M odulated uctuations from hybrid in ation

Francis Bernardeau

Service de Physique Theorique, CEA/DSM/SPhT, Unite de recherche associee au CNRS, CEA/Saclay F-91191 G if-sur-Yvette cedex, France,

> Lev Kofm an CITA, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, M 5S 3H8, Canada,^y

> > Jean {Philippe U zan Laboratoire de Physique Theorique, CNRS-UMR 8627, Bât. 210, Universite Paris X I, F-91405 O rsay œdex, Franœ, and Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, GReCO, CNRS-FRE 2435, 98 bis, Bd Arago, 75014 Paris, Franœ.^z (Dated: April 11, 2024)

In ation universally produces classical almost scale free G aussian inhom ogeneities of any light scalars. A ssum ing the coupling constants at the tim e of in ation depend on some light moduli elds, we encounter the generation of modulated cosm obgical uctuations from (p) reheating. This is an alternative mechanism to generate observable (almost) scale free adiabatic metric perturbations. We extend this idea to the class of hybrid in ation, where the bifurcation value of the in atom is modulated by the spatial inhom ogeneities of the couplings. As a result, the symmetry breaking after in ation occurs not simultaneously in space but with the time laps in diment H ubble patches inherited from the long-wavelength moduli inhom ogeneities. To calculate modulated uctuations we introduce techniques of general relativistic matching conditions for metric perturbations at the time hypersurface where the equation of state after in ation undergoes a jump, without evoking the detailed microscopic physics, as far as it justi es the jump. We apply this theory to the modulated uctuations from the hybrid and chaotic in ations. We discuss what distinguish them odulated from the in ation-driven uctuations, in particular, their spectral index, modi cation of the consistency relation and the issue of weak non-G aussianity.

I. IN TRODUCTION

One of the generic predictions of in ation lies in the fact that vacuum uctuations of all light scalar elds, a, m inimially coupled to gravity and with a mass smaller than the Hubble parameter (m H), are universally unstable and appear after in ation as classical random a priori G aussian inhom ogeneities with (alm ost) scale free spectrum $H = \frac{1}{2k^3}$. The wavelengths of the uctuations, a (t;x), of such a light scalar eld are stretched by in ation and exceed the Hubble patch after in ation.

One can relate $_{a}(t;x)$ to the cosm ological scalar metric perturbations in dimension of the underlying theory. Indeed, the simplest and most studied possibility is to assume that there is a single light scalar eld that is the in atom itself, '. The in atom uctuations ' are transferred to the scalar metric perturbations through gravitational interaction [1].

On general grounds, we may however expect many scalar elds playing roles during in ation. There is a broad range of multiple elds in ationary models with di erent motivations behind them, like e.g. double in ation [2]

E lectronic address: fbemard@ spht.saclay.cea.fr

^yE lectronic address: kofm an@ cita.utoronto.ca

^zE lectronic address: uzan@ th.u-psud.fr

or hybrid in ation [3], where di erent elds dom inate at di erent stages of the cosm ological evolution. Multiple elds were also evoked to design departures from the standard in ationary predictions: existence of isocurvature modes [3, 4], non-scale free spectrum of primordial uctuations [5] or deviation from Gaussianity [6, 7]. In these cases some elds non-necessarily give dom inant contribution to the background evolution, but during some time give dom inant contribution to the perturbations. Another corner of the multiple eld parameter space is related to the curvaton scenario [8]. There, a new ly introduced scalar eld, the curvaton, that is indeed not the in aton, should be light during in ation, plus dom inate after in aton decay, plus decay after in aton but prior BBN, plus gives a dom inant contribution to the metric uctuations.

A new and more economic idea to generate cosm obgical perturbations from modulated uctuations of couplings was proposed recently [9, 10, 11]. In the context of multiple scalar elds theories, it is assumed in these models that some of the light elds never give dominant contribution neither into background nor in perturbations during in ation, but contribute to the coupling constants

$$= (a):$$
 (1)

Indeed, in string theory [12] couplings are in fact the VEV of moduli elds and, in SUSY theories couplings can also depend on scalars. As a result, uctuations of the moduli _a generated during in ation, will manifest them selves in spatial inhom ogeneities of the couplings

$$=\frac{\varrho}{\varrho}_{a} \quad a :$$
 (2)

The interaction is not as important during in ation as it is after in ation. Consider for example simple chaotic in ation. The background scalar eld rolls towards the minimum of the potential, where it begins to oscillate. Due to the coupling to the other elds the in aton decays into radiation in the process of (p) reheating [13]. Because of the large scale coupling inhom ogeneities, Eq. (2), at scales much larger than the causal horizon after in ation, transition from the matter dominated regime of in aton oscillations to radiation occurs in di erent causal patches not simultaneously, which leads to sm alladiabaticm etric perturbations after (p) reheating. A fter the moduli a get pinned down to their minima, the spatial variations of coupling constants in the late time universe will be erased. How ever, the large scale metric uctuations that are produced due to interactions survive as a memory of the prim ordialm oduli inhom ogeneities.

It is actually not m andatory for scalar elds to be light during in ation. In fact, in the context of N = 1 supergravity with the m inimal K ahler potential during in ation the scalars typically acquire the mass m_a H. Cosmological uctuations neither in in atom sector nor in m oduli sector are produced unless a special care is taken to make at least some of them light. This, together with the options to built up in ationary models, motivate the study of di erent m echanisms of generation of cosmological perturbations.

In this article, we investigate this mechanism of modulated cosm ological uctuations. O riginal papers on the modulated uctuations [10, 11] discussed metric perturbations generated from the decay of in aton oscillations. However, there is another in portant class of hybrid in ationary models, which typically emerges in supergravity and the string theory/brane cosm ology. The two eld (';) hybrid in ation has the elective potential

$$V_{e} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}g^{2} \sqrt{2}^{2} + V (')$$
(3)

where V (') is the in atom potential. This potential contains two couplings and g^2 , which de ne the end-point of in ation and the eld dynamics. A ssum ing these couplings are moduli dependent, we encounter modulated uctuations in the hybrid in ation. This is the main novel idea of the paper which we describe and develop in Section II.

O ne may think of di erent aspects of the theory of modulated uctuations, related to the nature of the moduli and dependencies, Eq. (1), details of preheating, therm alization, moduli evolution etc. Here we concentrate on the cosm ological G eneral R elativistic part of the story, namely, how to derive cosm ological metric perturbations from the coupling perturbations, Eq. (2). In the chaotic in ation typically in aton oscillations decay through the nonperturbative e ect of parametric resonance of particle creation [13]. This leads to copious production of particles in out-of-equilibrium state. Further interactions between particles relax them towards therm alequilibrium . Perturbative regime of particle interactions takes place at the latest stages of transition from in ation towards hot radiation [14]. In hybrid in ation, preheating after in ation has the character of the tachyonic preheating [15], accompanying the symmetry breaking after in ation [16]. Tachyonic preheating leads to generation of particles out-of-equilibrium with subsequent therm alization of them . C oupling constants of interaction appears at di erent stages of preheating and therm alization. How ever, for the production of modulated cosm ological perturbations it is essential that couplings are responsible for the change of the equation of state. If the equation of state is not changing, modulated perturbations are not generated. In this respect we shall concentrate at the rst instance where equation of state after in ation is changing due to the couplings. In the case of chaotic in ation it happens when an e ective matter equation of state of the coherent in atom oscillations is replaced by the radiation in the very fast process of preheating. In the case of hybrid in ation, the vacuum -like (in ation) equation of state is replaced by radiation in the very quick process of tachyonic preheating.

