Young M assive Star C lusters in Norm al G alaxies

S.S.Larsen

ESO / ST-ECF, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, D-85748 Garching bei Munchen, Germany

A bstract. Young star clusters with m asses similar to those of classical old globular clusters are observed not only in starbursts, m ergers or otherwise disturbed galaxies, but also in norm al spiral galaxies. Some young clusters with m asses as high as 10^6 M have been found in the disks of isolated spirals. D ynam icalm assestim ates are available for a few of these clusters and are consistent with K roupa-type IM Fs. The lum inosity (and possibly m ass-) functions of young clusters are usually well approxim ated by power-laws. Thus, m assive clusters at the tailofthe distribution are naturally rare, but appear to be present w henever clusters form in large numbers. W hile bound star clusters may generally form with a higher e ciency in environments of high star form ation rate, m any of the apparent di erences between clusters in starbursts and \norm al" galaxies m ight be simply due to sam pling e ects.

It is a hum an habit to characterise those things with which we are most fam iliar as norm al. A lthough large spiral galaxies are not the most com m on type of galaxy in the Universe, we happen to live within one and many astronomers would probably tend to characterise the Milky W ay as a fairly norm algalaxy. Thus, at least for the purpose of this paper, \norm al" galaxies mostly refer to non-interacting star form ing disk galaxies. Our location within the Milky W ay gives us a unique perspective from which we can study many of its properties in great detail, and it naturally provides a benchm ark for com parison with other galaxies. Nevertheless, we should question whether it is justiled to apply results obtained from studies of our own G alaxy to other galaxies which may appear super cially similar to it. In the context of this workshop, it is of particular relevance to ask how similar the cluster system in the Milky W ay is to those in other galaxies. An increasing am ount of observational evidence is pointing to the conclusion that many spirals host \young massive clusters" (YMCs) or \super star clusters" sim ilar to those observed in large numbers in starburst galaxies. The de nition of a YMC is rather vague and varies from one author to another, but the term generally seems to refer to young clusters that are more m assive than the most massive open clusters in the Milky W ay. However, giving a meaningful de nition of a massive cluster may eventually be as di cult as distinguishing galaxies that are norm al from those that are not.

1. A bit of background

It may be worth recalling some of the main properties of the M ilky W ay open cluster system. The census of open clusters is still highly incomplete beyond distances of a few kpc from the Sun, although the situation is in proving with new 2

surveys such as 2M ASS (see e.g. the contributions by C arpenter and H anson in this volume). The lum inosity function of Miky W ay open clusters was analyzed by van den Bergh & Lafontaine (1984), who found it to be wellm odelled by a power-law N (L)dL / L ^{1.5}dL over the range $8 < M_V < 3$. How ever, they also noted that extrapolation of this lum inosity function would predict about 100 clusters as bright as $M_V = 11$ in the G alaxy, clearly at odds with observations, and thus suggested som e attening of the LF slope at higher lum inosities. The brightest known young clusters (e.g. NGC 3603, h and Per) have absolute V m agnitudes of M $_{\rm V}$ 10, corresponding to total m asses of several thousand M . Recently, there have been claims that the Cyg OB2 association m ight be an even more massive cluster (K nodlæder 2000), but this object is probably too di use to be a bound star cluster (though it does have a compact core). There are, however, a number of old (> 1 Gyr) open clusters in the Milky Way with masses of 10^4 M (Friel 1995). These objects are likely to have lost a signi cant fraction of their total m ass over their lifetim es, and m ay thus originally have been even more massive. They serve to illustrate that, even in the M ilky W ay, the distinction between globular and open clusters is not always clear-cut.

