AN XRAY SEARCH FOR COMPACT CENTRAL SOURCES $\mathbb{N}$ SUPERNOVAREMNANTS I: SNRS G $093.3+6.9$, G 315.4 2.3, G $0842+0.8, \&$ G $127.1+0.5$


ABSTRACT
M ost astronom ens now accept that stars $m$ ore $m$ assive than about 9 M explode as supemovae and leave stellar rem nants, either neutron stars or black holes, $w$ th neutron stars being $m$ ore prevalent. Recent $m$ odeling of the explosions suggests a signi cant diversity in the key natal properties | rotation rate, velocity, and $m$ agnetic eld strength | of the resulting neutron stars that account for the association of active radio pulsars, pulsar $w$ ind nebulae, and $m$ agnetars $w$ ith supemova rem nants (SNRs). The discovery ofa centralX -ray source in C as A, the youngest known G alactic SN R , dram atized the expected diversity. H ow ever, less than half of the SNRS w ithin 5 kpc have identi ed central sources, and only three are identi ed as the rem nants of $T$ ype Ia $S N$ e. H ere, we report a system atic e ort to search for com pact central sources in the rem aining 23 SNR s of this distance lim ited sam ple. O ur search w as inspired, on em pirical considerations, by the enigm atic faint $X$-ray source in C as A; m otivated, on theoreticalgrounds, by the expectation that young neutron stars em it cooling $X$-ray em ission; and $m$ ade possible by the superb angular resolution o ered by the C handra X -ray m ission and the sensitivity of the $X M M-N$ ew ton $m$ ission.

In this rst paperwe report C handra observations offourSNRs (G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G 084.2+0.8, and G $127.1+0.5)$. W e have undertaken a system atic optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ identi cation program of the $X$-ray sources detected in the eld of each SNR. Foreground ( are stars, active stars) and background (active galactic nuclei) sources have identi able $\mathbb{R}$ /opticalcountenparts. In contrast, the countenparts ofneutron stars (or black holes) are expected to be very faint. We are able to account for all the well-detected $X$ ray sources and thus able to state $w$ ith som e con dence that there are no associated central sources down to a level of one tenth of that of the $C$ as $A$ central source, $L_{x}$. $10^{31}$ ergs $s^{1}$. W e com pare our lim its $w$ ith cooling curves for neutron stars and nd that any putative neutron stars in these SNR S m ust be cooling faster than expected for traditional1:35M neutron stars and that any putative pulsar m ust have low spin-down lum inosities E- . $10^{34}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$. H ow ever, our lim its are unable to constrain the presence or absence of $m$ ore unusual options, such as relatively $m$ ore $m$ assive neutron stars $w$ ith $\mathrm{M} \& 1: 45 \mathrm{M}$, neutron stars w th exotic interiors, or quiescent black holes. In subsequent papers, we $w$ ill report on the $X$-ray and optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ observations of the rem aining $m$ em bers of the 5 -kpc sam ple.
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## 1. introduction

U nderstanding the deaths ofm assive stars is one of the frontiers of m odem astrophysics. C onsiderable observational evidence substantiates the idea that stars below $M_{w} \quad 8 \mathrm{M}$ end their lives as white dwarfs (W eidem ann 1987), while the detection of neutrinos from SN 1987A dram atically illustrated that $m$ ore $m$ assive stars undergo core collapse (H irata et al 1987). T he outcom e ofcore collapse can either be a neutron star or a black hole (W oosley, H eger, \& W eaver 2002). H ow ever, there are great uncertainties in the $m$ apping betw een initialm ass of the star and the end product, and even $m$ ore uncertainties in the natal properties of the stellar rem nant. It is these uncertainties

[^0]that give observers opportunities to $m$ ake new discoveries and theorists to predict or \postdict" these discoveries.

The rst issue | the state of the starprior to collapse | is very dependent on the $m$ ass loss history of stars (H eger et al 2003), a phenom enon that is poorly understood and can easily be modi ed by the presence of a binary com panion. For solarm etallicity (the situation relevant to this paper), stars w ith betw een $M_{1} \quad 9 \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}} \quad 25 \mathrm{M}$ are expected to form a neutron star (Heger et al. 2003), while stars above $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$ are expected to form a black hole either by fall-back of $m$ aterial which transm utes the neutron star to a black hole or by direct collapse. A s an aside we note that progenitors $w$ ith $m$ asses between $M_{w}$ and $M_{1} m$ ay form an $O-N e-M g$ white dwarf that $m$ ay collapse to neutron stars or sim ply explode (M iya $\ddot{i}$ et al. 1980; N om oto 1984, 1987).

The second issue | the natal properties of the stellar rem nant | apparently involves delicate physics but has strong observational ram i cations. The gravitational binding energy of a neutron star is $10^{53}$ erg, of w hich only 1\% appears to be coupled to the ejecta (which ultim ately pow ers the SNR). Even $m$ ore $m$ inuscule fractions go into rotational energy, kinetic energy (bulk motion) and mag-
netic elds. It is now generally agreed that three dim ensionale ects in the explosion determ ine the natal properties (B urrow s 2000; $K$ ifonidis et al. 2003).

So far the discussion has assum ed that the only gross param eter of interest is the $m$ ass of the star. H ow ever, it is likely that rotation of the progenitor can also profoundly a ect the outcom e.

These two issues are now propelling two di erent areas of inquiry. The relationship betw een the progenitor properties ( $m$ ass, rotation) and the gross outcom e of core collapse (neutron star or black hole) is observationally being determ ined by system atic studies of supemovae and GRBs and their interconnection. The second area is in understanding the natalproperties ofneutron stars, which is the $m$ ain focus of the paper.

The discovery of pulsars in the Vela SNR (Large, Vaughan, \& M ills 1968) and the C rab Nebula (Staelin \& Reifenstein 1968) $m$ ade concrete the suggestion that core collapse results in neutron stars (Baade \& Zwicky 1934), som e of which $m$ anifest them selves as radio pulsars. Young pulsars, in addition to pulsing in the radio, can and usually do power synchrotron nebulae (W) eiler \& $P$ anagia 1978) that are indirect $m$ arkers of pulsars. These synchrotron nebulae are com $m$ only called pulsar w ind nebulae, or PW Ne. O ver the follow ing tw o decades, the notion that neutron stars resemble the C rab pulsar guided the search for central ob jects as well as intensive radio $m$ apping of $S N R$. A s a result of these e orts, the term \com posite" SNR (PW N + shell) was added to the SNR lexicon (see M ilne et al. 1979).

H ow ever, over the last ve years there have been three developm ents that have severely revised our picture of young neutron stars. First, astronom ers have come to accept of trem endous diversity in the natal properties of young neutron stars. A nom alous X -ray pulsars (AXPs), soft ray repeaters (SGRs), nearby therm al and radio quiet neutron stars, long period radio pulsars with high inferred magnetic elds (HBPSR) are now routinely found in astronom ical literature. These new classes of neutron stars have prim arily com e from high energy ( $X$-ray and ray) observations. Second, there is appreciation that the radio lum inosities of PW Ne is poorly dependent on the spin-down lum inosity of their central pulsars. For exam ple, energetic pulsars m ay have faint PW Ne (e.g., PSR J1119 6127; G onzalez \& Sa H arb 2003), and very sensitive im aging of the regions around identi ed central sources has frequently yielded only upper lim its to the surface brightness of putative PW Ne (e.g., G aensler, B ock, \& Stappers 2000). Third, seven nearby cooling N Ss have been identi ed (H aberl 2003) through ROSAT observations. M any of these neutron stars do not appear to be evolved versions of standard radio pulsars; e.g., RX J0720.4 3125 has a period longer than alm ost any known radio pulsarbut has a typicalB - eld strength ( K aplan et al. 2002a; Zane et al. 2002).

W hile this diversity is clearly dem onstrated observationally, theory and sim ulation cannot yet constrain the fiundam ental birth properties of neutron stars (e.g., B urrow s et al. 2003). M odels still have di culties achieving explosions, $m$ uch less follow ing the activity in the post-collapse ob ject in any detail.

Three years ago we began a program of observation-
ally investigating the stellar rem nants in a volum e-lim ited census of $G$ alactic supemova rem nants. The approach we took was inspired by the rst light picture of C handra, the discovery of a centralX -ray source in the well-studied and youngest known supemova rem nant in our $G$ alaxy, C assiopeia A (Tananbaum 1999). The nature of the ob ject continues to be debated (P avlov et al. 2000; C hakrabarty et al. 2001; M urray et al. 2002a). H ow ever, one conclusion is crystal clear: the X-ray source is not a standard radio pulsar (unbeam ed or otherw ise).

The basis of our e ort is that observationally, all central sources in SN R s know $n$ to date, regardless of the band of their initial identi cation ( ray, X ray, or radio) appear to possess detectable $X$-ray em ission. Theoretically, we expect them al $X$-ray em ission from young neutron stars. Thus, on both counts the search for central sources in young rem nants is very well motivated. H ow ever, a follow -up program is essential sincem any other foreground sources such as are stars, young stars, and accreting sources and background sources such as AGN dom inate the source counts (Hertz \& G rindlay 1988; M ushotzky et al 2000). Fortunately, the sub-arcsecond spatial resolution of C handra allow e cient ltering of such contam inating ob jects.

To this end, we have identi ed a sam ple of SN R swithin 5 kpc of the Sun which do not have known radio pulsars or PW Ne and have not been associated w ith Type Ia explosions (Table 1. W e successfilly proposed for a \large" Chandra e ort in AO-3 to im age nine SNRs. This initial allocation has been supplem ented w ith additional tim e in AO - 4 of Chandra and AO-2 of XMM. Follow up of the X ray sources has been undertaken $w$ ith a plethora ofground based telescopes in the optical and near- $\mathbb{R}$ bands ( P alom ar 60-inch, Palom ar 200-inch, Las Cam panas 40-inch, ESO 3.5 m , M agellan 6.5 m and K eck 10 m ). Here, we report the rst analysis of four SNRs for which the follow up is now com plete. $T$ he analysis for the rem aining rem nants w ill be reported in future papers.

The organization of the paper is as follow $s$. In $\times 2$ we sum $m$ arize the em pirical $X$-ray properties of the know $n$ sam ple of young neutron stars. Such a summ ary is essential since the guiding principle of our e ort is to place our search for centralob jects against the fram ew ork of existing classes of sources. Speci cally, we are not entirely guided by the relatively poorly understood cooling of neutron stars. O ur search has been designed to nd ob jects as faint as one tenth of the central X -ray source in C assiopeia A. In x 3.1 we present a com plete sam ple of cataloged SNR s w thin 5 kpc . Of these, 18 have an identi ed central source or are known to be a com posite rem nant, while three are thought the be the results of T ype Ia SNe. The rem aining 23 seem ingly \hollow" SNRs form our pri$m$ ary sam ple. By \hollow ", we refer to SNRs that have distinct shells but no obvious indication of central neutron stars | see Vasisht \& G otthelf (1997). Section 4 has a general overview of our observations and analysis techniques: in $\times 4.1$ \{4.4 we present a sum $m$ ary of the details of our C handra observations and data reduction, and a likew ise global description of the extensive m ulti-w avelength follow up is given in $\times 4.5$. W e follow this by detailed descriptions of each of the rst four SNRS, its observations, and identi cation of counterparts to its X -ray souroes in

Xx 5.1, 5.2,5.3, and 5.4 for SN R sG 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G $0842+0.8$, and G 127.1+0.5, respectively. It m ust be appreciated that com plete identi cation of all X -ray sources is essential, given the sm all sam ple size. W e hope that our detailed cataloging will be of help to e orts such as C haM P lane ( $G$ rindlay et al. 2003). F inally, in $x 6$ we discuss what lim its our data can place on the existence of central sources in the four SNR s, and we conclude in $\times 7$.

## 2. x-ray properties of young neutron stars

The rstm anifestations ofneutron stars associated w ith SNR s were traditional rotation-pow ered pulsars (such as those in the Crab and Vela SNRs). W ith the advent of high-energy $m$ issions a num ber of new classes were discovered. These include Soft G am m a Repeaters (SG R s; for a review see H urley 2000), A nom alous X tray P ulsars (AXP s; for a review see M ereghettiet al.2002a), and C om pact C entralo b jects ( C C O s; for a review see P avlov et al. 2002). F inally, recent radio surveys have uncovered central C rab-like pulsars w ith eld strengths beyond $10^{13} \mathrm{G}$ | the so-called high B pulsars (HBPSRs; C am ilo et al. 2000; G otthelf et al 2000). SG R s and AXP s have been suggested to be $m$ agnetars (D uncan \& $T$ hom pson 1992; Thom pson \& D uncan 1995) : neutron starsw th extrem ely strong eld strengths, $\mathrm{B} \& 10^{15} \mathrm{G} . \mathrm{CCO}$ is the generic nam e for a heterogeneous group of $X$-ray sources em itting largely unpulsed soft (therm al) em ission and lacking detectable radio em ission. A nother possibly related class are the Isolated $N$ eutron Stars ( $\mathbb{N}$ Ss; H aberl 2003), also called R adio-Q uiet N eutron Stars ( R Q N Ss) or D im Ther$m$ al Neutron Stars (DTNSs). Below we sum marize the general properties of each of these classes in tum.

### 2.1. Radio Pulsars

Radio pulsars observed in the X -rays often have twocom ponent spectra. H ere, the them al com ponent tends to be softer (. $0: 3 \mathrm{keV}$ ) and the power-law com ponent harder ( $=1: 5\{2.5$ ) than those of AXP s; see Becker \& Trum per (1997), P ossentiet al. (2002), and Tables 2 and 3. A rough relation w as originally discovered by Sew ard \& W ang (1988) betw een the X -ray lum inosity and the rotational energy loss rate $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} ; 0: 1 \quad 2: 4 \mathrm{kev} \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{E}$ - (Becker \& Trum per 1997). W hile this relation has been updated for speci c classes of neutron stars and di erent energy bands (e.g., P ossentiet al.2002) and has considerable scatter, it still holds on average. The observed $X$ ray lum inosities of radio pulsars then vary betw een $10^{31}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ and $10^{37}$ ergs $\mathrm{s}^{1}$, depending on their values of E - (and through that, their values of $P$ and $P-$ ).

W hile only m illisecond pulsars and \old" (> $10^{6} \mathrm{yr}$ ) pulsars from the sam ple of P ossentiet al. (2002), have values of E less than $10^{34}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$, there have been three young pulsars discovered recently that are m ore extrem e. T hese are the HBPSRsPSR J1814 $1744(P=4: 0 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{E}=$ 4:7 $\quad 10^{32}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$; C am ilo et al. 2000), P SR J1847 0130 $\left(P=6: 7 \mathrm{~s}\right.$ and $\mathrm{E}=1: 7 \quad 10^{32}$ ergs $\mathrm{s}^{1} ; \mathrm{M}$ cLaughlin et al. 2003), and PSR J1718-37184 ( $\mathrm{P}=3: 4 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{E}=$ 1:6 $10^{33}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$; M cLaughlin et al. 2003). The rst two do not have detected $X$-ray em ission (P ivovaro ,K aspi, \& C am ilo 2000, M cLaughlin et al. 2003), while the third does have a very faint ( $L_{2} 10 \mathrm{kev} \quad 9 \quad 10^{29}$ ergs $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ ) X ray
counterpart the energetic ( $\mathbb{E}$ \& $10^{36}$ ergs $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ ) HBPSRS have brighter $X$-ray counterparts ( $G$ aensler et al 2002; G onzalez \& Sa H arb 2003, H elfand, Collins, \& G otthelf 2003) ], consistent w ith their values of E .

### 2.2. Pulsar $W$ ind $N$ ebulae

Pulsar w ind nebulae (PW Ne), are bright, centrally condensed nebulae w ith non-them al (pow er-law) X -ray and radio spectra often associated w ith young, energetic pulsars and SNRs (here we refer only to \bubble" PW Ne, as di erentiated by the bow-shock PW Ne produced by the $m$ otion of the pulsars through the ambient $m$ edium ; for review s, see C hevalier 1998 or $G$ aensler 2003). T he photon indices range from $1.3\{2.3$ (G otthelf2003), sim ilar to those ofpulsars, but they are roughly 10 tim es as hum inous for a given E ( G otthelf 2004) and the sizes range from a few arcseconds to several aram inutes. PW Ne, both $X$ ray and radio, o er a great advantage overbare radio pulsars for inferring the existence of neutron stars: they are unbeam ed. $T$ his fact has historically been used in a num ber of cases to infer the existence of energetic pulsars w here the pulsar had not been seen itself, such as 3C 58 (Becker, H elfand, \& Szym kow iak 1982, Frail\& M o ett 1993), N 157B (W ang \& G otthelf 1998), and K es 75 (Becker \& Helfand 1984).

The evolution of a PW N (see van der Sw aluw, D ownes, \& K eegan 2003 for a recent review ) begins as it expands supersonically through the shocked ejecta of the SNR. H ere, the radius of the PW N is / $\mathrm{E}^{1=5} \mathrm{t}^{6=5}$ (assum ing that the totallum inosity of the neutron star is / E-; van derSw aluw et al. 2001; van der Swaluw 2003), so it depends only weakly on E-but m ore strongly on the rem nant age. E ventually, though, the reverse shock of the SNR crashes back on the PW N at a timetcol $10^{4} \mathrm{yr}$ (van der Swaluw et al. 2003, although this depends on the ejecta $m$ ass). A fter th is occurs, the sphericalportion of the PW N continues to expand subsonically w ith its radius / $t^{11=15}$ (van derSw aluw et al. 2001), while at the sam e tim e the pulsarm oves aw ay from the center ofthe SN R and beginsto form a bow-shock nebula (w hen its m otion through the SN R ejecta becom es supersonic at ages of \& 3000 yr ; van der Swaluw et al. 2003). The passage of the reverse shock should re-heat and energize the $P W N$, causing it to brighten.

### 2.3. AXPs\& SGRs

In the $X$-ray band, traditionalAXPs are characterized by a two-com ponent spectrum : a power-law with index $3\{4$, and a soft blackbody w th tem perature $0.3\{0.7 \mathrm{keV}$ (M ereghetti et al 2002a). W hile the distances are quite uncertain, especially to those not in SNRs, the lum inosities are relatively constant and $>10^{34}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ (in the $1\{10 \mathrm{keV}$ band; M ereghetti et al. 2002a), substantially greater than their spin-down energy loss rates. These sources are characterized by slow spin periods (6\{12 s) w ith substantial period derivatives that indicate the presence of very strong $m$ agnetic elds ( $\mathrm{B}>10^{14} \mathrm{G}$ ).

There are two objects, however, that $m$ ay indicate AXPs can have substantial X-ray variability. First, AX J184453 025640 (Vasisht et al 2000) is a 7 s X ray pulsar which varied by a factor of \& 10 in ux but whose properties are otherw ise found to be fiully consistent with an AXP. M ore recently, the AXP-candidate XTE J1810 197 was discovered in RXTE data (Ibrahim

Table 1
SNRsW ithin 5 kpc

| SNR G | O ther N am e | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D ist. } \\ & \text { (kpc) } \end{aligned}$ | $D$ istance M ethod | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \text { (arcm in) } \end{gathered}$ | Type ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | C entral Source/ Ia | x -ray? ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Sample ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{Refs}{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 004.5+ 6.8 | K epler ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 4.5 | O ptical expansion/H I | 3 | S | Ia ? ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | 1 |
| 005.41 .2 | D uck | 5 | H I absorption | 35 | C ? | pulsar? | yes |  | 2,3 |
| 006.40 .1 | W $28{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 3.0 | OH m asers | 42 | S |  |  |  | 4 |
| 011.20 .3 |  | 5 | H I absorption |  | c | pulsar | yes |  | 5 |
| 013.31 .3 |  | 2.4 | CO absorption | 70 | S |  |  | XMM - |  |
| 034.70 .4 | W 44 | 2.5 | H I absorption | 35 | C | pulsar | yes |  | 6,7,8 |
| 039.72 .0 | W 50 | 5 | VLBI | 120 | ? | SS 433 | yes |  | 9,10 |
| 053.62 .2 | 3C 400.2 | 2.8 | H I association | 33 | S |  |  | C X O - A |  |
| 054.40 .3 | H C 40 | 3.3 | CO association | 40 | S |  |  | CXO-A |  |
| $065.3+5.7$ |  | 0.8 | O ptical velocity | 310 | S |  |  | CXO- |  |
| $069.0+2.7$ | CtB 80 | 2 | H I absorption | 80 | ? | pulsar | yes |  | 11,12 |
| 074.08 .5 | C ygnus Loop | 0.44 | O ptical proper m otion | 230 | S | x -ray source? |  | CXO-AO |  |
| $078.2+2.1$ | C ygni | 1.2 | $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CO}$ association | 60 | S |  |  | XMM | 214 |
| 084.20 .8 |  | 4.5 | H I/CO association | 20 | S |  |  | C XO - A |  |
| $089.0+4.7$ | H B 21 | 0.8 | OB association | 120 | S |  |  |  |  |
| 093.3+6.9 | D A 530 | 3.5 | H I absorption/X -ray tting | 27 | S |  |  | CXO-A |  |
| 111.72 .1 | C as A | 3.4 | O ptical expansion | 5 | S | C Co | yes |  | 15 |
| $114.3+0.3$ |  | 3.5 | H I association | 90 | S | pulsar | yes |  | 16,17,18 |
| $116.5+1.1$ |  | 4 | H I association | 80 | S |  |  |  |  |
| $116.9+0.2$ | C T B 1 | 3.1 | 0 ptical lines | 34 | S |  |  | CXO |  |
| $119.5+10.2$ | CTA 1 | 1.4 | H I association | 90 | S | -/X -ray neutron star |  |  | 19,20,21 |
| $120.1+1.4$ | Tycho | 2.4 | P roper m otion/shock vel. | 8 | S | Ia |  |  | 22 |
| $127.1+0.5$ | R 5 | 1.3 | A ssoc. w ith N G C 559 | 45 | S |  |  | CXO |  |
| $130.7+3.1$ | 3 C 58 | 3.2 | H I absorption | 9 | F | pulsar | yes |  | 23,24 |
| $132.7+1.3$ | HB 3 | 2.2 | Interactions w ith ISM | 80 | S |  |  | X M M |  |
| $156.2+5.7$ |  | 1.3 | NEI ts | 110 | S |  |  | CXO-A |  |
| $160.8+2.6$ | H B 9 | $<4$ | H I optical vel. | 140 | S |  |  | CXO- |  |
| $166.0+4.3$ | VRO 42.05 .01 | 4.5 | H I association | 55 | S |  |  | CXO |  |
| $166.2+2.5$ |  | 4 | H I interaction | 90 | S |  |  |  |  |
| 180.01 .7 | S147 | 1.2 | pulsar dispersion $m$ easure | 180 | S | pulsar | yes |  | 25,26,27 |
| 184.65 .8 | C rab | 2 | proper m otion/radial vel. | 7 | F | pulsar | yes |  | 28 |
| $189.1+3.0$ | IC 443 | 1.5 | O pt.vel./assoc. w ith S249 | 45 | S | neutron star | yes |  | 29 |
| $203.0+12.0$ | M onogem R ing | 0.3 | O VI absorption/m odeling | 1500 | S | pulsar | yes |  | 30 |
| $205.5+0.5$ | M onoceros | 1.2 | O ptical velocity | 220 | S |  |  | CXO-A |  |
| 260.43 .4 | P upp is A | 2.2 | H I association | 60 | S | Cco | yes |  | 31,32 |
| 263.93 .3 | Vela | 0.3 | pulsar parallax | 255 | C | pulsar | yes |  | 33 |
| $296.5+10.0$ | PKS 1209 51/52 | 2.1 | H I association | 90 | S | CCO/X -ray pulsar | yes |  | 34,35 |
| $309.8+0.0$ |  | 3.6 | H I absorption | 25 | S |  |  | CxO-A |  |
| 315.42 .3 | RCW 86 | 2.8 | 0 ptical lines | 42 | S |  |  | CXO-A | 36 |
| $327.4+0.4$ | K es 27 | 4.3 | H I absorption/interact. | 30 | S |  |  |  |  |
| $327.6+14.6$ | SN 1006 | 2.2 | Spectra/proper m otion | 30 | S | Ia |  |  | 37,38 |
| $330.0+15.0$ | Lupus Loop | 0.8 | H I | 180 | S |  |  | CxO |  |
| 332.40 .4 | RCW 103 | 3.3 | H I absorption | 10 | S | C Co | yes |  | 39 |
| 343.12 .3 |  | 2.5 | H I absorption | 32 | C | pulsar | yes |  | 40,41 |
| 354.1+ 0.1 |  | 5 | recom bination lines | 15 | C | pulsar? | no |  | 42,43 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}$ a jor axis.
${ }^{b}$ Types are: S (shell), C (com posite), and F (lled, or PW N), as determ ined by G reen (2001). A question $m$ ark indicates that the type is poorly determ ined.
${ }^{c}$ Indicates if a central source is known, or if the SNR is thought to be of type Ia. If a central source is known and it falls into one of the classes from x 2 , it is labeled accordingly.
${ }^{d}$ Indicates whether the central source has been detected in $X$-rays. See $T$ able 2.
${ }^{e} R$ efers to $X$-ray sam ples of shell SN R s described in $\times 4.1$.
${ }^{f} R$ eferences deal only w ith the central source or Ia classi cation. G eneral SN $R$ properties were taken from $G$ reen (2001) and references therein.
${ }^{g} \mathrm{H}$ as already been observed w ith C handra.

