G ravitational lensing in the weak eld lim it by a branew orld black hole

A.S.Majum dar and Nupur Mukherjee^y

S.N.Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Block JD, Salt Lake, Kolkata 700 098, IND IA

(D ated: M arch 20, 2022)

B raneworld black holes existing today may be of prim ordial origin, or may even be produced in high energy particle collisions in the laboratory and in cosm ic ray showers as well. These black holes obey a modi ed mass-radius relationship compared to standard Schwarzschild black holes. U sing the variational principle we calculate the bending angle of a light ray near the horizon of a braneworld black hole in the weak eld lim it. We next derive the expressions of several lensing quantities like the E instein radius and the magni cation for a point light source. These expressions are modi ed compared to the lensing quantities for standard Schwarzschild black holes and contain the scale of the extra dimensions.

PACS num bers: 11.25.-w, 95.30.Sf, 98.62.Sb

I. IN TRODUCTION

There is widespread activity in braneworld gravity in recent times[1]. The braneworld scenario of our universe opens up the fascinating possibility of the existence of large extra spatial dimension (s) [2]. The hugely popular R and all-Sundrum (RS-II) braneworld model[3] is made consistent by the requirement that the standard model

elds are con ned to the brane, except for gravity which could also propagate into the A ds5 bulk which may be of in nite extent but with curvature radius 1. Current experiments probing the resultant modi cation of the New - tonian potential constrain the scale of the extra dimension to be 1 0.2mm [4].

A speci c issue of interest in braneworld gravity is the formation and evolution of black holes [5]. Several types of black hole solutions have been obtained in the literature [6, 7]. B lack holes form ed due to horizon sized density perturbations in the modi ed braneworld high energy phase of the early universe have a 5-dim ensional Schwarzschild metric. The horizon size of such black holes is proportional to the square root of their mass, a feature that modi es the Hawking tem perature, and consequently slows down the evaporation process[8]. It has been shown that these black holes accrete radiation in the high energy phase which considerably prolongs their lifetim es[9]. Some of them could survive up to the present era, thus acting as cold dark matter candidates. The braneworld high energy phase is rather conducive to the formation of primordial black hole binaries[10], and gravitational waves from such coalescing binaries are likely to lie within the range of the next generation gravity wave detectors[11]. On the other hand, superhorizon sized black holes which could be form ed by various collapse m echanism possess di erent geom etries[], and m ight evaporate out rapidly as a consequence of A ds-CFT correspondence[12].

Since the 5-dimensional fundamental scale could be several orders of magnitude below the Planck scale, a lot of current excitement stems from the possibility of braneworld black holes being produced in high energy particle collisions[13]. Such a scenario would in principle, open up a direct experimental probe of extra dimensions and (5-d) Planck scale physics. This motivation has led to several speci c proposals for black hole formation in TeV scale dynamics in colliders such as the LHC [14]. Black hole production in cosm ic ray showers has also been investigated with discussions on possible signatures[15].

The aim of the present paper is to investigate one potential avenue of observational signatures for extra dimensions. The non-trivial spacetime curvature around the vicinity of black holes could generate interesting motion for massless and massive quanta passing near the horizon. Indeed, the bending of light around standard black holes leads to the resultant observable phenom enon of gravitational lensing which has been widely employed as a mechanism for detecting black holes in astrophysics [16]. The analysis of light and particle motion in the context of branew orld gravity is com plicated by the absence till date of unique analytical solutions for the metric representing compact objects in higher dimensions. The modi cation of the standard 1=r form of the New tonian potential at sm all distances has nevertheless inspired several attem pted solutions [6] based on di erent physical requirem ents, and certain corresponding analyses have been perform ed on the trajectory of light rays and massive particles in such metrics [17, 18]. Some interesting results on orbits around rotating 5-dim ensional black holes have been derived [18]. In this paper we explore the lensing of optical sources by a branew orld black hole in the weak eld lim it. We rst calculate the de ection angle for a light ray passing near the horizon of a branew orld black hole. The corresponding lensing quantities are derived next. Our analysis displays interesting departures of various lensing phenom ena and quantities com pared to the standard Schwarzschild metric [19].

