

A & A manuscript no.  
(will be inserted by hand later)

Your thesaurus codes are:  
missing; you have not inserted them

# Cosmological Black Holes as Seeds of Voids in Galaxy Distribution

S. Capozziello<sup>1,4</sup>, M. Funaro<sup>2</sup>, and C. Stornaiolo<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica "E. R. Caianiello", Università di Salerno, Via S. Allende, 84081 - Baronissi (Salerno), Italy

<sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Salerno, Via Ponte Don Melillo, 84084 - Fisciano (Salerno), Italy

<sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia, Edificio N - 80126 Napoli, Italy

<sup>4</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia, Edificio G - 80126 Napoli, Italy

Received / Accepted

**Abstract.** Deep surveys indicate a bubbly structure of cosmological large scale which should be the result of evolution of primordial density perturbations. Several models have been proposed to explain origin and dynamics of such features but, till now, no exhaustive and fully consistent theory has been found. We discuss a model where cosmological black holes, deriving from primordial perturbations, are the seeds for large-scale-structure voids. We give details of dynamics and accretion of the system voids-cosmological black holes from the epochs ( $z \sim 10^3$ ) till now finding that void of  $40 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$  of diameter and under-density of  $\sim 0.9$  fits the observations without conflicting with the homogeneity and isotropy of cosmic microwave background radiation.

**Key words:** cosmology, large scale structure, dark matter, black hole

## 1. Introduction

The existence of voids has been evident after the discovery by Kirshner et al. of a large void with diameter of 60 Mpc in Bootes (Kirshner, Oemler, Schechter & Shectman, 1981). Systematic surveys have shown the existence of many regions with similar characteristics. Computer analysis of galaxy distribution gives evidence that voids occupy about 50% of the volume of

the universe (e.g. see ElAd & Piran, 1997) or, according to a more recent paper (Hoyle F. & Vogeley, 2002), about 40% of the volume of the universe.

Today, there is a large agreement on the issue that voids are not just empty regions of space, but that they are regions with a very low density of luminous matter.

As observed by Peebles (Peebles, 2001), the low dispersion of velocities of galaxies indicates that most of matter must be inside the voids, not only if the density parameter (for the matter component) is  $\Omega_m = 1$  but also in the case  $\Omega_m < 1$ . In any case, recent observations (de Bernardis et al., 2000; Perlmutter et al., 1999; Schmidt et al., 1998) indicate that the total value of density parameter is  $\Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$  where  $\Omega_m \approx 0.3$  and  $\Omega_\Lambda \approx 0.7$ . In this case  $\Omega_\Lambda$  is the contribution due to the whole content of unclustered matter which can be cosmological constant, some kind of scalar field (Caldwell, Dave & Steinhardt, 1998; de Ritis et al., 2000; Rubano & Barrow, 2001; Capozziello, 2002) or, in general, "dark energy".

It is worthwhile to stress that the visual inspection of galaxy distribution suggests nothing else but the absence of large amount of luminous matter in wide regions. Furthermore, it is not clear whether the voids are spherical regions approximately empty or under-dense regions with arbitrary shapes. Several definitions of voids have been proposed, but a general agreement on their real nature has not been reached yet (Schmidt, Ryden & Møllt, 2001).

The Swiss-Cheese cosmological model, initially proposed by Einstein and Straus (Einstein & Straus, 1945; Einstein & Straus, 1946), appears suitable for the description of the cosmological voids. In a recent paper (Stomaiolo, 2002), it was proposed an approach for the formation of the cosmological voids in the framework of such model. It was shown that voids are the consequence of the collapse of extremely large wavelength perturbations into low-density black holes and of the comoving expansion of matter surrounding the collapsed perturbations.

As a result, it was claimed that in the center of each void there is a black hole whose mass  $M_{BH}$  compensates the mass which the void would have if it were completely filled with matter having a cosmological density.

In Stomaiolo, 2002, the voids are empty regions of the universe which grow comovingly with the cosmological expansion. In that case, the presence of cosmic background radiation was neglected.

In this paper, we analyze the physical mechanism capable of explaining the structure of voids in presence of baryonic matter, cosmic background radiation (CBR) with central black holes acting as seeds. The layout of the paper is the following: in Sect. 2, we will present the cosmological black hole (CBH) model in the framework of the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) cosmology. Sect. 3 is devoted to the discussion of

the effects of interaction between the CBR and CBH. A mechanism for the formation of an under-density regime void is analyzed in Sect.4, while the matching with observations, which allows to determine the initial time of voids formation and the mass function of CBHs, is studied in Sect.5. The discussion of results and conclusions are given in Sect.6.