References.| 1: K inugasa \& T sunem i (1999); 2: Frail \& K ulkarni (1991); 3: M anchester et al. (1991); 4: Yusef-Zadeh et al. (2000); 5: Torii et al. (1997); 6: W olszczan, C ordes, \& D ew ey (1991); 7: H arrus et al. (1997); 8: Petre et al. (2002); 9: C lark, G reen, \& C aswell (1975); 10: W atson et al. (1983); 11: K u lkarniet al. (1988); 12: M igliazzo et al. (2002); 13: M iyata et al. (1998); 14: B razier et al. (1996); 15: T ananbaum (1999); 16: K ulkami et al. (1993); 17: Becker, B razier, \& Trum per (1996); 18: Furst, Reich, \& Seiradakis (1993); 19: Sew ard, Schm idt, \& Slane (1995); 20: Slane et al. (1997); 21: Slane et al. (2004); 22: B aade (1945); 23: M urray et al. (2002b); 24: C am ilo et al. (2002b); 25: A nderson et al. (1996); 26: R om ani\& N g (2003); 27: K ram er et al. (2003); 28: C om ella et al. (1969); 29: 0 lbert et al. (2001); 30: Thorsett et al. (2003); 31: Pavlov, Zavlin, \& Trum per (1999); 32: Zavlin, Trum per, \& Pavlov (1999); 33: Large et al. (1968); 34: H elfand \& Becker (1984); 35: Zavlin et al. (2000); 36:Vink et al. (2000); 37: Fesen et al. (1988); 38: Allen et al. (2001); 39: G otthelf, Petre, \& H wang (1997); 40: M CA dam, O sbome, \& Parkinson (1993); 41: Becker, Brazier, \& Trum per (1995); 42: Frail et al. (1994); 43: Becker \& Trum per (1997).

Table 2
X-ray Properties of Central Sources from Table 1

| Source | SNR G | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{kT}_{1}^{\mathrm{BB}} \\ (\mathrm{keV}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \log _{10} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \left(\operatorname{ergss}^{1}\right. \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | X -ray <br> PW N? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A ge }{ }^{\text {b }} \\ & \text { (kyr) } \end{aligned}$ | R efs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P SR B1757 24 | 005.41 .2 |  | 1.6 | 33.0 | Yes | > 39 | 1,2 |
| PSR J1811 1925 | 011.20 .3 |  | 1.7 | 33.7 | Yes |  | $1.63,4,5$ |
| P SR B 1853+ 01 | 034.70 .4 |  | 1.3 | 31.2 | Yes |  | 206 |
| SS 433 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 039.72 .0 |  | 0.7 | 35 |  |  | 5\{40 |
| P SR B 1951+ 32 | 069.0+2.7 |  | 1.6 | 32.9 | Yes |  | 648 |
| CXO J232327.8+584842 | 111.72 .1 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 33.5/34.7 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | No | 0.3 | 9 |
| P SR B 2334+61 | $114.3+0.3$ |  | c 2.0 | 31.7 | $g$ | 41 | 10 |
| RX J0007.0+ 7302 | $119.5+10.2$ | 0.14 | 1.5 | 31.2 | Yes | 13 | 11 |
| P SR J0205+ 6449 | $130.7+3.1$ |  | 1.7 | 32.2 | Yes |  | 0.8 12,13 |
| P SR J0538+ 2817 | 180.01 .7 | 0.16 |  | 32.9 | Yes |  | 30 14,15 |
| P SR B 0531+ 21 | 184.65 .8 |  | 1.6 | 35.8 | Yes |  | 1.016 |
| CXO J061705.3+222127 | 189.1+ 3.0 | 0.7 |  | 31.3 | Yes |  | 3017 |
| P SR B 0656+ 14 | $203.0+12.0$ | $0.07+0.14$ | 1.5 | 31.1 | No | 100 | 18,19,20 |
| RX J0822 4300 | 260.43 .4 | 0.4 |  | 33.5 | N O |  | 3.7 21,22 |
| PSR B 083345 | 263.93 .3 |  | 2.5 | 32.5 | Yes |  | 1123 |
| 1E 1207.4 5209 | $296.5+10.0$ | 0.26 |  | 33.1 | N ○ |  | $7 \quad 24$ |
| 1E 161348 5055 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 332.40 .4 | 0.6 |  | 32.1 \{33.9 | N |  | 225 |
| P SR B1706 44 | 343.12 .3 | 0.14 | 2.0 | 32.6 | Yes ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 18 | 26 |
| PSR B1727 33 | $354.1+0.1$ |  |  | < $32: 6 /<$ | 32:5 9 | 15 | 16 |

References.| 1: K aspi et al. (2001); 2: G aensler \& Frail (2000); 3: Torii et al. (1997); 4: R oberts et al. (2003); 5: Stephenson \& G reen (2002); 6: P etre et al. (2002); 7: K otaniet al. (1996); 8: M igliazzo et al. (2002); 9: M ereghetti, T iengo, \& Israel (2002b); 10: Becker et al. (1996); 11: Slane et al. (2004); 12: Slane et al. (2002); 13: Stephenson (1971); 14: R om ani \& N $g$ (2003); 15: K ram er et al. (2003); 16: Becker \& Trum per (1997); 17: O lbert et al. (2001); 18: M arshall \& Schulz (2002); 19: B risken et al. (2003); 20: G reiveldinger et al. (1996); 21: Zavlin et al. (1999); 22: Pavlov et al. (2002); 23: Pavlov et al. (2001b); 24: Sanw al et al. (2002); 25: G otthelf, Petre, \& Vasisht (1999); 26: G otthelf, H alpem, \& D odson (2002). In addition, general pulsar data have been taken from $H$ obbs \& $M$ anchester (2003).
${ }^{a}$ Lum inosity for only the point-source in the $0.5\{2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ band, assum ing the distance from $T$ able 1 . U pper lim its to the lum inosity are given for a blackbody w ith $\mathrm{kT} \mathrm{T}_{1}=0: 25 \mathrm{keV}$ and for a power-law w ith $=2: 2$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{T}$ he best estim ate of the age of the $\mathrm{SN} R$ if know n , otherw ise the pulsar spin-dow n age $\mathrm{P}=2 \mathrm{P}-$.
${ }^{c}$ P ossibly not an isolated neutron star.
${ }^{d}$ A ssum ed.
${ }^{e}$ T he X -ray PW N e here are signi cantly fainter com pared to the pulsars than for other sources (K aspiet al. 2001; G otthelf et al. 2002).
${ }^{9}$ T he current X -ray data do not su ciently constrain the existence of a nebula.
${ }^{h}$ T he current $X$-ray data do not constrain the spectrum : either a blackbody or pow er-law m odel is possible.

Table 3
Properties of R otation P ow ered Pulsars A ssociated w ith SNRs

| P SR | SN R | $\begin{gathered} P \\ (m, s) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{a} \\ (\mathrm{kyr}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \log _{10} \mathrm{E}- \\ & (\text { ergs s } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \log _{10} L_{x}{ }^{b} \\ & \left(e r g s s^{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Refs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J0205+6449 | G 130.7+ 3.1 | 66 | 5.4 | 37.4 | 3.2 | 32.2 |  |
| J0537 6910 | N 157B ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 16 | 5.0 | 38.7 | 49.4 | 38.3 | 1,2 |
| J0538+ 2817 | G 180.01 .7 | 143 | 620 | 34.7 | 1.8 | 32.9 |  |
| B 0531+ 21 | G 184.65 .8 | 33 | 12 | 38.7 | 2.0 | 35.8 |  |
| B 054069 | 0540 69.3 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 50 | 1.7 | 382 | 49.4 | 36.3 | 2,3 |
| B 083345 | G 263.93 .3 | 89 | 11 | 36.8 | 0.3 | 32.5 |  |
| J1016 5857 | G 284.31 .8 | 107 | 21 | 36.5 | 3 | 32.5 | 4 |
| J1119 6127 | G 29220.5 | 408 | 1.6 | 36.4 | 6 | 32.5 | 5 |
| J1124 5916 | G $292.0+1.8$ | 135 | 2.9 | 37.1 | > 6 | 33.0 | 6,7 |
| B1338 62 | G 308.80 .1 | 193 | 12 | 36.1 | 9 | 32.8 | 8 |
| B 150958 | G 320.412 | 151 | 1.6 | 37.3 | 52 | 34.1 | 9,10 |
| B1643 43 | G 341.2+ 0.9 | 232 | 33 | 35.5 | 7 |  |  |
| B1706 44 | G 343.12 .3 | 102 | 18 | 36.5 | 2.5 | 32.6 |  |
| B1727 33 | G 354.1+ 0.1 | 139 | 26 | 36.1 | 5 | < 32.6 |  |
| B1757 24 | G 005.412 | 125 | 16 | 36.4 | 5 | 33.0 |  |
| J1811 1925 | G 01120.3 | 65 | 23 | 36.8 | 5 | 33.7 |  |
| J1846 0258 | G $029.7 \quad 0.3$ | 324 | 0.72 | 36.9 | 19 | 35.4 | 11 |
| B 1853+ 01 | G 034.70 .4 | 267 | 20 | 35.6 | 2.5 | 31.2 |  |
| J1930+ 1852 | G 054.1+ 0.3 | 136 | 2.9 | 37.1 | 5 | 33.3 | 12 |
| B 1951+ 32 | G $069.0+2.7$ | 40 | 110 | 36.6 | 2 | 35 |  |
| J2229+6114 | G 106.6+ 2.9 | 52 | 11 | 37.3 | 3 | 32.8 | 13,14 |
| B 2334+ 61 | G $114.3+0.3$ | 495 | 41 | 34.8 | 3.5 | 31.7 |  |

N ote.| Pulsar-SNR associations are largely drawn from K aspi\& Helfand (2002).
${ }^{a}$ Characteristic age $\quad \mathrm{P}=2 \mathrm{P}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{X}$-ray lum inosity in the $0.5\{2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ band. Upper lim its to the lum inosity are given for a power-law with $=2: 2$.
${ }^{c}$ In the Large $M$ agellanic $C$ loud.

References.| 1:W ang et al. (2001); 2: G otthelf (2003);3: G otthelf\& W ang (2000); 4: C am ilo et al. (2001); 5: G onzalez \& Sa H arb (2003); 6: H ughes et al. (2001); 7: G aensler \& W allace (2003); 8: G aensler, K aspi, \& M anchester (2003); 9: G reiveldinger et al. (1995); 10: G aensler et al. (2002); 11: H elfand et al. (2003); 12: C am ilo et al. (2002a); 13: K othes, U yaniker, \& P ineault (2001); 14: H alpem et al. (2001). A lso see references from Tabs. 1 and 2.
et al. 2003); Chandra and XMM data con $m$ the pulsations present in the RXTE data and allow for com parison w ith archivalE instein, ROSAT, and ASCA data where it is a factor of 100 fainter ( $G$ otthelf et al 2003). In the bright (current) state, XTE J1810 197 has an absorbed X -ray ux ( $0.5\left\{10 \mathrm{keV}\right.$ ) of $4 \quad 10^{11}$ ergs $\mathrm{am}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$, while ROSAT data from 1993 has a ux of 5 $10^{13}$ ergs $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$. Converting the uxes to lum inosities is uncertain due to the largely unconstrained distance, but G otthelfet al. (2003) determ ine an upper lim it of 5 kpc . W ith this, the current lum inosity in the $0.5\{$ 2 keV range is. $310^{35}$ ergs ${ }^{1}$, sim ilar to otherAXPs, but the \quiescent" hum inosity is $10^{33}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$. W hile less certain, A X J184453 025640 show s a roughly sim ilar range of hum inosities.

SG R s have roughly sim ilar quiescent lum inosities (H urley 2000), although their spectra are som ew hat harder. H ow ever, none of the SGRs is mly associated with a SNR (G aensler et al. 2001). There is now mounting observationalevidence that SG R s and A X P s are related objects (G avriil, K aspi, \& W oods 2002, K ulkamiet al. 2003; $K$ aspi et al. 2003), con $m$ ing the hypothesis of $T$ hom pson \& D uncan (1996). In what follow s, we do not treat the SGR s as separate ob jects since their quiescent $X$-ray properties are su ciently sim ilar to those of AXPs.

### 2.4. Cooling Radio-Q uiet $N$ eutron Stars/C om pact C entralo bjects

R egardless ofem ission at other w avelengths, young neutron stars should have them alX ray em ission from their surfaces as they cool. Indeed, it is likely that ROSAT has detected a num ber of nearby, $10^{6}$ yr (too old to be in SNR s) neutron stars (T reves et al. 2000; H aberl 2003) w ith no detectable radio em ission ( K aplan, K ulkami, \& van $K$ erkw ijk 2003a, K aplan et al. 2003b; Johnston 2003) | hence the nam ERQNS.TheROSAT sources have tem peratures of . 100 eV . This them al em ission is alm ost certain to be present at som e level, regardless of w hatever other processes are occurring (radio em ission, accretion, etc.), but the exact level of em ission depends on the $m$ ass of the neutron star and on the presence or absence ofexotic particles (pions, kaons, hyperons, free quarks, etc.) and/or processes (i.e. direct U rca cooling) in the core ( $K$ am inker, Yakovlev, \& G nedin 2002, T suruta et al. 2002).

A number of super cially sim ilar souroes known as CCOs have been discovered in SNRs, such as the sources in C as A and Puppis A. The tem peratures of C CO s should be higher than those of the eld RQNSs by a factor of 5 or so, depending on cooling physics (e.g., P age 1998). For som e of these sources, it is likely that there is little if any radio em ission due to the low values of E ( K aplan et al 2002a), but for others the lack ofsuch em ission $m$ ay just be beam ing e ect (i.e., as w ith G em inga), im plying beam ing fractions of $50 \%$ (B razier \& Johnston 1999). T he radii of the blackbody ts to the CCOs in Table 2 are typically less than the $10\{15 \mathrm{~km}$ expected for a neutron star (presum ably since a blackbody, while providing an adequate spectral $t$, does not actually represent the em ission of the surface) | values of $R_{1} \quad 1\{3 \mathrm{~km}$ are com m on.

## 3. survey design

3.1. A Volum e-Lim ited Sam ple of Shell SNR s

The success of thise ort hinges upon de ning an ob jectively constructed sam ple so that strong conclusions can be drawn not only from detectionsbut also non-detections. Bearing this in $m$ ind, we identi ed all of the SNR $s^{6}$ that are at a distance of less than 5 kpc as determ ined from a reliable distance determ ination (e.g. derived from the kine$m$ atic velocity of associated line em ission or a parallax, rather than from $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}$ or the D relation). This sam ple is com prised of the 45 SN R s listed in Table 1. T his is not an entirely com plete sam ple, as illustrated by the void in the third quadrant in $F$ igure 1 and the relative paucity of distant SNR s tow ard the G alactic center, but the criteria for inclusion in the sam ple (detected SNR w ith a robust distance) should not be correlated w ith the properties of the central ob jects. W e estim ate that we cover $15 \%$ of the $G$ alactic $m$ olecular gas, and hence sites of $m$ assive star form ation, w ith our distance criteria (based on D am e 1993). For the X -ray observations we elim inated all SN R s that were of Type Ia or those that are already associated w ith a NS and/or central synchrotron nebula ${ }^{7}$ | i.e. we only include those that are type $S$ in Table 1.
$T$ he shell rem nants are the $m$ ajor rem aining sam ple where the neutron star population has not been system atically assessed. For the SN R s w ith PW Ne, som e estim ate as to the neutron star's properties can be $m$ ade even if the source itself has not been detected, but the shell rem nants perm it no such estim ation. Therefore, these shell-
${ }^{6}$ D raw n from the $G$ alactic $S N R$ C atalog (G reen 2000). Since we constructed the table, the catalog has been updated (G reen 2001), and it is th is list and $m$ ore recent references that w e use to determ ine the properties of the SNR s.
${ }^{7}$ W e assum e that sources like IC 443, which has a synchrotron nebula but w here pulsations have not yet been detected, stilldo have central neutron stars.


F ig. 1.| G alactic distribution of SNRs from T able 1. G alactic longitude 1 (degrees) is plotted against distance (kpc), with the Sun at the origin and the $G$ alactic center to the right. B lack squares are the Ia SN R s, blue diam onds are associated with radio pulsars, green asterisks are associated with other types of neutron stars (RQNS, etc.), and the red circles are the hollow SN R s. The four lled circles are the SNRs from this paper w ith detailed analyses.
type SNR sare the sub ject ofour survey. Below we rst the status of neutron star velocities, an issue that a ect our survey design. W e then continue to describe the survey itself.

## 32. N eutron Star Velocities

Radio pulsars have high space velocities, am ong the highest in the Galaxy, as m easured from tim ing, scintillation, and interferom etry. Various authors (e.g., H ansen \& Phinney 1997; Lorim er et al. 1997; C ordes \& Chemo 1998; A rzoum anian et al 2002) havem odeled the distribution slightly di erently, but they all agree that a substantial num ber of pulsars $m$ ove w ith speeds $>300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$, while $90 \%$ have speeds $<700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$.

T he space velocities of neutron stars can also be inferred from their o sets from the centers of associated supemova rem nants. Such an approach dem ands reliable estim ates for the distance and age of the system under consideration. It also assum es that the geom etric center of a SNR is easily identi able, and that this center corresponds to the site of the supemova. $W$ ith these caveats in $m$ ind, such an analysis potentially provides a direct $m$ easurem ent of the neutron star velocity distribution, free from the $m$ any selection e ects associated w ith proper m otion studies.

Frail, G oss, \& W hiteoak (1994) carried out a detailed study of the o sets of radio pulsars from the centers of SNRs. From a sample of 15 pulsar/SNR associations, Frail et al. (1994) concluded that young radio pulsars are bom with projected space velocities ranging between $50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ and $1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$, w ith a mean of
$500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. W hile at that tim e this distribution represented a som ew hat $m$ ore rapidly $m$ oving population than that which had been inferred from proper $m$ otion studies, it agrees well w th the m ore recent determ inations discussed above.

H ow ever, the preceding discussion assum es that pulsars were bom near the centers of what are now SNRs. Som e authors dispute that this is alw ays the case (G varam adze 2002), suggesting that if the SN progenitors have large space velocities they could evacuate a bubble w ith their $w$ inds, $m$ ove tow ard the edges of those bubbles, and then explode (in a so-called o -center cavity explosion). This would $m$ ake the origins of neutron stars closer to the edges ofSNR S. W hile an interesting possibility for a few sources, the large num ber of associations w here the neutron star is close to the center of the SNR m ean that this hypothesis cannot work for the $m$ a jority of sources.

It is not yet know $n$ whether other populations of neutron star have di erent velocity distributions from that seen for radio pulsars. The location of several SGRS on or beyond the perim eters of SNRS originally led to the suggestion that SGRs had very high space velocities, V ? $10002000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$, as might result from the anisotropic neutrino em ission associated with the for$m$ ation of such highly-m agnetized ob jects (e.g., D uncan \& Thom pson 1992). H ow ever, it has since been argued that $m$ any of these $S G R / S N R$ associations are spurious (Lorim er \& X ilouris 2000; G aensler et al. 2001), in which case these inferred velocities are not valid. On the other hand, several AXPs have convincing associations with young SNRs. In all these cases, the AXP lies close to the geom etric center of its SNR, im plying pro jected space velocities for this population . $500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ (G aensler,

G otthelf, \& Vasisht 1999, G aensler et al 2001), consistent w ith the velocities of radio pulsars. The em erging and still enigm atic class of centralcom pact ob jects (C C O s) are also centrally located in young SN R s (see P avlov et al. 2002 for a review ), and m ost likely also have relatively low space velocities.

W hat little is known about the velocities of older neutron stars that are not radio pulsars roughly agrees w ith the situation for radio pulsars: the velocities are high, \& $100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. Speci cally, the velocities of the $\mathbb{N}$ Ss RX J1856.5 3754 and RX J0720.4 3125 are both $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ (K aplan, van $K$ erkw $i k$, \& A nderson 2002b, M otch, Zavlin, \& Haberl 2003).

## 4. observations and data analysis

In this section we give an overview of the analysis procedure that we used for the di erent SNR s. W e start by describing the splitting of the SNRs into observationallybased sub-sam ples, of which the Chandra ACIS subsam ple is the m a jor com ponent discussed here (x 4.1). We then describe the analysis of the C handra data that were used to identify potential com pact ob jects (x 4.2). Finally, we describe the $m$ otivation for and basic analysis of the optical and infrared follow up observations that were used to reject contam inating foreground and background $X$-ray sources ( x 4.5 ). Follow ing this section we present the actual detailed analyses of the four SN R s in this paper (x 5).

### 4.1. C handra A C IS Survey

W e de ned three sub-sam ples am ong the 23 SNRs that had no centralsources from Table 1. T he sub-sam plesw ere de ned largely by size so that the X ray observations have a good chance of identifying the central com pact souroe. O ur prim ary sub-sam ple was designed for the Chandra AC IS-I detector, with its $16^{\circ}$ eld-of-view . W e param eterize as follow s: a neutron star has a typical transverse velocity of $100 \mathrm{v}_{100} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$, distance d in kpc , and an age of $10^{4} \mathrm{t}_{4}$ yr. To ensure that a NS liesw ith in $8^{0}$ of its SNRS geom etric center (and so w ill fall on the A C IS-I array; see Figs. $2\{5$ for illustrations of the AC IS eld-of-view), we require $t_{4} \quad 2: 27 \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{v}_{100}$. For a Sedov-phase rem nant, we have $t_{4}=7 \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{dT}_{7}{ }^{1=2}$, where aram in is the SNR diam eter and $10^{7} \mathrm{~T}_{7} \mathrm{~K}$ is the shock tem perature. O ur lim it is thus $\quad 324 \mathrm{~T}_{7}^{1=2} \mathrm{~V}_{100}{ }^{1}$. W e expect $\mathrm{T}_{7} \quad 1$ for a broad range of SNR ages; given the som ew hat weak dependence on T we adopt $\mathrm{T}_{7}=1$ in this calculation. A conservative constraint on pulsar velocities is $v_{100}$ 7. This then yields the condition . 46 arom in. There are 14 SN R S that $m$ eet this criterion, of which 3 (C as A, IC 443, and $K$ epler) have already been observed by C handra. T his then leaves 11 SN R s for further C handra observations, although SNR G 327.4+ 0.4 only recently had a distance determ ination and w as not included in the originall handra sam ple. W e therefore proposed for AC IS-I observations of the 10 rem aining SNRs (identi ed by CXO-AO 3 in Tab .1 ), and w ere aw arded observations of 9 (the tenth, G 006.4 0.1, was aw arded to J. R ho in another A O -3 proposal).

