Interpreting the Colour-M agnitude D iagram s of Open Star C lusters through N um erical S im u lations

Jason pt Singh Kalirai¹? and Monica Tosi²?

¹D epartm ent of Physics & Astronom y, 6224 Agricultural Road, University of British Colum bia, Vancouver BC V6T1Z1 ²INAF - Osservatorio Astronom ico di Bologna, Via Ranzani 1, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

A coepted 2004 M arch 08. R eceived 2004 January 13; in original form 2004 January 13

ABSTRACT

W e present detailed com parisons between high quality observational colourm agnitude diagram s (CM D s) of open star clusters and synthetic CM D s based on M onteC arlo num erical simulations. The com parisons account for all of the main parameters which determ ine the shape of the CM D for a stellar population. For the four clusters studied, NGC 6819, NGC 2099 (M 37), NGC 2168 (M 35) and NGC 2323 (M 50), we derive reddening, distance, age, binary fraction, star form ation rate and indicative m etallicity by com paring the locations and density of points in the observed CM D s to the simulated CM D s. W e estim ate the uncertainties related to stellar evolution theories by adopting various sets of stellar m odels for all of the synthetic CM D s and discuss which stellar m odels provide the theoretical CM D s that best reproduce the observations.

K ey words: colour-m agnitude diagram s { m ethods:n-body sim ulations { open clusters and associations:general { open cluster and associations: individual:NGC 2099, NGC 2168,NGC 2323,NGC 6819

1 INTRODUCTION

Theoretical isochrones are commonly to the major observational sequences of star clusters in order to both better understand the underlying physics of stellar evolution and to determ ine properties of the clusters, i.e., the age. If the m etallicity, reddening and distance to the cluster are well constrained from independent techniques, the comparisons typically involve matching the morphology of the turn-o and location of the red giant stars to predictions. Recently, the newer method of using synthetic colour-magnitude diagram s to compare with observational data has proven to be much more informative and rewarding (Aparicio et al. 1990; Tosi et al. 1991; Skillman & Gallart 2002). These MonteCarlo simulations allow modelling of several additional param eters which dictate the distribution of points in the CMD, such as stochastic star formation (SF) processes, binary fraction, photom etric spread, m ain-sequence thickness, data incom pleteness and sm all num ber statistics. Consequently, the results not only provide a measure of the properties of the cluster, but can also constrain the star formation history (SFH) and the initial mass function $(\mathbb{M} F)$. Furtherm ore, by comparing the simulations based on several di erent sets of evolutionary tracks, we can constrain which models use the best prescription of parameters (such as treatm ent of overshooting, m ixing length, etc ...).

Confronting the simulations with observations requires a large data set with accurate photom etry. For this, we use the deep BV photom etry presented in the CFHT Open Star Cluster Survey (K aliraiet al. (2001a), hereafter JSK I). JSK I observed 19 open star clusters in our G alaxy and have yet published results on the four richest clusters, NGC 6819 (Kalirai et al. (2001b), hereafter JSK II), NGC 2099 (Kalirai et al. (2001c), hereafter JSK III), and NGC 2168 and NGC 2323 (Kalirai et al. (2003), hereafter JSK IV). These data were reduced and calibrated in a hom openous manner as described in JSK I. The resulting CMDs exhibit very tight main sequences showing several kinks' and slope changes which are predicted by theory. M ore importantly, the com bination of very short and deep exposures, and the large aerial coverage of the detector $(42^{\circ} 28^{\circ})$ has allowed the m easurem ent of stars from the brightest asymptotic giant branch (AGB) and red giant branch (RGB) phases down to very low-mass main-sequence phases (0.2 M). This allow sour com parisons to yield evolutionary inform ation over a wide mass range.

The reduced data set in the CFHT Open Star Cluster Survey has been requested by, and made available to, several investigators for additional science rewards outside our goals (e.g., astrom etric studies, properm otions, variable stars, radial velocities, brown dwarfs, blue stragglers and G alactic disk star distributions). The present study com plem ents these e orts and analyses the four published clusters in a way that allows us to include them in a large hom o-

[?] E-m ail: jkalirai@ physics.ubc.ca; tosi@ bo.astro.it

geneous sam ple of open clusters aim ed at studying the form ation and evolution of the G alactic disk (B ragaglia 2003, and references therein). G alactic open clusters are indeed particularly well suited to this purpose, since they span a range of ages from a few m illion to several billion years and can be observed in various regions of the G alactic disk characterised by di erent star form ation histories. They can be used to study both the present day disk structure and its tem poral evolution (Janes & Phelps 1994, Friel 1995, Tosi 2000, B ellazzini et al. 2003). O ld open clusters o er a unique opportunity to trace the whole kinem atical and chem ical history of our disk, if collected in populous and representative sam ples and accurately and hom ogeneously analysed (see e.g., Twarog, A shm an, & Anthony-Twarog 1997; C arraro, N g, & Portinari 1998).

Here we apply the synthetic CMD method to NGC 6819, NGC 2099, NGC 2168 and NGC 2323 to derive their age, reddening, distance modulus and (approximate) metallicity hom ogeneously to the Bragaglia (2003) cluster sample. The method also allows us to determ ine other features of these clusters, such as the existence (or lack thereof) of a signi cant fraction of unresolved binary systems, the original total mass of form ed stars and the possible evaporation of som e of the lower mass stars.

The organisation of the paper is as follows, x2 brie y sum marises the data and the reduction procedures. Further details are given in JSK I. In x3 we present a sum mary of our main results which relate to this work from the published papers in the CFHT Open Star Cluster Survey. x4 sets up the numerical simulations and presents details on how the synthetic CMDs were created. Next, we compare the CMDs and the corresponding lum inosity functions from the observations with the simulations on a cluster-by-cluster basis (x5). Finally, we discuss the results in x6 and conclude the study in x7.

2 THE OBSERVATIONAL DATA

An absolute requirem ent in using di erent data sets to make detailed comparisons between observations and models is that of hom ogeneity. To truly understand the variations in the di erent m odels param eters, we must m inim ize system atic errors. Therefore, we desire a rich data set of open clusters, all reduced using the same approach, calibrated consistently, and with well determ ined incom pleteness factors. The richest clusters from the CFHT Open Star Cluster Survey, NGC 6819, NGC 2099 (M 37), NGC 2168 (M 35) and NGC 2323 (M 50) perfectly meet these requirements. The data were all in aged during an excellent three night observing run with the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) on 1999 October, using the CFH12K camera. The optical detector is a high resolution (1 10^8 pixels) CCD mosaic cam era which projects to an aerial coverage of 42^0 28^0 on the sky.

M ost clusters were observed with exposure times su cient to reach the magnitude of the coolest white dwarfs and of the faintest M -type stars on the main sequence. We also complemented the deep photometry with shorter exposures to cover the brighter tum-o and evolved stars. The individual images were registered and co-added (where necessary) using the FITS Large Images Processing Software (FLIPS) (J.-C. Cuillandre, 2000, Private Communication). Details of this procedure, as well as the pre-processing of the data are provided in x3 of JSK I.

The actual photom etry of the sources in the im ages was m easured using Point-Spread-Function Extractor (PSFex), a highly autom ated program which will be integrated in the code of a future SExtractor version (Bertin & A mouts 1995; E.Bertin 2000, private communication).We used a separate PSF on each CCD of the mosaic. Included in the PSF are polynom ial basis functions which can m ap the variations of the PSF across the CCD.PSFex is discussed further in x4 of JSK I.

D ata for each of the clusters were taken in the B and V lters, and calibrated in the Johnson photom etric system using multiple in ages of the SA -92 and SA -95 standard star elds (Landolt 1992). The nalaveraged uncertainties in the zero-point term s were measured to be 0.018 m agnitudes, uncertainties in the aim ass coe cients were 0.015 m agnitudes in V and 0.007 m agnitudes in B.Colour coe cients, as well as aim ass term s, were sim ilar to nom inal CFHT expectations. D etails of the calibration of instrum entalm agnitudes to realm agnitudes are provided in x5 and Tables 2 and 3 of JSK I.

The resulting, calibrated CM D s for the open clusters exhibit unprecedented long, clearm ain sequences ranging from the brightest cluster m embers to the limiting m agnitude in each case (23 6 V 6 25). A sum mary of the observational log for each cluster (exposure time, seeing, aim ass, etc...) is presented in Table 1.

