AN X-RAY SEARCH FOR COMPACT CENTRAL SOURCES IN SUPERNOVA REMNANTS I: SNRS G 093 3+ 6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G 084 2+ 0.8, & G 127.1+ 0.5

D.L.Kaplan¹, D.A.Frail², B.M.Gaensler³, E.V.Gotthelf⁴, S.R.Kulkarnf¹,

P.O.Slane³,

and

A.Nechita⁵ Accepted by ApJS

ABSTRACT

M ost astronom ers now accept that stars m ore m assive than about 9M explode as supernovae and leave stellar rem nants, either neutron stars or black holes, with neutron stars being m ore prevalent. Recent m odeling of the explosions suggests a signi cant diversity in the key natal properties | rotation rate, velocity, and m agnetic eld strength | of the resulting neutron stars that account for the association of active radio pulsars, pulsar wind nebulae, and m agnetars with supernova rem nants (SNRs). The discovery of a central X ray source in C as A, the youngest known G alactic SNR, dram atized the expected diversity. How ever, less than half of the SNRs within 5 kpc have identied central sources, and only three are identied as the remnants of Type Ia SNe. Here, we report a system atic e ort to search for com pact central sources in the remaining 23 SNRs of this distance limited sample. Our search was inspired, on empirical considerations, by the enigm atic faint X ray source in C as A; m otivated, on theoretical grounds, by the expectation that young neutron stars em it cooling X ray emission; and m ade possible by the superb angular resolution o ered by the Chandra X ray m ission and the sensitivity of the XMM-N ewton m ission.

In this <code>rstpaperwereportChandra</code> observations of four SNRs (G 093.3+6.9,G 315.4 2.3,G 084.2+0.8, and G 127.1+0.5). We have undertaken a system atic optical/IR identication program of the X-ray sources detected in the eld of each SNR. Foreground (are stars, active stars) and background (active galactic nuclei)</code> sources have identiable IR /optical counterparts. In contrast, the counterparts of neutron stars (or black holes) are expected to be very faint. We are able to account for all the well-detected X-ray sources and thus able to state with some condence that there are no associated central sources down to a level of one tenth of that of the C as A central source, $L_{\rm X}$. 10^{31} ergs s 1 . We compare our lim its with cooling curves for neutron stars and nd that any putative neutron stars in these SNRs must be cooling faster than expected for traditional1:35M neutron stars and that any putative pulsar must have low spin-down lum inosities E-. 10^{34} ergs s 1 . However, our lim its are unable to constrain the presence or absence of more unusual options, such as relatively more massive neutron stars with M & 1:45M, neutron stars with exotic interiors, or quiescent black holes. In subsequent papers, we will report on the X-ray and optical/IR observations of the remaining members of the 5-kpc sam ple.

Subject headings: pulsars: general stars: neutron supernova rem nants X -rays: stars

1. introduction

Understanding the deaths of massive stars is one of the frontiers of modern astrophysics. Considerable observational evidence substantiates the idea that stars below M_w 8M end their lives as white dwarfs (W eidem ann 1987), while the detection of neutrinos from SN 1987A dram atically illustrated that more massive stars undergo core collapse (H irata et al. 1987). The outcom e of core collapse can either be a neutron star or a black hole (W oosley, H eger, & W eaver 2002). How ever, there are great uncertainties in the mapping between initial mass of the star and the end product, and even more uncertainties in the natal properties of the stellar rem nant. It is these uncertainties

that give observers opportunities to make new discoveries and theorists to predict or \postdict" these discoveries.

The rst issue | the state of the starprior to collapse | is very dependent on the mass loss history of stars (H eger et al. 2003), a phenom enon that is poorly understood and can easily be modi ed by the presence of a binary com – panion. For solarm etallicity (the situation relevant to this paper), stars with between M₁ 9M and M_u 25M are expected to form a neutron star (H eger et al. 2003), while stars above M_u are expected to form a black hole either by fallback of material which transmutes the neutron star to a black hole or by direct collapse. As an aside we note that progenitors with masses between M_w and M₁ may form an O-N e-M g white dwarf that may collapse to neutron stars or simply explode (M iyaji et al. 1980; N om oto 1984, 1987).

The second issue | the natal properties of the stellar rem nant | apparently involves delicate physics but has strong observational ram i cations. The gravitational binding energy of a neutron star is 10^{53} erg, of which only 1% appears to be coupled to the ejecta (which ultimately powers the SNR). Even more m inuscule fractions go into rotational energy, kinetic energy (bulk m otion) and m ag-

¹ Departm ent of A stronom y, 105-24 C alifornia Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125; dlk, srk@astro.caltech.edu

² N ationalR adio A stronom y O bservatory, P O .B ox O , Socorro, N M 87801; dfrail@nrao.edu.

³ Harvard-Sm ithsonian Center for A strophysics, 60 G arden Street, M S-6, C am bridge, M A 02138; bgaensler, slane@cfa.harvard.edu.

⁴ C olum bia A strophysics Laboratory, C olum bia U niversity, 550 W est 120th Street, N ew York, N Y 10027; evg@astro.columbia.edu.

⁵ Harvard College, Cambridge, MA 02138; nechita@fas.harvard.edu.

netic elds. It is now generally agreed that three dimensionale ects in the explosion determ ine the natalproperties (Burrows 2000; K ifonidis et al. 2003).

So far the discussion has assumed that the only gross parameter of interest is the mass of the star. However, it is likely that rotation of the progenitor can also profoundly a ect the outcom e.

These two issues are now propelling two di erent areas of inquiry. The relationship between the progenitor properties (m ass, rotation) and the gross outcom e of core collapse (neutron star or black hole) is observationally being determ ined by system atic studies of supernovae and GRBs and their interconnection. The second area is in understanding the natalproperties of neutron stars, which is the m ain focus of the paper.

The discovery of pulsars in the Vela SNR (Large, Vaughan, & M ills 1968) and the C rab Nebula (Staelin & Reifenstein 1968) made concrete the suggestion that core collapse results in neutron stars (Baade & Zwicky 1934), some of which manifest them selves as radio pulsars. Young pulsars, in addition to pulsing in the radio, can and usually do power synchrotron nebulae (W eiler & Panagia 1978) that are indirect markers of pulsars. These synchrotron nebulae are commonly called pulsar wind nebulae, or PW Ne. O ver the following two decades, the notion that neutron stars resemble the C rab pulsar guided the search for central objects as well as intensive radio mapping of SNR s. As a result of these e orts, the term \com posite" SNR (PW N + shell) was added to the SNR lexicon (see M ilne et al. 1979).

However, over the last ve years there have been three developments that have severely revised our picture of young neutron stars. First, astronom ers have com e to accept of trem endous diversity in the natal properties of young neutron stars. A nom alous X-ray pulsars (AXPs), soft -ray repeaters (SGRs), nearby therm al and radio quiet neutron stars, long period radio pulsars with high inferred magnetic elds (HBPSR) are now routinely found in astronom ical literature. These new classes of neutron stars have primarily come from high energy (X-ray and -ray) observations. Second, there is appreciation that the radio lum inosities of PW Ne is poorly dependent on the spin-down lum inosity of their central pulsars. For example, energetic pulsars may have faint PW Ne (e.g., PSR J1119 6127; Gonzalez & Sa -Harb 2003), and very sensitive imaging of the regions around identied central sources has frequently yielded only upper limits to the surface brightness of putative PW Ne (e.g., Gaensler, Bock, & Stappers 2000). Third, seven nearby cooling NSs have been identied (Haberl 2003) through ROSAT observations. M any of these neutron stars do not appear to be evolved versions of standard radio pulsars; e.g., RX J0720.4 3125 has a period longer than alm ost any known radio pulsar but has a typical B - eld strength (K aplan et al. 2002a; Zane et al. 2002).

W hile this diversity is clearly dem onstrated observationally, theory and simulation cannot yet constrain the fundam ental birth properties of neutron stars (e.g., Burrow s et al. 2003). M odels still have di culties achieving explosions, much less following the activity in the post-collapse object in any detail.

Three years ago we began a program of observation-

ally investigating the stellar rem nants in a volum e-lim ited census of G alactic supernova rem nants. The approach we took was inspired by the rst light picture of C handra, the discovery of a central X -ray source in the well-studied and youngest known supernova rem nant in our G alaxy, C assiopeia A (Tananbaum 1999). The nature of the object continues to be debated (P avlov et al. 2000; C hakrabarty et al. 2001; M urray et al. 2002a). How ever, one conclusion is crystal clear: the X -ray source is not a standard radio pulsar (unbeam ed or otherw ise).

The basis of our e ort is that observationally, all central sources in SNRs known to date, regardless of the band of their initial identi cation (-ray, X-ray, or radio) appear to possess detectable X-ray emission. Theoretically, we expect therm al X-ray emission from young neutron stars. Thus, on both counts the search for central sources in young remnants is very well motivated. How ever, a follow-up program is essential sincem any other foreground sources such as are stars, young stars, and accreting sources and background sources such as AGN dom inate the source counts (Hertz & Grindlay 1988; Mushotzky et al. 2000). Fortunately, the sub-arcsecond spatial resolution of Chandra allow e cient litering of such contam - inating ob jects.

To this end, we have identi ed a sam ple of SNRs within 5 kpc of the Sun which do not have known radio pulsars or PW Ne and have not been associated with Type Ia explosions (Table 1. We successfully proposed for a \large" Chandra e ort in AO -3 to im age nine SNRs. This initial allocation has been supplemented with additional time in AO -4 of Chandra and AO -2 of XMM. Follow up of the X - ray sources has been undertaken with a plethora of ground based telescopes in the optical and near-IR bands (Palom ar 60-inch, Palom ar 200-inch, Las Campanas 40-inch, ESO 3.5-m, M agellan 6.5-m and Keck 10-m). Here, we report the rst analysis of four SNRs for which the follow up is now com plete. The analysis for the remaining rem nants will be reported in future papers.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In $x \ge w \ge 1$ sum marize the empirical X-ray properties of the known sample of young neutron stars. Such a summary is essential since the guiding principle of our e ort is to place our search for central objects against the fram ework of existing classes of sources. Speci cally, we are not entirely guided by the relatively poorly understood cooling of neutron stars. Our search has been designed to nd objects as faint as one tenth of the central X -ray source in C assiopeia A. In x 3.1 we present a com plete sam ple of cataloged SNRswithin 5kpc. Of these, 18 have an identied central source or are known to be a composite remnant, while three are thought the be the results of Type Ia SN e. The remaining 23 seem ingly \hollow "SNRs form our primary sample. By \hollow ", we refer to SNRs that have distinct shells but no obvious indication of central neutron stars see Vasisht & Gotthelf (1997). Section 4 has a general overview of our observations and analysis techniques: in x 4.1 {4.4 we present a sum m ary of the details of our Chandra observations and data reduction, and a likew ise global description of the extensive multi-wavelength follow up is given in x 4.5. W e follow this by detailed descriptions of each of the st four SNRs, its observations, and identi cation of counterparts to its X -ray sources in

xx 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 for SNRsG 093.3+ 6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G 084.2+ 0.8, and G 127.1+ 0.5, respectively. It must be appreciated that complete identi cation of all X-ray sources is essential, given the small sample size. We hope that our detailed cataloging will be of help to e orts such as ChaM P lane (G rindlay et al. 2003). Finally, in x 6 we discuss what lim its our data can place on the existence of central sources in the four SNRs, and we conclude in x 7.

2. x-ray properties of young neutron stars

The rst manifestations of neutron stars associated with SNRs were traditional rotation-powered pulsars (such as those in the Crab and Vela SNRs). With the advent of high-energy missions a number of new classes were discovered. These include Soft Gamma Repeaters (SGRs; for a review see Hurley 2000), A nom alous X-ray Pulsars (AXPs; for a review see M ereghettiet al. 2002a), and C om pact Central Objects (CCOs; for a review see Pavlov et al. 2002). Finally, recent radio surveys have uncovered central C rab-like pulsars with eld strengths beyond 10^{13} G the so-called high-B pulsars (HBPSRs; Cam ib et al. 2000; Gotthelf et al. 2000). SGRs and AXPs have been suggested to be magnetars (Duncan & Thompson 1992; Thom pson & Duncan 1995): neutron stars with extrem ely strong eld strengths, B & 10¹⁵ G.CCO is the generic name for a heterogeneous group of X-ray sources em itting largely unpulsed soft (therm al) emission and lacking detectable radio em ission. A nother possibly related class are the Isolated Neutron Stars (IN Ss; Haberl 2003), also called Radio-Quiet Neutron Stars (RQNSs) or Dim ThermalNeutron Stars (DTNSs). Below we summarize the general properties of each of these classes in turn.

2.1. Radio Pulsars

Radio pulsars observed in the X-rays often have twocomponent spectra. Here, the therm al component tends to be softer (. 03 keV) and the power-law component harder (= $1:5\{2.5\}$) than those of AXPs; see Becker & Trum per (1997), Possentiet al. (2002), and Tables 2 and 3. A rough relation was originally discovered by Sew ard & W ang (1988) between the X-ray lum inosity and the rota-10³ E- (Becker tional energy loss rate E-: Lx;0:1 2:4 keV & Trum per 1997). W hile this relation has been updated for specic classes of neutron stars and di erent energy bands (e.g., Possentiet al. 2002) and has considerable scatter, it still holds on average . The observed X -ray lum inosities of radio pulsars then vary between 10^{31} ergs s¹ and 10^{37} ergs s¹, depending on their values of E- (and through that, their values of P and P.

W hile only m illisecond pulsars and \old" (> 10^6 yr) pulsars from the sam ple of Possenti et al. (2002), have values of E-less than 10^{34} ergs s¹, there have been three young pulsars discovered recently that are m ore extrem e. These are the HBPSR s PSR J1814 1744 (P = 4:0 s and E- = 4:7 10^{32} ergs s¹; C am ilo et al. 2000), PSR J1847 0130 (P = 6:7 s and E- = 1:7 10^{32} ergs s¹; M cLaughlin et al. 2003), and PSR J1718-37184 (P = 3:4 s and E- = 1:6 10^{33} ergs s¹; M cLaughlin et al. 2003). The rst two do not have detected X-ray em ission (P ivovaro , K aspi, & C am ilo 2000, M cLaughlin et al. 2003), while the third does have a very faint (L_{2 10 keV} 9 10^{29} ergs s¹) X-ray

counterpart [the energetic (E- & 10³⁶ ergs s¹) HBPSRs have brighter X-ray counterparts (G aensler et al. 2002; G onzalez & Sa -H arb 2003, H elfand, C ollins, & G otthelf 2003)], consistent with their values of E-.

2.2. Pulsar W ind Nebulae

Pulsarwind nebulae (PW Ne), are bright, centrally condensed nebulae with non-therm al (power-law) X -ray and radio spectra often associated with young, energetic pulsars and SNRs (here we refer only to \bubble" PW Ne, as di erentiated by the bow-shock PW Ne produced by the motion of the pulsars through the ambient medium; for review s, see Chevalier 1998 or Gaensler 2003). The photon indices range from 1.3{2.3 (G otthelf 2003), sim ilar to those ofpulsars, but they are roughly 10 tim es as lum inous for a given E- (Gotthelf 2004) and the sizes range from a few arcseconds to several arcm inutes. PW Ne, both X-ray and radio, o era great advantage overbare radio pulsars for inferring the existence of neutron stars: they are unbeam ed. This fact has historically been used in a number of cases to infer the existence of energetic pulsars where the pulsar had not been seen itself, such as 3C 58 (Becker, Helfand, & Szym kowiak 1982, Frail & Mo ett 1993), N157B (W ang & Gotthelf 1998), and Kes 75 (Becker & Helfand 1984).

The evolution of a PW N (see van der Swaluw, Downes, & K eegan 2003 for a recent review) begins as it expands supersonically through the shocked ejecta of the SNR. Here, the radius of the PW N is / ${\rm E}^{-1=5} t^{6=5}$ (assuming that the total lum inosity of the neutron star is / E-; van der Swaluw et al. 2001; van der Swaluw 2003), so it depends only weakly on E-but m ore strongly on the rem nant age. Eventually, though, the reverse shock of the SNR crashes back on the PW N at a time t_{col} 10⁴ yr (van der Swaluw et al. 2003, although this depends on the ejecta m ass). A fter this occurs, the spherical portion of the PW N continues to expand subsonically with its radius / $t^{11=15}$ (van der Swaluw et al. 2001), while at the sam e tim e the pulsarm oves away from the center of the SNR and begins to form a bow-shock nebula (when its motion through the SNR ejecta becomes supersonic at ages of & 3000 yr; van der Swaluw et al. 2003). The passage of the reverse shock should re-heat and energize the PW N, causing it to brighten.

2.3. AXPs& SGRs

In the X-ray band, traditional A X P s are characterized by a two-component spectrum : a power-law with index 3{4, and a soft blackbody with temperature 0.3{0.7 keV (M ereghetti et al. 2002a). W hile the distances are quite uncertain, especially to those not in SNRs, the lum inosities are relatively constant and > 10^{34} ergs s¹ (in the 1{10 keV band; M ereghetti et al. 2002a), substantially greater than their spin-down energy loss rates. These sources are characterized by slow spin periods (6{12 s) with substantial period derivatives that indicate the presence of very strong m agnetic ekls (B > 10^{14} G).

There are two objects, however, that may indicate AXPs can have substantial X-ray variability. First, AX J184453 025640 (Vasisht et al. 2000) is a 7 s X-ray pulsar which varied by a factor of & 10 in ux but whose properties are otherwise found to be fully consistent with an AXP. More recently, the AXP-candidate XTE J1810 197 was discovered in RXTE data (Ibrahim

Table 1 SNRsW ithin 5 kpc

SNR G	O ther N am e	D ist. (kpc)	D istance M ethod	Size ^a (arcmin)	T ype ^b	C entral Source/ Ia	X -ray? ^d	Sam ple ^e	Refs ^f
004.5+6.8 005.4 1.2 006.4 0.1	K ep ler ^g D uck W 28 ^g	4.5 5 3.0	Optical expansion/H I H I absorption O H m asers	3 35 42	S C? S	Ia? ^c pulsar?	yes		2,3 4
011.2 0.3 013.3 1.3		5 2 . 4	H i absorption CO absorption	4 70	C S	pulsar	yes	ХММ — АО	5 2
034.7 0.4 039.7 2.0	W 44 W 50	2.5 5	H I absorption VLBI	35 120	С ?	pulsar SS 433	yes yes		6,7,8 9,10
053.6 2.2 054.4 0.3 065.3+ 5.7	3С 400.2 НС 40	2.8 3.3 0.8	H I association CO association Optical velocity	33 40 310	S S S			СХО — АО СХО — АО СХО — АО	3 3 4
069.0+2.7	CTB 80	2	H I absorption	80	?	pulsar X - rru source?	yes		11,12
078.2+2.1	C ygni C ygni	1.2	OH/CO association	60	S	A -tay source:		XMM AC	2 14
084.2 0.8 089.0+ 4.7	HB 21	4.5 0.8	H I/CO association OB association	20 120	S S			СХО-АО	3
093.3+ 6.9	DA 530	3.5	H 1 absorption/X -ray thing	27	S			СХО-АО	3
111./ 2.1 114.3+0.3	CasA	3.4 3.5	Optical expansion H I association	5 90	S	pulsar	yes yes		15 16,17,18
116.5+1.1 116.9+0.2	CTR 1	4	H I association	80 34	S	-	-		3
110.9+ 0.2	CIBI	J.1	o pullar mies	54	5			CLO-AU	5
119.5+10.2	CTA 1 Tycho	1.4 2.4	H I association Proper motion (shock vel.	90 8	S	-/X -ray neutron star Ta			19,20,21 22
127.1+ 0.5	R 5	1.3	A ssoc. with NGC 559	45	s	200		СХО-АО	3
130.7+3.1 132.7+1.3	ЗС 58 НВ 3	3.2 2.2	H i absorption Interactions with ISM	9 80	F S	pulsar	yes	ХММ – АС	23 , 24)2
156.2+5.7		1.3	NEI ts	110	S			CXO-AO	4
160.8+2.6	HB9 WD0 42 05 01	< 4	H I optical vel.	140	S			CXO AO	4
166.2+2.5	VRO 42.03.01	4.5	H I interaction	90	S			CXU-AU	3
180.0 1.7	S147	1.2	pulsar dispersion measure	180	S	pulsar	yes		25,26,27
184.6 5.8	C rab	2	proper m otion/radial vel.	7	F	pulsar	yes		28
189.1+3.0	IC 443 Managam Bing	1.5	Opt.vel./assoc.with S249	45	S	neutron star	yes		29
205.5+0.5	M on oceros	1.2	0 viabsorpcibi/ii odenig 0 ptical velocity	220	S	pulsar	yes	CXO-AO	4
260.4 3.4	P upp is A	2.2	H I association	60	s	ссо	yes	0110 110	31,32
263.9 3.3	Vela	0.3	pulsar parallax	255	С	pulsar	yes		33
296.5+10.0	PKS 1209 51/52	2.1	H I association	90 25	S	CCO/X-ray pulsar	yes		34 , 35
315.4 2.3	RCW 86	2.8	Optical lines	42	s			CXO -AO	3 36
327.4+ 0.4 327.6+ 14.6	K es 27 SN 1006	4.3 2.2	H 1 absorption/interact. Spectra/properm otion	30 30	S S	Ia			37,38
330.0+15.0	Lupus Loop	0.8	Η I	180	S			CXO — AO	4
332.4 0.4	RCW 103	3.3	H I absorption	10	S	ССО	yes		39
343.1 2.3 354.1+0.1		2.5 5	H i absorption recombination lines	32 15	с с	pulsar pulsar?	yes no		40,41 42,43

^aM ajor axis.

^b Types are: S (shell), C (composite), and F (lled, or PW N), as determ ined by G reen (2001). A question m ark indicates that the type is poorly determ ined.

 c Indicates if a central source is known, or if the SNR is thought to be of type Ia. If a central source is known and it falls into one of the classes from x 2, it is labeled accordingly.

 $^{\rm d}$ Indicates whether the central source has been detected in X -rays. See Table 2.

 $^{\rm e}\,{\rm R}\,{\rm efers}$ to X -ray sam ples of shell SNRs described in x 4.1.

^fR eferences dealonly with the central source or Ia classi cation. General SNR properties were taken from G reen (2001) and references therein.

 $^{\rm g}\,{\rm H}\,{\rm as}$ already been observed with C handra.

References.] 1: Kinugasa & Tsunem i (1999); 2: Frail & Kulkarni (1991); 3: Manchester et al. (1991); 4: Yusef-Zadeh et al. (2000); 5: Torii et al. (1997); 6: Wolszczan, Cordes, & Dewey (1991); 7: Harnus et al. (1997); 8: Petre et al. (2002); 9: Clark, Green, & Caswell (1975); 10: Watson et al. (1983); 11: Kulkarni et al. (1988); 12: Migliazzo et al. (2002); 13: Miyata et al. (1998); 14: Brazier et al. (1996); 15: Tananbaum (1999); 16: Kulkarni et al. (1993); 17: Becker, Brazier, & Trumper (1996); 18: Furst, Reich, & Seiradakis (1993); 19: Seward, Schmidt, & Slane (1995); 20: Slane et al. (1997); 21: Slane et al. (2004); 22: Baade (1945); 23: Murray et al. (2002); 24: Cam ilo et al. (2002b); 25: Anderson et al. (1996); 26: Rom ani & Ng (2003); 27: Kram er et al. (2003); 28: Com ella et al. (1969); 29: O lbert et al. (2001); 30: Thorsett et al. (2003); 31: Pavlov, Zavlin, & Trum per (1999); 32: Zavlin, Trum per, & Pavlov (1999); 33: Large et al. (1968); 34: Helfand & Becker (1984); 35: Zavlin et al. (2000); 36: Vink et al. (2000); 37: Fesen et al. (1988); 38: Allen et al. (2001); 39: G otthelf, Petre, & Hwang (1997); 40: M cAdam, O sborne, & Parkinson (1993); 41: Becker, Brazier, & Trum per (1995); 42: Frail et al. (1994); 43: Becker & Trum per (1997).

Source	SNR G	kT1 ^{BB} (keV)		log ₁₀ L _X ^a (ergs s ¹)	X-ray PWN?	A ge ^b (kyr)	Refs
PSR B1757 24 PSR J1811 1925 PSR B1853+01 SS 433°	005.4 1.2 011.2 0.3 034.7 0.4 039.7 2.0		1.6 1.7 1.3 0.7	33.0 33.7 31.2 35	Ŷes Yes Yes	> 39 1, 1.6 20	2 3,4,5 6
PSR B1951+32	069.0+2.7		1.6	32.9	Yes	64	8
CXO J232327.8+584842 PSB B2334+61	111.7 2.1 114.3+0.3	0.7	3.0 2.0	33.5/34.7 ^h 31.7	N O g	0.3 9 41 1()
RX J0007.0+7302	119.5+ 10.2	0.14	1.5	31.2	Yes	13 11	10.10
PSR J0205+ 6449 PSR J0538+ 2817	130.7+ 3.1 180.0 1.7	0.16	1./	32.2 32.9	Y es Y es	0 . 8 30	12 , 13 14 , 15
PSR B0531+21 CXO J061705.3+222127	184.6 5.8 189.1+3.0	0.7	1.6	35.8 31.3	Y es Y es	1.0 30	16 17
PSR B0656+14	203.0+12.0	0.07+0.14	1.5	31.1	No	100 18	3 , 19 , 20
RX J0822 4300 PSR B0833 45	260.4 3.4 263.9 3.3	0.4	2.5	33.5 32.5	N o Y es	3.7 11	21 , 22 23
1E 1207.4 5209 1E 161348 5055 ^c	296.5+10.0 332.4 0.4	0.26 0.6		33.1 32.1{33.9	N O N O	7 2	24 25
PSR B1706 44 PSR B1727 33	343.1 2.3 354.1+0.1	0.14	2.0	32.6 < 32:6/<	Yes ^e 32 : 5 ^g	18 20 15 10	5

Table 2 X -ray Properties of Central Sources from Table 1

References. 1: Kaspiet al. (2001); 2: Gaensler & Frail (2000); 3: Torii et al. (1997); 4: Roberts et al. (2003); 5: Stephenson & Green (2002); 6: Petre et al. (2002); 7: Kotaniet al. (1996); 8: Migliazzo et al. (2002); 9: Mereghetti, Tiengo, & Israel (2002b); 10: Becker et al. (1996); 11: Slane et al. (2004); 12: Slane et al. (2002); 13: Stephenson (1971); 14: Romani & Ng (2003); 15: Kram er et al. (2003); 16: Becker & Trum per (1997); 17: Olbert et al. (2001); 18: Marshall & Schulz (2002); 19: Brisken et al. (2003); 20: Greiveldinger et al. (1996); 21: Zavlin et al. (1999); 22: Pavlov et al. (2002); 23: Pavlov et al. (2001b); 24: Sanwal et al. (2002); 25: Gotthelf, Petre, & Vasisht (1999); 26: Gotthelf, Halpern, & Dodson (2002). In addition, general pulsar data have been taken from Hobbs & Manchester (2003).