W e then constructed a sam ple of the larger SNR sfor XMM, and one of the largest SNRs using the literature and the ROSAT All-Sky Survey Bright Source C atalog


Fig.2.| DRAO 1.4 GHz radio im age of SNR G $093.3+6.9$ (Landecker et al. 1999), show ing the placem ent of the A C IS-I detector.


Fig. 3. MOST (Whiteoak \& G reen 1996) 833 M Hz radio im age of SNR G 315.4 2.3, show ing the placem ent of the AC IS-I detector. The bright region tow ard the south-west is the nebula RCW 86 (R odgers, C am pbell, \& $W$ hiteoak 1960). The contours are from ROSAT PSPC data (sequence RP 500078).


Fig. 4. D RAO 1.4 G H z radio im age of SN R G 084.2 0.8 (Taylor et al. 2003), show ing the placem ent of the A C IS-I detector.


Fig. 5.| DRAO 1.4 GHz radio im age of SNR G 127.1+ 0.5, show ing the placem ent of the AC IS-I detector.
(BSC) (Voges et al 1996), coupled w ith Chandra snapshot im ages. These sam ples are identi ed by XMM -AO 2 and CXO-AO 4 in Table 1 (our XMM proposal was for seven SNRs, of which we were only allocated tim e for the three that are identi ed as \XMM -AO $2^{\prime}$ ). W e defer detailed discussion of these sam ples to later papers.

To determ ine exposure tim es for these sources, we exam ined the types of neutron stars found in the SNR s from Table 1 (see also Chakrabarty et al. 2001 and x2). These neutron stars are listed in Table 2. W e see two groups am ong them : those w ith non-therm al spectra (characterized by a power-law w ith photon index $1: 6)$ and those w ith therm al spectra (characterized by a blackbody w th tem perature $\mathrm{kT}_{1} \quad 0: 5 \mathrm{keV}$ ). Am ong the shell-type SNRs in Table 1, the therm al sources predom inate, and these also produce the low est X ray count-rates. T herefore w e com puted exposure tim es for a therm alsource w ith $\mathrm{kT}_{1}=0: 25 \mathrm{keV}$ (tow ard the low-end of those in Tab .2 ) and a bolom etric hum inosity $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{bol}}=10^{32}$ ergs $\mathrm{s}^{1}$, a factor of 10 lower than those of $m$ ost of the them al sources. For the colum $n$ densities $N_{H}$ we used the best available estim ates from the literature. For these shell SN R s, there is very little contribution from the SNR itself in the interior, especially w ith the resolution of $C$ handra, so this was not an issue, although we calculate the expected background contribution, using surface-brightnesses com piled from the literature. The exposure tim es are those that should detect a source with a prototypical spectrum but a factor of 10 less lum inous than norm alw ith a signi cance of at least 5 above the background.

### 4.2. X -ray P oint-Source D etection

To analyze the data, we rst reprocessed the raw (level 1) event data to take advantage of updates in the C handra calibration since the data were rst taken. Speci cally, the reprocessing included a correction for charge transfer inef-
ciency (C T I; T ow nsley et al. 2002) ${ }^{8}$, and we rem oved the
$0: 5$ pixel random ization added to the events. $W$ e did not include any correction for the degradation of the quantum e ciency ( $\mathrm{Q} E$ ) of the AC IS detectors ${ }^{9}$, as at no point did we do a com plete spectral analysis that would have used the available correction techniques ${ }^{10}$. These corrections arem inor ( 10\% year ${ }^{1}$ ) and are beyond our level of accuracy. W e selected only events that have the \standard" A SCA grades ( $0,2,3,4$, and 6). A fter generating a new level2 event le, we corrected the data for C handra aspect errors ${ }^{11}$ : for example, the change for SNR G $093.3+6.9$ was 0!13 in R ight A scension and 0@32 in Declination. Sm oothed im ages of the data are show $n$ in $F$ igure $6\{9$.

Starting from this level 2 event le, we processed the data and extracted souroesm uch as w as done in the $C$ handra Deep Field (CDF; B randt et al 2001) and the $82-\mathrm{ksec}$ observation of the O rion $N$ ebula (Feigelson et al 2002). First we separated the level 2 events by energy into three bands: $0.3\{2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ (L), $2.0\{8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ (H), and $0.3\{8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ (A). These bands are slightly m odi ed from those of the CDF and Orion, as our potential sources are softer. W e then separated the data by CCD, using only the four
${ }^{8}$ Follow ing http://asc harvard .edu/ciao/threads/acisapplycti/.
${ }^{9}$ See http :/ /cxcharvard .edu/cal/A cis/C al_prods/qeD eg/.
10 See http://cxc.harvard .edu/ciao/threads/apply_acisabs/.
${ }^{11}$ Follow ing http://asc harvard edu/cal/A SPECT / x_o set/ x م set.cgi.


Fig. 6. Sm oothed ACIS-I im age ( $0.3\{8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ ) of SNR G 093.3+ 6.9. N orth is up, and East is to the left. T he brightness is scaled proportional to the logarithm of the counts in $2^{00}$ bins, sm oothed with a Gaussian lter. The sources from $T$ able 4 are labeled.


Fig. 7. Sm oothed ACIS-I im age (0.3\{8.0 keV) of SNR G 315.4 2.3. N orth is up, and E ast is to the left. T he brightness is scaled proportional to the logarithm of the counts in $2^{00}$ bins, sm oothed with a Gaussian lter. The sources from Table 5 are labeled. The di use em ission to the $W$ est and South-W est is the RCW 86 complex.


Fig. 8.| Sm oothed ACIS-I im age (0.3\{8.0 keV) of SNR G 084.2 0.8. N orth is up, and E ast is to the left. T he brightness is scaled proportional to the logarithm of the counts in $2^{00}$ bins, sm oothed with a Gaussian lter. The sources from $T$ able 6 are labeled.


Fig. 9.| Sm oothed AC IS-I im age (0.3\{8.0 keV) of SNR G 127.1+ 0.5. N orth is up, and E ast is to the left. T he brightness is scaled proportional to the logarithm of the counts in $2^{00} \mathrm{bins}$, sm oothed with a Gaussian ler. The sources from $T$ able 7 are labeled.

A C IS-I C CD s. T his then gave tw elve separate event les (3 bands 4 CCD ).

For each of these event les, we generated instrum ent and exposure maps, follow ing standard CIAO threads ${ }^{12}$. C reating an exposure $m$ ap requires a source model: for this model, we assum ed a blackbody w ith a tem perature of $\mathrm{kT}_{1}=0: 25 \mathrm{keV}$ (sim ilar to that of the C as A central point source) and a colum $n$ density appropriate for each SNR (xx 5.1\{5.4).

Then, for each of the event les (now with exposure m aps), we ran wavdetect (Freem an et al. 2002), using w avelet scales of $1 ; \overline{2} ; 2 ; \overline{8} ;::: ; 16$ pixels and a nom inal energy of 1.5 keV . The signi cance threshold was $10{ }^{6}$.
$W$ e then $m$ erged the wavdetect source les for further analysis. First we merged by CCD, creating a source list for each band. Then, to $m$ erge the data from different bands (which are spatially coincident), we considered sources identical if the positions (as determ ined by wavdetect) di ered by less than $2!5$, for sources at o -axis angles of $<6^{0}$, ordi ered by less than $4^{\infty}$, for sources at o axis angles of $6^{0} . W$ hile these are large tolerances given the typical astrom etric uncertainty of wavdetect ( 096 for on-axis sources, approaching 195 for o -axis sources), the source density is so low ( $0: 2$ aram in ${ }^{2}$ for this observation) that the num ber of false $m$ atches is negligible. Even so, only 5 sources had match-radii of $>195$, and most were $<095$. A fter m anually exam ining the list of souroes, we then rem oved those that appeared spurious. W e then lim ited the source list to those sources w ith 10 counts. This lim it allows us to have enough photons that the position is well determ ined (criticalwhen trying to identify counterparts) and that an estim ate can be $m$ ade of the hardness ratio. G iven that our exposure tim es were calculated such that a low-hum inosity neutron star would be detected w ith > 25 counts, the 10-count lim it is conservative. The nalm erged source list contains $12\{18$ sources, depending on the SN R . T he sources are all consistent w ith being point-sources.

For each source, we then perform ed additional aperture photom etry. This allow ed us to (1) use know ledge of the psf size in determ ination of the source counts and (2) obtain source counts for sources that w ere detected in only one or tw o bands. T he radii of the photom etric apertures were determ ined from the analytic $t$ to the 95\% encircled energy radius given in Feigelson et al. (2002). W e $m$ easured the num ber of counts in these apertures for each band and subtracted the num ber ofcounts in a background annulus extending from $2\{3$ tim es the 95\% encircled energy radius to determ ine the net num ber of counts. To aid in com parison $w$ ith the CDF/O rion data sets, we also extracted photons in the m ore standard $0.5\{2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ band. The nalsource data are presented in Tables $4\{7$. W eplot the L boand counts vs. the H boand counts for the detected sources in $F$ igures $10\{13$.

### 42.1. N om enclature

H erein, for convenience, we label the C handra-detected $X$-ray sources by their eld identi cation | for exam ple, $\operatorname{SNR}$ G 093.3+6.9:5 refers to the 5th source in the SNR G $093.3+6.9$ eld. This is not m eant to im ply that all of these sources are associated w ith the SNRs | the vast

[^1]Table 4
X -ray Sources in SN R G 093.3+ 6.9

| $\mathrm{D}^{\text {a }}$ | CXOU J ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | (J2000) |  | $\begin{aligned} & r_{90}{ }^{c} \\ & (00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R^{d} \\ & \left({ }^{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ | C ounts ${ }_{\text {L }}$ | C ounts ${ }_{\text {H }}$ | C ounts ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C ounts } \\ (0: 5 \quad 2: 0 \mathrm{keV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{H}}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $205222.8+552343$ | 20:52:22.89 | + 55:23:43.7 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 310 (20) | 47 (7) | 360 (20) | 290 (20) | 0.73 (0.04) |
| 2 | $205230.9+551437$ | 20:52:30.98 | + 55:14:37.5 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 270 (20) | 127 (12) | 390 (20) | 260 (20) | 0.35 (0.05) |
| 4 | $205225.8+552741$ | 20:52:25.82 | + 55:27:41.6 | 1.3 | 7.4 | 47 (7) | 48 (7) | 93.9 (10.2) | 44 (7) | 0.01 (0.11) |
| 5 | $205222.0+551516$ | 20:52:22.01 | + 55:15:16.9 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 20 (5) | 13 (4) | 33 (6) | 19 (4) | 0.20 (0.18) |
| 6 | $205231.3+552031$ | 20:51:31.37 | + 55:20:31.6 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 14 (4) | 18 (4) | 32 (6) | 13 (4) | 0.13 (0.19) |
| 7 | $205250.2+551606$ | 20:52:50.20 | + 55:16:06.6 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 23 (5) | 2.2 (1.8) | 25 (5) | 22 (5) | 0.83 (0.14) |
| 8 | $205242.1+551409$ | 20:52:42.19 | + 55:14:09.8 | 1.6 | 7.5 | 17 (4) | 8.0 (3.2) | 25 (5) | 16 (4) | 0.36 (0.21) |
| 9 | $205226.4+551746$ | 20:52:36.48 | + 55:17:46.0 | 0.7 | 4.2 | 11 (3) | 7.1 (2.8) | 18 (4) | 11 (3) | 0.19 (0.24) |
| 10 | $205139.8+552553$ | 20:51:39.85 | + 55:25:53.4 | 1.7 | 7.3 | 11 (4) | 11 (3) | 22 (5) | 9.3 (3.5) | 0.02 (0.23) |
| 13 | $205242.2+552607$ | 20:52:42.26 | + 55:26:07.1 | 1.8 | 6.9 | 9.3 (3.3) | 13 (4) | 22 (5) | 9.5 (3.3) | 0.16 (0.23) |
| 14 | 205205.9+551758 | 20:52:05.96 | + 55:17:58.5 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 2.1 (1.5) | 9.2 (3.2) | 11 (3) | 2.1 (1.5) | 0.63 (0.24) |
| 15 | $205209.8+551821$ | 20:52:09.87 | + 55:18:21.2 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 7.4 (2.8) | 4.0 (2.1) | 11 (3) | 7.4 (2.8) | 0.30 (0.30) |
| 16 | $205250.0+552025$ | 20:52:50.09 | + 55:20:25.6 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 10 (3) | 1.4 (1.5) | 12 (4) | 10 (3) | 0.77 (0.24) |
| 17 | $205212.6+551854$ | 20:52:12.65 | + 55:18:54.4 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 3.2 (1.8) | 6.3 (2.6) | 10 (3) | 3.2 (1.8) | 0.33 (0.31) |
| 19 | $205236.8+551528$ | 20:52:36.89 | + 55:15:28.8 | 1.4 | 6.0 | 4.3 (2.4) | 8.4 (3.0) | 12 (4) | 3.2 (2.1) | 0.33 (0.29) |
| 24 | $205152.2+552602$ | 20:51:52.23 | + 55:26:02.7 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 7.7 (3.0) | 4.5 (2.4) | 12 (4) | 7.1 (2.8) | 0.26 (0.30) |
| 26 | $205248.3+551422$ | 20:52:48.32 | + 55:14:22.3 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 5.9 (2.8) | 5.3 (2.6) | 12 (4) | 5.9 (2.8) | 0.05 (0.34) |
| 30 | $205129.7+551548$ | 20:51:29.72 | + 55:15:48.5 | 3.0 | 7.9 | 5.1 (2.6) | 9.0 (3.3) | 14 (4) | 4.0 (2.4) | 0.28 (0.29) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Internal identi er of the form SNR G 093.3+6.9 N .
${ }^{\text {b }}$ O cial IA U name.
${ }^{c}$ A pproxim ate $90 \%$ con dence radius.
${ }^{d}$ A ngle from the center of the SNR.
${ }^{e} H$ ardness ratio, com puted according to $\left(C_{H} C C_{L}\right)=\left(C_{H}+C_{L}\right)$, where $C$ is the num ber of counts in a band.

Note.| Q uantities in parentheses are 1- uncertainties. Here and in Tables $5\left\{7, \mathrm{C}\right.$ ounts $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{C}$ ounts $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{C}$ ounts $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}$, but the three colum ns have been rounded separately.

Table 5
X -ray Sources in SNR G 315.42 .3

| $\mathrm{ID}^{\text {a }}$ | CXOU J ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | (J2000) |  | $\begin{aligned} & r_{90}{ }^{c} \\ & \left({ }^{00}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R^{d} \\ & \left({ }^{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ | C ountsi | C ounts ${ }_{\text {H }}$ | C ountsA | $\begin{gathered} \text { C ounts } \\ (0: 5 \quad 2: 0 \mathrm{keV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{H}}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 144319.3 | 622804 | $14: 43: 19.31$ | 62:28:04.2 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 20 (5) | 25 (5) | 45 (7) | 19 (4) | 0.11 (0.15) |
| 2 | 144333.7 | 622928 | 14:43:33.74 | 62:29:28.2 | 1.1 | 5.2 | 20 (5) | 1.6 (1.5) | 22 (5) | 20 (5) | 0.85 (0.13) |
| 3 | 144151.5 | 622833 | $14: 41: 51.59$ | $62: 28: 33.5$ | 2.0 | 6.8 | 11 (4) | 10 (3) | 22 (5) | 12 (4) | 0.05 (0.23) |
| 5 | 144219.5 | 622834 | $14: 42: 19.54$ | $62: 28: 34.5$ | 1.1 | 3.5 | 2.9 (1.8) | 8.4 (3) | 11 (3) | 2.9 (1.8) | 0.49 (0.28) |
| 6 | 144320.6 | 623308 | 14:43:20.63 | 62:33:08.6 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 12 (4) | 0.5 (0.2) | 11 (4) | 12 (4) | 1.09 (0.04) |
| 8 | 144341.0 | 623138 | 14:43:41.05 | 62:31:38.3 | 1.9 | 6.8 | 13 (4) | 2.4 (1.8) | 16 (4) | 14 (4) | 0.69 (0.21) |
| 9 | 144346.3 | 622413 | 14:43:46.37 | $62: 24: 13.1$ | 3.4 | 7.7 | 16 (5) | 2.2 (1.8) | 16 (5) | 14 (4) | 0.77 (0.19) |
| 12 | 144315.3 | 622128 | 14:43:15.36 | 62:21:28.8 | 1.6 | 7.5 | 8.0 (3.2) | 6.1 (2.8) | 14 (4) | 7.2 (3) | 0.13 (0.30) |
| 13 | 144350.4 | 623040 | 14:43:50.45 | $62: 30: 40.0$ | 2.2 | 7.4 | 12 (4) | 3.2 (2.1) | 15 (4) | 12 (4) | 0.57 (0.25) |
| 17 | 144322.1 | 623219 | 14:43:22.12 | 62:32:19.3 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 4.3 (2.4) | 10 (3) | 15 (4) | 4.3 (2.4) | 0.41 (0.27) |
| 19 | 144210.9 | 622202 | 14:42:10.94 | 62:22:02 . 8 | 2.7 | 7.7 | 10 (4) | 3.5 (2.1) | 14 (4) | 8.8 (3.3) | 0.50 (0.26) |
| 21 | 144252.1 | 622107 | 14:42:52.16 | $62: 21: 07.1$ | 2.4 | 7.2 | 10 (4) | 5.8 (2.6) | 17 (4) | 9.8 (3.5) | 0.28 (0.26) |
| 23 | 144213.1 | 623220 | 14:42:13.16 | 62:32:20.4 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 9.2 (3.2) | 3.7 (2.1) | 12 (4) | 9.0 (3.2) | 0.43 (0.27) |
| 26 | 144207.1 | 622204 | 14:42:07.16 | $62: 22: 04.3$ | 3.8 | 8.0 | 7.5 (3.2) | 4.0 (2.4) | 12 (4) | 8.0 (3.2) | 0.30 (0.33) |
| 28 | 144320.0 | 622138 | 14:43:20.06 | $62: 21: 38.7$ | 1.9 | 7.5 | 11 (4) | 0.6 (1.5) | 12 (4) | 11 (4) | 0.90 (0.24) |

${ }^{a}$ Internal identi er of the form SNR G 315.4 2.3:N .
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ O cial IA U name.
${ }^{c}$ A pproxim ate $90 \%$ con dence radius.
${ }^{d}$ A ngle from the center of the SNR.
${ }^{e} H$ ardness ratio, com puted according to $\left(C_{H} \quad C_{L}\right)=\left(C_{H}+C_{L}\right)$, where $C$ is the num ber of counts in a band.

Note.| Q uantities in parentheses are 1- uncertainties.

Table 6
X -ray Sources in SNR G $084.2 \quad 0.8$

| ID ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Cxou ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | (J2000) |  | $\begin{aligned} & r_{90}{ }^{c} \\ & (00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R^{\mathrm{R}} \\ & \left.\mathrm{l}^{0}{ }^{( }\right) \end{aligned}$ | C ountsi | C ounts ${ }_{\text {H }}$ | C ounts ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C ounts } \\ (0: 5 \quad 2: 0 \mathrm{keV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{H}}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 205328.9+432659 | 20:53:28.96 | + 43:26:59.1 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 40 (6) | 0.3 (0.1) | 40 (6) | 38 (6) | 1.01 (0.01) |
| 2 | 205357.9+432459 | 20:53:57.92 | + 43:24:59.3 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 5.9 (2.8) | 37 (6) | 44 (7) | 7.2 (3) | 0.73 (0.12) |
| 3 | $205349.1+432551$ | $20: 53: 49.18$ | + 43:25:51.2 | 0.9 | 5.1 | 11 (3) | 22 (5) | 33 (6) | 11 (3) | 0.33 (0.17) |
| 4 | $205250.5+433030$ | 20:52:50.55 | + 43:30:30.0 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 27 (5) | 4.5 (2.4) | 31 (6) | 27 (5) | 0.71 (0.14) |
| 5 | $205352.0+422809$ | 20:53:52.03 | + 43:28:09.7 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 6.1 (2.6) | 14 (4) | 20 (5) | 5.8 (2.6) | 0.40 (0.21) |
| 6 | $205306.9+423259$ | 20:53:06.89 | + 43:32:59.7 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 0.5 (0.2) | 27 (6) | 26 (6) | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.04 (0.02) |
| 7 | $205248.2+433214$ | 20:52:48.25 | + 43:32:14.0 | 2.0 | 7.9 | 4.3 (2.4) | 23 (5) | 26 (6) | 4.0 (2.4) | 0.69 (0.16) |
| 10 | $205351.7+432537$ | 20:53:51.76 | + 43:25:37.9 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 1.7 (1.8) | 14 (4) | 16 (4) | 1.7 (1.8) | 0.79 (0.21) |
| 11 | 205317.6+432206 | 20:53:17.66 | + 43:22:06.8 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 0.3 (1.1) | 13 (4) | 13 (4) | 0.3 (1.1) | 0.95 (0.16) |
| 12 | $205353.1+432751$ | 20:53:53.13 | + 43:27:51.5 | 1.1 | 5.7 | 8.7 (3.2) | 4.0 (2.4) | 13 (4) | 8.7 (3.2) | 0.37 (0.30) |
| 14 | $205302.8+433207$ | 20:53:02.87 | + 43:32:07.6 | 1.5 | 5.9 | 12 (4) | 2.4 (1.8) | 13 (4) | 12 (4) | 0.67 (0.23) |
| 15 | $205306.5+432822$ | 20:53:06.50 | + 43:28:22.2 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 4.2 (2.1) | 11 (3) | 16 (4) | 4.2 (2.1) | 0.46 (0.23) |
| 16 | $205335.6+433427$ | 20:53:35.66 | + 43:34:27.6 | 1.9 | 7.6 | 14 (4) | 0.4 (1.9) | 12 (4) | 9.3 (3.5) | 0.94 (0.26) |
| 18 | $205346.0+433345$ | 20:53:46.04 | + 43:33:45.7 | 2.4 | 7.8 | 0.4 (1.5) | 20 (5) | 20 (5) | 0.1 (1.5) | 1.04 (0.16) |
| 22 | $205242.6+432451$ | 20:52:42.61 | + 43:24:51.0 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 9.9 (3.7) | 4.9 (2.8) | 15 (5) | 8.3 (3.3) | 0.34 (0.30) |
| 23 | $205332.2+432355$ | 20:53:32.27 | + 43:23:55.7 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 0.3 (0.1) | 17 (4) | 17 (4) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.03 (0.02) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Internal identi er of the form SNR G $084.20 .8: N$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{O}$ cial IA U name.
${ }^{c}$ A pproxim ate $90 \%$ con dence radius.
${ }^{d}$ Angle from the center of the SNR.
${ }^{e} H$ ardness ratio, com puted according to ( $\left.C_{H} C L\right)=\left(C_{H}+C_{L}\right)$, where $C$ is the number of counts in a band.

Note. Q uantities in parentheses are 1- uncertainties.

