Chemical Abundances in the Secondary Star in the Black Hole Binary A 0620 00

Jonay I. G onz alez H em andez, R afael R ebold, G arik Israelian, and Jorge C asares

Instituto de Astrof sica de Canarias, E-38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, SPA IN : jonay@ ll.iac.es, rrl@ ll.iac.es, gil@ ll.iac.es, jv@ ll.iac.es

and

Andre M aeder and G eorges M eynet

Geneva Observatory, 1290 Sauverny, SW IT ZERLAND : Andre Maeder@obs.unige.ch, Georges Meynet@obs.unige.ch

ABSTRACT

Using a high resolution spectrum of the secondary star in the black hole binary A 0620 00, we have derived the stellar parameters and veiling caused by the accretion disk in a consistent way. We have used a ² minimization procedure to explore a grid of 800 000 LTE synthetic spectra computed for a plausible range of both stellar and veiling parameters. A dopting the best model parameters found, we have determined atm ospheric abundances of Fe, Ca, Ti, Ni and Al. The Fe abundance of the star is [Fe=H] = 0.14 0.20. Except for Ca, we found the other elements moderately over-abundant as compared with stars in the solar neighborhood of similar iron content. Taking into account the sm all orbital separation, the mass transfer rate and the mass of the convection zone of the secondary star, a comparison with element yields in supernova explosion models suggests a possible explosive event with a mass cut comparable to the current mass of the compact object. We have also analyzed the Li abundance, which is unusually high for a star of this spectral type and relatively low mass.

Subject headings: black holesphysics | stars:abundances | stars:individual (A 0620-00) | stars:X-rays:low-mass | binaries

¹Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cient cas, SPA IN

1. Introduction

The system A0620 00 (V616 Mon) is a low mass X-ray binary (LM XB) discovered as an eruptive X-ray source by Ariel V in August 1975 (Elvis et al., 1975). During the outburst, it brightened by 6 m agnitudes in the optical and after 15 m onths it had returned to its quiescent m agnitude of $m_v = 183$ m ag. Spectroscopic observations during quiescence revealed a K5V {K7V stellar spectrum plus an emission line component from an accretion disk surrounding the compact object (O ke 1977; M urdin et al., 1980). Further optical photom etric and spectroscopic studies established the orbital period at P = 0.323 d and a secondary radial velocity sem iam plitude of K₂ = 457 km s¹ (M cC lintock & R em illard 1986), which implied a mass function of f(M) = 3:18 0:16 M and thus rm dynamical evidence for a massive compact object a black hole in this system. From measurements of the orbital inclination, the compact object mass was estimated at 11 M and the companion starm ass at 0**:**7 M (Shahbaz et al, 1994; G elino et al, 2001).

M any aspects of the origin and evolution of low m ass X-ray binaries (LM X B s) still rem ain unclear. It is believed that these systems begin as wide binaries with extrem e m ass ratios and orbital separations of a 1000 R (Portegies Zwart et al., 1997; K alogera & W ebbink 1998). A fter lling its R oche lobe, the massive star engulfs its low m ass companion and the latter starts to spiraling in to the massive star's envelope (van den H euvel & H abets 1984; de K ool et al., 1987). A close binary forms if the spiral-in œases before the low m ass companion coalesces with the compact helium core of the primary. The helium core continues its evolution and after SN explosion m ay turn into a neutron star or a black hole. The system becom es an X-ray binary once the secondary star lls its R oche lobe and begins to transfer m atter to the compact object.

The spiral-in process could give rise to a naked He core, identi ed with W olf{Rayet stars that have lost their envelopes (W oosley et al., 1995). The high m ass-loss rate (Chiosi & M aeder 1986; Nugis & Lamers 2000) of these stars makes di cult to understand the form ation of compact objects as massive as the black hole in A 0620 00 (M eynet & M aeder 2003; W oosley et al., 1993). However, if the hydrogen envelope of them assive star is rem oved at the end of the He core burning phase (the so-called Case C m ass transfer, B rown et al., 1999), the m ass lost by wind in the short-lived (10^4 yr) supergiant stage will not be large.

Convection (Langer 1991) and rotation (M aeder & M eynet 2000; H eger et al., 2000) in uence the structure and evolution of massive stars and subsequently the uncertainties in the treatment of these parameters limit our understanding of the evolution of the progenitors of compact objects. In addition, uncertainties in various aspects of the supernova explosion models a ect the predictions of the nalmennant mass and the chemical composition of any ejecta captured by the companion. Am ong the least known ingredients of these models, we The mass cut, i.e. the mass above which the matter is expelled at the time of the supernova explosion and below which it remains locked into the compact remnant.

The amount of fallback or of the mass which is eventually accreted by the compact core (W oosley & W eaver 1995; M acFadyen et al., 2001).

Possible m ixing during the collapse phase (Herant & Woosley 1994; Herant et al., 1994; K ifonidis et al., 2000; Fryer & Warren 2002).

The energy of the supernova explosion (Nakamura et al., 2001).

The symmetry of the supernova explosion (M acFadyen & W oosley 1999; M aeda et al., 2002).

W ith the aim of obtaining information on the link between compact objects and their progenitor stars, Israelian et al. (1999) measured element abundances in the secondary star of the black hole binary N ova Scorpii 1994 (GRO J1655 40) and found several -elements (0, Mg, Si, S, and Ti) enriched by a factor of $6\{10$. Since these elements cannot be produced in a low mass secondary star, this was interpreted as evidence of a supernova event that originated the compact object. Taking into account the supernova yields from explosion m odels of massive stars, the relative abundances of these elements suggested that the supernova progenitor was in the mass range $25\{40 \text{ M}$. A flerwards, these over-abundances were compared with a variety of supernova m odels, including standard as well as hypernova m odels (for various helium starm asses, explosion energies, and explosion geom etries) and a simple m odel of the evolution of the binary and the pollution of the secondary (B rown et al., 2000; Podsiadlow ski et al., 2002). A dditional independent evidence for the existence of a supernova event in this system has also been found by M irabel et al. (2002).

In this paper we analyze the chem ical abundances of the secondary star in the LM XB A 0620 00 with the aim of searching for any evidence of nucleosynthetic products from the progenitor of the com pact object.

2. Observations

2.1. Stellar Spectrum

The secondary star was observed with the UV {V isual E chelle Spectrograph (UVES) at the Very Large Telescope (VLT) of the European Southern Observatory (Paranal), using a

con guration that provided a dispersion 0.029 A/pixel in the blue arm ($4800\{5800 \text{ A}\)$ and 0.035 A/pixel in the red ($5800\{6800 \text{ A}\)$. Short exposures ($480\{540 \text{ s}\)$ were chosen in order to avoid as far as possible the sm earing of spectral lines associated with radial velocity change during its orbital motion. Twenty spectra were obtained during three nights in D ecember 2000 in both the blue and the red spectral regions.