^aLum inosity for only the point-source in the 0.5(2.0 keV band, assuming the distance from Table 1. Upper lim its to the lum inosity are given for a blackbody with $kT_1 = 0.25$ keV and for a power-law with = 2.2.

 $^{\rm b}\,{\rm T}\,{\rm he}$ best estim ate of the age of the SNR $\,$ if known, otherwise the pulsar spin-down age P =2P-.

^cPossibly not an isolated neutron star.

^dA ssum ed.

 $^{\rm e} T$ he X -ray PW N e here are signi cantly fainter com pared to the pulsars than for other sources (K aspiet al. 2001; G otthelf et al. 2002).

 ${}^{\rm g}{\rm T}$ he current X –ray data do not su ciently constrain the existence of a nebula.

^hThe current X -ray data do not constrain the spectrum : either a blackbody or power-law m odel is possible.

P SR	SN R	P (m.s)	a (kyr)	log ₁₀ E_ (ergs s ¹)	D (kpc)	log ₁₀ L _X ^b (ergs s ¹)	Refs.
J0205+ 6449 J0537 6910 J0538+ 2817 B 0531+ 21 B 0540 69	G 130.7+ 3.1 N 157B° G 180.0 1.7 G 184.6 5.8 0540 69.3°	66 16 143 33 50	5.4 5.0 620 1.2 1.7	37.4 38.7 34.7 38.7 38.2	32 494 1.8 2.0 49.4	32.2 38.3 32.9 35.8 36.3	1 , 2 2 , 3
B0833 45 J1016 5857 J1119 6127 J1124 5916 B1338 62	G 263.9 3.3 G 284.3 1.8 G 292.2 0.5 G 292.0+1.8 G 308.8 0.1	89 107 408 135 193	11 21 1.6 2.9 12	36.8 36.5 36.4 37.1 36.1	03 3 6 > 6 9	32 5 32 5 32 5 33 0 32 8	4 5 6,7 8
B1509 58 B1643 43 B1706 44 B1727 33 B1757 24	G 320.4 1.2 G 341.2+0.9 G 343.1 2.3 G 354.1+0.1 G 005.4 1.2	151 232 102 139 125	1.6 33 18 26 16	37.3 35.5 36.5 36.1 36.4	5 <i>2</i> 7 2 <i>5</i> 5 5	34.1 32.6 < 32.6 33.0	9 , 10
J1811 1925 J1846 0258 B1853+ 01 J1930+ 1852 B1951+ 32	G 0112 0.3 G 029.7 0.3 G 034.7 0.4 G 054.1+0.3 G 069.0+2.7	65 324 267 136 40	23 0.72 20 2.9 110	36.8 36.9 35.6 37.1 36.6	5 19 2 <i>5</i> 5 2	33.7 35.4 31.2 33.3 35	11 12
J2229+ 6114 B2334+ 61	G 106.6+ 2.9 G 114.3+ 0.3	52 495	11 41	37.3 34.8	3 3.5	32.8 31.7	13 , 14

Table 3 Properties of Rotation-Powered Pulsars Associated with SNRs

Note. Pulsar-SNR associations are largely drawn from Kaspi& Helfand (2002).

^aC haracteristic age $P = 2P_{-}$.

^bX-ray lum inosity in the $0.5\{2.0 \text{ keV} \text{ band. Upper lim its to the lum inosity are given for a power-law with = 22.$

 $^{\rm c}\,\mbox{In}$ the Large M agellanic C loud.

References. | 1:W ang et al. (2001); 2:G otthelf (2003); 3:G otthelf & W ang (2000); 4: C am ib et al. (2001); 5:G onzalez & Sa Harb (2003); 6:Hughes et al. (2001); 7:G aensler & W allace (2003); 8:G aensler, K aspi, & M anchester (2003); 9:G reiveldinger et al. (1995); 10:G aensler et al. (2002); 11:Helfand et al. (2003); 12:C am ib et al. (2002a); 13:K othes, U yaniker, & P ineault (2001); 14:Halpern et al. (2001). A lso see references from Tabs. 1 and 2.

et al. 2003); Chandra and XMM data con m the pulsations present in the RXTE data and allow for com parison with archivalEinstein, ROSAT, and ASCA data where it is a factor of 100 fainter (G otthelf et al. 2003). In the bright (current) state, XTE J1810 197 has an absorbed X-ray ux (0.5{10 keV) of 4 10 11 ergs cm 2 s 1 , while ROSAT data from 1993 has a ux of 5 10^{13} ergs cm 2 s 1 . Converting the uxes to lum inosities is uncertain due to the largely unconstrained distance, but G otthelf et al. (2003) determ ine an upper lim it of 5 kpc. W ith this, the current lum inosity in the 0.5{ 2 keV range is . 3 10^{35} ergs s¹, sim ilar to other AXPs, but the \quiescent" lum inosity is 10^{33} ergs s¹. W hile less certain, AX J184453 025640 shows a roughly similar range of lum inosities.

SGRs have roughly sim ilar quiescent lum inosities (Hurley 2000), although their spectra are som ewhat harder. However, none of the SGRs is mly associated with a SNR (Gaensler et al. 2001). There is now mounting observational evidence that SGRs and AXPs are related objects (Gavriil, Kaspi, & Woods 2002, Kulkarniet al. 2003; Kaspi et al. 2003), con ming the hypothesis of Thom pson & Duncan (1996). In what follows, we do not treat the SGRs as separate objects since their quiescent X-ray properties are su ciently sim ilar to those of AXPs.

2.4. Cooling Radio-Quiet Neutron Stars/Compact CentralObjects

Regardless of emission at other wavelengths, young neutron stars should have therm al X-ray em ission from their surfaces as they cool. Indeed, it is likely that ROSAT has detected a number of nearby, 10^6 yr (too old to be in SNRs) neutron stars (Treves et al. 2000; Haberl 2003) with no detectable radio em ission (Kaplan, Kulkami, & van Kerkwik 2003a, Kaplan et al. 2003b; Johnston 2003) hence the nameRQNS.The ROSAT sources have tem peratures of . 100 eV. This therm alem ission is almost certain to be present at som e level, regardless of w hatever other processes are occurring (radio em ission, accretion, etc.), but the exact level of em ission depends on the mass of the neutron star and on the presence or absence of exotic particles (pions, kaons, hyperons, free quarks, etc.) and/or processes (i.e. direct U rca cooling) in the core (K am inker, Yakovlev, & Gnedin 2002, Tsuruta et al. 2002).

A number of super cially similar sources known as CCOs have been discovered in SNRs, such as the sources in CasA and PuppisA. The tem peratures of CCOs should be higher than those of the eld RQNSs by a factor of 5 or so, depending on cooling physics (e.g., Page 1998). For som e of these sources, it is likely that there is little if any radio em ission due to the low values of E- (K aplan et al. 2002a), but for others the lack of such em ission m ay just be beam ing e ect (i.e., as with G em inga), in plying beam ing fractions of 50% (Brazier & Johnston 1999). The radii of the blackbody ts to the CCOs in Table 2 are typically less than the 10{15 km expected for a neutron star (presum ably since a blackbody, while providing an adequate spectral t, does not actually represent the em ission of the surface) | values of R_1 1{3 km are common.

3. survey design

3.1. A Volum e-Lim ited Sam ple of Shell SNRs

The success of this e orthinges upon de ning an objectively constructed sample so that strong conclusions can be drawn not only from detections but also non-detections. Bearing this in m ind, we identied all of the SNR s⁶ that are at a distance of less than 5 kpc as determ ined from a reliable distance determ ination (e.g. derived from the kinematic velocity of associated line emission or a parallax, rather than from N $_{\rm H}$ or the D relation). This sam ple is comprised of the 45 SNRs listed in Table 1. This is not an entirely complete sample, as illustrated by the void in the third quadrant in Figure 1 and the relative paucity of distant SNRs toward the Galactic center, but the criteria for inclusion in the sample (detected SNR with a robust distance) should not be correlated with the properties of the central objects. We estimate that we cover 1.5% of the Galactic molecular gas, and hence sites of massive star form ation, with our distance criteria (based on D am e 1993). For the X-ray observations we elim inated all SNRs that were of Type Ia or those that are already associated with a NS and/or central synchrotron nebula⁷ | i.e. we only include those that are type S in Table 1.

The shell remnants are the major remaining sample where the neutron star population has not been system atically assessed. For the SNRs with PW Ne, some estimate as to the neutron star's properties can be made even if the source itself has not been detected, but the shell rem – nants permit no such estimation. Therefore, these shell-

 6 D rawn from the G alactic SNR C atalog (G reen 2000). Since we constructed the table, the catalog has been updated (G reen 2001), and it is this list and m ore recent references that we use to determ ine the properties of the SNR s.

 7 W e assum e that sources like IC 443, which has a synchrotron nebula but where pulsations have not yet been detected, still do have central neutron stars.



Fig. 1. Galactic distribution of SNRs from Table 1. Galactic longitude 1 (degrees) is plotted against distance (kpc), with the Sun at the origin and the Galactic center to the right. Black squares are the Ia SNRs, blue diam onds are associated with radio pulsars, green asterisks are associated with other types of neutron stars (RQNS, etc.), and the red circles are the hollow SNRs. The four lled circles are the SNRs from this paper with detailed analyses.

type SNRs are the subject of our survey. Below we rst the status of neutron star velocities, an issue that a ect our survey design. We then continue to describe the survey itself.

32. Neutron Star Velocities

Radio pulsars have high space velocities, among the highest in the Galaxy, as measured from timing, scintillation, and interferom etry. Various authors (e.g., Hansen & Phinney 1997; Lorim er et al. 1997; Cordes & Chemo 1998; A rzoum anian et al. 2002) have modeled the distribution slightly di erently, but they all agree that a substantial num ber of pulsars move with speeds > 300 km s¹, while 90% have speeds < 700 km s¹.

The space velocities of neutron stars can also be inferred from their o sets from the centers of associated supernova rem nants. Such an approach dem ands reliable estim ates for the distance and age of the system under consideration. It also assumes that the geometric center of a SNR is easily identiable, and that this center corresponds to the site of the supernova. With these caveats in mind, such an analysis potentially provides a direct measurement of the neutron star velocity distribution, free from the many selection e ects associated with proper motion studies.

Frail, Goss, & W hiteoak (1994) carried out a detailed study of the o sets of radio pulsars from the centers of SNRs. From a sample of 15 pulsar/SNR associations, Frail et al. (1994) concluded that young radio pulsars are born with projected space velocities ranging between 50 km s¹ and 1000 km s¹, with a mean of 500 km s¹. W hile at that time this distribution represented a som ew hat m ore rapidly-m oving population than that which had been inferred from proper motion studies, it agrees well with the m ore recent determ inations discussed above.

However, the preceding discussion assumes that pulsars were born near the centers of what are now SNRs. Some authors dispute that this is always the case (G varam adze 2002), suggesting that if the SN progenitors have large space velocities they could evacuate a bubble with their winds, move toward the edges of those bubbles, and then explode (in a so-called o -center cavity explosion). This would make the origins of neutron stars closer to the edges of SNRs. W hile an interesting possibility for a few sources, the large number of associations where the neutron star is close to the center of the SNR m ean that this hypothesis cannot work for the majority of sources.

It is not yet known whether other populations of neutron star have di erent velocity distributions from that seen for radio pulsars. The location of several SGRs on or beyond the perimeters of SNRs originally led to the suggestion that SGRs had very high space veloci-2000 km s¹, as might result from 1000 ties, v_2 the anisotropic neutrino em ission associated with the formation of such highly-magnetized objects (e.g., Duncan & Thompson 1992). However, it has since been argued that many of these SGR/SNR associations are spurious (Lorimer & Xilouris 2000; Gaensler et al. 2001), in which case these inferred velocities are not valid. On the other hand, several AXPs have convincing associations with young SNRs. In all these cases, the AXP lies close to the geom etric center of its SNR, im plying projected space velocities for this population . 500 km s 1 (Gaensler,

G otthelf, & Vasisht 1999, G aensler et al. 2001), consistent with the velocities of radio pulsars. The emerging and still enigm atic class of central com pact ob jects (CCOs) are also centrally located in young SNRs (see Pavlov et al. 2002 for a review), and most likely also have relatively low space velocities.

W hat little is known about the velocities of older neutron stars that are not radio pulsars roughly agrees with the situation for radio pulsars: the velocities are high, & 100 km s¹. Speci cally, the velocities of the INSs RX J1856.5 3754 and RX J0720.4 3125 are both 200 km s¹ (Kaplan, van Kerkwijk, & Anderson 2002b, Motch, Zavlin, & Haberl 2003).

4. observations and data analysis

In this section we give an overview of the analysis procedure that we used for the di erent SNRs. We start by describing the splitting of the SNRs into observationallybased sub-samples, of which the Chandra ACIS subsample is the major component discussed here $(x \ 4.1)$. We then describe the analysis of the Chandra data that were used to identify potential compact objects $(x \ 4.2)$. Finally, we describe the motivation for and basic analysis of the optical and infrared follow up observations that were used to reject contam inating foreground and background X-ray sources $(x \ 4.5)$. Follow ing this section we present the actual detailed analyses of the four SNRs in this paper $(x \ 5)$.

4.1. Chandra ACIS Survey

W e de ned three sub-sam ples am ong the 23 SNRs that had no central sources from Table 1. The sub-sam ples were de ned largely by size so that the X -ray observations have a good chance of identifying the central com pact source. Our primary sub-sample was designed for the Chandra ACIS-I detector, with its 16⁰ eld-of-view. We parameterize as follows: a neutron star has a typical transverse velocity of $100v_{100}$ km s¹, distance d in kpc, and an age of $10^4 t_4$ yr. To ensure that a NS lies within 8^0 of its SNRs geom etric center (and so will fall on the AC IS-I array; see Figs. 2{5 for illustrations of the ACIS eld-of-view), we require $t_4 = 2.27 d=v_{100}$. For a Sedov-phase rem nant, we have $t_4 = 7$ 10³ dT₇¹⁼², where arcm in is the SNR diam eter and $10^7 T_7 K$ is the shock tem perature. Our lim it $324T_7^{1=2}v_{100}^1$. W e expect T_7 is thus 1 for a broad range of SNR ages; given the som ew hat weak dependence on T we adopt $T_7 = 1$ in this calculation. A conservative constraint on pulsar velocities is v_{100} 7. This then yields the condition . 46 arcm in. There are 14 SNRs that meet this criterion, of which 3 (Cas A, IC 443, and K epler) have already been observed by C handra. This then leaves 11 SNRs for further Chandra observations, although SNR G 327.4+0.4 only recently had a distance determ ination and was not included in the original Chandra sam ple. W e therefore proposed for AC IS-I observations of the 10 remaining SNRs (identi ed by CXO - AO3 in Tab. 1), and were awarded observations of 9 (the tenth, G 006.4 0.1, was awarded to J.Rho in another AO-3 proposal).

We then constructed a sample of the larger SNRs for XMM, and one of the largest SNRs using the literature and the ROSAT All-Sky Survey Bright Source Catalog



Fig. 2. | DRAO 1.4 GHz radio in age of SNR G 093.3+6.9 (Landecker et al. 1999), showing the placement of the AC IS-I detector.



Fig. 4.] DRAO 1.4 GHz radio in age of SNR G 084.2 0.8 (Taylor et al. 2003), showing the placement of the ACIS-I detector.



Fig. 3. | MOST (W hiteoak & G reen 1996) 833 M Hz radio im age of SNR G 315.4 2.3, showing the placement of the ACIS-I detector. The bright region toward the south-west is the nebula RCW 86 (Rodgers, Campbell, & W hiteoak 1960). The contours are from ROSAT PSPC data (sequence RP 500078).



Fig. 5.| DRAO 1.4 GHz radio im age of SNR G127.1+0.5, show – ing the placem ent of the ACIS-I detector.

(BSC) (Voges et al. 1996), coupled with Chandra snapshot in ages. These samples are identied by XMM -AO2and CXO -AO4 in Table 1 (our XMM proposal was for seven SNRs, of which we were only allocated time for the three that are identied as XMM -AO2'). We defer detailed discussion of these samples to later papers.

To determ ine exposure tim es for these sources, we exam ined the types of neutron stars found in the SNRs from Table 1 (see also Chakrabarty et al. 2001 and x 2). These neutron stars are listed in Table 2. We see two groups am ong them : those with non-therm al spectra (characterized by a power-law with photon index 1:6) and those with them alspectra (characterized by a blackbody with tem perature kT_1 0:5 keV). Am ong the shell-type SNRs in Table 1, the therm al sources predom inate, and these also produce the lowest X -ray count-rates. Therefore we com puted exposure tim es for a therm al source with $kT_1 = 0.25 \text{ keV}$ (toward the low-end of those in Tab.2) and a bolom etric lum inosity $\rm L_{bol}$ = 10^{32} ergs s 1 , a factor of 10 lower than those of most of the therm al sources. For the column densities N $_{\rm H}\,$ we used the best available estim ates from the literature. For these shell SNRs, there is very little contribution from the SNR itself in the interior, especially with the resolution of Chandra, so this was not an issue, although we calculate the expected background contribution, using surface-brightnesses com piled from the literature. The exposure times are those that should detect a source with a prototypical spectrum but a factor of 10 less lum inous than norm alwith a signi cance of at least 5 above the background.

4.2. X-ray Point-Source Detection

To analyze the data, we rst reprocessed the raw (level 1) event data to take advantage of updates in the C handra calibration since the data were rst taken. Speci cally, the reprocessing included a correction for charge transfer inefciency (C T I; Townsley et al. 2002)⁸, and we rem oved the

0.5 pixel random ization added to the events. We did not include any correction for the degradation of the quantum e ciency (QE) of the AC IS detectors⁹, as at no point did we do a complete spectral analysis that would have used the available correction techniques¹⁰. These corrections are m inor (10% year¹) and are beyond our level of accuracy. We selected only events that have the \standard" ASCA grades (0, 2, 3, 4, and 6). A fler generating a new level 2 event le, we corrected the data for C handra aspect errors¹¹: for example, the change for SNR G 093.3+6.9 was 0^{op}13 in Right A scension and 0^{op}32 in D eclination. Sm oothed im ages of the data are shown in Figure 6{9.

Starting from this level 2 event le, we processed the data and extracted sources much as was done in the Chandra D eep Field (CDF; B randt et al. 2001) and the 82-ksec observation of the O rion N ebula (Feigelson et al. 2002). First we separated the level 2 events by energy into three bands: $0.3\{2.0 \text{ keV (L)}, 2.0\{8.0 \text{ keV (H)}, \text{ and } 0.3\{8.0 \text{ keV (A)}. These bands are slightly modi ed from those of the CDF and O rion, as our potential sources are softer. We then separated the data by CCD, using only the four$

¹⁰ See http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/apply_acisabs/.

¹¹ Follow ing http://asc.harvard.edu/cal/ASPECT/x_o set/x_o set.cgi.



Fig. 6. Smoothed ACIS-I image $(0.3\{8.0 \text{ keV})$ of SNR G 093.3+6.9. North is up, and East is to the left. The brightness is scaled proportional to the logarithm of the counts in 2^{00} bins, smoothed with a Gaussian lter. The sources from Table 4 are labeled.



Fig. 7. Smoothed ACIS-I image $(0.3\{8.0 \text{ keV})$ of SNR G315.4 2.3. North is up, and East is to the left. The brightness is scaled proportional to the logarithm of the counts in 2^{00} bins, smoothed with a Gaussian liter. The sources from Table 5 are labeled. The di use emission to the W est and South-W est is the RCW 86 com plex.

 $^{^8}$ Follow ing http://asc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/acisapplycti/.

⁹ See http://cxc.harvard.edu/cal/A cis/C al_prods/qeD eg/.



Fig. 8. Smoothed ACIS-I image $(0.3\{8.0 \text{ keV})$ of SNR G 0842 0.8. North is up, and East is to the left. The brightness is scaled proportional to the logarithm of the counts in 2^{00} bins, smoothed with a Gaussian lter. The sources from Table 6 are labeled.



Fig. 9. Smoothed ACIS-I im age $(0.3\{8.0 \text{ keV})$ of SNR G127.1+0.5. North is up, and East is to the left. The brightness is scaled proportional to the logarithm of the counts in 2^{00} bins, smoothed with a Gaussian lter. The sources from Table 7 are labeled.

ACIS-ICCDs. This then gave twelve separate event les (3 bands 4 CCD s).

For each of these event les, we generated instrum ent and exposure maps, following standard CIAO threads¹². C reating an exposure map requires a source model: for this model, we assumed a blackbody with a temperature of $kT_1 = 0.25$ keV (similar to that of the Cas A central point source) and a column density appropriate for each SNR (xx 5.1{5.4}).

Then, for each of the event les (now with exposure maps), we ran wavdetect (Freem an et al. 2002), using wavelet scales of 1; $\overline{2}$; $\overline{2}$; $\overline{8}$; :::; 16 pixels and a nom inal energy of 1.5 keV. The signi cance threshold was 10⁶.

W e then m erged the wavdetect source les for further analysis. First we merged by CCD, creating a source list for each band. Then, to merge the data from different bands (which are spatially coincident), we considered sources identical if the positions (as determ ined by wavdetect) di ered by less than 2.5, for sources at o -axis angles of $< 6^{\circ}$, or di ered by less than $4^{\circ\circ}$, for sources at \circ axis angles of 6^0 . While these are large tolerances given the typical astrom etric uncertainty of wavdetect $(0^{\circ})_{\cdot}$ 6 for on-axis sources, approaching 1°_{\cdot} 5 for o -axis sources), the source density is so low (0.2 arcm in 2 for this observation) that the number of false matches is negligible. Even so, only 5 sources had match-radii of > 1.05, and most were < 0^{op}.5. A fter m anually exam ining the list of sources, we then removed those that appeared spurious. We then lim ited the source list to those sources with 10 counts. This lim it allows us to have enough photons that the position is well determ ined (critical when trying to identify counterparts) and that an estimate can be made of the hardness ratio. Given that our exposure times were calculated such that a low-lum inosity neutron star would be detected with > 25 counts, the 10-count lim it is conservative. The nalmerged source list contains 12{18 sources, depending on the SNR. The sources are all consistent with being point-sources.

For each source, we then perform ed additional aperture photom etry. This allowed us to (1) use know ledge of the psf size in determ ination of the source counts and (2) obtain source counts for sources that were detected in only one or two bands. The radii of the photom etric apertures were determined from the analytic t to the 95% encircled energy radius given in Feigelson et al. (2002). We m easured the num ber of counts in these apertures for each band and subtracted the num ber of counts in a background annulus extending from 2{3 times the 95% encircled energy radius to determ ine the net number of counts. To aid in comparison with the CDF/O rion data sets, we also extracted photons in the m ore standard 0.5 {2.0 keV band. The nalsource data are presented in Tables 4{7. We plot the L-band counts vs. the H-band counts for the detected sources in Figures 10{13.

42.1. Nom enclature

Herein, for convenience, we label the Chandra-detected X-ray sources by their eld identi cation | for exam - ple, SNR G 093.3+6.9.5 refers to the 5th source in the SNR G 093.3+6.9 eld. This is not meant to im ply that all of these sources are associated with the SNR s | the vast

¹² http://asc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/expm ap_acis_single/.

