SPARSEPAK: A FORMATTED FIBER FIELD UNIT FOR THE WINN TELESCOPE BENCH SPECTROGRAPH.I.DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, AND CALIBRATION

M atthew A.Bershady

A stronom y Dept., U.W isconsin - Madison, 475 N.C harter St., Madison, W I 53706; mable astro.wisc.edu

David R. Andersen^{1;2}

Dept. of A stronom y & A strophysics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802

Justin Harker³

A stronom y Dept., U.W isconsin - M adison, 475 N.C harter St., M adison, W I 53706

Larry W .Ramsey

Dept. of A stronom y & A strophysics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802

MarcA.W.Verheijen^{4;5}

A stronom y Dept., U.W isconsin - M adison, 475 N.Charter St., M adison, W I 53706

To Appear in PASP, June 2004

ABSTRACT

W e describe the design and construction of a form atted ber eld-unit, SparsePak, and characterize its optical and astrom etric perform ance. This array is optim ized for spectroscopy of low-surface brightness, extended sources in the visible and near-infrared. SparsePak contains 82, 4.7⁰⁰ bers subtending an area of 72^{00} 71⁰ in the telescope focal plane, and feeds the W IY N Bench spectrograph. Together, these instruments are capable of achieving spectral resolutions of = 20;000 and an area{solid-angle product of 140 arcsec² m² per ber. Laboratory m easurem ents of SparseP ak lead to several im portant conclusions on the design of ber term ination and cable curvature to minimize focal ratio degradation. SparsePak itself has throughput > 80% redwards of 5200A and 90-92% in the red. Fed at f/6.3, the cable delivers an output 90% encircled energy at nearly f/52. This has in plications for perform ance gains if the W IYN Bench Spectrograph had a faster collin ator. Our approach to integral- eld spectroscopy yields an instrum ent which is simple and inexpensive to build, yet yields the highest area {solid-angle product per spectrum of any system in existence. An Appendix details the fabrication process in su cient detail for others to repeat. SparsePak was funded by the National Science Foundation and the University of W isconsin-M adison G raduate School, and is now publicly available on the W IYN Telescope through the National Optical Astronom ical Observatories.

Subject headings: galaxies: kinem atics and dynam ics instrum entation: spectrographs methods: laboratory

1. INTRODUCTION

Observational astronom y consists of obtaining subsets of a fundam ental data hyper-cube of the apparent distribution of photons in angle² on the sky w avelength polarization. Information-gathering systems (\intime strum ents") are designed to make science-driven trades on the range and sampling of each of these dimensions. Here we describe an instrum ent optim ized for the study of the stellar and ionized gas kinem atics in disks of nearby and distant galaxies. Such studies require bi-dim ensional spectroscopy at medium spectral resolution (5000 < R < =) of extended sources over a rel-20;000, where R atively narrow range of wavelength, e.g., 600 spectral channels, with no consideration of tim e-sam pling or polarization.

W hat is param ount for our application is the ability to gather su cient signal at low light levels and m edium spectral resolution. E tendue at constant spectral resolution and sam pling is the relevant gure of merit (etendue is the product of area, solid angle, and system throughput). To characterize the light-gathering aperture alone in what follows we refer to \grasp" (the area solid angle product).

Field-of-view and spatial resolution are also of merit, but of secondary importance. For our particular application, we require the number of spatial resolution elements be su cient to resolve galaxy disks out to 3-4 scale lengths at several points per scale-length { about 15 points across a diameter. The matching of overall scale is dictated, then, by the telescope and ber size needed to reach the required spectral resolution and etendue with an a ordable spectrograph. That is, the galaxies are to be chosen to t the instrument.

Bacon et al. (1995) and Ren & A llington-Sm ith (2002) discuss the trades between spatial and spectral dynam ic

⁴M cK inney Fellow , UW -M adison

¹CIC Scholar, UW -M adison.

