Source separation on astrophysical data sets from the W M A P satellite

G.Patanchon¹, J.Delabrouille² and J.F.Cardoso³

¹ University of British Columbia, Canada
 ² CNRS/PCC, UMR 7553, Paris, France and APC, Paris France
 ³ CNRS/LTCI, UMR 5141, Paris, France http://tsi.enst.fr/~cardoso

A bstract. This paper presents and discusses the application of blind source separation to astrophysical data obtained with the W M A P satellite. B lind separation permits to identify and isolate a component compatible with CM B emission, and to measure both its spatial power spectrum and its emission law. B oth are found to be compatible with the present concordance cosm ological model. This application demonstrates the usefulness of blind IC A for cosm ological applications.

1 Introduction

The detection of Cosm ic M icrowave Background (CMB) uctuations, and the measurement of their spatial power spectrum, has been over the past three decades subject of intense activity in the cosm ology community.

The CMB, discovered in 1965 by Penzias and W ilson, is a relic radiation em itted som e 13 billion years ago, when the Universe was about 370.000 years old. This radiation em its, as a function of wavelength, as an alm ost perfect blackbody at a tem perature of 2.726 K elvin. Sm all uctuations of this tem perature tracing the seeds of the prim ordial hom ogeneities which gave rise to present large scale structures as galaxies and clusters of galaxies, are expected to exist, at the level of a few tens of m icro K elvin.

The importance of measuring these uctuations to constrain cosm ological scenarios describing the history and properties of our Universe is now well established. The measurement of their statistical properties (and in particular of their spatial power spectrum) permits to drastically constrain the cosm ological parameters describing the matter content, the geometry, and the evolution of our Universe [Jungman et al. 1996]. The accuracy required for precision tests of the cosm ological scenarios, how ever, is such that it is necessary to disentangle in the data the contribution of several distinct astrophysical sources, all of which emit radiation in the frequency range used for CMB observations [Bouchet & G ispert 1999]. In addition, all measurements being noisy, it is necessary to identify, characterize, and remove as well as possible contributions due to instrumental noise.

CMB emission peaks in the millimeter-wave domain of the electrom agnetic spectrum. In this wavelength range however, several astrophysical sources of

radiation contribute to the total em ission. Som e of them are quite well known (or modelled), and others not so well. It is of utmost importance then, for the interpretation of the observations, to separate the various em issions. This can be achieved (to some extent) by the joint processing of various observations perform ed at various wavelengths.

To rst order, the observation at a given wavelength can be modeled as a linear superposition of a number of sources. A sequence fX (x;y)g of observations | J m aps obtained at several wavelengths | is modeled as an instantaneous mixture of K independent sources contam inated by independent noise N (x;y):

$$X (x;y) = A S (x;y) + N (x;y)$$

where A is an unknown J K matrix.

As a strong prediction of the standard big bang model of cosmology, CMB anisotropies are expected to have a spectral emission law given, to rst order, by the derivative (with respect to tem perature) of the blackbody law, taken at the tem perature of the CMB:

$$I = T \qquad \frac{@B (T)}{@T} = 2:726 K$$
(1)

where B (T) is the P lanck law for the emission of a blackbody at tem perature T. B lind component separation, which permits to estimate the emission law of sky components, provides a unique tool for checking this prediction. In the following, we apply a blind component separation method on W MAP data.

2 W M A P data

2.1 W M A P

The WMAP space probe, launched by NASA in 2001, is a large telescope for imaging the total emission of the sky at 5 di erent wavelengths (or frequency channels), with a resolution ranging from about 0.2 degrees to 0.9 degrees (limited by di raction), and with full sky coverage (4 of the sphere) Bennett et al. 2003].

Data taken with the WMAP instrument has been made available to the scienti c community after one year of proprietary period, and is freely available on a dedicated web site.⁴ In its most usable form at, the data consists of a set of 10 m aps obtained by di erent detector pairs: four maps at 3.2 mm (94 G H z), two at 4.9 (61 G H z), and one at 7.3, at 9.1, and at 13.0 mm (41, 33 and 23 G H z). The data is provided in the Healpix pixellisation form at of the sphere.⁵ D ata from the various detectors observing the sky at the same frequency can be combined to yield a single map of the sky at that frequency. In this analysis, we use the data from individual detector pairs.