Table 4 X-ray Sources in SNR G 093.3+6.9

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	205222.8+ 552343 205230.9+ 551437	2 9 + 552343 20,52,22 90 + 55,23,43 7 0 5 3 5 310	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	205230.9+ 551437	2.0TJJ234J 20.J2.22.07 TJJ2343./ U.J 3.J 310	(20) 47(7) 360(20) 290(20) 0.73(0.04)
4 205225.8+552741 20.52.25.82 +55.27:41.6 1.3 7.4 47 (7) 48 (7) 93.9 (10.2) 44 (7) 5 205222.0+551516 20.52.22.01 +55.15.16.9 0.8 5.3 20 (5) 13 (4) 33 (6) 19 (4) 6 205231.3+552031 20.51:31.37 +55:20:31.6 1.4 6.1 14 (4) 18 (4) 32 (6) 13 (4) 7 205250.2+551606 20.52:50.20 +55:16:06.6 1.5 6.8 23 (5) 2.2 (1.8) 25 (5) 22 (5) 8 205242.1+551409 20:52:42.19 +55:17:46:00 0.7 4.2 11 (3) 7.1 (2.8) 18 (4) 11 (3) 9 20526.4+551746 20:52:53.34 1.7 7.3 11 (4) 11 (3) 22 (5) 9.3 (3.5) 10 205139.8+552553 20:51:39.85 +55:26:07.1 1.8 6.9 9.3 (3.3) 13 (4) 22 (5) 9.5 (3.3)		0.9+ 551437 20:52:30.98 + 55:14:37.5 0.6 6.4 270	(20) 127 (12) 390 (20) 260 (20) 0.35 (0.05)
5 205222.0+551516 20:52:22.01 +55:15:16.9 0.8 5.3 20 (5) 13 (4) 33 (6) 19 (4) 6 205231.3+552031 20:51:31.37 +55:20:31.6 1.4 6.1 14 (4) 18 (4) 32 (6) 13 (4) 7 205250.2+551606 20:52:50.20 +55:16:06.6 1.5 6.8 23 (5) 2.2 (1.8) 25 (5) 22 (5) 8 205242.1+551409 20:52:42.19 +55:14:09.8 1.6 7.5 17 (4) 8.0 (3.2) 25 (5) 16 (4) 9 20526.4+551746 20:52:30.48 +55:17:46.0 0.7 4.2 11 (3) 7.1 (2.8) 18 (4) 11 (3) 10 205139.8+552553 20:51:39.85 +55:26:53.4 1.7 7.3 11 (4) 11 (3) 22 (5) 9.3 (3.5) 13 205242.2+552607 20:52:42.26 +55:26:07.1 1.8 6.9 9.3 (3.3) 13 (4) 22 (5) 9.5 (3.3)	205225.8+ 552741	5.8+ 552741 20:52:25.82 + 55:27:41.6 1.3 7.4 47	(7) 48(7) 93.9(10.2) 44(7) 0.01(0.11)
6 205231.3+ 552031 20:51:31.37 + 55:20:31.6 1.4 6.1 14(4) 18(4) 32(6) 13(4) 7 205250.2+ 551606 20:52:50.20 + 55:16:06.6 1.5 6.8 23(5) 2.2(1.8) 25(5) 22(5) 8 205242.1+ 551409 20:52:42.19 + 55:14:09.8 1.6 7.5 17(4) 8.0(3.2) 25(5) 16(4) 9 205226.4+ 551746 20:52:36.48 + 55:17:46.0 0.7 4.2 11(3) 7.1(2.8) 18(4) 11(3) 10 205139.8+ 552553 20:51:39.85 + 55:25:53.4 1.7 7.3 11(4) 11(3) 22(5) 9.3(3.5) 13 205242.2+ 552607 20:52:42.26 + 55:26:07.1 1.8 6.9 9.3(3.3) 13(4) 22(5) 9.5(3.3)	205222.0+ 551516	2.0+551516 20:52:22.01 +55:15:16.9 0.8 5.3 20	(5) 13(4) 33(6) 19(4) 0.20(0.18)
7 205250.2+551606 20:52:50.20 +55:16:06.6 1.5 6.8 23(5) 2.2(1.8) 25(5) 22(5) 8 205242.1+551409 20:52:42.19 +55:14:09.8 1.6 7.5 17(4) 8.0(3.2) 25(5) 16(4) 9 205226.4+551746 20:52:36.48 +55:17:46.0 0.7 4.2 11(3) 7.1(2.8) 18(4) 11(3) 10 205139.8+552553 20:51:39.85 +55:25:53.4 1.7 7.3 11(4) 11(3) 22(5) 9.3(3.5) 13 205242.2+552607 20:52:42.26 +55:26:07.1 1.8 6.9 9.3(3.3) 13(4) 22(5) 9.5(3.3)	205231.3+ 552031	1.3+ 552031 20:51:31.37 + 55:20:31.6 1.4 6.1 14	(4) 18(4) 32(6) 13(4) 0.13(0.19)
7 205250.2+551606 20:52:50.20 +55:16:06.6 1.5 6.8 23(5) 2.2(1.8) 25(5) 22(5) 8 205242.1+551409 20:52:42.19 +55:14:09.8 1.6 7.5 17(4) 8.0(3.2) 25(5) 16(4) 9 205226.4+551746 20:52:36.48 +55:17:46.0 0.7 4.2 11(3) 7.1(2.8) 18(4) 11(3) 10 205139.8+552553 20:51:39.85 +55:25:53.4 1.7 7.3 11(4) 11(3) 22(5) 9.3(3.5) 13 205242.2+552607 20:52:42.26 +55:26:07.1 1.8 6.9 9.3(3.3) 13(4) 22(5) 9.5(3.3)			
8 205242.1+551409 20:52:42.19 +55:14:09.8 1.6 7.5 17(4) 8.0(3.2) 25(5) 16(4) 9 205226.4+551746 20:52:36.48 +55:17:46.0 0.7 4.2 11(3) 7.1(2.8) 18(4) 11(3) 10 205139.8+552553 20:51:39.85 +55:25:53.4 1.7 7.3 11(4) 11(3) 22(5) 9.3(3.5) 13 205242.2+552607 20:52:42.26 +55:26:07.1 1.8 6.9 9.3(3.3) 13(4) 22(5) 9.5(3.3)	205250.2+ 551606	0.2+551606 20:52:50.20 +55:16:06.6 1.5 6.8 23	(5) 2.2(1.8) 25(5) 22(5) 0.83(0.14)
9 205226.4+551746 20:52:36.48 +55:17:46.0 0.7 4.2 11(3) 7.1(2.8) 18(4) 11(3) 10 205139.8+552553 20:51:39.85 +55:25:53.4 1.7 7.3 11(4) 11(3) 22(5) 9.3(3.5) 13 205242.2+552607 20:52:42.26 +55:26:07.1 1.8 6.9 9.3(3.3) 13(4) 22(5) 9.5(3.3)	205242.1+ 551409	2.1+551409 20:52:42.19 +55:14:09.8 1.6 7.5 17	(4) 8.0 (3.2) 25 (5) 16 (4) 0.36 (0.21)
10 205139.8+ 552553 20:51:39.85 + 55:25:53.4 1.7 7.3 11(4) 11(3) 22(5) 9.3(3.5) 13 205242.2+ 552607 20:52:42.26 + 55:26:07.1 1.8 6.9 9.3(3.3) 13(4) 22(5) 9.5(3.3)	205226.4+ 551746	6.4+ 551746 20:52:36.48 + 55:17:46.0 0.7 4.2 11	(3) 7.1(2.8) 18(4) 11(3) 0.19(0.24)
13 205242.2+ 552607 20.52:42.26 + 55:26:07.1 1.8 6.9 9.3 (3.3) 13 (4) 22 (5) 9.5 (3.3)	205139.8+ 552553	9.8+ 552553 20:51:39.85 + 55:25:53.4 1.7 7.3 11	(4) 11(3) 22(5) 9.3(3.5) 0.02(0.23)
	205242.2+ 552607	2.2+552607 20:52:42.26 +55:26:07.1 1.8 6.9 9.3	(3.3) 13(4) 22(5) 9.5(3.3) 0.16(0.23)
14 205205.9+ 551758 20.52.05.96 + 55:17:58.5 0.7 2.8 2.1 (1.5) 9.2 (3.2) 11 (3) 2.1 (1.5)	205205.9+ 551758	5.9+ 551758 20:52:05.96 + 55:17:58.5 0.7 2.8 2.1	(1.5) 9.2 (3.2) 11 (3) 2.1 (1.5) 0.63 (0.24)
15 205209.8+ 551821 20:52:09.87 + 55:18:21.2 0.8 2.2 7.4(2.8) 4.0(2.1) 11(3) 7.4(2.8)	205209.8+ 551821	9.8+ 551821 20:52:09.87 + 55:18:21.2 0.8 2.2 7.4	(2.8) 4.0(2.1) 11(3) 7.4(2.8) 0.30(0.30)
16 205250.0+ 552025 20:52:50.09 + 55:20:25.6 1.1 5.1 10(3) 1.4(1.5) 12(4) 10(3)	205250.0+ 552025	0.0+552025 20:52:50.09 +55:20:25.6 1.1 5.1 10	(3) 1.4(1.5) 12(4) 10(3) 0.77(0.24)
17 205212.6+ 551854 20:52:12.65 + 55:18:54.4 0.6 1.6 3.2(1.8) 6.3(2.6) 10(3) 3.2(1.8)	205212.6+ 551854	2.6+551854 20:52:12.65 +55:18:54.4 0.6 1.6 3.2	(1.8) 6.3 (2.6) 10 (3) 3.2 (1.8) 0.33 (0.31)
19 205236.8+ 551528 20:52:36.89 + 55:15:28.8 1.4 6.0 4.3(2.4) 8.4(3.0) 12(4) 3.2(2.1)	205236.8+ 551528	6.8+ 551528 20:52:36.89 + 55:15:28.8 1.4 6.0 4.3	(2.4) 8.4 (3.0) 12 (4) 3.2 (2.1) 0.33 (0.29)
24 205152.2+ 552602 20:51:52.23 + 55:26:02.7 1.8 6.4 7.7 (3.0) 4.5 (2.4) 12 (4) 7.1 (2.8)	205152.2+ 552602	2.2+552602 20:51:52.23 +55:26:02.7 1.8 6.4 7.7	(3.0) 4.5(2.4) 12(4) 7.1(2.8) 0.26(0.30)
26 205248.3+ 551422 20:52:48.32 + 55:14:22.3 3.1 7.8 5.9(2.8) 5.3(2.6) 12(4) 5.9(2.8)	205248.3+ 551422	8.3+551422 20:52:48.32 +55:14:22.3 3.1 7.8 5.9	(2.8) 5.3 (2.6) 12 (4) 5.9 (2.8) 0.05 (0.34)
30 205129.7+ 551548 20:51:29.72 + 55:15:48.5 3.0 7.9 5.1 (2.6) 9.0 (3.3) 14 (4) 4.0 (2.4)		9.7+551548 20:51:29.72 +55:15:48.5 3.0 7.9 5.1	(2.6) 9.0 (3.3) 14 (4) 4.0 (2.4) 0.28 (0.29)

 $^{\rm a}\,{\rm Internal\,identi}\,\,{\rm er\,of\,the\,\,form}\,$ SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 M .

^bO cialIAU name.

^cApproximate 90% condence radius.

^dAngle from the center of the SNR.

 $^{\rm e}{\rm H}$ ardness ratio, com puted according to (C $_{\rm H}$ $\,$ C $_{\rm L}$)=(C $_{\rm H}$ + C $_{\rm L}$), where C is the number of counts in a band.

Note. Quantities in parentheses are 1- uncertainties. Here and in Tables $5{7, Counts_A} = Counts_L + Counts_H$, but the three columns have been rounded separately.

				_						
ID ^a	СХОИ Ј ^ь	(J2	000)	r ₉₀ ° (⁰⁰)	R ^d (⁰)	$C \text{ounts}_L$	$Counts_H$	$C \text{ ounts}_A$	Counts (0:5 2:0 keV)	HR _{L;H} e
1	144319 3 622804	1/1.13.19.31	62.28.04.2	0.7	3.4	20 (5)	25 (5)	45(7)	19(1)	0 11 (0 15)
2	144333 7 622928	11.13.33.71	62.20.04.2	1 1	5.2	20(5)	16(15)	22 (5)	20 (5)	0.85(0.13)
2	144151 5 622923	14.41.51.50	62.29.20.2	2.0	6.9	11(4)	10(3)	22 (5)	12(4)	0.05(0.23)
5	144131.3 022033	14.41.01.09	02.20.33.5	2.0	0.0	11(4)	10(3)	22 (J) 11 (J)	12 (4)	0.00(0.20)
5	144219.5 622834	14:42:19.54	62:28:34.5	1.1	3.5	2.9(1.8)	8.4(3)	11(3)	2.9(1.8)	0.49(0.28)
6	144320.6 623308	14:43:20.63	62 : 33 : 08.6	2.0	6.0	12(4)	0.5(0.2)	11(4)	12(4)	1.09(0.04)
8	144341.0 623138	14:43:41.05	62 : 31 : 38.3	1.9	6.8	13(4)	2.4(1.8)	16(4)	14(4)	0.69(0.21)
9	144346.3 622413	14:43:46.37	62 : 24 : 13.1	3.4	7.7	16(5)	2.2(1.8)	16(5)	14(4)	0.77(0.19)
12	144315.3 622128	14:43:15.36	62:21:28.8	1.6	7.5	8.0 (3.2)	6.1 (2.8)	14(4)	7.2(3)	0.13(0.30)
13	144350.4 623040	14:43:50.45	62:30:40.0	2.2	7.4	12(4)	3.2(2.1)	15(4)	12(4)	0.57 (0.25)
17	144322.1 623219	14:43:22.12	62:32:19.3	1.7	5.4	4.3(2.4)	10(3)	15(4)	4.3(2.4)	0.41(0.27)
						()	(-)	(-)	()	
19	144210.9 622202	14:42:10.94	62:22:02.8	2.7	7.7	10(4)	3.5(2.1)	14(4)	8.8(3.3)	0.50(0.26)
21	144252.1 622107	14:42:52.16	62:21:07.1	2.4	7.2	10(4)	5.8(2.6)	17(4)	9.8 (3.5)	0,28 (0,26)
23	144213 1 623220	14.42.13.16	62.32.20 4	15	5.8	92(32)	37(21)	12(4)	90(32)	0 43 (0 27)
26	144207 1 622204	14.42.07.16	62.22.04 3	3.8	8.0	75(32)	4 0 (2 4)	12(4)	80(32)	0 30 (0 33)
20	144220 0 622129	14.42.20.06	62.01.20.7	1.0	7 5	11 (4)	0.6(1.5)	10(4)	11 (4)	0.00(0.24)
28	144320.0 622138	14:43:20.06	02:21:38./	1.9	1.5	11 (4)	(C. I) 0. U	12 (4)	11(4)	0.90(0.24)

Table 5 X-ray Sources in SNR G 315.4 2.3

 $^{\rm a}\,{\rm Internal identi er of the form}\,$ SNR G 315.4 2.3 N .

^bO cialIAU name.

 $^{\rm c}{\rm A}\,{\rm pproxim}$ ate 90% con dence radius.

 $^{\rm d} {\tt A}\, {\tt ngle}$ from the center of the SNR .

 e H ardness ratio, computed according to (C $_{\rm H}$ C $_{\rm L}$)=(C $_{\rm H}$ + C $_{\rm L}$), where C is the number of counts in a band.

Note. \mid Q uantities in parentheses are 1- uncertainties.

ID ^a	CXOU J ^b			r ₉₀ °	R d	$C \text{ounts}_L$	$Counts_H$	$C \text{ ounts}_A$	Counts	HR _{L;H} ^e
		(J2	000)	(00)	(0)				(0:5 2:0 keV)	
1	205328.9+ 432659	20:53:28.96	+ 43:26:59.1	0.5	1.3	40(6)	0.3(0.1)	40 (6)	38 (6)	1.01(0.01)
2	205357.9+ 432459	20:53:57.92	+ 43:24:59.3	1.3	6.9	5.9(2.8)	37 (6)	44(7)	7.2(3)	0.73(0.12)
3	205349.1+ 432551	20:53:49.18	+ 43:25:51.2	0.9	5.1	11(3)	22 (5)	33 (6)	11(3)	0.33(0.17)
4	205250.5+ 433030	20:52:50.55	+ 43:30:30.0	1.2	6.5	27 (5)	4.5 (2.4)	31(6)	27 (5)	0.71(0.14)
5	205352.0+ 422809	20:53:52.03	+ 43:28:09.7	1.2	5.5	6.1 (2.6)	14(4)	20 (5)	5.8 (2.6)	0.40(0.21)
6	205306.9+ 423259	20:53:06.89	+ 43:32:59.7	1.2	6.3	0.5(0.2)	27 (6)	26(6)	0.5(0.2)	1.04(0.02)
7	205248.2+ 433214	20:52:48.25	+ 43:32:14.0	2.0	7.9	4.3 (2.4)	23 (5)	26(6)	4.0 (2.4)	0.69(0.16)
10	205351.7+ 432537	20:53:51.76	+ 43:25:37.9	1.4	5.7	1.7(1.8)	14(4)	16(4)	1.7(1.8)	0.79(0.21)
11	205317.6+ 432206	20:53:17.66	+ 43:22:06.8	1.2	5.2	0.3(1.1)	13(4)	13(4)	0.3(1.1)	0.95(0.16)
12	205353.1+ 432751	20:53:53.13	+ 43:27:51.5	1.1	5.7	8.7 (3.2)	4.0(2.4)	13(4)	8.7 (3.2)	0.37(0.30)
14	205302.8+ 433207	20 : 53 : 02 . 87	+ 43:32:07.6	1.5	5.9	12(4)	2.4(1.8)	13(4)	12(4)	0.67 (0.23)
15	205306.5+ 432822	20:53:06.50	+ 43:28:22.2	0.6	3.0	4.2(2.1)	11(3)	16(4)	4.2(2.1)	0.46(0.23)
16	205335.6+ 433427	20:53:35.66	+ 43:34:27.6	1.9	7.6	14(4)	0.4(1.9)	12(4)	9.3 (3.5)	0.94 (0.26)
18	205346.0+ 433345	20:53:46.04	+ 43:33:45.7	2.4	7.8	0.4(1.5)	20 (5)	20 (5)	0.1(1.5)	1.04(0.16)
22	205242.6+ 432451	20:52:42.61	+ 43:24:51.0	1.2	7.6	9.9(3.7)	4.9(2.8)	15(5)	8.3 (3.3)	0.34(0.30)
23	205332.2+ 432355	20:53:32.27	+ 43:23:55.7	0.7	3.9	0.3(0.1)	17(4)	17(4)	0.3(0.1)	1.03(0.02)

Table 6 X-ray Sources in SNR G 084.2 0.8

 $^{\rm a}\,{\rm Internal\,identi}\,\,{\rm er\,of\,the\,\,form}\,$ SN R G 084.2 0.8 N .

^bO cialIAU name.

^cApproxim ate 90% con dence radius.

 $^{\rm d} \, {\tt A} \, {\tt ngle}$ from the center of the SNR .

 $^{e}\,H$ ardness ratio, com puted according to (C $_{H}$ $\,$ C $_{L}$)=(C $_{H}$ + C $_{L}$), where C $\,$ is the num ber of counts in a band.

Note. Quantities in parentheses are 1- uncertainties.

ID ^a	CXOU J ^b	(J2	000)	r ₉₀ ° (⁰⁰)	R ^d (⁰)	$C \text{ ounts}_L$	$C \text{ ounts}_H$	$C \text{ ounts}_A$	Counts _{0:5 2:0} keV	HR _{L;H} ^e
1	012830.6+ 630629	01:28:30.64	+ 63:06:29.9	0.4	0.2	205 (15)	580 (20)	780 (30)	182(14)	0.48 (0.03)
3	012736.6+ 630345	01:27:36.60	+ 63:03:45.5	1.3	6.9	27 (5)	40 (7)	68 (9)	25 (5)	0.19(0.13)
4	012807.7+ 630150	01:28:07.72	+ 63:01:50.5	1.3	5.5	16(4)	25 (5)	41(7)	17(4)	0.21(0.16)
5	012842.3+ 630825	01:28:42.31	+ 63:08:25.6	0.6	2.2	9.5 (3.2)	21 (5)	32 (6)	8.4 (3)	0.38(0.17)
8	012925.4+ 630535	01:29:25.43	+ 63:05:35.3	1.7	6.1	11(4)	15(4)	26(5)	9.3 (3.3)	0.17(0.21)
12	012910.8+ 631014	01:29:10.86	+ 63:10:14.8	1.6	5.7	10(3)	8.5 (3.2)	20 (5)	11(3)	0.10(0.25)
13	012813.9+ 630621	01:28:13.91	+ 63:06:21.1	0.9	2.1	5.3(2.4)	11(3)	17(4)	6.3 (2.6)	0.33 (0.24)
14	012755.2+ 630141	01:27:55.23	+ 63:01:41.6	1.5	6.4	12(4)	19(5)	33 (6)	7.9(3)	0.23(0.18)
15	012837.8+ 630602	01:28:37.83	+ 63:06:02.8	0.8	0.8	2.9(1.8)	10(3)	13(4)	2.9(1.8)	0.56(0.24)
17	012735.7+ 630241	01:27:35.75	+ 63:02:41.6	1.7	7.4	2.0(2.1)	17(4)	19(5)	0.1(1.5)	0.79(0.21)
18	012917.4+ 630242	01:29:17.42	+ 63:02:42.3	2.1	6.4	9.5(3.3)	10(4)	20 (5)	7.7(3)	0.03(0.25)
22	012801.8+ 631244	01:28:01.83	+ 63:12:44.5	2.0	7.1	6.4 (2.8)	5.9(3)	12(4)	5.3 (2.6)	0.04(0.33)

Table 7 X-ray Sources in SNR G 127.1+0.5

 $^{\rm a}\,{\rm Internal\,identi}\,\,{\rm er\,of\,the\,\,form}\,$ SNR G127.1+0.5.N .

^bO cialIAU name.

^cApproximate 90% con dence radius.

 $^{\rm d}$ Angle from the center of the SNR .

 $^{\rm e}{\rm H}\,ardness$ ratio, com puted according to (C $_{\rm H}$ $\,$ C $_{\rm L}$)=(C $_{\rm H}$ + C $_{\rm L}$), where C is the number of counts in a band.

Note. Quantities in parentheses are 1- uncertainties.

m a jority are not. These are meant to be internal designations only and do not replace the o cial FAU names of the form CXOU JHHMMSSs DDMMSS, where HHMMSSs represents the Right A scension and DDMMSS represents the Declination. When detailing the source identi cations (Tabs. 4{7) we give both the internal and o cial names, but in the rest of this paper we use only the internal names. When initially identifying X-ray sources we num bered then consecutively, but the num bers in Tables 4{7 are no longer consecutive as we have rem oved sources at radii > 8^0 and with few er than 10 counts (x 42).

4.3. X -ray Extended-Source D etection

N o extended sources were detected during the wavdetect runs, with a maximum wavelet scale of 16 pixels or 8^{00} . We also manually exam ined the X -ray in ages for sources with larger sizes and did not nd any (there is some extended emission in SNR G 315.4 2.3 toward the south and west, but that is almost certainly due to di use thermal emission from the SNR/RCW 86 com plex as it has the same general spectrum; see Fig. 3).

To quantify the limits on extended emission, we determ ine the average background counts in a region free from sources. These counts are presented in Table 8, where we also nd the 3 limits to extended emission.

4.4. X -ray T im ing

The majority of the detected sources have too few photons for meaningful analyses of their variability. We can perform some analysis, though, with the brightest sources (we set a limit of 100 counts for a lightcurve, which



Fig. 10. L-band count vs.H-band counts for SNR G 093.3+6.9. The sources from Table 4 are shown in green and are numbered. M odel spectra (computed using PIMMS) with N_H = 2 10^{21} cm⁻² are plotted as diagonal lines: power-law m odels as red dash-dotted lines (is as indicated) and blackbody m odels as blue dashed lines (kT₁ is as indicated). The solid thick black line represents the m edian spectrum from the CDF/O rion studies, with the dashed thick black lines showing the 25- and 75-percentile spectra.



Fig. 11. L-band count vs. H-band counts for SNR G 315.4 2.3. The sources from Table 5 are shown in green and are numbered. M odel spectra (computed using PIMMS) with N_H = 2 10^{21} cm² are plotted as diagonal lines: power-law m odels as red dash-dotted lines (is as indicated) and blackbody m odels as blue dashed lines (kT₁ is as indicated). The solid thick black line represents the m edian spectrum from the CDF/O rion studies, with the dashed thick black lines showing the 25- and 75-percentile spectra.



Fig. 12. L-band count vs.H-band counts for SNR G 084.2 0.8. The sources from Table 6 are shown in green and are numbered. M odel spectra (com puted using PIMMS) with N_H = 2 10^{21} cm⁻² are plotted as diagonal lines: power-law m odels as red dash-dotted lines (is as indicated) and blackbody m odels as blue dashed lines (kT₁ is as indicated). The solid thick black line represents the m edian spectrum from the CDF/O rion studies, with the dashed thick black lines showing the 25- and 75-percentile spectra.

SN R	BG Counts (10^2 arcsec 2)	Count Limits (10 ²)	L Limits ($^{2}10^{28} \text{ ergs s}^{1}$)	L (= 1^{0}) L in its (10^{32} ergs s ¹)
G093.3+6.9	1.75(1)	6 ² + 70	8	3
G315.4 2.3	2.10(2)	7 ² + 70	10	4
G 084 2+ 0.8	2.34 (2)	6 ² + 70	10	4
G127.1+0.5	2.03(2)	7 ² + 80	1	0:3

Table 8 Limits to Extended X -ray Emission in SNRs G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G 084.2+0.8, & G 127.1+0.5

Note. Note. is the radius of the extended region in arcseconds. The limits assume uniform weighting over , and are at the 3 level. The counts are in the $0.3\{8.0 \text{ keV range}$. The luminosity limits assume a power-law with = 1.5.



Fig. 13. L-band count vs. H-band counts for SNR G 127.1+0.5. The sources from Table 7 are shown in green and are numbered. M odel spectra (computed using PIMMS) with $N_{\rm H} = 2 \ 10^{21}$ cm² are plotted as diagonal lines; power-law m odels as red dash-dotted lines (is as indicated) and blackbody m odels as blue dashed lines (kT₁ is as indicated). The solid thick black line represents the m edian spectrum from the CDF/O rion studies, with the dashed thick black lines showing the 25- and 75-percentile spectra.

elim inated all of the sources in SNRs G 315.4 2.3 and G 084.2+0.8). In Figure 14 we show X -ray lightcurves for the two sources in SNR G 093.3+6.9 with > 100 counts, SNR G 093.3+6.9.1 and SNR G 093.3+6.9.2. The lightcurve for SNR G 093.3+6.9.2 is quite constant, but that for SNR G 093.3+6.9.1 has a signi cant are lasting 1.5 hr. Searches for periodic variation, how ever, show ed nothing. W ith the 3.2-s sam pling of AC IS-I we were unable to search for rapid variability, but the low count-rates m ade that in possible anyway. W e took the barycentered arrival-tim e data for SNR G 093.3+6.9.1 and SNR G 093.3+6.9.2 and perform ed FFT s with the data binned into 4-s intervals. No signi cant peaks in the periodogram were found over the frequency range 0.01{0.12 Hz.

In Figure 15 we show the X-ray lightcurve for the only source in SNR G127.1+0.5 that has > 100 counts: SNR G127.1+0.5:1. The lightcurve is consistent with a constant ux.

4.5. Multi-wavelength Followup & Counterpart Identi cation

4.5.1. Motivation

A fter identifying X-ray sources with Chandra (or XMM), the question is then to determ ine which, if any, are the compact remnants of the SNRs. We have used source-count statistics (Brandt et al. 2001; Mushotzky et al. 2000; Feigelson et al. 2002) to estimate the number



Fig. 14. Background-subtracted X-ray lightcurves of sources in SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 with > 100 counts. Left: SNR G 093.3+ 6.9.1; right: SNR G 093.3+ 6.9.2. The dashed red line is 0.3{2.0 keV (L-band), the dot-dashed blue line is 2.0{8.0 keV (H-band) and the solid black line is 0.3{8.0 keV (A-band). A are lasting 6000 s and with an amplitude change of 500% is present in the lightcurve of SNR G 093.3+ 6.9.1.

of foreground/background sources given the N_H and diffuse SNR background toward each target | we expect to detect 10{50 eld sources toward each SNR in the CXO – AO3 sample. Most of these sources will be detected with few counts (10{30}), and will therefore not be suitable for X-ray spectroscopy that could, of itself, determ ine which are neutron stars. Because of their small X-ray countrates, weeding out interlopers requires multi-wavelength observations.

Isolated neutron stars have high X -ray to optical ux ratios (Hullem an, van Kerkwijk, & Kulkami 2000) | deep optical/IR in aging is therefore an e cient way to identify background sources. We thus follow our X -ray observations with successively deeper optical and IR observations, identifying progressively fainter counterparts as we go.