In our present work we consider a particular suggested geometry used in Refs.[8, 9, 10, 11] describing

Electronic address: archan@bose.res.in

^yE lectronic address: nupur@ bose.res.in

2

the spacetim e m etric near the horizon of a 5-dim ensional braneworld black hole. Our analysis closely parallels the derivation of the angle of bending of light in the Schwarzschild metric using the variational principle for a null geodesic [20]. Sm all black holes form ed with ral in the early branew orld regim e could grow in dius r size by accreting radiation [9, 10]. Even further grow th to supermassive dimensions might be possible through accretion of the background \dark" energy [21]. For such black holes the metric far away from the horizon is expected to be of the standard Schwarzschild form [22]. Our interest though is focussed on the region of a few horizon lengths surrounding the black hole where departures from the standard geom etry could lead to interesting consequences, and where the de ection of light is accounted for by the weak eld lim it to a good degree of approximation. The metric in this region is given by [8]

$$dS_{4}^{2} = 1 \frac{r_{h}^{2}}{r^{2}} dt^{2} + 1 \frac{r_{h}^{2}}{r^{2}} dr^{2} + r^{2} d^{2} + \sin^{2} d^{2}; \quad (1)$$

where the horizon radius $r_{\rm h}$ is related to the black hole m ass M $\,$ by

$$r_{h}^{2} = \frac{8}{3} \frac{1}{l_{4}} \frac{M}{M_{4}} l_{4}^{2} PM :$$
 (2)

with 1 representing the size of the extra dimension, and l_4 and M $_4$ denoting the 4-dimensionalP lanck length and m ass, respectively. Note that Eq.(2) signi es the altered m ass-radius relationship for a braneworld black hole (compared to $r_h^{\rm Sch}$ M =M $_4$ for the standard Schwarzschildmetric) and is at the root of the allthe new results for light deviation that follow from the braneworld metric.

We are interested in the equation of motion for a light ray which is described by a null geodesic d 2 = $g_{ij}dx^idx^j$ = 0. Thus, in terms of an independent a ne parameter , for a light ray one could use Eq.(1) and Eq.(2) to obtain

$$1 \quad \frac{PM}{r^{2}} \quad t^{2} + 1 \quad \frac{PM}{r^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{r^{2}} \quad t^{2} + r^{2} \quad \frac{2}{r^{2}} + \sin^{2} \quad \frac{2}{r^{2}} = 0; \quad (3)$$

where we have de ned $\frac{dt}{d} = t$, $\frac{dr}{d} = r$, $\frac{d}{d} = -$ and $\frac{d}{d} = -$. Now, using variational principle one obtains the following equations:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{d}} \left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{P} \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{r}^2} \right) \right] = 0; \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathbf{r}^{2}\sin^{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}}\right] = 0; \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{d}{d} \left[r^2 \frac{d}{d} \right] = r^2 \sin \cos \left(\frac{d}{d} \right)^2; \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{d}{d} [\underline{r}(1 - \frac{PM}{r^2})^{-1}] = -\frac{PM}{r^3} \frac{t^2}{r^3}$$

$$(1 - \frac{PM}{r^2})^{-2} \frac{PM}{r^3} \underline{r}^2 + r \underline{-}^2 + r \sin^2 -\underline{-}^2; \quad (7)$$

At this stage one could consider without loss of generality the orbit of the light ray to be con ned to the equatorial plane, i.e. $= \frac{1}{2}$. Using Eqs.(5) and (6) one obtains

$$r^2 = h:$$
 (8)

Further, using Eq.(4) one gets

$$(1 \quad \frac{PM}{r^2})t = k;$$
 (9)

where h and k are constants associated with respectively, the angular m om entum and the energy of photons [20]. Substituting Eqs.(8) and (9) in Eq.(3) one gets

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 \quad (1 \quad \frac{PM}{r^2}) \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{r^2}$$
: (10)

Now in terms of the variable u = 1 = r Eq.(10) becomes

$$\frac{d^2 u}{d^2} = u + 2P M u^3:$$
(11)

[The corresponding equation for the Schwarzschild metric is given by $\frac{d^2u}{d^2} = u + 3M u^2 = M_4^2$ [20]]. Note that from Eq.(7) one could get an equation in terms of the variable u given by

$$\frac{d^2 u}{d^2} + PM u \left(\frac{du}{d}\right)^2 + u \left(1 - \frac{PM k^2}{h^2}\right) = 0:$$
(12)