Every spectrum was reduced within the MIDAS UVES environment. First, bias and inter-order backgrounds were subtracted from both the science and at-eld frames. The spectrum of the target was then optimally extracted and divided by the at-eld (extracted with the same weighted prole as the star). The nal spectra were wavelength-calibrated, every order was extracted, and allwere merged in order to obtain a one dimensional spectrum for each spectrum.



Fig. 1. Observed spectrum of the secondary star of A 0620 00 (top) and of a properly broadened tem plate (HD 209100, bottom).

The radial velocity for each spectrum has been obtained from the ephemeris reported in Casares et al. (2004, in preparation). The individual spectra were corrected from radial velocity and combined in order to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. A fler binning in wavelength in groups of 10 pixels the nalspectrum had a signal-to-noise ratio of 85 in the continuum. This spectrum is shown in Fig. 1.

2.2. Telluric Spectrum

We obtained a telluric spectrum from our own observations and the stellar spectrum was properly corrected for it. The large di erence in broadening between the stellar (95 km s^1) and telluric lines (9 km s^1 , the instrum ental resolution), allowed us to t a cubic spline to the stellar features in the binned spectrum of the star, i.e., the nal spectrum displayed in Fig.1. This t was sampled to the original dispersion provided by the spectrograph and subtracted from each of the 20 individual unbinned spectra taking into account the radial velocity of the star in each case. We used this dispersion to ensure that the telluric lines would not be sm oothed. We obtained 20 residual spectra in which we subtracted the stellar features. The com bination of these residual spectra gave a noisy telluric spectrum (spectrum 1) which was cross-correlated with another telluric spectrum (spectrum 2) obtained from a fast rotating star. The correlation function of both spectra was centered on zero velocity.

In order to correct our target observations, we used the much higher S/N telluric spectrum 2 scaled down (with the $IRAF^2$ task telluric) to the strength of the telluric lines in spectrum 1. This scaled telluric spectrum was shifted according to the radial velocity of the target during each exposure. Then we combined all these shifted spectra to generate a naltelluric spectrum in the rest frame of the companion star (spectrum 3), which was subtracted from the nalspectrum of the target.

Param eter	Range	Step
T _e	4000 к ! 5300 к	100 K
log g	3.5! 5	0.1
[Fe/H]	0:8 ! 1	0.05
f ₄₅₀₀	0 ! 0.4	0.05
m ₀	0 ! 0.00016	-1.778E-05

Table 1. Ranges and steps of model parameters

 $^{^{2}}$ IRAF is distributed by National Optical A stronom y Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in A stronom y, Inc., under contract with the National Science Foundation.



Fig. 2. Distributions obtained for each parameter using M onte Carlo simulations. The labels at the top of each bin indicate the number of simulations consistent with the bin value. The total number of simulations was 1000.

2.3. Di use interstellar bands

In fact, spectrum 1 (see x2.2) does not only consist of telluric lines, it also contains ISM features in the line of sight towards A 0620-00. We tentatively identi ed in this spectrum several of the well known di use interstellar bands listed in Herbig (1995). We took care that these bands did not a lect the lines selected for the chem ical analysis described in the next section.



Fig. 3. Best synthetic spectral ts to the UVES spectrum of the secondary star in the A 0620 (00 system (bottom panel) and the same for a tem plate star (properly broadened) shown for comparison (top panel). Synthetic spectra are computed for solar abundances (dashed line) and best t abundance (solid line).

- 3. Chem ical Analysis
- 3.1. Stellar P aram eters

The chem ical analysis of secondary stars in LM XB systems is in uenced by three in portant factors: veiling from the accretion disc, rotational broadening, and signal-to-noise ratio. The last two are responsible for the uncertainty in the continuum position and hence a ect the norm alization procedure. The veiling caused by the accretion disk in A 0620 00 appears to drop from the near UV (30%) to the red (6%) (M arsh et al., 1994; M cC lintock & R em illard 2000). How ever, Shahbaz et al. (1999) have determ ined that this veiling could be as high as 25% in the IR (K band). A ll these results depend on the set of tem plates used, so we decided to attem pt an independent determ ination of the veiling. In our analysis we have tried to obtain the veiling, together with the stellar atm ospheric parameters, using synthetic spectral ts to the high resolution spectrum of the secondary star in A 0620 00.

First, moderately strong and relatively unblended lines of several elements of interest were identified in the high resolution solar ux atlas of K unucz et al. (1984). We selected several spectral features containing in total 24 absorption lines of Fe i with excitation potentials between 0.5 and 4.5 eV. In order to compute synthetic spectra for these features, we adopted the atom ic line data from the V ienna A tom ic Line D atabase and used a grid of local therm odynam ic equilibrium (LTE) models of atm ospheres provided by K unucz (1992, private communication). These models are interpolated for given values of T_e , logg, and [Fe/H]. Synthetic spectra were then computed using the LTE code MOOG (Sneden 1973). To minim ize the e ects associated with the errors in the transition probabilities of atom ic lines, we adjusted the oscillator strengths, loggf values of the selected lines until we succeeded in reproducing the solar atlas of K unucz et al. (1984) with solar abundances (A nders & G revesse 1989).

W egenerated a grid of synthetic spectra for these features in term sof ve free parameters three to characterize the star atm ospheric model (elective tem perature, T_e , surface gravity, logg, and m etallicity, [Fe/H]) and two further parameters to take into account the elect of the accretion disk emission in the stellar spectrum. This veiling was deleded as the ratio of the accretion disk ux to the stellar continuum ux, $E_{\rm lisc}=F_{\rm cont;star}$. It was assumed to be a linear function of wavelength and is thus characterized by two parameters: veiling at 4500 A, $f_{4500} = F_{\rm disc}^{4500} = F_{\rm cont;star}^{4500}$, and the slope, m₀. These ve parameters were changed according to the steps and ranges given in Table 1. Note that the steps for the veiling slope were chosen to cover all possible combinations of veiling at di erent wavelengths taking into account the step on f_{4500} . A rotational broadening of 95 km s⁻¹ and a limb-darkening = 0.65 were assumed based on C asares et al. (2004, in preparation), and a xed value for the microturbulence, = 1 km s⁻¹ was adopted.

The observed spectrum was compared with each of the 800 000 synthetic spectra in the grid via a 2 m inimization procedure that provided the best model t. Using a bootstrap M onte-C arb method we de ned the 1 con dence regions for the ve free parameters and established as most likely values: $T_e = 4900 \quad 100 \text{ K}$, $\log g = 42 \quad 0.3$, $[Fe/H] = 0.25 \quad 0.1$, $f_{4500} = 0.30 \quad 0.05$, and $m_0 = 0.00014 \quad 0.00002$.