²C urent A ddress: M ax P lanck Institut fur A stronom ie, K onigstuhl 17, 69117 H eidelberg, G erm any

³Current Address: UCO/Lick Observatory, Astronom y Department, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064

⁵Current Address: A strophysikalisches Institut Potsdam , An der Sternwarte 16, 14482, Potsdam , G erm any

range and sampling for a variety of spectroscopic instruments { all fundamentally limited by the two-dimensional sam pling geom etry of their detector focal planes. An evaluation of this discussion yields that integral-eld spectroscopy (FS) and Fabry-Perot (FP) imaging are the preferred m ethods for bi-dim ensional spectroscopy at low light levels. Each has its merits. Relative to imaging FP system s, IFS system s trade spatial resolution and coverage for spectral resolution and spectral coverage. For em issionline studies in need of high spatial resolution FP are optimal. For lower spatial resolution, particularly at high spectral resolution where the FP \bull's eye" is sm all, IFS is particularly competitive. (The \bull's eye" is the angular diam eter in which the central wavelength shifts by less than the spectral resolution.) For absorption line studies where m any spectral channels are needed, FS is superior. This condition becomes even more pronounced if spectral resolution and etendue are valued m ore highly than spatial resolution, as we do here.

W ithin the realm of \integral-eld" spectroscopy there is still a wide range of spatial and spectral sampling (see Ren & Allington-Sm ith 2002). Our interest is in berfed or in age-slicing system s which reform at the telescope focal plane (i.e., into a slit), optim izing for spectral sam pling while still retaining bi-dim ensional spatial coverage (cf. SAURON, Bacon et al. 2001a, whic is optim ized for spatial sam pling at the sacri ce of spectral sam pling). W hile im age slicers m inim ize entropy increases, bers relax the restriction on the spatial sam pling. In some situation, the entropy increase (i.e., inform ation loss) from

bers via focal-ratio degradation (FRD; see Angel et al. 1977; Barden, Ram sey & Truax, 1981; Clayton 1989; or Carrasco & Parry 1994) m ay be m ore than compensated by the increased exibility in how the bers sample the telescope focal plane and are m apped into the dispersive optical system.

For example, bers o er the advantage of form atted sampling, whereby bers intentionally do not sample an integral area, but instead som e structured, coherent, yet dispersed pattern. W hile this \patterning" has not been used in xed-bundle arrays, there are clear advantages to this approach. For an extended ob ject, all spatial elem ents do not carry equalscienti cweight, and there is a trade between coverage and sampling. Fibers allow these trades to be ne-tuned. In the speci c example of galaxy kinem atic studies, one may choose to allocate bers to sample both m a jor and m inor axes, or perhaps uniform ly cover a larger physical area with sparse sam pling such that low er surfacebrightness outer regions are still sampled m ore frequently than the inner, higher surface-brightness cores. The latter is the approach we have adopted here.

These considerations led us to our design of a form atted ber array for the W IYN Bench Spectrograph { the rst of its kind. It is a simple, inexpensive instrum ent with dram atic gains in inform ation gathering power for a broad range of scienti c program s which require bidim ensional, m edium -resolution spectroscopy at low surface-brightness levels. The SparsePak design is in an orthogonal direction to m ost 8m -class IFU instrum ents which strive to m axim ize spatial resolution at high cost and com plexity and at the loss of m edium spectral resolution for background

limited observations.

O ur strategy was to take advantage of an existing spectrograph capable of echelle resolutions with a very long slit (equivalent to about 12 arcm in) to optim ize the study of galaxy kinem atics at low surface brightness. The result of this m ating of ber cable to spectrograph yields a bi-dim ensional spectroscopic system capable of achieving spectral resolutions and grasp com parable to the best system s on any telescope. The speci c grasp of SparsePak { the grasp per spatial resolution elem ent { is the highest of any spectroscopic instrum ent (see F igure 1). It is this singular attribute that allow s SparsePak to be used e ectively at low surface-brightness and m edium spectral resolutions. This ber array was com pleted on M arch 10,2001, installed in M ay 2001, and successfully com m issioned over the follow ing m onth.