However, on combining Eq.(12) with Eq.(11) one is led to the equation $\frac{d^2u}{d^2} + 2u = 0$ with no mass term appearing in it. Hence, similar to the case for the standard Schwarzschild metric[20], the r-variation equation (7) does not lead to any new input on the calculation of the bending angle. W ithout the mass term the solution of Eq.(11) is given by $u = u_0 \cos()$, with u_0 representing the inverse of the distance of closest approach. Since the asymptote of the light path is given by u = 1 = r = 0, the solution $= \frac{1}{2}$, signi es no bending of light as is expected to be the case for vanishing mass.

In the weak eld limit (r r_i) the rh.s of Eq.(11) introduces a small de ection of the equation of the light ray from a straight line. The solution of Eq.(11) with a small contribution from the mass term can be written as

$$u = u_0 \cos() + P M u_0^3 [\frac{3}{4} \sin() \frac{1}{16} \cos(3)]$$
: (13)

For small de ection , one can substitute $=\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ in Eq.(13) and use the asymptote for the light ray (u = 0) to obtain the total bending angle = 2 in the weak eld limit given by

$$= \frac{3}{4} P M u_0^2:$$
 (14)

N ote that the bending angle for the Schwarzschild m etric which could be derived in a sim ilar fashion [20] is given by

 $^{Sch} = 4M u_0 = M_4^2$. The ratio of the bending angles which is given by $= ^{Sch} = 2lu_0$, indicates that the de ection by a braneworld black hole is more prominent for sm all impact parameters $1=u_0 < 1$.

The expression for the bending angle of light derived in the lim it of a weak gravitational eld follows essentially due the them odi ed m ass-radius relationship for a branew orld black hole (Eq.(2)). Note how ever, that the bending angle as a function of the in pact parameter could also be obtained from the general expression of de ection for a light ray in a spherically symmetric metric[23]. This approach was used to calculate the radius of the photon sphere $r_p^{\rm Sch} = 3M = M_4^2$ and the bending angle in the Schwarzschild metric[24]. A similar analysis can also be performed for branew orld black holes, and it turns out that the the de ection angle can be expanded as

$$(u_0) = \frac{3}{4} P M u_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} P M u_0^2 + \frac{3}{8} (P M)^2 u_0^4 + \dots$$
 (15)

for $1=u_0 > r_p$, with photon sphere de ned by $r_p = (2P M)^{1=2}$. However, for small in pact parameters the weak eld approximation loses validity. The discussion of strong gravitational eld light bending for Schwarzschild black holes incorporates special features such as the divergence of the de ection angle and the winding number[25]. We do not consider such com plications in our present analysis, and hence will restrict ourselves to small bending angles given by Eq.(14).



FIG.1: G ravitationl lensing for point like m assobject M . A light ray from the source S which passes the lens at a distance R is de ected by . The observer sees an image of the source at angular position $\$.

The de ection of light causes the gravitational lensing of a light source located behind the black hole for an observer. We now calculate various lensing quantities like the Einstein angle and the magni cation. For pointlike sources, we derive these expressions in an approach that closely parallels the derivation of similar lensing quantities for the standard Schwarzschild metric[19]. From Figure 1 it is evident that the condition that the light ray reach the observer is governed by the equation, $D_s = \frac{D_s}{D_d}R$ D_{ds} . U sing the expression for the bending angle in Eq.(14), one gets

$$= \frac{3}{4} \frac{D_{ds}}{D_{s}} \frac{PM}{^{2}D_{d}^{2}}:$$
(16)

De ning

$${}_{0}^{2} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{D_{ds} P M}{D_{s} D_{d}^{2}}$$
(17)

and

$$R_{E} = {}_{0}D_{d};$$
 (18)

where $_0$ and R_E are the dimensionless Einstein angle and the Einstein radius [19], respectively, Eq.(16) becom es

$$=$$
 $\frac{\frac{2}{0}}{2}$: (19)

This is the lense equation that is obtained for the braneworld metric (1). It is instructive to compare Eq.(19) with the Schwarzschild lens equation which is given by $= ({_0}^{Sch})^2 =$ in which the Einstein angle for the Schwarzschild metric is given by ${_0}^{Sch} = {_{1=2}}^{MD_{ds}}$. The circ of the Einstein radius corre-