C on dence regions were determ ined using 1000 realizations. The corresponding histogram s are shown in Fig.2.0 ur optical veiling determ inations are consistent with previous values in the literature for this system (M arsh et al., 1994). These authors found a veiling of 17 3 per cent at H and 6 3% at H , while we have obtained (using their de nition for veiling), 20 5 per cent and 0 5 per cent, respectively.

3.2. Stellar A bundances

U sing the derived stellar param eters we analyzed several spectral regions where we had identi ed various lines of Fe, Ca, Al, Ti, Ni, and Li. Although the lines of these elements were usually the main contributor to the features, in some cases, they were blended, mainly with Fe. The inaccuracy in the location of the continuum caused by the blends of many weak rotationally broadened stellar lines was one of the main sources of error in the abundance determ inations. Therefore, each of these spectral regions was carefully norm alized using a late type start template (HD 209100) for comparison.

We determ ined the abundances of these elements using spectral synthesis. By comparing the observed spectrum with a grid of synthetic spectra we identied the best t abundance for each element through a ² minimization procedure. For these spectral syntheses we modied element abundances while stellar parameters and the suitable veiling factor for each spectral region were kept xed. A preliminary estimate of Fe abundance was obtained in the procedure described above. We then performed a more detailed analysis of the seven Fe dominated spectral features but now taking into account the contribution of much weaker lines to the blends. We obtained an average Fe abundance of [Fe=H] = 0:14 0:12, where the error is estimated from the dispersion of the abundances inferred from each feature. A new model with this metallicity was generated in order to perform a detailed spectral synthesis for all the features under consideration.

Abundances for all the elements are listed in Table 2 and referred to the solar values adopted from Anders & Grevesse (1989). We also give errors, estimated from the dispersion of the elemental abundances, , obtained from the best to the various features. We have veri ed that the major source for these errors is the inaccuracy in the location of the continuum caused by the signal-to-noise ratio and the large rotational broadening of the lines. Errors associated with uncertainties in elective temperature, T_e , and gravity, logg, are also listed in Table 2. The error in the abundance caused by uncertainty in the determ ination of the veiling is about 0.05 dex for all the elements. We have listed in Table 2 the total error, T_{OTAL} , which takes into account all these sources of uncertainty.

In Fig. 3 we show several Fe spectral features where we can see the best model synthesis in comparison with the synthesis using solar abundances. We also analyzed the spectrum of a tem plate late type star, HD 209100, which was broadened using the rotational prole determ ined from the spectrum of A 0620 00. In the comparison star, the abundances of all the elements studied are close to solar except the Li abundance, which is severely depleted.

C a and N i abundances were derived from features where the contribution of these elements was dominant with little blending from other elements, mostly Fe lines. Several Ca



Fig. 4. The same as in Fig. 3. ?" indicates that there is an unidentied line in the solar spectrum. The label ISM indicates an identied feature produced by the interstellar medium.

spectral features are shown in Fig. 4; in general these features are well reproduced by the synthetic spectra, except for just one, which was blended with an unidenti ed line in the solar spectrum, and which was not used in the chemical analysis. Ni lines are displayed in Fig. 5. In particular, the unblended moderately strong Nii line at 6643.6 A is nicely reproduced by the synthetic spectra.

The selected Tilines have been corrected for any possible contam ination from relatively strong telluric lines (EW 30 m A compared to the 150 m A Tilines). In Fig. 6, telluric lines are corrected in the spectrum of the secondary star in A 0620 00 while in the tem plate these lines (articially broadened in the convolution) were not subtracted and their presence can be clearly seen.

In Fig. 7 we display the only features of A land Li investigated, as well as their respective spectral synthesis ts. A comparison is also made with the tem plate star. These are clean



Fig. 5. The same as in Fig. 3. The Niline at 6643 A is one of the very few isolated lines in the spectrum of the secondary star in A 0620 00. The label ISM indicates an identi ed feature produced by the interstellar medium.

weakly blended features where the continuum can be reliably established. The error in the abundances associated with the uncertainty in the continuum location is assumed to be comparable to that of single features in other elements, i.e., 0.1 dex. Liw as clearly enhanced with respect the template abundance. The best t abundance in the template star was log (Li) 0.35 while in the secondary star of A 0620 00 it was log (Li) = 2.31 0.21.

4. Discussion

The abundances of heavy elements in the secondary star in A 0620 00 are slightly higher than solar. We will exam ine whether these abundances are anom alous with respect other stars of similar Fe abundance and what abundance ratios would be expected according to a plausible evolutionary scenario for the system.

4.1. Heavy Elements

The Fe abundance of the secondary star is slightly higher than solar but sim ilar to that of m any stars in the solar neighborhood. The abundances of other elements listed in Table 2 have to be understood in the context of the chemical evolution of the Galaxy. In Fig. 8 these element abundances relative to iron are shown in comparison with the Galactic abundance trends of these elements in the relevant range of m etallicities, taken from Feltzing & Gustafsson (1998) and Bodaghee et al. (2003). The error in the element abundance



Fig. 6. The same as in Fig. 3. The spectrum of the tem plate is not corrected for telluric lines (atm H_2O); therefore, these lines appear broadened in the spectrum (histogram line) and the synthetic spectrum does not t (solid line).

ratios (E=Fe]) takes into account how individual element abundances depend on the various sources of uncertainty. As can be seen in Table 2, the uncertainties induced by elective temperature and gravity are considerably diminished when dealing with abundance ratios and the major source of error in E=Fe] is associated with the dispersion, of abundances obtained from diment features of the same element. The [Ca=Fe] ratio of the secondary is consistent with abundances of stars with similar iron content, while N i and T i appear to be moderately enhanced. A lis clearly over-abundant if we take into account the low dispersion of A l abundances in these stars. In Table 3 we show the element abundance ratios in the range

0.06 < [Fe=H] < 0.34, the comparison sample, corresponding to a 1 uncertainty in the iron abundance of the companion star. W hereas C a is consistent with the average values of the comparison sample, N i, T i and especially A lare 1 m ore over-abundant than the average values of the stars in the comparison sample.





Fig. 7. The same as in Fig. 3.

1.00

W e will discuss these results in the fram ework of possible evolutionary scenarios of the A 0620 $\,$ 00 system .