⁴ http://lam bda.gsfc.nasa.gov/

⁵ http://www.eso.org/science/healpix/

2.2 A strophysical em issions

In the millim eter waveband, the total sky emission can be considered as the superposition of two main classes of sources: di use emissions and compact sources.

D i use em issions are due to extended processes on the sky. For all of these processes, the observed photons are em itted by large scale distributions of em itters { gases of particles. Am ong these, one expects em ission from the hot plasm a in the early Universe (the CMB), greybody em ission from cold dust particles in the galaxy (dust em ission), em ission from relativistic electrons spiraling in the galactic magnetic eld (synchrotron), free{ free (B rem sstrahlung) em ission from ionized gaz.C om pact source em ission is due to distant ob jects (galaxies, quasars, clusters of galaxies), the angular size of which is smaller than the resolution of the instrum ent.

For each physical source of em ission, the total observable intensity I (;;), depends on the physical properties of the source (clum piness, em ission process, tem perature, etc...). Here, is the wavelength and (;) is the direction on the celestial sphere. Form ost di use em issions, we can approximate I (;;)' A ()S(;), the approximation being expected to be excellent (less than 0.1%) for some components (e.g. CMB) and not so good (at the 10% level) for others as dust em ission.

2.3 Spherical harm onics

It is convenient and usual to decompose the sky brightness (traditionnally expressed as T(;') in units of temperature uctuation in micro Kelvin), as an expansion: $_{\rm X}$

$$T(;') = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \neq m \\ \lambda \neq m}}^{X} Y_{\lambda \neq m} (;')$$
(2)

where the functions Y_{m} (;') are the usual spherical harm onics. For a stationary isotropic random G aussian eld on the sphere, the coe cients a_m on the sphere are such that $E(a_{m} a_{m} a_{m}) = C \cdot \cdots a_{m} a_{m} \cdot On$ the spherical harm onics basis, a noisy linear m ixture of components w rites

$$X (';m) = A S (';m) + N (';m)$$
 (3)

The W MAP observations used in this application are decomposed on spherical harmonics, and the component separation method is applied on the set fX (';m)g, a 10 1 vector of coe cients of the spherical harmonics expansion of the W MAP observations.

3 M ethod

3.1 SpectralM atching

Following the m ethod described in D elabrouille et al. 2003], we compute average values of the covariance of the observations:

$$\mathbb{R}_{X}(q) = \frac{1}{n_{q}} \sum_{(m_{q}) \ge D_{q}}^{X} X(m) X(m)^{Y} \quad (q = 1; ...; Q)$$
(4)

where q labels a dom ain D_q of (';m) space and $n_q = card(D_q)$. For our application, it is custom ary to estimate band averages of the power spectra in dom ains $'_1 < '_2$, with m taking all available values for each ', i.e. ' m '.

If the observations X m atch the multi-component m odel of equation 3, then \Re_X (q) is an estimator of R_X (q), the latter being itself given by

$$R_X(q) = A R_S(q) A^{y} + R_N(q) \quad (q = 1; :::;Q)$$
 (5)

with $R_s(q)$ and $R_N(q)$ de ned similarly to $R_X(q)$. The parameters of interest (mixing matrix and band-averaged spatial power spectra of components) are precisely A and $R_s(q)$, the latter being diagonal for uncorrelated components. Noise spatial power spectra, being of no particular use for astrophysics, are nuisance parameters which must be estimated, so that the full set of parameters of the data is = fA; R_s ; R_N g.

The estimation of these parameters of the model is done by maximizing an approximation of the likelihood (in the W hittle approximation), which (up to irrelevant factors) boils down to minimizing

$$() = \int_{q=1}^{X^2} n_q D R_X (q); R_X (q;)$$
(6)

D (;), the Kullback-Leibler divergence, is a measure of divergence between two positive n n matrices, de ned by

$$D(R_{1};R_{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} R_{1}R_{2}^{1} \quad \log \det(R_{1}R_{2}^{1}) \quad n \tag{7}$$

Optim ization is achieved with a dedicated algorithm sbased on the EM algorithm, followed by few steps of a descent method (BFGS algorithm) to speed-up the convergence [Cardoso & Pham].