## 2. The CBH model and Cosmology

The cosmological model proposed in Stomaiolo, 2002 is an Einstein–Straus universe which is embedded in a FLRW metric. A central spherical black hole with mass

$$M = \frac{4}{3} \rho_{CBH} R_v^3; \quad (1)$$

is present in all the voids.

In Eq. (1), the parameter

$$\rho_{CBH} = \frac{\rho_{CBH}}{\rho_c} \quad (2)$$

represents the fraction of density due to all these black holes with respect to the total density of the universe;  $\rho_c = 1.88 \cdot 10^{-29} \text{ g cm}^{-3} h^2$  is the today critical density of the universe.

All the voids are assumed to be spherical.

A black hole forms when a body of mass  $M$  collapses entirely within a sphere of radius

$$R_s = \frac{2GM}{c^2}; \quad (3)$$

This statement is equivalent to say that its density satisfies the relation,

$$\rho_s(\cdot) = \frac{3c^2}{8G}; \quad (4)$$

Conversely, Eq.(4) relates to any density a corresponding Schwarzschild radius. In other words, any space-like sphere of matter, with uniform density and radius equal to  $R_s(\cdot)$ , is a black hole.

From Eqs.(1) and (3), we determine the mass  $M$  and the corresponding Schwarzschild radius and consequently, from Eq.(4) the mass density of the central black hole. For example, a black hole in the center of a  $50 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$  diameter void would have a mass  $1.8 \cdot 10^6 M_\odot$  corresponding to a Schwarzschild radius  $1.7 \text{ kpc}$  and a density of  $2.34 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ .

The above value of density is the one reached by the collapsing matter when it crossed the Schwarzschild radius. It suggests that the process of formation of a CBH started with large wavelength perturbations at cosmological densities of the order of  $10^{19} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  i.e. for  $1+z \sim 10^3$ . According to the inflationary scenario, we only need to suppose that the inflation occurred during a time long enough to provide such perturbations<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> The Oppenheimer-Snyder model (Oppenheimer & Snyder, 1939) describes the spherical symmetric collapse at zero pressure.

It is important to note that, since the Einstein-Straus model assumes spherical symmetry, the perturbation does not experience the cosmic expansion during its collapse.

For this reason, we can assume that the total mass of perturbation

$$M_p = \frac{4\pi}{3} \rho_i r_i^3 \quad (5)$$

remains constant during the whole process.

The Schwarzschild radius of the spherical perturbation is equal to

$$R_s = \frac{2}{c^2} \frac{4\pi}{3} \rho_i r_i^3 \quad (6)$$

We can distinguish two cases. The case in which the relation

$$\frac{2R_s}{r_i} > 1; \quad (7)$$

is satisfied. The perturbation is in linear regime and, according to the evolution equations of a universe with perfect fluid equation of state, it is frozen when  $r_i$  is larger than the Hubble radius (Mukhanov, Feldman & Brandenberger, 1992). After crossing the Hubble horizon, it collapses and becomes a black hole when

$$\frac{r_i}{2} > \frac{c^2}{H_i^2 \rho_i} \quad (8)$$

In the case

$$\frac{2R_s}{r_i} < 1; \quad (9)$$

the perturbation evolves as shown in Stomaiolo, 2002. During the contraction, it becomes unavoidably a black hole if the central density is very low and the internal pressure and temperature cannot raise to values large enough to prevent the collapse. This is true also when the perturbation enters in a non-linear regime. In addition, any possible centrifugal barrier can be reduced to values smaller than the Schwarzschild radius (Loeb, 1993) by the interaction of collapsing matter with the cosmic background radiation. According to the Einstein-Straus model, after the formation of black hole, the matter around it expands in a comoving way leading to the formation of an empty region between it and the rest of the universe. As the central black hole cannot be seen, the whole region appears as a void to an external observer.