4.1.1. Evolutionary scenario

The evolutionary scenario proposed by de K colet al. (1987) starts with a massive star (M₁ 40 M) and a companion of roughly M₂ 1 M. Spiral-in of the secondary during the red supergiant phase leads to the ejection of the hydrogen-rich envelope and produces a short period helium star binary. In order to be able to reproduce the high mass (10 M) of the compact object in A 0620 00 we should consider the so-called Case C for mass transfer in which the massive star does not lose its envelope before mishing its He core burning (Brown et al., 1999). The mass, M_{He}, and radius, R_{He}, of the helium core of the progenitor can be computed using the expressions given by Portegies Zwart et al. (1997,

E lem ent	$[E = H]_{LTE}$		T _{eff}	log g	total ^y
Al	0.40	0.10	0.05	-0.05	0.12
Ca	0.10	0.07	0.09	-0.16	0.20
Τi	0.37	0.18	0.10	-0.05	0.23
Fe	0.14	0.12	0.12	-0.08	0.20
Ni	0.27	0.05	0.05	-0.03	0.10
Li [?]	2.31	0.10	0.15	0.01	0.21

[?]Liabundance is expressed as:

 $\log (Li)_{LTE} = \log [N (Li)] = N (H)]_{LTE} + 12$

 ${}^{\mathrm{y}}\mathrm{T}\,\mathrm{he}$ total error was calculated using the following form ula:

$$TOTAL = \frac{2}{2} + \frac{2}{T_{eff}} + \frac{2}{\log g} + \frac{2}{\operatorname{veiling}}$$

Note. | The errors from the dispersion of the best to different features, , are estimated using the following form ula: = $= \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{N}$ where is the standard deviation of the measurem ents. Total errors also take into account the uncertainties associated with the stellar parameters and the veiling.

Table 3. Element abundances ratios in the secondary star in A 0620 00 and in the comparison sample

E lem ent	[E=Fe] _{A 0620 00}	? [E=Fe];A 0620 00	[E=Fe] _{stars}	stars	;stars
Al	0.26	0.17	0.04	0.04	0.006
Ca	-0.04	0.13	-0.06	0.05	0.007
Τi	0.23	0.21	-0.02	0.07	0.009
Ni	0.13	0.15	-0.03	0.05	0.006

 $^{?}\,\mathrm{E}\,\mathrm{rrors}$ in the elem ent abundance ratios ([E=Fe]) in the secondary star in A 0620-00.

Note. | $E=Fel_{stars}$ indicate the average values calculated for stars with iron content in the range -0.06 to 0.34 corresponding to 1 in the Fe=H] abundance of the secondary star in A 0620-00. Ca, Ti and N i for the comparison sample have been taken from 57 stars in Bodaghee et al. (2003) while A 1 from 36 stars in Feltzing & G ustafsson (1998). The uncertainty in the average value of element abundance ratios in the comparison sample is obtained as $p_{stars} = s_{stars} = \frac{1}{N}$ where s_{stars} is the standard deviation of the measurements and N, the number of stars.



Fig. 8. Abundances of the secondary star in A 0620 00 (wide crosses) in comparison with the abundances in G and K m etal-rich dwarf stars. Trends of Ca, Ni, and Tiwere taken from Bodaghee et al. (2003) while Al from Feltzing & Gustafsson (1998). The size of the cross indicates the error. The dashed-dotted lines indicate solar abundance values.

and references therein):

$$M_{He} = 0.073 M_{1}^{1.42}$$

and

$$\log R_{He} = 1:13 + 2:26 \log M_{He} = 0:78 (\log M_{He})^2$$
:

In that case, the helium core would have M_{He} 14 M and a R_{He} 2:7 R, and we assume that the mass and radius of the secondary star are not signil cantly a lected by the spiral-in. However, H jellming & Taam (1991) have found in common envelope phase in cataclysmic variable systems that a 1.25 M secondary star could accrete. 0:1 M from the red giant hydrogen-rich envelope (with C more depleted than N enriched, M arks & Sama 1998). Here, we will consider that the atm osphere of the secondary star is only polluted by the ejecta in the supernova explosion of the helium core. In this case, what kind of anom alies can we expect to nd at the surface of the secondary?

An important quantity in this discussion is the mass of the compact object. Gelino et al. (2001) have recently reported an estimated mass of 11 1:9 M for the compact object

{ 15 {

in A 0620 00; hence the nalrem nant mass in supernova model should be roughly 10 M. The mass cuts in supernovae or even the more energetic hypernovae give rise to compact objects whose masses are not high enough to explain the high mass black hole in A 0620 00 (Nakamura et al., 2001; Woosley & Weaver 1995). Therefore, the nalmass of the compact object had to be generated either from a prompt and direct collapse (collapsar Type I) or, in a mild explosion with fallback (collapsar Type II, M acFadyen et al., 2001). In the latter may fall back onto the collapsed remnant, turning it into a black case, up to 5 M hole. This makes it quite di cult that a signi cant mass fraction of iron could have escaped from the collapsing matter in the supernova event because iron is formed in the inner layers of the star. Israelian et al. (1999) found in Nova Scorpii 94 that while -elements were enhanced Fe was not, in spite of the lower mass of the compact object (5:4 0:3 M , Beer & Podsiadlow ski 2002) as compared with that in A 0620 00. Thus, the supernova may not eject any iron, and it therefore seems quite plausible that the slightly higher than solar Fe abundance of the secondary star in A 0620 00 re ects its prim ordial value. How ever, m ixing of ejected material may be induced by Rayleigh (Taylor instabilities (K ifonidis et al., 2000); hence heavy elements such as ⁵⁶N im ay be conveyed to the outer layers in the explosion.

0 n the other hand, the orbital separation between the com pact object and the secondary has been estimated to be $a_c = 4:47$ 027 R (Gelino et al, 2001). We can relate the post-supernova orbital param eters with the pre-SN param eters assuming an initially circular orbit and instantaneous spherically symmetric ejection (that is, in a time interval shorter than the orbital period). These relations are given by van den Heuvel & Habets (1984): $a_0 = a_{c_{f}}$ where a_0 and a_c are the orbital separation just before the supernova and after tidal circularization of the post-SN eccentric orbit, respectively, and $f = (M_{BH} + M_2) = (M_{He} + M_2)$ where M $_{\rm BH}$ is the compact rem nant m ass. A dopting the already mentioned values (M $_{\rm BH}$ and M $_2$ 1 M), we nda 3:3 R . This distance is important 10 M , M_{He} 14 M since we assume that the secondary star was outside the He core (R_{He}) 2:7 R) of the prim ary before the SN explosion. Therefore, we can estim ate the amount of the ejected m aterial in a spherical explosion that could be captured by the com panion as though com ing from a central point. The explosion of a star as massive as the primary would have taken place only 5 10^6 yr after the form ation of the system (B runish & T ruran 1982). At that time, the radius of a 1 M secondary star would be 1:3 R (D'Antona & Mazzitelli 1994). If we consider a spherically symmetric supernova explosion, taking into account the fraction of solid the angle subtended by the companion and assuming a capture e ciency, f_{capture}, of 1 (i.e., all the m atter ejected within that solid angle is captured), the am ount of mass deposited on the secondary would have been

 $m_{add} = M (R_2^2 = 4 a_0^2) f_{capture} 0:15 M ;$

where $M = M_{He} M_{BH} 4 M$ is the total ejected m ass. This amount of ejected m ass

is su cient to explain the radial velocity of the system which is a lower lim it to its runaway velocity (Nelemans et al., 1999).

In Tables 4 and 5 we present the expected abundances in the atm osphere of the secondary star after contam ination from the progenitor of the compact object according to the above m entioned assumptions. In Table 4 we have considered two plausible m asses (1 M and 0.8 M) for the secondary star at the time of the explosion of the primary and a capture e ciency factor of 1, which m eans that all the m atter ejected within the solid angle subtended by the com panion is captured. In Table 5 we have xed the secondary m ass at 0.8 M and we have considered two other e ciency factors of 0.5 and 0.1, which means that 50 and 10 per cent of the ejected m ass within that solid angle is captured. We have used a 40 M spherically sym m etric core-collapse explosion m odel (M_{He} 14 M) for two di erent explosion energies from Um eda & Nom oto (2002 and 2003, private communication). That energy is deposited instantaneously in the central region of the progenitor core to generate a strong shock wave. The subsequent propagation of the shock wave is followed through a hydrodynam ic code (Um eda & Nom oto, 2002, and references therein). In our simple model, we have assumed di erent m ass cuts, fall-back m asses and a m ixing factor³ that take into account the am ount of fall-back matter mixed with the ejecta. We have adopted a mixing factor of 1 (i.e., all the fall-back material is well mixed with the ejecta). The amount of fall-back, M fallback, is the di erence between the nalrem nant mass, M_{BH} , and the initial rem nant mass, M_{cut} . In models with M_{cut} 10 M , the nalrem nant m ass is equal to 10 M and hence the total ejected m ass is 4 M $\,$. Nevertheless, m odels with M $_{cut}$ > 10 M $\,$ assume M $_{BH}$ = M $_{cut}$ and do not include either fall-back or mixing. In such models, the total ejected mass, M, is the diference between the nalrem nant mass and the helium core mass.

At the time of the explosion, the secondary was close enough to the He core (a_0 3:3 R) for the amount of matter that could have been accreted from the H-He enriched envelope after explosion to be negligible, so we do not expect a signil cant change in the He/H ratio in the secondary atm osphere. The hydrogen mass in the He core was 10¹⁶ M; therefore, we can estimate the number density of an element E in the secondary atm osphere as:

$$\frac{N (E)}{N (H)}_{?;f} = \frac{N (E)_{?} + N (E)_{add}}{N (H)_{?}} = \frac{X (E)_{?} + N (E)_{add}}{N (H)_{?}} = \frac{X (E)_{?}}{X (H)_{?}} 10^{E=H}_{y;i} + \frac{X (E)_{add}}{X (H)_{?}} \frac{m_{add}}{m_{conv}} \frac{m_{H}}{m_{E}};$$

³O ther detailed explosion m odels have been considered in the analysis of over-abundances of the secondary star in N ova Sco 94 by P odsiadlow skiet al. (2002).

E lem ent	[E =H] ^z	[E =H] ^y								E:	=H]?								-
" (10 ⁵¹ erg)							1				30								
M _{cut} (M)			1.96	5	7	10	11	12	12.5	12.9	2.03	5	7	10	11	12	12.5	12.9	-
M 2										1 M	1								_
Al	0.40	0,21	1.50	1.52	1.50	1.40	1.12	0.68	0.37	0.22	1.36	1.47	1.51	1.40	1.12	0.70	0.38	0.22	-
Ca	0.10	0.09	0.88	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.10	1.26	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	
Τi	0.37	0.13	0.82	0.31	0.31	0.29	0.23	0.18	0.16	0.15	1.47	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.23	0.18	0.16	0.15	
Fe	0.14	0.14	0.94	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.15	1.26	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	
Ni	0.27	0.12	1.12	0.49	0.48	0.44	0.33	0.23	0.18	0.15	1.47	0.54	0.52	0.52	0.41	0.31	0.27	0.23	
0				1.16	1.18	1.17	1.11	0.90	0.61	0.39	0.15	1.12	1.20	1.17	1.11	0.89	0.61	0.39	0.15
Мg				1.20	1.18	1.16	1.07	0.83	0.50	0.30	0.15	1.13	1.24	1.21	1.10	0.87	0.57	0.39	0.25
Si				1.05	0.41	0.40	0.36	0.27	0.19	0.16	0.15	1.35	1.04	0.54	0.37	0.27	0.19	0.17	0.15
S				0.93	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.15	1.32	0.91	0.22	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.15
С				0.82	0.87	0.86	0.84	0.68	0.50	0.37	0.16	0.69	0.78	0.85	0.84	0.68	0.50	0.37	0.17
M 2										0.8	М								-
Al	0.40	0.21	1.43	1.45	1.43	1.33	1.05	0.63	0.35	0.22	1.29	1.40	1.43	1.33	1.06	0.65	0.36	0.22	-
Ca	0.10	0.09	0.82	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10	1.19	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	
Τi	0.37	0.13	0.76	0.29	0.29	0.27	0.22	0.17	0.16	0.14	1.40	0.29	0.29	0.27	0.22	0.17	0.16	0.15	
Fe	0.14	0.14	0.88	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	1.19	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	
Ni	0.27	0.12	1.05	0.45	0.44	0.41	0.30	0.21	0.17	0.14	1.40	0.50	0.48	0.48	0.38	0.29	0.25	0.22	_
0				1.10	1.11	1.10	1.05	0.83	0.56	0.36	0.14	1.05	1.14	1.10	1.04	0.83	0.56	0.36	0.14
Мg				1.13	1.11	1.09	1.01	0.77	0.46	0.28	0.15	1.06	1.17	1.14	1.04	0.81	0.53	0.36	0.24
Si				0.98	0.38	0.37	0.34	0.25	0.18	0.16	0.15	1.28	0.97	0.50	0.34	0.26	0.19	0.16	0.15
S				0.87	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.15	1.25	0.85	0.21	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.15
С				0.76	0.81	0.80	0.79	0.62	0.46	0.34	0.16	0.64	0.72	0.79	0.78	0.62	0.46	0.34	0.16

Table 4. Expected element abundances of the secondary star in A 0620 00

[?]Secondary star abundances relative to solar.

 $^{\rm z}\,{\rm T}\,{\rm his}\,{\rm colum}\,n$ shows observed abundances of the secondary star in A 0620 $\,$ 00.

^yThis column shows the average abundances in stars of the comparison sample (see also Table 3).

