Mixed in aton and curvaton perturbations

David Langlois and Filippo Vemizzi

GReCO, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, CNRS, 98bis Boulevard Arago, 75014 Paris, France

M arch 20, 2024

A bstract

A recent variant of the in ationary paradigm is that the \prim ordial" curvature perturbations come from quantum uctuations of a scalar eld, subdom inant and e ectively massless during in ation, called the \curvaton", instead of the uctuations of the in aton eld. W e consider the situation where the prim ordial curvature perturbations generated by the quantum uctuations of an in aton and of a curvaton eld are of the sam e order of m agnitude. W e com pute the total curvature perturbation and its spectrum in this case and we discuss the observational consequences.

1 Introduction

The rst observations of the W MAP satellite [1] have con m ed the basic predictions of the in ation scenarios [2] (see also [3]), namely the existence of super-Hubble primordial uctuations, mostly adiabatic and characterized by a quasi-scale-invariant spectrum. Because direct information on the primordial universe is scarce, it is essential, when trying to interpret the cosm obgical data, to determ ine to which extent a precise measurement of the primordial spectrum gives us information on the in ationary scenario itself.

In this perspective, whereas in the standard in ationary paradigm, the primordial perturbations are generated by quantum uctuations of the in aton, it has been realized recently that the observed primordial uctuations could be accounted for by quantum uctuations of a scalar eld other than the in aton, dubbed the curvaton eld [4, 5, 6] (and see also [7] and [8]). The curvaton is a scalar eld whose e ective mass must be much smaller than the Hubble param – eter during in ation so that it acquires quantum uctuations with a quasi scale-invariant spectrum. A fter in ation, the prim ordial curvature perturbations are generated by the conversion of the isocurvature perturbations due to the uctuations of the subdom inant curvaton eld, into adiabatic perturbations.

In the curvaton scenario, in ation is still necessary since this is the source of the curvaton quantum uctuations but the separation of the rôles played by the in aton that drives the evolution of the universe and by the curvaton which generates the perturbations, liberates the in aton from some observational constraints. This alternative possibility is mixed news for the in ationary scenarios. It is good news in the sense that it allows to construct more easily explicit in ationary models with a mer footing from a particle physicist's point of view [9]. But it is also bad news in the sense that it shows a new limitation to extract inform ation on the nature of the in aton eld from the measurem ent of the prim ordial uctuations.

In the curvaton scenario, the curvature uctuations generated by the in atom are usually assumed to be negligible, and the primordial uctuations are thus essentially due to the curvaton. However, as was pointed out in [10], one can envisage cases where the uctuations generated by both the in atom and a curvaton-like eld are relevant. This is the situation that we explore in the present work.

It can occur, for instance, when is of the order of the P lanck mass m_P during in ation, still assuming that the curvaton energy density is much smaller than that of the in aton (otherwise we would be in the context of double in ation [11]), and implies that the curvaton starts to oscillate when its energy density already contributes signicantly to the total energy density. This is in contrast with the usual curvaton scenario where the curvaton starts oscillating long before it dom inates and can thus be treated as a pressureless uid during the rst radiation dom inated phase.

Curvaton and in aton generated perturbations can also be of the same order of magnitude for small , i.e. m_P , but with a slow-roll in ation parameter su ciently small during in ation to produce big in aton perturbations.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we write the background evolution equations and we derive their initial conditions

explaining the context of our present analysis. In Sec. III we discuss the perturbation equations and their initial conditions. We can analytically derive the curvature perturbation after curvaton decay, i.e. at the onset of the standard big bang cosm ology, in two lim its. In the pure curvaton lim it, for m_P , we recover the curvature perturbation already derived in previous works. In the lim it where the curvaton acts as a secondary in aton, for $> m_P$, we recover the perturbation of the double in ation model. In the interm ediate case we resort to a num erical analysis to derive them ixed in aton and curvaton perturbation, which is the main result of our work. In Sec. IV we derive the spectrum of the curvature perturbation, its spectral index, and discuss the observational consequences of the m odel. Finally we conclude in the last section.

2 Hom ogeneous equations of motion

In the curvaton scenario, the in ationary phase is followed by a rst radiation dom inated era, where the dom inant species are the decay products of the in aton. The curvaton is a scalar eld, , that is assumed to be subdom inant during the whole in ationary epoch and at the beginning of the radiation dom inated post-in ationary era. Its e ective mass is assumed to be much smaller than the Hubble parameter during in ation. Here, for simplicity, we take the quadratic potential

V () =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
m²²: (1)

In the post-in ationary era, two components coexist: the radiation uid and the curvaton. The evolution of the hom ogeneous background is thus governed by the Friedmann equation

$$H^{2} = \frac{8 G}{3} r + \frac{1}{2}r^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2} r^{2} ; \qquad (2)$$

the continuity equation for the radiation energy density r_{r} ,

$$_{-r} + 4H_{r} = 0;$$
 (3)

and the equation of motion for the curvaton,

$$+ 3H _{+} m^{2} = 0$$
: (4)

When H m the cosm ological friction is so strong that the curvaton is essentially frozen. This is the case during in ation since the curvaton must be e ectively massless to acquire the usual scaleinvariant quantum uctuations. A fter in ation, H keeps decreasing until it reaches the value H m, at which point the curvaton starts oscillating.