In a series of two papers we describe the design, construction, and perform ance of this array and its associated spectrograph. W e start in x2 with a basic description of SparsePak and the spectrograph it feeds, as well as a com parison of SparsePak to existing ber-arrays on W IY N and other telescopes. In x3 of this paper we present the design goals and constraints which led to the SparsePak form atted-array design. A synopsis of SparsePak's technical attributes are presented in x4. The construction of single-ber reference cables are also docum ented. This cable provides a benchm ark for evaluating the laboratorymeasured performance of SparsePak. Sections 5 and 6 contain the astrom etric and optical properties of the array, as measured in our lab. In x7 is a summary of our results. An appendix contains a technical description of the fabrication process in su cient detail for others to repeat the process.

In Paper II (Bershady et al. 2003) we establish the on-sky perform ance of the array and spectrograph in terms of throughput, spectral resolution and scatteredlight; we dem onstrate the ability to perform precision skysubtraction and spectrophotom etry; and we present exam ples of com m issioning science data which highlight the capabilities for which SparsePak was designed.

2. BASIC DESCRIPTION

SparsePak is a form atted, ber-optic eld unit which pipes light from the f/6.3 N asm yth imaging (\W IYN") port to the W IYN Bench Spectrograph (Barden et al. 1994). The plate-scale at this port is 9.374 arcsec/mm. The highly-polished SparsePak bers have no fore-optics nor anti-re ection coatings. The W IYN port has no corrector nor ADC. Hence there are only the three re ective telescope surfaces up-stream of the bers. The cable transm ittance was measured in the lab to be near 85% at 6500A.

At the W IY N port, each of SparsePak's 82 active bers has an active diameter of $4.687^{\circ\circ}$. SparsePak's grasp is

11,200 m² arcsec², or 137 m² arcsec² per ber⁶. The minimum berspacing (center-to-center) is 5.63 arcsec due to bu er and cladding. Figure 2 shows the astrometric form at of the array. A ctive bers are sparsely placed in a nearly 2 2 arcm in square array of 367 m echanical-packing bers. Seventy- ve active bers form a 72.60 71.3^{00}

⁶This assumes a telescope clear aperture with an e ective diam eter of 3.186 m based on a 3.499 m diam eterprim ary and a central obstruction diam eter of 1.446m, as listed on http://claret.kpno.noao.edu/wiyn/wiynfacts.htm l.

sparsely-packed grid o set into one corner of the array. This form s the \object" area of the array. Except for a core region, one out of every three bers in this grid is an active ber. The core region is densely packed with 17 bers and has a ll-factor of 64.5% within areas dened by 7 hexagonally packed, contiguous bers (equivalent to a a lling factor of 55% over an angular extent of 39^{0} 24^{0}). The over-all ll-factor of the object area is 25.4%. The nal seven active bers serve to sample the sky. They are well-spaced along two adjacent edges of the grid in an L-shaped pattern, between 61.9^{0} and 85.6^{0} from the edges of the grid and the sky bers. These \sky" bers are uniform ly distributed across the ber slit which feeds the spectrograph.

2.1. Comparison to DensePak and Other Bi-D im ensional Spectroscopic Instruments

SparseP ak com plem ents the existing W IY N/D enseP ak array (B arden et al. 1998). D enseP ak has 91 bers, each $2.81^{\circ\circ}$ in diam eter, arranged in a 7 13 rectangular (but hexagonally packed) array. D enseP ak bers are spaced at $3.75^{\circ\circ}$, center-to-center, such that the array has the approxim ate dim ensions of $27^{\circ\circ}$ 42° on the sky at the f/6.3 N asm yth port. W hile SparseP ak has coarser and sparser sam pling relative to D enseP ak, SparseP ak has a factor of 3 larger grasp and roughly 5 tim es the sam pled angular foot-print.

SparsePak's enhanced grasp makes it well suited for studies of extended sources at low surface-brightness, particularly because comparable spectral resolutions are achievable with SparsePak as would otherwise be obtained with DensePak. This is made possible by using spectrograph settings where the anamorphic demagnic cation is large (see x2.2 below and Paper III). It is just these con gurations that yield the medium spectral resolutions (5000-25,000) that we desire for galaxy kinematic studies. At these resolutions, sources with narrow emission-lines can even be studied in bright time in the red, with a minimum penalty payed for higher continuum background. How ever, the key gain of SparsePak over DensePak is the ability to stay background-limited at these medium spectral resolutions in reasonable exposure-times.

