$$
 ' 0:08M-16f $_{2}^{1}$ M $_{\rm w \, d;1}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ R $_{\rm w \, d;9}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (2)

where M_{-16} is the accretion rate in units of 10^{16} g s¹, f₂ is the fractional area of the column on the W hite D warf surface in units of 10^{2} (f = $r_c^2/4R_{wd}^2$), M_{wd;1} the mass of the W hite D warf in units of the solar mass, and $R_{wd;2}$ the radius of the W hite D warf in units of 10^{9} cm. It is worth noticing that T increases with the accretion rate, and therefore we expect a larger polarization degree when the sources are in their high states. It must also be



Fig.2. The same as in the previous gure, for other four values of $H=\!r_{\!\rm C}$.

recalled that eq.1 is valid for brem sstrahlung cooling only. If cyclotron cooling is not negligible, eq.1 gives only an upper lim it to $H = r_c$ (Frank et al. 1993)..

To decide the range of param eters to be explored, we assumed $R_{wd;9}=1$ and $M_{wd;1}=1$, and took the results on the accretion rate and column radius given in C ropper et al. (1998). These param eters were obtained by tting G INGA spectra with a more complex model, and therefore our procedure is not fully self(consistent, but certainly good enough for an order{of(m agnitude estimate.

 $_{\rm T}$ results to be typically in the range 0.05–1. This is therefore the range we will explore (in any case, for $_{\rm T}$ $^<$ 0.05 the polarization degree is negligible).

 $H = r_c$ is typically in the range 1{10 (apart for sources in low states, when it can became much larger but the optical depth is very low). Given the fact that $H = r_c$ is an

upper lim it, we extend the explored range down to 0.1. It must be noted that for $H = r_c$ larger than 10 and sm aller than 0.1, the polarization properties will not change much any further because the geom etry 'saturates', apart from very sm all (in the form er case) or large (in the latter case) inclination angles when border e ects are still present.

F inally, it is in portant to note that the two param eters are not independent of each other, but $H = r_c$ increases with f and decreases with M-, while $_T$ has the opposite behaviour.W e therefore expect large radial optical depths for attened geom etrical con gurations. For the sake of com pleteness, how ever, we will explore the full param eter space.

1 billion input photons are used for each simulation. The emerging photons are stored in angular bins, with = 0.1 ($= \cos$, where is the inclination angle mea-



Fig.3. The ux (in arbitrary units) as a function of $= \cos$ for four values of $H = r_c$. In each panel, ve values of T are show n: 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 1 (in increasing order of anisotropy).

sured from the cylinder axis, i.e. the angle bewteen the magnetic axis and the line(of(sight).

3.Results

The hard (i.e. above 1 keV) X {ray em ission of m agnetic CVs consists not only of plasma (brem sstrahlung) em ission but also of a re ection component, arising from the illum ination of the W D surface (e.g. van Teeseling et al 1994; Beardm ore et al 1995; M att 1999 and references therein).

Let us rst present the polarization properties of the accretion colum n alone, and afterwards we will discuss the contribution of the re ection component.

3.1. Em ission from the accretion column

As long as the scattering m atrix does not di er too m uch from the Rayleigh one, the polarization properties of the X {ray radiation from the accretion column are energy{ independent. For obvious sym m etry reasons, the polarization is expected to be either parallel or perpendicular to the projection of the cylinder axis onto the plane of the sky. In the form er case, as custom ary when dealing with axisym m etric geom etries, we will conventionally assum e that the polarization degree, P, is negative, while positive of course in the latter case.

In Figs.1 and 2, the polarization degree as a function of for di erent values of $_{\rm T}$ and H =r_c, is shown. For H =r_c $^<$ 1, the polarization is negative, as expected for a disc{like geometry and $_{\rm T}$ less than a few (e.g. Sunyaev



Fig.4. The same as in the previous gure, for four other values of ${\rm H}=r_{\rm C}$.

& T itarchuk 1985). Of course, P increases (in absolute value) with $_{\rm T}$, as more and more photons are scattered (and therefore polarized) before escaping. P at $\,$ rst decreases with increasing H =r_c, becom ing very small when this parameter is equal to 1, not suprisingly as in this case the geometry is rather symmetric. Further increasing H =r_c, the polarization degree (now positive) starts to increase again.

In Figs.3 and 4, the ux (in arbitrary units) as a function of is instead shown. The ux anisotropy of course increases with increasing $_{\rm C}$.