So far the form alism of the modulated cosm obgical uctuations was considered for the toy model of slow perturbative reheating [10, 11, 17, 18], often with the Yukawa type interaction between in aton and ferm ions. This is fair enough to see in principle that metric uctuations are generated from the moduli inhom ogeneities. However, the modern theory of the transition between in ation and radiation is described by the theory of preheating. Even for case of ferm ions their production is non-perturbative and signi cantly modi ed by preheating [19]. In this paper, on the technical side, we suggest the method to treat generation of modulated cosm obgical uctuations in the in ationary models with preheating. We will use the fact that in all cases preheating is very short, and from the general relativistic point of view can be considered as the instant jump of the equation of state. It is then convenient to use the form alism of matching conditions of the geometrical quantities at the time of the transition [23]. For this, we do not need to know the microscopic details of preheating and therm alization.

In Section II, we rst discuss the basics of the modulation of the couplings and som emodel building aspects that have to be fullled for such a mechanism to be e cient. A fler recalling the basics of the matching conditions in Section IV, we then apply them to the standard single eld in ationary case in Section V.W e then turn to the case of modulated uctuations in Section VI.W e will discuss the main features of the mechanism in Section VII and in particular emphasize that it allows to extend the standard consistency relation of in ation.

II. MODULATED FLUCTUATIONS OF COUPLINGS IN HYBRID INFLATION

Consider a model of hybrid in ation. The basic shape of the e ective potential is given by Eq. (3), where ' is the in atom is another scalar eld, which is massive during in ation but whose e ective mass changes sign at the critical value of the in atom value

$$V_{c} = \frac{P - V_{c}}{q};$$
(4)

The point where $' = '_c$; = 0 is a bifurcation point. For $' > '_c$ the squares of the e ective m assess of both elds are positive and the potential has a minimum at = 0. For $' < '_c$ the potential has a maximum at = 0. The global minimum is located at ' = 0 and j j= v. How ever, at $' > '_c$ the e ective potential has a valley along = 0. In this model, in ation occurs while the ' eld rolls slow ly in this valley from large values tow ands the bifurcation point. At bifurcation point the symmetry breaking occurs. Recall, how ever, that im mediately after the bifurcation point the

eld has a negative mass square. Hence, dynamics of the symmetry breaking is accompanying by the tachyonic instability of inhom ogeneous modes. It results in the very rapid decay of the hom ogeneous elds into inhom ogeneous modes in the non-linear regime of tachyonic preheating [15, 16] much before the global potential minimum is reached. For what we are interested in this bath of inhom ogeneous modes essentially behaves like a radiation uid. In the following we will simply assume the transition between in ation and radiation domination to be instantaneous

In general in hybrid models ' and can be viewed as scalar elds coming from a much larger scalar sector of the theory. We then assume that there exist a set of light scalar elds, $_{\rm a}$ and that the couplings and g absorb the dependence on these scalars as

$$= (_{a}); g = g(_{a}) =) '_{c} = '_{c}(_{a}):$$
(5)

, g^2 are determined by the VEVs of these elds. Since the elds $_a$ are light, they develop super-Hubble inhomogeneities so that the symmetry breaking that terminates the in ationary stage does not occur at the same time everywhere and is modulated over space. The uctuations of the light elds modulate the couplings and g^2 which then have spatial uctuations in a way that depends on their dependence on the $_a$ VEVs,

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \frac{\theta}{\theta} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} a; \tag{6}$$

$$g^2 \qquad \frac{X}{Q_a} = \frac{Q_a^2}{a} = a^2$$
 (7)

It follows that our model consists of the in aton, \prime , a Higgs-like eld, and the light elds, $_{\rm a}$ with a potential of the form

$$V_{e} = \frac{1}{4} (a)^{2} v^{2} + \frac{1}{2}g^{2}(a)^{\prime 2} + V(\prime) :$$
(8)

In principle, modulim ay have potentials U ($_{a}$), which for simplicity are assumed to be are negligible during in ation, or included into (8), as we will see below.

In Eq. (8) the dependencies of the coupling constant on the moduli elds $_{a}$ have quite di erent status. Let us inspect the conditions in the theory (8) which would keep the moduli light during in ation. When $\prime > \prime_{c}$ and = 0 the equations for the elds $_{a}$ are

$$_{a} + 3H_{a} + \frac{v^{4}}{4}\frac{\theta}{\theta}_{a} = 0$$
: (9)

Equations for its uctuations $ae^{i\tilde{k} \cdot x}$ are

$$_{a} + 3H = \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} + \frac{v^{4}}{4} \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta_{a}\theta_{b}} = \frac{v^{4}}{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{a}} + 4_{a} -:$$
 (10)

During the in ationary stage $\prime > \prime_c$ the elective potential in which a evolves is (a) $v^4=4$

Suppose the background value of a is order of M and natural argument of couping is a=M. Hence, the uctuations of the elds a have a mass $m_a^2 = (v^4=4)$ = $(a_1 + 2M_p^2 = M_p^2)$, where M_p is the Planck mass. But as we will see in the next section, the right am plitude of modulated uctuations is achievable if the mass scale M is of order or smaller than M_p. Thus, a are heavy unless the coupling has no dependence on moduli. There is no such reservation for g². In the following we will see that supergravity D-term in ation precisely provides us with such a pattern for the moduli dependence.

W hen the mass of a is small, and its contribution to the background geometry is negligible, its kinetic energy is also small, e.g. a 0. In this case the uctuations a can be considered as the light test eld at given background driven by in aton '. It is easy to see that a also do not in uence the evolution of the in atom eld and uctuations during in ation. Indeed we have equations for in atom uctuations and metric uctuations (in the longitudinal gauge) during this phase

$$-+ H = \frac{1}{2M_{p}} \left(- + a_{a} \right); \qquad (11)$$

$$' + 3H ' + \frac{k^2}{a^2} ' = 2V' + 4' - V'' ':$$
 (12)

It follows that the uctuations of the light elds $_{a}$ do not contribute to the metric uctuations in Eq. (11) during in ation, so that the set of Eqs. (11-12) reduces to the one of the in aton coupled to metric perturbation, as in single eld in ation.