Figure 1 illustrates the grasp versus the spectral power for a representative sam ple of current, bi-dim ensional spectroscopic system s. The spectral power is the product of the spectral resolution and the number of spectral resolution elements sampled by the spectrograph. Spectrographic instrum ents on 8m -class telescopes include G em in i's G M O S (Allington-Sm ith et al. 2002), VLT'S VIMOS (Le Fevre et al. 2003) and GIRAFFE/ARGUS (Pasquini, L. et al. 2002). Spectrographic instrum ents on 4m -class telescopes include WHT's SAURON (Bacon et al. 2001a) and IN-TEGRAL (Arribas et al. 1998), CFHT'S OASIS (Bacon et al. 2001b), Calar Alto's PMAS (Kelz et al. 2003), and WIYN's DensePak and SparsePak. Interferom etric instrum ents include the Rutgers Fabry Perot Interferom eter (RFPI), used on the CTIO 1.5m and 4m telescopes (Schommeretal. 1993, Weineretal. 2001), and GHASP (Garrido et al. 2002), used on the OHP 1.93m telescope. Figure 1 shows the wide range in trade-o smade by instrum ents in term s of spatial and spectral inform ation collection, as discussed in x1.

SparsePak falls in a unique location in the parameter space of Figure 1, having both one of the larger total grasps and spectral power and the highest speci c grasp (grasp per spatial resolution element) of any instrum ent. This superior perform ance comes at a cost, namely in spatial resolution. In contrast, 4m -class instrum ents such as SAURON and OASIS are optim ized for higher angular resolution. This too comes at a cost. These instrum ents sacri ce being able to achieve higher spectral resolution for sky-limited observations. Even instrum ents on 8m class telescopes are unable to achieve the speci c grasp of SparsePak. This places SparsePak in a position to achieve the highest possible spectral resolutions for sky-limited observations.

2.2. The Bench Spectrograph

The Bench Spectrograph is a bench-mounted, ber-fed spectrograph situated in a climate controlled room two stories below the telescope observing oor. The spectrograph can be optimized for a wide range of gratings because of its adjustable camera-collimator and grating angles, and adjustable grating-camera distance.

The existing grating suite includes 6 low-order gratings with rulings between 316 and 1200 and blazed between 4 and 31 degrees, and an R2 echelle (316 l/mm blazed at 63.4). These are used, respectively at nominal camera-collimator angles of 30 and 11 degrees. Although this angle is adjustable, in practice this option has not been exercised. The echelle grating delivers between 2-5 times higher spectral resolution than the low-order gratings, while the latter deliver greater e ciency and increased spectralrange at the cost of resolution. Consequently the delivered product of spectral-resolution slit-width, R, and throughput have a wide range of values. This is quantile dimensional spectral manage of the spectral resolution for the state of the spectral resolution slit-width.

There are two features of note concerning the Bench grating suite, relevant to SparsePak's intended science m ission. First, there are large anamorphic factors for the echelle and low-order gratings blazed above 25, such as the 860 l/mm grating. W ith the echelle grating the anamorphic dem agni cation is signi cant such that with even the 500 m SparsePak bers the delivered instrum ental spectral resolution, R, is 10,000, with the FW HM sam pled by 3.5 pixels. This is equivalent to a velocity resolution of 12.7 km s¹ ().

Second, the echelle grating is used in single-orderm ode, i.e., there is no cross-dispersion. Orders are selected via rectangular narrow-band interference liters placed directly in front of the ber feed. These liters have e ciencies of 90% redwards of 600nm, 80% around 500nm, and dropping to 60% only as blue as 375nm. In the red these values are considerably higher than what is achieved with re ection gratings and hence for lim ited wavelength coverage in the red, the B ench has the potential to out-perform grating-cross-dispersed echelles.