3.2 Estim ation of Component maps

C om ponent m aps are estimated by applying to the observations X a W iener lter W = $[A^{t}R_{N}^{1}A + R_{S}^{1}]^{1}A^{t}R_{N}^{1}$, where estimated values A, R_{N} and R_{S} of the parameters are used. The estimated components are obtained then by:

$$\dot{\mathbf{g}} = \left[\mathbf{\hat{k}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{\hat{k}}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{\hat{k}} + \mathbf{\hat{k}}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{t}} \right]^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{\hat{k}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{\hat{k}}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{X}$$
(8)

In the limiting case where noise is small as compared to component signals, R $_{\rm S}^{-1}$ is negligible. If in addition estimates of the mixing matrix is good (Å ' A) the application of the W iener liter to the observations yields

$$\$' S + [A^{t}R_{N}^{1}A]^{1}A^{t}R_{N}^{1}N$$
 (9)

which is an unbiased estimate of S. In poor signal to noise regimes, the signal is attenuated to suppress noise contam ination in the reconstructed maps.

3.3 Dealing with real data

The observations of astrophysical emissions are more complicated than the sim - plem odel of equation 3 in several respects.

- { K nown galactic em issions (dust, synchrotron, free-free) are positive and concentrated tow ards the galactic plane;
- { In addition, galactic component em issions may be correlated for physical reasons (thus violating the independence assumption);
- { The emission law (mixing matrix elements) of galactic components is known to be slightly position dependent and/or scale dependent;
- { Point sources are not modeled satisfactorily by a linear mixture, and their distribution is poorly represented by the W hittle approximation;
- { Instrum ental noise is not stationary over the sky, which reduces som ewhat the e ciency of parameter estimation;
- { Detectors observe the sky with a detector dependent angular resolution. This e ect can be modeled, to rst order, as a detector-dependent multiplicative term B in harm onic space.

For the present analysis, we dealw ith these com plications in the sim plest possible way. We decide to focus the present analysis on checking whether W M A P data contains a component which has both a CM B power spectrum and the expected emission law for temperature uctuations of the cosm ological blackbody. Hence, we cut out from the analysis those regions of the sky which are most contaminated by galactic emission. A lso, we cut out from the analysis sm all regions around known bright point sources (see next subsection). This reduces drastically the impact of the rst four items in the list. We ignore noise non stationarity. Irregularities are sm ooth enough on the sky to induce only sm all (and sm all range) correlations in harm onic space. The impact of the multiplicative term B harm onic space is corrected for beforehand.

We restrict the analysis to multipole 'values between 10 and 400, which encom passes all of the rst acoustic peak observed by W M A P using the highest frequency channels only. The upper limit permits to minimize the impact of a background of residual unresolved point sources and of uncertainties in the modeling of the beam of the instrument.

3.4 M ask

We apply a mask to the observations which sets to 0 regions of the sky contam inated by strong emission which probably are not well modeled as a linear mixture of uncorrelated sources. These regions are essentially a set of small cuts centered on known bright point sources, and a large cut through the map to exclude the galactic plane region, highly contam inated by a complex set of correlated foreground emissions. This cut permits to isolate a region (the major fraction of the sky) where the emission is thought to be dominated by CMB uctuations. It is possible to perform the SM ICA analysis using the decomposition in spherical harmonics of cut | sky data. The analysis, then, is performed on a fraction of the sky (at galactic latitude higher than 20 degrees, with point sources removed, and with sm all additional high { level galactic em ission regions cut }.

On such incomplete sky, some technical issues have to be taken into account. First, the spherical harm onics do not form an orthonom albasis, but his has little impact on the estimation in large bands of `.Second, because of the mask, the stationarity hypothesis which guarantees the decorrelation of spherical harm onics expansion coeccients of the components is not quite satisfied, which results in possible loss of ecciency. As a nal comment on masking, we note that the spectra estimated on the cut sky have to be corrected to take into account the impact of this \window function". We apply a correction using the Master formalism of H ivon et al. [H ivon et al. 2002] to correct from partial sky coverage e ects.