It is worth recalling that the X {ray polarization is expected to be phase{dependent, because of the dependence on the spin phase of , which is basically the angle between the line of sight and the m agnetic dipole axis. It must be also remarked that can become larger than 90, in which

case the accretion column is partly occulted by the W D surface. The degree of polarization in this case depends of course on the level of occultation, but it is expected to be larger than in absence of occultation, because the geom etry becomes less symmetric.

3.2. The relection component

The rejection component is polarized parallel to the projected cylinder axis (M att et. 1989; M att 1993), i.e. it is negative. The degree of polarization as a function of is shown in Fig. 5, again obtained by m eans of M onte C arlo simulations (M att et al. 1989; photons are integrated over





F ig.5. The polarization degree of the relected component, as a function of $% \mathcal{A}$.

the 1-20 keV energy range). The net polarization is then given by:

$$P(E;) = \frac{P_{pr}() + r(E;)P_{ref}(E;)}{1 + r(E;)}$$
(3)

where P_{pr} and P_{ref} are the polarization degrees of the prim ary and re ected emission, respectively (taken with their signs), and r(E;) is the ratio between the prim ary and re ected uxes (see e.g.M att 1999).

3.3. The expected X { ray polarization of AM Herculis

As an application of our calculations, let us discuss the expected phase{dependent X {ray polarization of the archetypalpolar, AM Herculis. A sum ing the system param eters given by C ropper (1988), i.e. = 61 and i= 30 (where is the angle between the m agnetic and spin axes, and i the angle between the spin axis and the line{of{ sight}, never exceeds signi cantly 90, so our calculations can be safely applied to the whole spin period.

The intensity and degree of polarization of the accretion column on the main magnetic pole, without the release tion column on the main magnetic pole, without the release tion component (and therefore valid for energies less than a few keV), as a function of the spin phase, , are shown in F igs. 6 and 7. In Fig. 6, H =r_c = 10 and $_{\rm T}$ = 0.05 have been assumed, according to the value derived from the C ropper et al. (1998) estimates from ASCA data. How ever, during the ASCA observation the source was in an intermediate X (ray state; larger optical depths, and low er H =r_c, are expected at higher lum inosities. M oreover, it must be recalled again that the H =r_c values estimated from eq.1 are

F ig.6. The intensity (upper panel) and degree of polarization (lowerpanel) expected for AM H erculis, calculated without the rejection component, as a function of the spin phase. H =r_c = 10 and $_{T} = 0.05$.



F ig.7. The intensity (upper panel) and degree of polarization (low erpanel) expected for AM H erculis, calculated without the rejection component, as a function of the spin phase. H =r_c = 0.5 and $_{\rm T}$ = 0.5.

upper lim it, as cyclotron cooling is not taken into account. We therefore calculated the phase{dependent polarization properties also for the (m ore favourable) case of H = $r_c = 0.5$ and T = 0.5 (Fig. 7).

In the rst case (Fig. 6), the polarization degree is low (less than 1%) because of the low optical depth. The ux is basically phase{independent, di erently from what found in interm ediate states by BeppoSAX and ASCA (Ishida et al. 1997, M att et al. 2000). Unless the real optical depth is larger than derived from eq. 2, this m eans that either the assum ed geom etrical and physical param eters of the accreting colum n are oversim pli ed (it m ust be recalled that we have assum ed a single tem perature and density along the colum n), or that there is substantial phase{dependent absorption. Studying deviations of the m easured polarization from the expected one m ay help distinguishing between the di erent hypotheses.

The phase{dependent ux shown in Fig. 7 is instead roughly sim ilar to that observed by BeppoSAX during a high state of the source (M att et al. 2000); the observed am plitude of the m odulation is how ever larger then predicted, again suggesting a more com plex gem etrical and/or physical situation than assumed in our calculations. The polarization degree is expected to be as large as 1.5%, increasing when the ux decreases.

The phase{dependent degree of polarization, including the rejection component as estimated by M att et al. (2000) during a high state of the source, is shown in Fig.8 for two energy bins (5{10 keV and $10{15 \text{ keV}}$; R_C =H = 10 and T = 0.05 (upper panel) and R_C =H = 0.5 and T = 0.5 (bw er panel).