A CBH can be detected through its lensing properties, since it behaves like a Schwarzschild gravitational lens. According to our hypothesis, a CBH sits in the center of a void and the Einstein angle is (Schneider, Ehlers & Falco, 1992)

$$\theta_0 = 4.727 \cdot 10^{-4} \frac{R_V^3 D_{ds}}{c_{BH}^3 D_d D_s}; \quad (10)$$

where  $R_V$  is the radius of the void,  $D_s$  is the distance of the source from the observer,  $D_{ds}$  is the distance of the source from the CBH, and  $D_d$  is the distance of the CBH from

the observer, all these quantities are expressed in M pc. For a 50 M pc void of diameter, with the center placed at a distance of 80 M pc from the Sun and with the source at the opposite edge of the void, we expect a deflection angle

$$\theta \approx 3.2 \cdot 10^{-4} \frac{1=2}{C_{BH}} :$$

On the other hand, Zeldovich and Sazhin point out in (Zeldovich & Sazhin, 1987), that generally static structures can raise the temperature of the cosmic background radiation by an amount proportional to the Hubble parameter and the gravitational time delay. By considering the Swiss-Cheese model case, they find a fluctuation of temperature  $\Delta T = T \cdot 10^{-10}$  for a giant galaxy ( $M = 4 \cdot 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ ). Since this result is proportional to the mass, it follows that it corresponds to a fluctuation of temperature  $\Delta T = T \cdot 10^{-5}$  for a large CBH with mass ( $M = 10^7 M_{\odot}$ ), which does not contradict the recent Boomerang and WMAP measurements (de Bernardis et al., 2000; Tegmark et al., 2003).

An interesting feature of voids with central CBH is derived starting from a Swiss-Cheese model based on an Einstein-de Sitter cosmology. To this aim, let us consider the energy balance in Newtonian terms for a galaxy with mass  $m$  sitting on the edge of a void i.e. at a distance  $r = r_e a(t)$  where  $r_e$  is a covariant radius. We have

$$E = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 - G \frac{m M_v}{r_e a} : \quad (11)$$

As the void is comovingly expanding, we can impose  $v = H r_e a(t)$ . The mass  $M_v$  inside the void is

$$M_v = \frac{4}{3} \rho_{int} (r_e a)^3 : \quad (12)$$

By Eq. (11), one obtains

$$E = \frac{m (r_e a)^2}{2} H^2 - \frac{8 G \rho_{int}}{3} : \quad (13)$$

and then

$$E = \frac{m (r_e a)^2}{2} \frac{8 G}{3} (\rho_{tot} - \rho_{int}) : \quad (14)$$

From this relation, we see that,  $E = 0$  if  $\rho_{tot} = \rho_{int}$ , i.e. the expansion velocity of a galaxy on the edge of a void coincides with the escape velocity<sup>2</sup>. On the other hand, a galaxy on the edge of a void is gravitationally bounded with a CBH, if  $\rho_{int} > \rho_{tot}$ . In this paper, we shall adopt as definition of edge of a void the spherical region where the galaxies have energy  $E = 0$ .

These considerations can be immediately extended to the case in which the spatial curvature is different from zero (i.e. to Friedmann models different from the considered Einstein-de Sitter one). This simply implies that

$$H^2 = \frac{8 G}{3} \rho_{tot} - \frac{K}{a^2} : \quad (15)$$

<sup>2</sup> In the densities  $\rho_{int}$  and  $\rho_{tot}$ , we are taking into account all the contributions to the energy density as matter and radiation.

and Eq.(13) becomes

$$E = \frac{m (r_e a)^2}{2} - \frac{8 G}{3} (M_{\text{tot}} - M_{\text{int}}) \frac{K}{a^2} : \quad (16)$$

In this case, the value of  $E$  depends also on  $K = a^2$ .

### 3. Interaction between CBR and CBH

So far we have considered the Einstein-Straus Swiss-cheese model in a universe filled only with matter. In this section, we shall show how the interaction with CBR will lead to an accretion of CBH. Since the pressure of radiation is different from zero, the radiation itself may cross the edge of the voids regardless the Einstein-Straus junction conditions (Einstein & Straus, 1945; Einstein & Straus, 1946). As we consider this physical process during the matter epoch, we can neglect the contribution of radiation to the black hole formation.

A CBH absorbs energy from CBR according to the law (Custodio & Horvath, 2002)

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \sigma_g(M) F_{\text{rad}} \quad (17)$$

where  $\sigma_g(M) = (27 G^2 = c^4) M^{-2}$  is the gravitational cross section of CBH and  $F_{\text{rad}} = \rho_{\text{rad}} c$  is the radiation flux of CBR.

