A ROSAT Bright Source Catalog Survey with the Swift Satellite

Derek B.Fox

Caltech A stronom y, M S 105-24, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, 91125-2400; derektox@astro.caltech.edu

ABSTRACT

We consider the prospects for a complete survey of the 18,811 sources of the ROSAT All-Sky Survey Bright Source Catalog (BSC) with NASA's Swift gamma-ray burst (GRB) mission. By observing each BSC source for 500s with the satellite's imaging X-ray and UV/optical telescopes, this \Swift Bright (Source) Catalog Survey" (Swift-BCS) would derive $< 5^{00}$ source positions for all BSC sources that have not faded substantially from their ROSAT -era ux levels, and provide $0.2\{10 \text{ keV X} - ray and UV or optical ux m easurements. The$ improvement by a factor of 10 to 30 in the two-dimensional localization for thesesources will enable optical identic cations or deep limits in nearly every case, ful-

lling the prom ise of the BSC as a multiwavelength catalog, and allowing the full enumeration of its rare source populations. Since the Swift-BCS can be accomplished with 10% of the time on-orbit, for a three-year mission, and since its targets will be of lower priority than active afferglows, it will not conict with the primary Swift mission of GRB follow-up observations. Moreover, the BSC targets can be scheduled so as to advance the secondary goals of Swift: Daily monitoring of the full sky in the hard X-ray band (source uxes of> 20m C rab, $10\{100 \text{ keV}\}$ with the wide- eld Burst A lert Telescope (BAT); and a two-year all-sky BAT survey down to > 1m C rab. The resulting expansion of the catalog of identi ed X-ray sources from 2000 to 18,000 will provide a greatly-enriched set of targets for observation by XMM-N ewton, C handra, and future high-energy observatories.

Subject headings: surveys | X-rays: general | ultraviolet: general

1. Introduction

NASA's Swift M idex m ission is in its nalground-based testing phases and is scheduled for launch in Septem ber 2004¹. D esigned for and dedicated to the detection and autom ated

¹Swift m ission web site: http://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/

follow -up of gam m a-ray bursts (G R B s), Sw iff has a broad-band com plem ent of instrum ents: a coded-m ask C dZnTe B urst A lert Telescope (BAT; B arthelm y 2000) to localize the prom pt hard X -ray em ission of gam m a-ray bursts, and for pointed observations of the subsequent G R B afterglows, a nested-m irror grazing-incidence X -ray telescope (X R T; B urrows et al. 2003) and a UV /O ptical telescope (UVO T; R om ing et al. 2000) which is a slightly-m odi ed version of the O ptical M onitor on XMM -N ewton (M ason et al. 2001). The X R T, with an EP IC M O S C C D as its focal plane detector, has an e ective area of 135 cm² at 1.5 keV, m aking it the seventh-largest focusing X -ray telescope ever own { including the three telescopes on XMM { and its 18⁰⁰ (half-power diam eter) point-spread function com pares favorably with all previous missions except the E instein and RO SAT H R I instrum ents, C handra, and XM M . The UVO T provides UV /optical (200 nm to 600 nm, depending on the Iter) sensitivity over m ost of the eld-of-view of the X R T, and can reach B = 24 m ag in a 1000 s exposure.

Uniquely among missions of its size, Swift possesses large reaction wheels which give it a slew rate of one degree per second, enabling prompt follow-up of GRB positions and a continuous sequence of pointings over the course of each orbit, scheduled so that the narrow-

eld instrum ents (XRT and UVOT) are never Earth-occulted. In combination, as we explore below, these capabilities make it attractive and feasible to execute a complete Swift survey of the ROSAT Bright Source Catalog.

The ROSAT All-Sky Survey (RASS) Bright Source Catalog (BSC; Voges et al. 1999) contains 18,811 sources, covering 92% of the sky, down to count rates of 0.05 PSPC c s¹, corresponding to a ux limit of roughly 7 10^{14} erg cm s¹ (0.5{2.0 keV}) for an unabsorbed blackbody spectrum with kT = 0:1 keV. It is the most sensitive X-ray all-sky survey ever perform ed, reaching uxes 100 times fainter than the Einstein survey, and with a greater emphasis on the soft X-ray band. Typical ux-dependent positional uncertainties for BSC sources are $10{20^0}$ (radius, one-sigm a).

These positional uncertainties are large enough that they have prevented con dent opticalidenti cation { and hence, physical classi cation { of the great m a jority of BSC sources. Fim identi cations have been derived for a subset of roughly 2000 of the brightest sources by the ROSAT Bright Survey group (F ischer et al. 1998; Schwope et al. 2000). Suggested identi cations have been proposed for perhaps 4000 m ore in w ide-area optical surveys (Zickgraf et al. 2003; Anderson et al. 2003), but the con dence level of these is such that m ost have not been subject to subsequent follow -up observations.