4.Summary

W e have calculated the polarization properties of the accretion column in magnetic CVs. Polarization arises because a fraction of the therm al radiation can be Thom son scattered before escaping the column. The polarization degree can be as high as 4%, and depends on the angle between the magnetic eld and the line{of{sight (which of course varies with the spin phase), which is often well known (e.g. Cropper 1988). It depends also on the Thom son optical depth, $_{\rm T}$, and on the ratio between the radius and height of the accretion colum n. If one of these two parameters can be independently estimated (e.g. T from the iron line broadening, e.g. Hellier et al. 1998), than the other can in principle be deduced by polarization m easurem ents. In particular, as the polarization degree is negative (positive) for $H = r_{C}$ less (greater) than one, while the polarization of the re ection component (whose importance increases with energy) is always negative, an increase (decrease) of the polarization degree with energy is expected in the form er (latter) case.

In this paper we have discussed the continuum em ission. However, iron lines provide a signi cant fraction of the total X {ray ux.Recombination lines em itted in the accretion column m ay su er not only C om pton scattering but also resonant scattering, so the e ective optical



F ig.8. The degree of polarization, including the re ection component, expected for AM H erculis as a function of the spin phase, for two energy bins (5{10 keV, lled circles, and 10{15 keV, crosses}.Upper panel: $H = r_c = 10$ and $_T = 0.05$; lower panel: $H = r_c = 0.5$ and $_T = 0.5$.

depth in the line is larger than in the continuum. Line photons are therefore likely to be more polarized than continuum photons. On the contrary, the uorescent neutral line em itted by the W hite D warf surface is unpolarized, at least in the line core.

D egrees of polarization of the order of one percent are within the detection capabilities of the new generation X { ray polarim eters based on the photoelectric e ect (C osta et al. 2001) when coupled with large enough X {ray telescopes (C osta et al. 2003), at least for the brightest m agnetic CVs in high state (when their ux can be as high as several m illicrabs; for instance the 2{10 keV ux of AM H erculis in high state is about 10¹⁰ erg cm² s¹, M att et al. 2000). M oreover, the spectral resolution should be good enough to search for di erent polarization degrees in the em ission iron lines. B right m agnetic CVs should therefore be added to the traditional lists of targets for future polarim etric m issions.

A cknow ledgem ents

I thank D om itilla de M artino and K o ji M ukai for very useful com m ents and suggestions. F inancial support from A SI is acknow ledged.

References

- Beardmore, A P., Done, C., Osborne, J.P. & Ishida, M. 1995, MNRAS, 272, 749
- Costa, E., So tta, P., Bellazzini, R., et al., 2001, Nature, 411, 662
- Costa, E., So tta, P., DiPersio, G., et al., 2003, MPE Report 281, p.235
- Cropper, M . 1988, M N R A S 231, 597
- Cropper, M., Ram say, G. & Wu, K. 1998, MNRAS, 293, 222
- Cropper, M., Wu, K., Ram say, G. & Kocabiyik, A. 1999, MNRAS, 306, 684
- Frank, J., King, A. & Raine, D. 1992, Accretion Power in Astrophysics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Hellier, C., Mukai, K., & Osborbe, J.P. 1998, MNRAS, 297, 526
- Ishida, M., Matsuzaki, K., Fujimoto, R., Mukai, K. & Osborne, JP. 1997, MNRAS, 287, 651
- M dM aster, W H.1961, Rev.M od.Phys., 33, 8
- M att, G., Costa, E., Perola, G.C. & Piro, L. 1989, in Proc. of the 23rd ESLAB Symposium on two topics in X {ray A stronom y, edited by J. Hunt and B. Battrick, ESA SP { 296, p. 991
- M att, G .1993, M NRAS, 260, 663
- M att, G , Feroci, M , Rapisarda, M , & Costa, E . 1996, Rad. Phys.Chem , 48/4, 403
- M att, G. 1999, Annapolis W orkshop on M agnetic Cataclysm ic Variables, A SP Conference Series, Volum e 157, edited by C. Hellier and K. M ukai, p. 299
- M att, G ., de M artino, D ., G ansicke, B .T ., et al. 2000, A & A , 358, 177
- Poutanen, J. & Vilhu, O. 1993, A & A, 275, 337
- Sunyaev, R.A. & Titarchuk L.G. 1985, A & A, 143, 374
- van Teeseling, A., Heise, J., Paerels, F. 1994, A&A 281, 119
- W amer, B. 1995, Cataclysmic Variable Stars, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge