H eterogeneity of solid neutron-star m atter: transport coe cients and neutrino em issivity

P.B.Jones[?]

Department of Physics, Denys W ilkinson Building, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3RH, UK

ABSTRACT

C alculations of weak-interaction transition rates and of nuclear form ation enthalpies show that in isolated neutron stars, the solid phase, above the neutron-drip threshold, is an orphous and heterogeneous in nuclear charge. The neutrino emissivities obtained are very dependent on the electron shell structure but may be several orders of magnitude larger than the electron brem sstrahlung neutrino-pair emissivity at temperatures 10^9 K. In this phase, electrical and therm al conductivities are much smaller than for a hom ogeneous bcc lattice. In particular, the reduced electrical conductivity, which is also temperature-independent, must have signi cant consequences for the evolution of high-multipole magnetic elds in neutron stars.

Keywords: densematter-stars:neutron-pulsars:general.

1 IN TRODUCTION

The very extensive pulsar and X-ray source observations now being made require, for their interpretation, an understanding of the condensed-matter physics of neutron-star solid phases. The radiative opacity of a very thin surface 10^3 cm and matter density [<] 10[°] g cm layer of depth largely determ ines the tem perature di erence between the surface and the interior of the star (see Potekhin, Chabrier & Yakovlev 1997, also Potekhin & Yakovlev 2001, for recent calculations and reviews of earlier work). A tom s in the higher-density part of this layer are com pletely ionized but the electron Ferm im om entum is less than or of the order of 1 M eV /c. The whole layer contains no m ore than 10 10 M and has physical properties which are in portant only with respect to its radiative opacity and, possibly, in connexion with the composition of the neutron-star atmosphere. In the next layer, with m atter densities up to the neutron-drip threshold $_{nd} = 4:3 \quad 10^{11} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, depth 10^{4} cm and m ass 10 $^5\mathrm{M}$, the electrons form a relativistic Ferm igas whose transport coe cients (electrical and therm al conductivities and) are dependent on the nuclear composition and degree of order of the solid. This is also true of the neutron-drip

region with densities above $_{nd}$ which occupies most of the crust volume (depth 10^5 cm and mass $10^{-2}M$). The fraction of the stellar volume concerned here is so large that its electrical conductivity must be relevant to the evolution of high-multipole components of the magnetic eld. P inning of super uid neutron vortices by nuclei in this region is believed to be the origin of pulsar glitch phenomena (Ander-

son & Itoh 1975; Ruderm an 1976). The neutron-drip region contributes alm ost all the mechanical rigidity of the crust and its failure under M axwell or other stresses is assumed to be involved in a number of X-ray emission phenomena, for example, the soft gamma repeaters (SGR; Thompson & Duncan 1995, 1996) and the persistent emission of the anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXP; Thompson et al 2000).

The canonical picture of the solid, both above and below nd, in a neutron star that has not been subject to a long period of accretion since form ation, is of a hom ogeneous bcc lattice of even-Z nuclei, locally in com plete weak-interaction equilibrium . Certainly above, and possibly immediately below, nd, the nuclei are those with closed proton shells. The equation of state below nd has been derived by extrapolations of nuclear param eters from experim entally accessible regions of neutron excess (see Haensel & Pichon 1994 who also sum marize earlier work). Pethick & Ravenhall (1995) give a general review of solid phase properties and observe that, above nd, there have been two distinct approaches to the problem of deriving the equation of state. M icroscopic calculations of the single-particle states for neutrons and protons inside a W igner-Seitz cellwere described in the classic paper of Negele & Vautherin (1973) and give a com plete description of the system apart from the super uid energy gap.But this approach has not been followed by later workers who have adopted a compressible liquid-drop model (CLDM) with various Skymepseudopotentials (Lattimeret al1985, Douchin & Haensel2001). Although the CLDM nuclear charge is a continuous variable, the existence of shell e ects and of proton pairing require that the crust be com posed of successive hom ogeneous layers of even-Z nuclei. In fact, weak-interaction equilibrium cannot be exact owing to

the rapid decrease of weak transition rates as the star cools, which is caused by the potential barrier present in those transitions to odd-Z nuclei. Flowers & Ruderm an (1977) noted that, in consequence, at least a small fractional concentration of nuclei with charge deviation Z = 2 from the hom ogeneous lattice m ust be present in m etastable equilibrium as point defects. But it has been usual to assume that the value of the impurity parameter,

$$Q = \int_{i}^{\Lambda} a_{i} (Z_{i} \quad Z)^{2}; \qquad (1)$$

de ned for a distribution of nuclear charges Z $_{\rm i}$ with fractional concentrations $a_{\rm i}$ and mean Z , is, in most contexts, negligibly sm all (several orders of magnitude less than unity).

The extent of heterogeneity in Z at densities $< n_d$ has been investigated by several authors. Jog & Sm ith (1982) and D e B lasio (2000) have exam ined the structure of the interface between successive hom ogeneous layers. The nature of the constraints under which equilibrium is de ned was considered by Jones (1988) who concluded that a distribution in Z m ust be a feature of the state reached as the star cools. M ore recently, calculations of the point defect concentration have been m ade by D e B lasio & Lazzari (1998).

Form ation enthalpies were calculated by Jones (1999a, 2001) for a number of point-defects in solid neutron-star matter at densities $> n_d$. The enthalpies obtained were sm all and it was argued that an am orphous heterogeneous solid phase must be form ed and should persist as the star cools, the melting transition being replaced by a glass transition tem perature region.

The conclusion that heterogeneity in Z exists both below and above nd does not seem to have been widely accepted. Undoubtedly, the assumption of a boc lattice, homogeneous in Z, is attractive because it can be simply stated and provides a clear basis for calculations, such as those of transport coe cients. It is also the case that arqum ents about the role of proton shell-structure and the approach to weak-interaction equilibrium were made only qualitatively by Jones (2001, hereafter Paper I) and were not supported by detailed calculation. Nonetheless, even though am orphous heterogeneous structures are unattractive ow ing to their greater com plexity, if they represent physical reality, it is necessary to consider their e ect on the stress-response of the solid and to de ne as well as possible the consequent degree of uncertainty in calculations of transport coe cients and neutrino em issivities. The present paper contains the results of those detailed calculations which were absent from the previous papers. In Section 2, we consider how the e ects of proton shell-structure can be included, quantitatively, in the com pressible liquid-drop m odel of nuclei by the Strutinskiprocedure (see Ring & Schuck 1980) to obtain form ation enthalpies for nuclei in the interval 20 6 Z 6 50. Section 3 gives estimates of the weak-interaction transition rates between these nuclei and, from an initial tem perature of 5 10° K, which is in the vicinity of the melting temperature or glass transition region of the system, describes how the Zdistribution evolves with tem perature and time. It also gives estimates of the very broad range of possible neutrino em issivilies associated with these transitions which have so far been neglected in all published calculations of neutron-star cooling.

This paper is concerned with the nature of the crust in isolated neutron stars which lack the long period of mass-accretion of binary systems. Factors such as accretion through fall-back at form ation are ignored. Therefore, it does not consider neutron stars in those binary systems where the rate of mass transfer is large enough to replace the whole crust, below and above $_{nd}$ (see Schatz et al 1999). The extent to which the results obtained here may be relevant to such system s is discussed brie y in Section 6. Under the physical conditions considered in the present paper, pycnonuclear reactions were exam ined previously (Jones 2002) and were found to have negligible transition rates in the solid phase. The reason for this is that the interm ediate state form ed in a solid by fusion of nuclei with charges Z₁ and Z₂ consists of a monovacancy and a point-defect of charge $Z_1 + Z_2$. At the highest matter density, 8:8 10^{13} g cm 3 , assumed in Sections 2 & 3, for example, this state has a 17 M eV form ation enthalpy. (This assumes that analogues of the standard lattice point-defects exist in am orphous solids, though they may be short-lived at high temperatures.) States with even higher form ation enthalpy would result from successive fusion reactions, indicating that processes such as pinning-induced nuclear rod form ation (M ochizuki, Oyam atsu & Izuyam a 1997) are not signi cant. However, the direct form ation of lower-dimensional nuclear structures at tem peratures of the order of 10¹⁰ K, in a density intervalbetween the spherical nuclear phase and the continuous liquid core of the star, is predicted for m any m odels of nuclearm atter (Lorenz, Ravenhall & Pethick 1993; O yam atsu 1993; but see also Douchin & Haensel 2000). Lorenz et al noted that the geom etrical form of these structures would allow weak-interaction transitions but gave no estimate of the neutrino em issivity. For com pleteness, a brief calculation of this emissivity is given in Section 4. It is less signi cant than that associated with the region of spherical nuclei.