To sum marize, since = 0 during in ation, the function $g(_a)$ does not enter the perturbation equations during in ation so that the light elds can be considered as test elds. As anticipated, the light elds in uence only the end of in ation by modulating over space the time at which the symmetry breaking occurs, imprinted in the hypersurface $' = '_c$. They are subdom inant with respect to the VEVs of ' and that drive the evolution of the background and do not a ect the generation of metric perturbations during in ation. We expect the cumulative uctuations after in ation to inherit both from the metric uctuation during in ation and from the modulated transition, hence opening the possibility of non trivial phenom enological consequences.

III. MODULI IN COUPLINGS OF SUGRA D-TERM INFLATION

In this section we slightly step aside of the main topic of the paper, modulated cosmological uctuations in the generic hybrid in ation. We consider a specic example of the sugra D-term in ation, to illustrate how the dependence of couplings on moduli can be originated. Although in general, D-term in ation is similar to the hybrid in ation, there are some differences. In particular, slow roll regime of in ation may be ended even before reaches the bifurcation point [21, 24]. D-term in ation is reduced to the hybrid in ation in the limit of small g^2 . All our results are immediately applicable for this limit of D-term in ation. In more general case of D-term in ation the equation of stata may be changed twice, at c and even before at some hypersurface e > c, but e is still spatially varying due to the moduli dependence. As a result the magnitude of modulated uctuations may be even greater than our estimations below (where only a single jump in the equation of state is considered). We notice but do not consider these e ects in the paper.

Let us recall how potentials of the form of Eq. (3) can be obtained from particle physics models. Such potentials are indeed prototypes of models of in ation motivated by supergravity (including low-energy string theory) such as F or D term in ations (see e.g. Ref. [25] for a review). It might be worth having in mind that the more generic P term in ation has both these models as limiting cases [26]. Note also that brane-antibrane D \overline{D} systems in superstring theory produce 4-dimensional electric potentials like (3).

In F -term in ation we always have $g^2 =$ and no modulated uctuations can be generated. We will not consider this case any further. On the contrary a D term in ation driven by a non-zero Fayet-Ilioupoulos D -term does not lead to any speci c relation between g^2 and .

For further discussion recall that a generic N = 1 supergravity lagrangian including interaction with matter and Yang-Mills elds in 3 + 1 dimensions is built from three arbitrary functions, the Kahler potential K ($_a$; $_a$) which encodes the kinetic term of the scalar elds, the superpotential W ($_a$) and the kinetic term of ($_a$) for the vector multiplet elds, [Ref ($_a$)] F F . We will use notation and the form of the supergravity lagrangian, see Eq. (5.15) of Ref. [27], adapted to cosm ology.

The simplest model of D-term hybrid in ation [24] consists of three (left) chiral super elds $_{i}$: the in atom and two elds of opposite charge under a local U (1). The potential for this model comes from the superpotential

$$W = {\stackrel{p}{2}g}_{+} ; \qquad (13)$$

and the D-term

$$D = \frac{p}{2} 2j_{+}j^{2} 2j_{-}j^{2} v^{2}; \qquad (14)$$

where the elds p have charges p_{-}^{-} and the Fayet-Illiopolous term is $v^2=2$. We may choose the elds to be real and de ne 2j j and 2j j allowing for canonical kinetic terms. In terms of these elds, in the global SUSY limit the potential reduces to the form

$$V = V_{\rm D} + V_{\rm F} = \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{2} + \frac{2}{2} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{4}$$
(15)

When , which plays the role of the in aton, is large enough both $_+$ and have a large positive m ass and are forced to be zero. Supersymmetry is however broken and the 1-bop corrections give an extra term in the potential, which is only dependent so that it rolls down toward = 0. This model exactly matches Eq. (3) noting that during the whole evolution is forced to be zero.

In m ore general context of supergravity, D term has pre-factor \mathbb{R} ef $\binom{a}{1}^{1}$ absorbed in the coupling , $\binom{a}{2}$. \mathbb{R} ef $\binom{a}{1}^{1}$. Thus, a large m ass of $\frac{a}{a}$ can be avoided by simply assuming that \mathbb{R} ef = 1. The electric coupling g^{2} can then be m ade dependent on $\frac{a}{a}$ through the K ahler potential.

W e give a toy model example where we want to observe that the moduli dependence can appear in g^2 while do not appear in . The Kahler potential intervenes in the F term part of the potential

$$V_{\rm F} = e^{K = M_{\rm P}^2} K^{j} D_{j} W D_{i} W \qquad 3 \frac{W^2}{M_{\rm P}^2} ; \qquad (16)$$

where $D_i = 1 = M_p^2 @K = @_i + @= @_i, K^{ji}$ is the inverse matrix to K_{ij} $@^2K = @_i@_j$. The D term on the other hand is given by

$$D = \frac{P}{2} q_i K_{i i} \sqrt{2}$$
(17)

where $K_i = 0$ (K = 0 i, q_i is the charge of corresponding scalar.

For a standard sim ple string theory toroidal com pactication scheme [28] for which,

$$K = 3 \log t + t \qquad j_{i} j_{j}^{2}; \qquad (18)$$

and in case the VEVs of the elds (and in particular that of $_0$ which is non-vanishing during in ation) are small compared to jt the computations can be easily completed. We have $\frac{K_i \quad 6_i}{3} = (t+t)$ and $K_{ij} \quad 6 = (t+t)_{ij}$. This latter matrix can easily be inverted. As a result the elds $\sim_i \quad 3 = (t+t)_i$ are the elds that have a standard kinetic term in the low energy limit. We see that in this case the tdependence in Eq. (17) drops. Unfortunately this is also the case in the expression of V_F .

If how ever the compacti cation is made in such a way that there are 3 di erent moduli directions t_i then the expression of V_F can be made dependent on the moduli values. This is the case for instance if,

$$K = \log t_1 + t_1 \qquad j_1 j \qquad bog(t_2 + t_2) \qquad bog(t_3 + t_3):$$
(19)

In this case $K_i = 2_i = (t_1 + t_1)$ and $K_{ij} = 2 = (t_1 + t_1)_{ij}$. The kinetically regularized elds are $\sim_i p_{1=(t_1 + t_1)_i}$ from which we get,

$$V_{\rm F} = g^2 \int_{1}^{h} \tilde{f}_{+} f + f \tilde{f}_{+} \tilde{f}_{+} f \qquad (20)$$
$$g^2 = \frac{(t_1 + t_1)^2}{(t_2 + t_2)(t_3 + t_3)} g^2;$$

which indeed leads to a moduli dependent e ective g^2 . Note that if each is associated with a di erent moduli direction the dependence in g^2 also vanishes. We see that unless the K ahler potential has very speci c features, the e ective coupling constant g^2 is dependent on the moduli elds. This is what we were looking for. The picture we obtained here is not as simple as the one described in the introduction since it implies that the in aton potential, V () here, coming from the radiative correction to the potential induced by the breaking of the boson-ferm ion m ass equalities, depends on g, therefore on the moduli elds. It means that the in aton is actually a combination of and of the moduli. The picture sketched in the beginning will be recovered if the dependence with the latter is small enough. Note also that anyhow the theory we present is incomplete because it does not provide for a stabilization mechanism for the VEVs of the moduli. The problem of stabilization in the context of D-term in ation is discussed e.g. in [22], see refs therein. W e will then not exploit any further this speci c model. We value it how ever because it shows that modulated in ation should be rather generic is realistic models of Sugra.