>>>>

D espite this potential, the Bench Spectrograph total system throughput (atm osphere, telescopes, bers, spectrograph optics and CCD) is estimated to peak at 5% when

⁷ http://www.noao.edu/kpno/manuals/hydraman/hydrawiynmanual.html

using the multi-object ber feeds (Hydra Users Manual This value should apply to DensePak as well. Measurements show SparsePak's peak is 40% higher, or roughly 7% (see Paper II). A signi cant portion of this gain is from decreased vignetting in the ber \toes" due to our redesign, as discussed in x4.4. The mean throughput, averaged over all bers and wavelengths within the eld, is signi cantly lower, and closer to 4% with SparsePak and 2.5% with the HYDRA and DensePak cables (see Paper II). The lower mean values are due to the strong spatial and spectral vignetting, which are severe for lack of proper pupilplacem ent. W hen using the echelle grating, the large (1m) distance between camera and grating required to avoid vignetting the on-axis collim ated beam incident on the grating), the o -axis vignetting is particularly large. In this mode, both spatial and spectral vignetting functions contribute about a factor of 2 at the edge of the eld such that the slit ends at the end of the spectral range is down

by typically factors of 4 from the peak. We have taken this limitation into account when mapping bers from the telescope focal plane onto the slit (x32.6).

It's worth noting why the spectrograph has such severe vignetting. In addition to the lack of pupil re-in aging, the spectrograph was designed for a f/6.7 input beam and a 152mm collimated beam over a modest eld. As we will show, the output from the bers fed by the telescope at f/6.3 are beams with focal ratios between 4.3 and 5.9 at 90% encircled energy (EE). This results in collimated beam s of 170 to 234 mm at 90% EE. While the optics are nearly su cient for the on-axis ber⁸, the slit subtends a 4.2 degree eld on the parabolic collimator; the fast output beam s lead to beam for the on-axis.

bers, com pounded by the lack of a properly placed pupil. Because the ber-feed is in the beam, even the on-axis bers su er som e (9%) vignetting. A swe show in Paper

I, these geom etric considerations allow us to accurately model the observed system vignetting. While the problem is currently severe, our clear understanding of the problem gives good reason to believe that signi cant in provem ents in the spectrograph optical system can be made in the near future.

2.3. E cacy

G iven the Bench Spectrograph's low throughput, would a higher-throughput, long-slit system be more competitive than SparsePak? The long-slit, for example, would be stepped across a source in repeated exposures. There are three prim ary reasons why SparsePak will far outperform a long-slit spectrograph:

The equivalent num ber of long-slits is 15, requiring a throughput of the combined spectrograph, telescope and atm osphere of 105%! This is a factor of 3 to 5 times higher than what can be accom plished with the best, contemporary system s. W hile the improved lling-factor of stepped, long-slit observations is equivalent to 3 SparsePak pointings (see x5), truly integral- eld spectroscopy is not needed for all applications. Hence only in the most extrem e scenario (integral- eld spectroscopy is needed and a long-slit system has 35% e ciency), will long-slit observations break even in terms of e ciency.

The long-slit observations would not be simultaneous and hence conditions may vary, leading to uncertainties in creating spectrophotom etric maps.

The astrometric registration of stepped, long-slit observations would be less certain.

Finally, as a general statement of cost-e ectiveness, it should be cheaper to build or upgrade a wide-eld, high-resolution spectrograph that is bench-mounted and berfed rather than a direct in aging system attached to a tele-scope port.

3. DESIGN

3.1. Science D rivers

Our aim is to provide a survey engine capable of measuring nearby spiral-galaxy kinem atics over most of the optical disk for the purpose of determ ining their dynam – ics and their lum inous (stellar) and dark content. Since the distribution of mass can only be directly measured by dynam ical means, spatially-resolved galaxy kinem atics provide direct constraints on the origin and evolution of disk galaxies.

In order to study the large-scale dynamics of the optical disks of galaxies, the disks must be spatially wellsampled with spectroscopic measurements out to several scale lengths, R_s . These measurements must be at sucient spectral resolution and signal-to-noise (S/N) to determ ine both precise rotation, non-axisymmetric bulk motions, as well as velocity dispersions in both gas and stars. In addition to spatial and spectral sampling and coverage, further technical requirements include minimizing systematic errors due to cross-talk and sky-subtraction. We discuss these science-driven technical requirements in turn.