The density region below $_{nd}$ is reconsidered in Section 5 using the binding energy compilation of M ller, N ix & K ratz (1997), but with inconclusive results for values of Q. It is possible to state only that values Q 1 are probable, with Q 1 in limited regions. This is not too serious a problem form ost neutron star calculations ow ing to the limited depth of the region below $_{nd}$. Values of Q computed for > $_{nd}$, the region which occupiesm ost of the crust volum e, are given in Table 2 and their signi cance is considered in Section 6.

2 SHELL EFFECTS IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF FORMATION ENTHALPIES

Form ation enthalpies for point-defect structures of in purity nuclei with charge Z_i in an otherwise hom ogeneous boc lattice of charge Z, were obtained in previous work (Paper I) at densities above $_{nd}$. The m ethod of calculation followed that used earlier form onovacancies (Jones 1999b), the essence of which was application of the Feynman-Hellmann theorem (Slater 1963) to nd the lattice displacements in the vicinity of the defect. Nuclei were described by the compressible liquid-drop model (CLDM) of Lattimer et al (1985) with these authors' Skyrm e pseudo-potential for bulk nuclear matter and their expression for the therm odynamic potential per unit area of nuclear surface. Lattice-site displacements in the vicinity of an impurity are determined,

principally, by properties of the high-density relativistic electrons at > nd. The Coulom b-electron stress-tensor has isotropic components which are between one and two orders of m agnitude larger than the o -diagonal. A lso, the inverse of the electron-screening wavenum ber is larger than the bcc lattice constant. Consequently, lattice-site displacem ents are such that the electron density, averaged over a volum e of the order of the W igner-Seitz cell, adjusts to values alm ost exactly equal to the mean electron density of the undisturbed lattice. W e refer to Paper I for m ore com plete discussions of these and other features of the form ation enthalpy calculations. Our prim ary assumption about the distribution of form ation enthalpies is based on these considerations. It is that the form ation enthalpy for a nucleus of charge Z_i in an am orphous heterogeneous solid of m ean charge Z, or in a liquid with the same nuclear charge distribution, is satisfactorily approxim ated by that calculated for an impurity nucleus of charge Z $_{\rm i}$ in a hom ogeneous lattice of charge Z .

The formation enthalpies were given in Paper I with reference to that for the hom ogeneous lattice charge. W ith the exclusion of integral multiples of the neutron and electron chem ical potentials, they were expressed as H $_{\rm F~Z}$ = $C(Z Z)^2$ for charge Z. Values of the constant C are given here in Table 1 at several matter densities with, for convenience, the parameters of the lattices concerned. The values of chosen exclude the region immediately above nd because it represents a relatively sm all interval of depth in the solid crust. The CLDM parameters used by Lattimer et al t the ground states of laboratory even-even nuclei and so include the e ects of pairing interactions. Thus our expression for H_{FZ} neglects shelle ects, including the unpaired proton in odd-Z nuclei. The investigation by Negele & Vautherin (1973) revealed a proton shell structure very sim ilar to that of laboratory nuclei. A lthough shell energy-di erences are modied in the neutron continuum, the shell ordering (see Figure 5 of their paper) is changed only in that $1d_{3=2}$ and $1f_{5=2}$ respectively, precede $2s_{1=2}$ and $2p_{3=2}$. We are unaware of any published sequence of single-particle energy levels for nuclei beyond the neutron-drip threshold apart from those contained in that paper. However, Chabanat et al (1998) have shown by calculation of two-neutron separation energies that shelle ects at neutron num bers N = 50;82 rem ain signi cant im m ediately below the neutron-drip threshold. There is no doubt that our expression for H_{FZ} ought to be modied by shell structure, but the form of these changes was considered only qualitatively in Paper I.

At matter densities > nd, there is a neutron continuum with chemical potential n > 0. It is degenerate, except for a sm all volum e with nd, and is super uid at tem peratures $0 < T < T_{cn}^{e}$. Nuclei can be most simply viewed as bound states of protons embedded in this system, charge-neutralized by an alm ost uniform relativistic electron gas. The form ation enthalpy di erences H F Z + 1 HF Z can, in principle, be a ected by neutron shell-structure because the change in Z will be associated with a change in nuclear radius r_N and, possibly, a change in the number of neutron single-particle states at negative energy. But we shall assume that neutron transitions from the continuum just above the zero of energy to states just below do not contribute to discontinuities in the form ation enthalpy di erences with which this paper is concerned. Thus we consider the elects of proton shell-structure only, and regard the neutrons within the

Heterogeneity of solid neutron-star matter 3

nuclear volum e as merely a part of the super uid continuum, though with increased density and a locally modied super uid energy gap.

Shell e ects in the form ation enthalpies of nuclei with 20 6 Z 6 50 are estim ated here using the Strutinski procedure, following fairly closely the account given by Ring & Schuck (1980). If a purely notional single-particle level sequence were to be associated with the CLDM approximation, its level density would be a monotonic and smoothly varying function of Z or of single-particle energy. Real single-particle level densities are not like this. Thus the procedure starts from a computed level density g() and single-particle energy sum $E_{\rm sp}$ and generates an averaged level density g() and energy sum $E_{\rm sp}$. Hence the form ation enthalpy deviation from its CLDM value at a speci c Z is given by

$$H_{FZ} = (H_{FZ})_{CLDM} + E_{sp} \quad E_{sp} + pq:$$
(2)

The average of this expression, for even-Z nuclei, over an interval of Z would then be (H $_{\rm FZ}$)_{C LD M}, the underlying C LD M form ation enthalpy. The correction terms in this expression should, of course, be enthalpies because the weak-interaction transitions occur at constant pressure. But the error in replacing enthalpies by energies here is not large and is certainly less serious than that inherent in the neglect of con guration m ixing, to which we shall refer later. The rst three terms in the right-hand side of equation (2) give the shell-corrected form ation enthalpy for even-Z nuclei. The remaining term , nonzero only for odd-Z nuclei, is the excitation energy of a single proton quasiparticle. The shell-m odel energy is

Ζ

$$E_{sp} = g()d; \qquad (3)$$

where is the proton chem ical potential and

$$g() = (i)$$

$$(4)$$

is the density of single-particle states. The averaged shellm odelenergy is given by the Strutinsky-averaged density of states g de ned by the averaging procedure

$$g() = g(^{0})f - d - (5)$$

It is Z~

$$\mathbf{E}_{sp} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{d}; \qquad (6)$$

where the modi ed chemical potential ~ remains to be determined. It is clearly necessary that successive applications of the averaging procedure should have g unchanged. A suitable class of functions satisfying this condition is formed by products of gaussian functions with generalized Laguerre polynom ials. W e assume a speci c order of polynom ial,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{p-e} x^{2} \frac{15}{8} \frac{5}{2}x^{2} + \frac{1}{2}x^{4} ; \qquad (7)$$

and refer to R ing & Schuck for further details and for a tabulation of them . An averaged occupation number $r_{\rm i}$ is de ned for each proton state,

$$\mathbf{r}_{i} = \int_{1}^{2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
(8)