Table 7
X -ray Sources in SNR G 127.1+ 0.5

| $\mathrm{D}^{\text {a }}$ | CxOU ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | (J2000) |  | $\begin{aligned} & r_{90}{ }^{c} \\ & (00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R^{d}{ }^{d} \\ & \left({ }^{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ | C ountsi | C ounts ${ }_{\text {H }}$ | C ountsA | C ounts ${ }_{0}$ :5 $2: 0 \mathrm{keV}$ | $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{H}}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 012830.6+630629 | 01:28:30.64 | + 63:06:29.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 205 (15) | 580 (20) | 780 (30) | 182 (14) | 0.48 (0.03) |
| 3 | 012736.6+630345 | 01:27:36.60 | + 63:03:45.5 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 27 (5) | 40 (7) | 68 (9) | 25 (5) | 0.19 (0.13) |
| 4 | 012807.7+630150 | 01:28:07.72 | + 63:01:50.5 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 16 (4) | 25 (5) | 41 (7) | 17 (4) | 0.21 (0.16) |
| 5 | 012842.3+630825 | 01:28:42.31 | + 63:08:25.6 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 9.5 (3.2) | 21 (5) | 32 (6) | 8.4 (3) | 0.38 (0.17) |
| 8 | 012925.4+630535 | 01:29:25.43 | + 63:05:35.3 | 1.7 | 6.1 | 11 (4) | 15 (4) | 26 (5) | 9.3 (3.3) | 0.17 (0.21) |
| 12 | 012910.8+631014 | 01:29:10.86 | + 63:10:14.8 | 1.6 | 5.7 | 10 (3) | 8.5 (3.2) | 20 (5) | 11 (3) | 0.10 (0.25) |
| 13 | 012813.9+630621 | 01:28:13.91 | + 63:06:21.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 5.3 (2.4) | 11 (3) | 17 (4) | 6.3 (2.6) | 0.33 (0.24) |
| 14 | 012755.2+630141 | 01:27:55.23 | + 63:01:41.6 | 1.5 | 6.4 | 12 (4) | 19 (5) | 33 (6) | 7.9 (3) | 0.23 (0.18) |
| 15 | $012837.8+630602$ | 01:28:37.83 | + 63:06:02.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.9 (1.8) | 10 (3) | 13 (4) | 2.9 (1.8) | 0.56 (0.24) |
| 17 | 012735.7+630241 | 01:27:35.75 | + 63:02:41.6 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 2.0 (2.1) | 17 (4) | 19 (5) | 0.1 (1.5) | 0.79 (0.21) |
| 18 | 012917.4+630242 | 01:29:17.42 | + 63:02:42 . 3 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 9.5 (3.3) | 10 (4) | 20 (5) | 7.7 (3) | 0.03 (0.25) |
| 22 | $012801.8+631244$ | 01:28:01.83 | + 63:12:44.5 | 2.0 | 7.1 | 6.4 (2.8) | 5.9 (3) | 12 (4) | 5.3 (2.6) | 0.04 (0.33) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Intermal identi er of the form SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 :N .
${ }^{\text {b }}$ O cial IA U name.
${ }^{\text {c A pproxim }}$ ate $90 \%$ con dence radius.
${ }^{d}$ A ngle from the center of the SNR.
${ }^{e}{ }_{H}$ ardness ratio, com puted according to ( $\left.C_{H} \quad C L\right)=\left(C_{H}+C_{L}\right)$, where $C$ is the number of counts in a band.
Note.| $Q$ uantities in parentheses are 1- uncertainties.
m a jority are not. T hese are $m$ eant to be intemaldesignations only and do not replace the o cialIA $U$ nam es of the form CXOU JHHMMSSS DDMMSS, whereHHMMSS.s represents the R ight A scension and D DM M SS represents the $D$ eclination. $W$ hen detailing the source identi cations (Tabs. 4\{7) we give both the intemal and o cial nam es, but in the rest ofthis paperwe use only the intemalnam es. $W$ hen initially identifying $X$-ray sources w e num bered then consecutively, but the num bers in Tables $4\{7$ are no longer consecutive as we have rem oved sources at radii> $8^{\circ}$ and $w$ th few er than 10 counts ( x 42 ).

### 4.3. X -ray E xtended-Source D etection

N o extended sourcesw ere detected during the wavdetect runs, w ith a maxim um wavelet scale of 16 pixels or $8^{\infty}$. We also $m$ anually exam ined the $X$-ray im ages for sources $w$ ith larger sizes and did not nd any (there is som e extended em ission in SNR G 315.42 .3 tow ard the south and west, but that is alm ost certainly due to di use them alem ission from the SNR/RCW 86 complex as it has the sam e general spectrum ; see $F$ ig. 3).

To quantify the lim its on extended em ission, we deter$m$ ine the average background counts in a region free from sources. These counts are presented in Table 8, where we also nd the 3 lim its to extended em ission.

### 4.4. X -ray Tim ing

Them a jority of the detected souroes have too few photons for $m$ eaningful analyses of their variability. W e can perform som e analysis, though, w ith the brightest sources (we set a lim it of 100 counts for a lightcurve, which


Fig. 10.| L-band count vs. H boand counts for SN R G 093.3+ 6.9. $T$ he sources from $T$ able 4 are shown in green and are numbered. M odel spectra (com puted using PIMMS) with $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}=210^{21} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{2}$ are plotted as diagonal lines: pow er-law models as red dash-dotted lines ( is as indicated) and blackbody $m$ odels as blue dashed lines $\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}\right.$ is as indicated). The solid thick black line represents the $m$ edian spectrum from the CDF/O rion studies, $w$ ith the dashed thick black lines show ing the 25-and 75-percentile spectra.


Fig. 11.| L-band count vs. H boand counts for SNR G 315.4 2.3. $T$ he sources from T able 5 are show n in green and are num bered. $M$ odel spectra (com puted using PIMMS) w ith $N_{H}=210^{21} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{2}$ are plotted as diagonal lines: pow er-law models as red dash-dotted lines ( is as indicated) and blackbody $m$ odels as blue dashed lines ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is as indicated). The solid thick black line represents the $m$ edian spectrum from the CDF/O rion studies, $w$ ith the dashed thick black lines show ing the 25 - and 75 -percentile spectra.


Fig. 12.| L-band count vs. H boand counts for SNR G 084.2 0.8. $T$ he sources from $T$ able 6 are shown in green and are num bered. M odel spectra (com puted using PIMMS) with $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}=210^{21} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{2}$ are plotted as diagonal lines: pow er-law models as red dash-dotted lines ( is as indicated) and blackbody m odels as blue dashed lines ( $\mathrm{kT}_{1}$ is as indicated). The solid thick black line represents the $m$ edian spectrum from the CDF/O rion studies, with the dashed thick black lines show ing the 25-and 75-percentile spectra.

Table 8
Lim its to Extended X -ray Em ission in SNR G G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G $084.2+0.8$, \& G 127.1+0.5

| SNR | BG Counts <br> ( $10^{2} \operatorname{arcsec}^{2}$ ) | C ount Lim its ( $10{ }^{2}$ ) | L Lim its ( ${ }^{2} 10^{28}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ ) | $\mathrm{L}\left(=1^{0}\right) \mathrm{L}$ im its ( $10^{32}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G $093.3+6.9$ | 1.75 (1) | $6^{2}+70$ | 8 | 3 |
| G 315.42 .3 | 2.10 (2) | $7^{2}+70$ | 10 | 4 |
| G $084.2+0.8$ | 2.34 (2) | $6^{2}+70$ | 10 | 4 |
| G 127.1+ 0.5 | 2.03 (2) | $7^{2}+80$ | 1 | $0: 3$ |

N ote.| is the radius of the extended region in arcseconds. The lim its assum e uniform weighting over, and are at the 3 level. The counts are in the $0.3\{8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ range. The lum inosity lim its assum e a power-law with $=1: 5$.


Fig. 13.| L-band count vs. H boand counts for SN R G 127.1+ 0.5. The sources from T able 7 are shown in green and are numbered. M odel spectra (com puted using PIMMS) with $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}=210^{21} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{2}$ are plotted as diagonal lines: pow er-law models as red dash-dotted lines ( is as indicated) and blackbody $m$ odels as blue dashed lines ( $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ is as indicated). The solid thick black line represents the m edian spectrum from the CDF/O rion studies, with the dashed thick black lines show ing the 25-and 75-percentile spectra.
elim inated all of the souroes in SNRs G 315.42 .3 and G $0842+0.8$ ). In $F$ igure 14 we show $X$-ray lightcurves for the two sources in SNR G $093.3+6.9$ with > 100 counts, SN R G 093.3+6.9:1 and SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:2. The lightcurve for SNR G 093.3+6.9:2 is quite constant, but that for SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:1 has a signi cant are lasting 1:5 hr. Searches for periodic variation, how ever, show ed nothing. $W$ th the $32-s$ sam pling of ACIS-I we were unable to search for rapid variabillity, but the low count-rates m ade that im possible anyw ay. W e took the barycentered arrivaltim e data for SNR G 093.3+6.9:1 and SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:2 and perform ed FFTsw th the data binned into 4-s intervals. N o signi cant peaks in the periodogram were found over the frequency range $0.01\{0.12 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

In Figure 15 we show the $X$ ray lightcurve for the only source in SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 that has > 100 counts: SNR G 127.1+0.5:1. The lightcurve is consistent with a constant ux.

### 4.5. M ulti-w avelength Followup \& C ounterpart Identi cation

### 4.5.1. M otivation

A fter identifying $X$-ray sources $w$ th $C$ handra (or XMM), the question is then to determ ine which, if any, are the com pact rem nants of the SNRs. W e have used source-count statistics (B randt et al. 2001; M ushotzky et al. 2000; Feigelson et al 2002) to estim ate the num ber


Fig. 14.| B ackground-subtracted X-ray lightcurves of sources in SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 w ith > 100 counts. Left: SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:1; right: SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:2. T he dashed red line is $0.3\{2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ ( $\mathrm{L}-$ band), the dot-dashed blue line is $2.0\{8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ ( H -band) and the solid black line is $0.3\{8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ (A boand). A are lasting 6000 s and with an am plitude change of $500 \%$ is present in the lightcurve of SNR G 093.3+6.9:1.
of foreground/background sources given the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and diffuse SNR background tow ard each target | we expect to detect $10\{50$ eld souroes tow ard each SNR in the CXO A O 3 sam ple. M ost of these sources w ill be detected with few counts ( $10\{30$ ), and will therefore not be suitable for $X$-ray spectroscopy that could, of itself, determ ine which are neutron stars. Because of their sm all $X$ tray countrates, weeding out interlopers requires $m$ ulti-w avelength observations.

Isolated neutron stars have high $X$-ray to optical ux ratios (H ullem an, van K erkwijk, \& K ulkami2000) | deep optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ im aging is therefore an e cient way to identify background souroes. We thus follow our X-ray observationsw ith successively deeper optical and $\mathbb{R}$ observations, identifying progressively fainter counterparts as we go.

Interloper sources, on the other hand, typically have $m$ uch brighter optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ countenparts ( H ertz \& G rindlay 1988; G rindlay et al 2003). In the G alactic plane, the m a jority of sources are either nearby bright stars or active late-type stars. O ther possible sources are RS CVn binaries, $X$-ray binaries, or cataclysm ic variables. T he extragalactic sources are usually A G N or star-form ing galaxies, although som e nearby spiral galaxies are also detectable (e.g., B arger et al 2003). Stars with spectral types A \{ $M$ can have som e detectable X -ray em ission (largely dependent on rotation and $m$ agnetic elds) that appears as a hot ( $5\left\{7 \quad 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}\right.$ ) plasm a ( K atsova \& C herepashchuk 2000). X -ray binaries usually have harder spectra characterized by pow er-law sw ith indioes $1: 5\{2$ (G rindlay et al 2003). Stars are unresolved optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ souroes, but for nearby binaries the $X$-ray em ission $m$ ay not lie exactly coincident $w$ th the optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ em ission (if, for exam ple, the opticalem ission is from the stars but the $X$-ray em ission is from interacting coronae betw een the stars) and the binary $m$ em bers $m$ ay be $m$ erged to give the appearance of an extended sources. The extragalactic souroes also have hard spectra w ith power-law indices 1:5\{2 (Bauer et al. 2002), and are optically fainter ( $\mathrm{R} \& 18$ ). W hile the nuclei of these galaxies are unresolved, the closer galaxies


Fig. 15.| B ackground-subtracted $X$-ray lightcurve of SNR G 127.1+ 0.5:1. The dashed red line is $0.3\{2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ ( L -band), the dot-dashed blue line is $2.0\{8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ ( H -band) and the solid black line is $0.3\{8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ (A-band).
can have resolved optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ em ission.
$T$ here are a sm all num ber of galaxies that have som ewhat extrem e $X$-ray-to-optical ux ratios. In a sam ple of 503 X -ray sources over 448 arcm in $^{2}$, K oekem oer et al. (2004) have identi ed a few sources w ith X ray-to-optical ( 850 nm ) ux ratios exceeding 100, including 7 sources w ithout 850 nm detections. W hile the identities are unclear, they suggest that these galaxies have such faint optical counterparts through a com bination of intrinsic reddening and high redshiff. H ow ever, these galaxies should not signi cantly im pact our sam ple. W em ight expect 1 \{3 of these sources in each of our C handra im ages, but even w ithout optical detections we should be able to identify these as galaxies in the near-infrared, as allbut one of the sources w ere detected at $K_{s}$ band (w here w e have our deepest observations) and the $X$-ray spectra are harder than those ofm ost neutron stars (also see G andhiet al. 2003). In addition, these galaxies appear to just be the tail end of the $X$-ray-to-optical ux ratio distribution, $w$ ith $m$ ost neutron stars being signi cantly higher.

### 4.52. Execution

Therefore, after the detection of the X -ray souroes we obtained progressively deeper optical and $\mathbb{R}$ data of the SNR elds. Starting w ith sm all telescopes (P alom ar 60inch, LasC am panas 40-inch) wem oved to largertelescopes (Palom ar 200-inch, N T T , M agellan, and nally K eck) as we identi ed counterparts to $m$ ore and $m$ ore of the $X$-ray sources (see below). The details of the optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ observations are in Tables 9\{12.

We began by registering all of the data for a SNR (we also included 2 M A SS J -and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$-band im ages) to the sam e fram $e$, and then searched for optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ countenparts to the X -ray sources using sextractor (Bertin \& A mouts 1996). W e considered a source as a potential counterpart if it was w thin the $90 \%$ con dence radius of the $X$-ray source com bined w ith a 0.2 uncertainty for the optical astrom etry. W e also inspected all $X$-ray sources to see if there was an optical source at the edge of the error circle, if there were multiple sources, etc. We then deter$m$ ined the positions and $m$ agnitudes of all of the detected sources, which we list in Table $13\{16$. The positions in Tables $13\{16$ are the averages of the positions for all im ages where the source w as detected, except for sources that were saturated in several bands but which had unam biguous 2M A SS detections, where we used the 2M A SS position alone. For sources that had $m$ ultiple detections in the sam e band but by di erent instrum ents (e.g. C 40 and EM M IR -band), we used the detection that had the highest $S / \mathrm{N}$ w ithout being saturated. W e show postage-stam $p$ im ages of the optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ countenparts to the $X$-ray sources in $F$ igures $16\{18$ (for $S N R$ G 093.3+6.9), $F$ igures $19\{21$ (for SNR G 315.4 2.3), $F$ igures $22\{24$ (for SNR G 0842 0.8), and $F$ igures $25\{26$ (for SNR G127.1+0.5). T he instrum ent ( s )/band ( s ) chosen for each source are those that best ilhustrate the detection.

To determ ine lim iting magnitudes, we sim ulated G aussian stars w the sam eFW HM as the average seeing for the im age. W e placed the stars random ly in regions that w ere not too crow ded, sim ilar to the regions w here the X ray souroes actually w ere, and determ ined the 3 lim iting ux by perform ing photom etry (again using sextractor) on the stars.

Table 9
O ptical/R Observations of SNR G 093.3+6.9

| Telescope | Instrum ent | B and (s) | D ate <br> (U T ) | Seeing <br> (arcsec) | Exposure <br> (sec) | Sources $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{a}$ Indicates which sources from $T$ able 4 were on which im ages. $N$ egative num bers indicate that all sources but the negated one(s) were on the im age.

N ote.| The telescopes/instrum ents used were P 60C CD : the CCD cam era on the Palom ar 60-inch; P60IR : the In frared cam era on the Palom ar 60-inch (M unphy et al 1995); LFC : the Large Form at Cam era on the Palom ar 200-inch; LR IS: the Low Resolution Im aging Spectrom eter on the 10-m K eck I telescope ( O ke et al. 1995); N $\mathbb{R} C$ : the $N$ ear-Infrared C am era on the $10-\mathrm{m}$ K eck I telescope ( $M$ atthew $S$ \& Soifer 1994); ESI: the E chellette Spectrograph and Im ager on the10-m K eck II telescope (Sheinis et al. 2002); W $\mathbb{R} C$ : the $W$ ide- eld In frared C am era on the Palom ar 200-inch.

Table 10
Optical/R Observations of SNR G 315.42 .3

| Telescope | Instrum ent | B and (s) | D ate <br> (U T ) | Seeing <br> (arcsec) | Exposure <br> (sec) | Sources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Indicates which sources from $T$ able 5 were on which im ages. $N$ egative num bers indicate that all sources but the negated one (s) were on the im age.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ O bserved by M. van K erkw ijk.

N ote. The telescopes/instrum ents used were C 40CCD : the direct-im aging CCD cam era on the Las Cam panas 40-inch; EMM I: the ESO M ulti-M ode Instrum ent (red im aging arm only) on the 3.5 m New Technology Telescope (NTT) at La Silla; M agIC: Raym ond and B everly Sackler M agellan Instant C am era on the 6.5 m C lay (M agellan II) telescope; PA N IC : Persson's A uxiliary $N$ asm yth Infrared $C$ am era on the $6.5-\mathrm{m}$ C lay ( $M$ agellan II) telescope.

Table 11
Optical/R Observations of SNR G 084.20 .8

| Telescope | Instrum ent | B and (s) | D ate <br> (U T ) | Seeing <br> (arcsec) | Exposure <br> (sec) | Sources $^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P 200 | LFC | $r^{0}$ | $2002-0$ ct-07 | 1.5 | 2730 | all |
| P 200 | W IRC | $J$ | $2002-\mathrm{N}$ ov-01 | 1.2 | 4500 | all |
| K eck I | N IR C | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $2002-\mathrm{N}$ ov-01 | 0.9 | 2640 | all |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Indicates which sources from Table 6 were on which im ages. $N$ egative num bers indicate that all sources but the negated one(s) were on the im age.

N ote.| The telescopes/instrum ents used follow from Table 9.

Table 12
Optical/R Observations of SNR G 127.1+0.5

| Telescope | Instrum ent | $B$ and (s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D ate } \\ & \text { (UT ) } \end{aligned}$ | Seeing (arcsec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E xposure } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Sources ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P 60 | P60CCD | R | 2001-Jul-23 | 1.9 | 3900 | 22 |
|  |  | I | 2001-Jul-23 | 1.5 | 300 | 22 |
| P 200 | LFC | $\mathrm{r}^{0}$ | 2002-0 ct-07 | 1.9 | 2700 | all |
| P 200 | W $\mathbb{R} C$ | J | 2002-0 ct-28 | 0.9 | 4050 | all |
|  |  | K s | 2002-0 ct-28 | 0.8 | 2700 | all |
| K eck I | N $\mathbb{R}$ C | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {s }}$ | 2003-A ug-11 | 0.6 | 900 | 3,5,13,22 |

[^2]N ote.| The telescopes/instrum ents used follow from Table 9.

W e de ne optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ uxes using the zero-point calibrations of Bessell, C astelli, \& P lez (1998), where $F(m)=F_{0} 10^{m=2: 5}$ and $F_{0}=\left(2: 0 \quad 10^{5} ; 1: 4 \quad 10^{5} ; 9: 0\right.$ $10^{6} ; 8: 7 \quad 10^{7}$ ) ergs $s^{1} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ for ( $\mathrm{V} ; \mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ), respectively. W e also use the reddening coe cients of Bessell et al. (1998), such that $A=A_{V}=(1: 0 ; 0: 82 ; 0: 62 ; 0: 11)$ again for ( $\mathrm{V} ; \mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ), respectively.

### 4.5.3. C ounterpart E valuation

It is certainly possible that there w illbe an unrelated optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ source in the error circle of an $X$-ray source (e.g., K aplan, K ulkami, \& M urray 2001), especially if the X ray sources are $o$-axis and/or have few counts, and therefore have large position uncertainties. There is no entirely accurate way to prevent this from happening and leading to the false association of what is actually a neutron star w th another source, which would cause us to reject the neutron star from our sam ple.

However, there are ways that we can guard against this and inconporate our uncertainties into our lim its. If enough is known about the optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ source (e.g. colors), it is possible to determ ine what the source is ( K a plan et al 2001) and thereby assign som e likelihood to its association w ith an $X$-ray source. $T$ his is not alw ays possible for the sources in our sample as the inform ation is often lim ited to optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ detections in one or tw o bands (Tabs. 13\{16). Sim ilarly, for the few sources w thenough $X$-ray counts a determ ination can bem adebased on the X ray spectrum (or just hardness) and lightcurve (Fig. 14). For the $m$ a jority of the sources, though, we m ust exam ine them relative to the sources in other sam ples, speci cally the CDF and Orion sam ples ( $m$ any of the stars in the O rion sam ple are younger than the general G alactic population, but they do cover a w ide range of stellar m asses) . For this reason we plot the optical/ $\mathbb{R}$-to-X -ray ux ratio against $X$-ray ux in $F$ igures $27\{30$ (we com pute the $X$-ray ux from the observed count rate by using conversion factors determ ined from W 3P $\mathbb{I M}$ M S for a blackbody w th $\mathrm{kT}_{1}=0.25 \mathrm{keV}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}$ appropriate for each SNR ; $a$ change to a pow er-law w th photon index of 1.5 raises the im plied uxes by a factor of 2 ). Sources that fall in the locide ned by the other sam ples are likely to be of sim ilar
type. This is of course not a de nitive assignm ent, but it should work $m$ ost of the tim e. For instance, if we had associated Star A from K aplan et al. (2001) w th the X -ray ux from CXO J232327.8+584842 (the central source in C as A), it w ould appear in Figure 27 w ith the sam e X -ray ux as the com pact source in C as A and < 1 m agnitude brighter than the lim it we have plotted. In other words, it w ould be far outside the O rion/CDF loci. This argum ent, that Star A could not be the source of the $X$-rays from CXO J232327.8+584842 just on the basis of its X ray-tooptical ux ratio, was m ade by $K$ aplan et al. (2001), who then follow ed it up by a detailed analysis of $m$ ulti-band photom etry of Star A (see also Fesen et al. 2002).


Fig. 16.| Optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ im ages of counterparts to $X$-ray sources in SNR G 093.3+6.9. North is up, E ast is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left comer.


Fig. 17.| O ptical/ $\mathbb{R}$ im ages of counterparts to $X$-ray sources in SNR G $093.3+6.9$ (cont.). N orth is up, E ast is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left comer.


Fig. 18.| Optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ im ages of counterparts to X -ray sources in SNR G $093.3+6.9$ (cont.). N orth is up, E ast is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left comer.

 the uperarese omen


Fig. 20.| O ptical/ $\mathbb{R}$ im ages of counterparts to X -ray sources in SNR G 315.42 .3 (cont.). N orth is up, E ast is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left comer.


Fig. 21.| O ptical/ $\mathbb{R}$ im ages of counterparts to X -ray sources in SNR G 315.42 .3 (cont.). N orth is up, E ast is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left comer.


Fig. 22. O ptical/IR im ages of counterparts to X ray sources in SNR G 084.2 0.8. N orth is up, E ast is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left comer


Fig. 23.| O ptical/ $\mathbb{R}$ im ages of counterparts to X -ray sources in SNR G 084.2 0.8 (cont.). N orth is up, E ast is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left comer.


Fig. 24. O ptical/ $\mathbb{R}$ im ages of counterparts to X -ray sources in SNR G 08420.8 (cont.). N orth is up, E ast is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left comer.