Note. | Expected abundances in the secondary atm osphere contam inated with nuclesynthetic products of a 40 M spherically sym m etric corecollapse explosion m odel (M_{He} 14 M) for two di erent explosion energies. M_{cut} is the mass cut assumed for each m odel. In every m odel, the nal rem nant m ass, M_{BH}, is xed at 10 M, except those m odels with M_{cut} = 11, 12, 12.5, and 12:9 M. M ixing factors between the fall-back m atter and the ejecta have been adopted equal to 1. In every m odel, the am ount of fall-back, M_{fallback}, is the di erence between M_{BH} and M_{cut}. The capture e ciency, f_{capture}, is xed at 1. Elements which have not been analyzed (i.e. 0, Mg, S, Si and C) have been scaled up with [Fe=H], i = 0:14. { 18 {

E lem ent	$\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{H}^{2}$	[E =H] ^y								Ē	=H]?								-
" (10 ⁵¹ erg)							1		30										
M _{cut} (M)			1.96	5	7	10	11	12	12.5	12.9	2.03	5	7	10	11	12	12.5	12.9	-
f _{capture}			0.5													-			
Al	0.40	0.21	1.15	1.18	1.15	1.06	0.81	0.47	0.28	0.21	1.02	1.13	1.16	1.06	0.81	0.48	0.29	0.22	_
Ca	0.10	0.09	0.59	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.92	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	
Τi	0.37	0.13	0.55	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.18	0.15	0.14	0.14	1.12	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.18	0.15	0.14	0.14	
Fe	0.14	0.14	0.65	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.92	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	
Ni	0.27	0.12	0.80	0.32	0.31	0.29	0.23	0.17	0.15	0.14	1.12	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.27	0.22	0.19	0.18	_
0				0.84	0.86	0.84	0.80	0.61	0.40	0.26	0.14	0.80	88.0	0.84	0.79	0.61	0.40	0.26	0.14
Мg				0.87	0.86	0.84	0.76	0.56	0.33	0.21	0.15	0.81	0.90	0.88	0.79	0.59	0.38	0.26	0.19
Si				0.74	0.28	0.27	0.25	0.20	0.16	0.15	0.15	1.00	0.73	0.36	0.25	0.20	0.16	0.15	0.15
S				0.64	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.98	0.63	0.18	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14
С				0.55	0.59	0.59	0.57	0.45	0.33	0.25	0.15	0.46	0.52	0.58	0.57	0.45	0.33	0.25	0.15
f _{capture}										0	.1								_
Al	0.40	0.21	0.62	0.63	0.62	0.55	0.41	0.28	0.22	0.21	0.53	0.60	0.62	0.56	0.41	0.28	0.23	0.21	_
Ca	0.10	0.09	0.25	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.42	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	
Τi	0.37	0.13	0.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.57	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.13	
Fe	0.14	0.14	0.30	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.44	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	
Ni	0.27	0.12	0.37	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.57	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.14	
0				0.40	0.40	0.40	0.37	0.28	0.21	0.17	0.14	0.38	0.42	0.40	0.37	0.28	0.21	0.17	0.14
Мg				0.41	0.41	0.39	0.35	0.26	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.38	0.43	0.42	0.37	0.28	0.20	0.17	0.15
Si				0.34	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.50	0.34	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14
S				0.30	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.48	0.29	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14
С				0.26	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.22	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.27	0.22	0.18	0.17	0.14

Table 5. Expected element abundances of the secondary star in A 0620 00

[?]Secondary star abundances relative to solar.

 $^{\rm z}{\rm T}$ his column shows observed abundances of the secondary star in A 0620 $\,$ 00.

^yThis column shows the average abundances in stars of the comparison sample (see also Table 3).

Note. | The same as in Table 4 but the secondary mass is xed at 0.8 M. However, the capture e ciency, $f_{capture}$, is lower than 1. Its values are 0.5 which m eans that only half of the m atter ejected within the solid angle subtended by the companion is captured whereas 0.1 m eans that only 10 per cent is captured.

{ 19 {

where N (E)_? and N (H)_? are the num ber density of elements E and H in the convective zone of the star, N (E)_{add} the num ber density of E in the captured m atter, m_{add}, by the companion, X (E)_? and X (H)_? the mass fractions of E and H in the convective zone, X (E)_{add} is the mass fraction of E in the captured m atter, m_{conv} is the mass of the convective zone, and m_H and m_E the atom ic masses of H and E, respectively. The mass of the convective zone was xed at m_{conv} = 0:652 and 0:667 M from the evolutionary tracks for a 1 and 0.8 M secondary stars, respectively, with an age of 5 10^6 yr (D'Antona & Mazzitelli 1994).

The original element mass fractions in the secondary star were assumed to be solar from Anders & G revesse (1989) and we have also considered an explosion model from a solar metallicity progenitor. However, in order to take into account that the initial iron content of the star was probably higher than solar ($\text{Fe=H} \mid_{y;i} = 0.14$) we scaled up the expected abundances with $\text{E=H} \mid_{y;i}$ which is the average element abundance in the comparison sample using data shown in Fig.8 (see Table 3). Expected abundances shown in Table 4 and 5 were calculated using the following formula:

$$[E=H]_{2,f} = \log \frac{N(E)}{N(H)} \log \frac{N(E)}{N(H)}$$

It is clearly seen that only models with mass cuts as high as 11 M can t the abundances of the secondary star within the error bars. In models with lower mass cuts, the amount of Al in the ejecta is too high in comparison with the other heavy elements analyzed. Even under strong assumptions such as large amounts of fall-back matter, M fallback, and/ormixing e ciency xed at 1, it is not possible to get good ts to the observed abundance values for mass cuts below 11{12 M depending on the capture e ciency assumed. This is independent of the explosion energy, ", since this parameter has little in uence on the Alyield at any mass cut. It does not depend on the mass of the secondary. In sum mary, the moderate over-abundances of Ti, Ni, and especially Alcould be explained if there were an explosion event of a star of initial mass with a helium core of 40 M 14 M that led to the form ation of a black hole with a mass of approximately $11\{12.5 \text{ M}\}$. As in the case of Nova Sco 94 (Israelian et al., 1999), we nd signatures in the secondary of A 0620 00 that suggest the form ation of the black hole as a consequence of an explosive event.

However, as we have already mentioned in x1, many uncertainties still remain in supernova explosion models and in the evolution of LM XBs. For instance, a capture e ciency as high as 1 m ay not be adequate since simulations of type Ia SN e suggest that the supernova blast wave may induce mass-loss in the secondary instead of matter accretion (M arietta et al., 2000). On the other hand, the assumption of spherical symmetry is not generally expected for hypernova or collapsar models, in particular those associated with gamma-ray



Fig. 9. Liabundance of the secondary star in A 0620 00 (led circle) in comparison with the abundances of di erent rotating P leiades dwarf stars versus e ective tem perature from G arc a L opez et al. (1994). The sizes of the circles are related to v sin i. Stellar m asses have been assigned following the evolutionary tracks of D'A ntona & M azzitelli (1994) for 10^8 yr.

burst (M acFadyen & W oosley, 1999). M aeda et al. (2002) have studied nucleosynthesis in aspherical explosions and found that the chem ical composition of the ejecta is strongly dependent on direction. In particular, Fe is mainly ejected in the polar direction, whereas O and other alpha-elem ents (e.g. Si, S, Mg) are preferentially ejected near the equatorial plane. Therefore, it would be interesting to analyze other elem ents such as O, Mg, and C, which are enhanced in m any of the m odel com putations in Table 4 and 5, even at high m ass cuts. W e note that O rosz et al. (2001) have also found overabundances (by a factor 2{10 with respect to solar) of som e of these elem ents in the secondary star of the LM X B system J1819.3 2525 (V 4641 Sgr).