Table 1.Properties of CLDM lattice nuclei in equilibrium with a uniform neutron liquid of number density n_n^e . The matter density is , and Z is here the uniform nuclear charge, a CLDM continuous variable. The Ferm is avenum bers are $p_{F\,n}$ for neutrons within the nuclear volume and $p_{F\,e}$ for the electrons. The radii of the nucleus and W igner-Seitz cellare r_N and $r_{W\,S}$, respectively. The lattice D ebye and m elting tem peratures are T_D and T_m . The energy gap of the neutron continuum is $\stackrel{e}{n}$, and C is the form ation enthalpy constant.

n ^e 10 ³ fm ³	10 ¹³ g cm ³	Z	P _{F n} fm ¹	$p_{\rm Fe}$ fm 1	r _N fm	r _{W S} fin	T _D 10 ⁹ K	k _B T _m M eV	n n M eV	C M eV
7.8	1.6	34.65	1.47	0.231	5.82	27.1	1.8	0.36	0.77	0.0142
18.4	3.7	35.13	1.48	0.286	6.28	22.0	2.3	0.46	1.10	0.0096
43.6	8.8	34.26	1.49	0.363	7.10	17.15	2.9	0.56	0.67	0.0051

and the con*s*traint

$$\mathbf{n}_i = \mathbf{Z}$$
 (9)

is used to determ ine the modi ed chem ical potential ~. The parameter denes the width of the averaging function. It is chosen to satisfy, so far as possible, the condition that the averaged energy \mathbb{E}_{sp} () should be independent of it.

The scheme requires a set of proton single-particle levels i. As in Paper I, and in order to make use of the values of the parameter C calculated in that work, we obtain approxin ate sets of hom ogeneous boc lattice parameters by using the CLDM approximation, closely following Lattimer et al (1985). These are given in Table 1 and form a basis for our calculation of form ation enthalpy di erences. For each m atter density, we obtain a set of i from the work of Negele & Vautherin (1973; g.5). Values of the width in the interval26 6 6 M eV have been investigated. The choice is to some degree a matter of comprom ise because the stationarity condition is not perfectly satis ed by E_{sp}^{\prime} () at a common for all Z in the range 20 6 Z 6 50. Thus we have adopted a xed value = 4 M eV for all sets of i. The rst three terms in the right-hand side of equation (2) are then calculated from the values of the constant C given in Table 1 and from the procedure described above. The remaining term pg presents some di culty. It is recognized that its value depends on the extent to which the shell concerned is lled but for sim plicity, we have assumed a constant value for all odd-Z nuclei, with pq = 0 for even-Z nuclei.

A typical set of H_{FZ} values given by equation (2) is shown in Fig. 1, which is for a matter density = 3:710³ g cm 3. The enthalpies exclude integral multiples of the electron and neutron chem ical potentials. There are pronounced minima in formation enthalpy at the closed-shell charges Z = 20;34;40;50. These minima are also present at the other densities listed in Table 1 though with rather di erent relative values of form ation enthalpy. For the formation of a therm al equilibrium population of nuclei, or for the calculation of weak-interaction transition rates, we require only the di erences H_{FZ+1} $H_{F\ Z}$. Also shown are (H $_{\rm FZ}$) $_{\rm CLDM}$ values corrected only by the $_{\rm pq}$ term . C om parison of these two sets con m s that, as suggested in Paper I, shelle ects are a more in portant source of form ation enthalpy di erences between nuclei of neighbouring Z than the CLDM bulk nuclearm atter term .

The fact that the important closed shells are at Z = 34;40 is a consequence of our choice, in Paper I, of the Lattimer et al CLDM which produces Z 35 in the density interval considered here (see also Pethick & Ravenhall 1995,



F igure 1. Form ation enthalpies H $_{\rm F~Z}$ are given for nuclei 20 6 Z 6 50 at matter density = 3:7 10^{13} g cm 3 . Those represented by open circles have been obtained using the Strutinsky procedure whereas the solid circles are the (H $_{\rm F~Z}$)_{C LD M} values corrected only for the unpaired proton. In both cases, this term is $_{\rm Pq}$ = 0:6 M eV. In the form er case, proton shell closures at Z = 20;34;40;50 are associated with very marked enthalpy m inim a.

Fig. 2). If, instead, the Douchin & Haensel CLDM which gives typically Z 45 had been used, we anticipate that the general form of Fig.1 would be unchanged except for a displacem ent to higher Z , with the important closed shells being those at Z = 40;50. The shelle ects of this paper have been obtained using a speci c scheme of calculation and it is worth considering the extent to which they are physically realistic. The values of concerned are subnuclear so that the de nition of single-particle levels, as in free (laboratory) nuclei, is not unreasonable. The form of single-particle wave functions changes com pletely, ow ing to spatial quantization, in the progression to a new shell and this is re ected in the level density discontinuity which produces shell e ects. But in reality, single-particle or hole states are certainly modied by residual nucleon-nucleon interactions which introduce components of the same angular momentum and parity but with more complex particle-hole structure. Our expectation is that this con guration m ixing would change

the relative spacings of the $_{1}$ so as to reduce the values of E $_{\rm sp}$ E $_{\rm sp}$ generated by this procedure. Consequently, the extent of shell structure seen in, for exam ple, F ig. 1, should be treated as an upper lim it to the true contribution of shell structure to form ation enthalpy di erences between nucleiof neighbouring Z . A further reason why these results should be regarded as no m ore than a guide to true form ation enthalpy di erences is that their Z -average (in F ig. 1) does not appear to conform well with (H $_{\rm FZ}$) $_{\rm C \, LD \, M}$, possibly because the C LD M parameters are to some extent inconsistent with the N egele & V autherin levels.

3 W EAK -IN TERACTION TRANSITION RATES AND COOLING

Given the formation enthalpies for nuclei in the interval 20 6 Z 6 50, an estimate of the initial condition as the star cools can be obtained by assuming that, above a certain tem perature, weak-interaction transition rates are large enough to maintain approximate local therm al equilibrium . W e assume here, quite arbitrarily, that this tem perature is $T_0 = 5$ 10° K. The arbitrary nature of our assumption follows from the di culty in calculating transition rates at tem peratures T $\stackrel{>}{}$ T₀ where neutrino phase-space occupation numbers cannot be assumed to be zero. It is also necessary to make the sim plifying approximation of neglecting nuclear excited states. Individual nuclear partition functions are then equal to 2J + 1, where the nuclear spin J is derived entirely from the protons. Unpaired neutrons, or neutron quasiparticles at T < T_{cn}, are viewed as excitations of the neutron continuum rather than of individual nuclei. The further evolution of the system at $T < T_0$ depends on the speci c heat, the neutrino em issivities, and on the set of weak-interaction $Z \stackrel{*}{\downarrow} Z + 1$ transition rates.

Equations (A 4)-(A 9) of Paper I give the Z ! Z + 1 transition rate from an initial proton closed-shell nucleus. A proton is created in a new shell of angular momentum j with, in the super uid case at T < T_{cn} , either the creation or annihilation of a neutron quasiparticle. The conservation equations for these two energetically distinct processes are

$$n = H_{FZ+1} \quad H_{FZ} + e + ;$$
 (10)

in which the electron and neutron energies are measured from their chemical potentials $_{e}$ and $_{n}$, with $_{n}$ > n / where n is the neutron energy gap. These are a form of direct U rca transition because the Fourier transform of the proton wave function always has a nite amplitude at the wavenum ber p, pe p necessary for m om entum conservation. The transition rate, sum m ed over all states in the new shell, is the product of a rate constant 0 and a phasespace integral (equations A 7 and A 8). We refer to Paper I for further details. In the more general case of a partially led shell, the protons are assumed to be paired into states of zero angularm om entum. The rate constant o is then multiplied by a j-dependent factor. For example, in a Z ! Z + 1 transition in which the shell initially contains two protons, the factor is (1 2=(2j+1)). A second Z ! Z + 1 case is that in which the shell initially contains an odd num ber of protons. For the case of a single proton, the factor is $2=(2j+1)^2$.