It has been recognized for about a century that the Magellanic C buds, and the LM C in particular, host a number of \blue qbbular clusters" (Shapley 1930). Among the most massive of these is NGC 1866, with a mass of around 10⁵ М and an age of 100 M yr (Fischer et al. 1992; van den Bergh 1999). An older example is NGC 1978 with similar mass but an age of 2{3 Gyr, clearly demonstrating that at least some such clusters can survive for several Gyrs. The interaction between the LMC and the Milky W ay has probably affected the star formation history of the LMC, which is known to be bursty with major peaks in the star formation rate correlating with perigalactic passages (Sm ecker-H ane et al. 2002). O nem ight argue, then, that the form ation of YMCs in the LMC could be induced by interaction with the Milky Way. However, the LMC is not the only example even in the Local Group of a galaxy that hosts YMCs. Another well-known example is M 33, which does not display evidence for a bursty cluster (and, presum ably star-) form ation history (Christian & Schommer 1982, 1988). Chandaret al. (1999, 2001) have identi ed many more star clusters in this galaxy, though not all are particularly m assive.

W ith the launch of HST it became possible to investigate more crowded and/or distant systems in detail and attention started to shift towards more extrem e starbursts, including a large number of merger galaxies (e.g. W hitm ore, this volume). It is now clear that lum inous, young star clusters often form in very large numbers in such galaxies, and this has led to suggestions that form ation of $\mbox{massive}$ " star clusters might require special conditions such as large-scale cloud-cloud collisitions (Jog & Solom on 1992). How ever, the question remains to be answered why some non-interacting galaxies also contain YMCs, whereas apparently the M ilky W ay does not. YMCs are now being found in an increasing number of non-interacting galaxies, posing a severe challenge for form ation scenarios which require special conditions.

2. Observations of N earby Spirals

During the 1980s, some studies had already identied YMCs in a few galaxies beyond the Local Group (Kennicutt & Chu 1988). We undertook a system atic, ground-based study of 21 nearby spirals, aim ing at identifying cluster system s and further investigating which factors m ight lead to the form ation of YMCs (Larsen & Richtler 1999). Generally lacking su cient resolution to identify clusters as spatially resolved ob jects, our candidate lists were com piled based on UBV photom etry, selecting compact objects with B V < 0.45 and M_V brighter than 9.5 (for U B < 0.4) or 8.5 (for U B > 0.4). We also required that the objects had no H emission. The B V limit excluded most foreground stars, while the M $_{\rm V}$ lim it was designed to m in in ise the risk that individual, lum inous stars in the galaxies would contam inate the sam ple. As the m ass-to-light ratios of star clusters are highly age dependent, the m agnitude cut does not translate to a well-de ned m ass lim it, but m ost clusters selected in this way have masses > 10^4 M \cdot 0 ur survey would probably pick up a few clusters in the M ilky W ay. In the LMC, 8 clusters in the B ica et al. (1996) catalogue pass our selection criteria.

We found a surprising variety in the numbers of YMCs in the galaxies. Some galaxies, such as NGC 45, NGC 300 and NGC 3184 contained hardly any clusters passing our selection criteria, but in others we found more than a hundred. The two most cluster-rich galaxies were NGC 5236 (M 83) and NGC 6946, both of which are also known for their very high supernova rates and surface brightnesses, indicative of very active star form ation. Following Harris (1991), we de ned the speci c lum inosity of young star clusters as

$$T_{\rm L} (U) = 100 \quad \frac{L_{\rm clusters}}{L_{\rm galaxy}}$$
(1)

where $L_{clusters}$ and L_{galaxy} are the total U-band lum inosities of clusters and their host galaxy. The T_L (U) turned out to correlate strongly with the host galaxy area-nom alised star formation rate (Larsen & Richtler 2000), as if bound star clusters form more e ciently in higher-SFR environments. Here, it is important to note that our sample excludes the very youngest clusters, which are often located in crowded regions in spiral arms where they are di cult to identify with ground-based imaging. Therefore, it is probably better to think of T_L (U) as a survival-rather than a formation e ciency. In fact, most stars probably form in clusters, both in normal galaxies such as the M ilky W ay (Lada & Lada 2003, C arpenter this volum e) and in the mergers like the Antennae (Fall, this volum e). The fraction of those clusters which remain bound may vary, however.