Fig. 25.| O ptical/IR im ages of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G127.1+0.5. N orth is up, E ast is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left corner


Fig. 26. O ptical/ $\mathbb{R}$ im ages of counterparts to X-ray sources in SNR G127.1+0.5 (cont.). N orth is up, E ast is to the left, and a scale-bar is in the upper-left comer

Table 13
Optical/IR M atches to X-ray Sources in SNR G 093.3+6.9

| $\mathrm{D}^{\text {a }}$ | b (J2000) |  | $\begin{gathered} r^{c} \\ (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{gathered}$ | (mag) |  |  |  |  |  | J | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \mathrm{N}$ < | $\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20:52:22.90 | + 55:23:43.7 | 0.1 | 13.34 (7) | 13.16 (3) | 12.76 (3) | 12.535 (10) | sat | sat | 11.172 (9) | 10.859 (11) | $0: 01$ |
| 2 | 20:52:31.01 | + 55:14:37.6 | 0.3 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | 20.74 (5) | 19.52 (4) | 22.61 (10) | 21.05 (6) | > 15.8 | 15.52 (1) | $0: 01$ |
| 4 | 20:52:25.83 | + 55:27:41.7 | 0.1 |  |  | 23.30 (11) | ) 21.60 (10) | ) $>24.0$ | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | . 17.46 (17) | < 0:01 |
| 5 | 20:52:22.01 | + 55:15:16.9 | 0.1 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | > 25.0 | > 20.7 | > 26.5 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | 20.1 (4) | 0:01 |
| 6 | 20:51:31.33 | + 55:20:31.4 | 0.4 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | > 22.3 | > 20.7 | > 24.0 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | 16.1 (4) < | 0:01 |
| 7 | 20:52:50.22 | + 55:16:06.7 | 0.2 | 17.67 (7) | 16.23 (3) | 15.18 (3) | 13.857 (10) | sat | sat | 12.363 (16) | ) $11.475(16)<$ | 0:01 |
| 8 | 20:52:42.13 | + 55:14:10.7 | 1.1 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | > 22.3 | 23.43 (13) | > 26.1 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | 18.9 (1) | 0.06 |
| 9 | 20:52:36.48 | + 55:17:45.8 | 0.2 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | > 25.1 | > 20.7 | > 26.1 | > 25.1 | > 15.8 | $22.3(4)<$ | 0:01 |
| 10 | 20:51:39.85 | + 55:25:53.1 | 0.3 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | 22.99 (11) | 21.36 (10) | > 24.0 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | 17.45 (11) < | 0:01 |
| 13 | 20:52:42.23 | + 55:26:07.4 | 0.4 |  |  | 22.5 (5) |  |  |  |  | > $15.8 \quad 17.44$ (6) | < 0:01 |
| 14 | 20:52:05.98 | + 55:17:58.6 | 0.2 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | 22.72 (6) | > 20.7 | > 26.3 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | 17.04 (5) | $0: 01$ |
| 15 | 20:52:09.83 | + 55:18:21.4 | 0.4 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | > 22.3 | > 20.7 | > 26.3 | > 25.2 | > 15.8 | 20.2 (4) | 0.01 |
| 16 | 20:52:50.16 | + $55: 20: 25.3$ | 0.7 | 17.63 (7) | 16.22 (3) | 15.49 (3) | 14.732 (10) | sat | sat | 13.61 (3) | 13.02 (3) < | 0:01 |
| 17 | 20:52:12.66 | + 55:18:54.6 | 0.2 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | > 25.2 | > 20.7 | > 26.3 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | $21.1(4)<$ | 0:01 |
| 19 | 20:52:36.79 | + 55:15:28.1 | 1.1 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | 24.99 (14) | > 20.7 | > 26.1 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | 19.9 (4) | 0.09 |
| " | 20:52:36.92 | + 55:15:30.5 | 1.8 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | > 22.3 | > 20.7 | > 26.1 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | 19.5 (4) | 0.22 |
| 24 | 20:51:52.31 | + 55:26:02.8 | 0.7 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | 25.2 (2) | > 20.7 | > 24.0 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | 19.1 (1) | 0.03 |
| 26 | 20:52:48.31 | + 55:14:21.8 | 0.5 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | > 22.3 | 23.00 (11) | > 26.1 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | 17.98 (7) | 0.01 |
| 30 | 20:51:29.88 | + 55:15:48.2 | 1.4 | > 23.5 | > 22.5 | > 22.3 | > 20.7 | > 24.0 | > 23.5 | > 15.8 | 18.1 (1) | 0.07 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source number from T able 4.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ P osition of optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ source, averaged over all the bands in which there were detections.
${ }^{c} P$ osition di erence betw een the $X$-ray and optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ source.
${ }^{d}$ Forbrevity, we give both $g$-band (from LRIS) and $g^{0}$-band (from LFC) data in the sam e colum $n$. The lim its of $24.0-m$ ag are $g-b a n d$, while the lm its of $26: 1=26: 3-\mathrm{m}$ ag are $\mathrm{g}^{0}$-band.
${ }^{e} T$ he chance of nding a star with in $r$ of the $X$-ray source given the $K ~ m a g n i t u d e, ~ u s i n g ~ t h e ~ s t a r-c o u n t ~ m o d e l ~ o f ~ N a k a ~ j i m ~ a ~ e t ~ a l . ~(2000) . ~ . ~$

Table 14
Optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ M atches to X-ray Sources in SNR G 315.4 2.3

| ID ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (J2000) |  | $\begin{gathered} r^{c} \\ \text { (arcsec) } \end{gathered}$ | (mag) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14:43:19.33 | 62:28:04.1 | 0.2 | > 22:3 | > 24:5 | > 23:9 | > $22: 5$ | > 15:8 | 19.60 (8) |  | 0.04 |
| 2 | 14:43:33.73 | 62:29:27.7 | 0.5 | 14.52 (2) | 13.23 (4) | 12.41 (8) | 11.5 (1) | 10.62 (2) | 9.84 (2) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 3 | $14: 41: 51.73$ | 62:28:33.4 | 1.0 | > 22:8 |  | 19.88 (6) |  | > 15:8 | > 14:6 |  |  |
| 5 | 14:42:19.56 | 62:28:34.6 | 0.2 | > 22:3 | > 24:5 | > 23:9 | > 22:5 | > 15:8 | 20.5 (4) |  | 0.05 |
| 6 | 14:43:20.72 | 62:33:08.4 | 0.7 | 14.49 (2) | 13.73 (4) | 13.27 (8) | 12.63 (10) | 12.23 (2) | 11.77 (3) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 8 | $14: 43: 40.99$ | 62:31:38.3 | 0.4 | sat | 12.39 (4) | 11.92 (8) | 11.35 (10) | 10.88 (2) | 10.50 (2) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 9 | 14:43:46.51 | 62:24:12.1 | 1.4 | 20.11 (3) | 18.58 (3) | 17.35 (4) | 16.12 (7) | 14.50 (6) | 13.40 (5) |  | 0.02 |
| 12 | 14:43:15.26 | 62:21:28.7 | 0.7 | > 22:3 | > 21:8 | > 25:5 | > 19:7 | > 15:8 | 19.44 (10) |  | 0.38 |
| 13 | 14:43:50.38 | 62:30:40.8 | 0.9 | 19.71 (3) | 17.79(5) | 17.04 (8) | 15.55 (10) | 14.33 (5) | 13.31 (5) |  | 0.01 |
| 17 | 14:43:21.90 | 62:32:19.0 | 1.5 | > 22:3 | > 21:8 | > 25:6 | 20.73 (5) | > 15:8 | 17.71 (6) |  | 0.43 |
| 19 | $14: 42: 10.79$ | 62:22:02.9 | 1.1 | 13.63 (2) | 13.10 (2) | 12.92 (4) | 12.29 (7) | 11.52 (2) | 11.19 (3) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 21 | 14:42:52.36 | 62:21:08.1 | 1.7 | > 22:3 | > 21:8 | 20.76 (2) | > 19:7 | > 15:8 | > 14:6 |  |  |
| " | 14:42:52.19 | 62:21:06.5 | 0.7 | > 22:3 | > 21:8 | 19.69 (8) | > 19:7 | > 15:8 | > 14:6 |  |  |
| 23 | 14:42:13.11 | 62:32:20.6 | 0.2 | 17.83 (2) | 16.18 (4) | 15.00 (8) | 13.76 (10) | 12.46 (3) | 11.42 (3) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 26 | $14: 42: 06.91$ | 62:22:04.7 | 1.8 | 17.18 (2) | 16.26 (2) | 15.53 (4) | 14.87 (7) | 14.02 (4) | 13.37 (6) |  | 0.03 |
| 28 | 14:43:20.25 | 62:21:38.7 | 1.3 | > 22:3 | > 21:8 | 23.14 (6) | > 19:7 | > 15:8 | 18.44 (6) |  | 0.62 |
| " | 14:43:19.88 | 62:21:40.2 | 2.0 | > 22:3 | > 21:8 | 22.23 (6) | > 19:7 | > 15:8 | 18.38 (6) |  | 1.41 |
| " | 14:43:20.07 | 62:21:39.4 | 0.7 | > 22:3 | > 21:8 | 18.66 (5) | > 19:7 | > 15:8 | 16.12 (5) |  | 0.02 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source num ber from T able 5 .
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ P osition of optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ source, averaged over all the bands in which there were detections.
${ }^{c}$ Position di erence betw een the $X$-ray and optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ source.
${ }^{d} T$ he chance of nding a star with in $r$ of the $X$-ray source given the $K ~ m a g n i t u d e, ~ u s i n g$ the star-count $m$ odel of Naka jim a et al. (2000).

Table 15
Optical/IR M atches to X-ray Sources in SNR G 084.2 0.8

| ID ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (J2000) |  | $\begin{gathered} r^{c} \\ (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{gathered}$ | $r^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & (\mathrm{~m} \text { ag }) \end{aligned}$ | K |  | K s ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20:53:28.97 | + 43:26:58.7 | 0.4 | sat | 10.36 (2) | 10.11 (2) | < | 0:01 |
| 2 | 20:53:57.97 | + 43:24:58.6 | 0.9 | > 23.2 | > 20.8 | 19.68 (6) |  | 0.41 |
| 3 | 20:53:49.20 | + 43:25:51.1 | 0.2 | > 23.2 | $>20.8$ | 18.32 (7) | < | 0:01 |
| 4 | 20:52:50.51 | + 43:30:29.3 | 0.8 | 15.08 (7) | 12.99 (2) | 12.25 (3) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 5 | 20:53:52.06 | + 43:28:10.8 | 1.2 | > 23:2 | 17.44 (4) | 15.97 (2) |  | 0.05 |
| " | 20:53:51.87 | + 43:28:09.9 | 1.7 | 18.83 (7) | 15.45 (2) | 14.39 (1) |  | 0.03 |
| " | 20:53:51.99 | + 43:28:08.9 | 0.8 | > 23.2 | > 20.8 | 17.95 (5) |  | 0.10 |
| 6 | 20:53:06.86 | + 43:32:59.3 | 0.5 | > 23.2 | > 20.8 | 19.45 (6) |  | 0.11 |
| 7 | 20:52:48.32 | + 43:32:12.7 | 1.5 | > 23.2 | 20.67 (13) | 17.64 (3) |  | 0.27 |
| 10 | 20:53:51.79 | + 43:25:37.1 | 0.9 | > 23.2 | 20.69 (15) | 17.10 (4) |  | 0.06 |
| " | 20:53:51.65 | + 43:25:37.2 | 1.3 | > 23.2 | $>20.8$ | 21.0 (2) |  | 1.53 |
| 11 | 20:53:17.76 | + 43:22:06.6 | 1.1 | > 23.2 | 18.43 (4) | 15.332 (10) |  | 0.03 |
| 12 | 20:53:53.17 | + 43:27:52.0 | 0.7 | sat | 13.39 (3) | 11.88 (2) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 14 | 20:53:02.75 | + 43:32:08.5 | 1.5 | sat | 11.97 (2) | 11.61 (2) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 15 | 20:53:06.49 | + 43:28:21.9 | 0.3 | > 23.2 | > 20.8 | 18.37 (5) |  | 0.02 |
| 16 | 20:53:35.61 | + 43:34:27.5 | 0.6 | 18.22 (7) | 14.84 (4) | 14.01 (7) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 18 | 20:53:46.08 | + 43:33:46.4 | 0.9 | > 23.2 | > 20.8 | 20.91 (14) |  | 0.71 |
| " | 20:53:45.89 | + 43:33:44.8 | 1.8 | > 23.2 | > 20.8 | 18.08 (3) |  | 0.56 |
| 22 | 20:52:42.55 | + 43:24:50.5 | 0.8 | 19.40 (7) | 14.73 (7) | 13.26 (5) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 23 | 20:53:32.25 | + 43:23:55.2 | 0.5 | > 23.2 | > 20.8 | 18.23 (4) |  | 0.05 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source num ber from $T$ able 6 .
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ P osition of optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ source, averaged over all the bands in which there were detections.
${ }^{c} \mathrm{P}$ osition di erence betw een the X -ray and optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ source.
${ }^{d}$ The chance of nding a starwith in $r$ of the $X$-ray source given the $K ~ s m a g n i t u d e$, using the star-count $m$ odel of $N$ aka jim a et al. (2000).

Table 16
Optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ M atches to X-ray Sources in SNR G 127.1+ 0.5

| ID ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (J2000) |  | $\begin{gathered} r^{c} \\ \text { (arcsec) } \end{gathered}$ | R | I | $\begin{aligned} & r^{0} \\ & (\mathrm{~m} \text { ag }) \end{aligned}$ | J | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {s }}$ |  | $\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01:28:30.58 | + 63:06:29.7 | 0.4 | 16.59(4) | sat | 17.76(5) | 13.96 (12) | 12.09 (8) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 3 | 01:27:36.51 | + 63:03:45.5 | 0.6 | > 23.0 | > 21.3 | $>24.5$ | b len ded | 17.82 (3) |  | 0.03 |
| 4 | 01:28:07.70 | + 63:01:50.6 | 0.2 | 22.18 (9) | 20.69 (10) | 22.64 (7) | 19.45 (6) | 17.74 (4) | $<$ | 0:01 |
| 5 | 01:28:42.25 | + 63:08:25.8 | 0.4 | > 23.0 | > 21.3 | > 24.5 | > 21.1 | 18.80 (4) |  | 0.02 |
| 8 | 01:29:25.42 | + 63:05:34.2 | 1.1 | 21.80 (8) | 20.48 (8) | 22.43 (6) | 18.39 (2) | 16.86 (3) |  | 0.04 |
| 12 | 01:29:10.80 | + 63:10:14.4 | 0.6 | > 23.0 | > 21.3 | > 24.5 | 18.91 (5) | 16.60 (3) |  | 0.01 |
| 13 | 01:28:13.86 | + 63:06:21.2 | 0.3 | > 23.0 | > 21.3 | $>24.5$ | > 21.1 | 19.85 (8) |  | 0.02 |
| 14 | 01:27:55.35 | + 63:01:41.5 | 0.8 | > 23.0 | > 21.3 | > 24.5 | 20.32 (7) | 17.08 (3) |  | 0.03 |
| 15 | 01:28:37.77 | + 63:06:03.3 | 0.7 | > 23.0 | > 21.3 | > 24.5 | b lended | 18.53 (8) |  | 0.06 |
| 17 | 01:27:35.74 | + 63:02:42.4 | 0.8 | > 23.0 | > 21.3 | > 24.5 | > 21.1 | 18.17 (8) |  | 0.06 |
| 18 | 01:29:17.27 | + 63:02:42.3 | 1.0 | > 23.0 | > 21.3 | > 24.5 | 16.717 (14) | 14.774 (15) |  | 0.01 |
| 22 | 01:28:01.81 | + 63:12:44.2 | 0.3 | > 23.0 | > 21.3 | $>24.5$ | > 21.1 | 20.34 (13) |  | 0.03 |
| " | 01:28:01.93 | + $63: 12: 45.7$ | 1.4 | > 23.0 | > 21.3 | > 24.5 | > 21.1 | 20.81 (15) |  | 0.75 |

[^3]This will not work so well for the souroes here, as the X-ray uxes are signi cantly less than that of CXO J232327.8+584842 and consequently the location in $F$ igure 27 for a random optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart would be closer to the O rion/CD F loci.

To determ ine the probabilities of random false associations, w e have used the $\mathbb{R}$ star-counts asm odeled by $N$ akaj̈m a et al. (2000) and galaxy-counts observed by C im atti et al. (2002). W e plot in Figure 31 the num ber of souroes brighter than a given $K_{s} m$ agnitude per square arcsecond for all four SN R s (due to their low er G alactic latitudes, the num bers for SNR G 315.42 .3 and SNR G 08420.8 are a factor of $5\{15$ higher than those for SNR G $093.3+6.9$, and SNR G $127.1+0.5$ is in between). W e chose to plot the counts in the $K_{s}$ band as these are least a ected by extinction. In T ables 13\{16 we also give, for sources w ith $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ detections, the expected num ber of random stars ${ }^{13}$ brighter than the detected source w ithin $r$ (the distance betw een the $K_{s}$ source and the $X$-ray source). Form ost cases, especially the bright 2M A SS stars, these num bers are very low (< 0:01), but for a few of the fainter sources the num bers can becom e signi cant ( $\& 0: 5$ ). For those cases w ith roughly even chances ofrandom associationsw also exam ine (in $\mathrm{xx} 5.1 .3,5.2 .3,5.3 .3$, and 5.4 3) other factors like the source spectrum and optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ colors, w here available. In F igures $32\{34$ we show plots of expected stellar color vs. m agnitude for di erent distances and extinctions. U sing these plots can help us determ ine the approxim ate type of the stellar counterparts in Tables 13\{16, as dem onstrated in Sections 5.1.3, $5.2 .3,5.3 .3$, and 5.4.3. T hese plots are not exact, though: they assume $A_{v} / D$ and they are only for $m$ ain-sequence stars. W hen possible, the $J K_{s}$ color should be used instead of other com binations as it is least susceptible to reddening e ects ( $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{J} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}\right.$ ) = 0:18, com pared to $\left.E\left(R \quad K_{s}\right)=0: 71\right)$, but it is less sensitive to stellar type than other com binations.

In $F$ igures 35 and 36 we exam ine the $X$-ray-to-optical - set. W e see that even for sources w ith large o sets, the values are reasonably consistent $w$ ith the expected distribution. C om pare to $F$ igure 1 of $B$ arger et al. (2003).

W e can see som e general trends from the discussions for the di erent SNRs (SS 5.1.3, 52.3, 5.3.3, and 5.4.3) and from Figures 27\{30. Nam ely, SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 and SNR G127.1+ 0.5 have the highest fractions of probable galaxies, with SNR G 08420.8 interm ediate, and SNR G315.4 2.3 the lowest (summ arized in Tab . 17). $T$ his is largely due to the di ering $G$ alactic latitudes and longitudes of the four SNRS, and is also seen som ew hat in Figure 31. SNR G 315.4 2.3, at a relatively low bj and well in quadrant $\mathbb{I V}$, is along the line of sight of $m$ any stars and the short exposure tim e of the observation helps to keep the num ber of background galaxies down. SNR G 08420.8 is at a lower bj but it's position near l=90 and at the edge of a spiral arm (Feldt \& G reen 1993) low ens the num ber ofstars, w hile the longer exposure identi ed $m$ ore galaxies ( $m$ any of $w h o m$ are heavily reddened). The totalN ${ }_{H}$ along this like of sight helps to delineate galaxies and stars even without optical counterparts, as can be seen from F igure 12. F inally, SNR G 093.3+ 6.9

[^4]

Fig. 31.| N um ber density of $\mathbb{R}$ sources. $T$ he num ber per square arcsecond brighter than a given $K_{s} \mathrm{~m}$ agnitude is plotted against K s m agnitude. The data for stars are from the m odel of N akaj̈m a et al. (2000), and are plotted for SN R G $093.3+6.9$ (solid line), SN R G 315.42 .3 (dashed line), SN R G 084.20 .8 (dash-dotted line), and SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 (dotted line). For galaxies (circles) the counts are from the $\mathrm{K}-20$ project ( C im atti et al. 2002). The observed data for SNR G 093.3+ 6.9, SNR G 084.2 0.8, and SNR G127.1+ 0.5 are from the $W \mathbb{R C}$ observations, and are likely not com plete for $K_{s} \& 19$. $T$ he predicted star counts are $w$ ith in a factor of 3 of the observed counts.


Fig. 32.| Stellar color vs. $m$ agnitude for $m$ ain-sequence stars, from $C$ ox (2000, pp. 151 \& 388): we have assum ed that $A_{V} / d$, norm alized to $A_{V}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ ag at $d=3 \mathrm{kpc}$ (roughly appropriate for SNR G $093.3+6.9$ and SNR G 315.4 2.3). Left: R K s color vs. $K_{s} m$ agnitude. Right: $J \quad K_{s}$ color vs. $K_{s} m$ agnitude. Show $n$ are tracks for lum inosity class V stellar types F 0, F5, G 0, G 5, K 0, K 5, M 0, M 2, and M 5 (as labeled). Points along the tracks are labeled by $\left(\log _{10}(\mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{pc}) ; \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$, and the tracks progress from $(2.0,0.03)$ to $(4.0,3.3)$. The maxim um values of $A_{v}$ expected along the lines of sight to SNR G $093.3+6.9$ and SNR G 315.42 .3 are 2 m ag and 5 m ag, respectively (P redehl\& Schm itt 1995). The m a jority of the stars from $N$ aka j̈m a et al. (2000) are of spectral type M 0 \{ M 3 .


Fig. 33. Stellar color vs. $m$ agnitude for $m$ ain-sequence stars, from $C$ ox $(2000, p p .151 \& 388)$ : we have assum ed that $A_{V} / d$, norm alized to $A_{V}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ ag at $d=4: 5 \mathrm{kpc}$ (roughly appropriate for SNR G 0842 0.8). O therw ise the gures are the same as Figure 32. Them axim um value of $A_{V}$ expected along the line of sight to SNR G $084.2 \quad 0.8$ is 5 m ag ( P redehl \& Schm itt 1995).


Fig. 27.| $X$-ray-to-optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ ux ratio vs. X -ray ux for sources in SNR G $093.3+6.9$ ( $T$ abs. 4 and 13) w ith sources from the CD F/O rion studies and selected neutron stars. U pper left: $V$ bband; upper right: $R$ boand; low er left: I-band; low er right: $K$ s band. Stars from C D F / O rion are blue asterisks, galaxies are green circles. Selected neutron stars are black diam onds/lim its, and are labeled. T he unidenti ed X -ray sources in SNR G 093.3+ 6.9 are the red squares/lim its and are also labeled (in the case ofm ultiple possible counterparts, the source is plotted m ultiple tim es). The diagonal lines represent constant $m$ agnitude, and are labeled by that $m$ agnitude. For the sources in SNR G $093.3+6.9$ the counts were converted to a ux by $\mathrm{F}_{0: 5} \quad 2: 0 \mathrm{keV}=$ counts $_{0: 5} \quad 2: 0 \mathrm{keV} \quad 3: 4 \quad 10{ }^{16}$ ergs $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ am ${ }^{1}$, appropriate for a blackbody $\mathrm{w}^{2}$ ith $\mathrm{kT}_{1}=0: 25 \mathrm{keV}$ and $N_{H}=210^{21} \mathrm{am}^{2}$. A s seen in Table 18, the X -ray uxes change can be a factor of 2 higher for a pow er-law spectrum .


Fig. 28.| X-ray-to-optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ ux ratio vs. X -ray ux for sources in SNR G 315.42 .3 ( T abs. 5 and 14) w ith sources from the CD F /O rion studies and selected neutron stars, follow ing $F$ igure 27 . U pper left: V -band; upper right: R -band; low er left: I-band; low er right: K s band. For the sources in SNR G 315.4 2.3 the counts were converted to a ux by $\mathrm{F}_{0: 5}$ 2:0 keV $=\operatorname{counts}_{0: 5} 2: 0 \mathrm{keV} \quad 5: 1 \quad 10{ }^{16}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ am ${ }^{1}$, approp riate for a blackbody w th $\mathrm{kT}_{1}=0: 25 \mathrm{keV}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}=2 \quad 10^{21} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{2}$.