Nuclear spins obtained directly from the Negele & Vautherin shell ordering are assumed in the present paper, but

Heterogeneity of solid neutron-star matter 5

with some reservation. The sequences of ground-state spins and parities tabulated by M ller et al (1997) for nucleiclose to neutron instability, and hence relevant below neutrondrip, are not at all simple, possibly owing to the signi cant nuclear deform ation. It is not obvious that, above neutrondrip, a system of Z protons embedded in a neutron continuum will be without deform ation and consequent com plexities. But the conclusions which will be described in this Section concerning Z-heterogeneity are so clear that they would not be a ected by changes in the detail of shell ordering.

The parameters used in the evaluation of transition rates at a given tem perature are those listed in Table 1 except that we assume di erent neutron energy gaps and critical tem peratures in the nuclear interior and in the continuum . The neutron e ective mass is $m_n = 0.8m_n$. Following a local density approximation (LDA), values of the neutron Ferm i wavenum ber p_{Fn} and the zero-tem perature neutron energy gap n are those for an in nite system at the density of the nuclear interior. The critical tem perature T_{cn} is that for an isotropic BCS super uid. The nite-tem perature energy gap needed for calculation of the phase-space integral is conveniently obtained from tabulated results given by Rickayzen (1965), as is the BCS neutron speci c heat. The specic heat of the system has components for the electron gas, the solid, and for the neutrons (norm alor BCS superuid). A lthough the solid is am orphous and heterogeneous, it is represented by a sim ple D ebye m odel with a single tem perature T_D .

The neutron energy gap in neutron-star matter is not well known.Dierent methods of calculation lead to a wide range of values, (see the reviews of Pethick & Ravenhall 1995, Heiselberg & Hiprth-Jensen 2000). However, methods that introduce corrections such as medium polarization to the calculation inevitably seem to suppress the energy gap as shown, for example, by Shen et al (2003) who also give a brief review of recent work on this topic. For this reason, we have assumed that the energy gap obtained by A insworth, W am bach & Pines (1989) applies to the neutron continuum ^e_n. This a ects only the speci c heat. The neutron energy gap e ective in the nuclear interior and the proton quasiparticle energy are even more di cult to estimate in neutron starm atter. The neutron coherence length is at least of the sam e order of m agnitude as the nuclear radius, so that the proximity e ect is certain to be signi cant for the neutron qap, as has been em phasized by Pethick & Ravenhall. Hence the value of the LDA assumption must be limited. These authors also observe that pairing in the interior of ordinary nuclei is a kind of proxim ity e ect in that attractive pairing in the relatively large, low-density, surface volum e in uences pairing in the whole. The absence of this low nucleon-density region owing to the presence of the neutron continuum may then a ect the proton pairing pg. The uncertainties of prediction are such we think it necessary to treat the interior neutron energy gap and the proton quasiparticle energy as unknown parameters which are both assumed to be within the interval 0 < pq; n < 1 M eV.

R outines for the evaluation, at any tem perature, of the speci c heat and of all transition rates for 20 6 Z 6 50 with the proton level sequence $1f_{7=2}; 1f_{5=2}; 2p_{3=2}; 2p_{1=2}; 1g_{9=2}$ allow the change in Z-distribution to be followed as the star cools. They also give the neutrino em issivity. We have



Figure 2. The left-hand set of curves shows the cooling as a function of time at a matter density of 3:7 10¹³ g cm ³ for form ation enthalpies given by the solid circles of Fig. 1. The cooling is adiabatic except for the neutrino and antineutrino em issivities described by equation (10). Neutrino pair production by electron brem sstrahlung and by neutron quasiparticle annihilation are neglected here. A llow ance for these processes would produce faster cooling, particularly at t $^{>}$ 10¹⁰ s. The right-hand curves m easure the movem ent of nuclear charge (the $% f_{w\,i}$ per unit nucleus) from Z = 40 toward the closed shell at Z = 34 as a consequence of the weak interaction. It is a function of tem perature, but is represented in the gure as a function of time by means of the left-hand set of curves. The product $tf_{w i}$ is always some orders of m agnitude sm aller than unity, showing that the movem ent of charge during cooling is insigni cant. The solid, large and sm alldashed curves are, respectively, for the parameter sets: n = 0.2, pq = 0:4 MeV; n = 0:4, pq = 0:4 MeV; n = 0:4, pq = 0:6M eV.

adopted an arbitrary xed initial tem perature $T_0 = 5$ 10 K, which is close to the boc lattice melting temperatures given in Table 1. The cooling curves for a matter density 10^{13} g cm ³ (adiabatic except for neutrino and of 3:7 antineutrino emission through the processes described by equation 10) are shown in Fig. 2. for the set of H_{FZ} values given in Fig.1 which have no shell correction except for the pg unpaired-proton term . Three sets of the param eters _{pq} and _n are considered. The early parts of these curves are not entirely reliable because our calculations neglect neutrino opacity and so overestim ate transition rates and em issivities at tem peratures signi cantly above 10^9 K. The right-hand scale gives a measure of how rapidly, at any given tem perature, the weak interaction is changing the nuclear Z-distribution by moving nuclei between two of the minima in Z which appear in Fig. 1. It shows the $ux f_{wi}$ between Z = 40 and Z = 34, calculated at Z = 37 and

T ab le 2.Values of the impurity parameter Q and m ean charge Z:Q = Q_{sc} with shell corrections; Q = Q_{nsc} with no shell corrections.

10 ¹³ g cm ³	Qsc	Z _{sc}	Qnsc	Z _{nsc}
1.6	12	37.8	5	34.6
3.7	6	35.3	17	33.8
8.8	19	39.0	24	34.4

normalized per unit nucleus in the system, for the cooling conditions assumed here. This quantity is a function of tem perature, apart from a relatively small dependence on the changing Z-distribution. It is given here as a function of time by using the left-hand sets of curves in the Figure. Using the (right-hand) sets of curves so obtained, it can be seen that the product tfwi is always some orders of magnitude sm aller than unity. (Fig. 2 allows recovery of f_{wi} as a function of T so that it is possible to estimate the time required for weak-interaction equilibrium at a xed tem perature.) W eak-interaction rates are so low that even with the extrem e assumption of no shell corrections, the distributions of fractional concentrations for even-Z nucleichange very litthe with time provided $_{pq} > 0.4 \text{ MeV}$. In the limit of large t, the resulting values of the impurity parameter Q are not much smaller than those $(Q = Q_m \text{ in Table 2 of Paper I})$ for them alequilibrium at the melting tem peratures without shell corrections and with pq = 0. They are shown here in Table 2. In the shell correction cases, there is naturally som e m ovem ent of Z tow ard the m agic num bers but the resulting values of Q are independent of n and pq to such an extent that, given the very approxim ate nature of our calculations, it is not useful to include in the tabulation the values of these two param eters used, which are those of Figs. 4-6. The sam e com m ent can be m ade for the no shell-correction cases provided, as we stated previously, that pq > 0:4 MeV. Lower values of this parameter, in the special case of the absence of shell corrections, produce potential barriers sm all enough to allow movement of Z toward Z.But we do not attempt to give Q -values for this set of assum ptions which are very unlikely to be physically realized. The Q-values of Table 2 and their signi cance will be considered further in Section 6.But it is not realistic to neglect shell e ects completely. These must be present although, owing to uncertainties such as con gurational mixing (Section 2), the form ation enthalpy di erences they introduce m ay be sm aller than those show n in Fig.1. Thus the potential barriers in the weak-interaction paths between the closed shells at Z = 20;28;34;40;50 m ust be much higher than assumed in our calculations of f_{wi} , and the actual values of fwim any orders of magnitude smaller as a consequence.