 $\frac{4M}{M_4^2 D_d D_s}$. The size of the Einstein radius corresponding to the branew orld metric is much smaller com – pared to the Einstein radius for the same mass in the

Schwarzschild m etric, ie.,

$$\frac{R_{E}}{R_{F}^{Sch}} = \frac{1}{2D_{d}} : \qquad (20)$$

The image position can be obtained by solving Eq.(19). Note that whereas the Schwarzschild lense equation is quadratic in theta, and hence has two solutions, the branew orld lense equation (19) is cubic in theta, thus having only one real solution for the image position. The real root of Eq.(19) is given by

$${}_{1} = \frac{2^{1-3}}{3} + \frac{2^{1-3}}{3[2^{3} + 27^{2} + 3^{2} + 3^{2} - 7^{2} + 3^{2} - 7^{2} + 3^{2} - 7^{2} - 7^{2} + 3^{2} - 7^{2} - 7^{2} + 3^{2} - 7^{2} - 7^{2} + 3^{2} - 7^{2}$$

A special case arrises if the lens and the observer are collinear i.e. = 0 Then (19) becomes

$${}^{3} {}_{0}{}^{2} = 0$$
 (22)

whose real solution representing the E instein ring[19] is given by $= \frac{2=3}{0}$.

The magnication produced at the image position is obtained in terms of the source position by the relation [19]

$$= \frac{4}{4} - = j_{\frac{4}{4}} j_{\frac{4}{0}} j_{\frac{4}{0}}$$
(23)

From the second equality in the expression for magniin Eq.23), one sees that is non-negligible cation . Using Eqs.(2) and (17), it follows only if 0 that the impact parameter R should be of the order $[(D_{ds}=D_s)(l=l_4)(M=M_4)]^{1=2}l_4$ for perceptible mag-R ni cation. The braneworld e ect on lensing (i.e., the choice of the metric (1) revealing the 5-dimensional character of gravity at sm all scales) is feasible for R l, implying that $(M = M_4)$ $(l=l_4)$ if $(D_{ds}=D_s)$ 0(1). 10^{30} , one obtains the con-Choosing the value $(l=l_4)$ dition $(M = M_4)$ 10³⁰, or M 10 8 M $_{\rm sun}$. B lack holes with such masses have been classi ed as sub-lunar com pact ob jects, and standard m icrolensing results [26] leave open the possiblity of their existence in certain mass ranges as signi cant fractions of halo dark matter. In the braneworld scenario, primordial black holes with such masses could survive up to the present times[9]. It has been further argued that branew orld black holes in such mass ranges could presently exist in the form of binaries [10] and that gravitational waves em itted during their coalescing stages could be observed in future detectors[11].

In terms of the qauntities

$$^{0} = ----_{0}$$
 $^{0} = ---_{0}$
(24)

and using Eq.(23) the magni cation by a braneworld black hole of mass M can be written as

$$= \frac{1}{3}$$

$$+ \frac{2^{1=3} {}^{0} [4 + p \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]}{9 [2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}{2^{2=3} ({}^{0} {}^{2} [3 + p \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}{9 [2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{2=3}}{2^{4=3} ({}^{0} {}^{9} [1 + p \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{2=3}}{2^{4=3} ({}^{0} {}^{9} [1 + p \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{2=3}}{2^{5=3} ({}^{0} {}^{5} [1 + p \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{4=3}}{2^{5=3} ({}^{0} {}^{5} [1 + p \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{4=3}}{2^{5=3} ({}^{0} {}^{5} [1 + p \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{5=3}}{9 [2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{5=3}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} {}^{0} + 27)}]^{1=3}}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}}{0} [p \frac{p}{4 ({}^{0} {}^{3} - 27)}]^{1=3}}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{27}{0} + \frac{27}{0} [p \frac{p}{3 ({}^{3} + 27)}]^{1=3}}}{2 ({}^{0} {}^{3} + 27]}]^{1=3}}$$

It can be checked that there is no magni cation in the lim it of zero mass, i.e., = $1 \text{ when } ^{0}! 1 .0 \text{ n the other}$ hand, in the lim it $^{0}! 0$, the magni cation reduces to

$$\lim_{0! \ 0} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3 \ 0} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3 \ 0}$$
(26)