Interloper sources, on the other hand, typically have much brighter optical/IR counterparts (Hertz & Grindlay 1988; Grindlay et al. 2003). In the Galactic plane, the m a prity of sources are either nearby bright stars or active late-type stars. O ther possible sources are RS CVn binaries, X -ray binaries, or cataclysm ic variables. The extragalactic sources are usually AGN or star-form ing galaxies, although som e nearby spiral galaxies are also detectable (e.g., Barger et al. 2003). Stars with spectral types A { M can have some detectable X-ray em ission (largely dependent on rotation and magnetic elds) that appears as a hot (5{7 10⁶ K) plasm a (Katsova & Cherepashchuk 2000). X-ray binaries usually have harder spectra characterized by power-laws with indices $1:5\{2 (Grindlay)$ et al. 2003). Stars are unresolved optical/IR sources, but for nearby binaries the X -ray em ission m ay not lie exactly coincident with the optical/IR emission (if, for example, the optical em ission is from the stars but the X -ray em ission is from interacting coronae between the stars) and the binary m em bers m ay be m erged to give the appearance of an extended sources. The extragalactic sources also have hard spectra with power-law indices 1:5{2 (Bauer et al. 2002), and are optically fainter (R & 18). W hile the nuclei of these galaxies are unresolved, the closer galaxies



Fig. 15. Background-subtracted X-ray lightcurve of SNR G 127.1+0.5:1. The dashed red line is 0.3{2.0 keV (L-band), the dot-dashed blue line is 2.0{8.0 keV (H-band) and the solid black line is 0.3{8.0 keV (A-band).

can have resolved optical/IR em ission.

There are a sm all number of galaxies that have som ewhat extreme X-ray-to-optical ux ratios. In a sample of 503 X -ray sources over 448 arcm in², K oekem oer et al. (2004) have identied a few sources with X-ray-to-optical (850 nm) ux ratios exceeding 100, including 7 sources without 850 nm detections. W hile the identities are unclear, they suggest that these galaxies have such faint optical counterparts through a combination of intrinsic reddening and high redshift. However, these galaxies should not signi cantly im pact our sam ple. W e m ight expect 1{3 of these sources in each of our Chandra im ages, but even without optical detections we should be able to identify these as galaxies in the near-infrared, as all but one of the sources were detected at K s band (where we have our deepest observations) and the X-ray spectra are harder than those of most neutron stars (also see G andhiet al. 2003). In addition, these galaxies appear to just be the tail end of the X-ray-to-optical ux ratio distribution, with most neutron stars being signi cantly higher.

4.5.2. Execution

Therefore, after the detection of the X-ray sources we obtained progressively deeper optical and IR data of the SNR elds. Starting with sm all telescopes (Palom ar 60-inch, LasC am panas 40-inch) we moved to larger telescopes (Palom ar 200-inch, NTT, M agellan, and nally Keck) as we identied counterparts to more and more of the X-ray sources (see below). The details of the optical/IR observations are in Tables 9{12.

We began by registering all of the data for a SNR (we also included 2M ASS J-and K_s-band in ages) to the sam e frame, and then searched for optical/IR counterparts to the X-ray sources using sextractor (Bertin & Amouts 1996). W e considered a source as a potential counterpart if it was within the 90% con dence radius of the X-ray source combined with a 0.2 uncertainty for the optical astrom etry. We also inspected all X-ray sources to see if there was an optical source at the edge of the error circle, if there were multiple sources, etc. We then determ ined the positions and m agnitudes of all of the detected sources, which we list in Table 13{16. The positions in Tables 13{16 are the averages of the positions for all im ages where the source was detected, except for sources that were saturated in several bands but which had unam biguous 2M ASS detections, where we used the 2M ASS position alone. For sources that had multiple detections in the sam e band but by di erent instrum ents (e.g.C 40 and EMMIR-band), we used the detection that had the highest S/N without being saturated. W e show postage-stam p in ages of the optical/IR counterparts to the X-ray sources in Figures 16{18 (for SNR G 093.3+6.9), Figures 19{21 (for SNR G 315.4 2.3), Figures 22{24 (for SNR G 084.2 0.8), and Figures 25{26 (for SNR G127.1+0.5). The instrum ent (s) / band (s) chosen for each source are those that best illustrate the detection.

To determ ine limiting magnitudes, we simulated G aussian stars with the same FW HM as the average seeing for the image. We placed the stars random ly in regions that were not too crowded, similar to the regions where the X - ray sources actually were, and determ ined the 3 limiting ux by performing photom etry (again using sextractor) on the stars.

Telescope	Instrum ent	B and (s)	Date (UT)	Seeing (arcsec)	E xposure (sec)	Sources ^a
P 60	P 60C C D	BVRI	2001-Jul-23	1.9{2.5	300	4
P 200	LFC	g ⁰ r ⁰	2002-Jan-18	1.9	600	13
Keck I	LR IS	g	2002-Jun-15	0.9	2300	5 , 14 , 15 , 17
		R		0.9	2000	5 , 14 , 15 , 17
		g		0.9	1380	2,5,7,8,9,16,19,26
		R		1.0	1200	2,5,7,9,16,19,26
Keck I	NIRC	K s	2002-Jun-02	0.5	250	5,6,9,14,15,17
P 60	P 60IR	K s	2002-Jul-26	2.0	900	4,10,13,19
Keck II	ESI	R	2002–Sep–03	0.8	540	4
		I		0.8	900	4
		I		0.8	2520	8 , 26
		R		0.7	660	10 , 24
		I		0.6	600	10
		R		0.7	960	13
Keck I	NIRC	K s	2002-N ov-16	0.5	2950	19
P 200	WIRC	K s	2003-Jul-24	0.8	1080	6, 10, 16

Table 9 Optical/IR Observations of SNR G 093.3+6.9

^a Indicates which sources from Table 4 were on which in ages. Negative numbers indicate that all sources but the negated one (s) were on the in age.

Note. | The telescopes/instrum ents used were P60CCD: the CCD cam era on the Palom ar 60-inch; P60IR: the Infrared cam era on the Palom ar 60-inch (M urphy et al. 1995); LFC: the Large Form at Cam era on the Palom ar 200-inch; LR IS: the Low-Resolution Im aging Spectrom eter on the 10-m Keck I telescope (O ke et al. 1995); NIRC: the Near-Infrared Cam era on the 10-m Keck I telescope (M atthews & Soifer 1994); ESI: the E chellette Spectrograph and Im ager on the 10-m Keck II telescope (Shein is et al. 2002); WIRC: the Wide- eld Infrared Cam era on the Palom ar 200-inch.

T elescop e	In <i>s</i> trum ent	B and (s)	D ate (U T)	Sæing (arcæc)	Exposure (æc)	Sources ^a
C 40	C40CCD	BVRI	2002-Apr-18	1.3	3600	3
		ΒR	2002-Apr-20	1.5	3600	21
ΝΤΤ	EMMI	VRI	2002-Jun-14	8.0	1130	1 , 5 , 17 , 23
Magellan II	M agIC	ΒR	2003-A pr-03	0.8	1800	1
		R	2003 - A pr-03	0.8	3000	12 , 28
		R	2003 - A pr-03	0.8	3000	6 , 17
		I	2003 - A pr-04	0.7	1500	1
		I	2003 - A pr-04	0.6	900	6 , 17
Magellan II	PANIC	Кs	2003-Apr-18	0.8	2160	1
		K s	2003-A pr-18	0.9	2160	12 , 28
		K s	2003-Apr-18	1.0	2160	6 , 17
		K s	2003-Apr-20	0.6	2160	1
		K s	2003-Apr-20	0.5	2160	12 , 28
		K s	2003-Jun-07	0.4	900	5 ^b

Table 10 Optical/IR Observations of SNR G 315.4 2.3

^a Indicates which sources from Table 5 were on which images. Negative numbers indicate that all sources but the negated one (s) were on the image.

^bO bserved by M .van K erkw ijk.

Note. The telescopes/instrum ents used were C40CCD: the direct-im aging CCD cam era on the Las Cam panas 40-inch; EM M I: the ESO Multi-Mode Instrum ent (red im aging arm only) on the 3.5-m New Technology Telescope (NTT) at La Silla; MagIC: Raym ond and Beverly Sackler Magellan Instant Cam era on the 6.5-m C lay (Magellan II) telescope; PANIC: Persson's Auxiliary Nasm yth Infrared Cam era on the 6.5-m C lay (Magellan II) telescope.

Telescope	In <i>s</i> trum ent	B and (s)	D ate (U T)	Seeing (arcæc)	Exposure (æc)	Sourcesª
P 200	LFC	r ⁰	2002-0 ct-07	1.5	2730	all
P 200	WIRC	J K _s	2002-N ov-01 2002-N ov-01	12 0.9	4500 2640	all all
Keck I	NIRC	K s	2003-A ug-11	0.5	450	2,6,10,11,14,18,23

Table 11 Optical/IR Observations of SNR G 084.2 0.8

^aIndicates which sources from Table 6 were on which in ages. Negative numbers indicate that all sources but the negated one (s) were on the in age.

Note. The telescopes/instrum ents used follow from Table 9.

Telescope	In <i>s</i> trum ent	B and (s)	D ate (U T)	Sæing (arcsæc)	Exposure (sec)	Sourcesª
P 60	P 60C C D	R	2001-Jul-23	1.9	3900	22
		I	2001-Jul-23	1.5	300	22
P 200	LFC	r ⁰	2002-0 ct-07	1.9	2700	all
P 200	WIRC	J	2002-0 ct-28	0.9	4050	all
		K s	2002-0 ct-28	0.8	2700	all
Keck I	NIRC	K s	2003-A ug-11	0.6	900	3,5,13,22

Table 12 Optical/IR Observations of SNR G127.1+0.5

^a Indicates which sources from Table 7 were on which in ages. Negative numbers indicate that all sources but the negated one (s) were on the in age.

Note. The telescopes/instrum ents used follow from Table 9.

We de ne optical/IR uxes using the zero-point calibrations of Bessell, Castelli, & Plez (1998), where F (m) = $F_0 10^{m=2.5}$ and $F_0 = (2.0 \ 10^{5}; 1.4 \ 10^{5}; 9.0 \ 10^{6}; 8:7 \ 10^{7})$ ergs s¹ cm² for (V;R;I;K_s), respectively. We also use the reddening coe cients of Bessell et al. (1998), such that A = A_V = (1:0;0:82;0:62;0:11) again for (V;R;I;K_s), respectively.

4.5.3. Counterpart Evaluation

It is certainly possible that there will be an unrelated optical/IR source in the error circle of an X-ray source (e.g., K aplan, K ulkami, & M urray 2001), especially if the X-ray sources are o -axis and/or have few counts, and therefore have large position uncertainties. There is no entirely accurate way to prevent this from happening and leading to the false association of what is actually a neutron star with another source, which would cause us to reject the neutron star from our sample.

However, there are ways that we can guard against this and incorporate our uncertainties into our lim its. If enough is known about the optical/IR source (e.g. colors), it is possible to determ ine what the source is (K aplan et al. 2001) and thereby assign som e likelihood to its association with an X-ray source. This is not always possible for the sources in our sample as the information is often limited to optical/IR detections in one or two bands (Tabs. 13{ 16). Sim ilarly, for the few sources with enough X -ray counts a determ ination can be made based on the X ray spectrum (or just hardness) and lightcurve (Fig. 14). For the majority of the sources, though, we must exam ine them relative to the sources in other samples, speci cally the CDF and Orion samples (many of the stars in the O rion sam ple are younger than the general G alactic population, but they do cover a wide range of stellar masses). For this reason we plot the optical/IR-to-X-ray ux ratio against X-ray ux in Figures 27{30 (we compute the X-ray ux from the observed count rate by using conversion factors determ ined from W 3P IM M S for a blackbody with $kT_1 = 0.25$ keV and N_H appropriate for each SNR; a change to a power-law with photon index of 1.5 raises the implied uxes by a factor of 2). Sources that fall in the locide ned by the other sam ples are likely to be of similar

type. This is of course not a de nitive assignment, but it should work most of the time. For instance, if we had associated Star A from K aplan et al. (2001) with the X-ray ux from CXO J232327.8+584842 (the central source in Cas A), it would appear in Figure 27 with the same X-ray ux as the compact source in Cas A and < 1 m agnitude brighter than the lim it we have plotted. In other words, it would be far outside the O rion/CDF loci. This argument, that Star A could not be the source of the X-rays from CXO J232327.8+584842 just on the basis of its X-ray-tooptical ux ratio, was made by K aplan et al. (2001), who then followed it up by a detailed analysis of multi-band photom etry of Star A (see also Fesen et al. 2002).



Fig. 16. Optical/IR in ages of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G 093.3+6.9. North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.



Fig. 17. Optical/IR im ages of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G 093.3+6.9 (cont.). North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.



Fig. 18. Optical/IR im ages of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G 093.3+6.9 (cont.). North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.



Fig. 19. Optical/IR in ages of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G 315.4 2.3. North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.



Fig. 20. Optical/IR images of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G 315.4 2.3 (cont.). North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.



Fig. 21. Optical/IR images of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G 315.4 2.3 (cont.). North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.



Fig. 22. | Optical/IR in ages of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G 084.2 0.8. North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.



Fig. 23. Optical/IR images of counterparts to X -ray sources in SNR G 084.2 0.8 (cont.). North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.



Fig. 24. Optical/IR images of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G 084.2 0.8 (cont.). North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.





Fig. 25. | Optical/IR images of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G127.1+0.5. North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.



Fig. 26. Optical/IR images of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G127.1+0.5 (cont.). North is up, East is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner.

ID ^a	b	b	r °	В	V	R	I	g=g ^{0d}	r ⁰	J	K s	N (<	K _s) ^e
	(J2000) (arcsec) (m ag)												
1	20:52:22.90	+ 55:23:43.7	0.1	13.34(7)	13.16(3)	12.76(3)	12.535(10)	sat	sat	11.172(9)	10.859(11)	<	0:01
2	20:52:31.01	+ 55:14:37.6	0.3	> 23.5	> 22.5	20.74(5)	19.52(4)	22.61(10)	21.05(6)	> 15.8	15.52(1)	<	0:01
4	20:52:25.83	+ 55:27:41.7	0.1			23.30(1	1) 21.60(1) > 24.0	> 23.5	5 > 15.8	17.46(17)	< 0:01
5	20:52:22.01	+ 55:15:16.9	0.1	> 23.5	> 22.5	> 25.0	> 20.7	> 26.5	> 23.5	> 15.8	20.1(4)	<	0:01
6	20:51:31.33	+ 55:20:31.4	0.4	> 23.5	> 22.5	> 22.3	> 20.7	> 24.0	> 23.5	> 15.8	16.1(4)	<	0:01
7	20:52:50.22	+ 55:16:06.7	0.2	17.67(7)	16.23(3)	15.18(3)	13.857(10)	sat	sat	12.363 (16)	11.475(16)	<	0:01
8	20:52:42.13	+ 55:14:10.7	1.1	> 23.5	> 22.5	> 22.3	23.43(13)	> 26.1	> 23.5	> 15.8	18.9(1)		0.06
9	20:52:36.48	+ 55:17:45.8	0.2	> 23.5	> 22.5	> 25.1	> 20.7	> 26.1	> 25.1	> 15.8	22.3(4)	<	0:01
10	20:51:39.85	+ 55:25:53.1	0.3	> 23.5	> 22.5	22.99(11)	21.36(10)	> 24.0	> 23.5	> 15.8	17.45(11)	<	0:01
13	20:52:42.23	+ 55:26:07.4	0.4			22.5 (5)			>	15.8 17.4	4 (6)	< 0:
14	20:52:05.98	+ 55:17:58.6	0.2	> 23.5	> 22.5	22.72(6)	> 20.7	> 26.3	> 23.5	> 15.8	17.04(5)	<	0:01
15	20:52:09.83	+ 55:18:21.4	0.4	> 23.5	> 22.5	> 22.3	> 20.7	> 26.3	> 25.2	> 15.8	20.2(4)		0.01
16	20:52:50.16	+ 55:20:25.3	0.7	17.63(7)	16.22(3)	15.49(3)	14.732(10)	sat	sat	13.61(3)	13.02(3)	<	0:01
17	20:52:12.66	+ 55:18:54.6	0.2	> 23.5	> 22.5	> 25.2	> 20.7	> 26.3	> 23.5	> 15.8	21.1(4)	<	0:01
19	20:52:36.79	+ 55:15:28.1	1.1	> 23.5	> 22.5	24.99(14)	> 20.7	> 26.1	> 23.5	> 15.8	19.9(4)		0.09
"	20:52:36.92	+ 55:15:30.5	1.8	> 23.5	> 22.5	> 22.3	> 20.7	> 26.1	> 23.5	> 15.8	19.5(4)		0.22
24	20:51:52.31	+ 55:26:02.8	0.7	> 23.5	> 22.5	25.2(2)	> 20.7	> 24.0	> 23.5	> 15.8	19.1(1)		0.03
26	20:52:48.31	+ 55:14:21.8	0.5	> 23.5	> 22.5	> 22.3	23.00(11)	> 26.1	> 23.5	> 15.8	17.98(7)		0.01
30	20:51:29.88	+ 55:15:48.2	1.4	> 23.5	> 22.5	> 22.3	> 20.7	> 24.0	> 23.5	> 15.8	18.1(1)		0.07

Table 13 Optical/IR M atches to X-ray Sources in SNR G 093.3+ 6.9

^aSource number from Table 4.

^bPosition of optical/IR source, averaged over all the bands in which there were detections.

 $^{\rm c}{\rm P}\,{\rm osition}$ di erence between the X -ray and optical/IR source.

^d For brevity, we give both g-band (from LR IS) and g⁰-band (from LFC) data in the same column. The limits of 24.0-m ag are g-band, while the limits of 26:1=26:3-m ag are g⁰-band.

 e The chance of nding a starwithin r of the X-ray source given the K $_{s}$ m agnitude, using the star-count m odel of N aka jim a et al. (2000).

ID ^a	ь ь (J2000)		r ^c (arcsec)	В	V	R (I mag)	J	K s	N (< K _s) ^d
1 2 3 5 6	14:43:19.33 14:43:33.73 14:41:51.73 14:42:19.56 14:43:20.72	62:28:04.1 62:29:27.7 62:28:33.4 62:28:34.6 62:33:08.4	0.2 0.5 1.0 0.2 0.7	> 22:3 14.52(2) > 22:8 > 22:3 14.49(2)	> 24:5 13.23(4) > 24:5 13.73(4)	> 23:9 12.41(8) 19.88(6 > 23:9 13.27(8)	> 22:5 11.5(1)) > 22:5 12.63(10)	> 15:8 10.62(2) > 15: > 15:8 12.23(2)	19.60(8) 9.84(2) 8 > 14:6 20.5(4) 11.77(3)	0.04 < 0:01 0.05 < 0:01
8 9 12 13 17	14:43:40.99 14:43:46.51 14:43:15.26 14:43:50.38 14:43:21.90	62:31:38.3 62:24:12.1 62:21:28.7 62:30:40.8 62:32:19.0	0.4 1.4 0.7 0.9 1.5	sat 20.11(3) > 22:3 19.71(3) > 22:3	12.39(4) 18.58(3) > 21:8 17.79(5) > 21:8	11.92(8) 17.35(4) > 25:5 17.04(8) > 25:6	11.35(10) 16.12(7) > 19:7 15.55(10) 20.73(5)	10.88(2) 14.50(6) > 15:8 14.33(5) > 15:8	10.50(2) 13.40(5) 19.44(10) 13.31(5) 17.71(6)	< 0:01 0.02 0.38 0.01 0.43
19 21 " 23 26	14:42:10.79 14:42:52.36 14:42:52.19 14:42:13.11 14:42:06.91	62:22:02.9 62:21:08.1 62:21:06.5 62:32:20.6 62:22:04.7	1.1 1.7 0.7 0.2 1.8	13.63(2) > 22:3 > 22:3 17.83(2) 17.18(2)	13.10(2) > 21:8 > 21:8 16.18(4) 16.26(2)	12.92(4) 20.76(2) 19.69(8) 15.00(8) 15.53(4)	12.29(7) > 19:7 > 19:7 13.76(10) 14.87(7)	11.52(2) > 15:8 > 15:8 12.46(3) 14.02(4)	11.19(3) > 14:6 > 14:6 11.42(3) 13.37(6)	< 0:01 < 0:01 0.03
28 "	14:43:20.25 14:43:19.88 14:43:20.07	62:21:38.7 62:21:40.2 62:21:39.4	1.3 2.0 0.7	> 22:3 > 22:3 > 22:3	> 21:8 > 21:8 > 21:8 > 21:8	23.14(6) 22.23(6) 18.66(5)	> 19:7 > 19:7 > 19:7	> 15:8 > 15:8 > 15:8	18.44 (6) 18.38 (6) 16.12 (5)	0.62 1.41 0.02

Table 14 Optical/IR Matchesto X-ray Sources in SNR G 315.4 2.3

^aSource num ber from Table 5.

 $^{\rm b}\,{\rm P}\,{\rm osition}$ of optical/IR source, averaged over all the bands in which there were detections.

^cPosition di erence between the X-ray and optical/IR source.

 $^{\rm d}$ The chance of nding a starwithin $\,$ r of the X -ray source given the K $_{\rm s}$ m agnitude, using the star-count m odel of N aka jim a et al. (2000).

Table 15 Optical/IR M atches to X-ray Sources in SNR G 084.2 0.8

ID ^a	b	b	r ^c	r ⁰	J	K	N (•	< K _s) ^d
	(J2	000)	(arcsec)		(m ag)			
1	20:53:28.97	+ 43:26:58.7	0.4	sat	10.36(2)	10.11(2)	<	< 0:01
2	20:53:57.97	+ 43:24:58.6	0.9	> 23.2	> 20.8	19.68(6)		0.41
3	20:53:49.20	+ 43:25:51.1	0.2	> 23.2	> 20.8	18.32(7)	<	< 0:01
4	20:52:50.51	+ 43:30:29.3	0.8	15.08(7)	12.99(2)	12.25(3)	<	< 0:01
5	20:53:52.06	+ 43:28:10.8	1.2	> 23:2	17.44(4)	15.97 (2)		0.05
"	20:53:51.87	+ 43:28:09.9	1.7	18.83(7)	15.45(2)	14.39(1)		0.03
"	20:53:51.99	+ 43:28:08.9	0.8	> 23.2	> 20.8	17.95(5)		0.10
6	20:53:06.86	+ 43:32:59.3	0.5	> 23.2	> 20.8	19.45(6)		0.11
7	20:52:48.32	+ 43:32:12.7	1.5	> 23.2	20.67 (13)	17.64 (3)		0.27
10	20:53:51.79	+ 43:25:37.1	0.9	> 23.2	20.69(15)	17.10(4)		0.06
"	20:53:51.65	+ 43:25:37.2	1.3	> 23.2	> 20.8	21.0(2)		1.53
11	20:53:17.76	+ 43:22:06.6	1.1	> 23.2	18.43(4)	15.332(10)		0.03
12	20:53:53.17	+ 43:27:52.0	0.7	sat	13.39(3)	11.88(2)	<	< 0:01
14	20:53:02.75	+ 43:32:08.5	1.5	sat	11.97(2)	11.61(2)	<	< 0:01
15	20:53:06.49	+ 43:28:21.9	0.3	> 23.2	> 20.8	18.37 (5)		0.02
16	20:53:35.61	+ 43:34:27.5	0.6	18.22(7)	14.84(4)	14.01(7)	<	< 0:01
18	20:53:46.08	+ 43:33:46.4	0.9	> 23.2	> 20.8	20.91(14)		0.71
"	20:53:45.89	+ 43:33:44.8	1.8	> 23.2	> 20.8	18.08(3)		0.56
22	20:52:42.55	+ 43:24:50.5	0.8	19.40(7)	14.73(7)	13.26(5)	<	< 0:01
23	20:53:32.25	+ 43:23:55.2	0.5	> 23.2	> 20.8	18.23(4)		0.05

^aSource num ber from Table 6.

 $^{\rm b}\,P$ osition of optical/IR source, averaged over all the bands in which there were detections.

 $^{\rm c}{\rm P}\,{\rm osition}$ di erence between the X -ray and optical/IR source.

 $^d\,T\,he$ chance of nding a starwithin $\,$ r of the X -ray source given the K $_{\rm S}$ m agnitude, using the star-count m odel of N akajim a et al. (2000).

Table 16 Optical/IR M atches to X-ray Sources in SNR G127.1+0.5

D	b	r ^c	R	I	r ⁰	J	K s	N (< K $_{\rm s}$) ^d
(J2)	000)	(arcsec)			(mag)			
01:28:30.58	+ 63:06:29.7	0.4	16.59(4)	sat	17.76(5)	13.96(12)	12.09(8)	< 0:01
01:27:36.51	+ 63:03:45.5	0.6	> 23.0	> 21.3	> 24.5	blended	17.82(3)	0.03
01:28:07.70	+ 63:01:50.6	0.2	22.18(9)	20.69(10)	22.64(7)	19.45(6)	17.74(4)	< 0:01
01:28:42.25	+ 63:08:25.8	0.4	> 23.0	> 21.3	> 24.5	> 21.1	18.80(4)	0.02
01:29:25.42	+ 63:05:34.2	1.1	21.80(8)	20.48(8)	22.43(6)	18.39(2)	16.86(3)	0.04
01:29:10.80	+ 63:10:14.4	0.6	> 23.0	> 21.3	> 24.5	18.91(5)	16.60(3)	0.01
01:28:13.86	+ 63:06:21.2	0.3	> 23.0	> 21.3	> 24.5	> 21.1	19.85(8)	0.02
01:27:55.35	+ 63:01:41.5	0.8	> 23.0	> 21.3	> 24.5	20.32(7)	17.08(3)	0.03
01:28:37.77	+ 63:06:03.3	0.7	> 23.0	> 21.3	> 24.5	blended	18.53(8)	0.06
01:27:35.74	+ 63:02:42.4	0.8	> 23.0	> 21.3	> 24.5	> 21.1	18.17(8)	0.06
01:29:17.27	+ 63:02:42.3	1.0	> 23.0	> 21.3	> 24.5	16.717(14)	14.774(15)	0.01
01:28:01.81	+ 63:12:44.2	0.3	> 23.0	> 21.3	> 24.5	> 21.1	20.34 (13)	0.03
01:28:01.93	+ 63:12:45.7	1.4	> 23.0	> 21.3	> 24.5	> 21.1	20.81 (15)	0.75
	(J2) 11:28:30.58 21:27:36.51 11:28:07.70 21:29:25.42 21:29:25.42 21:29:25.42 21:29:25.45 21:29:25.45 21:29:10.80 21:29:10.80 21:29:17.85 21:29:17.27 21:28:01.81 21:28:01.93	(J2000) D1:28:30.58 + 63:06:29.7 D1:27:36.51 + 63:03:45.5 D1:28:07.70 + 63:01:50.6 D1:28:42.25 + 63:08:25.8 D1:29:25.42 + 63:05:34.2 D1:29:10.80 + 63:10:14.4 D1:29:10.80 + 63:10:14.4 D1:28:37.77 + 63:06:03.3 D1:27:35.74 + 63:02:42.4 D1:29:17.27 + 63:02:42.3 D1:28:01.81 + 63:12:44.2 D1:28:01.93 + 63:12:45.7	(J2000) (arcsec) (arcsec) (J2000) (arcsec) (arcsec) (arcsec) (arcsec) (J27:36.51 + 63:03:45.5 - 0.6 - 0.2 - 0.2 + 63:01:50.6 - 0.2 - 0.2 + 63:01:53.4 - 2 - 1.1 - 0.1 - 29:10.80 + 63:10:14.4 - 0.6 - 0.1 - 28:13.86 + 63:06:21.2 - 0.3 - 0.1 - 28:13.86 + 63:06:21.2 - 0.3 - 0.1 - 28:13.86 + 63:06:03.3 - 0.7 - 0.1 - 27:35.74 + 63:02:42.4 - 0.8 - 0.1 - 29:17.27 + 63:02:42.3 - 1.0 - 0.1 - 29:17.27 + 63:02:42.3 - 1.0 - 0.1 - 29:17.27 + 63:02:42.3 - 1.0 - 0.1 - 29:17.27 + 63:02:42.3 - 1.0 - 0.1 - 28:01.81 + 63:12:44.2 - 0.3 - 0.1 - 28:01.81 + 63:12:45.7 - 1.4 - 0.4	(J2000) (arcsec) $(J2000) (arcsec)$ $(J2000) (arcsec)$ $(J2000) (arcsec)$ $(J2000) (arcsec)$ $(J2000) (arcsec)$ $(J2000) (J27:36.51 + 63:03:45.5 - 0.6 - 23.0 - 22.18(9))$ $(J28:42.25 + 63:08:25.8 - 0.4 - 23.0 - 22.18(9))$ $(J29:25.42 + 63:08:25.8 - 0.4 - 23.0 - 22.18(9))$ $(J29:25.42 + 63:05:34.2 - 1.1 - 21.80(8))$ $(J129:10.80 + 63:10:14.4 - 0.6 - 223.0 - 22.30)$ $(J27:55.35 + 63:06:21.2 - 0.3 - 23.0$	(J2000) (arcsec) (arcsec) (J2000) (arcsec) (arcsec) (J2000) (arcsec) (J2000) (arcsec) (J2000) (arcsec) (J2000) (J200	(J2000) (arcsec) (arcsec) (m ag) (arcsec) (m ag) (arcsec) (m ag) (m ag) (arcsec) (m ag) (arcsec) (m ag) (m ag) (arcsec) (arcsec) (m ag) (arcsec)	$\begin{array}{c} (J2000) & (arcsec) & (m ag) \\ (J2000) & (arcsec) & (m ag) \\ (arcsec) & (m ag) \\ (J2000) & (arcsec) & (m ag) \\ (m $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

^aSource num ber from Table 7.