In the following sections we derive the geometrical theory of modulated cosm ological uctuations which is applicable for the hybrid in ation, as well as from the chaotic in ation.

IV. JUNCTION CONDITIONS FOR METRIC PERTURBATIONS AT THE TIME HYPERSURFACE

In this and the following sections we turn to technical part of the paper. We will deal with the general relativistic aspects of the cosm obgical metric uctuations. First, in this section we will remind the general form alism of the matching conditions [20] of two geometries on the dierent sides (past and future) of a time hypersurface. This spacelike hypersurface, , also divides the matter contents in the universe, which has dierent equations of state on dierent sides of . In subsequent sections, we apply this general form alism to dierent situations.

W e begin with the derivation of the m atching conditions in the cosm ological context. W e assume that the transition between two eras, e.g. in ation and radiation dom inated, takes place on a three dimensional spacelike hypersurface de ned by

= fq = constantg;

where q is a scalar to be specified, see Figure 1. We focus on scalar and tensor modes of metric perturbations and assume that the spatial sections of the universe are at and work in longitudinal gauge. It follows that the metric of spacetime takes the form

$$ds^{2} = a^{2} () (1+2) d^{2} + (1 2) _{ij} + h_{ij} dx^{i} dx^{j}$$
(21)

where the index and + refer respectively to the two eras, before and after h_{ij} is a symmetric traceless ($h_i^i = 0$) transverse ($\theta_i h^{ij} = 0$) tensor describing the gravitational waves. Note that the conform altimes in both era are a priori di erent. We also split q as

$$q = q + q; \tag{22}$$

q being the perturbation in longitudinal gauge.

The junction conditions [20] reduce to the continuity of the induced three dimensional metric on and of the extrinsic curvature of , see Ref. [23, 29, 30]. The norm alunit vector to the hypersurface is given by

$$n = \frac{\varrho q}{\varrho q \varrho q}$$
(23)

so that the induced three dimensionalmetric on takes the form

$$_{b}^{a} = a^{2} \quad 1 \quad 2(+ H \frac{q}{q^{0}} \quad _{b}^{a} + h_{b}^{a}$$
 (24)

and its extrinsic curvature

$$K_{b}^{a} = \frac{1}{a} \qquad H_{b}^{a} + H + {}^{0} + (H^{0} H^{2}) \frac{q}{q^{0}} {}^{a} + Q^{a} Q_{b} \frac{q}{q^{0}} + \frac{1}{2} h_{b}^{0a}$$
(25)

where a; b run from 1 to 3, and prime is the derivative wrt $H = \frac{a^0}{a}$. It follows that the matching conditions for the background geometry reduce to

$$[a] = 0; \quad [H] = 0 \tag{26}$$

where $[X] = X_+ = X$. A nother words, the scalar factor and its time derivative are continues through . M atching conditions for perturbations are split into

$$[] = 0; \qquad {}^{0} + H \frac{{}^{0} \frac{q}{q^{0}}}{q^{0}} = 0; \qquad \frac{q}{q^{0}} = 0; \qquad (27)$$

for the scalar perturbations and

$$[h_{ij}] = 0; \quad h_{ij}^0 = 0$$
 (28)

for the gravitational waves. Equations (27) and (28) are the basis for the applications below .

V. JUNCTION CONDITIONS FOR INFLATON DRIVEN METRIC FLUCTUATIONS

In order to present the m ethod and the notation, we re-derive the standard result for the scalar m etric uctuations driven by in aton uctuations, using the general form alism of junction conditions for m etric uctuation outlined above (see also R efs. [23, 29]).

A. Long wavelength m odes evolution

So for the time being let us assume that in ation is driven by a slow-rolling scalar eld ', we can introduce the slow roll parameters by

$$" = \frac{M_{p}^{2}}{16} \frac{V^{0}}{V}^{2}; \qquad = \frac{M_{p}^{2}}{8} \frac{V^{0}}{V} : \qquad (29)$$

Solving for " = 1 $H^0 = H^2$, gives the expression of the parameter

$$H = \frac{1 + "}{3}; (30)$$



FIG.1: In ationary period is matched to a radiation dominated era on a spacelike hypersurface dened by $q('; _a)$ ($_a) = 0$.

8

where conformal time during in ation is . It is convenient to introduce the two quantities de ned by

$$R = + \frac{2}{3} \frac{{}^{0} + H}{H(1 + w)}; = + H - \frac{1}{0};$$
(31)

that correspond to the curvature perturbation in at slicing gauge and in com oving gauge. For a general perfect uid, including the particular case of a single scalar eld, the perturbation equations take the form [31]

$$= \frac{a^2}{2} [3H (1 + w)v]$$
(32)

⁰ + H =
$$\frac{a^2}{2}$$
 (1 + w)v (33)

and v are respectively the density perturbation and the velocity perturbation in New tonian gauge and with where 8 G. It follows that the density uctuation can be expressed as,

$$\frac{a^2}{2} = + 3H (^{0} + H);$$
(34)

and that the two quantities de ned in Eq. (31) are related, using that H 0 H 2 = H 0 , by

$$R + \frac{1}{3H^0 + H^2} =$$
(35)

so that they are equal for super-H ubble m odes. The evolution equation for the gravitational potential, see R ef. $[\beta 1]$, can be shown to imply

$$R^{0} = 0; \qquad {}^{0} = 0; \qquad (36)$$

for super-Hubble modes. In fact, the solution evolution equation of the gravitational potential takes the general form

$$= \frac{H}{a^2} B + A (1 + w)a^2 d :$$
 (37)

The coe cient A corresponds to the growing mode and B to a decaying mode. If the equation of state vary continuously from $w = 1 + 2^{"=3}$ during in ation to w = 1=3 during the radiation era, we obtain that the gravitational potential in RDU, after the decaying modes have become negligible,

$$\frac{2}{3}\frac{1+"}{"}A$$
 (38)

where A is xed during in ation. Since R ' =" ' A=" during in ation and R ' 3 =2 during RDU, the solution of Eq. (37) is equivalent to the continuity of R, Eq. (36). Note also that Eq. (37) in plies that the variation of the gravitational potential when the scale factor changes behavior changes from a / t^{p_1} to a / t^{p_2} at t = t is (t t)= (t< t) = $(1 + p_1)=(1 + p_2)$ (see Refs. [23]).