In a matter dominated universe, (dust), the evolution of radiation density is given by

$$\dot{\rho}_{\text{rad}} = -3H (\rho_{\text{rad}} + p_{\text{rad}}) \quad (18)$$

where  $H = \frac{2}{3t}$  and the equation of state is  $p_{\text{rad}} = \frac{1}{3} \rho_{\text{rad}}$ .

Immediately, we get

$$\rho_{\text{rad}}(t) = \frac{A}{t^{\frac{8}{3}}}; \quad (19)$$

where the constant  $A$  has the dimensions [ $\text{g cm}^{-3} \text{sec}^{8=3}$ ]. At present epoch, assuming  $t_0 = 3.08 \cdot 10^{17} \text{h}^{-1} \text{sec}$  (this value is consistent with WMAP observations (Tegmark et al., 2003) for  $h = 0.7$ ) and  $\rho_{\text{rad}}(t_0) = 4.8 \cdot 10^{34} \text{g cm}^{-3}$ , we obtain

$$\rho_{\text{rad}}(t) = \frac{2.08 \cdot 10^{31} \text{h}^{-8=3}}{t^{\frac{8}{3}}}; \quad (20)$$

dropping the physical dimensions.

Eq.(17) becomes

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \frac{2.94 \cdot 10^{31} \text{h}^{-8=3} M^{-2}}{t^{\frac{8}{3}}}; \quad (21)$$

Integrating from an initial epoch  $t_i$  to the present epoch, we have that the ratio between the present mass  $M_0$  and the initial mass  $M_i$  is

$$M_0 = \frac{M_i}{1 + 1.76 \cdot 10^{31} \text{h}^{-8=3} M_i \left[ \frac{1}{t_0^{\frac{8}{3}}} - \frac{1}{t_i^{\frac{8}{3}}} \right]}; \quad (22)$$

If  $t_i > t_0$ , we can approximate the formula for the mass accretion to

$$M_0 = \frac{M_i}{1 + \frac{1.76 \cdot 10^{31} h^{8=3} M_i}{t_i^{5=3}}}: \quad (23)$$

The growth of mass of a CBH is then

$$M = M_0 \quad M_i = M_i \frac{1 + \frac{1.76 \cdot 10^{31} h^{8=3} M_i}{t_0^{5=3}} \frac{1}{t_i^{5=3}}}{1 + \frac{1.76 \cdot 10^{31} h^{8=3} M_i}{t_i^{5=3}} \frac{1}{t_0^{5=3}}}: \quad (24)$$

For  $t_i < t_0$ , we have

$$\frac{M_0}{M_i} = 1 + \frac{1.76 \cdot 10^{31} h^{8=3} M_i}{t_i^{5=3}}: \quad (25)$$

Therefore, it is evident that the BH accretion is proportional to its initial mass.

#### 4. Under-density inside the voids

From the point of view of density, voids are under-dense regions of space depleted of galaxies with respect to the external background. We can estimate the amount of such an under-density in the framework of our CBH-void model.

Taking into account the accretion process in the void, we cannot neglect the fact that, as the void increases in volume, a certain amount of galaxies enter in the void contributing to the total mass inside the void. This implies that the accretion of mass inside the void, given by (17), has to be corrected as

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = 2 \cdot g(M) F_{\text{rad}}: \quad (26)$$

Factor 2 can be justified in the following way.

If we consider any mass increment in Eq.(11), it is easy to observe that the mass of galaxies entering in the void is equal to the mass increment of the black hole, if one takes into account the conservation equation for  $t_{\text{tot}}$ . In first approximation, one can double the righthand side of Eq.(17). To be rigorous, one should consider a delay effect, since gravity does not propagate instantaneously. Due to this fact, it would be correct to deal with this problem under the standard of the General Relativity. However, it is possible to show that the delay effect can be neglected, at least in first approximation.

To find the density of galaxies  $n_{\text{und}}$  which enter to expanding voids, one has to subtract the accreted mass of CBH, obtained from Eq.(23), to the total local accretion mass, given by Eq.(26), (in the approximation  $t_i < t_0$ )

$$M_f = \frac{M_i}{1 + 3.53 \cdot 10^{31} h^{8=3} M_i t_i^{5=3}}; \quad (27)$$

and divide the result by the volume of the void taken as  $M_f = t_{\text{tot}}$ .