3 FIRST RESULTS: ISOCHRONE FITTING

Figure 1 presents the nal calibrated CMDs for each of the four open clusters, with the best t theoretical isochrones as determined in our previous published analysis of these clusters (see JSK II, JSK III, JSK IV for details). These rich clusters are clearly delineated from the background G alactic disk stars. The main sequences are very tight and show several features which are predicted by stellar evolutionary theory (see x5). For this, we used a new grid of m odel isochrones calculated by the group at the R om e O bservatory according to the prescription described in Ventura et al. (1998). These m odels adopt convective core-overshooting by m eans of an exponential decay of the turbulent velocity out of the form al convective borders xed by Schwarzschild's criterion; a free parameter which gives the e-folding distance of the exponential decay is set to = 0.03 (Ventura et al. 1998). The convective ux has been evaluated according to the Full Spectrum of Turbulence (FST) theory prescriptions (Canuto & Mazzitelli 1992). The theoretical isochrones were transform ed into the observational plane by making use of the Bessel, Castelli & Pletz (1998) conversions. The lower main sequence (M. 0.7 M.) was calculated by adopting NextG en atm osphere m odels (Hauschildt, Allard & Baron 1999). For (or T $_{\rm e}~$. 3500 K) the transform ations of M . 0.47 M Hauschildt, Allard & Baron (1999) in B V are not very reliable and so the faint end of the isochrones term in ate at this mass. These models will be referred to as FST from here on.

C lu <i>s</i> ter	F ilter	Exposure T in e (s)	No.of Im ages	Seeing (⁰⁰)	A in ass
NGC 6819					
	V	300	9	0.7	1.3
	V	50	1	0.7	1.16
	V	10	1	0.68	1.15
	V	1	1	0.78	1.27
	В	300	9	0.9	1.40-1.76
	В	50	1	0.82	1.38
	В	10	1	0.84	1.37
	В	1	1	1.1	1.25
NGC 2099					
	V	300	3	0.85	1.03
	V	50	1	0.97	1.04
	V	10	1	0.99	1.04
	V	0.5	1	1.1	1.19
	В	300	3	0.79	1.03
	В	50	1	0.85	1.03
	В	10	1	0.85	1.03
	В	0.5	1	1.0	1.19
NGC 2168					
	V	300	1	1.35	1.6
	V	50	1	1.35	1.6
	V	10	1	1.35	1.6
	V	1	1	1.2	1.35
	V	0.5	1	1.2	1.35
	В	300	1	1.2	1.6
	В	50	1	1.2	1.6
	В	10	1	1.2	1.6
	В	1	1	1.1	1.35
	В	0.5	1	1.1	1.35
NGC 2323					
	V	300	1	0.85	1.15
	V	50	1	0.85	1.15
	V	10	1	0.85	1.15
	V	1	1	1.0	1.2
	V	0.5	1	1.0	1.2
	В	300	1	0.95	1.15
	В	50	1	0.95	1.15
	В	10	1	0.95	1.15
	В	1	1	1.1	1.2
	В	0.5	1	1.1	1.2

Table 1.0 bservationalData for NGC 6819, NGC 2099 (M 37), NGC 2168 (M 35) and NGC 2323 (M 50)

1. These very short exposures were obtained at a later date.

3.1 Published Results { NGC 6819

The tight, very rich, main sequence and turn-o consist of over 2900 cluster stars to our limiting magnitude. Mainsequence tting of the un-evolved cluster stars with the H yades star cluster yields a distance modulus of (m M)_V = 12.30 0.12 (d = 2500 pc), for a reddening of E (B V) = 0.10. These values are consistent with a theoretical isochrone of age 2.5 G yrs. D etailed star counts in concentric annuliout to large angular radii set constraints on the cluster radius, $R = 9^{0.5}$ 1⁰, and clearly indicates m ass segregation in the cluster. The global cluster mass function is found to be quite at, x = 0.15 (x = 1.35, Salpeter). A large population of white dwarf stars is found in the cluster and is currently being investigated spectroscopically.

3.2 Published Results { NGC 2099 (M 37)

The cluster CM D shows extrem ely well populated and very tightly constrained m ain sequence, turn-o, and red giant populations. The photom etry for this cluster is faint enough (V 24.5) to detect the end of the white dwarf cooling sequence. Therefore, the white dwarfs are used as chronom eters to age the cluster providing an independent age (566

 $^{154}_{176}$ M yrs) from the main-sequence turn-o plus red giant clump t (520 M yrs). We also derive the reddening (E (B V) = 0.21 0.03) and distance ((m M)_V = 11.55

0.13) to NGC 2099 by matching main-æquence features in the cluster to a ducial main-æquence for the Hyades (de Bruijne, Hoogerwerf & de Zeeuw 2001), after correcting for small metallicity di erences. The cluster lum inosity and mass functions indicate som e evidence for mass ægregation within the boundary of the cluster.



Figure 1. Isochrone ts for each cluster are shown with corresponding ages. All models are Solar metallicity with the exception of NGC 2168, for which Z = 0.012. For the lower main sequence, we were not able to compute non-grey atmospheres for this metallicity. We therefore overplot the lower main sequence from a Solar metallicity model (bottom -left).

3.3 Published Results (NGC 2168 (M 35)

NGC 2168 is a well studied nearby $(d = 912 \frac{70}{65} \text{ pc})$ young cluster (180 M yrs) showing a well de ned main sequence. The reddening of the cluster, E (B V) = 0.20, and the m etallicity, Z = 0.012, were adopted from the literature (Sarrazine et al. 2000; Barrado y Navascues, D eliyannis & Stau er 2001). The cluster is found to contain 1000 stars above our limiting magnitude with a global mass function slope very similar to a Salpeter value (x = 1.29). There is mild evidence for mass segregation in the cluster. W hite dwarf stars are found and can potentially set very in portant constraints on the high-mass end of the white dwarf initialnal mass relationship considering they must have evolved from quite massive progenitors.

3.4 Published Results (NGC 2323 (M 50)

NGC 2323 is one of the youngest clusters in the CFHT Open C luster Survey (130 M yrs), and shows a main sequence extending over 14 m agnitudes in the V, B V plane. The cluster has had very few photographic/photoelectric studies, and

prior to our e orts, no previously known CCD analysis. This is surprising considering the rich stellar population (2100 stars above our m agnitude lim it) and the relatively low distancem odulus ($(m \ M) = 10.00 \ 0.17$). The cluster shows clear evidence of m ass segregation, an important result considering the dynam ical age is only 1.3 the cluster age.

4 SYNTHETIC COLOUR-MAGNITUDE DIAGRAMS

A ge, reddening and distances for the four clusters have been re-derived applying the synthetic CM D m ethod (Tosi et al. 1991) to the empirical CM D s described above. The best values of the param eters are found by selecting the values that provide synthetic CM D s with m orphology, num ber of stars in the various evolutionary phases and lum inosity functions in better agreem ent with the empirical ones. The m ethod has already been succesfully applied to several clusters (B ragaglia 2003 and references therein).

The synthetic CMDs are constructed via M onteCarlo extractions of (m ass, age) pairs, according to an assumed IM F, SF law, and time interval of the SF activity. Each extracted synthetic star is placed in the CM D by suitable interpolations on the adopted stellar evolution tracks and adopting the Bessel et al. (1998) tables for photom etric conversion in the Johnson-C ousins photom etric system. The absolute m agnitude is converted to a provisional apparent m agnitude by applying (arbitrary) reddening and distance m odulus. The synthetic stars extracted for any m agnitude and photom etric band are assigned the photom etric error derived for the actual stars of the sam e apparent m agnitude. Then, they are random ly retained or rejected on the basis of the incom pleteness factors of the actual data, derived from extensive arti cial star tests.

Once the number of objects populating the whole synthetic CMD (or portions of it) equals that of the observed one, the procedure is stopped, yielding the quantitative level of the SF rate consistent with the observational data, for the prescribed IM F and SF law . To evaluate the goodness of the m odelpredictions, we com pare them with: the observational lum inosity functions, the overall m orphology of the CMD, the stellar m agnitude and colour distributions, the number of objects in particular phases (e.g., on the red giant branch, in the clump, on the blue loops, etc.). A model can be considered satisfactory only if it reproduces all of the features of the empirical CMD s and lum inosity functions. G iven the uncertainties a ecting both the photom etry and the theoretical param eters (stellar evolution tracks included), the method cannot provide strictly unique results; how ever, it allow s us to signi cantly reduce the range of acceptable param eters.

In this way we derive the age, reddening, distance of the cluster, and indicate the metallicity of the stellar evolution m odels in better agreem ent with the data. In principle, the latter should be indicative of the cluster metallicity, but this depends signi cantly on some of the stellar model assum p-tions, such as opacities.

To estim ate if, and how many, unresolved binary systems could be present in the cluster, the synthetic CMDs have been computed both assuming that all the cluster stars are single objects and that an (arbitrary) fraction of them are members of binary systems with random mass ratio. A gain, the comparison of the resulting main-sequence morphology and thickness with the observed ones allows us to derive information on the most likely fraction of binaries.

W e also infer the astration m ass of the cluster (i.e., the totalm ass that went in all of the stars form ed in the cluster), according to the adopted IM F. The m ethod here also allow s us to derive the best IM F as long as the observed m ass range of m ain-sequence stars is su ciently large and the m ain sequence is su ciently tight. However, the high background contam ination a ecting the system s exam ined here and the lack of su ciently reliable external blank elds, m ake the derivation too unsafe to discuss it here. O ther factors (see below), such as the actual size of the photom etric errors also prevents us from comparing the IM F in any signi cant detail.