C omparison with the magni cation produced in the Schwarzschild metric shows that in the (0 ! 0) limit,

$$\frac{M_{4}}{Sch} = \frac{M_{4}}{M} \frac{1}{L_{4}} \frac{1}{L_{4}} \frac{1}{D_{d}} \frac{1}{L_{4}} \frac{1}{D_{d}} \frac{1}{D_{ds}} \frac{1}{L_{6}} (27)$$

Note that a larger size of the extra dimension would produce a brighter in age. The magnication produced by such braneworld black hole lenses which could exist in our galactic halo, however, turns out to be diminished compared to the standard Schwarzschild black holes, except for extrem ely low masses. From Eq.(27), and using the value of $D = D_d D_d s=D_s = 10^{22}$ cm (relevant for the lensing of galactic halo objects), and $M = M_4$) 10^{30} , one obtains (= ^{Sch}) 10^4 . In fact, one can see from Eq.(27), that in astronom ical lensing Schwarzschild black holes would produce brighter in ages than braneworld black holes for M = M_4 > 10^6 . Thus any braneworld black holes present in the galactic halo would be harder to detect through lensing.

To sum m arize, in this paper we have investigated weak gravitational lensing of a point-like optical source by a braneworld black hole. The study of particle and light motion in the geometry of higher dimensional black holes is a subject of recent interest[17, 18], particularly so because of the proposed mechanisms of black hole formation in high energy particle collisions and cosm ic ray showers [13, 14, 15]. Further, as has been shown recently [9], branew orld black holes could survive as relics from the early universe and act as candidates of non-baryonic dark matter. It is feasible for primordial branew orld black holes to exist in the form of binaries [10], and gravitational waves from the coalescence of such binaries could be detected in the near future [11]. Thus, the exploration of the phenom enon of gravitational lensing by branew orld black holes could be of potential utility.

The geometry of a braneworld black hole incorporates a di erent m ass-radius relationship§] com pared to a standard Schwarzschild black hole. In the above analysis, we have calculated the bending angle of light due to the gravitational potential of a braneworld black hole using the variational principle[20]. The consistency of the derivation through the variational principle is con-

m ed using the general expression for de ection angle in a spherically symmetric metric[23]. The expression for the bending angle that we have derived contains the scale of the extra dimension 1. We have next explored the phenomenon of gravitational lensing in the weak eld limit. The expressions of lensing quantities like the E instein angle and the magnication[9] have been calculated in terms of the geometrical parameters and the size of the extra dimension. The di erences of these expressions from the corresponding ones for Schwarzschild black hole lensing [24] have been highlighted. Further interesting phenom ena could be revealed through the analysis of strong gravitational lensing [25] which in the context of the above branew orld geom etry has been recently worked out [27]. Strong gravitational lensing in other branew orld