Fig. 29.| X-ray-to-optical/ $\mathbb{R} \quad u x$ ratio vs. X-ray ux for sources in SNR G $084.2 \quad 0.8$ (Tabs. 6 and 15) w ith sources from the CD F /O rion

 $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}=2 \quad 10^{21} \mathrm{~cm} \quad 2$.


Fig. 30.| X-ray-to-optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ ux ratio vs. X -ray ux for sources in SNR G127.1+0.5 (Tabs. 7 and 16) with sources from the CD $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{O}$ rion studies and selected neutron stars, follow ing Figure 27. Left: R boand; right: K s boand. For the sources in SNR G $127.1+0.5$ the counts were converted to a ux by $\mathrm{F}_{0: 5} \quad 2: 0 \mathrm{keV}=$ counts $_{0}: 5 \quad 2: 0 \mathrm{keV} \quad 2: 6 \quad 10^{16}$ ergs ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~cm} \quad 1$, appropriate for a blackbody w ith $\mathrm{kT} \mathrm{T}_{1}=0: 25 \mathrm{keV}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}=2 \quad 10^{21} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.


F ig. 34.| Stellar color vs. m agnitude for m ain-sequence stars, from $C$ ox (2000, pp. $151 \& 388$ ): we have assum ed that $A_{V} / d$, nom alized to $A_{V}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ ag at $\mathrm{d}=1: 3 \mathrm{kpc}$ (roughly appropriate for SNR G127.1+0.5). O therw ise the gures are the same as Figure 32. Them axim um value of $A_{V}$ expected along the line of sight to SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 is 5 m ag ( P redehl \& Schm itt 1995).


Fig. 35.| D istribution of o set between $X$-ray sources and their proposed optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ counterparts as a function of 0 -axis angle. Left: ○ set (in arcsec) vs. ○ -axis angle (in aram in). R ight: ○ set norm alized to the $90 \%$ radius (from Tabs. 4\{7) vs. o -axis angle (in arcm in). Sources from SNR G 093.3+6.9 are circles, those from SNR G 315.42 .3 are $x^{\prime}$ s, those from SNR G 084.20 .8 are squares, and those from SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 are stars.


Fig. 36.| H istogram of the distribution of o set betw een $X$-ray sources and their proposed optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ counterparts norm alized to the $90 \%$ radius (from Tabs. 4\{7). Sources from SNR G 093.3+6.9 are dark blue, those from SNR G 315.42 .3 are in white, those from SNR G $084.2 \quad 0.8$ are the green hatched region, and those from SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 are the $m$ aroon hatched region. T he red dashed line is the expected distribution ( $f(r) / r \exp \left(r^{2}\right)$ ).
is at a relatively high bjjand also does not look tow ard the inner G alaxy, and SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 is decidedly tow ard the outer G alaxy, so the X -ray sources are predom inantly background galaxies.

## 5. results

H ere we discuss the results of applying the techniques in x 4 to the rst four SNRs from our Chandra sam ple: SNRs G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G $084.2+0.8$, and G127.1+0.5. For each SNR, we rst discuss its general properties, then details of the X -ray analysis, optical observations and analysis, and nally identi cation of counterparts.

### 5.1. SNR G 093.3+6.9

The radio sourœe SNR G 093.3+6.9 (also known as DA 530) was rst identi ed as a SNR by Roger \& C ostain (1976), whose radio observations show ed a shell $27^{\circ}$ in diam eter w ith bright rim s and high polarization (H aslam, Salter, \& Pauls 1980) see Figure 2. The kinem atic distance based on H I em ission gives a distance of $2: 5$ $0: 4 \mathrm{kpc}$, but allow ing for non-circular $m$ otion relaxes the distance lim its and constrains the distance to be $1.0\{$ 3.5 kpc .

W hile infrared and optical em ission have been only m arginally detected, SNR G 093.3+6.9 has been detected by ROSAT (Landecker et al 1999). Based on ts to the X -ray spectrum, Landecker et al (1999) prefer a distance of 3.5 kpc . In X -rays, it appears super cially sim ilar to SN 1006, which has been suggested to be the rem nant of a Type Ia SN (Fesen et al. 1988, A llen, P etre, \& G otthelf 2001). Taken together with the high G alactic latitude of 7 , som e authors believe that SN R G $093.3+6.9$ is also the young ( 5000 yr ) rem nant from a Type Ia SN (e.g., Landecker et al. 1999), but there are som e problem $s w$ ith this, as none of the X -ray spectralm odels give fully consistent results and they im ply that the SN R m ay have occurred in a especially low -density bubble such asm ight exist around a m assive star (i.e. the progenitor of a T ype II SN ) .

M ost of the X -ray ts in Landeckeret al. (1999) assum ed a hydrogen colum $n$ density of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}=2: 1 \quad 10^{21} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{2}$, which is consistent w th the value inferred from the H I data. $T$ his is the nom inal value that we adopt for this source below. H ow ever, som e of the ts required larger values of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}$, up to $610^{21} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, while the total G alactic colum n density ${ }^{14}$ in this direction is only $4 \quad 10^{21} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.

Lorim er, Lyne, \& C am oi (1998) searched SN R G $093.3+6.9$ unsuccessfiully for a radio pulsar down to a lim it of 0.8 m Jy at 606 M Hz , implying a lum inosity lim it of $S d^{2}<9: 8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{kpc}{ }^{2}$. Extrapolating to a frequency of 1400 M Hzusing an average spectralindex of $1: 8 \mathrm{M}$ aron et al. 2000), this is stilla factor of alm ost 10 brighter than the lum inosities of the em erging class of faint radio pulsars such as PSR J0205+ 6449 in 3C 58 ( $C$ am ilo et al. 2002b). Landecker et al. (1999) identi ed six point sources in the ROSAT PSPC data, three of which are inside the rem nant (see x5.1.1), but they conclude that none of these is likely the com pact rem nant of the explosion as they are all relatively hard (how ever, neutron stars such as the C rab can also have hard spectra).

[^5]Table 17
C lassifications of X -ray Sources in SNRs G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G $084.2+0.8$, and G 127.1+0.5

| SNR | Stars | G alaxies | Uncertain $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| G 093.3+6.9 | 3 | 15 | 0 |
| G 315.4 2.3 | 8 | 4 | $3: 17,21,28$ |
| G 084 2 0.8 | 6 | 8 | $2: 5,10$ |
| G 127.1+ 0.5 | 0 | 9 | $3: 12,18,22$ |
| Total | 17 | 36 | 8 |

N ote.| C lassi cations follow the discussion in xx 5.1.3, 5.2.3, 5.3.3, and 5.4.3.
${ }^{a}$ U ncertain sources are those for which the classi cation as a star or galaxy was unclear. This encom passes both sources that de nitely have counterparts but where the type is uncertain and sources where the counterpart itself is uncertain. $T$ he uncertain sources for each SNR are listed.

### 5.1.1. X -ray O bservations

W e observed SNR G 093.3+6.9 w th the C handra X -ray O bservatory ( W eisskopf et al 2000) on 2001 D ecem ber 17. Based on exam ination of the ROSAT /PSPC data, we determ ined the center of the rem nant ${ }^{15}$ to be at J2000 position $20^{\mathrm{h}} 52^{\mathrm{m}} 14^{\mathrm{s}},+5520^{0} 30^{0}$, about $1: 5^{0}$ aw ay from the nom inal position from $G$ reen (2001). The aim -point was on the ACIS-I im aging array, and the nal exposure tim e was 16.4 ksec . See F igure 2 for the placem ent of the AC ISI detector relative to the SNR. A sm oothed of the data is show $n$ in $F$ igure 6 w ith the $X$-ray sources that we identi ed (Tab.4) labeled.

The analysis of the C handra data proceeded according to Section 42. In Figure 10 we plot the H -band counts vs. the L-band counts for the sources in Table 4. We also plot the lines for sources w ith power-laws having
$=0 ; 1: 0 ; 1: 5 ; 2: 0$ and blackbody m odels having $\mathrm{kT}_{1}=$ $0: 2 ; 0: 4 ; 1: 0 \mathrm{keV}$, all with $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}=2 \quad 10^{21} \mathrm{am}^{2}$, and the $m$ edian and 25-/75-percentiles of count-ratios ( $C_{H}=C_{L}$ ) for the sources from the CDF and O rion. M ost of the sources are consistent w ith power-laws having indioes from $0.0\{$ 2.0, such as one would expect for energetic pulsars like the C rab or for AGN. A few sources (SNR G 093.3+6.9:1, SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:7, and SNR G 093.3+6.9:16) are softer, w ith implied tem peratures (for blackbody models) of $\mathrm{kT}_{1} \quad 0: 4 \mathrm{keV}$.

Three of the sources were also detected by Landecker et al. (1999) in RO SAT data. Source SN R G 093.3+6.9:1 is source 6 from Landecker et al. (1999), SNR G 093.3+ 6.9.2 is source 5 from Landeckeret al. (1999), and SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:7 is source 4 from Landecker et al. (1999). W hile it is di cult to com pare directly because of the sm all num bers of counts involved, the count-rates and hardness ratios are roughly com parable betw een those that we observed here

[^6]and those predicted by converting the ROSAT count-rates to $C$ handra using W3PIMMS ${ }^{16}$

### 5.12. O ptical/ $\mathbb{R}$ Observations

W e observed the eld of SNR G $093.3+6.9$ a num ber of tim es with a num ber of instrum ents, as described in Table 9. The aim of the observations was to identify counterparts to the $X$-ray souroes in $T$ able 4 w ith progressively deeper exposures (x 4.5). D ata reduction for the optical data used standard IRAF routines to subtract the bias, ateld, and then com bine separate exposures. For the LFC and LR IS-B data, where signi cant focal-plane distortion prevented sim ple addition ofdata and where there arem ultiple CCD s, we used the IRAF MSCRED package to atten each im age $w$ ith custom distortion $m$ apsprior to addition. For the infrared data, we subtracted dark fram es, then produced a sky fram e for subtraction by taking a sliding box-carw indow of 4 exposures on either side of a reference exposure. W e then added the exposures together, identied all the stars, and produced masks for the stars that were used to im prove the sky fram es in a second round of sky subtraction.

W e determ ined B VRI photom etric zero-points for the P60CCD data using observations of the Stetson elds ${ }^{17}$ PG 1657 and NGC 6823 (Stetson 2000). W e then deter$m$ ined zero-points for the other optical data referenced to the P 60CCD observations, em ploying appropriate transform ations (Jorgensen 1994; Sm ith et al. 2002).
$W$ e determ ined a $K_{s}$ zero-point for the $P 60 \mathbb{R}$ data using observations of the standard stars SJ 9101, SJ 9166, SJ 9177, and SJ 9188 (Persson et al. 1998). For the N IR C observations, we determ ined zero-points using 1 \{ 42 M A SS (Sknutskie et al 1997) stars in each eld (the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{m}$ agnitudes include a 0.3 m ag system atic uncertainty arising from zero-point calibration). For the $W \mathbb{R} C$ observations,

[^7]we determ ined zero-points using 400 unsaturated 2M A SS stars.

We perform ed absolute astrom etry on the $R$ boand P60CCD data, the LFC data, the LRIS data, and the W $\mathbb{R} C$ data. A fter applying distortion corrections to the LFC and LRIS data (we did not use any distortion for the P60CCD data or $W \mathbb{R C}$ ), we solved for plate-scale, rotation, and central position relative to stars from version 22 of the $G$ uide Star C atalog ( $\mathrm{GC}-22^{18}$ ) for allbut $W \mathbb{R C}$ and relative to 2 MASS stars for $W \mathbb{R C}$, getting residuals in each coordinate of 0922 (427 stars), 0908 (135 stars), 0913 ( 613 stars), and 0910 ( 530 stars) forP 60 CCD , LRIS, LFC, and W $\mathbb{R C}$ respectively. For the rem aining data ( $E S I, P 60 \mathbb{R}$, and N $\mathbb{R} C$ ) we used non-saturated stars from the other observations to boot-strap the astrom etry, getting typical residuals of < 0905 in each coordinate.

### 5.1.3. N otes on Individual Sources

SNR G 093.3+6.9:1 This source is alm ost certainly an active star: the infrared colors are indicative of class G 0 or so, the source falls on the locus of stars in Figure 27, and the variability ( $F$ ig. 14) is typical of that seen for stars ( $M$ arino, $M$ icela, \& $P$ eres 2000). The $X$ ray lum inosity expected of such a star, $10^{29} 31$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ ( $K$ atsova \& Cherepashchuk 2000) is im plies that it is 500 pc aw ay, consistent w ith its $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{m}$ agnitude ( F ig. 32) .

SNR G 093.3+6.9:2 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 27 and its constant $X$-ray ux (Fig.14).

SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:4 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 27.

SN R G 093.3+6.9:5 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 27.

SNR G 093.3+6.9:6 The $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart places it m idway between the stars and galaxies in Figure 27. It could be a spurious counterpart, but there are other souroes in its vicinity in Figure 27. B ased on its hard spectrum and extrem ely red R K s colors, it is likely a galaxy.

SNR G 093.3+6.9:7 W hile redderthan SNR G 093.3+6.9:1, it is otherw ise sim ilar, suggesting that this is very likely also an active star of type M 2 or so.

SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:8 The opticalcounterpart is near the edge of the error circle, but it is far 0 -axis and therefore this is not unexpected. This source is otherw ise consistent $w$ th being a galaxy.

SNR G 093.3+6.9:9 This source has the m ost extrem e $X$ ray-to- $\mathbb{R}$ ux ratio of the sources in Table 13, but it m ost likely is not the associated neutron star. $T$ he opticalcountenpart has a very sm all ( 092 ) o set from the $X$-ray source, suggesting that while it $m$ ay be 2 m ag fainter than other countenparts it is still likely to be a real association. It is still reasonably consistent w ith the CDF locus and it is som ew hat red ( $\mathbb{R} K_{s}>3$ ), contrary to know $n$ neutron stars

[^8]( $K$ aplan et al. 2003b) but sim ilar to that of other galaxy candidates like SNR G 093.3+6.9:10. A lso, the X -ray spectrum is m oderately hard, consistent w th the power-law expected from an AGN.W ithout an optical counterpart, it m ight be a candidate for a low-lum inosity $C$ rab-like pulsar ( $L_{x}$ would be
$2 \quad 10^{31}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ ), but w ith the counterpart it is very likely an AGN.

SNR G 093.3+6.9:10 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 27.

SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:13 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 27.

SNR G 093.3+6.9:14 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 27 and hard spectrum .

SNR G 093.3+6.9:15 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 27. It $m$ ay be extended, indicating a low redshiff ( F ig. 17).

SN R G 093.3+ 6.9:16 W hile redder than SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:1, it is otherw ise sim ilar, suggesting that this is very likely also an active star of type K 5.

SNR G 093.3+6.9:17 This is likely a galaxy, given its hard spectrum and position in $F$ igure 27. The $\mathbb{R}$ source $m$ ay also be extended ( F ig. 18) .

SNR G 093.3+6.9:19 There are multiple $\mathbb{R}$ souroes listed in Table 13, one just in the error circle and one outside (see Fig. 18). T he true counterpart is likely the one in the circle (the rst source in Tab.13), which is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 27 and its colors.

SNR G 093.3+6.9:24 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 27.
SNR G 093.3+6.9:26 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 27.

SNR G 093.3+6.9:30 This is likely a galaxy, given its hard spectrum and position in Figure 27, sim ilar to SNR G 093.3+ 6.9:19. The X-ray hardness ratio is, while harder than $m$ ost galaxies, not unheard of in that context (Bauer et al 2002).
52. SNR G 315.42 .3

SNR G 315.4 2.3 (RCW 86, or M SH 14 63) is a large $\left(45^{\circ}\right)$, non-therm alG alactic radio shell ( $F$ ig. 3) identi ed as a SN R by H ill (1967) and considered as the rem nant of the historic supemova explosion SN 185 (C lark \& Stephenson 1977).

The identi cation of SNR G $315.4 \quad 2.3 \mathrm{w}$ th the historic supemova explosion of AD 185 is a matter of som e controversy ${ }^{19}$. Geom etric considerations w ould require a distance to the rem nant of less than 1 kpc (Strom 1994), while the kinem atic distance of the rem nant is found to be $2: 8 \quad 0: 4 \mathrm{kpc}$ (R osado et al. 1996). The latter distance
19 W hile som e recent review s of the C hinese record even question the association of SN 185 w ith a supemova explosion event (Chin \& H uang 1994; Schaefer 1995; Sm ith 1997), this is not universally accepted (Stephenson \& G reen 2002).
suggests a physical connection with an OB association (W esterlund 1969), an interpretation which is supported by the light elem ental abundance which favors a Type II supemova and by the $m$ easured interstellar colum $n$ density. H ow ever, som e recent $m$ odeling ofX ray data suggest a distance as close as 1.2 kpc and abundances m ore typical of a Type Ia explosion (B occhino et al 2000), m ore in line w ith that expected if SNR G 315.42 .3 were the rem nant of SN 185, although these interpretations are by no m eans secure (R ho et al 2002). In what follow s, we assum e the 2.8 kpc distance; if the SNR were closer (and younger), then our lum inosity lim its would be lower and even more constraining if SN R G $315.4 \quad 2.3$ is the result of a core-collapse event.

In addition to radio em ission, SNR G 315.42 .3 show s thin Balm er-dom inated lam ents (Long \& B lair 1990; Sm th 1997) and $X$ tray em ission ( P isarski, $H$ elfand, \& K ahn 1984; V ink, K aastra, \& B leeker 1997) that have the sam e general m onphology. T here is $X$-ray spectral variation over the rem nant, but the hydrogen colum $n$ density is likely $2 \quad 3 \quad 10^{21} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ( $V$ ink et al 1997) (the total G alactic colum n density from Colden in this direction is $9 \quad 10^{21} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ). The age of SNR G $315.4 \quad 2.3$ is som ew hat uncertain, as it has features of both young (a few thousand years) and old (> $10^{4}$ yrs) rem nants (D ickel, Strom, \& M ilne 2001). On average, probably the best estim ate for its age is 4000 yrs, although ages up to $10^{4}$ yrs are not im possible (R osado et al. 1996; P etruk 1999; B occhino et al. 2000; B orkow skiet al. 2001).

K aspi et al. (1996) searched SNR G 315.42 .3 unsuccessfully for a radio pulsar down to a lim it of 1.3 m Jy at 436 M Hz and 0.2 m Jy at 1520 M H z, both im plying a 1400M Hzhm inosity lim itofS $\mathrm{d}^{2}<1: 5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{kpc}^{2}$. This is quite faint | a factor of three fainter than PSR J0205+ 6449.

B ased on RO SAT and E instein data, V ink et al. (2000) identi ed an X-ray point source inside SNR G $315.4 \quad 2.3$ that they conclude is likely an active star. U nfortunately, this source is outside our X-ray observations so we cannot con m or deny their conclusion. Sim ilarly, G varam adze \& V ikhlin in (2003) used C handra data of the bright south-w est region to search forpoint sources, $m$ otivated by their hypothesis that SN R G $315.4 \quad 2.3 \mathrm{w}$ as a signi cantly o -center cavity SN. They nd one source at the edge of the rem nant ( F ig. 3) w ithout an optical counterpart, although the only lim it they cite is from the D igital Sky Survey ( $m$ lim 21), and conclude based on this and the source's X ray spectrum that it m ay be a neutron star (w e have exam ined 2M A SS and see no clear counterpart to this source there, im plying lim its of $J>15: 8$ and $\left.K_{s}>14: 6\right)$. H ow ever, these optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ lim its are far from constraining ( x 5.2 ) and it is quite possible that, while not an active star like the other source they identify, this source is an active galaxy. In any case, all of these souroes are quite far out from the nom inal center of the rem nant. If the explosion occurred near what we de ne as the center of the SN R , none of the sources in V ink et al. (2000) or G varam adze \& V ikhlinin (2003) could be the com pact rem nant w thout transverse velocities in excess of $1500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ | not an unheard of velocity (x 6.1.1), but certainly large.

### 52.1. X -ray O bservations

W e observed SNR G 315.42 .3 with Chandra on 2002D ecem ber-02. W e determ ined the center of the rem nant to be at (J2000) $14^{\mathrm{h}} 42^{\mathrm{m}} 50^{\mathrm{s}}, 6228^{0} 20^{\circ}$. The aim-point was on the ACIS-I im aging array, and the nal exposure tim e was 10.0 ksec . See Figure 3 for the placem ent of the AC IS-I detector relative to the SNR. A sm oothed im age of the data is shown in F igure 7 w ith the X -ray sources that we identi ed (Tab.5) labeled.

The analysis of the Chandra data proceeded according to Section 42. In Figure 11 we plot the $\mathrm{H}-$ band counts vs. the L-band counts for the sources in Table 5. M ost of the souroes are consistent with power-laws having indices from $0.0\{2.0$, but the sources are slightly softer than those in SNR G 093.3+6.9. A few sources (SNR G315.4 2.3:2, SNR G 315.4 2.3:6, SNR G 315.4 2.3:8,SNR G 315.4 2.3:9, SNR G 315.4 2.3:26, and SNR G 315.4 2.3:28) are soft, w ith im plied tem peratures (for blackbody m odels) of $\mathrm{kT}_{1} \quad 0: 4 \mathrm{keV}$.

## 522. O ptical/ $\mathbb{R}$ O bservations

For SNR G 315.42 .3 we also observed the eld with a variety of instrum ents, listed in Table 10 . The reduction proceeded as in x5.12.

W e determ ined B V R I zero-points for the C 40 data using observations of the Stetson elds ${ }^{20} \mathrm{E} 4$ and L107 (Stetson 2000). W e then determ ined zero-points for the other opticaldata referenced to the C 40 observations, em ploying appropriate transform ations (Jorgensen 1994; Sm ith et al. 2002). W e determ ined a $K_{s}$ zero-point for the PAN IC data w th 202 MASS stars in each eld.

A strom etry was perform ed relative to 2 M A $S S^{21}$. For the C 40 and EM M I data, where there is som e optical distortion, we com puted solutions (plate-scale, rotation, and central position) locally around each X ray source, lim iting the elds to $1^{0}$. These solutions typically used 45 stars and had residuals of 0909 in each coordinate. For the M agIC and PAN IC im ages we com puted solutions for the entire im age, using 80 stars and giving residuals of 0905 in each coordinate.

### 52.3. N otes on Individual Sources

SN R G 315.4 2.3:1 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in F igure 28 and its hard spectrum ( F ig.11). H ow ever, we only detect the counterpart in a single band and it is quite faint, so it is possible that the counterpart is a coincidence.

SNR G 315.4 2.3:2 This is likely a star, given its position in $F$ igure 28 and its soft spectrum ( $F$ ig. 11). $B$ ased on its $\mathbb{R}$ colors and $m$ agnitude, this $m$ ay be a K 2 III giant star.

SNR G 315.4 2.3:3 The optical counterpart places it $m$ idw ay betw een the stars and galaxies in F igure 28. It could be a spurious counterpart, but there are other sources in its vicinity in Figure 28. B ased on its hard spectrum, it is likely a galaxy.
20 http://cadcw w w .dao nrc.ca/cadcb in/w dbi.cgi/astrocat/stetson/query
21 The 2MASS data for this eld were released before those for SNR G 093.3+6.9, SNR G 084.2 0.8, and SNR G127.1+ 0.5, where we used the G SC-2.2 for som e of the astrom etry. W e have com pared the results of 2M ASS and GSC-2.2 astrom etry and found them indistinguishable.

SN R G 315.4 2.3:5 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 28 and its hard spectrum ( $F$ ig. 11).