In the standard curvaton scenario, is supposed to start oscillating while it is still strongly subdom inant with respect to radiation. U sing (2), this im plies

$$H^{2} m^{2} \frac{m^{2}}{m_{P}^{2}}^{2};$$
 (5)

where we have introduced the reduced P lanck mass m $_{\rm P}$ $(8~{\rm G})^{1=2}$. This relation means that the initial amplitude of the curvaton must satisfy m $_{\rm P}$. Conversely, assuming an initial value m $_{\rm P}$ during in ation implies that the epochs of oscillation and domination roughly coincide for the curvaton.

D uring the radiation dom inated era, when $\;$ is subdom inant, H = (2t) 1 , and the curvaton equation of motion (4) reads

$$+ \frac{3}{2t} + m^2 = 0:$$
 (6)

O ne recognizes a Bessel equation, whose non-decaying solution is given by

$$_{(1)} = A \frac{J_{1=4} (m t)}{(m t)^{1=4}}; A \frac{J_{1=4} (m t)}{2^{1=4} (3=4)};$$
 (7)

where J stands for a Bessel function of rank . In the limit mt 1, one gets $_{(i)}$ '. The expression $_{(i)}$ given above embodies the initial conditions for the curvaton eld.

Note that the solution (7) di ers from the slow-roll solution that would apply during in ation when the Hubble parameter is slow ly varying. Here, the acceleration is not sm all with respect to the other term s in the equation of motion (6). In particular, in the lim it mt 1, one nds

H __(i) '
$$\frac{1}{5}$$
m² (i): (8)

3 A nalysis of the perturbations

3.1 Equations of m otion

The equations governing the evolution of the scalar-type perturbations are well-known and can be found in review papers such as [12] or [13]. We adopt here the longitudinal gauge in which the perturbed metric reads

$$ds^{2} = (1+2)dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)(1-2)_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}:$$
(9)

The perturbation of the curvaton eld is denoted and the perturbations of the radiation uid are described by the perturbation of the energy density, r, or the density contrast $r = r^{=}r$, and the peculiar velocity v_r .

Taking into account the relation =, imposed by the Einstein equations in absence of anisotropic stress, the perturbed equations of motion are the energy and momentum constraints in Einstein's equations, respectively

3H (H + -)
$$\frac{k^2}{a^2}$$
 = 4 G _ - + m² $\frac{2}{a}$ + _{rr} (10)

and

$$-+ H = 4 G - \frac{4}{3} r v_r$$
; (11)

the continuity and Euler equations for the radiation uid, respectively

$$-r \quad \frac{4}{3} \frac{k^2}{a^2} v_r \quad 4 = 0 \tag{12}$$

and

$$\underline{v}_{r}$$
 H \underline{v}_{r} + + $\frac{1}{4}_{r}$ = 0; (13)

and nally the K lein-G ordon equation for the curvaton,

+ 3H - +
$$\frac{k^2}{a^2}$$
 + m² = 4_ 2m² : (14)

Since the cosm ological perturbations of observational interest today were on super-Hubble scales in the early universe, we will be interested only in the long wavelength lim it k=(aH) ! 0 of the above equations, which reduce to the following system :

3H (H +
$$\rightarrow$$
) = 4 G $_$ + m² $\stackrel{2}{_}$ + rr; (15)

$$-r$$
 4_= 0; (16)

and

$$+ 3H - + m^2 = 4_- 2m^2$$
; (17)

where we have gotten rid of the perturbed velocity v_r .

3.2 Initial conditions

Our initial conditions are de ned early in the rst radiation dom inated era when the curvaton is at rest and subdom inant. In this limit the Bardeen potential is constant. In the standard curvaton case, it is further assumed to be zero, but here it cannot be neglected since it represents the contribution of the in atom to the \prim ordial" curvature perturbation. Our initial conditions are thus given by

$$=$$
; $-=$ 0; $=$; $=$ 0; (18)

where stands for the curvature perturbation generated during in a-tion. Using the energy constraint (15), these initial conditions im ply

$$r = 6 \frac{m_{p}^{2} H^{2}}{r} \frac{m^{2}}{r}$$
 : (19)

M oreover, since the curvaton is initially subdom inant, the second term on the right hand side can be dropped and the initial condition for $\ _r$ reduces to

$$r = 2$$
 : (20)

The evolution of is governed by the equation of m otion Eq. (17), which can be approximated in our limit by

$$+\frac{3}{2t} - +m^2 = 2m^2$$
 : (21)

O ne recognizes the same B essel equation as for the background but now with a source term on the right hand side, which is proportional to the background solution for given in Eq. (7). The solution for the perturbation is then found to be given by

$$_{(i)} = \frac{A}{(m t)^{1=4}}$$
 $\frac{1}{2}$ $J_{1=4} (m t) + m t J_{3=4} (m t) :$
(22)

Note also that using Eq. (7) we can rewrite Eq. (22) as

$$(i) = - (i) + t_{(i)}$$
 : (23)

This yields $(i) = + O \pmod{2t^2}$, which just i esthe initial conditions for the curvaton perturbation given in (18).