Sets of curves similar to those of Fig. 2 exist for the other densities listed in Table 1. But they are so similar that they are not shown here. Our conclusion is that, even with allow ance for the uncertainties inherent in the shelle ect form ation enthalpy di erences calculated here, solid neutron-star matter cools to a system heterogeneous in Z. O ther sources of error are much less signi cant. For example, the Bessel function bound-proton states assumed in equations (A 4)-(A 6) of Paper I must overestim ate the true transition rates, as would our neglect of neutrino opac-



Figure 3. The specic heat per average W igner-Seitz cell is shown as a function of temperature, in units of $k_{\rm B}$. The curves labelled 1 3 are, respectively, for matter densities 1:6;3:7, and 8:8 10^{13} g cm 3 . The neutron continuum energy gaps $\stackrel{e}{}_n^{\rm e}$ are those given in Table 1. The intermal gap $_n=0.2$ MeV.

ity at T > 10^9 K. But modest errors are not important because these transition rates determ ine both the cooling and change in Z-distribution of the system . Very broadly, we can see that a su cient condition for maintaining Zheterogeneity during cooling is that the therm all energy per average W igner-Seitz cell should be sm aller than the mean energy, of the order of $6k_{\scriptscriptstyle\rm B}~T$, rem oved by neutrinos or antineutrinos in a weak transition. The specic heat per average W igner-Seitz cell is shown in Fig. 3 as a function of tem perature. It dem onstrates that the therm al energy satis esthis condition easily at T $\stackrel{<}{}$ 10 K but not at T 10^{9} K (the neutron contribution is large at T $^{>}$ T^e_{cn}). It is also true that we have neglected other well-established sources of neutrino em issivity which, if included, would cool the system m ore quickly and so assist in m aintaining heterogeneity.

The neutrino em issivities are shown in Figs. 4-6 for the m atter densities listed in Table 1. In each case, they are given both with and without the Strutinsky shell corrections obtained here and for sets of values of $_{pq}$ and $_n$. The principal well-established neutrino-em issivity processes, for the inner crust, in studies of neutron-star cooling are neutrino-pair production by electron brem sstrahlung and by neutron quasiparticle annihilation in the super uid. We emphasize that these em issivities have not been included in our cooling calculations but, for reference purposes, they are shown separately, obtained from Fig. 4 of the paper by K am inker, Y akovlev & G nedin (2002).0 bviously, the potential barriers which separate form ation enthalpy m inim a at closed shells are of crucial in portance in determining em issivities. It is



F igure 4.N eutrino em issivities at a m atter density of 1:6 10^{13} g cm ³ are shown as functions of temperature for the weak-interaction processes described by equation (10). The formation enthalpies are obtained by the inclusion of shell corrections (low er set of curves) and without these corrections (upper set of curves). N eutrino-pair production by electron brem sstrahlung and neutron quasiparticle annihilation are excluded from these curves, but their em issivity is shown separately for reference purposes. The curves labelled a c are, repectively, for the following parameter sets: n = 0.2, pq = 0.4 MeV; n = 0.4, pq = 0.4 MeV; n = 0.4, pq = 0.4 MeV; n = 0.4, pq = 0.4 MeV.

possible to say with con dence only that the emissivities shown are likely to form upper and low er bounds for the true values. A sthey di er by at least several orders of magnitude, this is not a very strong or practically useful statem ent. But given that the solid inner crust is heterogeneous in Z, the existence of this source of uncertainty must be accepted. Its e ect on the surface temperature of the star is di cult to estim ate without complete cooling calculations.

4 LOW -DIMENSIONAL STRUCTURES

Phases in which protons are con ned to one or twodimensional structures have been studied extensively and their existence in a substantial density interval between the spherical nuclear phase and the liquid core depends on the form of the Skym e pseudopotential assum ed in deriving the equation of state. B oth Lorenz et al (1993), using the interaction of Lattimer et al, and O yam atsu (1993) nd such structures within a signi cant density interval. A survey of work on this topic has been given by Pethick & Ravenhall (1995). But m ore recent calculations by D ouchin & H aensel (2000), using a di erent Skym e interaction, nd only the



F igure 5.N eutrino em issivities at a m atter density of 3:7 10^{13} g cm 3 are shown with labelling and parameter sets as for F ig. 4.

phase of spherical nuclei. Investigations since then (W atanabe & Iida 2003) have shown that the inclusion of electron screening tends to increase the density interval occupied by any such phase. The paper by Lorenz et al notes that these structures give rise to new weak-interaction processes but gives no further details. These are no more than a di erent form of the direct U rca transition considered in Section 3. For completeness, the neutrino emissivity has been calculated here for one of these cases, allowing a comparison with the range of emissivities obtained for the spherical nuclear phase. The one-dimensional system considered is that in which the W igner-Seitz cell is an in nite slab of thickness 2d_{W s} containing neutrons and relativistic electrons. P rotons are con ned within a slab of thickness $2d_N$ symmetrically positioned inside this cell. Thus the component of the proton wave function in the variable perpendicular to the slab has Fourier transform _ with quantum number m and nite am plitude at the wavenum ber necessary form om entum conservation.W e assume that, with this limitation, neutrons and protons each form an isotropic BCS super uid, with energy gaps n;p. The neutrino em issivity per unit volum e is then

$$L_{s} = \frac{(1 + 3C_{A}^{2})G^{2}\cos^{2}c}{(2)^{11}hd_{WS}} d^{3}p_{n}d^{2}qd^{3}p_{e}d^{3}p}$$

$$X \qquad \qquad j_{m}(p_{n}; p_{e};)j^{2} \qquad (2)(p_{n} p_{e} q)$$

$$X^{m} \qquad \qquad \qquad u_{n}^{2}v_{p}^{2}n_{n}(1 q_{p})(1 q_{e})$$

$$(n p e); \qquad (11)$$



F igure 6.N eutrino em issivities at a m atter density of 8.8 10^{13} g cm 3 are shown with labelling and param eter sets as for F ig. 4. The additional set of broken curves are the neutrino em issivity L_s given by equation (11) for a one-dim ensional slab nuclear structure. The curves labelled d f are, respectively, for the param eter sets: $_{n} = 0.2$, $_{p} = 0.4$ M eV; $_{n} = 0.4$, $_{p} = 0.4$ M eV; $_{n} = 0.4$, $_{p} = 0.4$ M eV; $_{n} = 0.4$, $_{p} = 0.4$ M eV.

In this expression, G is the muon decay constant, $_{\rm c}$ is the Cabibbo angle, and C_A = 1.25 is the ratio of axial vector to vector coupling constants. The wavevector q lies in the plane of the slab. The neutrino or antineutrino energy is

.Quasiparticle or electron occupation numbers are $n_{n,p,e}$ and $n_{p,p}$ are the energies, referred to the chemical potential. The Bogoliubov coe cients for the proton and neutron quasiparticle states are u_n and v_p . The terms shown in this expression are for the process of neutron quasiparticle annihilation with proton quasiparticle and electron creation. How ever, the unlabelled summation states that the set of 8 terms, derivable from the creation or annihilation of the electron and of the neutron and proton quasiparticles, are included.

Numerical evaluation of this som ewhat untidy expression gives the emissivities shown in Fig. 6 for a matter density of 1.59 10^4 g cm⁻³ ($d_N = 3.62$ fm, $d_{WS} = 10.1$ fm) for several sets of values of $_{n,p}$. Values of the emissivity do not vary greatly with matter density in this phase or with the parameter d_N which is itself very much dependent on C LD M details. Emissivity calculations with di erent values of d_N show that uncertainties arising here are small compared with those caused by our lack of know ledge of the energy gaps $_{n,p}$. In general, the emissivities are rather smaller than those found in the spherical nuclear phase, the reason

being that the Fourier transforms of the proton states in that phase have broader distributions of m om entum .