SN R G 315.4 2.3:6 This is likely a star, given its position in Figure 28 and its very soft spectrum ( $F$ ig. 11). B ased on its $\mathbb{R}$ colors, it is likely type K 0 or so.

SN R G 315.4 2.3:8 This is likely a star, given its position in $F$ igure 28 and its soft spectrum ( $F$ ig. 11). B ased on its $\mathbb{R}$ colors, it is likely type $G 5$ or so.

SNR G 315.4 2.3:9 This is likely a star, given its position in Figure 28 and its soft spectrum ( $F$ ig. 11). B ased on its $\mathbb{R}$ colors, it is likely type M 7 or so.

SN R G 315.4 2.3:12 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in Figure 28 and its hard spectrum ( $F$ ig. 11). W hile the chance of a random star in the error circle is non negligible, only the reddest stars have R $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}>6$ ( F ig. 32) and these stars m ake up only a sm all fraction of those in this line of sight ( N aka $\mathrm{\mu} m$ a et al. 2000) .

SN R G 315.4 2.3:13 This is likely a star, given its position in $F$ igure 28. B ased on its $\mathbb{R}$ colors, it is likely type M 6 or so.

SN R G 315.4 2.3:17 The optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ source is on the edge of the X -ray error circle in F igure 20 (to the w est of center). There is also a faint sm udge that is below the detection threshold and $m$ ay be an extension of the source just to the north of the error circle. If the source to the w est is the counterpart, the o set is large but not entirely unreasonable ( $F$ ig. 36; see also B arger et al 2003). The source w ould then be a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 28, hard spectrum ( F ig. 11), and red colors $\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}>8\right.$; see Thom pson et al. 1999). It is also possible that the northem source is the correct countenpart, in which case the source w ould also likely be a galaxy. A third possibility is that the X -ray and optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ em ission are not entirely spatially coincident, such as w ould be the case for a nearby interacting binary star or a low redshift galaxy. This m ight explain the $X$-ray-to-opticalo set. It is unlikely that this source is a neutron star, as its spectrum is quite hard | harder than that expected of a C rab-like pulsar.

SN R G 315.4 2.3:19 This is likely a star, given its position in $F$ igure 28. B ased on its $\mathbb{R}$ colors, it is likely type F 7 or so.

SN R G 315.4 2.3:21 There are two optical souroes in the X-ray error circle ( $F$ ig. 21), or perhaps one extended souroe. Separately, each source is near the star/galaxy boundary in Figure 28, and the X -ray spectrum is interm ediate, so no quick classi cation is possible. Thism ay be an interacting binary ( $C V$, $X$-ray binary, etc.), sources that would not have been in the O rion sam ple but are present in the general G alactic/extragalactic populations (Hertz \& G rindlay 1988; G rindlay et al. 2003).

SN R G 315.4 2.3:23 This is likely a star, given its position in $F$ igure 28. Based on its $\mathbb{R}$ colors, it is likely type M 5 or so.

SN R G 315.4 2.3:26 This is likely a star, given its position in $F$ igure 28. B ased on its $\mathbb{R}$ colors, it is likely type K 5 or so.

SN R G 315.4 2.3:28 There are three possible counterparts in $F$ igure 21. T he brightest is the closest to the center of the error circle and would be consistent w ith being a star, and it m ay be extended to the north, possibly indicating a binary com panion. $T$ he source to the east of the circle's center is m ore consistent w ith a galaxy. Finally, the source to the south -w est of the circle's center, on the edge of the circle, is also m ore consistent w th a galaxy. G iven the soff $X$-ray spectrum of this source, the bright stellar com panion is likely the correct one. It is also possible that there is no com panion (the random probability for a star is som ew hat high, even for the closest souroe), in which case it would be a candidate neutron star, but a de nitive answ er will have to aw ait additionalX -ray observations (assessing the spectrum and variability and im proving the position).

### 5.3. SNR G 084.20 .8

SNR G 08420.8 was identi ed as a Galactic SNR by M atthew set al. (1977), who observed a well-de ned nonthem al radio shell ( F ig. 4) w ith diam eters of about $20^{\circ}$ $14^{\circ}$. Feldt \& G reen (1993) identi ed CO and H I em ission interacting with SNR G 0842 0.8, giving the rem nant a kinem atic distance of 4.5 kpc and a size of 28 pc 22 pc . It has not been detected in X -rays so there are no spectral ts to determ ine its age or tem perature. The hydrogen colum $n$ density to SNR G $0842 \quad 0.8$ is $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}} \quad 2 \quad 10^{21}$ (again using C olden) and integrating the appropriate velocity range), while the total hydrogen through the G alaxy is $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}} \quad 1$ $10^{22}$. A ssum ing a Sedov-phase rem nant, we nd (see x4.1) $\mathrm{t}_{4} \quad 0: 6 \mathrm{~T}_{7}{ }^{1=2}$, and since $\mathrm{T}_{7} \quad 1$ holds form ost SNR s , we can say that $t_{4} 0: 3\left\{1.0\right.$ ( w ith $\mathrm{T}_{7}=0: 3\{3$ ).

Lorim er et al. (1998) searched SNR G 08420.8 unsuccessfully for a radio pulsar down to a lim it of 1.1 m Jy at 606 MHz , implying a lum inosity $\lim$ it of $\mathrm{Sd}^{2}<$ $44 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{kpc}^{2}$. Extrapolating to a frequency of 1400 M Hz using an average spectral index of $1: 8$, this is a factor of alm ost > 50 brighter than the lum inosity of PSR J0205+6449.

### 5.3.1. X -ray O bservations

W e observed SNR G 08420.8 w th Chandra on 2002N ovem ber-24. W e determ ined the center of the rem nant to be at (J2000) $20^{\mathrm{h}} 53^{\mathrm{m}} 21^{\mathrm{s}},+4326^{0} 55^{\infty}$. T he aim -point was on the AC IS-I im aging array, and the nal exposure tim e was 24.6 ksec . See Figure 4 for the placem ent of the A C IS-I detector relative to the SNR. A sm oothed im age of the data is shown in $F$ igure 8 w ith the X -ray souroes that we identi ed (Tab.6) labeled.

The analysis of the C handra data proceeded according to Section 42 . In $F$ igure 12 we plot the $H$ tband counts vs. the L-band counts for the souroes in Table 6. About
half of the souroes are consistent w ith power-law s having indices from $0.0\{2.0$, but a num ber of the sources are signi cantly harder. These are likely distant AGN that have had their soft photons heavily absorbed by G alactic gas | a source w ith $=0: 5$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}=2 \quad 10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ would have $H R_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{H}}=0: 8$, sim ilar to the hardest sources. A few sources (SNR G 0842 0.8:1, SNR G 0842 0.8:4, SNR G 0842 0.8:14, SNR G 0842 0.8:16) are soft, with im plied tem peratures (for blackbody models) of $\mathrm{kT}_{1}$ $0: 4 \mathrm{keV}$.

### 5.32. Optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ Observations

W e observed the eld of SNR G 08420.8 a number of tim es with a num ber of instrum ents, as described in Table 11. T he reduction proceeded as in x5.12.

W e determ ined the photom etric zero-points for the LFC data by bootstrapping from VRI Palom ar 60 -inch observations of the Stetson elds ${ }^{22}$ L98, NGC 7654, and PG 0231 (Stetson 2000) and em ploying appropriate transform ations (Jorgensen 1994; Sm th et al 2002) to LFC observations of these elds. For the $W \mathbb{R C}$ observations, we determ ined zero-points using 1700 unsaturated 2M A SS (Skrutskie et al. 1997) stars. For the N $\mathbb{R} C$ observations, we determ ined zero-points using about 60 stars from the $W \mathbb{R C}$ im age.

W e perform ed absolute astrom etry on the LFC data and the $W \mathbb{R} C$ data. A fter applying distortion corrections to the LFC data (we did not use any distortion correction for $W \mathbb{R} C$ ), we solved for plate-scale, rotation, and centralposition relative to stars from version 22 of the $G$ uide Star C atalog ( $\mathrm{GC}-22^{23}$ ) for all but $W \mathbb{R C}$ and relative to 2MASS stars for W $\mathbb{R} C$, getting residuals in each coordinate of 0913 ( 600 stars), and 09 ! 19 (2500 stars) for LFC and $W \mathbb{R} C$ respectively. For the $N \mathbb{R} C$ data we used nonsaturated stars from the W $\mathbb{R C}$ to boot-strap the astrom etry, getting typical residuals of < 0 ! 06 in each coordinate w th about 60 stars.

### 5.3.3. N otes on Individual Sources

SN R G 084.2 0.8:1 This source is alm ost certainly an active star: the in frared colors are indicative of class F 6 or so, the $X$ ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in Figure 29.

SN R G 084.2 0.8:2 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in F igure 29 and its hard X tray spectrum .

SN R G 084.2 0.8:3 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in F igure 29 and its hard X -ray spectrum .

SNR G 084.2 $0.8: 4 \mathrm{Th}$ is source is probably an active star: the infrared colors are indicative ofclassM 0 or so, the X -ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in $F$ igure 29. T here is an - set betw een the X -ray and $\mathbb{R}$ positions, but this is consistent $w$ th the $X$-ray positional uncertainty and the num ber of chance stars of this brightness is quite low.

SN R G 084.2 0.8:5 This is probably a galaxy, given its position in F igure 29 and its hard X -ray spec-
22 http://cadcw w w .dao nrc.ca/cadcb in/w dbi.cgi/astrocat/stetson/query
23 http://www-gsss.stsci.edu/support/data_access.htm
trum . H ow ever, the multiple possible $\mathbb{R}$ counterparts $m$ ake a clear identi cation im possible.

SNR G 084.2 0.8:6 This is likely a galaxy, given its very hard X -ray spectrum . It does not appear in F igure 29 as there are no $L$-band counts, but its $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ m agnitude is sim ilar to that of other galaxies such as SNR G 0842 0.8:2.

SN R G 084.2 0.8:7 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 29 and its hard $X$ ray spectrum .

SNR G 084.2 0.8:10 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in F igure 29 and its hard X tray spectrum . H ow ever, them ultiple possible $\mathbb{R}$ counterpartsm ay a clear identi cation im possible.

SN R G 084.2 0.8:11 This sourcem ay be an active star, given how bright its $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart is (it is possible, but not likely, that the counterpart is due to chanœ). H ow ever, the X -ray spectrum is quite hard and the $\mathbb{R}$ colors are far redder than those typical for stars (an M 7 star would have $J \quad K_{s} \quad 1: 3$, which would require $A_{v} \quad 10 \mathrm{~m}$ ag to get to the observed value of $J \quad K_{s}$ ). Therefore, this source is likely an active galaxy, where intrinsic $J \quad K_{s}$ \& 2 is not that unusual (e.g., Franx et al. 2003) and a larger foreground $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is expected.

SN R G 084.2 0.8:12 This source is probably an active star: the infrared colors are redder than typicalfor a m ain-sequence star of itsm agnitude, but it could be a distant M 5 giant. In addition, the $X$ tray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in $F$ igure 29.

SN R G 084.2 0.8:14 This source is alm ost certainly an active star: the in frared colors are indicative ofclass G 0 , the $X$-ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in $F$ igure 29.

SNR G 084.2 0.8:15 This is likely a galaxy, given its position in $F$ igure 29 and its hard $X$ tray spectrum .

SN R G 084.2 0.8:16 This souroe is probably an active star: the infrared colors are indicative of class M 1 (or possible a K giant), the X -ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus of stars in F igure 29.

SNR G 084.2 0.8:18 This may be a galaxy, given its hard $X$ ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterparts. $H$ ow ever, the error circle is large, and the $\mathbb{R}$ countemparts could be spurious. E ven so, it would still probably be extragalactic in origin as the X -ray source is too hard to be Galactic. For a powerlaw source w th $=0: 7$ (very hard for a neutron star, but plausible for an AGN) we would need $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}} \& 5 \quad 10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ to give the observed hardness ratio. This value is signi cantly higher than the colum n density expected to SNR G 0842 0.8, and is in fact even som ew hat higher than the $G$ alactic colum $n$ density in this direction (although the AGN spectrum could have $<0: 7$ ).

SNR G 084.2 0.8:22 This source is probably an active star: the infrared colors are redder than typicalfor a m ain-sequence star of itsm agnitude, but it could be a distantM 5 giant. In addition, the X ray spectrum is quite soft, and the source falls on the locus ofstars in $F$ igure 29.

SNR G 084.2 0.8:23 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard $X$-ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterparts.

### 5.4. SNR G 127.1+ 0.5

SNR G 127.1+0.5 (R5) is a $45^{\circ}$-diam eter radio shell ( F ig. 5), also known as R 5, which was identi ed as an SNR by C asw ell (1977). It is rem arkable in that it has a bright ( 396 m Jy at 1.4 GHz ), at-spectrum radio point source ( $G 127.11+0.54$ ) near the center ( C asw ell 1977, Joncas, R oger, \& D ew dney 1989), but optical observations (K irshner \& C hevalier 1978, Spinrad, Stau er, \& H arlan 1979) and H I absonption $m$ easurem ents (Pauls et al.1982; G oss \& van $G$ orkom 1984) instead favor an identi cation w ith a m assive elliptical galaxy at 100 M pc distance, sim ilar to M 87 .

The distance is likely $12\{1.3 \mathrm{kpc}$, if it is in fact associated w th the open cluster NGC 559 (Pauls 1977). It has not been detected in X -rays so there are no spectral ts to determ ine its age or tem perature. The hydrogen column density to SNR G127.1+0.5 is $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}} \quad 2 \quad 10^{21}$ (again using C olden) and integrating the appropriate velocity range), while the totalhydrogen through the $G$ alaxy is $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}} \quad 1 \quad 10^{22}$. A gain assum ing a Sedov-phase rem nant, we nd (see $\times 4.1$ ) $t_{4} \quad 0: 6 T_{7}{ }^{1=2}$, or $t_{4} \quad 0: 2\{0.8$ (w ith $T_{7}=0: 3\{3)$.

Lorim er et al. (1998) searched SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 unsuccessfully for a radio pulsar down to a lim it of 0.8 m Jy at 606 M Hz , implying a lum inosity lim it of $\mathrm{Sd}^{2}<$ $1: 4 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{kpc}{ }^{2}$. Extrapolating to a frequency of 1400 M Hz using an average spectral index of $1: 5$, this is a factor of alm ost > 500 brighter than the lum inosity of PSR J0205+ 6449.

### 5.4.1. X -ray O bservations

W e observed SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 w ith Chandra on 2002Septem ber-14. W e determ ined the center of the rem nant to be at (J2000) $01^{\mathrm{h}} 28^{\mathrm{m}} 32^{\mathrm{s}},+6306^{0} 34^{00}$. T he aim -point was on the AC IS-I im aging array, and the nal exposure time was 19.5 ksec . See F igure 5 for the placem ent of the A C IS-I detector relative to the SNR. A sm oothed im age of the data is show $n$ in $F$ igure 9 w th the X -ray sources that we identi ed (Tab.7) labeled.

The analysis of the Chandra data proceeded according to Section 42. In Figure 13 we plot the H -band counts vs. the L-band counts for the sources in Table 7. V irtually all of the souroes are consistent w ith pow er-law s having indices from $0.0\{2.0$. It m ay be that we have underesti$m$ ated the $N_{H}$ to SNR G 127.1+ 0.5, which would put the distribution of hardness ratios closer to what is seen in the other SNRs, but this does not a ect our analysis of the sources.

### 5.42. O ptical/ $\mathbb{R}$ Observations

W e observed the eld of SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 a num ber of tim es with a num ber of instrum ents, as described in Table 12. The reduction proceeded as in x 5.12.

W e determ ined the photom etric zero-points for the LFC data from VRIPalom ar 60-inch observations of the Stetson elds ${ }^{24}$ L98, NGC 7654, and PG 0231 (Stetson 2000) and employing appropriate transform ations (Jorgensen 1994; Sm th et al 2002) to LFC observations of the SNR. For the W $\mathbb{R} C$ observations, we determ ined zero-points using 900 unsaturated 2M ASS (Skrutskie et al 1997) stars. For the N IRC observations, we determ ined zero-points using about $20\{30$ stars from the $W \mathbb{R} C$ im age.

W e perform ed absolute astrom etry on the LFC data and the $W \mathbb{R C}$ data. A fter applying distortion corrections to the LFC data, we solved for plate-scale, rotation, and central position relative to stars from version 2.2 of the $G$ uide Star C atalog (G SC $-22^{25}$ ) for LFC and relative to 2MASS stars for $W \mathbb{R C}$, getting residuals in each coordinate of 0912 ( 1200 stars), and 0922 ( 1100 stars) for LFC and $W \mathbb{R} C$ respectively. For the $N \mathbb{R} C$ data we used nonsaturated stars from the $W \mathbb{R} C$ to boot-strap the astrom etry, getting typical residuals of < 0 0 07 in each coordinate w ith about 20 stars.

### 5.4.3. N otes on Individual Sources

SNR G 127.1+ 0.5:1 T his source is coincident w ith the non-therm al radio source G 127.11+ 0.54 and w th its optical countenpart ( $K$ irshner \& C hevalier 1978; Spinrad et al 1979). The X-ray spectrum is quite hard, tting $w$ th the identi cation as an active galaxy. Interestingly, this is the only source for SNR G $127.1+0.5$ to be in the stellar locus in Figure 30, when we know it to be extragalactic. T he opticalcounterpart is som ew hat brighter than those of $m$ ost galaxies relative to the $X$ ray ux (sim ilar to SNR G 084.2 0.8:11). This could be due to the extended stellar em ission seen from this source, or due to the orientation of the optical jets.

SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:3 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard $X$-ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart.

SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:4 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard $X$-ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart.

SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:5 T his is probably a galaxy, given its hard $X$-ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart.

SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:8 T his is probably a galaxy, given its hard $X$-ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart.

SN R G 127.1+ $0.5: 12 \mathrm{~T}$ his source is am ong the softest of the sources in SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 and its position in $F$ igure 30 is interm ediate betw een the stars and galaxies, so it could be an active star. H ow ever, the spectrum is not actually all that soff, and it is $m$ ore likely to be a galaxy, given its faint, red $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart.

SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:13 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard $X$-ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart.
${ }^{24}$ http://cadcw ww .dao nrc.ca/cadcb in/w dbi.cgi/astrocat/stetson/query
${ }^{25}$ http://www -gsss.stsci.edu/support/data_access.htm

SNR G 127.1+ 0.5:14 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard $X$-ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart.

SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:15 T his is probably a galaxy, given its hard $X$-ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart.

SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:17 This is probably a galaxy, given its hard $X$-ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterpart.

SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:18 T his source is am ong the softest of the sources in SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 and its position in Figure 30 is interm ediate betw een the stars and galaxies, so it could be an active star. Like SNR G 127.1+ 0.5:12, though, the spectrum is still reasonably hard. The source could be a galaxy, given its $X$-ray spectrum and the faint, red $\mathbb{R}$ countempart. If it were a star, it would have to be a distant M giant in order to produce the observed $J K_{s}$ value. It could also be a chance coincidence, given how crow ded the region is in F igure 26.

SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:22 This could be a galaxy, given its hard $X$-ray spectrum and the faint $\mathbb{R}$ counterparts. The second counterpart in Table 7 is alm ost certainly a star, as suggested by the last colum n of Table 7. The rst source $m$ ay also be spurious, but the chances of a real association are reasonable.

## 6. lim its on central sources

In Sections $5.13,52.3,5.3 .3$, and 5.4 .3 , we showed that alm ost all of the $X$-ray sources from $T$ ables $4\{7$ can be identi ed either w ith foreground or background sources. $T$ herefore, there does not appear to be any detected neutron star in SNRs G $093.3+6.9$, G 315.4 2.3, G $084.2+0.8$, or $\mathrm{G} 127.1+0.5$. T here are a sm all num ber of cases where either the association or the type of source (star versus galaxy; see Tab . 17) is uncertain, either due to an optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ detection in only one band and/or a detection at a som ew hat large distance from the X -ray source, but there are certainly no sources that scream out \I am a neutron star." If we accept this, we can then draw two lim its to the ux of any com pact central source: a conservative lim it (Lim it I), and a loose lim it (Lim it II). The conservative lim it $w i l l$ be the $u x$ of the brightest source for which the optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ countenpart is at all in doubt. For SNR G $093.3+6.9$, this would be source SN R G 093.3+ $6.9: 8$ : this counterpart is faint, has unknown colors, is som ew hat far from the X -ray source, and is som ewhat soft. For SNR G 315.4 2.3, this would be source SNR G 315.4 2.3:1: again this is faint, has unknown colors, and is in a crow ded region. For SNR G 08420.8 , the lim iting source would be SN R G 0842 0.8:5: the m ultiple $\mathbb{R}$ counterparts $m$ ake a m association im possible, and the spectrum is not so hard as to elim inate the possibility of a C rab-like pulsar. Finally, for SNR G 127.1+ 0.5, the lim iting source is SN R G 127.1+ 0.5:18, w here the crow ding and unœertain classi cation m akea m association im possible, and again the spectrum is not so hard as to elim inate the possibility of a C rab-like pulsar. H ere we have played devil's advocate, and questioned all of the associations in

Section 5 as m uch as possible. W e in fact believe that the associations are reasonably secure, but we cannot be certain. The looser lim its in each case com e from the faintest sources in Tables 4\{7, and assum e that all of the associations in Tables 13\{16 are correct. W e present the lim its, along $w$ th approxim ate uxes and lum inosities for three di erent source models, in Table 18. In Figure 37, we plot the blackbody lim its along w ith the lum inosities of the sources in Table 2. The lim its for SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 are signi cantly below those of the other SNRs as it had never been observed in the X -rays before, so we did not know what the level of the di use background would be and therefore selected an exposure tim e that would guarantee su cient counts from a source above even the $m$ ost pessim istic background.

Below we discuss the im plications of not detecting any sources in the contexts of di erent $m$ odels for what the sources could be (x 2 ). W e also include discussions of lim itations im posed by our observing strategy, speci cally the lim ited eld of view and the gaps in the A C IS-I detector.

### 6.1. Instrum ental Lim itations

### 6.1.1. $F$ ield of $V$ iew

W hile we did not detect any bright X -ray sources that were obviously com pact objects, it $m$ ay be that this was because the sources had extrem ely high velocities that carried them beyond the ACIS-I eldofferew. This would imply $\mathrm{V}_{\text {? }}>1600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ for both SNR G $093.3+6.9$ and $\operatorname{SNR}$ G 315.4 2.3, ? ? $1700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ for SNR G 08420.8 , and $\mathrm{v}_{\text {? }}>700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ for SNR G127.1+0.5 (assum ing a centered explosion). $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{?}}=1600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ is higher than the velocities of $99 \%$ of the radio pulsar population, while $v_{\text {? }}=700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ is higher than 90\% of the population (A rzoum anian et al. 2002). For one source, this $m$ ight be acceptable, but for tw o or m ore sources the chances becom e too low ( $10{ }^{7}$ for all four sources), requiring another explanation.

G varam adze \& V ikhlinin (2003) believe that SN R G 315.4 w as the result of an o -oenter explosion in a cavity created by the SN 'sm oving progenitor, and have sim ilar hypotheses about other SNRs (e.g., Bock \& G varam adze 2002).

### 6.12. Chip G aps

The AC IS-I detector has gaps betw een the four CCD s where the sensitivity falls to zero. D uring norm alobservations som e com pensation is $m$ ade for this by the dithering of the spacecraft. For our observations, we ended up with approxim ately 5\% of the area having an e ective exposure that was $50 \%$ of the nom inalexposure. The wavdetect program used exposure $m$ aps to account for this e ect when detecting souroes, so that sources located in the chip gaps can have few er detected counts but end up w th the sam e signi cance as a source in the $m$ iddle of the chips, so we should not be $m$ issing sources due to the chip gaps. But there is a $5 \%$ chance of having a source in the gap region that is not detected for which the ux/lum inosity lim its should be a factor of 2 higher than those stated in Table 18.