A m ong the > 12,000 unidenti ed B SC sources that rem ain there m ust exist, undiscovered and in quantity, m em bers of rare and interesting X -ray source populations; m em bers of com m on source populations that exhibit distinctive features m aking them worthy of further study; and quite possibly, entirely new classes of G alactic or extragalactic X -ray source that have so far escaped notice.

These rare and interesting source populations are best identi ed from within the BSC rather than by other methods, for at least two reasons. First, any BSC source has a sufciently high X-ray ux to permit detailed follow-up observations by XMM, Chandra, and future high-energy missions. Second, as we discuss below, the optical counterparts of BSC sources are bright enough, in most cases, to be accessible to optical spectroscopy from modest-sized (4-m class) facilities, which will allow extensive ground-based exploration of the physical properties of the sources.

In the sections that follow, we make the case for a complete survey of BSC sources with Swiff, the Swiff-BCS. With a 500-s pointing on each source, sources near the brightness threshold of the BSC will be re-detected with 25 counts in the Swiff XRT, yielding a $< 5^{00}$ position from the X-rays alone. The simultaneous 500-sUVOT exposure willhave a detection lim it of B = 23.5 in the B - lter, with comparable lim its in other UV/optical lters when they are used. In most cases this combination of observations will enable the identication of a unique UV/optical counterpart for the source, allowing ux estimates or upper lim its for the source to be derived from archival surveys spanning the electrom agnetic spectrum. In all, the required 9.4 M sec of observations can be accomplished with 10% of the time on-orbit over a three-year portion of the Swiff m ission. It will thus be possible to schedule Swift-BCS observations so as to advance the secondary goals of the m ission: D aily monitoring of the full sky to uxes of> 20m C rab (10{100 keV}) with the BAT, and a two-year all-sky BAT survey to > 1m C rab.

2. Ful lling the P rom ise of the B SC

In this section we show how deriving a uniform set of $< 5^{00}$ precision source localizations for the sources of the BSC will full the promise of the BSC as a multiwavelength catalog of the 18,811 brightest soft X-ray sources in the sky. In addition, we discuss past and current e orts at BSC source identication, and outline some of the returns that near-complete BSC identication will enable.

2.1. BSC Optical Counterparts

The identication of optical counterparts for every BSC source, or the establishment of constraining upper limits, is the crucial enabling step that will convert the BSC from an X-ray source catalog into a multiwavelength catalog which { by comparison to large-area o -band surveys { can present the X -ray, optical, near-infrared, and (in m any cases) radio properties of those sam e X -ray sources.

M ost known types of X -ray sources in the BSC will have optical counterparts that are bright enough to have been detected in the Palom ar All-Sky Surveys and to be listed in the resulting USNO² and DPOSS³ catalogs. Such sources will also be accessible to optical-spectroscopic investigation from m odest-sized ground-based facilities. At the limiting BSC count rate of 0.05 PSPC cs¹, the expected source B -band m agnitude m ay be expressed approximately as a function of $L_X = L_{opt}$:

B
$$17.5 + 2.5 \log_{10} (L_X = L_{opt}) m ag.$$
 (1)

Typical values of $\log_{10} (L_X = L_{opt})$ for various source populations are: for stars, 1 or less; for galaxies, 0.25 or less; for AGN and quasars, 1 to +1; and for white dwarfs and X-ray binaries, +1 to +3 (in extrem e cases). At the limit de ned by the X-ray binaries, B 25 m ag, the counterparts will not be present in the POSS in ages, and ground-based spectroscopy will require 8-m class telescopes, but these cases are expected to be relatively rare. M oreover, within regions covered to the deeper limits of the Sloan D igital Sky Survey (SD SS; York et al. 2000) even X-ray binary counterparts m ay be detected in reasonable num bers.

The only known optically-inaccessible source population consists of the isolated neutron stars (INSs), which have $L_x = L_{opt}$ 10⁵ (W alter & M atthews 1997; Treves et al. 2000; K aspi et al. 2004) and are therefore too faint for optical spectroscopy with any current facilities. However, these sources are interesting in their own right: the INSs have been subject to intensive observation { including m ore than a m egasecond of high-spectral resolution observations with C handra and XMM { as they are promising test-beds for theories of neutron star (NS) atm ospheres, NS structure, the properties of bulk m atter at super-nuclear densities, strong- eld gravity, and (depending on their intrinsic magnetic eld strengths) strong-m agnetic eld quantum electrodynam ical e ects.

Given the relatively bright X-ray uxes of the seven INSs that are known, many more should be present in the BSC down to the survey threshold (Fig. 1). But the relatively large BSC positional uncertainties mean that optically-bright objects may be found with high probability in every error circle: USNO-A2, down to B 19, has roughly 10 optical objects in each typical 60^{00} -diam eter (2-sigm a) region. Candidate INSs, which should be apparent as BSC sources without likely optical counterparts, are therefore hard to identify.

²USNO star catalogs web site: http://ftp.nofs.navy.mil/projects/pmm/catalogs.html

³DPOSS web site: http://dposs.caltech.edu/

This same confusion problem has prevented the routine identication of BSC optical counterparts even though { as we have seen { most of those counterparts already exist in current optical survey catalogs. Re ning the BSC positions to $< 5^{\circ}$ precision, however { a factor of 3 improvement over the BSC { will provide a factor of 10 improvement in the two-dimensional localization, reducing the average number of USNO-A2 objects per 2-sigm a localization from 10 to one, and thus enabling unique optical identication in nearly every case.

In short, for each $< 5^{\circ\circ}$ BSC source position, either a high-likelihood optical counterpart will be apparent, or the source will be an immediate candidate high-L_X=L_{opt} object, that is, a likely X-ray binary or INS.

The system atic identic cation of the BSC optical counterparts will, in turn, realize the potential of the BSC as a multiwavelength catalog. The USNO-A2 and DPOSS will provide BRI color information for the sources, and the 2MASS catalog⁴ will provide JHK_s color information. Comparison to the large-area NVSS and FIRST radio surveys will allow identication of radio sources. And nally, the identication of the optical counterparts will enable ground-based spectroscopic studies of the sources, most of which will be accessible to 4-m class facilities.

2.2. Past and Present E orts

BSC follow-up e orts to-date, although extensive, have ultimately yielded m identi – cations for only a m inority of the BSC sources. The Ham burg/RASS Catalog (HRC; Zickgraf et al. 2003) contains suggested identi cations for 4388 BSC sources based on examination of digitized direct and objective-prism Schmidt plates covering approximately 10,000 deg² of the N orthern sky, excluding regions within 30 of the Galactic plane. This represents an identi cation rate of 82% for the 5341 BSC sources in the region; how ever, the contam ination rate of the catalog { the number of proposed counterparts which are actually m isidenti cations { is not well known. Bade et al. (1998) have estimated a contam ination rate of 2% for a prelim inary version of the survey; how ever, a quantitative basis for this estimate is not given, and it seems a likely to be an underestimate. Indeed, since the catalog m ethod is to identify each BSC source with its most plausible optical counterpart within 30⁰⁰ { based on a priori expectations of the properties of various X-ray em itting source populations { the identi cation of new or unusual source types is nearly excluded by de nition.

⁴2M ASS web site: http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/

The ROSAT Bright Survey (RBS; Fischer et al. 1998; Schwope et al. 2000) has pursued optical identications for the bright portions of the BSC (> 0.2 cs^1), also excluding regions within 30 of the Galactic plane; to date they have achieved a 99.5% identication rate for approximately 2000 BSC sources. Again, the contamination fraction is not known. Two known INS sources, RX J1308.6+2127 and RX J1605.3+3249, were discovered in the RBS as BSC sources without bright optical counterparts (Schwope et al. 1999; Motch et al. 1999).

As part of the ongoing Sloan D igital Sky Survey, a component RASS/SDSS survey (Anderson et al. 2003) will be taking spectra of roughly 10,000 candidate counterparts to RASS sources drawn from the BSC and its companion Faint Source Catalog (FSC; Voges et al. 2000). Sources in the Sloan survey region, with detection likelihoods of more than 10 in either the BSC or FSC, will be targeted with (on average) one spectroscopic ber per source, placed on a single candidate optical counterpart brighter than the SD SS spectroscopic lim it (g, r, or i < 20.5 m ag). This approach, com bined with standard SD SS 5-color quasar selections, has proved e cient at identifying likely X-ray lum inous AGN (Anderson et al. 2003); at the same time, it cannot address the properties of the optically fainter populations in the BSC.

Finally, during the lifetime of the ROSAT mission itself, a number of HRI surveys of BSC targets, selected by various metrics, were carried out. The aim of these surveys was typically to improve the source positions, and/or resolve the sources them selves, with higher-resolution HRI imaging; since the HRI is less sensitive than the PSPC, pointed observations of at least 1 ks exposure time are required for these purposes. In all, such HRI pointings provide coverage for 9.1% of the BSC sources, and the varying motivations for the surveys makes this subset of BSC source identic cations a somewhat motley one.

Rutledge et al. (2003) investigated the prospects for BSC source identication using statistical techniques of catalog cross-correlation (see also Rutledge et al. 2000). In particular, they compared the BSC to the USNO-A2, IRAS point-source, and NVSS catalogs, and carried out follow -up observations of candidate high- $L_X = L_{opt=R=radio}$ sources with the Chandra HRC and ground-based telescopes, with the aim of either discovering new INSs or setting stringent limits on their frequency within the BSC. Although they ind no new INSs, they \rediscover" two: with a high probability of having no counterpart in the o -band catalogs, these two are selected as part of the authors' original 32-candidate sample. Moreover, by applying their selection to a group of articial test sources, they show that their sample is subject to an 80% confusion rate: 80% of isotropically-distributed sources without o -band counterparts are nonetheless associated with a nearby, bright optical object with modest (< 90%) con dence. Naturally, this is most commonly true of sources in the G alactic plane, where the density of USNO-A2 objects is highest.