5 THE OUTER CRUST

The canonical crust structure at densities < nd is obtained by minimization of the Gibbs free energy per nucleon. It consists of successive spherical shells, each of a boc lattice hom ogeneous in the nuclear charge Z neutralized by a relativistic electron gas. The most recent calculations are those of Haensel & Pichon (1994) who also review earlier work. The zero-tem perature structure of the interfaces between these shells was exam ined by Jog & Sm ith (1982) and found to consist of extrem ely thin layers of interpenetrating simple cubic lattices of the two nuclear charges concerned. Form ation, how ever, must be considered at the lattice melting tem perature T_m , or above. The reduction in free energy, derived from the melting temperature entropy of mixing for the two nuclear species, then gives interface layers of significant thickness in which one nuclear species is present as an in purity in a lattice form ed by the other (D e B lasio 2000).

Consideration of the later stage of nuclear form ation at nite tem perature gives quite separate grounds for excluding hom ogeneous lattices (Jones 1988). At tem peratures in the vicinity of $T_{\rm m}$, nuclei are in state of partial therm al equilibrium with the electrons and with a low-density Boltzm ann gas of neutrons. (W eak-interaction transition rates, even at $T_{\rm m}$, are too sm all to guarantee equilibrium Z-values, but the transition rates for the emission or absorption of neutrons are high and so m aintain strong-interaction equilibrium with the Boltzm ann gas at any density.) From the de nition of the neutron-drip density nd, the neutron chem ical potential in this region is $_{\rm n} = -\frac{\rm e}{\rm n}^{\rm e} < 0$, referred to the rest energy as zero. The equilibrium number density of the Boltzm ann gas is

$$n_{n}^{e} = \frac{2}{\frac{3}{n}} \exp((n_{n}^{e}));$$
 (12)

where

$$r = \frac{r}{\frac{2 h^2}{m_n}};$$
 (13)

and $^{1} = k_{B} T$. Thus it decreases rapidly as the star cools. But the nature of the system in poses an additional constraint on the G ibbs function m in in ization. The neutron scattering cross-section is so large that, once nuclei have formed, di usion over m acroscopic distances of the order of the shell depth is not possible within the short time perm itted by neutron condensation on to nuclei. This occurs at a tem perature $T > T_{0}$, where T_{0} is the tem perature (not well-de ned) at which weak-interaction equilibrium fails. Consequently, it is necessary to impose the further condition, at tem peratures $T < T_{m}$, that the mean nucleon number A_{WS} , per W igner-Seitz cell, should be constant. We refer to Jones (1988) for further details.

The m ethod of calculating equilibrium nuclear number densities di ers from that of Paper I which was for densities above $_{nd}$. The pressure at $< _{nd}$ is small in nuclear structure terms, being almost entirely that of the degenerate electron gas. Nuclei in this region have de nite A;Z and can be assumed to have binding energies B (A;Z) identical with those of the free (terrestrial) state. The m inimization of the G ibbs function G for a xed number of baryons is at constant $_{\rm e}$ and hence approximately at constant pressure. It is,

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho n_{ij}} \quad G + \sum_{\substack{ij \\ ij}}^{l} n_{ij} = 0; \quad (14)$$

where n_{ij} is the number of nuclei with mass number A_i and charge Z_j , and is a Lagrange multiplier. The equilibrium condition for the nuclear chemical potential \sim_{ij} is,

$$\sim_{ij} + (A_{WS} A_i) ({}_{n}^{e} + k_{B}T) + Z_{je} + = 0:$$
 (15)

(W ithout the constant $A_{W\ S}$ constraint, the nuclear chemical potential would be \sim_{ij} = $A_i \stackrel{e}{n} Z_j$ e.) The neutron chem ical potential is not an independent variable in equation (15): it is a function of $A_{W\ S}$ through the relation $A_{W\ S}$ A = $n_n^e V_{W\ S}$, in which A is the mean nuclear mass number and $V_{W\ S}$ the W igner-Seitz cell volum e. To a satisfactory approximation, the nuclei are independent system s and their equilibrium number densities are given by an expression entirely analogous with equation (12),

$$n_{A;Z} = \frac{Z}{\frac{3}{A;Z}} \exp \left((\sim_{ij} + Z (m_n m_p) + B E_{WS}) \right); (16)$$

where Z is the nuclear partition function (norm alized so that at T = 0 it is 2J + 1), B is the nuclear ground-state binding energy, and E_{W S} is the C oulom b energy of the W igner-Seitz unit cell. The presence of allows two nite number densities in the zero-tem perature limit, as is necessary because $A_{W S}$ is in general not an integer. Evaluation of equation (16) at a standard tem perature T_0 , close to T_m , for even-even nucleiw ith Z = 1 and binding energies from the recent com – pilation of M ller et al (1997) gives estimates of the mass number and charge heterogeneity expected at densities below nd.

It is unfortunate that the results are inconclusive. The source of the problem is that the values of A_{WS} are determ ined at those tem peratures $T > T_0$ existing at the form ation of nuclei, which are high and poorly known. The bulk transport of neutrons over m acroscopic distances, of the order of shell depths, is not possible in the short time which elapses before rapid cooling produces alm ost com plete condensation through nuclear capture (Jones 1988). It has to be accepted that the values of A_{WS} , constant at T < T, are virtually unknown. Evaluations of equation (16) for matter 1 3 1^{10} g cm ³, tem peratures T₀ = 5 10^{9} densities and 10^{10} K, and a wide range of values of A_{W S} A give a common picture.AsAws A increases, the equilibrium nucleichange from a group associated with the neutron N = 50closed shell to a group near N = 82. The neutron chem ical potentials at changeover vary from 1:3 MeV at $3 10^1 \text{ g}$ cm ³ to 3:6 MeV at 1 10^{11} g cm ³, for T₀ = 5 10 K.The corresponding values at 10^{10} K are 1.8 and 4.1 M ev, respectively. The changeover from N = 50 to N = 82is sim ilar to that found by Haensel & Pichon (1994) in their study of zero-tem perature equilibrium . The problem is that the A_{W S} constraint which was not considered by them does not perm it us to estim ate where it occurs. This com plexity is unfortunate, but we believe that it is real. Qualitatively, the consequences for Q -values are as follows. The N = 50region has Q 1, and the N = 82 region, Q 1.Values

10 P.B.Jones

Q 1 exist in the changeover region owing to the very large di erences in Z which are present there, as found earlier by D e B lasio (2000). Detailed calculations of weak-interaction transition rates con m ing the absence, at T < T_0, of weak-interaction equilibrium have not been m ade for $< _{\rm nd}$, but the qualitative criterion given in Section 3 is satis ed. In particular, values Q 1, where they exist, will certainly remain frozen in.

6 TRANSPORT COEFFIC IENTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Them ain conclusion of this paper is that nuclear-charge heterogeneity exists in the solid phase of isolated neutron stars. There must be many reservations about the procedures described in Sections 2 & 3 which have been used to obtain this result.W e attempt to summarize them here and in each case give reasons why they should not be viewed as serious. Firstly, the shell-corrected form ation enthalpy values, $H_{\rm F\ Z}$, are based on the Negele & Vautherin shell ordering and spacings. Nuclei close to neutron-instability are known to be deform ed, with ground states of som e com plexity (see M ller et al 1997). It is quite possible that these features are also present above nd. Therefore, the values shown in Fig. 1 m ay not give a true picture of the potential barriers which slow weak-interaction transitions. But it is the case that even quite small barriers slow weak transitions su ciently. The transition rate calculations, following the procedure of Paper I, are based on elementary single-particle proton wave functions and neglect Coulomb corrections to the electron function, which are only moderate at the values of $\ _{\rm e}$ considered. But here, the e ect of m ore com plex ground states would inevitably be reductions in transition rates which would be largely neutral in e ect because the rate of cooling by neutrino em ission is also reduced. The results, of which those in Fig. 2 are an example, show that the failure of weak-interaction equilibrium during cooling at $T < T_0$ is so clear that the above uncertainties are not signi cant. The choice of $T_0 = 5$ 10° K as the low est tem perature at which complete equilibrium remains is, of course, arbitrary. There is no doubt that a higher value would give m ore heterogeneity in Z, with larger values of Q, and that calculations m ade by the present m ethods would show a failure of weak-interaction equilibrium at tem peratures below it. But at these very high tem peratures, the neutrino opacity would cease to be negligible, as assumed in Section 3, so that the cooling rates shown in Fig. 2 would be overestimates. Obviously, the Q-values given in Table 2, under di erent stated assum ptions, for each of the matter densities listed in Table 1, should be seen as little better than order of m agnitude estim ates. But these satisfy Q 1 in a way which does not depend system atically on the details of shell e ects assum ed. They are som e orders of m agnitude larger than the values Q 1 previously assumed in the standard view of solid neutron-starm atter. A lthough the relevant calculations have not been m ade, we suggest there is no reason to think that this conclusion would be changed by replacing the Lattimer et alCLDM with that of Douchin & Haensel (2001). In the latter case, the important proton closed shells would be those at Z = 40;50 but we anticipate that the orders of m agnitude of the $\ensuremath{\texttt{Q}}$ -parameter obtained would be the same.