As the energy density perturbation for the curvaton is given explicitly by

$$= m^{2} + _- \frac{2}{2};$$
 (24)

we can compute, using (23), the initial density contrast

$$^{(i)} = 2 - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{(i)}$$
 : (25)

3.3 Evolution of the perturbations

The above analytical expressions are valid only during the early radiation dom inated era when the curvaton contribution to the background energy density is negligible. This contribution however keeps increasing with time until it eventually becomes signicant, and a more general analysis is required.

The purpose of this section is to compute the curvature perturbation after the curvaton decay, i.e. to establish the initial conditions at the start of the second radiation dom inated era, which must be identied with the usual radiation era of the standard cosm obgical scenario. Since we assume the decay to be instantaneous (it has been shown recently that this is a very good approximation [14]), it is enough for our purpose to determ ine the curvature perturbation when the curvaton is both dom inating and oscillating, which will be denoted f. The corresponding curvature perturbation in the subsequent radiation phase is then simply obtained by applying the usual transfer coe – cient between a matter dom inated phase and a radiation dom inated phase.

In order to determ ine the nalcurvature perturbation in our scenario, i.e. the prim ordial perturbation for the standard cosm ological model, we have solved numerically the system of equations that consists of (2)-(4) for the background and of (15)-(17) for the perturbations. For the hom ogeneous system, the only parameter that can be varied is the initial amplitude of the curvaton. As for the perturbations, the initial conditions depend on two parameters, the curvature perturbation and the curvaton perturbation . Since this is a linear problem, the nalresult can be written, quite generally as

$$_{f} = + ;$$
 (26)

where the two coe cients and , to be determ ined, depend only on the hom ogeneous quantities. This means that one can analyze independently the cases = 0 and = 0, the general result being given by the sum of these particular cases.

Let us start with the case = 0. We will now show that this case corresponds to a purely adiabatic initial condition. Let us rst recall that the so-called isocurvature perturbation between two matter components (here radiation and curvaton) can be de ned as (see e.g. [14])

$$S = 3(r);$$
 (27)

where for a given matter species X , $_{\rm X}\,$ is the curvature perturbation on uniform energy density hypersurfaces, namely

$$_{X} \qquad H \frac{X}{-X} = + \frac{X}{3(1 + w_{X})};$$
 (28)

with $w_X = P_X = x$. For the curvaton, the pressure is $P = (2 m^2)^2 = 2$ and the energy density is $= (2 m^2 m^2)^2 = 2$ so that the entropy perturbation is given by

$$S = \frac{r}{1 + w_r} + \frac{1 + w}{1 + w} = \frac{3}{4}r + \frac{2}{2}r$$
(29)

Substituting the initial conditions (20) and (25), one gets for the initial entropy perturbation

$$S^{(i)} = 2_{\underline{2}} - \vdots$$
 (30)

Therefore, = 0 corresponds to S $^{(i)}$ = 0, i.e. to a purely \adiabatic" initial perturbation.

The perturbation then remains adiabatic throughout the evolution from the radiation dominated era to the oscillating curvaton dom – inated era. Note that, in contrast with the case of two uncoupled adiabatic perfect uids where the individual $_{\rm X}$ are separately conserved, the entropy perturbation does not in general remain constant on super-Hubble scales because a non zero intrinsic entropy of the scalar eld in plies — € 0. How ever, if the initial entropy vanishes it will remain so subsequently. Since we have a pure adiabatic perturbation, the total is conserved on super-Hubble scales. Consequently the curvature perturbation is sim ply governed by the global equation of state of the matter content, or in other words the total is conserved in tim e. During a cosm ological era with w = const, the Bardeen potential is constant and is related to via the relation (see e.g. [13])

$$= \frac{5+3w}{3(1+w)} :$$
 (31)

U sing this relation both in the initial radiation dom inated era with w = 1=3 and in the oscillating curvaton dom inated era with w = 0, the conservation of implies that the coe cient in Eq. (26) is given by

$$=\frac{9}{10}$$
: (32)

W e have checked num erically that this result indeed holds for all types of initial conditions (not only = 0).

To determ ine the other coe cient, , it is now su cient to consider the initial con gurations with = 0. We distinguish below several cases: rst the limiting case where behaves as a standard curvaton, i.e. oscillates long before dom ination (see upper part of Fig. 1); then the other limiting case where starts oscillating only after it com – pletely dom inates and thus generates a second in ationary phase (see upper part of Fig. 2); and nally the general interm ediate case, as illustrated in the upper part of Fig. 3.

3.3.1 The standard curvaton lim it

This is the limit corresponding to m_P . As discussed before, this in plies that the curvaton oscillates long before its dom ination. One thus recovers the standard curvaton scenario, in which case the curvaton can be identified with a pressureless uid during the postin ation phase.

Let us brie y recall the usual analysis in the standard curvaton scenario [4]. The curvaton is assimilated to a dust-like uid with density contrast m. Since the two uids are decoupled, r and m are separately conserved, whereas the total is given by

$$=\frac{4_{r} + 3_{m}}{4_{r} + 3_{m}}$$
: (33)

A ssum ing no initial curvature perturbation, i.e. = 0 and thus $_r = 0$, one nds that during the curvaton dom ination

$$= _{m} = \frac{1}{3} _{m}^{(i)}; \qquad (34)$$

where the second equality comes from the denition of given in Eq. (28). Using the relation (31) between and during a w = 0 era, one gets

$$_{f} = \frac{3}{5} = \frac{1}{5} m^{(i)}$$
 (35)



Figure 1: The standard curvaton limit, for $= 0.3m_P$. Evolution of the curvaton, its energy density fraction $=(+_r)$ (upper part), and of the curvature perturbation (lower part). The initial conditions for the perturbations are $=m_P$ and = 2.