Perhaps more in portantly, Rutledge et al. (2003) nd that all 12 of the X-ray sources for which they are able to determ ine re-ned positions, either from Chandra HRC (sub-arcsec) or ROSAT HRI (3 arcsec) observations { and none of which are INSs { are associated with objects from the USNO-A2 optical and/or 2M ASS near-infrared (NIR) catalogs. Thus, they conclude that the identic cation of o -band counterparts to BSC sources can be seen as limited strictly by the absence of higher-quality X-ray positions, rather than by high X-ray to optical/NIR ux ratios. This con is the argument we have made by reasoning from the $L_X = L_{opt}$ properties of known source populations: given the X-ray ux limit of the BSC, the existence of o -band counterparts in archival surveys can be expected, for all known source populations except the X-ray binaries and INSs.

2.3. Complete BSC Identi cation

Identi cations of the BSC sources, as a class, are worth pursuing. The very brightness of these brightest soft X-ray sources makes them interesting, since they can easily be made the subject of high signal-to-noise follow-up observations with Chandra, XMM, and future high-energy missions such as Constellation-X. In particular, any object at the BSC threshold (depending on the source spectrum) will yield 3000 to 6000 or 1500 to 3000 counts in the dispersed spectrum of a 100-ks exposure with the XMM or Chandra gratings, respectively, and count rates of 0.7 cs^{-1} (XMM EPIC PN) or 0.15 cs^{-1} (Chandra ACIS-S) in the main CCD detectors of those missions.

The large-scale e orts that have already been devoted to optical follow -up and identi – cation of BSC sources, discussed above, testify to the intense interest in establishing optical counterparts to these sources. Improved positions for BSC sources will greatly assist these e orts, providing the impetus that is probably necessary to drive them forward to an ultimate completion level of > 90%. E orts to-date have focused primarily on the bright end of the BSC and away from the Galactic plane, and with good reason { at fainter ux levels and nearer the plane confusion problems for the BSC positions are severe. Only improved positions from X-ray observations can alleviate this di culty.

A chieving a substantial completion fraction for BSC source identications is the best means to enumerate in full the rare source populations of the BSC and identify new source populations if they exist. The large-area surveys of the BSC have been biased towards discovery of optically bright or emission-line sources associated with X-ray bright BSC sources outside of the plane of the G alaxy (bj > 30). This focus on high G alactic latitudes presents a particular problem for G alactic populations, which can be expected to prefer the plane of the G alaxy: such populations will be subject to greater confusion in general and, in addi-

tion, will have had a large fraction of their population { all those members within 30 of the plane { skipped entirely by most follow-up e orts. A part from the INSs, such populations could include, for example, low-mass X-ray binary systems (LM XBs) in quiescence, and Bondi-accreting isolated black holes, the higher-mass counterparts to the INS population.

The discovery of sources in new or rare extragalactic populations is also a possibility. For the most part, the BSC surveys have operated under the presum ption that any AGN within a BSC error circle is necessarily a BSC source counterpart. While the estimated sky density of AGN is low enough to make this approach reasonable in the aggregate, without any quantitative estimate of the likelihood of each association, contam ination of the resulting sample is guaranteed. In each case where such a mistaken BSC-AGN association has been made, we will not instead a non-X-ray luminous AGN, on the one-hand, and a BSC source without prominent AGN counterpart, on the other. The latter class of BSC sources may well yield new types of extragalactic X-ray sources.

Finally, as we discuss further in the sections that follow, there are good reasons to perform BSC follow-up with Swift. In addition to providing in proved positions for the BSC sources, a 500-s observation with the XRT and UVOT will provide new spectral information in the $0.2\{10 \text{ keV X} - \text{ray} \text{ band} \text{ and in the optical or UV (200 to 600 nm), depending on the choice of UVOT liter. Since the BSC sources are prominent in the soft X - ray band, they are an exclusively low-extinction population, and the UV data { which cannot be gathered by ground-based observers { are of particular interest. W henever possible, one of the UV liters should be in place for BSC observations; it is not clear if this will be possible, how ever, in every case (x4.3).$

3. Observational Strategy

Our proposed observational strategy is straightforward. Since the Swift XRT and ROSAT PSPC have comparable sensitivity and overlapping spectral ranges (Table 1), a broad range of spectra produce comparable count rates in the two instruments. In particular, for a given PSPC count rate, very soft spectra produce fewer counts in the XRT, and very hard or extinguished spectra produce m any m ore counts in the XRT.

We therefore choose a standard 500-s exposure per source. This will su ce to collect 25 counts from sources near the 0.05 cs^{1} threshold of the BSC which have not faded substantially from their ROSAT -era ux level. Detection of 25 counts will provide a detection with high con dence, and allow a localization with a purely statistical precision of 1.5-arcsec (radius, 1-sigm a). It is anticipated that the absolute pointing of the XRT, relative

to the UVOT, will be subject to system atic uncertainties at the 3-arcsec level; however, it is possible that experience with satellite operations on-orbit will eventually reduce this system atic uncertainty to less than 1 arcsec.