B. Derivation by means of the junction conditions

Let us now do the same exercise but by means of the junction conditions and assume in ation suddenly ends with a transition to a radiation era. As long as the background dynamics is concerned, the matching conditions for the background quantities, that is the continuity of the scale factor and of the Hubble parameter, Eq. (26), in ply that the transition happens at $_{+} = = (1)$ and we have

a () = C = ()¹⁺;
$$a_{+}(+) = C = [(1 + ")^{1+}](+ =):$$
 (39)

Now, the transition is due to a sudden change in the equation of state and takes place on a constant density hypersurface [23]. The matching conditions (27) im ply that

$$\frac{1}{0} = 0; \quad [] = 0; \quad {}^{0} + (H^{0} H^{2}) - 0 = 0: \quad (40)$$

The rst two conditions and the continuity of H imply that

and thus that

$$R + \frac{1}{3H^0 + H^2} = 0:$$
 (42)

The rst condition im plies that

$$\frac{3H(^{0} + H)}{1 + w} = 0$$

which reduces to

$$\frac{3H(^{0} + H)}{1 + w} = 0$$
(43)

on super-H ubble scales. It follows that the m atching conditions in ply the continuity of , Eq. (41) and the continuity of R on super-H ubble scale. Note how ever that this conclusion relies strongly on the fact that $=^{0}$ is continuous, and thus on the choice of the m atching surface (see Ref. [29] for further discussions on this issue). Note that m atching on a constant ' hypersurface will have implied, from Eq. (27) that \mathbb{R}] = 0, instead of Eq. (41). Interestingly, Eq. (35) shows that for supper-H ubble m odes, it is equivalent to m atch on a constant eld or constant density hypersurface.

The general solution for the gravitational potential, Eq. (37), in plies that = A + B () and $= A_{+} + B_{+} = {}^{3}$ respectively during in ation and RDU. The continuity of and the condition (36) in ply that

$$A_{+} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{1 + "}{"} A ; \qquad B_{+} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{"}{"} A_{+}; \qquad (44)$$

if we neglect the decaying mode during in ation. At a time still in the RDU but far enough from the transition, we get that

$$+ \frac{2}{3} \frac{1+"}{"} ; (45)$$

which is the standard result, Eq. (38). Again, since R = = and $R_+ = 3_+=2$, Eq. (37) is equivalent to the continuity of R. The behavior of the di erent quantities is depicted on gure 2. Note that the growing mode of the gravitational potential inherits a contribution from the gravitational potential during in ation () and a contribution form the density perturbation on the matching surface [(2 ") =3"]. In modulated in ationary model, this second contribution will be modiled, as we will see in the next section.

C. Initial power spectra and the consistency relation

The preceding argument simply shows that in the standard case it is equivalent to use the matching conditions or the continuity of to relate the gravitational potential generated during in ation to the one in the radiation era. Indeed, one still needs to determ ine the gravitational potential generated during in ation that can be obtained from the quantication of the density uctuations during in ation. This is part of the standard bre.

For the scalar m odes, let us introduce the M ukhanov variables [31]

$$u = zR = z + \frac{H}{r_0} r'; \quad z = \frac{a'^0}{H}$$
 (46)

in term s of which the equation of evolution of the scalar m odes is

$$u^{0} + (k^2 z^{0} = z)u = 0$$
: (47)

In term s of the slow -rollparam eter $z^{0}=z = (2 1=4)=2$ with $z^{0}=z+3$ so that the general solution of Eq. (47) can be expressed in term s of H ankel functions. On super-H ubble scales, it reduces to

$$u_k ' \frac{1}{2k} (k)^{1-2} :$$
 (48)



FIG.2: The evolution of the gravitational potential through the transition. Both R and are continuous if the transition is a constant density hypersurface. g and d refer respectively to the growing and decaying m odes.

W e deduce that the curvature perturbation, $R_k = u_k = z$, is given by

$$R_{k}' \frac{1}{2k^{3}} \frac{H}{M_{p}} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{\pi} (k)^{3''}$$
 (49)

before the transition. Dening the power spectrum of any eld X $_{\rm k}$ as

$$X_{k}X_{k^{0}} = \frac{2^{2}}{k^{3}}P_{X}(k) (k \quad k^{0});$$
 (50)

one obtains that

$$P_{R}^{1=2} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{H}{M_{p}} - \frac{1}{P_{\pi}} (k)^{3} + \frac{1}{\mu} P^{1=2} + \frac{2}{3} P_{+}^{1=2};$$
(51)

where the last equality derives from Eq. (45).

C oncerning the gravitational waves, one can follow the same routes but now the matching conditions Eq. (28) are trivial. Introducing the variable $[B1] u_T = aM_ph$, the evolution of the tensor modes is dictated by the equation

$$u_T^{00} + (k^2 \quad a^{00} = a)u_T = 0;$$
 (52)

The general solution is given in terms of Hankel function and the growing mode on super-Hubble scales is given by

$$h_{+} \quad h \quad \frac{p}{2k^{3}} \frac{1}{M_{p}} (k)$$
 (53)

from which one deduces a consistency relation between the relative amplitude between scalar and tensor contributions and the slow roll parameter

$$\frac{T}{S} = " = n_T = 2$$
 (54)

where T and S are measuring the amplitude of the power spectra of respectively the tensor and scalar curvature modes and where n_T is the tensor modes spectral index.

VI. THE CASE OF MODULATED FLUCTUATIONS

Now we turn to the main subject of the paper, namely the generation of modulated uctuations. Contrary to the previous case of in atom driven uctuations, the transition between the in ationary era and radiation era does not take place on a constant density hypersurface but on a hypersurface of constant value of the bifurcation point. We derive in x V IA the general expression of the curvature uctuations in the radiation era and then apply it to the case of modulated uctuations scenarios (x V IB).

A. General Results

Let us start by m atching the in ationary stage to the radiation era on a general constant q hypersurface. From the junction conditions (28), we deduce that the gravitational potential in the radiation era is given by

$$_{+}() = () + \frac{2}{3} \frac{H}{q^{0}} q() 1 - ;$$
 (55)

where " is given by Eq. (29) and depends on the details of the in ationary stage. Using that $R_{+} = 3_{+} = 2$, we deduce that the curvature perturbation deep in the radiation era but long enough after the transition is given by

$$R_{+}() = \frac{3}{2} \quad () + 1 \quad \frac{"}{2} \quad \frac{H}{q}():$$
 (56)

To go further, we need to specify (i) the nature of the in ationary period which will x () and " and (ii) the nature of the transition which will x q.

Let us note that the form ula (56) contains the standard case discussed in Section V that is recovered by simply choosing q = ', taking into account that Eq. (29) in plies that $H = '_{p} = P = 4$. We now apply this general results to the case of modulated uctuations.