5 OBSERVATIONS VS THEORY -COLOUR-MAGNITUDE DIAGRAMSAND LUM INOSITY FUNCTIONS

To test the e ect of di erent input physics on the derived age, reddening and distance m odulus, we have run the sim ulations with three di erent sets of stellar evolutionary tracks. The adopted sets were chosen because they assume dierent prescriptions for the treatm ent of convection and range from no overshooting to rather high overshooting from convective regions. Despite these di erences, they are all able to well reproduce the observed CMDs of both star clusters and nearby galaxies. They are thus suitable to evaluate the intrinsic uncertainties still related to stellar evolution m odels. W e use the FST tracks of Ventura et al. (1998) with high (= 0.03) and moderate (= 0.02) overshooting; the BBC 94 tracks of the Padova group (Bressan et al. 1993; Fagotto et al. 1994), with overshooting; and the FRANEC tracks (Dominguez et al. 1999) with no overshooting. For those cases where the m etallicities are not well constrained, we allow di erent values in our com parisons. In com pleteness factors and photom etric errors are taken from the original papers in the CFHT Open StarC luster Survey series (JSK II, JSK III and JSK IV) and folded into the num erical simulations.

A llm odels assume that the star form ation activity has lasted 5 M yr (i.e., approximately an instantaneous burst) and that the stars were form ed following a single slope (x = 1.35) Salpeter's IM F over the whole mass range covered by the adopted tracks (0.6 { 100 M }).

Figures 2, 4, 6 and 8 show for each cluster the synthetic m odels in better agreem ent with the data for each adopted set of stellar tracks, as well as the cluster observational CMD on the same scale. In all of these gures the CMDs in the top row are the synthetic ones, those in the second row are the same synthetic ones but overlapped with the stars of the equal area ducial blank eld, and the bottom CMD is the empirical one. Figures 3, 5, 7 and 9 compare the empirical lum inosity functions with those predicted by the best synthetic m odels. U ltim ately, the best m odels have been selected by visually com paring features between the synthetic m odels and the observations, such as the location and density of points on the CMD. How ever, we have also attem pted to con m our results by using both the two-dimensional Kolm ogorov-Sm imov test on the CMD and 2 tests on the lum inosity and colour functions. In the cases of well constrained eld contam ination, such as behind NGC 6819 and NGC 2099, the tests con m our choices of best synthetic models. For the other two clusters, the tests do not help discrim inate between best choice of models and we strictly use conclusions based on the visual location of points. A lso, these statistical tests are particularly not well suited for these com parisons because of the insigni cant weight given to the sm all num ber of stars in key evolutionary stages which must be t by the synthetic models (such as the red giant clum p).

5.1 NGC 6819

NGC 6819 is the richest cluster in this work. The cluster eld contains 10510 bona de stars (i.e., with stellarity param eter > 0.5) while the blank eld of sam e area contains 8504 bona



Figure 2. Synthetic CMDs with Z = 0.02 and a 20% binary fraction (random m ass ratio) are shown for NGC 6819. The BBC 94 tracks are found to provide the best agreement with the observations (bottom panel). The data has been truncated at the faintest m agnitudes (see Figure 1 for full CMD). See x5.1 for more information.

de stars. The synthetic C M D s are therefore com puted with 2000 objects (a fraction of which are assumed to be members of binary system s).

A ll of the tracks used to simulate the NGC 6819 CMD are Solar m etallicity models as the cluster metallicity has been constrained to this value through the high resolution analysis of Bragaglia et al. (2001). Figure 2 shows that the three sets of models provide slightly di erent ages (and hence, reddening and distances), which strictly depend on the assumed am ount of overshooting: the higher the overshooting, the older the age. This is of course due to higher overshooting models having higher stellar lum inosities, thus allowing lower mass stars to reach the desired lum inosity. The variations in the ages seen in the models also stress the importance of deriving uncertainties on cluster parameters by using di erent sets of tracks.

The FST models with = 0.03 (left) lead to parameters virtually identical to those found in JSK II. A better estimate of the age is found to be 2.30 0.15 G yrs, distance modulus (m M) = 12.0, and reddening E (B V) = 0.10. The FRANEC models (right) suggest younger ages, due to their lack of overshooting, 1.6–1.8 G yrs, and therefore need

a slightly higher reddening (E (B V) = 0.15) to reproduce the colours. The BBC 94 m odels (m iddle), with interm ediate overshooting, favor an interm ediate age of 2.0 G yrs, with E (B V) = 0.12 and (m M) = 12.2.

N one of these m odels are found to perfectly reproduce all of the observed features in the NGC 6819 CMD. For example, the FST models predict a main-sequence slope steeper than the observed one and the two diverge at the faint end. These models also tend to place the red giant clum p excessively towards the red, probably due to the fact that the models don't include mass loss. The FRANEC m odels better reproduce the main-sequence distribution but present a tum-o with a hook that is too pronounced, a likely consequence of the young age.G iven the high eld contam ination, how ever, this feature is not particularly evident in the combined CMDs of the second row. A smaller cluster core CMD shows the hook prominently. The FRANEC models also overpopulate the lower red giant branch. This is visible even despite the fact that this region of the CMD is plenty of contam inating ob jects. The BBC 94 m odels provide the best t to the data CMD, despite a main-sequence slope which is slightly too steep.M ost of these discrepancies



Figure 3. The empirical NGC 6819 lum inosity function (open circles) is compared with the predicted lum inosity function from the num erical simulations (solid line). See x5.1 for a discussion of these results.

between the observed features and the synthetic CM D s result from problem s in the stellar m odels. A spointed out by Andreuzziet al. (2004) studying the open cluster NGC 6939 with the sam e m ethod, assuming a reddening dependence on the stellar colour as adopted by T w arog et al. (1997) m akes the synthetic m ain sequences even steeper and, hence, m ore inconsistent with the data.

A nother evident result that we nd for all of the clusters studied here, is that the observed m ain-sequence spread cannot be reproduced if one assum es photom etric errors as sm all as those listed in our photom etry catalogue. To test the actual size of the photom etric errors we revert back to the articial startests presented in JSK II.A plot of the V in -Vout distribution tells us that, in fact, the errors quoted agree with the arti cial star tests. The synthetic CMD s how ever, require that either the errors are larger, at least 0.01 m agnitude even in the brighter bins, or there is some physical cause for the observed spread (i.e., di erential reddening or m etallicity spread). A spread due to binaries is modelled and therefore ruled out as a cause for this discrepency. The apparent spread could be caused by sm allo sets in the calibration of the di erent CCDs of the mosaic, but internal m etallicity spread in the cluster or sm all di erential reddening cannot be excluded. W e are currently investigating this possibility in another project (R.S.French et al. 2003, private com m unication). For now, we have increased the errors to 0.01 m agnitude for stars brighter than V = 19 and doubled them for fainter bins (= 0.01 at V = 21, = 0.04 at V= 23). Empirically, this is the minimum size required to obtain a main-sequence spread comparable with the observed one.

A ll of the shown cases in Figure 2 assume that 20% of the stars are in binary system s with random mass ratio. We also tested simulations with 30% binaries and found minor di erences. G iven the high eld contamination, it is di cult to understand which fraction and assumption of the mass ratio is the best case. It could entirely be true that the fraction is actually smaller if the mass ratio is more skewed towards equalm asses. Models without binaries are not shown as they amplify the problem of the main-sequence width (the predicted one is too tight) and do not correctly reproduce the stellar distribution on the red side of the main sequence.

Figure 3 shows the empirical lum inosity function of the 10510 cluster eld stars (empty circles) compared to the lum inosity functions resulting from the sum of the 2000 synthetic stars of Figure 2 with the 8504 stars of the blank eld.

c 2004 RAS, MNRAS 000, 1{15

The agreem ent is excellent, except for the slight underestimate of the clum p stars of the FST models.

A clear result of our study is that all of the synthetic CMDs for NGC 6819 show overpopulated faint main sequences (V > 20), both for single and binary stars. This is a clear signature of low mass stellar evaporation (or at least segregation outwards of our cluster boundary, 9° 5). As we showed in JSK II, the mass function of this cluster steadily marches to atter values, and becomes inverted in the outerm ost annuli. Therefore, the observations provide the answer to this overabundance. How ever the e ect is not seen in the lum inosity function comparisons, which are in excellent agreement with the observed one. This suggests either that the faint magnitude lum inosity function is dominated by eld contam ination or that the apparent over-concentration of the synthetic main sequences is actually an artifact of the residual underestim ate of the photom etric errors.

A ssum ing a single slope Salpeter IM F, the m ass of gas that form ed the stars of the surveyed area of NGC 6819 between 0.6 and 100 M is ' 4.2 10^3 M with the BBC 94 m odels, 4.0 10^3 M and 4.9 10^3 M with the FST and the FRANEC m odels, respectively. Hence, di erent stellarm odels do not provide the same answer for a global parameter such as the star form ation either. The di erences are again related to the adopted input physics and to the am ount of assumed overshooting in the stellarm odels. Less overshooting in plies higher m asses for the observed living stars, i.e. shorter lifetim es, which, convolved with the negative slope of the IM F, leads to a higher total astrated m ass.