3.1.1. Spatial Resolution and Sam pling

Consider rst the spatial resolution and sampling requirements. Typical disks have exponential scale-lengths of 2-5 kpc in size. To be well sampled, there should be 2-3 measurements per disk scale-length. In the inner regions, where the rotation curve rises and changes shape rapidly, the sampling should be ner by additional factors of 2-3. In the outer regions, where the disk is fainter, it is important to have more solid angle sampled such that the limitations of decreased surface-brightness can be overcome by co-adding signal, e.g., within annular bins. A generic, scale-free requirement, therefore, is for enhanced resolution at sm all radii, and enhanced coverage (solid-angle) at large radii. The latter is naturally achieved by a twodim ensional sampling patterm.

The absolute spatial scale is set by disk-galaxy structure, which, if not fully understood from a theoretical perspective, is at least observationally well de ned. Two to three disk scale-lengths represents a threshold for the m ass distribution within spiral galaxies in terms of transitions between di erent components of the overallm ass distribution. At these distances rotation curves are expected to be at, or at least have transitioned from the steep, inner rotation-curve rise, to a more shallow rise or fall. Hence, with rotation curves extending out to these radii, one may suitably estimate a term inal rotation velocity and total dynam ical mass. Since the disk is expected to contribute maximally to the overall enclosed mass budget near 2.2 R_s (Sackett 1997), dynam ical disk-mass estimates need to probe out to at least these distances.

For a nite number of bers of a xed physical size on a given telescope, the above requirements imply a sampling area, resolution and pattern that is highly speci c for galaxies of a particular angular size. The only way to substantially increase the dynam ic range in this case is to modulate the input plate scale via fore-optics (which may include lenslets). Such optics introduce additional light-losses both from re ections and, in the case of lenslets, from m is-alignment or non-telecentricity (R en & A llington-Sm ith 2002). Plate-scale m odulation is limited by the numerical aperture of the bers (roughly f/1.3 to f/2 at the coarse lim it), and by the need to feed the bers at su ciently fast f-ratio to avoid introducing signi cant FRD (roughly f/4 to f/6 at the ne lim it). At ne plate scales the grasp is decreased, and consequently so too is the achievable depth. A Itematively, for a given spectrograph, one may choose the largest possible bers that maxim ize the grasp in the absence of fore-optics, while yielding the required spectral resolution. Targets can then be chosen to suit the above sam pling criteria. Since galaxies are found in a wide range of apparent sizes, we chose this latter path.

For a xed-scale integral eld unit (IFU), it is still possible to ne-tune the spatial sam pling geometry to allow for some dynamic range in spatial scale. Indeed, there is recourse in carefully designing a sam pling pattern to be coupled with speci c observational techniques (i.e., dithering patterns). Herein lies a critical advantage of bers for form atted patterns, orw hat we call \form atted eld units" (FFU). In other words, since bers are convenient lightpipes, it is not necessary to sam ple truly-integral regions of the sky, but instead one can consider optim al geometries to accom plish a speci c science goal.

Our original pattern consisted of four, rotated long-slits (at position angles of 0, 30, and 90) and an integral, inner region (Bershady 1997, Bershady et al. 1998, Andersen & Bershady 1999). However, these designs required rotation to 11 in interstitial regions, and did not provide m ore sampling of solid angle at larger radii. Ultim ately the FFU concept led us to develop a pattern with wide areal coverage with sparse sampling in a rectangular grid, combined with a densely sampled core, as per the above desiderata. The pattern described in x2 allows for sim ple dithering to either 11 the sparsely sampled grid or to critically sub-sample the core, as discussed in x5. The rectangular grid is also convenient for tiling of very large sources. Further, a rectilinear sampling provides a variety of radial sam plings when centered on an axisym m etric source. The nalpattern is well suited for study of normal, lum inous spiral galaxies with recession velocities of (roughly) 2,000–10,000 km s 1 .