4 Results

The application of SM ICA to W MAP data gives the following results:

- { Two components are clearly identied, one of which is CMB, and the second emission from the high latitude galaxy.
- { A third component is identi ed marginally, which may correspond to large scale variations of galactic em ission law,
- { The identi ed CMB component has an emission law compatible with the expected derivative of a blackbody, to excellent accuracy. Its power spectrum displays a peak around ' = 200 (rst acoustic peak) compatible with the m easurem ent announced by the W M AP team.
- { The galactic component has an emission law compatible with synchrotron emission in all W M AP channels (proportional to ^{2:7}) except those at 90 G H z, for which a signi cant excess is seen. This excess is interpreted as due to galactic dust emission correlated with the synchrotron (either for physical reasons or { m ore likely { by happenstance because of concentration of galactic emissions at low galactic latitude).

Error bars for all quantities are computed from the Hessian at the point of convergence, with a correction factor $1 = (f_{sky} \text{ for part} \{sky \text{ coverage}.$

5 Conclusion

The SM ICA blind component separation has been applied successfully to W MAP data. The analysis is performed on 'varying from about 10 to 400, which encom – passes allof the rst acoustic peak of the CMB.We nd that all 10 W MAP m aps comprise a common astrophysical component identie edhere as CMB. The emission law of this rst component is compatible with CMB uctuations to within about one per cent, and its spatial power spectrum in the range 10 ' 400



Fig.1.Left: M easured CMB uctuation mixing matrix, compared to theoretical expectations. D ata points at the same frequency have been slightly o set for readability. This gure shows that the rst component identi ed blindly in the W M AP data, and seen consistently in allW M AP channels, has a CM B uctuation emission law to within less than one per cent (error bars here exclude W M AP calibration errors). Right: M easured CM B spatial power spectrum, com – pared to the theoretical model matching best W M AP team measurement (solid black line). This gure shows that the rst component identi ed blindly in the W M AP data, and seen consistently in allW M AP channels, has a spatial power spectrum consistent with what has been measured by W M AP using only the two highest frequency channels.

com patible with the m easurement obtained by the W MAP team. The second component has an emission law proportional to $^{2:7}$ except in the W (90 G H z) channel for which a signi cant excess is observed. This excess is thought to be due to dust emission contribution to the component. These results demonstrate the usefulness of blind component separation for astrophysical data analysis.

References

- Jungm an et al. 1996. Jungm an, G., et al., 1996: Cosm ological-param eter determ ination with microwave background maps. Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 1332
- Bouchet & G ispert 1999. Bouchet, F.R., G ispert, R.: Foregrounds and CMB experiments I. Sem i-analytical estimates of contamination. New A stronomy 4 (1999) 443
- Delabrouille et al. 2003. Delabrouille, J., Cardoso, J.{F., Patanchon, G.: Multi-Detector Multi-Component spectral matching and applications for CMB data analysis. M onthly Notices of the RAS 346 (2003) 1089
- Cardoso & Pham. Cardoso, J.F, Pham, D.T.: Optim isation issues in noisy gaussian ICA. Submitted to this Conference (ICA 2004)
- H ivon et al. 2002. H ivon, E. et al. M A STER of the Cosm ic M icrow ave Background A nisotropy Power Spectrum : A Fast M ethod for Statistical A nalysis of Large and C om plex C osm ic M icrow ave Background D ata Sets. The A strophysical Journal 567 (2002) 2{17

Bennett et al. 2003. Bennett, C.L. et al. First-Year W ilkinson M icrowave Anisotropy Probe (W MAP) Observations: Prelim inary M aps and Basic Results. The A strophysical Journal Suppl. 148 (2003) 1{27 CMB anisotropies



Fig.2.Top:Themap of CMB uctuations obtained after Wiener Itering of the data using parameters estimated with SMICA.Bottom:Themap of the second component (galactic emission) obtained in the same way.