Figure 2 illustrates the two-dimensional distribution of BSC sources in PSPC count rate and localization uncertainty (radius, 1-sigma). Under the assumption of count-rate parity with the Swift XRT, it also shows the expected positional uncertainties from our standard 500-s exposure, both with and without the 3-arcsec contribution from the XRT absolute pointing uncertainty. As the gure demonstrates, BSC sources that have more or less maintained their ROSAT -era X -ray lum inosity will be localized with 10 to 30 times better two-dimensional precision than in the BSC.

These uncertainty calculations will be rendered academ ic in cases where a counterpart can be identified (and hence localized to sub-arcsec precision) in the simultaneous UVOT exposure, which will have a limiting magnitude of B = 23.5 mag for the B band, and a comparable limit for other liters when they are used. Since BSC source positions are already subject to confusion at the limit of the USNO-A2 catalog and corresponding archival optical surveys, the identification of a high-likelihood counterpart from UVOT in aging alone will be most probable in cases where a UV or far-UV liter is used, since this will help to distinguish the BSC sources from the eld.

In other cases, a UVOT identic cation may follow from the re-ned localization derived from the XRT data. The potential for this type of \localization cascade," deriving from a single pointed observation with a single satellite mission, is a unique but not accidental capability of Swift, which was designed to produce this cascade routinely in the course of its rapid-response GRB follow-up observations.

Survey observations are not time-sensitive, so given the rapid one degree per second skw rate of the Swift spacecraft, the scheduling of the Swift-BCS should not present significant operational challenges. For example, if we dem and a duty cycle of > 90% for survey operations, then we are restricted to skwing, on average, less than 25 before and after each BSC target. This should not be a di cult requirement to meet; smaller average skws can probably be achieved with optimization of the planning software.

4. Swift Operations and the Swift-BSCS

The current plan for Swift operations on-orbit is sum marized in the Technical Appendix to the announcement and call for proposals for the Swift Cycle 1 Guest Investigator Program ⁵ The satellite will be launched into a 22 -inclination low Earth orbit, and given the pointing restrictions of the BAT, XRT, and UVOT instrum ents, there will be no \continuous view ing zone." Rather, any given position on the sky will be excluded from view for some or most of each 95-m inute orbit. As a result { and taking advantage of the satellite's skewing capabilities { each orbit will be divided into 4 to 6 distinct 10-m in to 20-m in pointings, each scheduled during an appropriate visibility window. In this manner the satellite instruments will never su er from Earth occultation, and a high duty cycle for the mission can be achieved. Protective measures for the XRT and UVOT during passage through the South A tlantic A nom aly should represent the only substantial recurring interruptions; even data that are taken during satellite skews by the BAT and XRT instruments will be amenable to later analysis.

4.1. Relation to Prim ary Science

Through at least its rst 1.5 years on-orbit, and quite possibly beyond, Swift will be committed to the follow-up of every GRB it detects, from the moment of trigger until the GRB has faded below detectability to the XRT and UVOT. This is expected to last one to two weeks in the average case, although for rare, bright, low-redshift events (e.g.GRB 030329; Price et al. 2003; Lipkin et al. 2003) it could last for months. The amount of time devoted to GRB follow-up, then, depends on the expected trigger rate from the BAT.

Current estim ates for this rate are in the range of 100 to 150 GRBs per year. A ssum ing Swift observation of ve targets per orbit, and ten days of follow -up observations per burst, this range of trigger rates corresponds to a commitment of 55% to 82% of the total time on-orbit. The remainder of the on-orbit time will necessarily be devoted to observations of non-afferglow targets.

The use of 10% of the time on-orbit for the Sw iff-BCS thus seems likely to be consistent with the primary GRB mission of Sw iff. The individual 500-s pointings will be easy to t into the typical 20-m in visibility window for a single orbit; on average the Sw iff-BCS will contribute one target per orbit to the Sw iff schedule. W hen new GRBs are detected, the autom ated ight software will override the preplanned observations and initiate a standard GRB observations sequence, including follow -up observations in subsequent orbits. Since the Sw iff-BCS preplanned targets will always be of lower priority than new GRBs and active afterglows, the execution of the survey will at no point con ict with the GRB primary mission.

⁵See http://swiftsc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/swift/proposals/cycle1_gi.html

4.2. Relation to Secondary Science

Execution of the Sw iff-BCS is also consistent with the m ission's secondary science goals. The m ost important non-GRB science goals of Sw iff relate to the proposed all-sky surveys with the BAT instrument. Two survey components are planned: On a daily basis, the BAT will be used to monitor the full sky down to source uxes of> 20m Crab (10{100 keV}); and over the course of the initial two-year m ission, a deep BAT all-sky survey will be conducted, reaching 10{100 keV source uxes of> 1m C rab. Both of these surveys will be carried out by the BAT instrument team at G oddard Space F light C enter and Los A lam os N ational Laboratory. The daily scans will allow the BAT to serve as a hard X -ray \all-sky m onitor," alerting the community when a bright new source has appeared or a fam iliar source has undergone a change of state; the two-year all-sky survey will be > 10 times m ore sensitive than the only previous such hard X -ray survey, by HEAO A -4 in 1977-79 (Levine et al. 1984), and should detect hundreds of sources.