The procedures of Sections 2 & 3 are concerned merely with establishing Z -heterogeneity. The small-scale structure of the solid is a di erent question. It is not obvious that diffusion at temperatures in the glass transition region, which we assume to be near the hom ogeneous lattice melting tem – perature $T_{\rm m}$, could produce localized order extending over linear dimensionsmuch greater than 10^{1-2} inter-nuclear separations. The results of nudimentary form ation enthalpy calculations given in P aper I do not indicate that large chemical potential gradients exist to drive such di usion. Moreover, the classical entropy of disorder remains large. For these reasons, it is believed that although the structure may not be exactly that of an am orphous heterogeneous solid, any local order will be at most of small linear dimension, analogous with nanostructures in ordinary am orphous matter.

These conclusions can be compared with those of recent work on neutron stars in binary system swith high mass transfer rates, $^{>}$ 10 8 M yr 1 (Schatz et al 1999, see also Brown & Bildsten 1998). Stable burning of hydrogen and helium occurs near the surface, the most important process being rapid proton capture, and is almost complete at a depth of the order of 10^8 g cm 3 (see Schatz et al, Fig.1). The end products have charge distributions which depend on the mass-transfer rate, but are typically broad, with Z 6 40. Continued m ass-accretion forces these nuclei to higher m atter densities, eventually to > nd . Schatz et al contrast this Z -heterogeneous matter with the solid phase of an isolated (prim ordial) neutron star having the properties described by Pethick & Ravenhall (1995). Our conclusion is that the solid phases of neutron stars in these di erent environm ents are broadly sim ilar, with in purity parameters Q 10. It is very unlikely that the tem peratures of the burning processes will be high enough to produce weak-interaction equilibrium at matter densities above $_{nd}$. The ux fwi, representing the m ovem ent of nuclear charge toward a closed proton shell, is shown in Fig. 2 for the case of no shell correction, apart from the proton pairing term pq. This extrem e case is not realistic because there is alm ost certainly som e shell correction, though perhaps not so large as that shown in Fig.1. The increased potential barriers introduced by the correction would reduce values of $f_{w\,i}$ by m any orders of m agnitude, to an extent that Z -heterogeneity would be largely una ected.

It is obvious that the am orphous and heterogeneous nature of the solid phase of neutron-starm atter has signi cant consequences for its mechanical properties and, above nd, for its interaction with super uid neutron vortices. M echanical properties will not be considered here except to note that the response to stress is not strictly that of an ordinary am orphous solid because neutron-star m atter is very far from being absolutely stable and therefore cannot exhibit brittle fracture (Jones 2003). Super uid neutron vortices interact with nuclei through elementary pinning forces whose calculation is associated with unresolved problems (for a brief partial review, see Jones 2002). It is currently not possible to say that the signs or orders of magnitude are known with any degree of certainty at a given matter density. Both vortex pinning and the dissipative force acting on a moving vortex are strongly in uenced by the

structure of the solid phase. A part from the kind of lowdimensional structure considered in Section 4, very small dissipative forces would be possible only form otion through a system of large single crystals with very low concentrations of dislocations and point-defects. The con rm ation of the am orphous and heterogeneous nature of the solid phase rules out this possibility.

The neutrino emissivity from nuclear weak interactions at matter densities below nd has not been calculated owing to the di culty in knowing the correct transition rates between nuclei which are close to being neutron-unstable. The assumption of superallowed Ferm i transitions gives an order of magnitude of 10^{22} erg cm 3 s 1 at 10^9 K for matter densities 1 3 10^{1} g cm ³, but allow ance for the forbidden nature of the transitions would probably reduce this to an emissivity not much di erent from that for neutrino-pair production by electron brem sstrahlung under these conditions $(10^{18} \text{ erg cm}^3 \text{ s}^1; \text{ see, for example, G ned in et al}$ 2001). Neutrino em issivity above nd is shown in Figs. 4-6. W eak-interaction transitions in this region involve the creation or annihilation of quasiparticles in the neutron continuum . Rate calculations are more straightforward in this case, but owing to the uncertainties in form ation enthalpy di erences considered above and in Section 2, the em issivities shown in the two sets of curves probably form upper and low er bounds for the true values. H ow ever, at tem peratures Т́4 10 K, they can be larger than those previously assum ed. The degree of uncertainty here is unfortunate but it does seem right that its existence should be recognized in neutron star cooling calculations. Neutrino em issivities for one-dimensional layer structures (Section 4) are also subject to som e uncertainty, but are rather sm aller than for the spherical nucleus phase considered in Section 3.Em issivities for a two-dimensional phase have not been calculated, but are probably of a sim ilar order of magnitude to those for one dim ension.

E lectron scattering in a Z-heterogeneous system contributes electrical and therm all resistivities which are related by the W iedem ann-Franz law and are proportional to Q. The corresponding electrical conductivity (for zero m agnetic ux density) is, for relativistic electrons at $^{>}$ 10 g cm 3 ,

$$_{i} = \frac{Z C e}{4 e^{2} Q \operatorname{im} p}; \qquad (17)$$

in which the parameter im p 2:03 in the ultra-relativistic case (see Urpin & Yakovlev 1980, Itoh & Kohyama 1993) and Z is the mean nuclear charge. For the values of Q obtained here, the relaxation time underlying equation (17) is so short that its product with the electron cyclotron angular frequency exceeds unity only for magnetic elds B $^{>}$ 10¹³ G. Therefore, there is no need to distinguish between longitudinal and transverse components of the conductivity ten-10¹³ G. The conductivity is also classisor, except at B cal rather than quantum in nature at densities of the order of nd or above (see Potekhin 1999). The electron therm al conductivity i is given, in term s of i, by the W iedem ann-Franz law. These transport coe cients are of im portance, in m ost cases, at very di erent tim es during neutron-star cooling. The therm alconductivity is usually of interest at early tim es before the interior of the star, de ned for this purpose as matter densities $\stackrel{>}{10}$ g cm 3 , becomes approximately

isotherm al. The Q -dependent resistivity obtained here decreases , but the signi cance of the change is not in m ediately obvious and would require com plete neutron-star cooling calculations for its investigation. At later times, internal tem perature gradients are very sm all and it is possible that the consequent changes in them would be relatively unimportant.