5.2 NGC 2099

The cluster eld contains 12194 bona de stars, while the blank eld extrapolated to the cluster area contains 10576 stars. Hence, the synthetic CM D s have been com puted with 1618 ob jects.

In JSK III, we assumed the cluster metallicity of NGC 2099 to be Solar, based on the isochrone tting results of M em illiod et al. (1996) as well as our own best guess from the t.A more recent study, N ilakshi & Sagar (2001), prefer a subsolar Z = 0.008 m etallicity, again based on isochrone tting. Therefore, one goal is to constrain the metallicity of the cluster based on synthetic CMD comparisons. So, for this cluster we use the the FST tracks of Ventura et al. (1998) with = 0.03, Z = 0.02 and Z = 0.006, the BBC 94 tracks of the Padova group with Z = 0.02 (B ressan et al. 1993) and Z = 0.008 (Fagotto et al. 1994), and the FRANEC tracks



F igure 4. Synthetic CM D s with subsolar m etallicities and a 20% binary fraction (random m ass ratio), are shown for NGC 2099. The BBC 94 tracks are found to provide the best agreem ent with the observations (bottom panel). The data has been truncated at the faintest m agnitudes (see Figure 1 for full CM D). See x5.2 for m ore inform ation.

(D om inguez et al. 1999) with Z = 0.02, Z = 0.01 and Z = 0.006.

For the FST, the synthetic sequences using Solarm etallicity models look in pressively consistent with the observed CMD in all the minim aldetails. However, the turn-o and clum p shape are not very good: the sequence after the turno is too long and curved and the clump is a bit short and faint. It is also very di cult to single out the best age, as anything between 520 and 700 M yrs doesn't signi cantly change the important CMD features (clumpm orphology and lum inosity, shape of tum-o, etc...), all looking reasonable. The clump becomes too faint below 500 Myrs, indicating that this is a lower age lim it and too blue beyond 800 M yrs indicating an upper limit. The best t resulting parameters for these tracks are therefore age = 62060 M yrs, E(B V) = 0.21 0.02, and (m M) = 10.70 0.20.The BBC 94 Z = 0.02 tracks excellently reproduce all of the main sequence and clum p features, but have long post turn-o sequences, as we saw in the FST models. For Solarm etallicity, these tracks are in better agreem ent with the data than the FST models. The age is also better constrained, thanks to a higher sensitivity of the various features: age = 590 10

M yrs, E (B V) = 0.180.01, and (m M) = 10.50 0.10. The FRANEC Z = 0.02 tracks provide the best agreement with the observed upper main sequence and turn-o features. However, the resulting clump is too vertical and overpopulated. Part of the latter problem is due to the fact that their main sequence is not as deep as the others, because the minimum mass available to us is 0.7 M , instead of 0.6 M as for the FST and Padova models. The code therefore can not distribute the required 1618 faint stars in these bins and, inevitably, puts a larger num ber of brighter stars according to a Salpeter IM F. The resulting best t param eters in the FRANEC models are age = 59010 M yrs, E(BV) = 0.16 0.02, and (m M) = 10.310.10.

Figure 4 presents the lower m etallicity synthetic CM D s for NGC 2099. For the Z = 0.006 FST m odels (left), the length of the sequence just after the turn-o shortens and becom esm ore vertical, thus better reproducing the observed m orphology. The clum p m orphology also im proves, thanks to a slightly larger extension. How ever, the agreem ent in the m ain-sequence m orphology is no longer as excellent as the higher m etallicity case, but still quite good. It m ay well be that interm ediate m etallicity tracks would work even better.



Figure 5. The empirical NGC 2099 lum inosity function (open circles) is compared with the predicted lum inosity function from the num erical simulations (solid line). See x5.2 for a discussion of these results.

Therefore, overall the synthetic CMDs from the FST tracks prefer the lower m etallicity, with param eters: age = 520 $40 \text{ Myrs}, \text{E} (\text{B} \text{ V}) = 0.36 \quad 0.01, \text{ (m} \text{ M}) = 10.40 \quad 0.10.$ The BBC 94 lower metallicity tracks (m iddle), Z = 0.008, are the best models for this cluster. They reproduce all of the main-sequence features and also have a better shaped tum-o than with the Solar metallicity models. A minor inconsistency is seen in the location of the clump, which is slightly too vertical, but this is a m inor detail. The age is less well de ned, with nal best parameters age = 430 30 M yrs, E (B V) = 0.36 0.03, (m M) = 10.50. The lower metallicity FRANEC tracks (right) can be ruled out as both the Z = 0.01 and Z = 0.006 m odels show extrem ely extended clumps (real blue loops) which are inconsistent with the observed clump for any reasonable age. A lso, the lifetim es in that phase favor the stars to reside on the blue side of the clum p (vertical), adding further inconsistency to the colour and shape.

W e have also performed several tests on the fraction of binary stars in NGC 2099. At rst glance, the empirical CMD doesn't show any evidence of binaries, but we have found a posteriori that, without including them in the synthetic CMDs, both the tum-o morphology and the colour distribution on the red side of the main sequence are not well reproduced. Our best guess is that binaries are around 15-20% of the cluster stars and all of the results that we have discussed above assume this fraction (20%) with a random m ass ratio.

Figure 5 shows the empirical lum inosity function of the 12194 cluster eld stars (empty circles) compared to the lum inosity functions resulting from the sum of the 1618 synthetic stars of Figure 4 (subsolar metallicity) with the 10576 stars of the extrapolated blank eld. The predicted lum inosity functions are consistent with the data, but the agreement at the brightest magnitudes is not exceptional. The very good match at the faintest magnitudes shows that the extrapolation of the num ber of stars observed in the blank eld to the larger area covered by the cluster is correct.

The general ts of the data to synthetic CM D s favor the lowerm etallicity m odels for NG C 2099, thus requiring a higher reddening. These are found to better reproduce the shape of the upperm ain sequence and tum-o. Notice that age and m odulus derived here do not coincide with those derived JSK III with the same stellar tracks. The di erence in age is probably due to the fact that with the isochrone tting m ethod one simply ts a curve to the points, w hereas with the synthetic CM D s one has to reproduce the points them selves, including morphology and quantity. The di erence in modulus is, presum ably, simply the consequence of the age di erence.

A ssum ing a single slope Salpeter IM F, the m ass of gas that form ed the stars of the surveyed area of NGC 2099 between 0.6 and 100 M is ' 2.6 10^3 M with the BBC 94 m odels, 2.5 10^3 M and 3.1 10^3 M with the FST and the FRANEC m odels, respectively.

5.3 NGC 2168

The cluster eld contains 9298 bona de stars. Our previous work on NGC 2168 (JSK IV) su ered due to the large size of the cluster ($R > 20^{\circ}$). A very small blank eld was constructed from the outer edges of the CFH 12K CCD mosaic. In fact, some cluster members surely resided within the blank eld, and therefore the cluster lum inosity function could have been underestim ated. U sing synthetic CMD s, we nd that we can only reproduce the observed stellar distribution in the CMD and the lum inosity function if we use 500-600 stars down to V = 19. U sing the blank eld from the outer edges of the CCD subtracts o toom any stars and forces a poor agreem ent between the theory and the observations. This con rm sour initial suspicion that the cluster is larger than our aerial coverage. The synthetic CMD s shown here contain 550 stars brighter than V = 19.

For the synthetic CM D com parisons, we again use both Solar and subsolar metallicity models from each of FST, BBC 94, and FRANEC.We immediately nd that the subsolar metallicity models provide a much nicer agreement to the observations than Solar metallicity models. The Solar metallicity models not only predict an insu cient number ofmain-sequence stars just below the tum-o, they also contain very vertical uppermain sequences. Besides, for ages as old as 180 M yrs the tum-o s are slightly too faint, while for younger ages, < 120 M yrs, the tum-o has the proper lum inosity but is severely underpopulated when com pared to the observations. Flattening the IM F did not help the overall t.

Figure 6 shows the best synthetic CM D s for NGC 2168. For the FST tracks (left), the models with maximum overshooting (= 0.03) and low metallicity (Z = 0.006) reproduce the data very nicely. These require a best t distance modulus slightly smaller (m M) = 9.6) and a reddening slightly larger (E (B V) = 0.22) than found in JSK IV. The di erences can be most likely attributed to the lower metallicity (JSK IV uses Z = 0.012) that we chose in these com -



F igure 6. Synthetic CM D s with subsolar m etallicities and a 30% binary fraction (random m ass ratio), are shown for NGC 2168. The BBC 94 tracks are found to provide the best agreem ent with the observations (bottom panel). The data has been truncated at the faintest m agnitudes (see Figure 1 for full CM D). See x5.3 for m ore inform ation.

parisons. The best age for this model is 200 M yrs, slightly older than what JSK IV found (180 M yrs). The absence of a population of post main-sequence stars coupled with the insensitivity of the turn-o lum inosity and morphology with age in these models allows for a nice agreem ent between the theory and data with ages down to 120 M yrs.