W hile T_L (U) may be a useful measure of the overall richness of a cluster system, it does not provide any information about possible variations in the cluster mass distributions, and in particular, whether some galaxies form a higher proportion of massive clusters than others. This question still remains largely unanswered, because mass distributions are dicult to derive observationally. In order to convert observed cluster lum inosities to masses, the M/L ratios need to be know n. These, in turn, depend strongly on the cluster ages, which cannot be reliably estimated without photom etry in ultraviolet passbands. This is mostly a consequence of the fact that the colours of young clusters are dom inated by hot

Larsen



Figure 1. E ective radius versus mass for clusters in a sample of spiral galaxies. The solid line is a least-squares t to the data points while the dashed line illustrates a constant-density relation (R_e / M¹⁼³).

stars, where the Rayleigh-Jeans approximation applies for passbands centered at optical wavelengths. A \poorman's" solution is to look at lum inosity-rather than mass functions, bearing in mind the rst are not necessarily identical to the latter.

In Larsen (2002), archive HST data were used to analyse the luminosity functions (LFs) of star clusters in 6 nearby spirals which had previously been studied from the ground. The LFs were generally found to be consistent with power-laws with slopes between 2 and 2:4, som ewhat steeper than the value found for M iky W ay open clusters by van den Bergh & Lafontaine (1984), though not extending nearly as deep. For young clusters in M 66, D olphin & K ennicutt (2002) found a LF slope of 2:53. If the lum inosity function has a universal power-law form which is populated at random, one would predict a strong correlation between the total number of clusters in a galaxy and the lum inosity of the brightest cluster. Such a relation is indeed observed and has a slope, norm alisation and scatter similar to those expected from sampling statistics arguments (Billett et al. 2002; Larsen 2002; W hitm ore 2003). However, it should be noted that a few galaxies do stand out, having clusters that are much too bright for the total num ber of clusters in those galaxies. Notable examples are NGC 1569 and NGC 1705, both of which are dom inated by 1{2 highly lum inous clusters. The possibility remains open that these clusters form ed by a special mechanism, but the issue needs to be investigated in m ore detail.

HST archive data for a larger sample (17) of nearby spirals were analysed in Larsen (2004). The main aim here was to study the structural parameters and investigate possible correlations with age, mass or other cluster properties. The

clusters were modelled using EFF' proles of the type shown by Elson et al. (1987) to tLMC clusters:

$$P(r) = {^{h}}_{1} + (r = r_{c})^{2} {^{i}}_{2} = 2$$
(2)

Structural parameters were obtained from ts to W FPC2 in ages and combined with UBVI photometry from ground-based in aging. Fig. 1 shows the cluster half-light radii (R_e) versus m asses estim ated from Bruzual & Charlot SSP models. Only clusters with > 2 are included in this plot, as R_{e} is undened for 2. The majority of clusters have half-light radii of 3{4 pc albeit with a fairly large scatter. Interestingly, this is similar to the elective radii of G alactic and extragalactic old globular clusters. The dashed line shows the relation corresponding to a constant cluster density (R_e / M¹⁼³), while the solid line is a least-squares t to the data. No strong correlation between R $_{\rm e}$ and mass is observed. A formal t to the data yields R $_{\rm e}$ / M $^{0:10}$ $^{0:03}$, sim ilar to the R / $L^{0.07}$ relation found for young clusters in the m erger rem nant NGC 3256 by Zepfet al. (1999). The observation that no strong correlation exists between cluster size and m ass im plies that high-m ass clusters generally have much higher stellar densities than low -m ass clusters, a fact that m ay have in portant in plications for theories for cluster form ation.

3. Dynam icalm ass estim ates

If the cluster ages are known, lum inosities can be converted to masses using simple stellar population models and assuming a stellar initial mass function (MF). An alternative approach is to obtain dynamical mass estimates by measuring the internal velocity dispersions and cluster sizes and applying the virial theorem. The dynamically derived M/L ratios can then be compared with SSP models for di erent IMFs, providing a potentially useful method to constrain the IMF. The line-of-sight velocity dispersion $v_{\rm x}$, mass M $_{\rm vir}$ and projected half-light radius $R_{\rm e}$ are related as

$$M_{vir} = a \frac{v_x^2 R_e}{G}$$
(3)