Since the BAT has a 1.4 sr eld of view (half-coded), carrying out the daily survey in a dedicated fashion would require 8 pointings, of 600 s exposure each, to tile the accessible sky (given the 45 exclusion cone around the Sun). Som e of this BAT survey coverage will be provided by GRB follow -up observations, but at our estim ated trigger rates these provide only 3 to 5 active afterglows on any given day. The exact pointings selected to satisfy BAT coverage of the remaining tiling positions will need to be chosen according to som e other criterion.

Since BSC sources provide close to uniform coverage of the full sky (Fig. 3), targets at locations complementary to the current GRB follow-up positions can be selected to complete the tiling on each day { the 500-s exposure time of the Sw iff-BCS is about the same as for the BAT pointings. Observing BSC sources at the appropriate tiling positions would account for 3 to 5 of the 17 BSC targets per day that will be observed for a survey using 10% of the time on-orbit.

4.3. Constraints

There are several operational constraints on the Swift m ission that will impact its ability to carry out the Swift-BCS as we have described it.

First, the current approved m ission lifetime is two years, which is not su cient to complete the survey at a 10% fraction of the time on-orbit. We consider the chances for a m ission extension quite good, however, given the unique capabilities of the satellite and the revolution in GRB studies { including the application of bright afferglows to outstanding

problem s in astrophysics and cosm ology { that we expect it to bring about. The satellite orbit itself is expected to be stable for at least six years.

Next, changes in the UVOT liter involve moving parts with a nite lifetime, so that it is desirable to minimize these liter changes. Moreover, since the liters are arranged in a wheel with changes happening in strict sequence, changes should preferentially be made in this order. During GRB follow-up observations, the six broadband liters (and for bright bursts, the grism s) will be stepped through in strict succession, with roughly equal exposure tim esper liter. At early times, liter changes will happen several times per visibility window, while at late times, each visibility window will be devoted to observations in a single liter.

Since survey operations are of lower priority than afferglow observations, we cannot expect to have free access to any particular UVOT Iter for any observation. For this reason, we have avoided assuming that the BSC sources will be observed uniformly in a single Iter, even though this would be the obvious preference for any complete survey. Moreover, in the case of the Swift-BCS, we have a scientic preference for UV rather than optical observations: the target BSC sources are bright and unextinguished, and likely to have relatively bright UV emission; in addition, UV observations represent a distinctive capability of Swift, while optical observations can be made from the ground. The ultimate allocation of Iter changes for the survey will thus represent a comprom ise between survey science and satellite operations.

Next, given the satellite power constraints there is an approxim ate 500 degree per orbit slew limit. In general the current operations plan, which speci es 4 to 6 pointings per orbit to satisfy visibility constraints, is not expected to tax this limit. However, the 500-s observations of the Sw iff-BCS m ay be considered slightly shorter than optimal, in the sense that they m ay not llthe window of visibility for the target. Given the ready availability of BSC sources near most sky positions, it seem s unlikely that the slew constraint will present a problem for survey operations, and this can be con im ed by simulations of the survey execution on orbit.

We note that with the 23.6-arcm in eld of view of the XRT it will be possible in some cases to observe two BSC sources in a single pointing. This represents an opportunity to reduce the total time request for the survey by approximately 10%.

Finally, it is clear that the Sw iff-BCS cannot be carried out without full support and close interaction with the Sw iff team. In a pragmatic sense, no such additional, large-scale project can be contemplated without added moneys to support the activity. We have restricted ourselves to the scientic clase for the Sw iff-BCS; eventually, budgetary resources will also have to be secured.

5. Conclusions

We have investigated the prospects for a complete survey of the 18,811 sources of the ROSAT All-Sky Survey Bright Source Catalog (BSC) with the Swift gam ma-ray burst satellite, the \Swift-BCS," and nd them to be good. With 10% of the time on-orbit, over a three-year period, each BSC source can be observed for 500 swith the satellite's narrow - eld X-ray (XRT) and UV/Optical (UVOT) telescopes. The XRT observation will provide an improved < 5-arcsec position for every BSC source that has not faded by more than a factor of 5 from its ROSAT -era ux level, and in addition, provide a 0.2{10 keV ux for the source. The localization will represent an improvement by a factor of 10 to 30 in two-dimensional precision over the BSC positions (Fig. 2). The simultaneous UVOT observation, taken in one of six broadband liters in the 200 nm to 600 nm wavelength range, will reach a lim - iting magnitude of B = 23.5 m ag or its rough equivalent, yielding candidate UV/optical counterparts or deep lim its in every case.

