

M em orie della

## **ROSAT-SDSS Galaxy Clusters Survey.**

### Correlating X-ray and optical properties.

P.Popesso, H.Bohringer, W.Voges

M ax-P lanck-Institut fur extraterrestrische P hysik, 85748 G arching, G erm any

Abstract. For a detailed comparison of the appearance of cluster of galaxies in X -rays and in the optical, we have com piled a com prehensive database of X -ray and optical properties of a sample of clusters based on the largest available X -ray and optical surveys: the RO SAT AllSky Survey (RASS) and the Sloan DigitalSky Survey (SDSS). The RASS-SDSS sample comprises 114 X -ray selected clusters. For each system we have uniform ly determ ined the X-ray and optical properties . For a subsample of 53 clusters we have also compiled the tem perature and the iron abundance from the literature. The total optical lum inosity can be determ ined with a typical uncertainty of 20% with a result independent of the choice of local or global background subtraction. We searched for parameters which provide the best correlation between the X -ray lum inosity and the optical properties and found that the z band lum inosity determ ined within a cluster aperture of 0.5 M pc  $h_{70}^{-1}$ provides the best correlation with a scatter of about 60% . The resulting correlation  $of L_X$  and  $L_{op}$  in the z and i bands shows a logarithm ic slope of 0.38, a value not consistent with the assumption of a constant M =L.Consistency is found, how ever, for an increasing M /L with lum inosity. This is con med by our analysis of the cluster m ass to light ratio for a subsample of 53 clusters. W e found a signi cant dependence of M =L on the cluster m ass with a logarithm ic slope ranging from 0.27 in the i and r bands to 0.22 in the z band.

Keywords.galaxy clusters { optical lum inosity { mass to light ratio

### 1. Introduction

C luster of galaxies are the largest well de ned building blocks of our Universe. They form via gravitational collapse of cosmic matter over a region of several megaparsecs. Cosmic baryons, which represent approximately 10-15% of the mass content of the Universe, follow dynamically the dominant dark matter during the collapse. As a result of adiabatic compression and of shocks generated by supersonic motions, a thin hot gas perm eates the cluster gravitational potential. For a typical cluster m ass of  $10^{14}$  M the intracluster gas reaches a tem perature of the order of  $10^7$ keV and, thus, radiates optically thin therm al brem sstrahlung and line radiation in the X-ray band. In addition to the hot, di use component, baryons are also concentrated in the individual galaxies within the cluster. These are best studied through photom etric and spectroscopic optical surveys, which provide essential information about lum inosity, morphology, stellar population and age.

Since solid observational evidences indicate a strong interaction between the two baryonic components, one of our goals is the comparison of the X-ray and the optical appearance of the clusters. W e want in particular nd optical param eters that provide the closest correlation to the X -ray param eters, such that we can predict within narrow uncertainty lim its the X-ray lum inosity from these optical parameters and viceversa. On the basis of these considerations, we have created a large database of clusters of galaxies based on the largest available X-ray and optical surveys: the ROSAT AllSky Survey (RASS), and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). By carefully combining the data of the two surveys we have created the RASS-SDSS galaxy cluster catalog. The X-ray-selected galaxy clusters cover a wide range of masses, from groups of 10<sup>12:5</sup> M to m assive clusters of 10<sup>15</sup> M in a redshift range from 0.002 to 0.45.The RASS-SDSS sample comprises all the X -ray selected ob jects already observed in the sky region covered by the Sloan D igital Sky Survey. For all derived quantities, we have used  $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{M pc}^{-1}$ , m = 0.3 and = 0:7.

### 2. The data.

In order to create a hom ogeneous catalog of X-ray cluster properties, we have calculated all X-ray param eters using only RASS data for all clusters in the sample. The X -ray lum inosity has been calculated with the growth curve analysis (GCA) method used for REFLEX and NORAS 2, based on the RASS3 database (Bohringer et al., 2001). The optical photom etric data were taken from the SDSS (York et al. 2000 and Stoughton et al. 2002). The SD SS consists of an imaging survey of steradians of the northern sky in the ve passbands u, g, r, i, z, in the entire optical range. Since the galaxies do not have sharp edges or a unique surface brightness pro le, it is

nontrivial to de ne a ux for each object. The SDSS photom etric pipeline calculates a num ber of di erent m agnitudes for each object: m odel m agnitude, P etrosian m agnitudes and PSF m agnitudes. In the data analysis of this paper we used the P etrosian m agnitudes for galaxies brighter then 20 m ag and the psf m agnitudes for objects fainter than 20 m ag.