## 62. AXPs

The properties of AXPs in Section 2.3 allow us to state that there are no such sources in the central $8^{\circ}$ of SNR s

Table 18
Lim its on Central Sources in SNRs G 093. $3+6.9$, G 315.4 2.3, G $084.2+0.8$, and G 127.1+0.5

| M odel | Lim ${ }^{\text {d }}$ I |  |  | Lim it II |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C ounts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $L_{X}{ }^{\text {ac }}$ | C ounts ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{\text {ab }}$ | $L_{X}{ }^{\text {ac }}$ |
| SNR G 093.3+ 6.9: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BB $\left(\mathrm{kT}_{1}=0.25 \mathrm{keV}\right)$ | 25 | 9.1 | 2.6 | 11 | 4.0 | 1.1 |
| PL ( $=1: 5$ ) | " | 20.7 | 3.7 | " | 9.1 | 1.6 |
| PL ( $=3: 5$ ) | " | 13.1 | 7.3 | " | 5.8 | 32 |
| SNR G 315.4 2.3: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B B $\left(\mathrm{kT}_{1}=0.25 \mathrm{keV}\right)$ | 45 | 24.7 | 4.5 | 11 | 6.0 | 1.1 |
| PL ( $=1: 5)$ | " | 55.8 | 6.4 | " | 13.6 | 1.6 |
| PL ( $=3: 5$ ) | " | 35.3 | 12.4 | " | 8.6 | 3.0 |
| SNR G 0842 0.8: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B B $\left(\mathrm{kT}_{1}=0.25 \mathrm{keV}\right)$ | 20 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 12 | 2.5 | 12 |
| PL ( $=1: 5)$ | " | 9.9 | 2.9 | " | 5.9 | 1.7 |
| PL ( $=3: 5$ ) | " | 6.3 | 5.7 | " | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BB $\left(\mathrm{kT}_{1}=0.25 \mathrm{keV}\right)$ | 20 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 12 | 32 | 0.1 |
| PL ( $=1: 5)$ | " | 12.5 | 0.3 | " | 7.4 | 0.1 |
| PL ( $=3: 5$ ) | " | 7.9 | 02 | " | 4.8 | 0.1 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ In the $0.3\{8.0 \mathrm{keV}$ band.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{X}$ ray ux $10^{15}$ ergs $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{am}^{2}$.
${ }^{c} \mathrm{X}$-ray lum inosity $10^{31}$ ergs $\mathrm{s}^{1}$, corrected for absonption according to $\mathrm{xx} 5.1\{5.4$, and using the distances in Table 1.

N ote. $\mid$ Fluxes and lum inosities were com puted using W 3P $\mathbb{I M}$ M S. See x 6 for de nition of lim it types.


Fig. 37.| X ray lum inosities ( $0.5\{2 \mathrm{keV}$ ) as a function of age for neutron stars in SNRs from Table 2 . Sources whose em ission is prim arily them al are indicated w th plus sym bols, those whose em ission is prim arily non-therm al are indicated with stars, and those w ith only lim its are indicated with triangles. The sources that have X ray PW Ne, which are typically $>10$ tim es the $X$ ray lum inosity of the neutron stars them selves, are circled. W e also plot the lim its to blackbody em ission from sources in SN R s G $093.3+6.9$ (red hatched region), G 315.42 .3 (green hatched region), G $084.2+0.8$ (blue cross-hatched region), and G $127.1+0.5$ (gold hatched region). A 30\% uncertainty in the distance has been added to the range of lum inosities given in $T$ able 18 (i.e. we have taken the Type I lim its with a $30 \%$ larger distance and the Type II lim its with a $30 \%$ sm aller distance, to give the w idest probable range of hum inosities), and the likely range of ages is also shown. The cooling curves are the 1 p proton super uid m odels from $Y$ akovlev et al . (2003) (solid lines, w ith m ass as labeled) and the norm al (i.e., non-super uid) $\mathrm{M}=1: 35 \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{m}$ odel (dot-dashed line), assum ing blackbody spectra and $R_{1}=10 \mathrm{~km}$. These curves are m eant to be ilhustrative of general cooling trends, and should not be interpreted as detailed predictions. The horizontal lines show the lum inosity produced by blackbodies w ith $\mathrm{R}_{1}=10 \mathrm{~km}$ and $\log \mathrm{T}_{1} \quad(\mathrm{~K})$ as indicated. Faster cooling than the curves is possible, due either to the presence of exotic particles in the NS core or to the full on set of direct U rca cooling for a heavier N S (e.g., Yakovlev et al. 2002b).

G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G $084.2+0.8$, or G 127.1+ 0.5 : the lum inosity lim its for $=3: 5$ in T able 18 are at m ost $10^{32}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$, or two orders of $m$ agnitude below those of most AXPs and a factor of 10 less than the \quiescent" states of the possibly variable AXPs. This discrepancy cannot be solved by a slight change in distance or absonption, and is therefore quite m .

### 6.3. C ooling Radio-Q uiet $N$ eutron Stars

U sing the standard cooling curve ( $m$ odi ed U rca only) in Figure 37, we would estim ate lum inosities of (2\{ 5) $10^{32}$ ergs $s^{1}$ for any com pact sources. O bviously, our lim its are below those values. Our lim its are also below the lum inosities of $m$ ost of the sources in Ta ble 2: only PSR B2334+61, CXO J061705.3+222127, PSR B 1853+ 01, and RX J0007.0+ 7302 are com parable (while P SR B 1853+ 01 is dom inated by non-them al Xray em ission, any cooling radiation would have to be below this level). H ow ever, $m$ ost of these ob jects are older (\& 30 kyr ) than the SNR s considered here (. 10 kyr ), and three of these sources have substantial X -ray (and radio) PW Ne that would $m$ ake them detectable in the absence of point-source em ission.

W th our lim its in Table 18, any therm alem ission from sources in SNR sG $093.3+6.9$, G 315.4 2.3, G $084.2+0.8$, or $\mathrm{G} 127.1+0.5 \mathrm{w}$ ould have to have $\mathrm{T}_{1}$. $8 \quad 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ (orkT $\mathrm{T}_{1}$. 70 eV , for $\mathrm{R}_{1} 10 \mathrm{~km}$ ) : see F igure 37. Even for radii of 3 km (x 2.4), the lim its on $\mathrm{kT}_{1}$ are $100 \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{T}$ hese are lower than expected from standard cooling curves (P age 1998, Slane, Helfand, \& M urray 2002, K am inker et al. 2002; Y akovlev et al. 2002b) and would require som e exotic physics (pion cooling, direct U rca cooling, etc.) that $m$ ay be related to a $m$ ore $m$ assive neutron star ( $Y$ akovlev et al. 2003).

### 6.4. Radio Pulsars

If we compare the lum inosity lim its for the $=2: 0$ m odel for SNR S G 093.3+ 6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G 084 2+ 0.8 , and $\mathrm{G} 127.1+0.5 \mathrm{w}$ ith the lum inosities of know n radio pulsars ( T ab. 3), we see that our lim its are below $m$ ost but not all of lum inosities of pulsars found in SNRs. Translating our lim its to lim its on E- (roughly, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x} ; 0: 12: 4 \mathrm{keV}}$
$0: 5 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{x}} ; 0: 38 \mathrm{keV}$ and using $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}$;0:1 $2: 4 \mathrm{keV} \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{E}$; Becker \& Trumper 1997) we nd E- . $310^{34}$ ergs s $^{1}$ (for the type I lim it) or E- . $8 \quad 10^{33}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ (for the type II lim it) for SNR G 093.3+6.9, SNR G 315.4 2.3, and SNR G 08420.8 , and E-. $210^{33}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ (for the type I lim it) or E- . $3 \quad 10^{32}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ (for the type II lim it) for SNR G 127.1+ 0.5. C om paring w the values of E- given in Section 2.1, these lim its are below those of traditional pulsars, but are com patible w th the values for the low -E-HBPSRs. Our lim its on $L_{x}$ are consistent $w$ th the one low 巴- HBPSR that has detected X-ray em ission | PSR J1718 37184 (M CLaughlin et al 2003) | but its age and distance are poorly known and its lum inosity of
$10^{30}$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$ is roughly what is expected from standard cooling curves for a source w ith 30 kyr in contrast to the sources discussed here ( x 6.3 ).

Since we cannot constrain the existence of a low E-pulsar in any of these four SNR S, we can ask what its period m ight be. To do so we m ust assum e that initial period is
much less than the current period so that the characteristic age $\quad \mathrm{P}=2 \mathrm{P}-$ is sim ilar to the actual age and that the braking index has the constant value $n=3 . W$ e know that this is not alw ays the case (e.g., M urray et al.2002b; M igliazzo et al. 2002; Lyne 2004), but it is the best guess that one can $m$ ake. Under this assum ption one nds $P$ 1:4 $10^{23}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{I}_{45}}(\mathrm{E}-)^{0: 5} \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{B} \quad 3: 2 \quad 10^{42}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{I}_{45}}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{E}-\right)^{0: 5} \mathrm{G}$, where $I=10^{45} \mathrm{I}_{45} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{2}$ is the m om ent of inertia. W ith $4000\{6000 \mathrm{yrs}$, we nd P \& 3 s for SNR G $093.3+6.9$, SNR G 315.4 2.3, and SNR G 0842 0.8, and P \& 9 s for SNR G127.1+0.5. These periods are larger than those of $m$ ost but not all radio pulsars (Young, $M$ anchester, \& Johnston 1999, Cam ilo et al. 2000; M CLaughlin et al 2003), and may be high enough to take any source in SNR G $093.3+6.9$ or SNR G 315.42 .3 beyond the radio \death line." The implied dipole $m$ agnetic elds are also high, \& $210^{14} \mathrm{G}$ for SNR G $093.3+6.9$, SNR G 315.4 2.3, and SNR G $0842 \quad 0.8$ and \& $5 \quad 10^{15} \mathrm{G}$ for SNR G 127.1+0.5, sim ilar to PSR J1814 1744 and PSR J1847 0130. This $m$ ay be indicative of a grow ing population of such ob jects: young, non-energetic longperiod pulsars. The lack of detected pulsed radio em ission in any of these four SNRs (Lorim er et al. 1998; K aspi et al 1996) m ay be intrinsic (i.e., there is no radio em ission), it $m$ ay be an orientation e ect, or it $m$ ay just be that the SN R s have not been searched deeply enough over enough of an area, as there is now a grow ing num ber of radio pulsars w th lum inosities (de ned here as $\mathrm{F}_{\text {radio }} \mathrm{d}^{2}$ ) below 1 m Jy kpc ${ }^{2}$ (e.g., C am ilo et al. 2002b,a), far low er than typical for radio pulsars.

### 6.4.1. Pulsar $W$ ind $N$ ebulae

A ny PW Ne in the SNRs discussed here are \& 3000 yrs old and may have already interacted with the reverse shocks (x 2.2), so their sizes and brightnesses would be hard to predict. W e therefore exam ine lim its on PW Ne for a range of sizes (as in $\times 4.3$ ). W e also scale to a ducial size of $1 \mathrm{pc} \quad 1^{0} \mid \quad$ we did not detect any sources $w$ ith those sizes in our im ages except for the known therm al em ission from RCW 86.

To quantify this, we take the lim its on extended sources from Section 4.3 and Table 8. W e convert the count lim its to lum inosity lim its using a photon index of $=1: 5$, getting the lim its in Table 8. These lim its are below the hu$m$ inosities of virtually allyoung PW N e detected in X rays (P ossentiet al. 2002), but are consistent w ith som e older sources such as the Vela PW N, C T B 80, and W 44 (P avlov et al. 2001a). H ow ever, these PW Ne all have signi cant non-therm al radio em ission, em ission that is not present in SNR G 093.3+ 6.9, SNR G 315.4 2.3, SNR G 084.2 0.8, or SNR G 127.1+ 0.5 since they are all shell-type SNRs.

### 6.5. B inary System s

As many as $50 \%$ of $m$ assive stars originate in binary system S , which presum ably give rise to X -ray binaries and eventually m illisecond pulsars. O ne m ight expect that we could see a binary system where the $m$ ore $m$ assive star has gone supemova but the less $m$ assive has not evolved. In this case, we would see X -ray em ission that appears to be (but is not physically) associated w ith an opticallydetected star that $m$ ight be hard to distinguish from the active stars that $m$ ake up the $m$ ajority of $G$ alactic $X$-ray
sources (if the star did evolve and the com panion were close enough, it would donate $m$ atter to the com pact object and would appear as an X -ray binary and would have di erent properties).

H ow ever, after only one supemova the binary system would have a sm all space velocity, $<100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ (P fahl, R appaport, \& P odsiadlow ski 2002a, P fahl et al. 2002b). It would therefore be restricted to a sm aller region than the full search, which accom modates velocities up to $1500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ (x6.1.1). A velocity of $100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ is an angular o set of $30^{\infty}$ (for an average distance of 3 kpc and age of 5 kyr ) much sm aller than the ACIS-I eld-ofview.

As seen in Tables 4\{7, we have found only one source w thin a radius of $30^{\circ}$ of the center: SNR G 127.1+ 0.5:1, which is known to be extragalactic. In fact, none of the stellar sources (as determ ined in xx 5.1.3, 5.2.3, 5.3.3, and 5.4.3) appear to be at distances beyond 1 kpc (com paring w ith Fig. 32). This is likely a selection e ect of our X -ray ux lim its: for stellar lum inosities of $10^{29}\left\{10^{31}\right.$ ergs s ${ }^{1}$, our ux lim its translate into distance lim its of 1 kpc .

If none of the stellar sources could be com panions to a neutron star, wem ust ask if any of the sources identi ed as galaxies could in fact be stars, and their identi cations as galaxies could be coincidence. A s seen from $F$ igures 32 \{34, a m ain-sequence star at the distances ofSN R sG 093.3+ 6.9, G 315.42 .3 , G $0842+0.8$, orG $127.1+0.5 \mathrm{w}$ ould have $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ $15\{19$, depending on stellar type (giant stars at these distance would $m$ ostly be too bright, with K . 10). All of the \galaxies" in Sections 5.1.3,5.2.3,5.3.3, and 5.4.3 have K > 17 except SNR G 0842 0.8:11 (and this is too red to be at the distance/reddening ofSN R G 0842 0.8), so if they were $m$ ain-sequence stars they would be type K 5 or later. H ow ever, even ifone of these sources is a com panion to a neutron star, the Type I lim its still apply, and any neutron star would be under-lum inous ( x 6.3 ).

### 6.6. A ocreting B lack H oles

If the SNe produced black holes (BHS) and not neutron stars, the black holes them selves would be invisible. X -ray em ission would only be detected if there were m aterial accreting into the BHs. M odels for such em ission are not very well understood. T herm alem ission $m$ ight be expected to com efrom the inner portion of the accretion disk itself, w th an area of severaltim es $R_{S}^{2}$ (C hakrabarty et al 2001), where $R_{S}$ is the Schw arzschild radius of the BH.For a $10 \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{BH}$ ( w th $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}=15 \mathrm{~km}$ ), the area would be \& $1000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, or $m$ uch larger than the lim its on therm al em ission (x 6.3) for tem peratures \& 100 eV . It is also possible that the $X$-ray em ission arises from $C$ om pton scattering in an optically thin corona over a thin disk or via optically thin brem sstrahlung em ission from a hot advection-dom inated accretion ow (ADAF, as in the m odel of $N$ arayan, B arret, \& M OC lintock 1997), but these m odels are not well enough developed to provide usefiul constraints.

### 6.7. Type Ia Supemovae

Type Ia SNe are believe to result from carbon detonation/de agration of a white dwarf that has been pushed beyond its mass lim it through accretion from a com panion star. T he resulting explosion com pletely dismupts the
star, synthesizing nearly a solar $m$ ass of ${ }^{56} \mathrm{~N}$ i which ulti$m$ ately decays to Fe . N o com pact core is left behind. T he SNRs from such events thus form a subsam ple in which we do not expect to nd an associated neutron star. The $m$ ean rate for $T$ ype $I a \operatorname{SNe}$ is $2025 \%$ of that for corecollapse supemovae (C appellaro, Evans, \& Turatto 1999), so we expect less than $20 \%$ of the observable SNRs to be the result of such explosions.

The ejecta produced in Type Ia events di ens considerably than that from core-collapse $S N$ e. The form er are rich in Fe and Siw hile SNR sfrom core-collapse events are richer in O and Ne . Foryoung SN R s, the X -ray spectra can be used to identify those of Type Ia origin (e.g., H ughes et al. 1995, 2003; Lew is et al 2003). O nce the $X$-ray em ission is dom inated by sw ept-up circum stellar or interstellar $m$ atter, such discrim ination is $m u c h ~ m$ ore di cult. SNR s originating from core collapse are often found near the m olecular clouds in which the progenitors form ed, while those from Type Ia events are from stars $w$ th su ciently long lifetim es that they can have traveled far from their birthplaces. Thus, for SNRs located in the near vicinity of active star-form ing regions one can reasonably assum e that they originated from m assive stars. H ow ever, the $a b-$ sence of nearby star form ation or dense $m$ olecularm aterial is not necessarily a direct indicator of a $T$ ype Ia progenitor. There are three G alactic SNRs that are com $m$ only thought of as the products of Ia events | Tycho, and SN 1006, and perhaps K epler (Baade 1945; Fesen et al. 1988; A llen et al. 2001) | all reasonably young \historic" rem nants (Stephenson \& G reen 2002).

If any of the SNR s discussed here were know n to be the result of a T ype Ia explosion (as suggested by som e authors forboth SNR G $093.3+6.9$ and SNR G 315.4 2.3), then we would not expect to see a com pact rem nant. O bserving a known Ia rem nant w ould then be a good test case for our $m$ ethodology: as we have not found any candidate com pact rem nant, it dem onstrates that our m ethod is not inclined to nd false positives. H ow ever, while know ing that a SNR is from a Type Ia explosion precludes the existence of com pact ob jects, the lack of com pact ob jects does not require a SNR to be from a Type Ia. Therefore, we cannot conclude that SNR G $093.3+6.9$ or SNR G 315.42 .3 is the result of a Type Ia explosion.

## 7. conclusions

There are 45 known SNRs that are reliably within 5 kpc of the Sun. M ost of these SNR s are expected to contain central neutron stars: . 20\% are expected to result from Type Ia SNe and thus not contain a central compact source, while 20\% (dependent on the stellar initial m ass function, the lim iting m ass for black holes, and binary evolution; H eger et al 2003) are expected to host a centralblack hole that $m$ ay not be easily identi ed as such. T hanks to the persistent e orts by astronom ers over the past four decades, central sources have been detected in the X-ray and/or radio bands in 18 of these SN R s, and three have been identi ed as probable Type Ia SNe. In som e cases, only a centrally located PW N is detected, but in those cases (ie. IC 443) it is reasonably assum ed that the PW N is pow ered by a central com pact source.

W e have begun a program of searching for com pact sources in the rem aining 23 SN R s. T he program has been $m$ otivated by the discovery of a point-like $X$ ray source
at the very center of the youngest known Galactic SNR, C as A. The program has two observational com ponents: im aging w ith Chandra or XMM in the X -ray band follow ed by ground-based optical/ $\mathbb{R}$ follow up. T he latter is essential because of the high incidence of of interlopers (foreground stars and background galaxies). Such a com prehensive program is possible thanks to the astrom etric accuracy of the $X$-ray $m$ issions com bined $w$ ith high sensitivity.

In this paper we report on Chandra ACIS-I observations of four shell rem nants (G 093.3+6.9, G 315.4 2.3, G $0842+0.8$, G $127.1+0.5$ ). W e undertook optical and $\mathbb{R}$ observations of every $X$-ray source detected $w$ ith $m$ ore than 10 counts. For alldetections, we found, w thin astro$m$ etric errors, a countenpart in one or $m$ ore bands. These counterparts w ere consistent w ith either foreground (stars) or background (galaxies) sources. In particular, the X -ray ux and/or the $X$-ray to optical ( $\mathbb{R}$ ) ratio of the detected $X$-ray sources were not as extreme as all known classes of neutron stars: accreting neutron stars, radio pulsars, AXPs and SGRs.

In x 6 we discuss reasons why standard neutron stars were not found in these SNRs: they could have fallen in the gap betw een the chips, they could have escaped our eld of view due to a very high velocities, they could be undetectable black holes, or they could not exist ow ing to the SNR s being the results of Type Ia explosions. A ll of these scenarios are unlikely for a single source, and even $m$ ore so for all four, but are technically possible. If, on the other hand, these scenarios do not apply, then four rem nants contain neutron stars that are fainter than our $X$-ray detection lim it (typically, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} .10^{31} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ in the $0.5\{10 \mathrm{keV}$ band).

W e now consider this last (and m ost interesting) possibility. In the absence of other form s of energy generation (accretion, rotation power, $m$ agnetic eld decay) the $m$ inim um X-ray ux one expects is set by the cooling of the neutron star. From Section 6.3 and $F$ igure 37 we im m ediately see that the central neutron stars in these four rem nantsm ust be cooler than those present for exam ple in the sim ilarly-aged P uppis A , PK S 1205 51/52 and RCW 103.

O ur know ledge of the physics of cooling is by no $m$ eans m . There is considerable debate am ong theorists as to which of the multitude of physical processes can signi cantly a ect the cooling output and as to which of the physical param eters ( $m$ ass, rotation rate, $m$ agnetic eld) controls these processes (Y akovlev et al. 2002a). N onetheless, there is agreem ent that $m$ ore $m$ assive neutron stars (w ith their larger $m$ ean densities) coolm ore rapidly than those with sm aller $m$ ass; this expectation is ilhustrated in $F$ igure 37. Thus our upper $l i m$ its can be $m$ ade consistent $w$ ith the cooling possibility provided the central neutron stars in these four SNRs are $m$ ore $m$ assive than known cooling neutron stars. Indeed, the known exam ples of radio-quiet ob jects could well result from a strong selection e ect, nam ely the earlier $X$ ray observations by Einstein and ROSAT detected the warm er cooling neutron stars (ignoring the neutron stars detected because of non-them alem ission). T he existing data $m$ ay already hint at a param eter a ecting cooling, as exem pli ed by P SR J0205+ 6449 (Slane et al 2002) and the Vela pulsar (P avlov et al. 2001b), but also possibly by P SR B 1853+ 01
(Petre, K untz, \& Shelton 2002) and RX J0007.0+ 7302 (Slane et al 2004).
O f course, we also do not see rotation-pow ered pulsars such as the majority of the objects in Table 2. Recent observations are nding pulsars w th low er radio lum inosities and values ofE than ever before, and our lim its would only be consistent w th these new er sources. O ne m ight then ask why we see nether a standard cooling neutron star nor a standard active pulsar, assum ing that there is no intrinsic correlation betw een these properties. It is possible that there truly are no neutron stars in these SN R s, allow ing one to speculate wildly about what actually is there.

In subsequent papers we plan to report the X -ray observations and ground-based follow up of the rem aining 19 SNR. If no central sources are identi ed then the hypothesis proposed here, nam ely that there is a param eter that determ ines cooling of neutron star will be strengthened. The simplest (and $m$ ost reasonable) suggestion is that this second param eter is the $m$ ass of neutron stars, so that cooling observations could be used to \w eigh" isolated neutron stars (as discussed by K am inker, H aensel, \& Yakovlev 2001). This hypothesis is at odds with the strong clustering of binary neutron star $m$ asses ( $T$ horsett \& C hakrabarty 1999). W e note, though, that $m$ ass determ ination is only possible for neutron stars in com pact binary system sw here signi cant interaction w th the com panion $m$ ay have taken place. Thus it is possible that neutron stars resulting from single stars are system atically $m$ ore $m$ assive than those which evolve in com pact binary system s (and have gained $m$ ass through accretion).
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