The agreem ent is found to be not as good if we use the sam e m etallicity FST m odels with slightly low er overshooting, = 0.02.At an age of 180 M yrs the turn-o is too faint, how ever, with younger ages (150 M yrs) we overpopulate the post m ain-sequence stars (ve are seen).At 120 M yrs, the turn-o is too bright, hence this age is too young.

The BBC 94 m odels for NGC 2168 are shown in the m iddle panel of Figure 6. The Z = 0.08 tracks are found to excellently reproduce both the CMD m orphology and the number of stars in the di erent phases. The m ain-sequence curves are best tted using E (B V) = 0.20 and (m M) = 9.8. The best age estimate is found to be 180 M yrs. An age of 150 M yrs doesn't provide any post m ain-sequence stars w hereas an age of 200 M yrs is too old as it predicts too m any clum p stars. The FRANEC m odels (right) predict too m any post m ain-sequence stars for both adopted m etallicities, Z =

0.01 and Z = 0.02. As sum marised in JSK IV, many studies of NGC 2168 exist in the literature with none nding more than a few clump stars. The FRANEC models would require a very young age (110 M yrs) to t the post main-sequence phases and this would in turn force a poor agreement in the turn-o region. The best tm odel is, arguably, the one with age = 110 M yrs, E (B V) = 0.22, and (m M) = 9.8.

Our best estimate for the NGC 2168 binary fraction is found to be 30%. This fraction puts a consistent number of stars, and sparse regions, on the red side of the cluster main-sequence in the synthetic CMDs. All CMDs shown in Figure 6 contain this binary fraction.

C om paring the resulting best synthetic CM D s with the observed one, it is clear that the predicted m ain sequence is too tight and slightly underpopulated at faint m agnitudes (V > 15). A s addressed earlier in x5.1, the tightness is a question of photom etric errors, possible di erential reddening, or m etallicity spreads within the cluster. The underpopulation in this case is most likely related to the uncertain m ethod we have used to infer the number of cluster m embers. M any tests that we have done indicate that we cannot attribute m ore stars to the cluster without getting too



Figure 7. The empirical NGC 2168 lum inosity function (open circles) is compared with the predicted lum inosity function from the num erical simulations (solid line). See x5.3 for a discussion of these results.

m any bright stars, both on the m ain sequence and in subsequent evolutionary phases. W e also note here that V = 19 is the lim iting magnitude of the num erical simulations for this cluster. This is related to the availability of photom etric conversion tables (Bessellet al. 1998) for the adopted m etallicities.W ith that said, it is rem arkable how well the overall shape of the upperm ain sequence is reproduced. The 'kinks' and slope changes all fall in the correct place as dictated by the observations. This allows us to accurately determ ine the best t parameters for NGC 2168. Interestingly, the best t synthetic CMD for this cluster, the BBC 94 m odel, provides an identical reddening, distance, and age to what we found using the FST isochrone in JSK IV. The FST synthetic CMD derived param eters are also in excellent agreem ent with our observations considering that the metallicities are slightly di erent.

Figure 7 shows the empirical lum inosity function of the 9298 cluster eld stars (empty circles) compared to the lum inosity functions resulting from the sum of the synthetic stars of Figure 6 with the 8748 stars resulting by random ly multiplying the 1150 objects of the supposed blank eld for an area norm alisation factor. C learly, a major side e ect of the lack of an appropriate external eld is that the com parison of the lum inosity functions becomes only a matter of self-consistency and cannot be used for any consideration on m ass segregation/evaporation. A s m entioned above, the lum inosity functions agree with the data only with 500-600 cluster m em bers. O ne m ay think to improve the situation by steepening signi cantly the IM F (thus allowing form ore stars in the fainter main sequence, without adding bright ones), but we consider it highly dangerous to modeify the IM F without a good eld subtraction.W e stress that observations of a deep BV eld o set from the centre of NGC 2168 by at least one degree would be very useful.

A ssum ing a single slope Salpeter IM F, the m ass of gas that form ed the stars of the surveyed area of NGC 2168 between 0.6 and 100 M is ' 8:5 10^2 M with the BBC 94 m odels, 7:8 10^2 M and 9:6 10^2 M with the FST and the FRANEC m odels, respectively.

5.4 NGC 2323

The cluster eld contains 11115 bona de stars. Taking into account that 1) the blank eld contains 5142 stars but covers an area 1.8 times sm aller than the cluster eld, 2) the mass of the available stellar models don't allow synthetic stars fainter that V 18, the synthetic CMD s have been initially

com puted with 565 objects brighter than V = 18.A posteriori, we have how ever found that in this way we predict luminosity functions clearly overestimating the bright portion (where the cluster dominates) and underestimating the faint portion (where eld contamination dominates). We empirically is not that good luminosity functions are obtained only if the cluster contains 285 m embers brighter than V = 18, suggesting that the previously used blank eld underestimated the actual contamination. A gain observations of an external eld of appropriate size would be crucial for a safer derivation of som e of the cluster properties.

For the youngest cluster in this work, NGC 2323, the m etallicity is known to be Solar and in fact, we nd that the Solar m etallicity tracks provide the best agreement to the data in this work. Them ost di cult parameter to tin NGC 2323 is the cluster age. A lthough ages can be constrained from the turn-o morphology, the lum inosity of the red giant clump is an alternative tool. NGC 2323 only shows two bright, red objects which are potential post main-sequence candidates. However, since these two objects do not have any mem bership probability from the literature, we can not trust them as cluster members. Furthermore, the turn-o itself is not heavily populated preventing an accurate determination of its lum inosity. A swe'll see below, we nd that models with a range of young ages are equally consistent with the observed distribution.

Figure 8 presents our best synthetic CMDs for NGC 2323. All of the shown cases are for Solar m etallicity and assume a 30% binary fraction with random m ass ratio.

The FST models (left) are found to perfectly reproduce the majority of the observed features. The agreem ent is also found to be independent of the adopted overshooting (=0.02 or = 0.03). A lthough the age is slightly higher (by 20) M yrs) in the higher overshooting case, the reddening, distancem odulus and goodness of tare the same. Our best param eters are therefore age = 130 M yrs, E (B V) = 0.22, and (m M) = 10.0 for = 0.03. However, ages between 120 and 180 M yrs are equally likely.W e have chosen 130 M yrs as this age predicts a post m ain-sequence star where a real star (m em ber or not) is indeed located on the CMD. Therefore, the results from this work for the FST models are identical to the param eters found in JSK IV . The BBC 94 stellar tracks also perfectly reproduce features on the CMD of this cluster. For E (B V) = 0.22, the best t distance m odulus is found to be $(m \ M) = 10.2$. In practice, all ages between 80 and 150 M yrs t the data equally well. W e've again chosen 120 M yrs as the best age as it predicts a post m ain-sequence star



Figure 8. Synthetic CMD swith Z = 0.02 and a 30% binary fraction (random m ass ratio), are shown for NGC 2323. The BBC 94 tracks are found to provide the best agreement with the observations (bottom panel). The data has been truncated at the faintest m agnitudes (see Figure 1 for full CMD). See x5.4 for more information.

in the correct location as dictated by the potential red giant in the data. The FRANEC models (right) predict a larger number of post main-sequence stars than the FST and BBC models. This is therefore inconsistent with the observational data. For Solarm etallicity, the best comprom ise between the number of post main-sequence stars, the tum-o lum inosity, and the shape of the upper main sequence is found for an age of 110 M yrs. The tum-o is still too faint, however, younger ages produce very vertical and blue upper main sequences. The best t reddening is E (B V) = 0.22 and the distance is (m M) = 10.0. Since the results from these latter comparisons were not very satisfactory, we also tried a lower metallicity, Z = 0.01. The results are very sim ilar, with an overproduction of post main-sequence.

Figure 9 shows the empirical lum inosity function of the 11115 cluster eld stars (empty circles) compared to the lum inosity functions resulting from the sum of the synthetic stars of Figure 8 with the 10576 stars of the extrapolated blank eld. As already discussed for NGC 2168, the lack of an appropriate estimate of the back/foreground stars doesn't allow for a critical analysis of the lum inosity func-

tion. We can see, however, from Figure 8, that within the uncertainties, the selected models reproduce quite well the observed lum inosity function.

A ssum ing a single slope Salpeter IM F, the m ass of gas that form ed the stars of the surveyed area of NGC 2323 between 0.6 and 100 M is ' 4.8 10^2 M with the BBC 94 m odels, 4.3 10^2 M and 5.0 10^2 M with the FST and the FRANEC m odels, respectively.