- m etrics have also been studied [28]. Though our present observational capabilities m ight seem to restrict the status of such analyses to theoretical curiosities, further in provement in techniques m ight enable the fascinating possibility of discrimination of di erent gravity models through observable lensing e ects in the not too distant future.
- See, R. M aartens, [gr-qc/0312059] Living Rev. Rel. 7, 7 (2004) and references therein.
- [2] N. ArkaniH am ed, S. D im opoulos and G. D vali, Phys. Lett.B429,263 (1998); I.Antoniadis, N. ArkaniH am ed, S. D im opoulos and G. D vali, Phys. Lett. B436, 257 (1998); N. ArkaniH am ed, S. D im opoulos and G. D vali, Phys.Rev.D 59,086004 (1999).
- [3] L.Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4690 (1999).
- [4] J.C. Long et al, Nature 421, 922 (2003).
- [5] For a recent review, see, P. Kanti, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 19, 4899 (2004).
- [6] R. Emparan, G. T. Horow itz, and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 499 (2000); R. Casadio and B. Hams, Phys. Rev. D 64, 024016 (2001); S. Hemming and E. Keski-Vakkuri, Phys. Rev. D 64 044006 (2001); R. Casadio, A. Fabbri and L. M azzacurati, Phys. Rev. D 65, 084040 (2002); V. P. Frolov, D. V. Fursaev and D. Stojkovic, JHEP 0406, 057 (2004).
- [7] N.D adhich, R.M aartens, P.Papadopoulos and V.Rezania, Phys.Lett. B487, 1 (2000); M.Bruni, C.Germani and R.M aartens, Phys.Rev.Lett.87, 231302 (2001); N.D adhich and S.G.Ghosh, Phys.lett.B518, 1 (2001); S. Shankaranarayanan and N.D adhich, Int.J.M od.Phys. D13, 1095 (2004).
- [8] R. Guedens, D. Clancy and A. R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D 66, 043513 (2002).
- [9] A.S.M ajum dar, Phys.Rev.Lett. 90, 031303 (2003); R. Guedens, D.Clancy and A.R.Liddle, Phys.Rev.D66, 083509 (2002).
- [10] A.S.Majum dar, A.Mehta and J.M.Luck, Phys.Lett. B 607, 219 (2005).
- [11] K.T. Inoue and T.Tanaka, Phys.Rev.Lett.91, 021101
 (2003).
- [12] T. Tanaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 148, 307 (2003); R.Emparan, A.Fabbriand N.Kaloper, JHEP 0208, 043 (2002); R.Emparan, J.Garcia-Bellido and N.Kaloper, JHEP 0301, 079 (2003).
- [13] D. M. Eardley and S. B. Giddings, Phys. Rev. D 66, 044011 (2002); K. Cheung, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 221602 (2002).
- [14] S.D im opoulos and G.Landsberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 161602 (2001); L.Anchordoquiand H.Goldberg, Phys. Rev. D 67, 064010 (2003); M.Cavaglia, S.Das and

R.Maartens, Class.Quant.Grav.20, L205 (2003); A. Cham blin, F.Cooper and G.C.Nayak, Phys.Rev.D69, 065010 (2004).

- [15] J. L. Feng and A. D. Shapere, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 021303 (2002); L. Anchordoqui and H. Goldberg, PhysRev.D 65, 047502 (2002).
- [16] See, for example, EROS collaboration, Astron. Astrophys. 400, 951 (2003); MACHO collaboration, [astro-ph/0304464] in \G ravitational Lensing: A Unique Tool For Cosm ology", eds. D. Valle-G abaud and J.P. Kneib (Aussois, 2003).
- [17] M.Visser and D.L.W iltshire, Phys. Rev. D 67, 104004 (2003); S.Kar and M.Sinha, Gen. Rel. Grav. 35, 1775 (2003).
- [18] V.Frolov, M. Snajdr and D. Stojkovic, Phys. Rev. D 68, 044002 (2003); V.Frolov and D. Stojkovic, Phys. Rev. D 68, 064011 (2003).
- [19] P. Schneider, J. Ehlers and E. E. Falco, \Gravitational Lenses", (Springer, 1992); F.Bernardeau, [astroph/990117] in \Theoretical and Observational Cosm ology", ed. M. Lachieze-Rey (Cargese Summer School, 1998).
- [20] See, for exam ple, A.K. Raychaudhuri, S.Banerji and A.Banerjee, \GeneralRelativity, A strophysics, and Cosmology", Springer-Verlag (New York, 1992).
- [21] R.Bean and J.M agueijo, Phys.Rev.D 66,063505 (2002).
- [22] R.Emparan, G.T.Horow itz and R.C.M yers, JHEP 01, 007 (2000).
- [23] S. W einberg, \G ravitation and Cosm ology: Principles and Applications of the G eneral Theory of Relativity", W iley (New York, 1972).
- [24] K.S.V inbhadra, D.N arasim ha and S.M. Chitre, A stron. A strophys. 337, 1 (1998); K.S.V inbhadra and G.F.R. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D 62, 084003 (2000).
- [25] V. Bozza, S. Capozziello, G. Iovane and G. Scarpetta, Gen.Rel.Grav. 33, 1535 (2001); V. Bozza, Phys.Rev. D 66, 103001 (2002); V. Bozza and L. Mancini, Ap.J 611, 1045 (2004).
- [26] C.A kock et al, Ap.J 499, L9 (1998); G.F.M araniet al, Ap.J 512, L13 (1999).
- [27] E.F.Eiroa, gr-qc/0410128.
- [28] R.W hisker, astro-ph/0411786.