4.2. Liabundance

The abundance of lithium in the secondary star in A 0620 00 is substantially higher than in eld main sequence stars of the same mass (0.7 M) but similar to that of P leiades stars

{ 21 {



Fig. 10. Upper limit to the age of the A 0620 00 system according to the Liabundance of the secondary star versus stellar masses of the secondary star, assuming di erent mass transfer rates. Stellar masses have been assigned according to the evolutionary tracks of D'Antona & Mazzitelli (1994) for 10^8 yr.

of comparable m ass and rotational velocity (see Fig. 9). Convective m ixing during pre-m ain sequence and main sequence evolution is expected to produce signi cant lithium depletion in the atm ospheres of such stars, thus a possible explanation for the Liover-abundance in the secondary is that the A 0620 00 system is as young as the P leiades cluster (i.e., $7{15 10^7}$ yr). This appears to be in con ict with the evolutionary scenario proposed by de Koolet al. (1987), where an age of a few times 10⁹ yr is assumed. However, Naylor & Podsiadlowski (1993) have argued, based on the galactic distribution of LM XBs, that these systems are associated with the Galactic disk, and probably have an age of only 10^7 to 10^8 yr. If the lifetime were this short, the mass loss from the companion would not have been relevant since 10 ¹⁰ M the present m ass transfer rate is M-2 yr ¹ M cC lintock et al., 1995) although it may not necessarily be stable in the course of the whole binary lifetime (M_{-2}) $10^{9} \{ 10^{10} \}$ yr ¹, Erqm a & Fedorova 1998). М

It is possible to constrain the age of the system using the current Li abundance and plausible mass transfer rates. Let us assume in what follows that there is no mechanism able to enrich the atmosphere of the secondary star with freshly synthesized Li nuclei. If



| FeI

All

0.80 0.75

6690

A'II

6700

| LiI

6710

λ (ANGSTROMS)

Best synthetic spectral ts to the UVES spectrum of the secondary star in the Fig. 11. A 0620-00 system considering only ⁷Li in the spectral line Li i6708. Superim posed to them were displayed di erent spectral synthesis, xed Liabundance, for⁷Li= 6 Li= 2 (dashed line in top panel) and for $^{7}Li=^{6}Li=5$ (dashed line in bottom panel).

| | | | FeI FeI TilCaI

SiI

6720

FeI

6730

the initial mass of the secondary star were smaller than 1 M Licould survive only in the outer layers, i.e., above the bottom of the convective zone. In a solar type star, the mass of the convective zone is 0.03 M , and, given the range of plausible m ass transfer rates for the secondary, such a mass would be transferred to the compact object in less than 0.3 Gyr, leaving the atm osphere of the secondary com pletely free of Linuclei, which we see cannot be the case. In Fig. 10 we show how this simple argument constrains the age of the system for di erent m ass transfer rates and initial secondary m asses. In sum m ary, the m ore m assive the secondary star and the higher the mass transfer rate are, the stringent the upper lim it to the age of the system that can be imposed. The curves in Fig. 10, in fact, give conservative upper limits to the age, in particular for the lower mass range, since we have not taken into account that some Lidepletion caused by convective mixing may also have occurred. We note that we have not considered values for the initial mass of the secondary above 1 M

because under the mass transfer rates considered such stars cannot lead to an object of the present mass and preserve the required amount of Li.

High Liabundances have been noticed by M art n et al. (1994) in other LM XBs such as C en X -4 and V 404 C yg. These authors suggested as an alternative explanation of youth the existence of a production mechanism of lithium. Either early in the evolution of the system during the supernova explosion of the primary progenitor; or a continuing process such as {

reactions during the repeated strong outbursts that characterize transient X-ray binaries. If, indeed, fresh Li is synthesized and trapped in the atm osphere, the above arguments to constrain the age cannot hold. It is thus, very important to nd a way of disentangling the origin of the high Li abundances observed. The spallation mechanism would produce considerable amounts of the ⁶Li isotope with isotopic ratios as low as ⁷Li=⁶Li = 5. However, trials using a ⁷Li=⁶Li isotopic ratio of 5 and 2 were performed (see Fig. 11), but they gave a slightly worse numerical t to the observed spectrum than the case of pure⁷Li. Higher S/N spectroscopic observations will be needed to test the spallation scenario. Recently, Li has been detected in the companion of the millisecond pulsar J1740 5340 in the globular cluster NGC 6397 (Sabbiet al. 2003). The secondary is a turn-o star which has bot m ost of its mass and thus m ost of its initial lithium content. The high Li abundance measured in this star suggests that actually some Li production may take place in these system s.

5. Conclusions

We have obtained a high quality spectrum of the secondary star in A0620 00 and derived atmospheric chemical abundances. We have set up a technique that provides a determ ination of the stellar parameters taking into account any possible veiling from the accretion disk. We nd $T_e = 4900$ 150 K, $\log g = 42$ 0.3, and a veiling (de ned as $F_{disk}=F_{cont;star}$) of less than 15 per cent at 5000 A and decreasing towards longer wavelengths. A ssum ing a mass for the secondary of $M_2 = 0.68$ 0.18 M, the estimated surface gravity leads to a stellar radius of $R_2 = 1.1$ 0.4 R, consistent with the size of the Roche lobe for the secondary given by G elino et al. (2001).

The abundances of Fe, Ca, Ti, Al, and Niare slightly higher than solar. The abundance ratios of each element with respect to Fe were compared with these ratios in late-type main sequence metal-rich stars. Moderate anomalies for Ti, Ni, and especially Al have been found. A comparison with element yields from spherically symmetric supernova explosion models suggests that the secondary star captured part of the ejecta from a supernova that also originated the compact object in A0620 00. The abundances can be explained if a progenitor with a 14 M helium core exploded with a mass cut in the range $11\{12.5 M$,

such that no signi cant am ount of iron could escape from the collapse of the inner layers. E lem ents such as 0, Mg, Si, S, and C, with unavailable transitions in our spectrum, will be studied to con m this scenario.

The Liabundance in the secondary star in A 0620 00 is dram atically enhanced in comparison with eld late-type main sequence stars, possibly indicating either that this is a young system ($0.5\{2 \ 10^8 \text{ yr}$), or the existence of a Liproduction-preservation mechanism, such as the { reactions, which have to be tested analyzing the ⁷Li=⁶Li isotopic ratio using future higher S/N optical spectroscopic observations.