 $^{\rm b}\,{\rm P}\,{\rm osition}$ of optical/IR source, averaged over all the bands in which there were detections.

 $^{\rm c}{\rm P}\,{\rm osition}$ di erence between the X -ray and optical/IR source.

 $^{\rm d}\, The$ chance of nding a star within $\,$ r of the X -ray source given the K $_{\rm s}$ m agnitude, using the star-count m odel of N akajim a et al. (2000).

This will not work so well for the sources here, as the X-ray uxes are signicantly less than that of CXO J232327.8+584842 and consequently the location in Figure 27 for a random optical/IR counterpart would be closer to the O rion/CDF loci.

To determ ine the probabilities of random false associations, we have used the IR star-counts as modeled by Nakajim a et al. (2000) and galaxy-counts observed by C im atti et al. (2002). W e plot in Figure 31 the num ber of sources brighter than a given K s m agnitude per square arcsecond for all four SNRs (due to their low erG alactic latitudes, the numbers for SNR G315.4 2.3 and SNR G084.2 0.8 are a factor of 5{15 higher than those for SNR G 093.3+6.9, and SNR G 127.1+0.5 is in between). We chose to plot the counts in the K s band as these are least a ected by extinction. In Tables 13{16 we also give, for sources with K $_{\rm s}$ detections, the expected number of random stars¹³ brighter than the detected source within r (the distance between the K s source and the X -ray source). For most cases, especially the bright 2M ASS stars, these num bers are very low (< 0.01), but for a few of the fainter sources the num bers can become signi cant (& 0:5). For those cases with roughly even chances of random associations we also exam ine (in xx 5.1.3, 5.2.3, 5.3.3, and 5.4.3) other factors like the source spectrum and optical/IR colors, where available. In Figures 32{34 we show plots of expected stellar color vs. m agnitude for di erent distances and extinctions. Using these plots can help us determ ine the approxim ate type of the stellar counterparts in Tables 13{16, as dem onstrated in Sections 5.1.3, 5.2.3, 5.3.3, and 5.4.3. These plots are not exact, though: they assume A_V / D and they are only for main-sequence stars. When possible, the J $\,$ K $_{\rm s}$ color should be used instead of other combinations as it is least susceptible to reddening e ects (E (J K $_{\rm s}$) = 0:18, compared to E (R K $_{\rm S}$) = 0:71), but it is less sensitive to stellar type than other combinations.

In Figures 35 and 36 we exam ine the X-ray-to-optical o set. W e see that even for sources with large o sets, the values are reasonably consistent with the expected distribution. C om pare to Figure 1 of B arger et al. (2003).

We can see some general trends from the discussions for the dierent SNRs (SS 513, 523, 533, and 5.4.3) and from Figures 27{30. Namely, SNR G 093.3+6.9 and SNR G1271+05 have the highest fractions of probable galaxies, with SNR G084.2 0.8 intermediate, and SNR G315.4 2.3 the lowest (summarized in Tab. 17). This is largely due to the di ering Galactic latitudes and longitudes of the four SNRs, and is also seen som ew hat in Figure 31. SNR G 315.4 2.3, at a relatively low joj and well in quadrant IV, is along the line of sight of m any stars and the short exposure time of the observation helps to keep the number of background galaxies down. SNR G0842 0.8 is at a lower bj but it's position near 1 = 90 and at the edge of a spiral arm (Feldt & Green 1993) low ers the num ber of stars, while the longer exposure identied more galaxies (many of whom are heavily reddened). The total N $_{\rm H}$ along this like of sight helps to delineate galaxies and stars even without optical counterparts, as can be seen from Figure 12. Finally, SNR G 093.3+6.9

¹³ As seen in Figure 31, the chance of random associations with a galaxy is quite sm all compared to the chance of association with a star, and is usually negligible.



Fig. 31. Number density of IR sources. The number per square arcsecond brighter than a given K_s magnitude is plotted against K_s magnitude. The data for stars are from the model of Nakajim a et al. (2000), and are plotted for SNR G 093.3+6.9 (solid line), SNR G 315.4 2.3 (dashed line), SNR G 084.2 0.8 (dash-dotted line), and SNR G 127.1+0.5 (dotted line). For galaxies (circles) the counts are from the K-20 project (C in atti et al. 2002). The observed data for SNR G 093.3+6.9, SNR G 084.2 0.8, and SNR G 127.1+0.5 are from the W IRC observations, and are likely not com plete for K_s 4 19. The predicted star counts are within a factor of 3 of the observed counts.



Fig. 32. Stellar color vs. m agnitude for m ain-sequence stars, from Cox (2000, pp. 151 & 388): we have assumed that A_V / d , norm alized to $A_V = 1$ m ag at d = 3 kpc (roughly appropriate for SNR G 093.3+6.9 and SNR G 315.4 2.3). Left: R K_S color vs. K_S m agnitude. Right: J K_S color vs. K_S m agnitude. Shown are tracks for hum inosity class V stellar types F0, F5, G0, G5, K0, K5, M 0, M 2, and M 5 (as labeled). Points along the tracks are labeled by $(\log_{10} (d=pc); A_V)$, and the tracks progress from (2.0,0.03) to (4.0,3.3). The m axim um values of A_V expected along the lines of sight to SNR G 093.3+6.9 and SNR G 315.4 2.3 are 2 m ag and 5 m ag, respectively (Predehl & Schm itt 1995). The m ajority of the stars from Nakajim a et al. (2000) are of spectral type M 0(M 3.



Fig. 33.| Stellar color vs.m agnitude for main-sequence stars, from Cox (2000, pp. 151 & 388): we have assumed that A_V / d , normalized to $A_V = 1$ mag at d = 4.5 kpc (roughly appropriate for SNR G 084.2 0.8). Otherwise the gures are the same as Figure 32. The maximum value of A_V expected along the line of sight to SNR G 084.2 0.8 is 5 mag (Predehl& Schmitt 1995).



Fig. 27. X-ray-to-optical/IR ux ratio vs.X-ray ux for sources in SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 (Tabs. 4 and 13) with sources from the CDF/O rion studies and selected neutron stars. Upper left: V-band; upper right: R-band; low er left: I-band; low er right: K_s-band. Stars from CDF/O rion are blue asterisks, galaxies are green circles. Selected neutron stars are black diam onds/lim its, and are labeled. The unidenti ed X-ray sources in SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 are the red squares/lim its and are also labeled (in the case ofm ultiple possible counterparts, the source is plotted multiple tim es). The diagonal lines represent constant m agnitude, and are labeled by that m agnitude. For the sources in SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 the counts were converted to a ux by F_{0.5} 2:0 keV = counts_{0:5} 2:0 keV 3:4 10⁻¹⁶ ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻¹, appropriate for a blackbody with kT₁ = 0:25 keV and N_H = 2^{-10²¹} cm⁻². As seen in Table 18, the X-ray uxes change can be a factor of 2 higher for a power-law spectrum.



Fig. 28. | X-ray-to-optical/IR ux ratio vs.X-ray ux for sources in SNR G 315.4 2.3 (Tabs.5 and 14) with sources from the CDF/Orion studies and selected neutron stars, following Figure 27. Upper left: V-band; upper right: R-band; lower left: I-band; lower right: K_s-band. For the sources in SNR G 315.4 2.3 the counts were converted to a ux by $F_{0.5 \ 2.0 \ \text{keV}}$ = counts_{0.5 \ 2.0 \ \text{keV}} 5:1 10 ¹⁶ ergs s ¹ cm ¹, appropriate for a blackbody with kT₁ = 0.25 keV and N_H = 2 10²¹ cm ².}



Fig. 29. X-ray-to-optical/IR ux ratio vs.X-ray ux for sources in SNR G 084.2 0.8 (Tabs. 6 and 15) with sources from the CDF/O rion studies and selected neutron stars, following Figure 27. Left: R-band; right: K_s-band. For the sources in SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 the counts were converted to a ux by F_{0:5 2:0 keV} = counts_{0:5 2:0 keV} 2:0 10 ¹⁶ ergs s ¹ cm ⁻¹, appropriate for a blackbody with kT₁ = 0:25 keV and N_H = 2 10^{21} cm ⁻².



Fig. 30. X-ray-to-optical/IR ux ratio vs.X-ray ux for sources in SNR G127.1+0.5 (Tabs.7 and 16) with sources from the CDF/O rion studies and selected neutron stars, following Figure 27. Left: R-band; right: K s-band. For the sources in SNR G127.1+0.5 the counts were converted to a ux by F_{0:5 2:0 keV} = counts_{0:5 2:0 keV} 2:6 10 ¹⁶ ergs s ¹ cm ¹, appropriate for a blackbody with kT₁ = 0:25 keV and N_H = 2 10^{21} cm ².



Fig. 34. Stellar color vs. m agnitude for m ain-sequence stars, from Cox (2000, pp. 151 & 388): we have assumed that A_V / d , normalized to $A_V = 1$ m ag at d = 1.3 kpc (roughly appropriate for SNR G 127.1+0.5). Otherwise the gures are the same as Figure 32. The maximum value of A_V expected along the line of sight to SNR G 127.1+0.5 is 5 m ag (P redehl & Schm itt 1995).



Fig. 35. Distribution of o set between X-ray sources and their proposed optical/IR counterparts as a function of o -axis angle. Left: o set (in arcsec) vs. o -axis angle (in arcm in). Right: o set norm alized to the 90% radius (from Tabs. 4{7) vs. o -axis angle (in arcm in). Sources from SNR G 093.3+6.9 are circles, those from SNR G 315.4 2.3 are x's, those from SNR G 084.2 0.8 are squares, and those from SNR G 127.1+0.5 are stars.



Fig. 36. Histogram of the distribution of o set between X-ray sources and their proposed optical/IR counterparts normalized to the 90% radius (from Tabs. 4{ 7}. Sources from SNR G 093,3+6.9 are dark blue, those from SNR G 315.4 2.3 are in white, those from SNR G 084.2 0.8 are the green hatched region, and those from SNR G 127,1+0.5 are the maroon hatched region. The red dashed line is the expected distribution (f (r) / rexp(r^2)).

is at a relatively high bjand also does not look toward the inner G alaxy, and SNR G 127.1+0.5 is decidedly toward the outer G alaxy, so the X-ray sources are predom inantly background galaxies.

5. results

Here we discuss the results of applying the techniques in x 4 to the rst four SNRs from our Chandra sam – ple: SNRs G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G 084.2+0.8, and G 127.1+0.5. For each SNR, we rst discuss its general properties, then details of the X-ray analysis, optical observations and analysis, and nally identication of counterparts.

5.1. SNR G 093.3+6.9

The radio source SNR G 093.3+6.9 (also known as DA 530) was rst identi ed as a SNR by Roger & Costain (1976), whose radio observations showed a shell 27^{0} in diam eter with bright rims and high polarization (Haslam, Salter, & Pauls 1980) | see Figure 2. The kinematic distance based on H I em ission gives a distance of 2:5 0:4 kpc, but allowing for non-circular motion relaxes the distance limits and constrains the distance to be 1.0{ 3.5 kpc.

W hile infrared and optical emission have been only marginally detected, SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 has been detected by ROSAT (Landecker et al. 1999). Based on ts to the X-ray spectrum, Landecker et al. (1999) prefer a distance of 3.5 kpc. In X-rays, it appears super cially similar to SN 1006, which has been suggested to be the rem nant of a Type Ia SN (Fesen et al. 1988, A llen, Petre, & G otthelf 2001). Taken together with the high G alactic latitude of 7, som e authors believe that SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 is also the young (5000 yr) rem nant from a Type Ia SN (e.g., Landecker et al. 1999), but there are some problem swith this, as none of the X-ray spectralm odels give fully consistent results and they im ply that the SNR m ay have occurred in a especially low-density bubble such as might exist around a m assive star (i.e. the progenitor of a Type II SN).

M ost of the X-ray ts in Landecker et al. (1999) assumed a hydrogen column density of N_H = 2:1 10^{21} cm², which is consistent with the value inferred from the H I data. This is the nominal value that we adopt for this source below. However, some of the ts required larger values of N_H, up to 6 10^{21} cm², while the total G alactic column density¹⁴ in this direction is only 4 10^{21} cm².

Lorim er, Lyne, & C am ib (1998) searched SNRG G 093.3+6.9 unsuccessfully for a radio pulsar down to a limit of 0.8 mJy at 606 MHz, implying a luminosity limit of $Sd^2 < 9.8 \text{ mJy} \text{ kpc}^2$. Extrapolating to a frequency of 1400 MHz using an average spectral index of 1.8 (M aronet al. 2000), this is still a factor of alm ost 10 brighter than the luminosities of the emerging class of faint radio pulsars such as PSR J0205+6449 in 3C 58 (C am ilo et al. 2002b). Landecker et al. (1999) identied six point sources in the ROSAT PSPC data, three of which are inside the rem – nant (see x 5.1.1), but they conclude that none of these is likely the compact rem nant of the explosion as they are all relatively hard (how ever, neutron stars such as the C rab can also have hard spectra).

¹⁴ D eterm ined using C olden, http://asc.harvard.edu/toolkit/colden.jsp.

Table 17 Classifications of X -ray Sources in SNRsG093.3+6.9, G315.4 2.3, G084.2+0.8, and G127.1+0.5

SN R	Stars	Galaxies	U nœrtain ^a
G 093 3+ 6.9 G 315 4 2.3 G 084 2 0.8 G 127 1+ 0.5	3 8 6 0	15 4 8 9	0 3: 17, 21, 28 2: 5, 10 3: 12, 18, 22
Total	17	36	8

Note. Classi cations follow the discussion in xx 5.1.3, 5.2.3, 5.3.3, and 5.4.3.

^aUncertain sources are those for which the classi cation as a star or galaxy was unclear. This encom passes both sources that de nitely have counterparts but where the type is uncertain and sources where the counterpart itself is uncertain. The uncertain sources for each SNR are listed.

5.1.1. X-ray Observations

We observed SNR G 093.3+6.9 with the Chandra X-ray Observatory (Weisskopf et al. 2000) on 2001 December 17. Based on examination of the ROSAT /PSPC data, we determ ined the center of the rem $nant^{15}$ to be at J2000 position $20^{h}52^{m}14^{s}$, + 55 $20^{0}30^{c0}$, about 1.5^{0} away from the nom inal position from G reen (2001). The aim -point was on the AC IS-I in aging array, and the nalexposure time was 16.4 ksec. See Figure 2 for the placem ent of the AC IS-I detector relative to the SNR.A sm oothed of the data is shown in Figure 6 with the X -ray sources that we identi ed (Tab. 4) labeled.

The analysis of the Chandra data proceeded according to Section 4.2. In Figure 10 we plot the H-band counts vs. the L-band counts for the sources in Table 4. We also plot the lines for sources with power-laws having = 0; 1:0; 1:5; 2:0 and blackbody models having kT_1 = 02;04;10 keV, all with N $_{\rm H}$ = 2 10^{21} cm 2 , and the m edian and 25-/75-percentiles of count-ratios (C $_{\rm H}$ =C $_{\rm L}$) for the sources from the CDF and O rion. M ost of the sources are consistent with power-laws having indices from 0.0{ 2.0, such as one would expect for energetic pulsars like the Crab or for AGN. A few sources (SNR G 093.3+6.9:1, SNR G 093.3+6.9:7, and SNR G 093.3+6.9:16) are softer, with implied temperatures (for blackbody models) of kT_1 0:4 keV.

Three of the sources were also detected by Landecker et al. (1999) in ROSAT data. Source SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:1 is source 6 from Landecker et al. (1999), SNR G 093.3+6.9.2 is source 5 from Landeckeret al. (1999), and SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:7 ing observations of the standard stars SJ 9101, SJ 9166, is source 4 from Landecker et al. (1999). W hile it is di cult to compare directly because of the sm all num bers of counts involved, the count-rates and hardness ratios are roughly com parable between those that we observed here

and those predicted by converting the ROSAT count-rates to Chandra using W3PIMMS¹⁶

5.1.2. Optical/IR Observations

We observed the eld of SNR G 093.3+6.9 a number of times with a number of instruments, as described in Table 9. The aim of the observations was to identify counterparts to the X -ray sources in Table 4 with progressively deeper exposures (x 4.5). Data reduction for the optical data used standard IRAF routines to subtract the bias, at-

eld, and then com bine separate exposures. For the LFC and LR IS-B data, where signi cant focal-plane distortion prevented simple addition of data and where there are multiple CCDs, we used the IRAF MSCRED package to atten each im age with custom distortion m aps prior to addition. For the infrared data, we subtracted dark frames, then produced a sky fram e for subtraction by taking a sliding box-carwindow of 4 exposures on either side of a reference exposure. We then added the exposures together, identied all the stars, and produced masks for the stars that were used to improve the sky frames in a second round of sky subtraction.

We determ ined BVRI photom etric zero-points for the P60CCD data using observations of the Stetson elds¹⁷ PG1657 and NGC 6823 (Stetson 2000). We then determ ined zero-points for the other optical data referenced to the P60CCD observations, employing appropriate transform ations (Jorgensen 1994; Sm ith et al. 2002).

W e determ ined a K $_{\rm s}$ zero-point for the P 60IR data us-SJ 9177, and SJ 9188 (Persson et al. 1998). For the NIRC observations, we determ ined zero-points using 1{4 2M ASS (Skrutskie et al. 1997) stars in each eld (the K $_{\rm s}$ m agnitudes include a 0.3-m ag system atic uncertainty arising from zero-point calibration). For the W IRC observations,

¹⁵ The geom etric centers of SNRs were identied by eye, with the understanding that the actual site of the SNR is not always at the geom etric center (e.g., G varam adze 2002).

¹⁶ See http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/Tools/w3pimms.html.

¹⁷ http://cadcwwww.dao.nrc.ca/cadcbin/wdbi.cgi/astrocat/stetson/query

we determ ined zero-points using 400 unsaturated 2M ASS stars.

We performed absolute astrometry on the R-band P60CCD data, the LFC data, the LR IS data, and the W IRC data. A fler applying distortion corrections to the LFC and LR IS data (we did not use any distortion for the P60CCD data or W IRC), we solved for plate-scale, rotation, and central position relative to stars from version 2.2 of the Guide StarCatalog (GSC-2.2¹⁸) for all but W IRC and relative to 2M ASS stars for W IRC, getting residuals in each coordinate of 0^o22 (427 stars), 0^o08 (135 stars), 0^o13 (613 stars), and 0^o10 (530 stars) for P60CCD, LR IS, LFC, and W IRC respectively. For the remaining data (ESI, P60IR, and NIRC) we used non-saturated stars from the other observations to boot-strap the astrom etry, getting typical residuals of < 0^o05 in each coordinate.

5.1.3. Notes on Individual Sources

- SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:1 This source is almost certainly an active star: the infrared colors are indicative of class G 0 or so, the source falls on the bous of stars in Figure 27, and the variability (Fig. 14) is typical of that seen for stars (M arino, M icela, & Peres 2000). The X-ray lum inosity expected of such a star, 10^{29} ³¹ ergs s¹ (K atsova & C herepashchuk 2000) is in plies that it is 500 pc away, consistent with its K s m agnitude (Fig. 32).
- SNR G 093.3+6.9:2 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27 and its constant X-ray ux (Fig.14).
- SNR G 093.3+6.9:4 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27.
- SNR G 093.3+6.9:5 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27.
- SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:6 The IR counterpart places it midway between the stars and galaxies in Figure 27. It could be a spurious counterpart, but there are other sources in its vicinity in Figure 27. Based on its hard spectrum and extrem ely red R K_s colors, it is likely a galaxy.
- SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:7 W hile redder than SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:1, it is otherw ise sim ilar, suggesting that this is very likely also an active star of type M 2 or so.
- SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:8 The optical counterpart is near the edge of the error circle, but it is far o -axis and therefore this is not unexpected. This source is otherw ise consistent with being a galaxy.
- SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:9 This source has the most extrem e X-ray-to-IR ux ratio of the sources in Table 13, but it most likely is not the associated neutron star. The optical counterpart has a very sm all $(0^{\circ}2)$ o set from the X-ray source, suggesting that while it may be 2 m ag fainter than other counterparts it is still likely to be a real association. It is still reasonably consistent with the CDF locus and it is som ew hat red (R K_s > 3), contrary to know n neutron stars

¹⁸ http://www-gsss.stsci.edu/support/data_access.htm

(K aplan et al. 2003b) but sim ilar to that of other galaxy candidates like SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:10. A lso, the X-ray spectrum is moderately hard, consistent with the power-law expected from an AGN.W ithout an optical counterpart, it m ight be a candidate for a low-lum inosity C rab-like pulsar (L_X would be 2 10^{31} ergs s¹), but with the counterpart it is very likely an AGN.

- SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:10 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27.
- SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:13 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27.
- SNR G 093.3+6.9:14 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27 and hard spectrum.
- SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:15 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27. It may be extended, indicating a low redshift (Fig. 17).
- SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:16 W hile redder than SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:1, it is otherw ise sim ilar, suggesting that this is very likely also an active star of type K 5.
- SNR G 093.3+6.9:17 This is likely a galaxy, given its hard spectrum and position in Figure 27. The IR source may also be extended (Fig.18).
- SNR G 093.3+6.9:19 There are multiple IR sources listed in Table 13, one just in the error circle and one outside (see Fig. 18). The true counterpart is likely the one in the circle (the rst source in Tab. 13), which is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27 and its colors.
- SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:24 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27.
- SNR G 093.3+6.9:26 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27.
- SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:30 This is likely a galaxy, given its hard spectrum and position in Figure 27, sim ilar to SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:19. The X-ray hardness ratio is, while harder than most galaxies, not unheard of in that context (B auer et al. 2002).

5.2. SNR G 315.4 2.3

SNR G 315.4 2.3 (RCW 86, or M SH 14 63) is a large (45°) , non-therm alG alactic radio shell (Fig. 3) identi ed as a SNR by H ill (1967) and considered as the rem nant of the historic supernova explosion SN 185 (C lark & Stephenson 1977).

The identi cation of SNR G 315.4 2.3 with the historic supernova explosion of AD 185 is a matter of some controversy¹⁹. G com etric considerations would require a distance to the rem nant of less than 1 kpc (Strom 1994), while the kinem atic distance of the rem nant is found to be 2.8 0.4 kpc (Rosado et al. 1996). The latter distance

 $^{^{19}}$ W hile som e recent reviews of the Chinese record even question the association of SN 185 with a supernova explosion event (Chin & Huang 1994; Schaefer 1995; Sm ith 1997), this is not universally accepted (Stephenson & G reen 2002).

suggests a physical connection with an OB association (W esterlund 1969), an interpretation which is supported by the light elemental abundance which favors a Type II supernova and by the measured interstellar column density. However, some recent modeling of X -ray data suggest a distance as close as 1.2 kpc and abundances more typical of a Type Ia explosion (Bocchino et al. 2000), more in line with that expected if SNR G 315.4 2.3 were the rem nant of SN 185, although these interpretations are by no means secure (Rho et al. 2002). In what follows, we assume the 2.8 kpc distance; if the SNR were closer (and younger), then our lum inosity limits would be lower and even more constraining if SNR G 315.4 2.3 is the result of a core-collapse event.

In addition to radio em ission, SNR G 315.4 2.3 shows thin Balmer-dominated laments (Long & Blair 1990; Sm ith 1997) and X-ray em ission (Pisarski, Helfand, & K ahn 1984; Vink, Kaastra, & Bleeker 1997) that have the same general morphology. There is X-ray spectral variation over the rem nant, but the hydrogen column density is likely 2 3 10^{21} cm² (Vink et al. 1997) (the total G alactic column density from C olden in this direction is 9 10^{21} cm²). The age of SNR G 315.4 2.3 is somewhat uncertain, as it has features of both young (a few thousand years) and old (> 10^4 yrs) rem nants (D ickel, Strom, & M ilhe 2001). On average, probably the best estim ate for its age is 4000 yrs, although ages up to 10^4 yrs are not in possible (R osado et al. 1996; P etruk 1999; B occhino et al. 2000; B orkow skiet al. 2001).

K aspi et al. (1996) searched SNR G 315.4 2.3 unsuccessfully for a radio pulsar down to a lim it of 1.3 m Jy at 436 M H z and 0.2 m Jy at 1520 M H z, both im plying a 1400-M H z lum inosity lim it of S $d^2 < 1.5$ m Jy kpc². This is quite faint | a factor of three fainter than PSR J0205+ 6449.