The nalstep is to relate the density contrast to the initial curvaton uctuation, by using Eq. (25). For = 0, this is simply ⁽ⁱ⁾ = 2 = . Therefore, in the standard curvaton limit, one nds for the coe cient of Eq. (26) the value

$$=$$
 $\frac{2}{5}$: (36)

A though the expression for has already been obtained by the general argument given earlier, it is nevertheless instructive to see how this value is recovered in the curvaton $\lim it$ by considering a non vanishing . When averaged over several oscillations, Eq (25) yields

$$m^{(i)} = 2 - \frac{3}{2} :$$
 (37)

D using the curvaton dom instead era, one nds (note the di erence with Eq. (34))

$$=_{m} = + \frac{1}{3} {}^{(i)}_{m}$$
: (38)

Substituting in f = (3=5), derived from (31), one nally gets the expected result

$$_{f} = \frac{9}{10} \qquad \frac{2}{5}$$
 (39)

We have also checked this result by evolving numerically the perturbation equations. As an illustration, we show the evolution of in Fig.1 for initial perturbations $= m_P$ and $= 2^1$.

Note nally that the above equation can be interpreted as a particular case of the more general equation

$$f = \frac{9}{10} + \frac{1}{5}S;$$
 (40)

relating the nalcurvature perturbation, in a matter dom inated era, to the initial curvature perturbation and entropy perturbation de ned in a radiation dom inated era. Eq. (40) can be easily derived from $_{\rm f}$ = (3=5) m by substituting m = $_{\rm r}$ S=3, which follows from (29), with $_{\rm r}$ = $+ \frac{_{\rm r}^{(i)}}{_{\rm r}}$ = (3=2) . The expression (40) can

¹W ithin perturbation theory, the overall amplitude of the perturbations can be arbitrarily rescaled and we have chosen to set $= m_P$. Moreover, the initial condition

^{= 2} corresponds to the curvature perturbation produced by a chaotic quadratic m odel with = $6m_P$ and = m_P .



Figure 2: The secondary in aton limit, for $= 3m_P$. Evolution of , its energy density fraction (upper part), and of the curvature perturbation (lower part). The initial conditions for the perturbations are $= m_P$ and = 2.

be used only when the entropy perturbation is conserved on large scales. This is the case for the curvaton only when it is oscillating or when it dom inates (and its intrinsic entropy thus vanishes), because otherwise is not conserved. In the early radiation dom inated era, the expression for the entropy perturbation is given in Eq. (30). When oscillates, $= 2^{2} = 1$ and S is constant with the value S = 2 = 2. Substituting in (40) one indeed recovers (39).

3.3.2 The secondary in aton lim it

A nother limiting case corresponds to the situation where starts oscillating only after it completely dom inates the universe. This implies that the curvaton is responsible for an additional phase of in ation: this is why we call the secondary in atom in this situation.

We now attempt to evaluate analytically the nal curvature perturbation. Let us consider again the entropy perturbation S.Asmentioned already, S is not constant because is not conserved. This can be checked explicitly with the expression

$$S = 2 \xrightarrow{2} ; \qquad (41)$$

already given in Eq. (30). Indeed, in the early radiation dominated era, using the explicit solution given in (7), one nds the behavior $= 2^{2}$.

In the subsequent phases dom insted by the curvaton, i.e. the secondary in ation and the oscillating phase, the entropy S remains constant. A lthough the expression (41) is rigorously valid only during the phase when the curvaton is negligible, we can evaluate it at the transition between the (rst) radiation dom insted era and the curvaton dom insted era to get an estimate of the subsequently constant value of S.

D using the curvaton dom inated phase, follows a slow-rollm otion, characterized by

$$3H^{2}' \frac{m^{2}}{2m_{P}^{2}}; = \frac{m^{2}}{3H};$$
 (42)

and therefore, the coe cient = 2 takes the constant value

$$\frac{1}{2}^{2}$$
, $\frac{3}{4}\frac{2}{m_{P}^{2}}$; (43)

where we have used the fact that is essentially frozen at its in ationary value throughout the radiation dom inated era. Inserting this ratio in Eq. (41), we get

$$S = \frac{3}{2m_{P}^{2}}$$
 : (44)

Substituting the above value in Eq. (40), we then nd for the curvature perturbation in the strongly oscillating phase

$$_{\rm f} = \frac{9}{10} \qquad \frac{3}{10m_{\rm P}^2}$$
 : (45)

We have checked numerically that our analytical derivation gives a very good approximation. This is illustrated in Fig.3 for two dimensional values of (and with $= m_P$).

3.3.3 The general case

We now consider the general case, which includes the interm ediate situations where the curvaton starts oscillating at about the same time it becomes dominant. We have computed the dependence of the coecient on the initial value , by solving numerically the full system of equations for dierent values of : the interm ediate case $= m_P$ is plotted in Fig. 3 as an illustration.