The electrical conductivity is obtained by combining $_{\rm i}$ with the phonon-scattering conductivity $_{\rm ph}$. The assumption of a hom ogeneous bcc lattice with a low point-defect density gives $_{\rm ph}$. Useful sum maries of the properties of $_{\rm ph}$ for such a system has been given by U rpin & M uslim ov (1992) and by Pethick & Sarling (1995). Um k-lapp processes are by far the more in portant contribution to resistivity but become energetically disallowed at tem peratures T $^{<}$ T_j, where

$$T_U = 2.2 \quad 10^8 \frac{1=2}{14} \frac{Z}{60} \frac{1=3}{A} \frac{10Z}{A} K$$
 (18)

and $_{14}$ is the matter density in units of $10^{14}\,$ g cm 3 . At temperatures $T_U\,<\,T\,$ T_D , where $T_D\,$ is the D ebye tem – perature,

$$_{\rm ph} = 5.5 \quad 10^{23} \, {}^{7=6}_{14} \, \frac{10Z}{A} \, {}^{5=3} \, {\rm T_9}^2 \, {\rm s}^{-1};$$
 (19)

whereas in the lim it $T \,\,$ $\,$ T_{U} ,

$$_{\rm ph} = 2:1 \quad 10^{28} \, {}^{8=3}_{14} \, \frac{10Z}{A} \, {}^{14=3} \, {}^{T_9} \, {}^5 \, {\rm s}^{-1}$$
: (20)

In the neutron-drip region $> _{nd}$, the best value of the m ass number A, on which the phonon spectrum depends, is not at all obvious. Pethick & Sarling suggest A = A_{W S} but give no reasons why this choice should be suitable for superuid neutrons at T < T $_{cn}^{e}$. This factor introduces considerable uncertainty in the form of the function $_{ph}$ (T). It is also true that the modi ed phonon spectrum of an am orphous solid m ust produce substantial changes from equations (18) to (20). These have not been investigated here because, for the am orphous and Z-heterogenous solid, the in purity resistivity is large so that $_{i} < _{ph}$ except at temperatures T $^{>}$ 10° K. For comparison with equations (19) and (20), evaluation of equation (17) gives a conductivity

$$_{i} = 2:0 \quad 10^{24} \quad \frac{Z_{14}}{A_{WS}} \quad \frac{Z}{Q} \quad s^{-1}:$$
 (21)

The values of Q given in Table 2 lead to high impurity resistivity which is only weakly-dependent on $A_{W\ S}$. The fact that it is also temperature-independent removes a substantial degree of uncertainty from any model calculations made with it.

Thus the (zero-eld) electrical conductivity in most of the inner-crust volume is 10^{4} s¹ at all times. This is particularly relevant to studies of the evolution of neutron-starm agnetic elds which include both the Halle ect, with the possibility of a cascade to high wavenum ber eld com – ponents, and ohm ic dissipation (see Hollerbach & Rudiger 2002 and Geppert & Rheinhardt 2002, who also review earlier work on this problem). The Hall parameter considered by Hollerbach & Rudiger is

$$\frac{B}{n_e ec} = 2:3 \quad 10^{3} B_{12} \quad \frac{A_{WS}}{Z_{14}} \quad \frac{Z}{Q} ; \qquad (22)$$

12 P.B.Jones

where n_e is the electron density and B_{12} the magnetic ux density in units of 10^{12} G. It exceeds unity only for $B^{>} 10^4$ G. The impurity resistivity found here is also relevant for any process in which the eld distribution in the solid crust develops high-multipole components by mass-accretion or by ux expulsion from a superconducting neutron-star interior, or form odels in which it is conned to the crust (see Page, G eppert & Zannias 2000, who also give an account of previous work on distributions of this kind). Field components of wavelength 2h decay ohm ically with an exponential time-constant

$$t_c = \frac{4 h^2}{c^2}$$
 (23)

which, for $h = 10^5$ cm, is only 4.5 10^5 yr, a time sm all compared with those usually considered in relation to decay of neutron-star internal or surface elds. Its temperature-independence would also simplify evolutionary calculations such as those of Page et al. For the reasons sum marized here, we suggest that the structure of the solid phase of neutron-starm atter is not an irrelevant detail. The standard assumption of a hom ogeneous box lattice is not adequate and the consequences of an am orphous and Z -heterogeneous structure should be included in very m any di erent studies of neutron-star physics.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank D r J R ikovska Stone for introducing m e to the Strutinsky procedure.

REFERENCES

A insworth T.L., W am bach J., Pines D., 1989, Phys. Lett. B, 222, 173 Anderson P.W., Itoh N., 1975, Nat, 256, 25 Brown E.F., Bildsten L., 1998, ApJ, 496, 915 Chabanat E., Bonche P., Haensel P., Meyer J., Schae er R., 1998, Nucl. Phys. A, 635, 231 D e B lasio F.V., Lazzari G., 1998, Nucl. Phys. A, 633, 391 D e B lasio F.V., 2000, A & A, 353, 1129 Douchin F., HaenselP., 2000, Phys. Lett. B, 485, 107 Douchin F., HaenselP., 2001, A & A, 380, 151 Flowers E., Ruderm an M.A., 1977, ApJ, 215, 302 Geppert U., Rheinhardt M., 2002, A&A, 392, 1015 Gnedin O.Y., Yakovlev D.G., Potekhin A.Y., 2001, MN-RAS, 324, 755 HaenselP., Pichon B., 1994, A & A, 283, 313 Heiselberg H., Hjorth-Jensen M., 2000, Phys. Rep., 328, 237 Hollerbach R., Rudiger G., 2002, MNRAS, 337, 216 Itoh N., Kohyam a Y., 1993, ApJ, 404, 268 Jog C.J., Sm ith R.A., 1982, ApJ, 253, 839 Jones P.B., 1988, MNRAS, 233, 875 Jones P.B., 1999a, Phys. Rev. Lett., 83, 3589 Jones P.B., 1999b, MNRAS, 306, 327 Jones P.B., 2001, MNRAS, 321, 167 (Paper I) Jones P.B., 2002, MNRAS, 335, 733 Jones P.B., 2003, ApJ, 595, 342 KaminkerA.D., YakovlevD.G., GnedinO.Y., 2002, A&A, 383, 1076

Lattimer J.M., Pethick C.J., Ravenhall D.G., Lamb D. Q., 1985, Nucl. Phys. A, 432, 646 Lorenz C.P., Ravenhall D.G., Pethick C.J., 1993, Phys. Rev.Lett., 70, 379 Mochizuki Y.S., Oyam atsu K., Izuyam a T., 1997, ApJ, 489,848 M ller P., Nix J.R., Kratz K.-L., 1997, Atom ic Data and Nuclear D ata Tables, 66, 131 Negele J.W., Vautherin G., 1973, Nucl. Phys. A, 207, 298 O yam atsu K ., 1993, Nucl. Phys. A , 561, 431 Page D , Geppert U , Zannias T , 2000, A & A , 360, 1052 Pethick C.J., RavenhallD.G., 1995, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part. Sci., 45, 429 Pethick C.J., Sahrling M., 1995, ApJ, 453, L29 Potekhin A.Y., 1999, A&A, 351, 787 Potekhin A.Y., Chabrier G., Yakovlev D.G., 1997, A&A, 323,415 Potekhin A.Y., Yakovlev D.G., 2001, A&A, 374, 213 Rickayzen G., 1965, Theory of Superconductivity, John Wiley (Interscience), New York Ring P., Schuck P., 1980, The Nuclear M any-Body Problem, p. 83, Springer-Verlag, New York Ruderm an M.A., 1976, ApJ, 203, 213 Schatz H., Bildsten L., Cumming A., Wiescher M., 1999, ApJ, 524, 1014 Shen C., Lom bardo U., Schuck P., Zuo W., Sandulescu N., 2003, Phys.Rev.C, 67, 061302(R) Slater J. C., 1963, Quantum Theory of Molecules and Solids, Vol. I, M cG raw Hill, New York Thom pson C., Duncan R.C., 1995, MNRAS, 275, 255 Thom pson C., Duncan R.C., 1996, ApJ, 473, 322 Thom pson C., Duncan R.C., Woods P.M., Kouveliotou C., Finger F. H., van Paradijs J., 2000, ApJ, 543, 340 U min V.A., Yakovlev D.G., 1980, Sov. Ast., 24, 303 Upin V.A., Muslim ov A.G., 1992, MNRAS, 256, 261 W atanabe G ., Lida K ., 2003, Phys. Rev. C , 68, 045801

This paper has been typeset from a $T_{\rm E}X$ / ${\mathbb B}\,T_{\rm E}X$ le prepared by the author.