Based on ROSAT and Einstein data, Vink et al. (2000) identi ed an X-ray point source inside SNR G315.4 2.3 that they conclude is likely an active star. Unfortunately, this source is outside our X -ray observations so we cannot con m or deny their conclusion. Sim ilarly, G varam adze & Vikhlinin (2003) used Chandra data of the bright south-west region to search for point sources, motivated by their hypothesis that SNR G 315.4 2.3 was a signi cantly o -center cavity SN. They nd one source at the edge of the rem nant (Fig. 3) without an optical counterpart, although the only lim it they cite is from the Digital Sky 21), and conclude based on this and the Survey (m lim source's X -ray spectrum that it may be a neutron star (we have exam ined 2M ASS and see no clear counterpart to this source there, implying $\lim its of J > 15:8$ and $K_s > 14:6$). However, these optical/IR lim its are far from constraining (x 522) and it is quite possible that, while not an active star like the other source they identify, this source is an active galaxy. In any case, all of these sources are quite far out from the nom inal center of the rem nant. If the explosion occurred near what we de ne as the center of the SNR, none of the sources in V ink et al. (2000) or G varamadze & Vikhlinin (2003) could be the compact remnant w ithout transverse velocities in excess of 1500 km s 1 | not an unheard of velocity (x 6.1.1), but certainly large.

We observed SNR G 315.4 2.3 with Chandra on 2002– December-02. We determ ined the center of the remnant to be at (J2000) $14^{h}42^{m}50^{s}$, 62 $28^{0}20^{00}$. The aim-point was on the ACIS-I imaging array, and the nalexposure time was 10.0 ksec. See Figure 3 for the placement of the ACIS-I detector relative to the SNR. A sm oothed image of the data is shown in Figure 7 with the X-ray sources that we identied (Tab.5) labeled.

The analysis of the Chandra data proceeded according to Section 4.2. In Figure 11 we plot the Hband counts vs. the L-band counts for the sources in Table 5. Most of the sources are consistent with power-laws having indices from $0.0\{2.0, \text{but the sources}$ are slightly softer than those in SNR G 093.3+6.9. A few sources (SNR G 315.4 2.3.2, SNR G 315.4 2.3.6, SNR G 315.4 2.3.8, SNR G 315.4 2.3.9, SNR G 315.4 2.3.26, and SNR G 315.4 2.3.28) are soft, with in plied temperatures (for blackbody m odels) of kT_1 0.4 keV.

522. Optical/IR Observations

For SNR G 315.4 2.3 we also observed the eld with a variety of instrum ents, listed in Table 10. The reduction proceeded as in x 5.1.2.

W e determ ined BVRI zero-points for the C40 data using observations of the Stetson $edds^{20}$ E4 and L107 (Stetson 2000). W e then determ ined zero-points for the other optical data referenced to the C40 observations, em ploying appropriate transform ations (Jorgensen 1994; Sm ith et al. 2002). W e determ ined a K_s zero-point for the PANIC data with 20 2M ASS stars in each eld.

A strom etry wasperform ed relative to 2M ASS²¹. For the C 40 and EM M I data, where there is some optical distortion, we computed solutions (plate-scale, rotation, and central position) locally around each X-ray source, lim iting the elds to 1° . These solutions typically used 45 stars and had residuals of $0^{\circ}.09$ in each coordinate. For the M agIC and PAN IC in ages we computed solutions for the entire in age, using 80 stars and giving residuals of $0^{\circ}.05$ in each coordinate.

523. Notes on Individual Sources

- SNR G 315.4 2.3:1 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 28 and its hard spectrum (Fig. 11). However, we only detect the counterpart in a single band and it is quite faint, so it is possible that the counterpart is a coincidence.
- SNR G 315.4 2.3:2 This is likely a star, given its position in Figure 28 and its soft spectrum (Fig. 11). Based on its IR colors and magnitude, this may be a K 2III giant star.
- SN R G 315.4 2.3:3 The optical counterpart places it m idway between the stars and galaxies in F igure 28. It could be a spurious counterpart, but there are other sources in its vicinity in F igure 28. Based on its hard spectrum, it is likely a galaxy.

 20 http://cadcwww.dao.nrc.ca/cadcbin/wdbi.cgi/astrocat/stetson/query 21 The 2M ASS data for this eld were released before those for SNR G 093.3+ 6.9, SNR G 084.2 0.8, and SNR G 127.1+ 0.5, where we used the G SC -2.2 for some of the astrom etry. We have com pared the results of 2M ASS and G SC -2.2 astrom etry and found them in-distinguishable.

- SNR G 315.4 2.3:5 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 28 and its hard spectrum (Fig. 11).
- SNR G 315.4 2.3:6 This is likely a star, given its position in Figure 28 and its very soft spectrum (Fig. 11). Based on its IR colors, it is likely type K0 or so.
- SNR G 315.4 2.3:8 This is likely a star, given its position in Figure 28 and its soft spectrum (Fig. 11). Based on its IR colors, it is likely type G 5 or so.
- SNR G 315.4 2.3:9 This is likely a star, given its position in Figure 28 and its soft spectrum (Fig. 11). Based on its IR colors, it is likely type M 7 or so.
- SN R G 315.4 2.3:12 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 28 and its hard spectrum (Fig.11). W hile the chance of a random star in the error circle is non negligible, only the reddest stars have R K_s > 6 (Fig. 32) and these starsmake up only a small fraction of those in this line of sight (N aka jim a et al. 2000).
- SNR G 315.4 2.3:13 This is likely a star, given its position in Figure 28. Based on its IR colors, it is likely type M 6 or so.
- SNR G 315.4 2.3:17 The optical/IR source is on the edge of the X-ray error circle in Figure 20 (to the west of center). There is also a faint smudge that is below the detection threshold and m ay be an extension of the source just to the north of the error circle. If the source to the west is the counterpart, the o set is large but not entirely unreasonable (Fig. 36; see also Barger et al. 2003). The source would then be a galaxy, given its position in Figure 28, hard spectrum (Fig. 11), and red colors (R $K_s > 8$; see Thompson et al. 1999). It is also possible that the northern source is the correct counterpart, in which case the source would also likely be a galaxy. A third possibility is that the X -ray and optical/IR em ission are not entirely spatially coincident, such as would be the case for a nearby interacting binary star or a low-redshift galaxy. This might explain the X-ray-to-optical o set. It is unlikely that this source is a neutron star, as its spectrum is quite hard | harder than that expected of a C rab-like pulsar.
- SNR G 315.4 2.3:19 This is likely a star, given its position in Figure 28. Based on its IR colors, it is likely type F7 or so.
- SN R G 315.4 2.3:21 There are two optical sources in the X -ray error circle (Fig. 21), or perhaps one extended source. Separately, each source is near the star/galaxy boundary in Figure 28, and the X -ray spectrum is intermediate, so no quick classi cation is possible. Thism ay be an interacting binary (CV, X -ray binary, etc.), sources that would not have been in the O rion sample but are present in the general G alactic/extragalactic populations (Hertz & G rindlay 1988; G rindlay et al. 2003).

- SNR G 315.4 2.3:23 This is likely a star, given its position in Figure 28. Based on its IR colors, it is likely type M 5 or so.
- SNR G 315.4 2.3:26 This is likely a star, given its position in Figure 28. Based on its IR colors, it is likely type K 5 or so.
- SNR G 315.4 2.3:28 There are three possible counterparts in Figure 21. The brightest is the closest to the center of the error circle and would be consistent with being a star, and it may be extended to the north, possibly indicating a binary companion. The source to the east of the circle's center is more consistent with a galaxy. Finally, the source to the south-west of the circle's center, on the edge of the circle, is also m ore consistent with a galaxy. G iven the soft X -ray spectrum of this source, the bright stellar companion is likely the correct one. It is also possible that there is no companion (the random probability for a star is som ew hat high, even for the closest source), in which case it would be a candidate neutron star, but a de nitive answer will have to await additional X-ray observations (assessing the spectrum and variability and improving the position).

5.3. SNR G084.2 0.8

SNR G 0842 0.8 was identi ed as a Galactic SNR by M atthews et al. (1977), who observed a well-de ned non-therm al radio shell (Fig. 4) with diam eters of about 20^{0} 14^{0} . Fektt & G reen (1993) identi ed CO and H I em ission interacting with SNR G 0842 0.8, giving the rem nant a kinem atic distance of 4.5 kpc and a size of 28 pc 22 pc. It has not been detected in X-rays so there are no spectral ts to determ ine its age or tem perature. The hydrogen column n density to SNR G 0842 0.8 is N_H 2 10^{21} (again using C oklen) and integrating the appropriate velocity range), while the total hydrogen through the G alaxy is N_H 1 10^{22} . A ssum ing a Sedov-phase rem nant, we nd (see x 4.1) t₄ $0.6T_7^{-1=2}$, and since T₇ 1 holds for most SNR s, we

can say that $t_4 = 0.3\{1.0 \text{ (with } T_7 = 0.3\{3\}).$

Lorimer et al. (1998) searched SNR G 084.2 0.8 unsuccessfully for a radio pulsar down to a limit of 1.1 mJy at 606 MHz, implying a luminosity limit of Sd² < 44 mJy kpc². Extrapolating to a frequency of 1400 MHz using an average spectral index of 1.8, this is a factor of almost > 50 brighter than the luminosity of PSR J0205+ 6449.

5.3.1. X-ray Observations

We observed SNR G 0842 0.8 with Chandra on 2002– November-24. We determined the center of the remnant to be at (J2000) $20^{h}53^{m}21^{s}$, +43 $26^{0}55^{c0}$. The aim-point was on the AC IS-I imaging array, and the nal exposure time was 24.6 ksec. See Figure 4 for the placement of the AC IS-I detector relative to the SNR. A sm oothed image of the data is shown in Figure 8 with the X-ray sources that we identied (Tab. 6) labeled.

The analysis of the Chandra data proceeded according to Section 4.2. In Figure 12 we plot the H-band counts vs. the L-band counts for the sources in Table 6. About half of the sources are consistent with power-laws having indices from 0.0{2.0, but a number of the sources are signi cantly harder. These are likely distant AGN that have had their soft photons heavily absorbed by G alactic gas | a source with = 0.5 and N_H = 2 10^{22} cm² would have HR_{L;H} = 0.8, sim ilar to the hardest sources. A few sources (SNR G 0842 0.8:1, SNR G 0842 0.8:4, SNR G 0842 0.8:16) are soft, with in plied tem peratures (for blackbody m odels) of kT₁ 0:4 keV.

5.3.2. Optical/IR Observations

We observed the eld of SNR G 0842 0.8 a number of times with a number of instruments, as described in Table 11. The reduction proceeded as in x 5.12.

W e determ ined the photom etric zero-points for the LFC data by bootstrapping from VRI Palom ar 60-inch observations of the Stetson $ekls^{22}$ L98, NGC 7654, and PG 0231 (Stetson 2000) and employing appropriate transform ations (Jorgensen 1994; Sm ith et al. 2002) to LFC observations of these ekds. For the W IRC observations, we determ ined zero-points using 1700 unsaturated 2M ASS (Skrutskie et al. 1997) stars. For the NIRC observations, we determ ined zero-points using about 60 stars from the W IRC im age.

W e perform ed absolute astrom etry on the LFC data and the W IRC data. A fler applying distortion corrections to the LFC data (we did not use any distortion correction for W IRC), we solved for plate-scale, rotation, and centralposition relative to stars from version 2.2 of the G uide Star C atalog (G SC -2.2²³) for all but W IRC and relative to 2M A SS stars for W IRC, getting residuals in each coordinate of 0°13 (600 stars), and 0°19 (2500 stars) for LFC and W IRC respectively. For the N IRC data we used nonsaturated stars from the W IRC to boot-strap the astrom – etry, getting typical residuals of < 0°06 in each coordinate with about 60 stars.

5.3.3. Notes on Individual Sources

- SN R G 084.2 0.8:1 This source is almost certainly an active star: the infrared colors are indicative of class F 6 or so, the X-ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in F igure 29.
- SNR G 084.2 0.8:2 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 29 and its hard X-ray spectrum.
- SNR G 084.2 0.8:3 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 29 and its hard X-ray spectrum.
- SN R G 084.2 0.8:4 This source is probably an active star: the infrared colors are indicative of class M 0 or so, the X-ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in F igure 29. There is an o set between the X-ray and IR positions, but this is consistent with the X-ray positional uncertainty and the num ber of chance stars of this brightness is quite low.
- SNR G 084.2 0.8:5 This is probably a galaxy, given its position in Figure 29 and its hard X-ray spec-

²³ http://www-gsss.stsciedu/support/data_access.htm

trum. However, the multiple possible IR counterparts make a clear identication impossible.

- SN R G 084.2 0.8:6 This is likely a galaxy, given its very hard X-ray spectrum. It does not appear in Figure 29 as there are no L-band counts, but its K $_{\rm S}$ m agnitude is sim ilar to that of other galaxies such as SNR G 084.2 0.8:2.
- SNR G 084.2 0.8:7 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 29 and its hard X-ray spectrum.
- SNR G 084.2 0.8:10 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 29 and its hard X -ray spectrum. How ever, them ultiple possible IR counterpartsm ay a clear identication impossible.
- SN R G 084.2 0.8:11 This source may be an active star, given how bright its IR counterpart is (it is possible, but not likely, that the counterpart is due to chance). However, the X-ray spectrum is quite hard and the IR colors are far redder than those typical for stars (an M 7 star would have J K_s 1:3, which would require A_V 10 m ag to get to the observed value of J K_s). Therefore, this source is likely an active galaxy, where intrinsic J K_s & 2 is not that unusual (e.g., Franx et al. 2003) and a larger foreground N_H is expected.
- SNR G 084.2 0.8:12 This source is probably an active star: the infrared colors are redder than typical for a m ain-sequence star of its m agnitude, but it could be a distant M 5 giant. In addition, the X-ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in Figure 29.
- SN R G 084.2 0.8:14 This source is almost certainly an active star: the infrared colors are indicative of class G 0, the X-ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in F igure 29.
- SNR G 084.2 0.8:15 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 29 and its hard X-ray spectrum.
- SN R G 084.2 0.8:16 This source is probably an active star: the infrared colors are indicative of class M 1 (or possible a K giant), the X-ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in Figure 29.
- SN R G 084.2 0.8:18 This may be a galaxy, given its hard X -ray spectrum and the faint IR counterparts. However, the error circle is large, and the IR counterparts could be spurious. Even so, it would still probably be extragalactic in origin as the X -ray source is too hard to be Galactic. For a powerlaw source with = 0:7 (very hard for a neutron star, but plausible for an AGN) we would need N_H & 5 10^{22} cm² to give the observed hardness ratio. This value is signi cantly higher than the column density expected to SNR G 084.2 0.8, and is in fact even som ew hat higher than the Galactic column density in this direction (although the AGN spectrum could have < 0:7).

²² http://cadcwww.dao.nrc.ca/cadcbin/wdbicgi/astrocat/stetson/query

- SN R G 084.2 0.8:22 This source is probably an active star: the infrared colors are redder than typical for a m ain-sequence star of its m agnitude, but it could be a distant M 5 giant. In addition, the X-ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in Figure 29.
- SNR G 084.2 0.8:23 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard X-ray spectrum and the faint IR counterparts.

5.4. SNR G127.1+0.5

SNR G 127.1+0.5 (R5) is a 45° -diam eter radio shell (Fig. 5), also known as R5, which was identi ed as an SNR by Caswell (1977). It is remarkable in that it has a bright (396 m Jy at 1.4 GHz), at-spectrum radio point source (G 127.11+0.54) near the center (C aswell1977, Jon-cas, Roger, & D ew dney 1989), but optical observations (K irshner & C hevalier 1978, Spinrad, Stau er, & Harlan 1979) and H I absorption m easurem ents (Pauls et al. 1982; G oss & van G orkom 1984) instead favor an identi cation with a massive elliptical galaxy at 100 M pc distance, sim ilar to M 87.

The distance is likely 1.2{1.3 kpc, if it is in fact associated with the open cluster NGC 559 (Pauls 1977). It has not been detected in X rays so there are no spectral ts to determ ine its age or temperature. The hydrogen column density to SNR G127.1+0.5 is N_H 2 10^{21} (again using Colden) and integrating the appropriate velocity range), while the total hydrogen through the G alaxy is N_H 1 10^{22} . Again assuming a Sedov-phase remnant, we nd (see x 4.1) t₄ $0.6T_7^{1=2}$, or t₄ $0.2\{0.8$ (with $T_7 = 0.3\{3)$.

Lorim er et al. (1998) searched SNR G 127.1+0.5 unsuccessfully for a radio pulsar down to a limit of 0.8 mJy at 606 MHz, implying a luminosity limit of Sd² < 1.4 mJy kpc². Extrapolating to a frequency of 1400 MHz using an average spectral index of 1.5, this is a factor of almost > 500 brighter than the luminosity of PSR J0205+6449.

5.4.1. X -ray Observations

W e observed SNR G 127.1+0.5 with Chandra on 2002– Septem ber-14. W e determ ined the center of the rem nant to be at (J2000) $01^{h}28^{m} 32^{s}$, +63 $06^{0}34^{00}$. The aim -point was on the AC IS-I im aging array, and the nal exposure tim e was 19.5 ksec. See Figure 5 for the placem ent of the AC IS-I detector relative to the SNR.A sm oothed im age of the data is shown in Figure 9 with the X-ray sources that we identi ed (Tab.7) labeled.

The analysis of the Chandra data proceeded according to Section 4.2. In Figure 13 we plot the H-band counts vs. the L-band counts for the sources in Table 7. V irtually all of the sources are consistent with power-laws having indices from 0.0{2.0. It may be that we have underestimm ated the N_H to SNR G 127.1+0.5, which would put the distribution of hardness ratios closer to what is seen in the other SNR s, but this does not a ect our analysis of the sources.

5.4.2. Optical/IR Observations

We observed the eld of SNR G 127.1+0.5 a number of times with a number of instruments, as described in Table 12. The reduction proceeded as in x 5.1.2.

W e determ ined the photom etric zero-points for the LFC data from VRIPalom ar 60-inch observations of the Stetson elds²⁴ L98, NGC 7654, and PG 0231 (Stetson 2000) and employing appropriate transformations (Jorgensen 1994; Sm ith et al. 2002) to LFC observations of the SNR. For the W IRC observations, we determ ined zero-points using 900 unsaturated 2M ASS (Skrutskie et al. 1997) stars. For the NIRC observations, we determ ined zero-points using about 20{30 stars from the WIRC in age.

W e perform ed absolute astrom etry on the LFC data and the W IRC data. A fler applying distortion corrections to the LFC data, we solved for plate-scale, rotation, and central position relative to stars from version 2.2 of the G uide Star C atalog (G SC -2.2²⁵) for LFC and relative to 2M ASS stars for W IRC, getting residuals in each coordinate of 0°12 (1200 stars), and 0°22 (1100 stars) for LFC and W IRC respectively. For the N IRC data we used nonsaturated stars from the W IRC to boot-strap the astrom – etry, getting typical residuals of < 0°07 in each coordinate with about 20 stars.

5.4.3. Notes on Individual Sources

- SN R G 127.1+0.5:1 This source is coincident with the non-therm al radio source G 127.11+0.54 and with its optical counterpart (K inshner & C hevalier 1978; Spinrad et al. 1979). The X-ray spectrum is quite hard, thing with the identication as an active galaxy. Interestingly, this is the only source for SNR G 127.1+0.5 to be in the stellar locus in Figure 30, when we know it to be extragalactic. The optical counterpart is som ewhat brighter than those of m ost galaxies relative to the X-ray ux (sim ilar to SNR G 084.2 0.8:11). This could be due to the extended stellar emission seen from this source, or due to the orientation of the optical jets.
- SN R G 127.1+0.5:3 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard X-ray spectrum and the faint IR counterpart.
- SNR G 127.1+0.5:4 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard X-ray spectrum and the faint IR counterpart.
- SN R G 127.1+0.5:5 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard X-ray spectrum and the faint IR counterpart.
- SNR G 127.1+0.5:8 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard X-ray spectrum and the faint IR counterpart.
- SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:12 This source is among the softest of the sources in SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 and its position in Figure 30 is interm ediate between the stars and galaxies, so it could be an active star. However, the spectrum is not actually all that soft, and it is m ore likely to be a galaxy, given its faint, red IR counterpart.
- SN R G 127.1+0.5:13 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard X-ray spectrum and the faint IR counterpart.
- ²⁴ http://cadcwww.dao.nrc.ca/cadcbin/wdbi.cgi/astrocat/stetson/query
- ²⁵ http://www-gsss.stsciedu/support/data_access.htm

- SNR G 127.1+0.5:14 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard X-ray spectrum and the faint IR counterpart.
- SNR G 127.1+0.5:15 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard X-ray spectrum and the faint IR counterpart.
- SNR G 127.1+0.5:17 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard X-ray spectrum and the faint IR counterpart.
- SN R G 127.1+0.5:18 This source is among the soffest of the sources in SNR G 127.1+0.5 and its position in Figure 30 is intermediate between the stars and galaxies, so it could be an active star. Like SNR G 127.1+0.5:12, though, the spectrum is still reasonably hard. The source could be a galaxy, given itsX-ray spectrum and the faint, red IR counterpart. If it were a star, it would have to be a distant M giant in order to produce the observed J K_s value. It could also be a chance coincidence, given how crowded the region is in Figure 26.
- SNR G 127.1+0.5:22 This could be a galaxy, given its hard X-ray spectrum and the faint IR counterparts. The second counterpart in Table 7 is almost certainly a star, as suggested by the last column of Table 7. The rst source may also be spurious, but the chances of a real association are reasonable.

6. limits on central sources

In Sections 5.13, 523, 533, and 5.43, we showed that almost all of the X-ray sources from Tables 4{7 can be identi ed either with foreground or background sources. Therefore, there does not appear to be any detected neutron star in SNRsG0933+6.9,G315.4 2.3,G0842+0.8, or G127.1+0.5. There are a sm all num ber of cases where either the association or the type of source (star versus galaxy; see Tab. 17) is uncertain, either due to an optical/IR detection in only one band and/or a detection at a som ew hat large distance from the X -ray source, but there are certainly no sources that scream out \I am a neutron star." If we accept this, we can then draw two lim its to the ux of any compact central source: a conservative limit (Limit I), and a loose limit (Limit II). The conservative limit will be the ux of the brightest source for which the optical/IR counterpart is at all in doubt. For SNR G 093.3+6.9, this would be source SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:8: this counterpart is faint, has unknown colors, is som ew hat far from the X -ray source, and is som ewhat soft. For SNR G 315.4 2.3, this would be source SNR G 315.4 2.3:1: again this is faint, has unknown colors, and is in a crow ded region. For SNR G 084.2 0.8, the lim iting source would be SNR G 084.2 0.8:5: the multiple IR counterparts make a m association impossible, and the spectrum is not so hard as to elim inate the possibility of a Crab-like pulsar. Finally, for SNR G127.1+0.5, the lim iting source is SNR G 127.1+0.5:18, where the crowding and uncertain classi cation make a m association im possible, and again the spectrum is not so hard as to elim inate the possibility of a C rab-like pulsar. Here we have played devil's advocate, and questioned all of the associations in

Section 5 as much as possible. We in fact believe that the associations are reasonably secure, but we cannot be certain. The looser limits in each case come from the faintest sources in Tables 4{7, and assume that all of the associations in Tables 13{16 are correct. We present the limits, along with approximate uxes and luminosities for three di erent source models, in Table 18. In Figure 37, we plot the blackbody limits along with the luminosities of the sources in Table 2. The limits for SNR G 127.1+0.5 are significantly below those of the other SNRs as it had never been observed in the X-rays before, so we did not know what the level of the di use background would be and therefore selected an exposure time that would guarantee su cient counts from a source above even the most pessin istic background.

Below we discuss the implications of not detecting any sources in the contexts of di erent models for what the sources could be (x 2). We also include discussions of lim itations imposed by our observing strategy, speci cally the limited eld of view and the gaps in the AC IS-I detector.

6.1. Instrum ental Lim itations

6.1.1. Field of View

W hile we did not detect any bright X-ray sources that were obviously compact objects, it may be that this was because the sources had extremely high velocities that carried them beyond the ACIS-I eld-of-view. This would imply $v_2 > 1600 \text{ km s}^1$ for both SNR G 093.3+6.9 and SNR G 315.4 2.3, $v_2 > 1700 \text{ km s}^1$ for SNR G 084.2 0.8, and $v_2 > 700 \text{ km s}^1$ for SNR G 127.1+0.5 (assuming a centered explosion). $v_2 = 1600 \text{ km s}^1$ is higher than the velocities of 99% of the radio pulsar population, while $v_2 = 700 \text{ km s}^1$ is higher than 90% of the population (A rzoum anian et al. 2002). For one source, this might be acceptable, but for two orm ore sources the chances become too low (10⁻⁷ for all four sources), requiring another explanation.

G varam adze & V ikhlinin (2003) believe that SNR G 315.4 2.3 was the result of an o -center explosion in a cavity created by the SN 's moving progenitor, and have sim ilar hypotheses about other SNRs (e.g., Bock & G varam adze 2002).

6.1.2. Chip Gaps

The ACIS-I detector has gaps between the four CCDs where the sensitivity falls to zero. During norm allobservations some compensation is made for this by the dithering of the spacecraft. For our observations, we ended up with approximately 5% of the area having an elective exposure that was 50% of the nominal exposure. The wavdetect program used exposure maps to account for this elect when detecting sources, so that sources located in the chip gaps can have fewer detected counts but end up with the same elignic cance as a source in the middle of the chips, so we should not be missing sources due to the chip gaps. But there is a 5% chance of having a source in the gap region that is not detected for which the ux/lum inosity limits should be a factor of 2 higher than those stated in Table 18.

62. AXPs

The properties of AXPs in Section 2.3 allow us to state that there are no such sources in the central 8^0 of SNRs

Table 18 Limits on Central Sources in SNRsG093.3+6.9,G315.4 2.3,G084.2+0.8, and G127.1+0.5

M odel	L	in it I			Lim it II			
	C ounts ^a	F _X ab	L_X^{ac}	Сс	ounts ^a	F_X^{ab}	L_X^{ac}	
SNR G 093.3+6.9:								
BB $(kT_1 = 0.25 \text{ keV})$	25	9.1	2.6		11	4.0	1.1	
PL (= 1:5)	"	20.7	3.7		"	9.1	1.6	
PL (= 3:5)	II	13.1	7.3		"	5.8	32	
SNR G 315.4 2.3:								
BB $(kT_1 = 0.25 \text{ keV})$	45	24.7	4.5		11	6.0	1.1	
PL (= 1:5)	"	55.8	6.4		"	13.6	1.6	
PL (= 3:5)	"	35.3	12.4		"	8.6	3.0	
SNR G0842 0.8:								
BB $(kT_1 = 0.25 \text{ keV})$	20	4.3	2.0		12	2.5	12	
PL (= 1:5)	"	9.9	2.9		"	5.9	1.7	
PL (= 3:5)	"	6.3	5.7		"	3.8	3.4	
SNR G127.1+0.5:								
BB $(kT_1 = 0.25 \text{ keV})$	20	5.4	0.1		12	32	0.1	
PL (= 1:5)	II	12.5	0.3		"	7.4	0.1	
PL (= 3:5)	"	7.9	0.2		"	4.8	0.1	

^aIn the 0.3{8.0 keV band.