G iven the brightness of the BSC sources, and the $L_X = L_{opt}$ properties of known source populations, the improved positions of the Sw iff-BCS can be expected to yield counterpart identications in the great majority of cases. Indeed, Sw iff-BCS sources without plausible optical (USNO-A2 or UVOT) counterparts will be immediate candidate X-ray binaries or isolated neutron stars (INSs; Fig 1).

Deriving counterpart identi cations for the great majority of BSC sources will realize the promise of the BSC as a multiwavelength catalog, and is the best means to enumerate the rare source populations in the BSC and discover any new populations that may exist. Without improved positions these e orts will continue to be frustrated by confusion problems { with multiple USNO-A2 sources in the typical $60^{\circ\circ}$ -diam eter 2-sigm a error circle, establishing a unique identi cation is nontrivial (Rutledge et al. 2000, 2003) { and a ected by unknown levels of contamination { most e orts have associated each BSC source with the most promising candidate in its error circle, without deriving a quantitative estimate of the likelihood of association. Each counterpart identi cation, then, will enable ux estimates or upper limits across the electrom agnetic spectrum via current optical (DSS, DPOSS, SDSS), near-infrared (2MASS), and radio (NVSS, FIRST) surveys.

Any interesting BSC source that can be identied in this manner will be readily accessible to high signal-to-noise, high-resolution X-ray spectroscopy by XMM-Newton and Chandra. In addition, those sources with optical counterparts will for the most part be accessible to optical-spectroscopic studies from modest-sized (4-m class) ground-based facilities.

We have investigated Swift mission constraints on the Swift-BCS and do not nd them

to be severe. The BSC targets will be of low erpriority than new GRBs or active GRB afterglows, so that the survey will not connict with the primary Swift goal of GRB observations. Moreover, the Swift-BCS observations can be scheduled so as to advance the secondary science goals of a daily all-sky hard X-ray (10{100 keV}) survey to > 20 m C rab, and a two-year all-sky survey to > 1 m C rab, executed with the wide-eld Burst A lert Telescope (BAT). The 10% commitment of satellite time corresponds to roughly one BSC target per 95-m in orbit. Each such orbit will typically involve observations at 4 to 6 distinct pointings, som e 3 to 5 of which will be active GRB afterglows.

Execution of the Sw iff-BCS will surely represent an operational challenge for the m ission. The progress of the survey will have to be monitored on an orbit by orbit basis, with new targets chosen from the unobserved portions of the BSC, and target lists updated as necessary when new GRB triggers result in the autom ated rescheduling of satellite observations. M oreover, som e level of global optim ization of target choices will be desired, to retain isotropy of the remaining targets, as much as possible, until the very end of the survey.

However, the resulting capability for routine observations of large numbers of distinct targets will prove valuable should the Swift team choose to pursue complete surveys of other target catalogs. In particular, the positions of sources identi ed in the two-year BAT all-sky survey will only be known to few arcm in precision, so that a uniform campaign of follow -up observations with the XRT m ight be worth considering. Complete XRT+UVOT surveys of other target populations { for example, targets from the forthcom ing GALEX all-sky in aging survey { m ight also be contemplated. Execution of these or sim ilar projects will require a capability like that needed for the Swift-BCS.

Ultimately, the choice to pursue the Swift-BCS is a choice to pursue the science we have described in preference to other non-GRB observations that Swift m ight carry out. Beyond the stills years of on-orbit operations, the Swift Guest Investigator program will enter Cycle 2, and may solicit proposals from the community for pointed XRT and UVOT observations of designated non-GRB targets. Continued execution of the Swift-BCS into this era would imply that less time would be available for these programs, for two or three cycles, until the Swift-BCS is completed.

In a generic sense, the choice to make short observations of a large number of targets, as we propose here, may be contrasted with the alternative of making deeper exposures of som e smaller set of targets, for example, monitoring X-ray binaries, soft gam ma-ray repeaters, anom alous X-ray pulsars, or selected X-ray bright AGN at regular intervals. The variety of science which might be accomplished with such campaigns is surely rich, and beyond our capability to sum marize or even hint at in this context. However, we believe that the science gains of a complete Swift-BCS are of su cient value to warrant at least a similar level of consideration.

M ost in portantly, the science of the Sw ift-BCS is science that only Sw ift can achieve. Sw ift's combination of multiwavelength instrum entation, fast-slew capability, and exibility in target scheduling make it the only present or planned satellite mission capable of executing a complete BSC survey without signi cant operational overheads. The Sw ift-BCS thus represents the best opportunity for many years to come to expand the number of securelyidenti ed X-ray sources by an order of magnitude, from 2000 to 18,000. Declining to take advantage of this opportunity will restrict the observations of the current and nextgeneration X-ray missions to more or less the known population of X-ray sources that exists today. On the other hand, prompt execution of the Sw ift-BCS will allow immediate followup studies with XMM and Chandra of the most intriguing new members of all X-ray source populations, from stars to compact objects to AGN. This will renew the promise of these observatories, for all areas of X-ray astronomy, as they enter their extended mission phase.