The resulting function, up to a norm alization constant de ned just now, is plotted in Fig. 4. Instead of using , we prefer to work with the coe cient that appears in the expression for the curvature perturbation after the decay of the curvaton, i.e. in the second radiation phase of our scenario, identi ed with the radiation dom inated era of the standard big bang model. The relation between the curvature perturbation $_{\rm f}$ at the end of the curvaton dom inated phase and the curvaton perturbation $_{\rm RD}$ in the post-curvaton radiation phase is given by

$$_{\rm RD} = \frac{10}{9} _{\rm f};$$
 (46)

the transfer coe cient being $\sin p \ln q$ due to the transition from a matter dom inated phase to a radiation dom inated phase. It is then convenient to de ne the function f as

$$_{RD} = \frac{f()}{m_{P}} : \qquad (47)$$

A swe can see on Fig. 4, for small values of $\$, we are in the standard curvaton regime with

f () =
$$\frac{4}{9} \frac{m_P}{3}$$
; pure curvaton; (48)

whereas for large values of $\$, we recover the secondary in aton limit characterized by

$$f() = \frac{1}{3m_P};$$
 secondary in aton: (49)

In the interm ediate range, f reaches its minimum value, f ' 0:55 around ' 1.2m $_{\rm P}$.

4 Observational consequences



Figure 3: The interm ediate case, for $= m_P$. Evolution of , its energy density fraction (upper part), and of the curvature perturbation (low er part) for two di erent initial conditions: = 0 and for = 2 (both cases with $= m_P$).



Figure 4: The function f(), which characterizes the amplitude of the contribution of to the curvature perturbation. For m_P one recognizes the / 1= contribution of the pure curvaton model. For m_P , one recognizes the contribution of a secondary in aton, proportional to .

4.1 Power spectrum of the primordial uctuations

So far, we have computed the primordial uctuations, de ned in the second radiation era after the decay of the curvaton, without specifying the initial curvature perturbation . However, in the context of an in ationary era driven by a single slow-rolling scalar eld, one can relate to the uctuation of the in aton. The perturbations due to the in aton can be computed following the standard technique for a slow-rolling single eld in ation model (see e.g. [15]). One nds

$$= [1 (1 + 3C) + C] \frac{2}{3m_{P}^{2}} \frac{V}{V^{0}} ; \qquad (50)$$

where V = V () is the potential of the in aton, $V^0 = dV = d$, and are the rst two slow-roll parameters,

$$=\frac{m_{p}^{2}}{2} \frac{V^{0}}{V}^{2}; \qquad (51)$$

$$= m_{P}^{2} \frac{V^{0}}{V};$$
 (52)

and $C = 2 + \ln 2 + '$ 0:73, being the Euler constant. It is important to include the slow-roll corrections in the normalization of the curvature perturbation since these can be of the same order as the curvaton contribution. The subscript means that all quantities have to be evaluated at Hubble crossing during in ation. Note that we are implicitly assuming that large scales that are observable today, i.e., those of order H₀¹, did not reenter the Hubble radius between the end of in ation and the curvaton domination.

Substituting in our result of the previous section, Eq. (47), the prim ordial curvature uctuations in the second radiation era are thus given by the combination

$$_{RD} = [1 (1 + 3C) + C \frac{1}{3m_{P}^{2}} \frac{V}{V^{0}} \frac{1}{m_{P}} f() : (53)$$

The uctuations and must be seen as independent random elds with the same amplitude for their power spectra and can be written as

$$=\frac{H}{2}e; = \frac{H}{2}e;$$
 (54)

with here i = 0. It is also worth noticing that our result, in the secondary in atom limit discussed before, with $f() = = (3m_P)$ is in agreement with the expression obtained in [11] (see also [16]) for the curvature perturbation given in terms of the perturbations of the two scalar elds in a double in ation model.

Let us drop the subscript $\$. U sing (53) and (54), the amplitude of the power spectrum for is

$$P = [1 + f^{2} 2(1 + 3C) + 2C \frac{H^{2}}{18 ^{2} m_{p}^{2}};$$
 (55)

where we have introduced

$$f = \frac{3}{p-2}f$$
: (56)

The contribution of the curvaton to the total curvature perturbation appears here on the same footing as the slow-roll corrections in the amplitude of the in aton power spectrum. However, when f' 1 the curvaton contribution dom in the slow-roll corrections and the term 2(1 + 3C) + 2C can be neglected.

The relative importance of the curvaton over the in aton perturbation is parametrized by

$$R = \frac{f^2}{1 - 2(1 + 3C) + 2C} ' f^2 :$$
 (57)

This is given by the product of two parameters that depend on two a priori independent physical processes: , which must be smaller than one, is determined by the in ationary scenario, while $f^2 > 1:36$ is determined by the curvaton expectation value. The slow-roll parameter

is typically of order 1=60 in chaotic models of in ation but it can be much smaller in other in ationary models. Therefore, there are cases where the curvaton value during in ation is small, m_P , and yet the perturbations generated by the in aton are of the order of or larger than the curvaton perturbations, i.e. R < 1.