6 D ISC U SS IO N

Table 2 sum marises the results described in the previous section and includes other information about the four clusters studied here. We recall that stellar models that include overshooting from convective regions in ply older ages than models without overshooting. This explains why our ages resulting from the FST tracks with = 0.03 are systematically older than those obtained with the BBC 94 models and much older than those obtained with the FRANEC ones. It is interesting to notice that while the FST models with higher overshooting reproduce the data better than those



Figure 9. The empirical NGC 2323 lum inosity function (open circles) is compared with the predicted lum inosity function from the num erical simulations (solid line). See x5.4 for a discussion of these results.

with = 0.02, the BBC 94 m odels appear to be those in better overall agreem ent, in spite of having a form all overshooting som ewhat lower than the FST = 0.03 m odels. This indicates that what counts in the predictions of stellar evolution m odels is the combination of the m any parameters and input physics. We not that in general the BBC 94 m odels better reproduce both the m orphological features and the num bers of stars in the various evolutionary phases of the observed clusters.

The excellent delineation of the various main-sequence curves and kinks allows us to put fairly stringent limits on both the reddening and the distance modulus of each cluster, independent of the age. Table 2 shows in fact that the variation of the values of these two quantities resulting from di erent sets of stellar tracks is quite small. This clearly helps to better de ne the age as well.

As discussed for the case of NGC 6819, the synthetic m ain sequences of all the four clusters are tighter than the observed m ain sequences when the form al photom etric errors of the survey catalogues are assumed. To reproduce the observed width, the synthetic CMDs require either photom etric errors of at least 0.01 m agnitude even in the brighter bins or some other factors such as an internal m etallicity spread or di erential reddening.

The synthetic CMD tests clearly indicate that NGC 2168 is larger than previously thought and that our outer CCD blank eld is not adequate. A sim ilar problem, although to a lesser degree, may also exist in the NGC 2323 data set. Future deep photom etry of these clusters should include separate blank eld observations. Indeed, in spite of the great depth and excellent accuracy of our photom etry, which allows us to measure the faintest, least massive stars of each cluster, the lack of appropriate decontam ination elds has prevented us from safely deriving their present mass functions and, consequently, their IMF, mass segregation, and evaporation status.

The synthetic CMDs have allowed us to infer the astrated mass of each cluster. We nd that although this does depend on the adopted set of stellar evolutionary tracks, it only varies within a factor 1.2 from the minimum to the maximum value obtained with the stellar models considered here. We have chosen to simply apply Salpeter's single slope IMF for this exercise. We note, however, that increasing evidence suggests that the slope ism ost likely dierent (steeper for more massive stars, atter for less massive ones, e.g., K roupa 2002) beyond the range of masses, 2 10M, originally analysed by Salpeter (1955). A lthough this simplistic

assumption introduces a system atic error in our estimates, it is not a large concern as we are not providing absolute values of star form ation rates but rather just com paring relative rates for the four clusters.

Given the angular size of the observed eld of view for each cluster (see Table 2), the actual area surveyed obviously depends on the adopted distance to the cluster. W e have assum ed both the instrinsic distance modulus and the astrated mass resulting from the best synthetic CMD comparisons, the BBC 94 m odels. This corresponds to a distance from the Sun of 2754 pc for NGC 6819, 1259 pc for NGC 2099, 912 pc for NGC 2168, and 1096 pc for NGC 2323. The surveyed areas are then 182, 81, 56 and 72 pc², respectively. The corresponding astrated mass per unit area is then 23.1, 32.1, 15.2 and 6.7 M $\,$ pc 2 , equivalent to star form ation rates of 4:6 10⁶;6:4 10⁶;3:0 10⁶, and 1:3 10⁶ M yr ¹ pc ², respectively. These values refer only to stars with masses included in the adopted sets of evolutionary tracks, namely from 0.6 to 100 M . To derive total astrated m asses and star formation rates, one should extrapolate down to 0.1 M , following the preferred IMF. In practice, extrapolating Salpeter's IMF down to 0.1 M , we must multiply by a factor 2.05 the astrated m asses and the rates. If, at the other extrem e, we prefer to assume the positive slope + 0.44 below 0**.**6 M suggested by Gould, Bahcall & Flynn (1997), then the above values must be multiplied only by 1.27.

There is a signi cant di erence (m ore than a factor of four) in the star form ation rates of our four clusters, the m ost active having been NGC 2099 and the least active NGC 2323, with no apparent relation with G alactic location. These rates are 2{3 orders of m agnitude higher than the average star form ation rate of eld stars in the Solar neighbourhood, (2 10) 10^{9} M yr 1 pc 2 (e.g. T im m es, W oosley & W eaver 1995), and are com parable to those of the m ost active dwarf irregular and blue com pact galaxies (e.g. Tosi2003 and references therein). The survival factor of the stars form ed in any cluster clearly depends both on age and on evaporation and NGC 6819 turns out to be the only one of the four clusters having su ered a reduction from the num ber of form ed stars to that of the stars still alive and present in the studied area.

7 CONCLUSIONS

W e re-derive key parameters for the four very rich open star clusters, NGC 6819, NGC 2099, NGC 2168, and NGC 2323. The parameters are measured by comparing high quality,