B. M odulated uctuations from slow-roll hybrid in ation

We consider the realistic scenario of a slow-roll hybrid in ationary stage in which the value of the bifurcation point is modulated by some light elds, as described in x III. It follows that in ation ends when $' = '_{c}(_{a})$ so that the parameter q introduced in x IV as to be chosen as $q(';_{a}) = '_{c}(_{a})$. Let us stress that q is a function of all light elds including the in aton. We deduce that the quantities needed to apply the matching conditions (27-28) are given by

$$q = \prime \frac{X}{a} \frac{d'_{c}}{d_{a}} a$$
(57)

and by

$$q = '_{-}$$
 (58)

because a' 0. We can now apply the matching conditions between the in ationary solution and the radiation era solution, exactly as in Eq. (44), and making use of the background quantities de ned in Eq. (39) we obtain the equivalent of Eq. (55)

where we have introduced the coe cients

$$a = \frac{d'_{c}}{d_{a}} ():$$
 (60)

U sing that "R, we deduce that $R_{+} = 3_{+} = 2$ is given, at a late enough time (), by

$$R_{+} = R$$
 1 $\frac{H}{2} \frac{H}{L} = \frac{X}{a} = a$ (): (61)

U sing Eq. (29) to express $H = '_{at}$ the time of the transition, we end up with

$$R_{+} = R \qquad p - \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{p} = 2X \qquad a - \frac{a()}{M_{p}}$$
(62)

A ssum ing for simplicity that there is only one light scalar eld and taking into account that R and $_{a}$ are not correlated, see the discussion in x II, we conclude that

$$P_{R_{+}} = P_{R} + 4 \frac{1}{m} \frac{2}{M_{p}^{2}} \frac{P}{M_{p}^{2}}$$
(63)

We now need to determ ine the one of $_{a}$ that can be considered as a test eld. Let us recall the evolution of any light eld satisfies, in Fourier space, an equation of the form

(a)⁰⁰ + k² + m²a²
$$\frac{a^{00}}{a}$$
 (a) = 0: (64)

A sum ing that the background spacetime is described by slow-roll in ation, the bracket expression reduces to (2 1=4)= 2 with 3=2 m²=3H² + " if one uses Eq. (30). The solution leads, on super-Hubble scales, to

$$_{k} = \frac{H}{2k^{3}} (k^{3})^{=2} :$$
 (65)

The power spectrum of any light eld, as de ned in Eq. (50), is thus given by

$$P(k) = \frac{H}{2} \left(k \right)^{2m^{2} = 3H^{2} 2"}$$
(66)

It follows that the power spectrum of the curvature perturbation deep in the radiation era is given by [42]

$$P_{R_{+}} = \frac{1}{4^{2}} - \frac{H}{M_{p}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m} (k_{-}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m} (k_{-})^{2} - \frac{4}{m} + 4^{2} (1 - m) (k_{-})^{2m^{2} - 3H^{2}} = \frac{1}{2}$$
(67)

C ontrary to the standard case described in $x \vee R$ is not conserved through the transition, his jump being given by Eq. (62). This is due to the fact that the perturbation of the light eds, which were isocurvature perturbations during in ation, are transferred to the adiabatic mode at the beginning of the radiation era. Note also that '⁰ has been expressed in terms of the slow-rollparam eters so that ' and combine to give R_+ . Also, the standard in ationary case described in $x \vee i$ is recovered when = 0, that is when the value of the bifurcation point does not depend on any light eld and when the end of in ation takes place on a constant ' hypersurface.

${\tt C}$. Spectral index and the consistency relation of ${\tt M}$ odulated $% {\tt M}$ uctuations

An important qualitative result we obtain here is that the modulated uctuations from the hybrid in ation are inevitably accompanied by the usual in atom uctuations. Their relative amplitude is given by the factor 4 ² (1) and their spectra are not generically the same. While the index of the in atom driven uctuations is n_s 1 = 2 6, that of the modulated uctuations n_s $1 = 2m^2 = 3H^2$ 2". The observed scalar spectrum is therefore the sum of two power laws and the scalar spectral index can run between these two limiting values.

C oncerning gravitational waves, the super-Hubble solution in RDU takes the form $h_{+} = A_{+} + B_{+} = so$ that the matching conditions (28) in ply

$$h_{+} = \frac{1}{2k^{3}} \frac{H}{M_{p}} (k_{-})$$
 " (68)

on super-Hubble scales at any time

. It follows that deep in the radiation era,

$$P_{h}(k) = \frac{1}{4^{2}} \left(\frac{H}{M_{p}} \right)^{2} (k)^{2"};$$
(69)

as in the standard in ationary case.

In the standard case described in x V, the amplitude of the gravitational waves was set by the energy scale of in ation, $(H = M_p)^{1=2}$ $V^{1=4} = M_p$ and their relative amplitude compared to the scalar modes was controlled by the slow-rollparameter " via the consistency relation (54). This implies that the detection, or lim it on the amplitude, of the gravitational waves set a constraint on the energy scale at which in ation took place.

The modulated uctuations lead to another e ect. The consistency relation (54) becomes

$$\frac{T}{S} = \frac{"}{1+4(1-")^2}$$
(70)

and the contribution of the tensor modes is always smaller in modulated uctuations case than in the standard in ationary case. This can be easily understood because the modulated uctuations are of scalar type only and the gravitational waves are completely insensitive to the properties of the transition, as can be seen from the matching relation Eq. (28).

The situation where 0 (1) is particularly interesting since T=S remains of order " but a deviation from the standard consistency relation (54) of order " appears. In this regime, the scalar power spectrum is the sum of two power laws of comparable amplitude, opening the possibility to have a break at an observable wavelength. This in particular the case in the explicit model presented in x III, from Eq. (20) we get $2 = \frac{3}{a=1} = 2$.

When 1 that is when most the scalar perturbations are inherited from the modulation of the transition hypersurface the ratio T=S is then much smaller that " and we get a mechanism that damps the gravitational waves contribution, whatever the energy scale of in ation. On one hand, it allows the energy scale of in ation to be higher than in the standard case and still have undetectable gravitational waves (see also Ref. [37] for a scenario that can boost the gravitational waves). In that case, gravitational waves then appear to contribute non-signi cantly e.g. to the tem perature anisotropies and their detectability, see e.g. Ref [38, 39], and strong constraints on " will be di cult to set.

VII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

M odulated curvature uctuations in hybrid m odels can be put on m grounds whether it is from a m odel building perspective on for the computation of its phenom enological consequences. That such m odels are generic m ight reveal interesting at di erent levels. In such classes of m odels indeed the m etric uctuations are not necessarily associated to eld uctuations in the slow roll direction. It allows to break the relations between the slow roll param eters and the shape of the power spectrum. A nalogous conclusions were reached in Ref. [32] where a m odel that decouples the spectral index from the in ationary stage is presented. M oreover some of the di culties encountered in the usual hybrid m odels m ight be circum vent. Indeed the production of topological defects at an energy scale com parable to that of the adiabatic uctuations, no contribution of which have been detected, is natural in such m odels forcing a tuning of the param eters subsequently causing the suppression of \good" scalar uctuations (see R ef. [26]). Here we avoid this problem. A ctually what we have obtained here is a kind of decoupling of the background evolution sector form the generation of uctuations.