Finally, we find that

$$\mu_{\text{und}} = 1 - \frac{M_0}{M_{\text{f}}} \mu_{\text{tot}} \quad (28)$$

The under-density is given by the contrast of density of galaxies in the void with the total density  $\mu_{\text{tot}}$ , i.e.

$$\mu_{\text{und}} = 1 - \frac{M_0}{M_{\text{f}}} \mu_{\text{tot}} = 1 - \frac{9.69 \cdot 10^6 h^{2=3V}}{t_i^{5=3}} \mu_{\text{tot}} \quad (29)$$

where  $V$  is the volume of the void expressed in  $10^3 M_{\text{pc}}^3$  and  $t_i$  is the initial time expressed in seconds. From this last equation, we obtain the formula for the initial time  $t_i$ , which is

$$t_i = \frac{9.69 \cdot 10^6 h^{2=3V}}{1 - \mu_{\text{und}}} \mu_{\text{tot}}^{\frac{3}{5}} \quad (30)$$

where  $\mu_{\text{und}}$  and  $V$  can be retrieved from the respective values found in the catalogs (ElAd & Piran, 1997). On the other side we can also determine the initial masses of the CBHs just after their formation. This can be expressed by the formula

$$M_i = \frac{\mu_{\text{int}} V}{1 - \mu_{\text{und}}} \quad (31)$$

where  $\mu_{\text{int}}$  is the internal density of the void.

## 5. Matching with the observations

Using the data of the volume and the under-density of voids given in the catalog of ElAd and Piran (ElAd & Piran, 1997) which deduced their data from SSRS2 (da Costa et al., 1988; da Costa et al., 1994) and IRAS (Fisher et al., 1995) observations, we found the following initial times and corresponding initial and final masses according to formulas (30, 31, 27).

Volume $10^3 M pc^3$	under-density	initial time $h^{-2.5} 10^{12} sec$	initial CBH masses $h^2 10^{16} M$	final CBH masses $h^2 10^{16} M$
84.9	-0.89	0.78	1.90	2.11
93.9	-0.87	0.74	2.01	2.28
119.0	-0.93	1.29	2.88	3.08
24.0	-0.91	0.42	0.56	0.61
22.6	-0.94	0.53	0.56	0.59
17.4	-0.92	0.37	0.41	0.47
8.8	-0.91	0.23	0.20	0.22
11.4	-0.95	0.39	0.29	0.30
31.1	-0.86	0.36	0.65	0.74
22.4	-0.69	0.16	0.33	0.43
41.5	-0.88	0.48	0.91	1.02
8.8	-0.97	0.46	0.23	0.24
10.7	-0.74	0.12	0.17	0.22

Table 1: Evolution of voids and black holes features derived from our model using the data in ElAd & Piran, 1997.

Dynamics deduced from our model is consistent with observations and seems to confirm the gravitational origin of voids. In this approach, the role of dark matter has to be revised since most of the mass (about one half) of the structure is concentrated in the central CBH. In this picture, it is only the density contrast between voids and background which drives dynamics. CBHs are just the remnant of primordial collapsed perturbations while voids, or precisely the edges of voids, are the result of perturbations which wavelength following the cosmic expansion. The whole system, also if expanding and interacting with CBR, remains in equilibrium.

## 6. Discussion and Conclusions

In this paper, we have developed a model where cosmological black holes are seeds for large scale structure voids. Such systems come out from the evolution of primordial perturbations and result as stable structures from ( $z \sim 10^3$ ) up to now. They enlarge till diameters of about  $40 h^{-1} M pc$  and the under-density of voids is of the order  $\sim 0.9$  with respect to the background. The whole structure is a sort of honeycombs where most of galaxies (i.e. luminous matter) are located on the edge of voids while most of dynamical mass is sited in the central black hole. The edge is defined by a natural equilibrium condition on the energy due to the balance of gravitational pull of the central

black hole and the cosmic expansion. The cosmic background radiation contributes to the accretion of black hole owing inside the void but its homogeneity and isotropy is not affected in agreement with data. The picture which emerge agrees with optical and IRAS observation (ElAd & Piran, 1997) giving a 50% of the volume filled by voids with the above characteristics. The presence of central black holes seems to confirm the gravitational origin of the voids and stabilizes the system against cosmic expansion preventing its evaporation.