14 J.S.Kalirai and M. Tosi

Table 2.Sum mary of Param eters and Results

Position: NA 19 ^h 41 ^m 177 ^p 06 ^h 08 ^m 54.0 ^s 06 ^h 08 ^m 54.0 ^s 07 ^h 02 ^m 48.0 ^s J2000 Galactic Longitude 73.98 ^o 177.5 ^s 186.55 ^o 221.5 ^o Distances: m M χ - P nevious Study apparent distance m odulus 12.30 0.12 11.55 0.13 10.42 0.13 10.68 0.14 m M χ - FST (= 0.03) apparent distance m odulus 12.31 11.52 10.42 10.88 0.14 m M χ - FST (= 0.03) apparent distance m odulus 12.47 11.42 10.48 10.68 E (6 V) - FST (= 0.03) reddening 0.10 0.21 0.26 0.22 0.22 E (6 V) - FST (= 0.03) reddening 0.10 0.36 0.20 0.22 0.22 E (7 V) - FST (= 0.03) meddening 0.15 0.36 0.20 0.22 0.22 M M) - Previous Study meddening 0.10 0.36 0.20 0.22 0.22 M) - FRANEC the distance modulus 12.9 10.40 9.60 10.00 M M) - FRANEC	Param eter	E xp lanation	NGC 6819	NGC 2099	NGC 2168	NGC 2323
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Position:					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J2000	RA	19 ^h 41 ^m 17 : 7 ^s	06 ^h 08 ^m 54 : 0 ^s	06 ^h 08 ^m 54:0 ^s	07 ^h 02 ^m 48 : 0 ^s
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J2000	declination	+ 40°11°17°0	+ 24°20 : 0 ⁰	+ 24°20 : 0 ⁰	08°22°36°0
b_{2000} G alactic latin/de 8.47° 3.09° 2.18° 1.24° D istances: m M): -P revious Study apparent distance m odulus 12.30 0.12 11.55 0.13 10.42 0.13 10.68 0.14 m M): -P revious Study apparent distance m odulus 12.31 11.52 10.42 10.88 0.14 m M): -FRANEC apparent distance m odulus 12.47 11.42 10.48 10.68 0.14 E (B V) -P revious Study reddening 0.10 0.21 0.20 0.22 </td <td>J_{J2000}</td> <td>G alactic longitude</td> <td>73:98°</td> <td>177:65°</td> <td>186:58°</td> <td>221:67°</td>	J _{J2000}	G alactic longitude	73 : 98°	177 : 65°	186 : 58°	221 : 67°
Distances: apparent distance m odulus 12.30 0.12 11.55 0.13 10.42 0.13 10.68 0.14 M M y - PST (= 0.03) apparent distance m odulus 12.31 11.52 10.42 10.68 0.14 m M y - PST (= 0.03) apparent distance m odulus 12.47 11.42 10.48 10.68 0.42 E B V) - Previous Study reddening 0.10 0.21 0.20 0.22 0.22 E B V) - PST (= 0.03) meddening 0.10 0.21 0.20 0.22 0.22 E B V) - PST (= 0.03) meddening 0.15 0.36 0.20 0.22 0.22 E B V) - PRANEC reddening 0.12 0.36 0.20 0.22 0.22 M M - FST (= 0.03) meddening 0.12 10.40 9.60 10.00 0.17 M M - FRANEC true distance modulus 12.0 10.30 9.80 10.20 10.20 M M	b _{J2000}	G alactic latitude	8 : 47°	3 : 09°	2:18°	1:24
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D istances:					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(m M) $_V$ – P revious Study	apparent distance m odulus	12.30 0.12	11.55 0.13	10.42 0.13	10.68 0.14
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$(m M)_V - FST (= 0.03)$	apparent distance m odulus	12.31	11.52	10.22	10.68
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(m M) _V – BBC 94	apparent distance m odulus	12.57	11.62	10.42	10.88
E (6 V) - P revious Study E (8 V) - FRANECreddening reddening0.100.210.200.22(m M) - P revious Study (m M) - FST (= 0.03) r eddeningtrue distance modulus true distance modulus true distance modulus true distance modulus11.990.169.800.1610.000.17(m M) - P revious Study (m M) - FST (= 0.03) (m M) - FST (= 0.03) distance from Sun distance modulus11.900.169.800.1610.000.17(1 - P revious Study (m M) - FST (= 0.03) (m M) - FST (= 0.03) d - BS C94distance from Sun distance from Sun distance from Sun 2512 pc216 pc 1202 pc151 143 pc 143 pc912 76 pc 75 pc10.0017(1 - P revious Study d - FST (= 0.03) d - BS C94 d - FST (= 0.03) t - BS C94 d - FST (= 0.03) synthetic CMD age synthetic CMD age synthetic CMD age synthetic CMD age 2.3 G yrs520 M yrs 2.3 G yrs180 M yrs 130 M yrs130 M yrs 130 M yrsAge: t - FRANECisochrone t age synthetic CMD age synthetic CMD age 2.3 G yrs2.0 G yrs 4.00 M yrs10.01 M yrs10.02M etallicity: Zheavy m etal abundance1 D inary percentage0.02<0.02	(m M) _V -FRANEC	apparent distance m odulus	12.47	11.42	10.48	10.68
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	E (B V) – Previous Study	reddening	0.10	0.21	0.20	0.22
E (B V) -BBC94 reddening 0.12 0.36 0.20 0.22 (m M) -P revious Study true distance m odulus 11.99 0.18 10.90 0.16 9.80 0.16 10.00 0.17 (m M) -P revious Study true distance m odulus 11.99 0.18 10.90 0.16 9.80 0.16 10.00 0.17 (m M) -FRANEC true distance m odulus 12.0 10.40 9.60 10.00 0.17 (m M) -FRANEC true distance m odulus 12.0 10.30 9.80 10.20 (m M) -FRANEC true distance from Sun 2500 216 pc 1513 146 pc 912 65 pc 1000 75 pc d -FRANEC distance from Sun 2512 pc 122 pc 123 1006 1000 81 pc 11.99 100 11 pc	E (B V) - FST (= 0.03)	reddening	0.10	0.36	0.20	0.22
E (B V) - FRANEC reddening 0.15 0.36 0.22 0.22 (m M) - Previous Study true distance m odulus 11.99 0.18 10.90 0.16 9.80 0.16 10.00 0.17 (m M) - FST (= 0.03) true distance m odulus 12.0 10.40 9.60 10.00 0.17 (m M) - BBC 94 true distance m odulus 12.0 10.40 9.60 10.00 0.17 (m M) - FRANEC true distance m odulus 12.0 10.30 9.80 10.20 (m M) - FRANEC true distance from Sun 2500 216 pc 1513 146 pc 912 70 pc 1000 81 pc d - FST (= 0.03) distance from Sun 2512 pc 1225 pc 912 1000 87 pc 1000 81 pc 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	E(B V)-BBC94	reddening	0.12	0.36	0.20	0.22
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	E(BV)-FRANEC	reddening	0.15	0.36	0.22	0.22
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(m M) - Previous Study	true distance m odulus	11.99 0.18	10.90 0.16	9.80 0.16	10.00 0.17
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(m M) - FST (= 0.03)	true distance m odulus	12.0	10.40	9.60	10.00
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(m M) – BBC 94	true distance m odulus	12.2	10.50	9.80	10.20
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(m M) -FRANEC	true distance m odulus	12.0	10.30	9.80	10.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	d – P revious Study	distance from Sun	2500 ²¹⁶ pc	1513 146 pc	912 ⁷⁰ ₆₅ pc	1000 ⁸¹ ₇₅ pc
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	d - FST (= 0.03)	distance from Sun	2512 pc	1202 pc	832	1000
d -FRANECdistance from Sun2512 pc1148 pc9121000Age: t -P revious Study t -FST (= 0.03)isochrone t age synthetic CMD age synthetic CMD age synthetic CMD age t -FRANEC2.5 G yrs 2.3 G yrs 2.3 G yrs 2.0 G yrs 2.0 G yrs 430 M yrs 430 M yrs 400 M yrs 110 M yrs 110 M yrs 110 M yrs 110 M yrs130 M yrs 130 M yrs 120 M yrs 110 M yrs 100 M yrsM etallicity: Zheavy m etal abundance10.02< 0.02	d -BBC 94	distance from Sun	2754 pc	1259 pc	912	1096
Age: t -P revious Study t -FST (= 0.03) t -BC 94 t -FRANECisochrone t age synthetic CMD age synthetic CMD age synthetic CMD age 2.3 Gyrs 2.0 Gyrs $1.6-1.8$ Gyrs520 Myrs 430 Myrs180 Myrs 200 Myrs 110 Myrs 110 Myrs 110 Myrs 110 Myrs 110 MyrsM etallicity: Zheavy m etal abundance1 0.02 < 0.02 0.012 0.020 B inary Fraction B inariesbinary percentage 20% 20% 30% 30% Size: D Mangular diam eter inear diam eter2 m ass of cluster3 19^0 27% 4000 M $> 32^0$ 30^0 850 M	d-FRANEC	distance from Sun	2512 рс	1148 рс	912	1000
t - P revious Studyisochrone t age2.5 G yrs520 M yrs180 M yrs130 M yrst - FST (= 0.03)synthetic CM D age2.3 G yrs520 M yrs200 M yrs130 M yrst - BB C 94synthetic CM D age2.0 G yrs430 M yrs180 M yrs120 M yrst - FRANECsynthetic CM D age1.6-1.8 G yrs400 M yrs110 M yrs110 M yrsM etallicity:neavy m etal abundance10.02< 0.02	Age:					
t-FST (= 0.03)synthetic CM D age2.3 G yrs520 M yrs200 M yrs130 M yrst-BBC 94synthetic CM D age2.0 G yrs430 M yrs180 M yrs120 M yrst-FRANECsynthetic CM D age1.6-1.8 G yrs400 M yrs110 M yrs110 M yrsM etallicity: Zheavy m etal abundance10.02< 0.02	t – P revious Study	isochrone tage	2.5 G yrs	520 M yrs	180 M yrs	130 M yrs
t - BB C 94 t - FRANECsynthetic CM D age synthetic CM D age2.0 G yrs 1.6-1.8 G yrs430 M yrs 400 M yrs180 M yrs 110 M yrs120 M yrs 110 M yrsM etallicity: Zheavy m etal abundance10.02< 0.02	t - FST (= 0.03)	synthetic CMD age	2.3 G yrs	520 M yrs	200 M yrs	130 M yrs
t-FRANECsynthetic CM D age1.6-1.8 G yrs400 M yrs110 M yrs110 M yrsM etallicity: Zheavy m etal abundance1 0.02 < 0.02 0.012 0.020 B inary Fraction B inariesbinary percentage 20% 20% 30% 30% Size:angular diam eter linear diam eter22 19^{0} 27% > 32^{0} 30^{0} D Mlinear diam eter22 15.2 pc 10.2 pc > 8.5 pc 9.6 pc Mass of cluster3 4000 M 2500 M 850 M 480 M	t-BBC94	synthetic CMD age	2.0 G yrs	430 M yrs	180 M yrs	120 M yrs
M etallicity: Zheavy m etal abundance10.02< 0.020.0120.020B inary Fraction B inariesbinary percentage20%20%30%30%Size: D Mangular diam eter 2 inas of cluster319 0 15.2 pc27.98 10.2 pc> 32.0 > 8.5 pc300 9.6 pc 480 M	t-FRANEC	synthetic CMD age	1.6–1.8 G yrs	400 M yrs	110 M yrs	110 M yrs
Zheavy m etal abundance1 0.02 < 0.02 0.012 0.020 B inary Fraction B inariesbinary percentage 20% 20% 30% 30% Size:angular diam eter 19^{0} 27% $> 32^{0}$ 30^{0} Dlinear diam eter2 15.2 pc 10.2 pc $> 8.5 \text{ pc}$ 9.6 pc Mass of cluster3 4000 M 2500 M 850 M 480 M	M etallicity:					
B inary Fraction B inariesbinary percentage 20% 20% 30% 30% Size:angular diam eter 19^{0} 27% $> 32^{0}$ 30^{0} Dlinear diam eter ² 15.2 pc 10.2 pc $> 8.5 \text{ pc}$ 9.6 pc Mass of cluster ³ 4000 M 2500 M 850 M 480 M	Z	heavy m etal abundance ¹	0.02	< 0.02	0.012	0.020
B inaries b inary percentage 20% 20% 30% 30% Size: angular diam etter 19° 27% >32° 30° D linear diam etter ² 15.2 pc 10.2 pc >8.5 pc 9.6 pc M m ass of cluster ³ 4000 M 2500 M 850 M 480 M	B inary Fraction					
Size: angular diam eter 19^{0} 2798 > 32^{0} 30^{0} D linear diam eter ² 152pc 102pc > 85pc 9.6pc M m ass of cluster ³ 4000M 2500M 850M 480M	B inaries	binary percentage	20%	20%	30%	30%
angular diam eter 19^{0} 27% > 32^{0} 30^{0} Dlinear diam eter ² 15.2 pc 10.2 pc > 8.5 pc 9.6 pc Mm ass of cluster ³ 4000 M 2500 M 850 M 480 M	Size:					
D linear diam eter ² 15.2 pc 10.2 pc > 8.5 pc 9.6 pc M mass of cluster ³ 4000 M 2500 M 850 M 480 M		angular diam eter	19 ⁰	27 . 8	> 32 ⁰	30 ⁰
M mass of cluster ³ 4000 M 2500 M 850 M 480 M	D	linear diam eter ²	15.2 pc	10.2 pc	> 8.5 pc	9.6 pc
	М	m ass of cluster ³	4000 M	2500 M	850 M	480 M

1. These values were not spectroscopically determ ined and re ect those used in the original isochrone ts.

2.Computed using the distances from the BBC 94 results.