where a 10. In practice, however, there are many caveats to this method, both theoretical ones (assumption of velocity isotropy, virial equilibrium, e ects of m ass segregation and binaries), and practical ones: For a m ass of $10^5 \,\mathrm{M}$ and $R_e = 3 \text{ pc}$, the line-of-sight velocity dispersion is less than 4 km/s. The red supergiants which provide most of the lines useful for velocity dispersion m easurements have macroturbulent velocities on the order of 10 km/s, with a scatter of perhaps 1{2 km /s (G ray & Toner 1987). Since the velocity dispersions usually have to be derived from integrated light, it is clear that this method is limited to relatively massive objects. Even if spectra of su cient resolution to resolve the line broadening (= > 50:000) and S/N could be obtained, an exact m atch between the tem plate stars used to derive the velocity dispersions and those present in the cluster becom es increasingly critical as the cluster mass decreases. Additionally, the clusters have to be close enough that reasonably reliable size estimates can be obtained, although these are less critical since M $_{\rm vir}$ scales only linearly with R $_{\rm e}$.

4.5

10:2

2:9

	-	-	2							
C luster	D	R _e	M v	Α _B	Log (age)		Vx		M _{vir}	
	Мрс	pc	m ag	m ag	yr		km /s		10 ⁵ M	
N 5236-502	6.0	7:6 0:2	11:6	1.0	8:0	0:1	5:5	0:2	5:2	0:8
N 5236-805	45	2.8 0.2	12.2	1 0	7.1	0.2	8.1	0.2	4.2	0.7

14:2

Table 1. Properties of 3 young stellar clusters in NGC 5236 and NGC 6946

1.3

7:1

0:1

8:7

0:1

18 6





Several groups have obtained dynam ical m ass estim ates for extragalactic young star clusters, som etim esw ith hints of non-standard IM F s (e.g. Sm ith & G allagher (2001); see also M engel, this volum e). In our sam ple of spiral galaxies, we found a few clusters for which dynam ical m ass estim ates appeared feasible. Critical selection criteria were that the clusters be reasonably well isolated, so that the spectroscopic observations would not be contam inated by neighbouring objects, and that they have H ST in aging for reliable size m easurem ents. Objects which satisfy these criteria include one cluster in NGC 6946 which was observed with the H IRES spectrograph on the K eck I telescope (Larsen et al. 2001), and two clusters in NGC 5236 (F ig. 2), observed with UVES on the E SO VLT (Larsen & R ichtler 2004). Structural param eters are available for all clusters from H ST in aging, and ages and reddenings were estim ated by com paring ground-based U B V I colours with B ruzual & Charlot SSP m odels.

Basic properties for the three clusters are sum marised in Table 1. They all have masses greater than $10^5 M$, well in excess of those of the most massive young LMC clusters. Even if our reference frame had been the LMC rather than the M ilky W ay, we would still have characterised these clusters as m as-

N 6946-1447



Figure 3. Comparison of observed mass-to-light ratios for three YMCs in NGC 6946 and NGC 5236 with model predictions for Salpeter and K roupa IMFs

sive". In Fig. 3, the observed V -band M /L ratios are compared with SSP m odel predictions for various IM Fs. These SSPs were computed by populating stellar isochrones from the Padua group (Girardiet al. 2000) according to the IMFs indicated in the gure legend, i.e. a K roupa (2002) IM F and Salpeter (1955)-type MFswith lower mass cut-o sat 0.01 M, 0.1 M and 1.0 M. The Kroupa ${\rm I\!M}\,{\rm F}$ nom inally extends down to 0.01 M $\,$, but the lower cut-o $\,$ is of little in – portance as the slope below 0.08 M is very shallow . W ithin the error bars, the three clusters all appear consistent with a \standard" K roupa-like IM F. In particular, there is no evidence for an excess of high-m ass stars in any of these clusters. We checked the curves in Fig. 3 against the Bruzual & Charlot models, which are available for Salpeter IM F truncated at 0.1 M and found very similar results, with di erences at the level of 0.1 m ag at most. It should be emphasized that this method does not constrain the exact shape of the IMF. Power-law IMFs with a shallow slope, for example, would m in ick the e ect of a Salpeter IM F with a high-mass cut-o.