W hen the curvaton perturbation is absent, i.e. for f = 0, we recover the pure in ationary spectrum (see e.g. [15]),

$$P = [1 \quad 2(1 + 3C) + 2C \quad \frac{H^2}{18^2 m_p^2}:$$
 (58)

C onversely, when the curvaton perturbation dom inates the power spectrum , i.e. for R 1 and m_P , we recognize the spectrum of

perturbations of the curvaton [4],

$$P = \frac{4H^2}{81^2 2};$$
(59)

where we have used $f = (2^{p} \overline{2}=3) (m_{p} =)$ from Eqs. (48) and (56).

The presence of mixed curvaton and in atom perturbations also a ects the scalar spectral index, which is dened as

$$n_{s} \quad 1 \quad \frac{d\ln P}{d\ln k} : \tag{60}$$

Inserting in this expression the spectrum (55) and assuming that the curvaton mass is much smaller than the in atom mass, m ~ V $^{\rm (0)}$, one nds

$$n_s = 1 = 2 \frac{2}{1+f^2} + [2(7+12C)^2 + 2(3+8C) - 2C^2];$$
 (61)

where we have introduced the second-order slow-roll param eter

²
$$m_{\rm P}^4 \frac{V^0 V^{00}}{V^2}$$
: (62)

In the square bracket we have isolated term s which are second order in the slow-roll parameters. These can be as in portant as the curvaton contribution if f 1.

N eglecting second order term s, in the case of pure in ation, $f^2 = 0$, one recovers the well-known result

$$n_s = 1 = 2 = 6$$
; (63)

whereas in the case of a pure curvaton, f^2 1, one gets [4]

$$n_s \quad 1 = 2 :$$
 (64)

The power spectrum for gravitational waves is given by the usual expression (see e.g. [15])

$$P_{T} = [1 \quad 2(1 + C)] \frac{2H^{2}}{J_{2m_{p}}^{2}}$$
: (65)

Using (55), we thus nd for the tensor-scalar ratio

$$r \quad \frac{4}{9} \frac{P_{T}}{P} = \frac{16}{1 + f^{2}} [1 + 4C \qquad 2C]$$
(66)

(we have de ned r as in [17]). Neglecting second order terms in the slow-roll parameters, for f = 0 we recover r = 16, the tensor-scalar ratio for a pure in ationary model. For a pure curvaton perturbation, f^2 1, one nds r' 16= f^2 ' 18(=m_P)^2. In the usual curvaton context, where m_P, this im plies that gravity waves are negligible [7].

A dding a curvaton perturbation to a pure in ationary perturbation always decreases the tensor-scalar ratio r. W hen f is large, the e ect on the scalar index depends on the sign of 2 4 : if it is negative (this is the case for the so-called sm all eld and chaotic m odels [18]), the scalar index is increased, which m eans that the spectrum is bluer; if it is positive, the e ect is opposite.

M odels of in ation are often classi ed according to the value of their rst two slow-rollparam eters and [18]. Since for f = 0 there is a one-to-one correspondence between the (;)-plane and the $(r_s;r)$ -plane, it is custom any to use the latter in order to easily confront m odels with observations. In the mixed case, $f \in 0$, the presence of the curvaton introduces a degeneracy between these two planes, which depends on the parameter . In the next subsection we will use Eqs. (61) and (66) to show the e ects of this degeneracy for the special case of 4-in ation.

Let us now discuss the consistency relation. We restrict the discussion to the large f case and we neglect term s which are second order in the slow-roll parameters. The tensor spectral index being given by the usual expression

$$n_{\rm T} = \frac{d \ln P_{\rm T}}{d \ln k} = 2$$
; (67)

the consistency relation between the tensor-scalar ratio and the tensor spectral index is m odi ed into

$$r = \frac{8n_{\rm T}}{1 f^2 n_{\rm T} = 2}$$
 (68)

For large f we nd a modi cation of the standard consistency relation valid for a pure single-eld in ation. This represents a distinctive feature of m ixed curvaton and in aton perturbations, which breaks the degeneracy of m ixed models. Indeed, this modi cation depends solely on the parameter , which determ ines the value of f: since the relation (68) does not depend on a particular in aton potential, it can be seen as a way of m easuring \therefore

For completeness, we brie y discuss the running of the scalar spectral index. We nd

$$\frac{dn_s}{d\ln k} = 4 \quad (2) \quad \frac{16^2}{1+f^2} \quad \frac{12}{1+f^2} + \frac{4f^2}{(1+f^2)^2} = (69)$$

For f = 0 we recover the pure in ationary running [17],

$$\frac{dn_s}{d\ln k} = 24^2 + 16 \qquad 2^2;$$
(70)

while for f^2 1 we nd the running for a pure curvaton spectral index,

$$\frac{dn_s}{d\ln k} = 4$$
 (2): (71)

4

In the next subsection, we will illustrate the general form ulas derived here by considering the particular case of an in atom with a quartic potential.

4.2 M ixed perturbations with V () =

The quartic potential V = 4 is a simple in ationary potential which has attracted some attention lately as it lies in a region excluded by the W MAP data analysis [17, 19, 20]. For this particular in ationary potential, we now illustrate how mixed curvaton and in aton perturbations can be constrained by the data and how the observational predictions of a pure in ationary model change in the presence of a curvaton.