3. M is the mass of gas that form ed stars between 0.6 and 100 M and is therefore only a low er lim it to the total astrated mass.

deep em pirical colour-m agnitude diagram s with M onteC arlo simulations. The combination of comparing the morphology and number of stars in various evolutionary phases and cluster luminosity functions allow s us to provide tight constraints on the reddening, distance, age and binary fraction for each cluster. In cases where the cluster m etallicity is not certain, simulations with di erent abundances are also com pared. In all cases the data are better reproduced when a fraction of unresolved binary system s between 20 and 30% is assumed. A summary of the results is given in Table 2.

The synthetic CMD s and LFs are generally found to be in excellent agreem ent with the observational data. This circum stance, com bined with the fact that di erent sets of stellar evolution tracks provide di erent values for the cluster param eters, con rm s how im portant it is to use m ore than one set ofm odels to estim ate the theoretical uncertainties. It also show s that a hom ogeneous approach is crucial to derive reliable overall cluster properties, such as age-m etallicity relations. In fact, cluster dating based on di erent stellarm odels m ay lead not only to di erent absolute ages, but also to di erent age ranking, with signi cant drawbacks on the interpretation of the cluster properties in term s of G alactic evolution.

Some discrepancies, such as the thickness of the main sequences vis a vis the size of the photom etric errors, and the possibility that cluster stars may be contam inating our blank eld (particularly in the case of NGC 2168) are identi ed and discussed.

For each cluster, we also measure the astration mass (i.e., the total mass that went in all of the stars form ed in the cluster) according to a single slope Salpeter IMF. From this, we calculate the star form ation rate between 0.1{ 100 M to be 9:4 10^{6} ; 1:3 10^{5} ; 6:2 10^{6} , and 2:7 10 ⁶ M yr ¹ pc ² for NGC 6819, NGC 2099, NGC 2168, and NGC 2323 respectively. These rates would be a factor 1.6 lower if the \mathbb{M} F below 0.6 M has a slope of + 0.44, as inferred by Gould et al. (1997) from HST data, rather than Salpeter. The true value may in fact lie som ewhere in between these two \mathbb{M} Fs, as recently discussed e.g., by Chabrier (2003). In principle our data are deep enough to allow for a direct derivation of the cluster IM F; how ever, the four clusters are heavily contam inated by fore/background stars and the lack of appropriate decontam ination elds has prevented us from a safe analysis of the star counts at the fainter m agnitudes. The need of appropriate photom etry in nearby elds is emphasised, also for the purpose of adequate studies of the clusters m ass segregation/evaporation.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e thank Paolo Ventura and Franca D'Antona for having provided their stellar models prior to publication. The bulk of the synthetic CMD code was originally written by Laura G reggio.J.S.K. received nancial support during this work through an NSERC PGS-B graduate student research grant. This work has been partially funded through the Italian M IUR-C o n-2003029437.

REFERENCES

- Andreuzzi, G., Bragaglia, A., Tosi, M., Marconi, G. 2004, MNRAS, in press, astro-ph/0311249
- A paricio, A ., Bertelli, G ., Chiosi, C ., Garcia-Pelayo, J.M . 1990, A & A , 240, 262
- Barrado y Navascues, D., Deliyannis, C.P., & Stau er, J. R.2001, ApJ, 549, 452
- Bellazzini, M ., Ibata, R ., M onaco, L ., M artin, N ., Irwin, N .J. 2003, M N R A S, in press, astro-ph/0311119
- Bertelli, G., Bressan, A., Chiosi, C., Fagotto, F., & Nasi, E.1994, A&AS, 106, 275
- Bertin, E., & Amouts, S. 1995, A & A S, 117, 393
- Bessell, M . S., Castelli, F., & Plez, B. 1998, A & A , 333, 231
- Bragaglia, A., 2003, in Stars in Galaxies, M Bellazzini, A Buzzoni, S.C. assisi eds, Mem. S.A. It. in press
- Bragaglia, A., Carretta, E., Gratton, R.G., Tosi, M., Bonanno, G., Bruno, P., Cali, A., Claudi, R., Cosentino, R., Desidera, S., Farisato, G., Rebeschini, M., & Scuderi, S. 2001, AJ, 121, 327
- Bragaglia, A., & Tosi, M. 2003, MNRAS, 343, 306
- Bressan, A., Fagotto, F., Bertelli, G., & Chiosi, C. 1993, A&AS, 100, 647
- de Bruijne, J.H. J., Hoogerwerf, R.& de Zeeuw, P.T. 2001, A&A, 367, 111
- Canuto, V.M., & Mazzitelli, I. 1992, ApJ, 389, 724
- Carraro, G., Ng, Y K., & Portinari, L. 1998, M NRAS, 296, 1045

- Chabrier, G. 2003, ApJ, 586, L133
- Dom inguez, I., Chie , A., Limongi, M., & Straniero, O. 1999, ApJ, 524, 226
- Fagotto, F., Bressan, A., Bertelli, G., & Chiosi, C. 1994, A&AS, 105, 29
- French, R.S. 2003, private com munication
- Freytag, B., Ludwig, H.G., & Ste en, M. 1996, A&A, 313, 497
- Friel, E D . 1995, ARA & A , 33, 38
- Gould, A., Bahcall, J., & Flynn, C. 1997, ApJ, 482, 913
- Hauschildt, P.H., Allard, F., & Baron, E.1999, ApJ, 512, 377
- Janes, K A., & Phelps, R L. 1994, AJ, 108, 1773
- Kalirai, J.S., Fahlman, G.G., Richer, H.B., & Ventura, P.2003, AJ, accepted. (astro-ph/0306241)
- Kalirai, J.S., Ventura, P., Richer, H.B., Fahlman, G.G., D'Antona, F., & Marconi, G. 2001c, AJ, 122, 3239
- Kalirai, J.S., Richer, H.B., Fahlman, G.G., Cuillandre,
- J., Ventura, P., D'Antona, F., Bertin, E., Marconi, G., & Durrell, P. 2001b, AJ, 122, 266
- Kalirai, J.S., Richer, H.B., Fahlman, G.G., Cuillandre, J., Ventura, P., D'Antona, F., Bertin, E., Marconi, G., & Durrell, P. 2001a, AJ, 122, 257
- K roupa, P. 2002, Science, 295, 82
- Landolt, A.U. 1992, ApJ, 104, 340
- M erm illiod, J.C., H uestam endia, G., delR io, G., & M ayor, M. 1996, A & A, 307, 80
- Nilakshi, N., & Sagar, R. 2001, A & A, 381, 65
- Reid, I.N. 1999, ARA & A, 37, 191
- Salpeter, E.E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
- Sarrazine, A.R., Steinhauer, A.J.B., Deliyannis, C.P., Sarajedini, A., Bailyn, C.D., Kozhurina-Platais, V., von Hippel, T., & Platais, I. 2000, A&AS, 32 742
- Skillm an, E D ., & G allart, C . 2002, PA SP, 274, 535
- Timmes, FX., W oosley, SE., W eaver, TA. 1995, ApJS, 98,617
- Tolstoy, E., & Saha, A. 1996, ApJ, 462, 672
- Tosi, M. 2000, in The chem ical evolution of the M ilky W ay: Stars versus clusters, F. M atteucci, F. G iovannelli eds, (K luwer, D ordrecht), 255, 505
- Tosi, M. 2003, in Stars in galaxies, A. Buzzoni, S. Cassisi and M. Bellazzini eds, Mem S.A. It in press (astroph/0305416)
- Tosi, M ., G reggio, L ., M arconi, G ., & Focardi, P.1991, A J, 102, 951
- Twarog, BA., Ashman, KM., & Anthony-Twarog, B. 1997, AJ, 114, 2556
- Ventura, P., Zeppieri, A., Mazzitelli, I. & D'Antona, F. 1998, A & A, 334, 953
- Xiong, D.R. 1985, A & A, 150, 133

This paper has been typeset from a $T_{\rm E}X$ / $\mathbbm{E}T_{\rm E}X$ le prepared by the author.