It is interesting to note that the order of magnitude observed for the masses of CBH coincides with the one of the Great Attractor (Fairall, 1997). It is very tempting for us to identify the Great Attractor as a CBH and to use the model described in this paper for explaining the large scale motions observed for the galaxies surrounding it.

However, the main problem with observations of cosmic velocity fields (Faber, Courteau, Dekel, Dressler, Kollatt, Willick & Yahil, 1994) is that the voids are, in general, the contrary to Great Attractor, and large scale structure around the voids do not show velocity fields converging toward the voids, but toward the visible clusters and superclusters around the voids. This apparent shortcoming, in the framework of our model (see also Stomaiolo, 2002), can be overcome by the Birkhoff theorem which states that the stationary solutions are also static if the spherical symmetry is restored. So, a fraction of galaxies is attracted by clusters and superclusters "outside" the void while another fraction has no dynamics since it has been already attracted "inside" the void. This fact could be interpreted as an early selection due to a competitive mechanism between CBHs and external matter contained into clusters and superclusters.

However, if the Swiss-Cheese model were always valid such a selection would have never been achieved; instead, in a more realistic situation, the model holds only approximately so then we have to expect galaxies inside and outside the void due to the deviations from sphericity and to the perturbations of the CBH mass.

Furthermore, as observed in Davis & Peebles, 1983 and Peebles, 2001, the small relative velocity dispersion shows that, if  $M = 1$ , then most of the mass has to be contained into the voids. The same authors conjecture that this must be true even when  $M$  is smaller than 1 as predicted by several CDM simulations.

## References

- Kirshner R. P., Oemler A., Schechter P. L., Sheth S. A. 1981, *Ap. J.*, 248, L57.  
 ElAd H., Piran T. 1997, *Ap. J.*, 491, 421.  
 Hoyle F., Vogele M. S. 2002, *Ap. J.*, 566 (2), 641.  
 Peebles P. J. E. 2001, *Ap. J.*, 557, 495.  
 de Bernardis P. et al. 2000, *Nature*, 404, 955.

- Tegmark M. et al., Cosmological parameters from SDSS and WMAP, astro-ph/0310571, to appear in PRD.
- Perlmutter S. et al. 1999, *Ap. J.*, 517, 565.
- Schmidt B.P. et al. 1998, *Ap. J.*, 507, 46.
- Caldwell R.R., Dave R., Steinhardt P.J. 1998, *Phy. Rev. Lett.*, 80, 1582.
- de Ritis R. et al. 2000, *Phys. Rev., D* 62, 043506.
- Rubano C., Barrow J.D. 2001, *Phy. Rev., D* 64, 127301.
- Capozziello S. 2002, *Int. Jou. Mod. Phys., D* 11, 483.
- Schmidt J., Ryden B.S., Mclott A. 2001, *Ap. J.*, 546, 609.
- Einstein A., Straus E.G. 1945, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 17, 120.
- Einstein A., Straus E.G. 1946, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 18, 148.
- Stomaiblo C., 2002, *G R G*, 34 (12), 2089.
- Oppenheimer J.R., Snyder H. 1939, *Phys. Rev.*, 57, 455.
- Mukhanov V.F., Feldman H.A., Brandenberger R.H. 1992, *Phys. Rept.* 215, 203.
- Loeb A. 1993, *Ap. J.*, 403, 542.
- Schneider P., Ehlers J., Falco E.E. 1992, *Gravitational Lenses*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Zeldovich Ya. B., Sazhin M.V. 1987, *Sov. Astron. Lett.*, 13, 145.
- Custodio P.S., Horvath J.E. 2002, *G R G*, 34, 1895.
- da Costa L.N. et al. 1988, *Ap. J.*, 327, 544.
- da Costa L.N. et al. 1994, *Ap. J. Lett.*, 424, L1.
- Fisher K.R. et al. 1995, *Ap. J. Suppl.*, 100, 69.
- Fairall A. 1997 *Large-scale structure in the Universe*, Wiley-Praxis.
- Faber S.M., Courteau S., Dekel A., Dressler A., Kollatt T., Willick J.A., Yahil A. 1994, *J. Roy. Astron. Soc. Can.*, 88 (2).
- Davis M., Peebles P.J.E. 1983, *Ap. J.*, 267, 465.