# 3.0 ptical Lum inosity from SDSS data

The total optical lum inosity of a cluster has to be calculated after the subtraction of the foreground and background galaxy contam – ination. Since we have used only photom etric data from the SD SS galaxy catalog, we have no direct inform ation on the cluster galaxy mem berships. There are two di erent approaches to overcom e this problem : a color cut or a statistical background subtraction.W e chose the latter approach since the form er method may introduce a bias against bluer cluster mem bers observed to have varying fractions due to the Butcher-O em ler e ect.

We have considered two di erent approaches to the statistical subtraction of the galaxy background. First we have calculated a local background within an annulus centered on the cluster X -ray center. The annulus has been then divided in 20 sectors and those featuring a larger than 3 deviation from the median galaxy density are discarded from the further calculation (g.1, left panel).

As a second m ethod we have derived a global background correction. The galaxy number counts N  $_{bg}^{g}$  (m)dm was derived from the mean of the magnitude number counts determined in ve di erent SDSS sky regions, each with an area of 30 deg<sup>2</sup> (g.1, right panel). The source of uncertainty in this second case is system atic and originates the presence of large-scale clustering within the galaxy sam ple, while the Poissonian error of the galaxy counts is small due to the large area involved. A fter the background subtraction we found that



F ig.1. Left panel: The plot shows a cluster region with the local background. The dots represent the galaxies in the sam ple. The biggest dots correspond to the brightest galaxies in apparent r m agnitude. The local background num ber counts have been calculated inside the annulus with inner radius equal to  $r_{200} + 0.2$  deg and a width of 0.5 degree. The regions with voids due to lack of data or close clusters have to be discarded in the background estimation. Right panel: G bbal background num ber counts as a function of m agnitude in the r band. The error bars include the contribution of large scale structure. The line shows the counts magnitude relation expected for a hom ogeneous galaxy distribution in a universe with euclidian geometry: N (r) =  $A_r 10^{0.6 (r-16)}$ . The value of  $A_r = 5.99 - 0.52 (0.5m ag)^{-1} deg^{-2}$  is the results of the t in Yasuda et al. 2001 for 12 r 17.

the signal to noise in the u band was too low to be useful, and perform ed our analysis on the 4 rem aining Sloan photom etric bands g,r,i,z.

In order to calculate the total cluster lum inosity, we have calculated rst the absolute m agnitude, corrected for G alactic extinction and K-correction. We have estim ated L by using the (background corrected) m agnitude number counts of the cluster galaxies with the follow ing prescription:

$$L = N_{i} (m) l_{i} (m) + (m) dm (1)$$

The sum on right side is perform ed over all the N m agnitude bins with galaxy num ber N<sub>i</sub>(m) and m ean lum inosity  $l_i(m)$ . The integral is an incompleteness correction due

to the completeness limit of the galaxy sample at  $m_{lim} = 21 m ag in the ve Sloan$ photom etric bands. (m) is the individual Schechter lum inosity function tted to the galaxy sample of each cluster. The incom pleteness correction is of the order of 5-10% in the whole cluster sample. This means that the galaxies below the magnitude lim it do not give a signi cant contribution to the totalopticallum inosity. Therefore the most in portant source of error is due to the contribution of the background galaxy num ber counts. The uncertainty in each bin of magnitude is given by the Poissonian error of the bin counts (  $N_{tot}^{i}$  (m), with i = 1; ...; N ) and the background subtraction in each m agnitude bin  $(\frac{i}{bq} (m))$ . Since the galaxy counts in the bins are indepen-

dent, the error in the lum inosity is given by:

$$L = \left( \sum_{i}^{N} (N_{tot}^{i} (m_{i}) + \sum_{bg}^{i} (m_{i})^{2}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \qquad (2)$$

### 4. Correlating X-ray and optical properties

For a cluster in which mass traces optical light ( $M = L_{opt}$  is constant), the gas is in hydrostatic equilibrium (T / M  $^{2=3}$ ), and  $L_X / T^3$ , we expect the X-ray bolom etric lum inosity to be related to the optical lum inosity as  $L_{op} / L_X^{0.5}$  and to the intracluster medium temperature as  $L_{op} / T_X^{1:5}$ .