The slow-roll param eters for this potential are

$$= 8 \frac{m_{P}^{2}}{2}; = 12 \frac{m_{P}^{2}}{2}; ^{2} = 96 \frac{m_{P}^{4}}{4}:$$
(72)

The number of e-foldings before the end of in ation when the perturbations at our present Hubble scale exited the Hubble radius during in ation is given by

$$N = \ln \frac{a_{end}}{a} = \frac{2}{8m_{p}^{2}};$$
 (73)

where we have assumed that $_{\rm end}$. Using this expression we can write the slow-roll parameters in terms of the number of e-foldings N .

Determ ining the appropriate number of e-foldings N is very in portant, since it tells us which part of the potential corresponds to the present observable uctuations. This depends on the history of the universe from the end of in ation until today. In particular, it is very sensitive on the duration of the reheating phase: this is usually the largest uncertainty in its estimation. However, in the case of pure in ation with a quartic potential, N can be evaluated very accurately because the expansion during reheating is the same as in a radiation dom inated era. Indeed, the energy density of an oscillating scalar eld in a quartic potential behaves as radiation, and the duration of the reheating phase is thus no longer in portant. In this case, the number of e-foldings can be evaluated precisely [21] and is

This number assumes radiation domination from the end of in ation until equality. It does not take into account a possible intermediate phase of curvaton domination between reheating and nucleosynthesis, which lasts

$$N = \frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{CD}{dec}$$
(75)

e-foldings, where $_{CD}$ is the total energy density at curvaton and radiation equality and $_{dec}$ ' $3 \, {}^{2}m_{P}^{2}$ is the energy density of the universe when the curvaton decays with decay rate . Curvaton dom ination thus decreases the number of e-foldings which becomes

N ' 64
$$\frac{1}{12} \ln \frac{CD}{dec}$$
: (76)

Let us compute this correction in more details. If m_P the domination phase starts with a small period of in ation. When the curvaton and radiation energy densities are the same, we have for the total energy density

$$_{CD} = 2$$
 $m^{2} ^{2}$: (77)

In this case we obtain

N ' 64:1
$$\frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{m}{m} = \frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{m}{m_P}$$
; for m_P : (78)

It is also interesting to consider the pure curvaton case corresponding to m_P . In this case Eq. (77) does not apply, but we can still compute the ratio $_{dec}=_{CD}$. Once the curvaton starts oscillating at H m, we have

$$m^{2} \frac{a_{osc}}{a}^{3}; r' 3m^{2}m_{P}^{2} \frac{a_{osc}}{a}^{4};$$
 (79)

where a_{osc} is the scale factor at the onset of the curvaton oscillating period. This implies

$$a_{CD} = a_{osc} / 3m_{P}^{2} = {}^{2}$$
: (80)

On the other hand, when the curvaton decays (H), it dom in tes the universe and

$$m^{2} \frac{2}{a_{\text{osc}}} \frac{a_{\text{osc}}}{a_{\text{dec}}} \frac{3}{2} m_{P}^{2}$$
: (81)

On combining Eqs. (80) and (81) we can compute the correction factor in Eq. (76), and we obtain nally

N ' 64:4
$$\frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{m}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{m}{m_P}$$
; for m_P : (82)

The ratio m = depends on the speci c m odel for the curvaton. It parameterizes the duration of the curvaton oscillating phase and represents here the m ain uncertainty, although limited by the prefactor 1=6. Also the last term can be important for very small : the smaller $=m_P$ is, the later the curvaton starts dom inating the universe, thus shortening the duration of the curvaton dom ination phase. This increases the number of e-foldings and partially compensates the ln (m =) correction.

Now we have all the ingredients to study the predictions of mixed models as compared to a pure quartic in ationary model. We use Eqs. (72) and (73) to express the slow-rollparameters and in terms of the number of e-foldings N . Furthermore, on using Eqs. (61) and (66), we can express the observables n_s and r in terms of N , and we can compare the predictions on the $(n_s;r)$ -plane of a pure quartic model and mixed models with cosm ological data.

In Fig. 5 the two dimensional likelihood contours at 68% and 95% con dence level of the W MAP data (combined with other data as from the analysis of [20]) are shown on the $(n_s;r)$ -plane. For a pure in a-tionary model, the N = 64 realization is marginally excluded by the data. W hen adding the perturbation of the curvaton, the predictions on the $(n_s;r)$ -plane are changed and the N = 64 realization of the



Figure 5: Two-dimensional likelihood contours at 68% and 95% condence level of the WMAP data on the $(n_s;r)$ -plane, as compared to the predictions of a pure in ationary model (solid N-trajectory), a mixed model with f = 1(upper dotted N-trajectory), corresponding to $0.5m_P$, and one with f =3 (lower dotted N-trajectory), corresponding to $0.1m_P$, respectively. The stars denote N = 64 on the N-trajectories. The interval 60 N 64 is represented by bold dots on the N-trajectories of the mixed models. The likelihood contours are from the analysis of S. Leach and A. Liddle [20].

in ationary model can be safely included into the 95% condence level contour for a mixed model with < 0.5m_P, and into the 68% condence level contour for a mixed model with < 0.1m_P. However, one must also take into account the fact that the number of e-foldings
N decreases because of the phase of curvaton domination, according to (76). As an illustration of this elect, we consider the interval
60 N 64 on the curvaton trajectory and we plot it in Fig. 5. Decreasing the number of e-foldings tends to exclude the model.