 $^{\rm b}X$ -ray ux 10 15 ergs s 1 cm 2 .

 cX -ray lum inosity $10^{31}~\rm ergs~s^{-1}$, corrected for absorption according to xx 5.1{5.4, and using the distances in Table 1.

Note. Fluxes and lum inosities were computed using W 3P IM M S.Seex 6 for de nition of lim it types.



Fig. 37. X-ray lum inosities (0.5{2 keV}) as a function of age for neutron stars in SNRs from Table 2. Sources whose em ission is prim arily them all are indicated with plus symbols, those whose emission is prim arily non-them all are indicated with stars, and those with only limits are indicated with triangles. The sources that have X-ray PW Ne, which are typically > 10 times the X-ray luminosity of the neutron stars them selves, are circled. We also plot the limits to blackbody emission from sources in SNRs G 093.3+ 6.9 (red hatched region), G 315.4 2.3 (green hatched region), G 084.2+ 0.8 (blue cross-hatched region), and G 127.1+ 0.5 (gold hatched region). A 30% uncertainty in the distance has been added to the range of luminosities given in Table 18 (i.e. we have taken the Type I limits with a 30% larger distance and the Type II limits with a 30% sm aller distance, to give the widest probable range of luminosities), and the likely range of ages is also shown. The cooling curves are the 1p proton super uid models from Yakovlev et al. (2003) (solid lines, with mass as labeled) and the normal (i.e., non-super uid) M = 1.35M model (dot-dashed line), assuming blackbody spectra and R₁ = 10 km. These curves are meant to be illustrative of general cooling trends, and should not be interpreted as detailed predictions. The horizontal lines show the luminosity produced by blackbodies with R₁ = 10 km and log T₁ (K) as indicated. Faster cooling for a heavier NS (e.g., Yakovlev et al. 2002b).

G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G 084.2+0.8, or G 127.1+0.5: the lum inosity limits for = 3.5 in Table 18 are at most 10^{32} ergs s¹, or two orders of magnitude below those of most AXPs and a factor of 10 less than the \quiescent" states of the possibly variable AXPs. This discrepancy cannot be solved by a slight change in distance or absorption, and is therefore quite m.

6.3. Cooling Radio-Quiet Neutron Stars

U sing the standard cooling curve (m odi ed U rea only) in Figure 37, we would estimate luminosities of (2{ 5) 10^{32} ergs s¹ for any compact sources. Obviously, our limits are below those values. Our limits are also below the luminosities of most of the sources in Table 2: only PSR B2334+61, CXO J061705.3+222127, PSR B1853+01, and RX J0007.0+7302 are comparable (while PSR B1853+01 is dominated by non-thermal X ray emission, any cooling radiation would have to be below this level). However, most of these objects are older (& 30 kyr) than the SNRs considered here (. 10 kyr), and three of these sources have substantial X -ray (and radio) PW Ne that would make them detectable in the absence of point-source emission.

W ith our lim its in Table 18, any therm alem ission from sources in SNRsG093.3+6.9,G315.4 2.3,G084.2+0.8, or G127.1+0.5 would have to have T_1 . 8 10^5 K (or k T_1 . 70 eV, for R_1 10 km): see Figure 37. Even for radii of 3 km (x 2.4), the lim its on k T_1 are 100 eV. These are lower than expected from standard cooling curves (Page 1998, Slane, Helfand, & Murray 2002, K am inker et al. 2002; Yakovlev et al. 2002b) and would require som e exotic physics (pion cooling, direct U rea cooling, etc.) that m ay be related to a more massive neutron star (Yakovlev et al. 2003).

6.4. Radio Pulsars

If we compare the lum inosity limits for the = 2:0 model for SNRs G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G 084.2+0.8, and G 127.1+0.5 with the lum inosities of known radio pulsars (Tab. 3), we see that our lim its are below most but not all of lum inosities of pulsars found in SNRs. Translating our lim its to lim its on E- (roughly, Lx:0:1 2:4 keV 0:5L_X;0:3 8 keV and using L_X;0:1 2:4 keV 10³ E-; Becker & Trum per 1997) we nd E- . 3 $10^{34} \, \mathrm{ergs \, s^{1}}$ (for the type I limit) or E-. 8 10^{33} ergs s¹ (for the type II lim it) for SNR G 093.3+ 6.9, SNR G 315.4 2.3, and SNRG 0842 0.8, and E-. 2 10^{33} ergs s¹ (for the type I $\lim it)$ or E-. 3 10^{32} ergs s¹ (for the type II $\lim it$) for SNR G127.1+0.5. Comparing with the values of E-given in Section 2.1, these lim its are below those of traditional pulsars, but are compatible with the values for the low-E-HBPSRs. Our limits on L_X are consistent with the one low-E-HBPSR that has detected X-ray emission PSR J1718 37184 (M cLaughlin et al. 2003) but its age and distance are poorly known and its lum inosity of

 10^{30} ergs s¹ is roughly what is expected from standard cooling curves for a source with 30 kyr in contrast to the sources discussed here (x 6.3).

Since we cannot constrain the existence of a low -E-pulsar in any of these four SNRs, we can ask what its period m ight be. To do so we must assume that initial period is much less than the current period so that the characteristic age P = 2P is similar to the actual age and that the braking index has the constant value n = 3. We know that this is not always the case (e.g., M urray et al. 2002b; M igliazzo et al. 2002; Lyne 2004), but it is the best guess that one can make. Under this assumption one nds P 1:4 10^{23^2} T₄₅ (E-) ^{0:5} s and B 3:2 10^{42^2} T₄₅ (²E-) ^{0:5} G, where I = 10^{45} T₄₅ g cm² is the moment of inertia. W ith

4000{6000 yrs, we nd P & 3 s for SNR G 093.3+6.9, SNR G315.4 2.3, and SNR G084.2 0.8, and P & 9 s for SNR G127.1+0.5. These periods are larger than those of most but not all radio pulsars (Young, M anchester, & Johnston 1999, Camilo et al. 2000; M cLaughlin et al. 2003), and may be high enough to take any source in SNR G 093.3+6.9 or SNR G 315.4 2.3 beyond the radio \death line." The implied dipole magnetic elds are also high, $\& 2 = 10^{14}$ G for SNR G 093.3+6.9, SNR G 315.4 2.3, and SNR G 084.2 0.8 and & 5 10¹⁵ G for SNR G127.1+0.5, similar to PSR J1814 1744 and PSR J1847 0130. This may be indicative of a growing population of such objects: young, non-energetic longperiod pulsars. The lack of detected pulsed radio em ission in any of these four SNRs (Lorim er et al. 1998; Kaspi et al. 1996) m ay be intrinsic (i.e., there is no radio em ission), it may be an orientation e ect, or it may just be that the SNR s have not been searched deeply enough over enough of an area, as there is now a growing number of radio pulsars with lum inosities (de ned here as $F_{radio}d^2$) below 1 m Jy kpc² (e.g., Cam ib et al. 2002b,a), far lower than typical for radio pulsars.

6.4.1. Pulsar W ind Nebulae

A ny PW Ne in the SNRs discussed here are & 3000 yrs old and may have already interacted with the reverse shocks (x 2.2), so their sizes and brightnesses would be hard to predict. We therefore exam ine lim its on PW Ne for a range of sizes (as in x 4.3). We also scale to a ducial size of 1 pc 1° | we did not detect any sources with those sizes in our in ages except for the known therm al em ission from RCW 86.

To quantify this, we take the lim its on extended sources from Section 4.3 and Table 8. We convert the count lim – its to lum inosity lim its using a photon index of = 1.5, getting the lim its in Table 8. These lim its are below the lum inosities of virtually all young PW Ne detected in X -rays (P ossenti et al. 2002), but are consistent with some older sources such as the Vela PW N, CTB 80, and W 44 (Pavlov et al. 2001a). However, these PW Ne all have signi cant non-therm al radio em ission, em ission that is not present in SNR G 093.3+6.9, SNR G 315.4 2.3, SNR G 084.2 0.8, or SNR G 127.1+0.5 since they are all shell-type SNR s.

6.5. Binary System s

As many as 50% of massive stars originate in binary system s, which presumably give rise to X-ray binaries and eventually millisecond pulsars. One might expect that we could see a binary system where the more massive star has gone supernova but the less massive has not evolved. In this case, we would see X-ray emission that appears to be (but is not physically) associated with an opticallydetected star that might be hard to distinguish from the active stars that make up the majority of G alactic X-ray sources (if the star did evolve and the companion were close enough, it would donate m atter to the compact object and would appear as an X-ray binary and would have di erent properties).

However, after only one supernova the binary system would have a small space velocity, < 100 km s¹ (P fahl, Rappaport, & Podsiadlowski 2002a, P fahl et al. 2002b). It would therefore be restricted to a smaller region than the full search, which accommodates velocities up to 1500 km s¹ (x 6.1.1). A velocity of 100 km s¹ is an angular o set of 30⁰⁰ (for an average distance of 3 kpc and age of 5 kyr) | much smaller than the ACIS-I eld-of-view.

As seen in Tables 4{7, we have found only one source within a radius of 30^{00} of the center: SNR G127.1+0.5.1, which is known to be extragalactic. In fact, none of the stellar sources (as determ ined in xx 5.1.3, 5.2.3, 5.3.3, and 5.4.3) appear to be at distances beyond 1 kpc (com paring with Fig. 32). This is likely a selection e ect of our X-ray ux limits: for stellar luminosities of 10^{29} { 10^{31} ergs s¹, our ux limits translate into distance limits of 1 kpc.

If none of the stellar sources could be companions to a neutron star, we must ask if any of the sources identied as galaxies could in fact be stars, and their identications as galaxies could be coincidence. A sseen from F igures 32{34, a main-sequence star at the distances of SNR sG 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G 084.2+0.8, or G 127.1+0.5 would have K s 15{19, depending on stellar type (giant stars at these distance would mostly be too bright, with K . 10). All of the \galaxies" in Sections 5.1.3, 5.2.3, 5.3.3, and 5.4.3 have K > 17 except SNR G 084.2 0.8:11 (and this is too red to be at the distance/reddening of SNR G 084.2 0.8), so if they were main-sequence stars they would be type K 5 or later. How ever, even if one of these sources is a companion to a neutron star, the Type I lim its still apply, and any neutron star would be under-lum inous (x 6.3).

6.6. Accreting Black Holes

If the SNe produced black holes (BHs) and not neutron stars, the black holes them selves would be invisible. X-ray emission would only be detected if there were material accreting into the BHs. Models for such emission are not very well understood. Therm alem ission m ight be expected to come from the inner portion of the accretion disk itself, with an area of several times R $_{\rm S}^2$ (C hakrabarty et al.2001), where R $_{\rm S}$ is the Schwarzschild radius of the BH.For a 10M BH (with $R_s = 15 \text{ km}$), the area would be & 1000 km², or much larger than the lim its on thermalemission (x 6.3) for temperatures & 100 eV. It is also possible that the X-ray emission arises from Compton scattering in an optically thin corona over a thin disk or via optically thin brem sstrahlung em ission from a hot advection-dom inated accretion ow (ADAF, as in the modelofNarayan, Barret, & M cC Lintock 1997), but these models are not well enough developed to provide useful constraints.

6.7. Type Ia Supernovae

Type Ia SN e are believe to result from carbon detonation/de agration of a white dwarf that has been pushed beyond its mass limit through accretion from a companion star. The resulting explosion completely disrupts the star, synthesizing nearly a solar m ass of 56 N i which ultimately decays to Fe. No compact core is left behind. The SNRs from such events thus form a subsample in which we do not expect to nd an associated neutron star. The mean rate for Type Ia SNe is 20 25% of that for core-collapse supernovae (C appellaro, E vans, & Turatto 1999), so we expect less than 20% of the observable SNRs to be the result of such explosions.

The ejecta produced in Type Ia events di ers considerably than that from core-collapse SNe. The form er are rich in Fe and Siwhile SNRs from core-collapse events are richer in O and Ne. For young SNRs, the X-ray spectra can be used to identify those of Type Ia origin (e.g., Hughes et al. 1995, 2003; Lew is et al. 2003). O noe the X -ray em ission is dom inated by swept-up circum stellar or interstellar matter, such discrimination is much more di cult. SNRs originating from core collapse are often found near the molecular clouds in which the progenitors form ed, while those from Type Ia events are from stars with su ciently long lifetim es that they can have traveled far from their birthplaces. Thus, for SNRs located in the near vicinity of active star-form ing regions one can reasonably assume that they originated from massive stars. How ever, the absence of nearby star form ation or dense m olecularm aterial is not necessarily a direct indicator of a Type Ia progenitor. There are three Galactic SNRs that are commonly thought of as the products of Ia events | Tycho, and SN 1006, and perhaps Kepler (Baade 1945; Fesen et al. 1988; Allen et al. 2001) all reasonably young \historic" rem nants (Stephenson & Green 2002).

If any of the SNRs discussed here were known to be the result of a Type Ia explosion (as suggested by som e authors for both SNR G 093,3+ 6.9 and SNR G 315.4 2.3), then we would not expect to see a compact remnant. Observing a known Ia remnant would then be a good test case for our m ethodology: as we have not found any candidate com – pact remnant, it demonstrates that our m ethod is not inclined to nd false positives. How ever, while knowing that a SNR is from a Type Ia explosion precludes the existence of compact objects, the lack of compact objects does not require a SNR to be from a Type Ia. Therefore, we cannot conclude that SNR G 093,3+ 6.9 or SNR G 315.4 2.3 is the result of a Type Ia explosion.

7. conclusions

There are 45 known SNRs that are reliably within 5 kpc of the Sun. M ost of these SNRs are expected to contain central neutron stars: . 20% are expected to result from Type Ia SNe and thus not contain a central com – pact source, while 20% (dependent on the stellar initial m ass function, the limiting m ass for black holes, and binary evolution; Heger et al. 2003) are expected to host a central black hole that m ay not be easily identied as such. Thanks to the persistent e orts by astronom ers over the past four decades, central sources have been detected in the X-ray and/or radio bands in 18 of these SNRs, and three have been identied as probable Type Ia SNe. In som e cases, only a centrally located PW N is detected, but in those cases (i.e. IC 443) it is reasonably assumed that the PW N is powered by a central com pact source.

We have begun a program of searching for compact sources in the remaining 23 SNRs. The program has been motivated by the discovery of a point-like X-ray source at the very center of the youngest known G alactic SNR, C as A. The program has two observational components: im aging with C handra or XMM in the X-ray band followed by ground-based optical/IR follow up. The latter is essential because of the high incidence of of interlopers (foreground stars and background galaxies). Such a com prehensive program is possible thanks to the astrom etric accuracy of the X-ray m issions com bined with high sensitivity.

In this paper we report on Chandra ACIS-I observations of four shell remnants (G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G 084.2+0.8, G 127.1+0.5). We undertook optical and IR observations of every X-ray source detected with more than 10 counts. For all detections, we found, within astrometric errors, a counterpart in one or more bands. These counterparts were consistent with either foreground (stars) or background (galaxies) sources. In particular, the X-ray ux and/or the X-ray to optical (IR) ratio of the detected X-ray sources were not as extreme as all known classes of neutron stars: accreting neutron stars, radio pulsars, AXPs and SGRs.

In x 6 we discuss reasons why standard neutron stars were not found in these SNRs: they could have fallen in the gap between the chips, they could have escaped our eld of view due to a very high velocities, they could be undetectable black holes, or they could not exist owing to the SNRs being the results of Type Ia explosions. All of these scenarios are unlikely for a single source, and even m ore so for all four, but are technically possible. If, on the other hand, these scenarios do not apply, then four rem nants contain neutron stars that are fainter than our X ray detection limit (typically, $L_{\rm X}$. $10^{31}\,{\rm erg\,s^{-1}}$ in the 0.5{10 keV band).

W e now consider this last (and m ost interesting) possibility. In the absence of other forms of energy generation (accretion, rotation power, m agnetic eld decay) the m inim um X-ray ux one expects is set by the cooling of the neutron star. From Section 6.3 and Figure 37 we immediately see that the central neutron stars in these four rem - nantsm us the cooler than those present for example in the similarly-aged Puppis A, PKS 1205 51/52 and RCW 103.

Our know ledge of the physics of cooling is by nomeans m. There is considerable debate among theorists as to which of the multitude of physical processes can signi cantly a ect the cooling output and as to which of the physical parameters (mass, rotation rate, magnetic eld) controls these processes (Yakovlev et al. 2002a). Nonetheless, there is agreem ent that m ore m assive neutron stars (with their larger m ean densities) cool m ore rapidly than those with smaller mass; this expectation is illustrated in Figure 37. Thus our upper limits can be made consistent with the cooling possibility provided the central neutron stars in these four SNRs are more massive than known cooling neutron stars. Indeed, the known exam ples of radio-quiet objects could well result from a strong selection e ect, namely the earlier X -ray observations by Einstein and ROSAT detected the warm er cooling neutron stars (ignoring the neutron stars detected because of non-therm alem ission). The existing data may already hint at a parameter a ecting cooling, as exemplied by PSR J0205+6449 (Slane et al. 2002) and the Vela pulsar (Pavlov et al. 2001b), but also possibly by PSR B1853+01

(Petre, Kuntz, & Shelton 2002) and RX J0007.0+7302 (Slane et al. 2004).

Of course, we also do not see rotation-powered pulsars such as the majority of the objects in Table 2. Recent observations are noting pulsars with lower radio lum inosities and values of E-than ever before, and our limits would only be consistent with these newer sources. One might then ask why we see neither a standard cooling neutron star nor a standard active pulsar, assuming that there is no intrinsic correlation between these properties. It is possible that there truly are no neutron stars in these SNRs, allowing one to speculate wildly about what actually is there.

In subsequent papers we plan to report the X-ray observations and ground-based follow up of the remaining 19 SNRs. If no central sources are identied then the hypothesis proposed here, namely that there is a parameter that determ ines cooling of neutron star will be strengthened. The simplest (and most reasonable) suggestion is that this second parameter is the mass of neutron stars, so that cooling observations could be used to \weigh" isolated neutron stars (as discussed by K am inker, H aensel, & Yakovlev 2001). This hypothesis is at odds with the strong clustering of binary neutron starm asses (Thorsett & Chakrabarty 1999). We note, though, that mass determ ination is only possible for neutron stars in compact binary system swhere signi cant interaction with the com panion may have taken place. Thus it is possible that neutron stars resulting from single stars are system atically m ore massive than those which evolve in compact binary system s (and have gained m ass through accretion).

D.L.K. is supported by a fellow ship from the Fannie and John Hertz Foundation. B.M.G., P.O.S., and A.N. acknow ledge support from NASA Contract NAS8-39073 and Grant G02-3090. E.V.G. is supported by NASA LTSA grant NAG 5-7935, and B.M.G. is supported by NASA LTSA grant NAG 5-13032. S.R.K. is supported by grants from NSF and NASA. Support for this work was provided by the National Aeronautics and Space Adm inistration through Chandra award NAS8-39073 issued by the Chandra X-Ray Observatory Center, which is operated by the Sm ithsonian A strophysical O bservatory for and on behalf of NASA under contract NAS8-39073. The NRAO is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreem ent by A ssociated U niversities, Inc. W e have m ade extensive use of the SIM -BAD database, and we are grateful to the astronom ers at the Centre de Donnees Astronom iques de Strasbourg form aintaining this database. We would like to thank an anonym ous referee for helpful com m ents, D. Fox for assistance with the X -ray analysis, E. Persson for assistance with the PANIC observing, M. van Kerkwijk for assistance with ESO and Magellan observing, and P.McCarthy for assistance with LasC ampanas observing. We would like to thank T.Landecker and DRAO for supplying the radio in age of SNR G 093.3+6.9. The D om inion R adio A strophysicalObservatory (DRAO) is operated as a national facility by the National Research Council of Canada. The Canadian Galactic Plane Survey is a Canadian project with international partners and is supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of

Canada. Data presented herein were collected at the European Southern Observatory, Chile (program ESO 69D-0072). Data presented herein were also obtained at the W .M .Keck Observatory, which is operated as a scienti c partnership am ong the California Institute of Technology, the University of California, and the National Aeronautics and Space A dm in istration. The Guide Star Catalog-II is a pint project of the Space Telescope Science Institute and the Osservatorio Astronom ico di Torino.

REFERENCES

- Allen, G.E., Petre, R., & Gotthelf, E.V. 2001, ApJ, 558, 739
- Anderson, S.B., Cadwell, B.J., Jacoby, B.A., Wolszczan, A., Foster, R.S., & Kramer, M. 1996, ApJ, 468, L55
- Arzoum anian, Z., Chemo, D.F., & Cordes, J.M. 2002, ApJ, 568, 289
- Baade, W . 1945, ApJ, 102, 309
- Baade, W . & Zwicky, F. 1934, Proceedings of the National A cademy of Science, 20, 254
- Barger, A. J., Cowie, L. L., Capak, P., Alexander, D. M., Bauer, F. E., Fernandez, E., Brandt, W. N., Garmire, G. P., & Homschem eier, A. E. 2003, AJ, 126, 632
- Bauer, F.E., Vignali, C., Alexander, D.M., Brandt, W.N., Garmire, G.P., Hornschem eier, A.E., Broos, P.S., Townsley, L.K., & Schneider, D. P. 2002, in New X-Ray Results from Clusters of Galaxies and Black Holes: 34th COSPAR Scientic Assembly, ed. E.Puchnarewicz & M.W ard, (astro-ph/0212389)
- Becker, R.H. & Helfand, D.J. 1984, ApJ, 283, 154
- Becker, R.H., Helfand, D.J., & Szym kow iak, A.E. 1982, ApJ, 255, 557
- Becker, W., Brazier, K.T.S., & Trum per, J. 1995, A & A, 298, 528 .1996, A & A , 306, 464
- Becker, W . & Trum per, J. 1997, A & A , 326, 682
- Bertin, E. & A mouts, S. 1996, A & A S, 117, 393
- Bessell, M . S., Castelli, F., & Plez, B. 1998, A & A , 333, 231
- Bocchino, F., Vink, J., Favata, F., Maggio, A., & Sciortino, S. 2000, A&A,360,671
- Bock, D.C.-J.& Gvaram adze, V.V. 2002, A&A, 394, 533
- Borkowski, K.J., Rho, J., Reynolds, S.P., & Dyer, K.K. 2001, ApJ, 550, 334
- Brandt, W. N., Alexander, D. M., Hornschem eier, A. E., Garmire, G.P., Schneider, D.P., Barger, A.J., Bauer, F.E., Broos, P.S., Cowie, L.L., Townsley, L.K., Burrows, D.N., Chartas, G., Feigelson, E.D., Griths, R.E., Nousek, J.A., & Sargent, W.L.W.2001, AJ, 122, 2810
- Brazier, K.T.S.& Johnston, S. 1999, MNRAS, 305, 671
- Brazier, K.T.S., Kanbach, G., Carram inana, A., Guichard, J., & M erck, M .1996, M N R A S, 281, 1033
- Brisken, W . F., Thorsett, S. E., Golden, A., & Goss, W . M . 2003, ApJ, 593, L89
- Burrow s, A. 2000, Nature, 403, 727
- Burrows, A., Ott, C.D., & Meakin, C. 2003, in 3-D Signatures in Stellar Explosions, (astro-ph/0309684)
- Camilo, F., Bell, J.F., Manchester, R.N., Lyne, A.G., Possenti, A., Kramer, M., Kaspi, V. M., Stairs, I. H., D'Amico, N., Hobbs, G., Gotthelf, E.V., & Gaensler, B.M. 2001, ApJ, 557, L51
- Camilo, F., Kaspi, V. M., Lyne, A. G., Manchester, R. N., Bell, J.F., D'Amico, N., McKay, N.P.F., & Crawford, F. 2000, ApJ, 541,367
- Camilo, F., Lorimer, D.R., Bhat, N.D.R., Gotthelf, E.V., Halpern, J.P., W ang, Q.D., Lu, F.J., & M irabal, N. 2002a, ApJ, 574, L71
- Camilo, F., Stairs, I. H., Lorimer, D. R., Backer, D. C., Ransom, S.M., Klein, B., Wielebinski, R., Kramer, M., McLaughlin, M.A.,
- Arzoum anian, Z., & Muller, P. 2002b, ApJ, 571, L41 Cappellaro, E., Evans, R., & Turatto, M. 1999, A & A, 351, 459
- Caswell, J.L. 1977, MNRAS, 181, 789
- Chakrabarty, D., Pivovaro, M.J., Hemquist, L.E., Heyl, J.S., & Narayan, R. 2001, ApJ, 548, 800
- Chevalier, R.A. 1998, M em orie della Societa Astronom ica Italiana, 69,977
- Chin, Y.N. & Huang, Y.L. 1994, Nature, 371, 398
- Cimatti, A., Mignoli, M., Daddi, E., Pozzetti, L., Fontana, A., Saracco, P., Poli, F., Renzini, A., Zamorani, G., Broadhurst, T., Cristiani, S., D'Odorico, S., Giallongo, E., Gilmozzi, R., & Menci, N.2002, A&A, 392, 395
- Clark, D.H., Green, A.J., & Caswell, J.L. 1975, Australian Journal of Physics A strophysical Supplem ent, 75
- Clark, D.H. & Stephenson, F.R. 1977, The historical supernovae, 1st edn. (O xford [Eng.]: Pergam on Press)