A re thus models arbitrary constructions or could they be physically motivated? Let us recall that light elds, such as moduli, are generic in e.g. string theory. They may have dierent elects related to the theory of structure form ation and of interest for cosm ology. They may induce a modulation of the coupling constants that can lead (i) to a spacetime modulation of the constants of nature, as e.g. the nestructure constant (see Ref. [33] for a the elect of a uctuating light dilaton, Ref. [34] for an example of signature on the cosm ic microw are background and Ref. [35] for a review), (ii) to the generation of non-G aussianity and (iii) to the mechanism of modulated uctuations described in this article.

The mechanism presented in the article shows that modulated in ationary models correspond to a large class of models that naturally emerges from supergravity where the couplings depending on light moduli edds can modulate the transition to the radiation era. In such models we have more specifically shown that gravitational waves were not a ected by the modulation while scalar modes receive an extra-contribution. The primordial power spectra how ever follow a modied consistency relation, Eq. (70), which depends on a new parameter, that characterizes the dependence of the bifurcation point on the light edds. Moreover the fact that the contribution of the gravitational waves is always smaller in this context than in the standard in ationary case has two consequences: (i) it allows to have a higher energy scale for in ation and still have undetectable tensorm odes but (ii) makes the possibility of their detection via B-polarization measurements more di cult. An interesting situation arises when 1. In that case, the tensorm odes still have a relative am plitude com parable to the standard case but (i) we have a deviation, Eq. (70), from the standard, Eq. (54), that can be hoped to be measured and (ii) the scalar power spectrum is the sum of two power laws, opening the door for a possible break in the range of wavelengths of interest for cosm ology.

These results should be put in parallel to other extensions of standard in ation where the consistency relation can be modiled. Generically in multi-eld in ation [36], Eq. (54) becomes $T=S = "\sin^2 where is the isocurvature-adiabatic correlation angle. As in our case, <math>T=S < ". On the other hand in the curvaton scenario [8], the density perturbations arise from the uctuation of a light eld and primordial perturbations are entirely from an isocurvature mode and the consistency relation becomes <math>T=S = "(see e.g. Ref. [40])$. This is analogous to a limit in which 1.

This class of models also opens a new phenom enological avenue. Indeed nothing prevent the elds _a to develop non-G aussianity prior to the transition as in the mechanism of Ref. [7]. In modulated in ation the transfer of modes is not not due to a bent of the trajectory but to the modulation. The outcome of such a mechanism could be the superposition of G aussian perturbations and a non-G aussian ones of the same variance. Let us stress that no non-G aussianity is generated by the transition itself so that if uctuations of the light eld are initially G aussian so will be the modulated uctuations.

The last remarks are about the nature of modulated scalar uctuations. First, note that this mechanism o ers an example of situation in which the adiabatic mode is not conserved, an issue raised in Ref. [41]. Second, before the end of in ation, the moduli eld uctuations are subdom inant gravitationally and have a character of isocurvature uctuations. When the equation of state is changed the moduli eld remains to be gravitationally subdom inant (contrary to the curvaton scenario where dom inance of the curvaton is additionally assumed). However, the moduli eld uctuations vary the time hypersurface where equation of state is altered. At this moment their isocurvature uctuations are transferred into adiabatic, scalar metric uctuations (vis the coupling which control the change of the equation of state). We have cosm ological examples where initially isocurvature inhom ogeneities are transferred into adiabatic uctuations { examples include isocurvature CDM scenario or curvaton scenario { in both cases the carrier of isocurvature uctuations become e gravitationally dom inant. W hat is qualitatively new in the modulated uctuations is the fact that the carrier of uctuations remains always gravitationally subdom inant, how ever, its isocurvature uctuations are transformed into the adiabatic one after it modulates the jump in the equation of state.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e thank D ick Bond, N athalie D enuelle, C hristophe G rojean, A ndrei Linde, Slava M ukhanov, M arco Peloso and F ilippo Vernizzi for discussions. F B. and J.P.J. thanks CITA for hospitality. The work of LK was in part was supported by N SERC and CIAR.

S.W .Hawking, \The development of inregularities in a single bubble in ationary universe", Phys.Lett.B 115, 295 (1982); A.A.Starobinsky, \D ynam ics of phase transition in the new in ationary universe scenario and generation of perturbations", Phys.Lett.B 117, 175 (1982);

- J.M.Bardeen, P.J.Steinhardt and M.S.Turner, \Spontaneous creation of alm ost scale-free density perturbations in an in ationary universe", Phys.Rev.D 28, 679 (1983).
- [2] L.A.Kofman, A.D.Linde and A.A.Starobinsky, \In ationary universe generated by the combined action of a scalar eld and gravitational vacuum polarization," Phys.Lett.B 157, 361 (1985).
- [3] A. Linde, \Axions in in ationary cosm ology", Phys. Lett. B 259, 38 (1991);
- A.Linde, Phys.Rev D 49, 748 (1994).
- [4] L.K ofm an, "W hat initial perturbations may be generated in in ationary cosm ological models", Phys.Lett.B 173 (1986) 400;
 - D.Polarski and A.A. Starobinsky, "Isocurvature perturbations in multiple in ationary models", Phys.Rev.D 50 (1994) 6123;
 - D.Langlois, \Correlated adiabatic and isocurvature perturbations from bouble in ation", Phys.Rev.D 59 (1999) 123512; C.Gordon et al., "A diabatic and entropy perturbations from in ation", Phys.Rev.D 63 (2001) 023506.
- [5] L.A.Kofm an and A.D.Linde, \Generation of density perturbations in the in ationary cosm ology", Nucl. Phys. B 282, 555 (1987);
 - L.A.Kofm an and D.Yu.Pogosian, \Non-at perturbations in in ationary cosm ology", Phys.Lett.B 214 508 (1988); D.S.Salopek, J.R.Bond and J.M.Bardeen, \Designing density uctuation spectra in in ation", Phys.Rev.D 40, 1753 (1989).
- [6] A.D.Linde and V.Mukhanov, \Nongaussian isocurvature perturbations from in ation", Phys. Rev. D 56, 535 (1997).

[7] F.Bernardeau and J.P.Uzan, \Non-Gaussianity in multi-eld in ation", Phys.Rev.D 66 (2002) 103506;

F. Bernardeau and J.P. Uzan, \In ationary models inducing non-Gaussian uctuation", Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 121301 (R);

F.Bemardeau, T.Brunier, and J.P.Uzan, \High order correlation functions for self interacting scalar eld in de Sitter space", [arXiv:astro-ph/0311422];

F. Bernardeau and J.P. Uzan, \Finite volume e ects for non-Gaussian multi-eld in ationary models", [arXiv:astro-ph/0311421].