6. A cknow ledgem ents

We are grateful to HideyukiUm eda and Ken'ichiNom oto for sending us their explosion models and several programs for our model computations, and for helpful discussions. We would also like to thank Jose Acosta Pulido for his help in the stellar and accretion disk parameter program for searching the best stellar atm ospheric model. We also thank the referee for useful comments. This work has made use of the VALD database and IRAF facilities and have been partially nanced by the Spanish M inistry project AYA 2001-1657.

REFERENCES

- Anders, E.,& Grevesse, N. 1989, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 53, 197
- Beer, M., & Podsiadlowski, Ph. 2002, MNRAS, 331, 351
- Bodaghee, A., Santos, N.C., Israelian, G., & Mayor, M. 2003, A&A, 404, 715
- Brown, G.E., Lee, C.H., & Bethe, H.A. 1999, NewA, 4, 313
- Brown, G.E., Lee, C.H., Wijers, R.A.M.J., Lee, H.K., Israelian, G., & Bethe, H.A. 2000, New A, 5, 191
- Brunish, W.M., & Truran, J.W. 1982, ApJS, 49, 447
- Chiosi C., & Maeder A. 1986, ARA & A, 24, 329
- Casares et al. 2004, in preparation
- D'Antona, F., Mazzitelli, I. 1994, ApJS, 90, 467

- Elvis, M., Page, C.G., Pounds, K.A., Ricketts, M.J., & Tumer, M.J.L. 1975, Nature, 257, 656
- Ergm a, E., & Fedorova, A. 1998, A & A, 338, 69
- Feltzing, S., & Gustafsson, B. 1998, A & A S, 129, 237
- Fryer, C.L., Warren, M.S. 2002, ApJ, 574, L65
- Garc a Lopez, A., Rebolo, R., & Mart n, E.L. 1994, A&A, 282, 518
- Gelino, D.M., Harrison, T.E., & Orosz, J.A. 2001, ApJ, 122, 2668
- Hachisu, I., Matsuda, T., Nomoto, K., & Shigeyama, T. 1991, ApJ, 368, L27
- Heger, A., Langer, N., & Woosley, S.E. 2000, ApJ, 528, 368
- Herant, M ., & W oosley, S.E., ApJ, 425, 814 (1994)
- Herant, M., Benz, W., Hix, W. R., Fryer, C.L., & Colgate, S.A. 1994, ApJ, 435, 339
- Herbig, G.H. 1995, ARA&A, 33, 19
- van den Heuvel, E.P.J., Habets, G.M.H.J. 1984, Nature, 309, 598
- H jellm ing, M.S., & Taam, R.E. 1991, ApJ, 370, 709
- Israelian, G., Rebolo, R., Basri, G., Casares, J., & Mart n, E.L. 1999, Nature, 401, 142
- Kalogera, V., & Webbink, R.F. 1998, ApJ, 493, 351
- K ifonidis, K., Plewa, T., Janka, H.-Th., & Mller, E. 2000, A&A, 531, 123
- de Kool, M., van den Heuvel, E.P.J., & Pylyser, E.1987, A&A, 183, 47
- Kurucz, R.L., Furenild, I., Brault, J., & Testerman, L. 1984, Solar Flux Atlas from 296 to 1300 nm, NOAO Atlas 1 (Cambridge: Harvard Univ. Press)
- Langer, N. 1991, A & A, 248, 531
- MacFadyen, A. I., & Woosley, S.E. 1999, ApJ, 524, 262
- MacFadyen, A. I., Woosley, S.E., & Heger, A. 2001, ApJ, 550, 410
- Maeda, K., Nakamura, T., Nomoto, K., Mazzali, P.A., Patat, F., & Hachisu, I. 2002, ApJ, 565, 405

- Maeder A., Meynet, G. 2000, ARA & A, 38, 143
- Marietta, E., Burrows, A., & Fryxell, B. 2000, ApJS, 128, 615
- Marks, P.B., & Sama, M.J. 1998, MNRAS, 301, 699
- Marsh, T.R., Robinson, E.L., & Wood, J.H. 1994, MNRAS, 266, 137
- Mart n, E.L., Rebolo, R., Casares, J., & Charles, P.A. 1994, ApJ, 435, 791
- M cC lintock, J.E., & Rem illard, R.A. 1986, ApJ, 308, 110
- M cc lintock, J.E., Home, K., & Rem illard, R.A. 1995, ApJ, 442, 358
- M cC lintock, J.E., & Rem illard, R.A. 2000, ApJ, 531, 956
- Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 2003, A & A, 404, 975
- Mirabel, I.F., Mignani, R., Rodrigues, I., Combi, J.A., Rodr guez, L.F., & Guglielm etti, F. 2002, A & A, 395, 595
- Murdin, P., Allen, D.A., Morton, D.C., Whelan, J.A.J., & Thomas, R.M. 1980, MNRAS, 192, 709
- Nakamura, T., Umeda, Hideyuki, Iwamoto, K., Nomoto, K., Hashimoto, M., Hix., W. R., & Thielemann, F.K. 2001, ApJ, 555, 880
- Naylor, T., Podsiadlowski, Ph. 1993, MNRAS, 262, 929
- Nelemans, G., Tauris, T.M., & van den Heuvel, E.P.J. 1999, A&A, 352, L87
- Nugis, T., & Lamers, H.J.G.L.M. 2000, A.& A, 360, 227
- 0 ke, J.B. 1977, ApJ, 217, 181
- Orosz, J.A., Kuulkers, E., van der klis, M., McClintock, J.E., Garcia, M.R., Callanan, P. J., Baylin, C.D., Jain, R.K., & Rem illard, R.A. 2001, ApJ, 555, 489
- Podsiadlowski, P., Nomoto, K., Maeda, K., Nakamura, T., Mazzali, P., & Schmidt, B. 2002, ApJ, 567, 491
- Portegies Zwart, S.M., Verbunt, F., & Eroma, E. 1997, A&A, 321, 207
- Sabbi, E., Gratton, R.G., Bragaglia, A., Ferraro, F.R., Possenti, A., Camilo, F., & D'Amico, N. 2003, A & A, 412, 829

- Shahbaz, T., Naylor, T., & Charles, P.A. 1994, MNRAS, 268, 756
- Shahbaz, T., Bandyopadhyay, R.M., & Charles, P.A. 1999, A&A, 346, 82
- Sneden, C. 1973, PhD D issertation (Univ. of Texas at Austin)
- Um eda, H., & Nom oto, K. 2002, ApJ, 565, 385
- Um eda, H., & Nom oto, K. 2003, Nature, 422, 871
- Woosley, S.E., Langer, N., & Weaver, T.A. 1993, ApJ, 411, 823
- Woosley, S.E., Weaver, T.A. 1995, ApJS, 101, 181
- Woosley, S.E., Langer, N., & Weaver, T.A. 1995, ApJ, 448, 315

This preprint was prepared with the AAS ${\mathbb I}{\mathbb P} T_E X$ m acros v5.0.