- Comella, J.M., Craft, H.D., Lovelace, R.V.E., Sutton, J.M., & Tyler, G. L. 1969, Nature, 221, 453 Cordes, J.M. & Chemo, D.F. 1998, ApJ, 505, 315
- Cox, A.N. 2000, Allen's Astrophysical Quantities, 4th edn. (New York: A IP Press/Springer)
- Dame, T.M. 1993, in A IP Conf. Proc. 278: Back to the Galaxy, 267 { 278
- Dickel, J.R., Strom, R.G., & Milne, D.K. 2001, ApJ, 546, 447
- Duncan, R.C. & Thom pson, C. 1992, ApJ, 392, L9
- Feigelson, E.D., Broos, P., Ganey, J.A., Garmire, G., Hillenbrand, L.A., Pravdo, S.H., Townsley, L., & Tsuboi, Y. 2002, ApJ, 574, 258
- Feldt, C.& Green, D.A. 1993, A&A, 274, 421
- Fesen, R.A., Chevalier, R.A., Holt, S.S., & Tananbaum, H. 2002, in ASP Conf. Ser. 271: Neutron Stars in Supernova Rem nants, 305
- Fesen, R.A., Wu, C., Leventhal, M., & Hamilton, A.J.S. 1988, ApJ, 327, 164
- Frail, D.A., Goss, W.M., & Whiteoak, J.B.Z. 1994, ApJ, 437, 781 Frail, D.A. & Kulkami, S.R. 1991, Nature, 352, 785
- Frail, D.A.& Mo ett, D.A. 1993, ApJ, 408, 637
- Franx, M., Labbe, I., Rudnick, G., van Dokkum, P.G., Daddi, E., Forster Schreiber, N.M., Moorwood, A., Rix, H., Rottgering, H., van de W el, A ., van der W erf, P ., & van Starkenburg, L. 2003, ApJ, 587, L79
- Freem an, P.E., Kashyap, V., Rosner, R., & Lamb, D.Q. 2002, ApJS, 138,185
- Furst, E., Reich, W., & Seiradakis, J.H. 1993, A&A, 276, 470
- Gaensler, B. M. 2003, in Texas in Tuscany: XXI Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics, ed. R. Bandiera, R. Maiolino, & F. Mannucci (Singapore: World Scientic), 297{304, (astroph/0303427)
- Gaensler, B.M., Arons, J., Kaspi, V.M., Pivovaro, M.J., Kawai, N., & Tamura, K. 2002, ApJ, 569, 878
- Gaensler, B.M., Bock, D.C., & Stappers, B.W. 2000, ApJ, 537, L35
- Gaensler, B.M. & Frail, D.A. 2000, Nature, 406, 158
- Gaensler, B.M., Gotthelf, E.V., & Vasisht, G. 1999, ApJ, 526, L37
- Gaensler, B. M., Kaspi, V. M., & Manchester, R. N. 2003, in preparation
- Gaensler, B.M., Slane, P.O., Gotthelf, E.V., & Vasisht, G. 2001, ApJ, 559, 963
- Gaensler, B.M. & Wallace, B.J. 2003, ApJ, 594, 326
- Gandhi, P., Craw ford, C.S., Fabian, A.C., & Johnstone, R.M. 2003, M N R A S, in press, (astro-ph/0310772)
- Gavriil, F.P., Kaspi, V.M., & Woods, P.M. 2002, Nature, 419, 142
- Gonzalez, M. & Sa Harb, S. 2003, ApJ, 591, L143
- Goss, W.M. & van Gorkom, J.H. 1984, Journal of A strophysics and A stronom y, 5, 425
- G otthelf, E.V. 2003, ApJ, 591, 361
- Gotthelf, E.V. 2004, in ASP Conf. Ser.: IAU Symposium 218:Young Neutron Stars and Their Environments, ed. F. Camilo & B.M. G aensler
- Gotthelf, E.V., Halpern, J.P., Buxton, M., & Bailyn, C. 2003, ApJ,
- subm itted, (astro-ph/0309745)
- Gotthelf, E.V., Halpern, J.P., & Dodson, R. 2002, ApJ, 567, L125
- Cotthelf, E. V., Petre, R., & Hwang, U. 1997, ApJ, 487, L125 Gotthelf, E. V., Petre, R., & Hwang, U. 1997, ApJ, 487, L175 Gotthelf, E. V., Petre, R., & Vasisht, G. 1999, ApJ, 514, L107 Gotthelf, E. V., Vasisht, G., Boylan-Kolchin, M., & Torii, K. 2000, ApJ, 542, L37
- Gotthelf, E.V.& W ang, Q.D. 2000, ApJ, 532, L117
- G reen, D.A. 2000, A Catalogue of Galactic Supernova Rem nants (2000 August version), Mullard Radio Astronom y Observatory, Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge, UK, (available on the W orld-W ide-W eb at http://wwwmrao.cam.ac.uk/surveys/snrs/)
- . 2001, A Catalogue of Galactic Supernova Rem nants (2001 December version), Mullard Radio Astronomy Observatory, Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge, UK, (available on the W orld-
- W ide-W eb at http://www.m rao.cam.ac.uk/surveys/snrs/) Greiveldinger, C., Camerini, U., Fry, W., Markwardt, C.B.,
- Ogelman, H., Sa Harb, S., Finley, J. P., Tsuruta, S., Shibata, S., Sugawara, T., Sano, S., & Tukahara, M. 1996, ApJ, 465, L35 Greiveldinger, C., Caucino, S., Massaglia, S., Oegelman, H., & Trussoni, E. 1995, ApJ, 454, 855 Grindlay, J., Zhao, P., Hong, J., Jenkins, J., Kim, D.-W., Schlegel,
- E., Drake, J., Kashyap, V., Edmonds, P., Cohn, H., Lugger, P., & Cool, A. 2003, A stronom ische Nachrichten, 324, 57, (astroph/0211527)
- Gvaram adze, V.V. 2002, in ASP Conf. Ser. 271: Neutron Stars in Supernova Rem nants, ed.P.O.Slane & B.M.G aensler, 23 Gvaram adze, V.V.& Vikhlinin, A.A.2003, A&A, 401, 625
- Haberl, F. 2003, in High Energy Studies of Supernova Rem nants and Neutron Stars: 34th COSPAR Scientic Assembly, ed.W. Becker & W .Herm sen, (astro-ph/0302540)

- Halpern, J.P., Camilo, F., Gotthelf, E.V., Helfand, D.J., Kramer, M., Lyne, A.G., Leighly, K.M., & Eracleous, M. 2001, ApJ, 552, L125
- Hansen, B.M.S.& Phinney, E.S. 1997, MNRAS, 291, 569
- Harrus, I.M., Hughes, J.P., Singh, K.P., Koyama, K., & Asaoka,
- I. 1997, A pJ, 488, 781 Haslam, C.G.T., Salter, C.J., & Pauls, T. 1980, A & A, 92, 57 Heger, A., Fryer, C.L., Woosley, S.E., Langer, N., & Hartmann, D.H. 2003, A pJ, 591, 288
- Helfand, D. J. & Becker, R. H. 1984, Nature, 307, 215 Helfand, D. J., Collins, B. F., & Gotthelf, E. V. 2003, ApJ, 582, 783
- Hertz, P.& Grindlay, J.E. 1988, AJ, 96, 233 Hill, E. R. 1967, Proceedings of the Astronom ical Society of
- Australia, 20, 297 Hirata, K., Kajita, T., Koshiba, M., Nakahata, M., & Oyama, Y.
- 1987, Physical Review Letters, 58, 1490 Hobbs, G. B. & Manchester, R. N. 2003, ATNF Pulsar
- Catalogue, v1.2, (available on the World-Wide-Web at http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat/)
- Hughes, J.P., Ghavamian, P., Rakowski, C.E., & Slane, P.O. 2003, ApJ, 582, L95
- Hughes, J. P., Hayashi, I., Helfand, D., Hwang, U., Itoh, M., Kirshner, R., Koyama, K., Markert, T., Tsunemi, H., & Woo, J.1995, ApJ, 444, L81
- Hughes, J.P., Slane, P.O., Burrows, D.N., Garmire, G., Nousek, J.A., O lbert, C.M., & Keohane, J.W. 2001, ApJ, 559, L153
- Hullem an, F., van Kerkwijk, M.H., & Kulkarni, S.R. 2000, Nature, 408,689
- Hurley, K. 2000, in 5th Compton Symposium, ed. M. McConnell (A IP P ress), (astro-ph/9912061)
- Ibrahim, A. I., Markwardt, C., Swank, J., Ransom, S., Roberts, M., Kaspi, V., Woods, P., Sa Harb, S., Balman, S., Parke, W., Kouveliotou, C., Hurley, K., & Cline, T. 2003, ApJ, submitted, (astro-ph/0310665)
- Johnston, S. 2003, M NRAS, 340, L43
- Joncas, G., Roger, R.S., & Dew dney, P.E. 1989, A&A, 219, 303
- Jorgensen, I. 1994, PASP, 106, 967
- Kaminker, A.D., Haensel, P., & Yakovlev, D.G. 2001, A&A, 373, L17
- Kaminker, A.D., Yakovlev, D.G., & Gnedin, O.Y. 2002, A&A, 383,1076
- Kaplan, D. L., Kulkami, S. R., & Murray, S. S. 2001, ApJ, 558, 270 Kaplan, D. L., Kulkami, S. R., & van Kerkwijk, M. H. 2003a, ApJ,
- 588,L33 Kaplan, D. L., Kulkarni, S. R., van Kerkwijk, M. H., & Marshall,
- H.L.2002a, ApJ, 570, L79 Kaplan, D.L., van Kerkwijk, M.H., & Anderson, J. 2002b, ApJ,
- 571,447 Kaplan, D.L., van Kerkwijk, M.H., Marshall, H.L., Jacoby, B.A.,
- Kulkami, S.R., & Frail, D.A. 2003b, ApJ, 590, 1008
- K. aspi, V. M., G avriil, F. P., W cods, P. M., Jensen, J. B., Roberts, M. S. E., & Chakrabarty, D. 2003, ApJ, 588, L93
 K. aspi, V. M., G otthelf, E. V., G aensler, B. M., & Lyutikov, M. 2001, ApJ, 562, L163
- Kaspi, V.M. & Helfand, D.J. 2002, in ASP Conf. Ser. 271: Neutron Stars in Supernova Rem nants, 3, (astro-ph/0201183)
- K aspi, V. M ., M anchester, R. N., Johnston, S., Lyne, A. G., & D 'Am ico, N. 1996, AJ, 111, 2028
- Katsova, M.M. & Cherepashchuk, A.M. 2000, Astronom y Reports, 44,804
- K ifonidis, K ., P lew a, T ., Janka, H .- T ., & M uller, E .2003, A & A ,408, 621
- Kinugasa, K. & Tsunemi, H. 1999, PASJ, 51, 239
- Kirshner, R.P.& Chevalier, R.A. 1978, Nature, 276, 480
- Koekemoer, A.M., Alexander, D.M., Bauer, F.E., Bergeron, J., Brandt, W . N ., Chatzichristou, E ., Cristiani, S ., Fall, S . M ., Grogin, N.A., Livio, M., Mainieri, V., Moustakas, L.A., Padovani, P., Rosati, P., Schreier, E.J., & Urry, C.M. 2004, ApJ, 600, L123
- Kotani, T., Kawai, N., Matsuoka, M., & Brinkmann, W. 1996, PASJ, 48,619
- Kothes, R., Uyaniker, B., & Pineault, S. 2001, ApJ, 560, 236
- K ram er, M ., Lyne, A .G ., H obbs, G ., Lohm er, O ., C arr, P ., Jordan, C., & Wolszczan, A. 2003, ApJ, 593, L31
- Kulkami, S. R., Clifton, T. C., Backer, D. C., Foster, R. S., & Fruchter, A.S. 1988, Nature, 331, 50
- Kulkarni, S. R., Kaplan, D. L., Marshall, H. L., Frail, D. A., M urakam i, T., & Yonetoku, D. 2003, ApJ, 585, 948
- Kulkarni, S.R., Predehl, P., Hasinger, G., & Aschenbach, B. 1993, N ature, 362, 135
- Landecker, T. L., Routledge, D., Reynolds, S. P., Smegal, R. J., Borkowski, K.J., & Seward, F.D. 1999, ApJ, 527, 866
- Large, M . I., Vaughan, A . E ., & M ills, B . Y . 1968, Nature, 220, 340 Lew is, K.T., Burrows, D.N., Hughes, J.P., Slane, P.O., Garm ire, G.P., & Nousek, J.A. 2003, ApJ, 582, 770
- Long, K.S.& Blair, W.P.1990, ApJ, 358, L13

- Lorimer, D.R., Bailes, M., & Harrison, P.A. 1997, MNRAS, 289, 592
- Lorim er, D.R., Lyne, A.G., & Cam ilo, F. 1998, A&A, 331, 1002
- Lorin er, D. R. & Xiburis, K. M. 2000, ApJ, 545, 385 Lyne, A. G. 2004, in ASP Conf. Ser.: IAU Symposium 218:Young
- Neutron Stars and Their Environments, ed. F. Camilo & B. M. Gaensler
- M anchester, R.N., Johnston, S., Kaspi, V.M., Lyne, A.G., & D'Am ico, N.1991, MNRAS, 253, 7P
- Marino, A., Micela, G., & Peres, G. 2000, A&A, 353, 177
- Maron, O., Kijak, J., Kramer, M., & Wielebinski, R. 2000, A&AS, 147,195
- Marshall, H.L.& Schulz, N.S. 2002, ApJ, 574, 377
- M atthews, H.E., Baars, J.W.M., W endker, H.J., & Goss, W.M. 1977, A & A , 55, 1
- Matthews, K. & Soifer, B. T. 1994, in Infrared Astronomy with Arrays, The Next Generation, ed. I. S. McLean (Dordrecht: K luwer A cadem ic Publisher), 239
- M cA dam, W.B., O sborne, J.L., & Parkinson, M.L. 1993, Nature, 361,516
- McLaughlin, M. A., Kaspi, V. M., Stairs, I. H., Lorimer, D. R., Lyne, A.G., Kramer, M., Manchester, R.N., Faulkner, A.J., Hobbs, G., Camilo, F., Possenti, A., & D'Amico, N. 2003, in ASP Conf. Ser.: IAU Symposium 218:Young Neutron Stars and Their Environments, ed. F. Camilo & B. M. Gaensler, (astroph/0310455)
- McLaughlin, M. A., Stairs, I. H., Kaspi, V. M., Lorimer, D. R., Kramer, M., Lyne, A.G., Manchester, R.N., Camilo, F., Hobbs, G., Possenti, A., D'Am ico, N., & Faulkner, A.J. 2003, ApJ, 591, L135
- Mereghetti, S., Chiarlone, L., Israel, G. L., & Stella, L. 2002a, in Neutron Stars, Pulsars, and Supernova Rem nants, ed.W .Becker, H.Lesch, & J.Trum per, 29, (astro-ph/0205122)
- Mereghetti, S., Tiengo, A., & Israel, G.L. 2002b, ApJ, 569, 275
- Migliazzo, J.M., Gaensler, B.M., Backer, D.C., Stappers, B.W., van der Swaluw, E., & Strom, R.G. 2002, ApJ, 567, L141
- M ilhe, D.K., Goss, W.M., Haynes, R.F., Wellington, K.J., Caswell, J.L., & Skellern, D.J. 1979, MNRAS, 188, 437
- Miyaji, S., Nomoto, K., Yokoi, K., & Sugimoto, D. 1980, PASJ, 32, 303
- M iyata, E. et al. 1998, PA SJ, 50, 475
- Motch, C., Zavlin, V.E., & Haberl, F. 2003, A & A, 408, 323
- Murphy, D.C., Persson, S.E., Pahre, M.A., Sivaram akrishnan, A.,
- & D prgovski, S.G. 1995, PASP, 107, 1234 Murray, S.S., Ransom, S.M., Juda, M., Hwang, U., & Holt, S.S.
- 2002a, ApJ, 566, 1039 M urray, S. S., Slane, P. O., Seward, F. D., Ransom, S. M., & Gaensler, B. M. 2002b, ApJ, 568, 226
- Mushotzky, R.F., Cowie, L.L., Barger, A.J., & Amaud, K.A. 2000, Nature, 404, 459
- Naka jima, T., Iwamuro, F., Maihara, T., Motohara, K., Terada, H., G oto, M., Iwai, J., Tanabe, H., Taguchi, T., Hata, R., Yanagisawa,
- K., Iye, M., Kashikawa, N., & Tamura, M. 2000, AJ, 120, 2488 Narayan, R., Barret, D., & M cC lintock, J.E. 1997, ApJ, 482, 448 Nom oto, K. 1984, ApJ, 277, 791
- .1987, ApJ, 322, 206
- O ke, J. B., C ohen, J. G., C arr, M., C rom er, J., D ingizian, A., H arris, F. H., Labrecque, S., Lucinio, R., Schaal, W., Epps, H., & M iller, J. 1995, PASP, 107, 375
- Olbert, C.M., Clear eld, C.R., William s, N.E., Keohane, J.W., & Frail, D.A.2001, ApJ, 554, L205 Page, D. 1998, in NATO ASIC Proc. 515: The Many Faces of
- Neutron Stars., ed. R. Bucchieri, J. van Paradijs, & M. Alpar, 539
- Pauls, T. 1977, A & A , 59, L13
- Pauls, T., van Gorkom, J.H., Goss, W.M., Shaver, P.A., Dickey, J.M., & Kulkami, S. 1982, A & A, 112, 120
- Pavlov, G.G., Kargaltsev, O.Y., Sanwal, D., & Garmire, G.P. 2001a, ApJ, 554, L189
- Pavlov, G.G., Sanwal, D., Garmire, G.P., & Zavlin, V.E. 2002, in ASP Conf. Ser. 271: Neutron Stars in Supernova Rem nants, ed. P.O.Slane & B.M.Gaensler, 247
- Pavlov, G.G., Zavlin, V.E., Aschenbach, B., Trumper, J., & Sanwal, D.2000, ApJ, 531, L53
- Pavlov, G.G., Zavlin, V.E., Sanwal, D., Burwitz, V., & Garmire, G.P.2001b, ApJ, 552, L129
- Pavlov, G.G., Zavlin, V.E., & Trum per, J. 1999, ApJ, 511, L45
- Persson, S.E., Murphy, D.C., Krzeminski, W., Roth, M., & Rieke, M.J.1998, AJ, 116, 2475
- Petre, R., Kuntz, K.D., & Shelton, R.L. 2002, ApJ, 579, 404
- Petruk, O. 1999, A & A, 346, 961
- Pfahl, E., Rappaport, S., & Podsiadlowski, P. 2002a, ApJ, 573, 283
- Pfahl, E., Rappaport, S., Podsiadlowski, P., & Spruit, H. 2002b, ApJ, 574, 364
- Pisarski, R.L., Helfand, D.J., & Kahn, S.M. 1984, ApJ, 277, 710
- Pivovaro, M. J., Kaspi, V. M., & Camilo, F. 2000, ApJ, 535, 379

- Possenti, A., Cerutti, R., Colpi, M., & Mereghetti, S. 2002, A&A, 387,993
- Predehl, P.& Schm itt, J.H.M.M. 1995, A&A, 293, 889
- Rho, J., Dyer, K.K., Borkowski, K.J., & Reynolds, S.P.2002, ApJ, 581,1116
- Roberts, M.S.E., Tam, C.R., Kaspi, V.M., Lyutikov, M., Vasisht, G., Pivovaro, M., Gotthelf, E.V., & Kawai, N. 2003, ApJ, 588, 992
- Rodgers, A.W., Campbell, C.T., & Whiteoak, J.B. 1960, MNRAS, 121,103
- Roger, R.S.& Costain, C.H. 1976, A&A, 51, 151
- Romani, R.W. & Ng, C.-Y. 2003, ApJ, 585, L41
- Rosado, M., Ambrocio-Cruz, P., LeCoarer, E., & Marcelin, M. 1996, A&A,315,243
- Sanwal, D., Pavlov, G.G., Zavlin, V.E., & Teter, M.A. 2002, ApJ, 574,L61
- Schaefer, B.E. 1995, AJ, 110, 1793
- Seward, F.D., Schmidt, B., & Slane, P.1995, ApJ, 453, 284
- Seward, F.D. & W ang, Z. 1988, ApJ, 332, 199
- Sheinis, A. I., Bolte, M., Epps, H. W., Kibrick, R. I., Miller, J. S., Radovan, M.V., Bigelow, B.C., & Sutin, B.M. 2002, PASP, 114, 851
- Skrutskie, M . F ., Schneider, S. E ., Stiening, R ., Strom , S. E ., Weinberg, M.D., Beichman, C., Chester, T., Cutri, R., Lonsdale, C., Elias, J., Elston, R., Capps, R., Carpenter, J., Huchra, J., Liebert, J., Monet, D., Price, S., & Seitzer, P. 1997, in ASSL Vol. 210: The Im pact of Large Scale Near-IR Sky Surveys, 25
- Slane, P., Seward, F.D., Bandiera, R., Torii, K., & Tsunemi, H. 1997, ApJ, 485, 221
- Slane, P., Zimmerman, E.R., Hughes, J.P., Seward, F.D., Gaensler, B.M., & Clarke, M.J. 2004, ApJ, 601, 1045
- Slane, P.O., Helfand, D.J., & Murray, S.S. 2002, ApJ, 571, L45
- Sm ith, J.A., Tucker, D.L., Kent, S., Richmond, M.W., Fukugita, M., Ichikawa, T., Ichikawa, S., Jorgensen, A. M., Uomoto, A., Gunn, J.E., Ham abe, M., Watanabe, M., Tolea, A., Henden, A., Annis, J., Pier, J. R., McKay, T. A., Brinkmann, J., Chen, B., Holtzm an, J., Shim asaku, K., & York, D.G. 2002, AJ, 123, 2121 Sm ith, R.C. 1997, AJ, 114, 2664 Spinrad, H., Stau er, J., & Harlan, E. 1979, PASP, 91, 619
- Staelin, D.H. & Reifenstein, E.C. 1968, Science, 162, 1481
- Stephenson, F.R. 1971, QJRAS, 12, 10
- Stephenson, F.R. & Green, D.A. 2002, Historical supernovae and their rem nants (C larendon P ress: O xford)
- Stetson, P.B. 2000, PASP, 112, 925
- Strom, R.G. 1994, MNRAS, 268, L5
- Tananbaum, H. 1999, IAU Circ., 7246, 1
- Taylor, A.R., Gibson, S.J., Peracaula, M., Martin, P.G., Landecker, T.L., Brunt, C.M., Dewdney, P.E., Dougherty, S.M., Gray, A.D., Higgs, L.A., Kerton, C.R., Knee, L.B.G., Kothes, R., Purton, C.R., Uyaniker, B., W allace, B.J., W illis, A.G., & Durand, D. 2003, AJ, 125, 3145
- Thom pson, C.& Duncan, R.C. 1995, MNRAS, 275, 255
- .1996, ApJ, 473, 322
- Thompson, D., Beckwith, S. V. W., Fockenbrock, R., Fried, J., Hippelein, H., Huang, J.-S., von Kuhlmann, B., Leinert, C., Meisenheimer, K., Phleps, S., Roser, H.-J., Thommes, E., & Wolf, C.1999, ApJ, 523, 100
- Thorsett, S.E., Benjamin, R.A., Brisken, W.F., Golden, A., & Goss, W.M. 2003, ApJ, 592, L71
- Thorsett, S.E.& Chakrabarty, D.1999, ApJ, 512, 288
- Torii, K., Tsunemi, H., Dotani, T., & Mitsuda, K. 1997, ApJ, 489, L145
- Townsley, L.K., Broos, P.S., Nousek, J.A., & Garmire, G.P.2002, Nucl. Instr. M ethods, in press, (astro-ph/0111031)
- Treves, A., Turolla, R., Zane, S., & Colpi, M. 2000, PASP, 112, 297
- Tsuruta, S., Teter, M. A., Takatsuka, T., Tatsum i, T., & Tam agaki, R.2002, ApJ, 571, L143
- van der Swaluw, E. 2003, A & A, 404, 939
- van der Swaluw, E., Achterberg, A., Gallant, Y.A., & Toth, G. 2001, A&A, 380, 309
- van der Swaluw, E., Downes, T. P., & Keegan, R. 2003, A&A, subm itted, (astro-ph/0311388)
- Vasisht, G. & Gotthelf, E. V. 1997, ApJ, 486, L129
- Vasisht, G., Gotthelf, E.V., Torii, K., & Gaensler, B.M. 2000, ApJ, 542,L49
- Vink, J., Bocchino, F., Damiani, F., & Kaastra, J.S. 2000, A&A, 362,711
- Vink, J., Kaastra, J.S., & Bleeker, J.A.M. 1997, A&A, 328, 628
- Voges, W., Aschenbach, B., Boller, T., Brauninger, H., Briel, U., Burkert, W ., Dennerl, K ., Englhauser, J., Gruber, R ., Haberl, F ., Hartner, G., Hasinger, G., Kurster, M., Pfe erm ann, E., Pietsch, W., Predehl, P., Rosso, C., Schmitt, J.H.M.M., Trumper, J., & Zim m erm ann, H.-U. 1996, IAU Circ., 6420, 2
- W ang, Q.D.& Gotthelf, E.V. 1998, ApJ, 509, L109
- Wang, Q.D., Gotthelf, E.V., Chu, Y.H., & Dickel, J.R. 2001, ApJ, 559,275

- Watson, M.G., Willingale, R., Grindlay, J.E., & Seward, F.D. 1983, ApJ, 273, 688
- W eidem ann, V. 1987, A & A, 188, 74
- Weiler, K.W. & Panagia, N. 1978, A&A, 70, 419
- W eisskopf, M.C., Tananbaum, H.D., Van Speybroeck, L.P., & O'Dell, S.L. 2000, in Proc. SPIE: X-Ray Optics, Instruments, and M issions III, ed. J. E. Trum per & B. A schenbach, Vol. 4012, 2{16
- W esterlund, B.E. 1969, AJ, 74, 879
- W hiteoak, J.B.Z.& Green, A.J. 1996, A&AS, 118, 329 W olszczan, A., Cordes, J.M., & Dewey, R.J. 1991, ApJ, 372, L99
- W oosley, S.E., Heger, A., & W eaver, T.A. 2002, Reviews of M odem Physics, 74, 1015
- Yakovlev, D.G., Gnedin, O.Y., Kaminker, A.D., Leven sh, K.P., & Potekhin, A.Y. 2003, in High Energy Studies of Supernova Rem nants and Neutron Stars: 34th COSPAR Scienti cAssem bly, ed.W.Becker & W.Hermsen, (astro-ph/0306143)
- Yakovlev, D.G., Gnedin, O.Y., Kaminker, A.D., & Potekhin, A.Y.
- 2002a, in Neutron Stars, Pulsars, and Supernova Rem nants, 287 Yakovlev, D.G., Kaminker, A.D., Haensel, P., & Gnedin, O.Y.
- 2002b, A & A, 389, L24 Young, M.D., Manchester, R.N., & Johnston, S.1999, Nature, 400, 848
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., Shure, M., Wardle, M., & Kassim, N. 2000, ApJ, 540,842
- Zane, S., Haberl, F., Cropper, M., Zavlin, V.E., Lumb, D., Sembay, S., & Motch, C. 2002, MNRAS, 334, 345
- Zavlin, V.E., Pavlov, G.G., Sanwal, D., & Trum per, J. 2000, ApJ, 540,L25
- Zavlin, V.E., Trum per, J., & Pavlov, G.G. 1999, ApJ, 525, 959