In this section we show that tight correlations exist between the total optical cluster lum inosity and the X-ray cluster properties as the X -ray lum inosity and the intracluster m edium tem perature.

To search for the best correlation between optical and X-ray properties and to optim ally predict for example the X -ray lum inosity from the optical appearance, we are interested in an optical characteristic, which shows a minimum scatter in the Xray/optical correlation. Therefore, we perform ed a correlation using 4 of the 5 SD SS optical band, g, r, i and z, to nd out which Iter should be used in the prediction.We used a xed aperture to calculate the optical lum inosities for all the clusters, to make no a priori assumption about the cluster size. M oreover, to check whether the scatter in the correlation depends on the cluster aperture, we did the same analysiswith di erent apertures. To quantify the  $L_{op}$   $L_X$  and the  $L_{op}$   $T_x$  relations, a linear regression in log-log space was performed. In any photom etric band the scatter has a clear dependence on the cluster aperture by showing a region of minimum on the very center of the cluster, around  $0.5 \text{ M pc } h_{70}^{-1}$ .

Fig.2 shows the  $L_{op}$   $L_X$  and  $L_{op}$   $T_x$ relation respectively, at the radius of m inimum scatter,  $0.5 \text{ Mpc } h_{70}^{-1}$ , in the z band. In fact the i and the z bands have a slightly

bands at any radius. The best t in the z band for the  $L_{op}$   $L_X$  and the  $L_{op}$ T. relations at the radius of m in im um scatter are respectively:

$$L_{op}=L = 10^{11:79} \, {}^{0:02}L_X \, (R_{osat})^{0:45} \, {}^{0:03}$$
 (3)

$$L_{op}=L = 10^{11:75 \ 0:02} L_X (B_{o1})^{0:38 \ 0:02}$$
 (4)

$$L_{op} = L = 10^{11:42} \, {}^{0:06}T_X^{1:12} \, {}^{0:08}$$
 (5)

#### 5.D iscussion and conclusions

The value of the exponent in the power law for the  $L_{opt}$   $L_X$  (B ol) relation, is around 0.38 in the region of minimum. The values are not consistent within the errors with the value of 0.5 predicted under the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium and constant m ass to light ratio. The sam e conclusion can be derived for the L<sub>opt</sub> Τv relation. A simple reason of the disagreement could be due to the assumption of a constantm ass to light ratio. In fact, G irardi et al. (2002) analysed in detail the mass to light ratio in the B band of a sample of 294 clusters and groups , nding M =L / L<sup>0:33</sup> 0:03. The same results was found by Lin et al. (2003) in the K band. Thus, if we consider this dependence of M =L from the optical lum inosity with the assumptions of hydrostatic equilibrium, the new expected relation between the optical lum inosity and the X-ray lum inosity and tem perature are  $L_{op} / L_X^{0:4}$  and  $L_{op} / T_X^{1:25}$ , respectively, which are in good agreement with our results. The behavior of the M =L as a function of the cluster mass and lum inosity is con med also by our data as shown in g. 3. W e used the subsample of cluster with known temperature to calculate the mass within r<sub>500</sub> with the M T relation of Finoguenov et al. (2001). M = L shows a clear dependence on the cluster m ass with a slope from 0.27 in the i and r bands to 0.22 in the z band.