4. Concluding rem arks

It is becoming increasingly clear that \m assive" star clusters can form in a wide variety of galaxies, and not just in m ergers or otherwise disturbed galaxies. With the possible exception of some dwarf galaxies, the lum inosity distributions of young star clusters generally appear to be power-laws. If cluster lum inosities are sampled at random from a power-law distribution, the most lum inous clusters will naturally be rare, but so far there is no evidence for a statistically signi cant upper cut-o. In other words, very lum inous (and m assive) clusters appear to form whenever clusters form in large numbers. This is illustrated by the fact

8 Larsen

that young star clusters with masses up to 10^6 M have been identi ed in the disks of several apparently norm al, isolated spiral galaxies with rich cluster system s. These galaxies, such as NGC 5236, NGC 6946 are characterised by high star form ation rates, but these do not generally appear to be triggered by interactions with other galaxies. D ynam ical mass estimates are now available for a sm all num ber of these clusters, and the mass-to-light ratios are compatible with standard K roupa-type IMFs. There is every reason to be optimistic that in portant clues to the form ation of classical globular clusters may be obtained by studying their younger counterparts in the Local U niverse.

A cknow ledgm ents. I am grateful to my colleagues and collaborators who have contributed valuable help and insight, especially T.R ichtler and J.B rodie.

References

Bica, E., Claria, J.J., Dottori, H., Santos Jr., J.F.C., Piatti, A.E., 1996, ApJ Suppl., 102,57 Billett, O.A., Hunter, D.A., & Elmegreen, B.G., 2002, AJ, 123, 1454 Chandar, R., Bianchi, L., & Ford, H.C., 1999, ApJS, 122, 431 Chandar, R., Bianchi, L., & Ford, H.C., 1999, A & A, 366, 498 Christian, C.A.& Schommer, R.A., 1982, ApJS, 49, 405 Christian, C.A. & Schommer, R.A., 1988, AJ, 95, 704 Dolphin, A.E., & Kennicutt, R.C., 2000, AJ, 123, 207 Elson, R.A.W., Fall, S.M., & Freeman, K.C., 1987, ApJ, 323, 54 Fischer, P., Welch, D.L., Côte, P., et al., 1992, AJ, 103, 857 Friel, E.D., 1995, ARA&A 33, 381 Girardi, L., Bressan, A., Bertelli, G., & Chiosi, C., 2000, A&AS 141, 371 Gray, D.F., & Toner, C.G., 1987, ApJ, 322, 360 Harris, W. E., 1991, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 29, 543 Jog, C. J., & Solom on, P.M., 1992, ApJ, 387, 152 Kennicutt, R.C.& Chu, Y-H., 1988, AJ, 95, 720 Knodlæder, J., 2000, A & A, 360, 539 K roupa, P. 2002, Science, 295, 82 Lada, C.J., & Lada, E.A., 2003, ARA & A 41, 57 Larsen, S.S., Brodie, J.P., Elmegreen, B.G., Efremov, Y.N., Hodge, P.W., & Richtler, T.2001, ApJ, 556, 801 Larsen, S.S., 2002, AJ, 124, 1393 Larsen, S.S., 2004, A&A, in press Larsen, S.S. & Richtler, T., 1999, A&A 345, 59 Larsen, S.S. & Richtler, T., 2000, A&A 354, 836 Larsen, S.S. & Richtler, T., 2004, A & A, in preparation Salpeter, E.E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161 Shapley, H., 1930, \Star C lusters", M cG raw H ill (N ew York) Smecker-Hane, T.A., Cole, A.A., Gallagher III, J.S., & Stetson, P.B., 2002, ApJ, 566,239 Sm ith, L.J., G allagher, J.S. 2001, MNRAS, 326, 1027 van den Bergh, S., 1999, PASP, 111, 1248 van den Bergh, S., & Lafontaine, A., 1984, AJ, 89, 1822 Whitmore, B.C., in: \AD ecade of Hubble Space Telescope Science", eds. M. Livio, K. Noll, M. Stiavelli, UK: Cambridge University Press Zepf, S.E., Ashman, K.M., English, J., Freeman, K.C., Sharples, R.M., 1999, AJ, 118,752