 ^[1] V.F.Mukhanov and G.V.Chibisov, \Quantum uctuation and 'nonsingular' universe", JETP Lett. 33, 532 (1981), Pism a Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. 33, 549 (1981)];

A.H.Guth and S.Y.Pi, \Fluctuations in the new in ationary universe", Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1110 (1982);

^[8] D.H. Lyth and D.W ands, \Generating the curvature perturbation without an in aton", Phys. Lett. B 524, 5 (2002).

- [9] L.K offm an, Plenary talk at Cosm 003, Chicago, Septem ber 2002; [http://pancake.uchicago.edu/cosm002/].
- [10] G. Dvali, A. Gruzinov and M. Zaklarriaga, \A new mechanism for generating density perturbations from in ation", [arXiv:astro-ph/0303591].
- [11] L.K ofm an, \Probing string theory with m odulated cosm ological uctuations", [arXiv:astro-ph/0303614].
- [12] J.Polchinski, String Theory, vol.1, (C ambridge University Press, C ambridge, England, 1998).
- [13] L.Kofman, A.D.Linde and A.A.Starobinsky, \Reheating after in ation", Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3195 (1994);
 L.Kofman, A.D.Linde and A.A.Starobinsky, \Towards the theory of reheating after in ation", Phys. Rev. D 56, 3258 (1997).
- [14] G.N. Felder and L.Kofm an, \The development of equilibrium after preheating", Phys. Rev. D 63, 103503 (2001).
- R.M icha and I.I.T kachev, \Relativistic turbulence: A long way from preheating to equilibrium ", [arXiv:hep-ph/0210202].
 [15] G.N.Felder, J.G arcia-Bellido, P.B.G reene, L.K ofm an, A.D. Linde and I.T kachev, \D ynam ics of sym m etry breaking and tachyonic preheating", Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 011601 (2001).
- [16] G.N. Felder, L.K ofm an and A.D. Linde, \Tachyonic instability and dynam ics of spontaneous symmetry breaking", Phys. Rev.D 64, 123517 (2001).
- [17] S.M atamese and A.R iotto, \Large scale curvature perturbations with spatial and time variations of the in atom decay rate", JCAP 0308 (2003) 007.
- [18] F. Vernizzi, \Cosm ological perturbations from varying masses and couplings", [arXiv:astro-ph/0311167].
- [19] J. Baacke, K. Heitm ann and C. Patzold, \N onequilibrium dynam ics of ferm ions in a spatially hom ogeneous scalar background eld," Phys. Rev. D 58, 125013 (1998) [arX iv hep-ph/9806205]; P. B. G reene and L. K ofm an, \P reheating of ferm ions," Phys. Lett. B 448, 6 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9807339]; G. F. G iudice, M. Peloso, A. R iotto and I. Tkachev, \P roduction of m assive ferm ions at preheating and leptogenesis", JHEP 9908, 014 (1999), [arX iv hep-ph/9905242].
- [20] G.Darmois, Memorial des Sciences Mathematiques, XXV (1927) 1;
 - K.Lanczos, Ann.Phys. (Leipzig) 74 (1924) 518;
 - N.Sen, Ann.Phys. (Leipzig) 73 (1924) 365;
 - W . Israel, Nuovo C im ento B 44 (1966) 1.
- [21] A.D.Linde and A.Riotto, \Hybrid in ation in supergravity," Phys.Rev.D 56, 1841 (1997)
- [22] J.P.Hsu, R.Kallosh and S.Prokushkin, \On brane in ation with volume stabilization," JCAP 0312,009 (2003)
- [23] N.Deruelle and V.F.Mukhanov, \On m atching conditions for cosm ological perturbations", Phys. Rev. D 52, 5549 (1995).
 [24] P.Binetruy and G, Dvali, \D-Term in ation", Phys. Lett. B 388 (1996) 241.
- [25] D.H. Lyth and A. Riotto, \Particle physics models of in ation and the cosm ological density perturbation", Phys. Rept. 314 (1999) 1.
- [26] R.Kallosh and A.Linde, \P-term, D-term and F-term in ation", JCAP 0310 (2003) 008.

[27] R.Kallosh, L.Kofman, A.D.Linde and A.Van Proeyen, \Superconform alsymmetry, supergravity and cosmology", Class. Quant.Grav.17, 4269 (2000).

- [28] E.W itten, Phys. Lett. B 155 (1985) 151.
- [29] J. Martin and D. Schwarz, \The in uence of cosm ological transitions on the evolution of density perturbations", Phys. Rev.D 57 (1998) 3302.
- [30] N. Denuelle, D. Langlois, and J.P. Uzan, \Cosm ological perturbations seeded by \active" sources : setting the initial conditions", Phys. Rev. D 56, 7608 (1997).
- [31] V.F.Mukhanov, H.A.Feldm an and R.H.Brandenberger, \Theory OfCosm ological Perturbations", Phys. Rept. 215, 203 (1992).
- [32] C.Amendariz-Picon, Liberating the in aton from primordial spectrum constraints", [arXiv:astro-ph/0310512].
- [33] T.Damour, F.Piazza, and G.Veneziano, \Violations of the equivalence principle in a dilaton-runaway scenario", Phys. Rev.D 66 (2002) 046007;
- [34] K. Sigurdson, A. Kurylov, and M. Kamionkowski, \Spatial variation of the ne-structure parameter and the cosm ic microwave background", Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 103509.
- [35] J.P.U zan, \The fundamental constants and their variation: observational status and theoretical motivations", Rev.M od. Phys. 75 (2003) 403.
- [36] D.W ands, N.Bartolo, and A.Riotto, \An observational test of two-eld in ation", Phys.Rev.D 66 (2002) 043520.
- [37] L.Pilo, A.Riotto, and A.Za aroni, \On the amount of gravitational waves from in ation", [arXiv:astro-ph/0401302].
- [38] L.K nox and Y.-S.Song, \A limit on the detectability of the energy scale of in ation", [arXiv:astro-ph/0202286].
- [39] L. Knox and Y.-S. Song, \The detectability of departures from the in ationary consistency equation" [arXiv:astro-ph/0305411];

M.Kesden, A.Cooray, and M.Kam ionkowski, \Separation of gravitational-wave and cosm ic-shear contributions to cosm ic m icrowave background polarization", Phys.Rev.Lett.89 (2002) 011304.

[40] D. Langlois and F. Vemizzi, Mixed in aton and curvaton perturbations", [arXiv:astro-ph/0403258].

[41] S.W einberg, \A diabatic modes in cosm ology," Phys. Rev. D 67, 123504 (2003).

[42] We assume that the slow-roll parameters " and are constant during in ation, which is the case at rst order in the slow-roll parameters. If " had varied between the time of horizon crossing of the modes of cosm ological interest and the time of the transition then Eq. (67) will be $P_{R_+} = \frac{1}{4^2} \frac{H}{M_p}^2 \frac{1}{\pi} (k_-)^{2"} (k_-)^2 \frac{4"}{4} + 4^2 (1 - ")\frac{\pi}{\pi} (k_-)^{2m^2 - 3H^2}$. We will get the same phenom enology but with 2 replaced by 2"=".