As anticipated in the introduction, the extraction of information from the data is limited now by the introduction of a new eld, the curvaton, and a corresponding new parameter, the curvaton expectation value during in ation. The degeneracy of the data can be broken by making use of the consistency relation, Eq. (68), although we are still far from measuring n_T [22].

5 Conclusion

In the present work, we have explored one particular facet of the curvator m echanism : we have considered the case where prim ordial curvature perturbations generated by the curvator are of the same order of m agnitude as the traditional in aton-generated curvature perturbations. This can happen when the vacuum expectation value for the curvator is of the order of the P lanck m ass during in ation. This situation can occur in some scenarios, such as in the string axion scenario discussed in [10].

Independently of the speci c m odel of in ation, we have obtained the expression for the \prim ordial" curvature perturbation, given as a linear com bination of the post-reheating curvature perturbation (i.e. generated during in ation) and of the curvaton perturbation. In term s of the curvature perturbation on the uniform energy density hypersurface this is given as

$$= \frac{1}{p} + f() = \frac{H}{2 2 m_{P}}; \text{ he e i = 0;}$$
(83)

where H, , and are the Hubble parameter, the vacuum expectation value of the curvaton, and the rst slow-roll parameter, all evaluated at Hubble crossing during in ation, respectively [see Eq. (53)]. This expression is only valid for large f, otherwise corrections which are second order in the slow-roll parameters must be introduced. The

function f'() depends on the initial background value for the curvaton and interpolates between two regimes, which have been investigated previously in the literature: the standard curvaton regime, where the curvaton starts oscillating long before it dom inates, and for which $f' = (2^{\circ} 2=3) (m_{P} =)$, and the secondary in aton regime, where the \curvaton" is still frozen when it dom inates and thus produces an additional period of in ation, and $f' = (1 = 2) (= m_{P})$.

In the case of slow-roll in ation, we have computed the spectral amplitude and index associated with curvature perturbations of mixed origins and shown how the resulting expressions nicely interpolate between the pure in aton and pure curvaton cases. As an illustration, we have considered the case of a quartic potential for the in aton and shown how allowing for a mixing with a curvaton changes the usual predictions.

The results derived here are quite generic and can be applied to any in ationary model. A lthough we have considered, for sim plicity, only a quadratic potential for the curvaton, which is a good approxim ation near a local minimum of most potentials, it is in principle straightforward to extend our results to other potentials. A swe have show n, a generic consequence of mixed perturbations is a modi cation of the usual consistency relation, which gives some hope to be able to distinguish observationally between the standard in ationary scenario and its mixed version.

A cknow ledgem ents $W \in w$ ish to thank D avid Lyth, Jerôm e M artin and D avid W ands for stim ulating discussions and instructive com - m ents. F.V. acknow ledges nancial support from the Sw iss N ational Science Foundation.

References

- [1] C.L.Bennett et al, Astrophys. J. Suppl. 148,1 (2003).
- [2] A.Guth, Phys. Rev. D 23, 347 (1981).
- [3] A.R.Liddle, D.H.Lyth, \Cosmological in ation and large-scale structure", Cambridge University Press, UK, (2000).
- [4] D.H.Lyth, D.W ands, Phys.Lett. B 524, 5-14 (2002).
- [5] K.Enqvist and M.S.Sloth, Nucl. Phys. B 626, 395 (2002).

- [6] T. Moroi and T. Takahashi, Phys. Lett. B 522, 215 (2001) E matum -ibid. B 539, 303 (2002)].
- [7] D.H.Lyth, C.Ungarelli, D.W ands, Phys. Rev. D 67, 023503 (2003).
- [8] T.Moroiand T.Takahashi, Phys. Rev. D 66, 063501 (2002).
- [9] K.Dim opoulos, D.H.Lyth, [arX iv hep-ph/0209180].
- [10] K. D in opoulos, D. H. Lyth, A. Notari and A. Riotto, JHEP 0307,053 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0304050].
- [11] D.Polarski, A.A. Starobinsky, Nucl. Phys. B 385, 623-650 (1992).
- [12] H. Kodam a and M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 78, 1 (1984).
- [13] V.F.Mukhanov, H.A.Feldm an and R.H.Brandenberger, Phys. Rept. 215 203 (1992).
- [14] K.A.Malik, D.W and s and C.Ungarelli, Phys. Rev. D 67, 063516 (2003).
- [15] D.H.Lyth, E.D. Stewart, Phys. Lett. B 274, 168-172 (1992).
- [16] D.Langlois, Phys. Rev. D 59, 123512 (1999).
- [17] H.V.Peiris et al, Astrophys.J.Suppl. 148, 213 (2003).
- [18] S.Dodelson, W.H.Kinney, E.E.Kolb, Phys. Rev. D 56 3207– 3215 (1997).
- [19] V. Barger, H.-S. Lee, and D. Marfatia, Phys. Lett. B 565, 33 (2003).
- [20] S.M. Leach and A.R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D 68, 123508 (2003).
- [21] A.R.Liddle and S.M.Leach, Phys. Rev. D 68, 103503 (2003).
- [22] Y.S.Song and L.Knox, Phys. Rev. D 68, 043518 (2003).