The scatter in the  $L_{op}$   $L_x$  relation for the aperture with the best correlation (0.5)M pc  $h_{70}^{1}$ ), in the  $L_{op}$  variable is 0.20, and smaller scatter than in the other optical the scatter in the  $L_X$  variable is 0.22 in the



F ig.2. Left panel: C orrelation between optical lum inosities and X-ray lum inosities. The t is performed with a linear regression in the log ( $L_{opt}$ ) log ( $L_X$ ) space for each of the 4 optical bands. The solid and the dashed lines are the results of the orthogonal and bisector method respectively. The error bars are at the 68% condence level in both the variables. R ight panel: the same as in the left panel but for the correlation between  $L_X$  the the ICM temperature  $T_X$ 

correlations obtained in i and the z bands. Therefore, by calculating the total cluster lum inosity in the central part of the system, one can use the i or z band to predict the X-ray lum inosity from the optical data with a mean error of 60%. In the same way the optical lum inosity can be derived from  $L_X$  with the same uncertainty. Analogous results are obtained for the  $L_{op}$   $T_X$  relation. Since the observational uncertainties in the optical and in the X-ray lum inosity are about 20%, the scatters of 60% in both relations should be intrinsic.

To better understand the relation between the X-ray and the optical properties and their physical in plications, the optical lum inosity has to be calculated within the physical size of the system s. This will be discussed in a forthcoming publication.

Funding for the creation and distribution of the SD SS A rchive has been provided by the A lifed P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the N ational Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, the Japanese M onbukagakusho, and the M ax Planck Society. The SDSS Web site is http://www.sdss.org/. The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium (ARC) for the Participating Institutions. The Participating Institutions are The University of Chicago, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, The Johns Hopkins University, Los A lam os National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), the Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics (MPA), New Mexico State University, University of Pittsburgh, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory, and the University of W ashington.

### References

Abaza jian, K., Adelman, J., Agueros, M., et al. 2003, AJ, 126, 2081 (Data Release One)



Fig.3. Left panel: cluster mass within  $r_{500}$  as a function of the optical lum inosity calculated within the same radius. Right panel: cluster mass to light ratio as a function of the cluster mass. O byious consequence of the M  $L_{op}$  relation is that M =L shows a clear dependence on the cluster mass with a slope from 0.27 in the i and r bands to 0.22 in the z band. This is in agreement with the prediction based on our new  $L_X$   $L_{op}$  relation.

- B lanton, M., B lanton, M. R., D alcanton, J., E isenstein, D., et al. 2001, A J, 121, 2358;
- Blanton, M R., Lupton, R.H., Maley, F M. et al. 2003, AJ, 125, 2276 (Tiling Algorithm)
- Bohringer, H., Schuecker, P., Guzzo, L., et al. 2001, A & A, 369, 826;
- E isenstein, D. J., Annis, J., Gunn, J.E., et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 2267;
- Finoguenov, A ., R eiprich, T . H ., B hringer, H . 2001, A & A ,368,749;
- Fukugita, M., Ichikawa, T., Gunn, J. E. 1996, AJ, 111, 1748;
- G irardi, M ., M anzato, P ., M ezzetti, M ., et al. 2002, ApJ, 569, 720;
- G unn, JE, Carr, M A, Rockosi, C M, et al1998, AJ, 116, 3040 (SD SS C am era)
- Hogg, D W , Finkbeiner, D. P., Schlegel, D. J., Gunn, J. E. 2001, AJ, 122, 2129;
- Lin, Y., Mohr, J.J., Stanford, S.A. 2003, ApJ,591,749;
- Lupton, R.H., Gunn, J.E., Szalay, A.S. 1999, AJ, 118, 1406;
- Lupton, R., Gunn, J. E., Ivezic, Z., et al. 2001, (astro-ph/0101420);

- Pier, JR., Munn, JA., Hindsley, et al. 2003, AJ, 125, 1559
- Schlegel, D ., F inkbeiner, D . P ., D avis, M .
  1998, A pJ, 500, 525;
- Shim asaku, K., Fukugita, M., Doi, M., et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 1238;
- Sm ith, JA., Tucker, D L., Kent, S M., et
  al. 2002, A J, 123, 2121;
- Stoughton,C.,Lupton,R.H.,Bemardi,M., et al.2002,AJ,123,485;
- Strauss, M.A., M.A., Weinberg, D.H.,
- Lupton, R.H. et al. 2002, AJ, 124, 1810;
- Yasuda, N., Fukugita, M. Narayanan, V.K. et al. 2001, A J, 122, 1104;
- York, D.G., Adelman, J., Anderson, JE., et al. 2000, AJ, 120, 1579;