A cknow ledgm ents.

The author would like to express his appreciation to NeilGehrels, John Nousek, David Burrows, and the Swift mission team for the advance planning and extensive consideration of mission capabilities that have made this proposal possible. In addition, he thanks Bob Rutledge, Dale Frail, and Shri Kulkami for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

Anderson, S.F. et al. 2003, AJ, 126, 2209

Bade, N. et al. 1998, 127, 145

- Barthelmy, S.D. 2000, in Proc. SPIE Vol. 4140, p. 50–63, X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Instrum entation for Astronom y XI, Kathryn A.Flanagan; Oswald H.Siegmund; Eds., 50{63
- Burrows, D.N. et al. 2003, in X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Telescopes and Instruments for Astronomy. Edited by Joachim E. Truemper, Harvey D. Tananbaum. Proceedings of the SPIE, Volume 4851, pp. 1320–1325 (2003)., 1320{1325
- Fischer, J.-U., Hasinger, G., Schwope, A.D., Brunner, H., Boller, T., Trumper, J., Voges, W., & Neizvestny, S. 1998, A stronom ische Nachrichten, 319, 347

- Kaspi, V.M., Roberts, M.S.E., & Harding, A.K. 2004, ArX iv Astrophysics e-prints, astro-ph/0402136
- Levine, A.M. et al. 1984, ApJS, 54, 581
- Lipkin, Y.M. et al. 2003, astro-ph/0312594
- Mason, K.O. et al. 2001, A&A, 365, L36
- Motch, C., Haberl, F., Zickgraf, F.-J., Hasinger, G., & Schwope, A.D. 1999, A&A, 351, 177
- Price, P.A. et al. 2003, Nature, 423, 844
- Roming, P.W. et al. 2000, in Proc. SPIE Vol. 4140, p. 76-86, X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Instrum entation for A stronom y XI, Kathryn A.Flanagan; O swald H.Siegmund; Eds., 76{86
- Rutledge, R.E., Brunner, R.J., Prince, T.A., & Lonsdale, C.2000, ApJS, 131, 335
- Rutledge, R.E., Fox, D.W., Bogosavljevic, M., & Mahabal, A. 2003, ApJ, 598, 458
- Schwope, A. et al. 2000, A stronom ische Nachrichten, 321, 1
- Schwope, A.D., Hasinger, G., Schwarz, R., Haberl, F., & Schmidt, M. 1999, A&A, 341, L51
- Treves, A., Turolla, R., Zane, S., & Colpi, M. 2000, PASP, 112, 297
- Voges, W .et al. 1999, A & A , 349, 389
- Voges, W . et al. 2000, in International A stronom ical Union C ircular, 1 {+
- Walter, F.M. & Matthews, L.D. 1997, Nature, 389, 358
- York, D.G. et al. 2000, AJ, 120, 1579
- Zickgraf, F.-J., Engels, D., Hagen, H.-J., Reimers, D., & Voges, W. 2003, A&A, 406, 535

This preprint was prepared with the AAS IPT_EX m acros v5.0.

P roperty	PSPC	Sw ift
E ective A rea (cm^2)	150	135
Energy range (keV)	0.1{2.4	0.2{10.0
PSFFWHM (arcsec)	25.0	18.0
E = E	2.5	> 10

Table 1: Basic properties of the ROSAT PSPC and Swift XRT.



Fig. 1. ROSAT BSC source luminosity function, and comparison to the known population of isolated neutron stars (shaded histogram; Treves et al. 2000; Kaspi et al. 2004). With the vast majority of BSC sources unidenti ed, many INS sources may be present within the fainter portions of the BSC. Note that the power-law slope of the luminosity function at low count rates is = 123.



Fig. 2. Distribution of ROSAT BSC sources in count rate and positional uncertainty. Top panel: Two-dimensional histogram of BSC sources as a function of count rate and positional uncertainty, represented in grayscale (note the logarithmic stretch). Approximate Swift XRT uncertainties (500 s exposure) as a function of source count rate are indicated by the dotted lines; the lower line indicates the statistical uncertainty only, with the upper line including an estimated 3-arcsec systematic uncertainty. Most classes of source are expected to yield roughly equal count rates in the XRT and PSPC. Bottom panel: O ne-dimensional histogram of BSC sources as a function of count rate. For the great majority of BSC sources, a Swift XRT snapshot will improve the position determination by a factor of 3 to 4 in diameter and 10 to 15 in area.

log(PSPC count rate)



Fig. 3. Distribution of BSC sources in G alactic coordinates; G alactic longitude l = 0 at the center and increases to the right. The catalog is complete over 92% of the sky, with some gaps in coverage due to the (ecliptic-oriented) scan pattern of the ROSAT All-Sky Survey (see Voges et al. 1999). Lines of G alactic latitude $j_{0}j = 30$ are highlighted; these are the limits of the large-area optical surveys for BSC counterparts that have been carried out to date, which exclude the G alactic plane; see x22 for details.