3.1.2. Spectral Resolution

The second consideration is the spectral resolution required to measure disk kinematics. W hile disks have typical rotation velocities of order 100 of km $\,\rm s^{\,1}$, the non-

axisym m etric m otions are of order 10 km s¹, as too are the velocity dispersions in both gas and stars. In particular, the vertical component of the stellar velocity ellipsoid, $_z$, is expected to be of order 10 km s¹ for the outer parts of disks, based on what we know of disk stars in the Solar N eighborhood, and from long-slit m easurem ents of a handful of nearby galaxies (Bottem a 1997). In order to optim ize the m easurem ent of $_z$ in galaxies of known notation velocity, nearly face-on galaxies m ust be targeted. This optim izes the projection of $_z$, but m inim izes the projection of the rotation velocity. This is a reasonable trade since the rotation velocity is typically an order of m agnitude larger than the velocity dispersion.

W hile it is possible to centroid a high S/N line to better than 10 times the instrumental resolution, the same precision cannot be achieved (at a given S/N) for the higherorder moments of line-width () skew, and kurtosis (the latter two are equivalent to the G auss-Hermite-polynomial h_3 and h_4 terms). Intuitively, one may understand that higher-order prole information requires better resolution or better S/N. Consequently, the desired precision of the velocity dispersion measurements provides the driver for the required spectral resolution.

To reliably measure velocity dispersions of 10 km s¹ we estim ate that instrum ental resolutions of 10,000 are necessary. This statement is quali ed by the obtainable S/N.A full treatment of the trade-o sofpro le-moment precision versus S/N is beyond the scope of this work. However, we nd that S/N of 15 to 20 in a spectral line yields line-width measurements at a precision of 10% for widths at the instrum ental resolution. Given the trade-o s between grasp and spectral resolution (larger bers collect m ore light but yield low er spectral resolution) we estim ate that absorption-line S/N of greater than 20-30 is unlikely to be obtained in the outer parts of disks for any reasonable exposure times on 4m -class telescopes. Consequently it is not possible to push too far below the instrumental resolution for any reasonable precision. Hence for stellar velocity dispersions studies in dynam ically cold disks, adequate spectral resolution is at a comparable premium to S/N and spatial resolution.

3.2. Practical Constraints

The design of SparsePak is constrained by mating to an existing telescope feed and spectrograph. For practical purposes we accepted the envelopes im posed by this existing hardware. Our adopted fabrication process also im posed certain practicalities. W emention here those constraints which are relevant to placing lim its on our science goals.

32.1. Fiber Size

Based on our experience with bers, we nd that 500 m is a maximum practical thickness of the active diameter in order that the ber sti ness does not cause frequent breakage in handing. Fortuitously, this corresponds to the maximum size we would want to consider based on our interest to achieve spectral resolutions of order 10,000 using the echelle grating with the Bench Spectrograph.

Because SparsePak is built for an existing spectrograph, spectral coverage is not a design issue per se. However, we did consider whether the Bench Spectrograph's spectral coverage was suitable for our science goals. G iven the large suite of gratings, a wide variety of spectral coverage is available. For kinem atic studies, how ever, we are interested in the higher-dispersion gratings. W hat is relevant, really, is the number of independent resolution elements, Ν , which, depending on the setup (i.e., the degree of dem agni cation) is between 600 and 800. In general for spectral resolutions, R, the spectrograph will cover a spectral range of N =R.ForR = 10,000, the covered range is several hundred Angstrom s in the optical. This is sufcient to cover the Mg I region from [0 III] 5007A past the M g Ib triplet and [N I] 5200 stellar absorption lines; or the H region from [N II] 6548 to [S II] 6731; or all three lines of the Ca II near-infrared triplet at 8498, 8542, and 8662 A.

G reater spectral coverage is generally advantageous for cross-correlation work in weak-line regions, such as the M g I region near 5130A, since the desired power in the cross-correlation com es from m any weak (e.g., Fe I) lines spanning a wide range of wavelength. For a nite detector focal-plane, increased spectral coverage com es at the cost of decreased spectral resolution or spatial coverage. The optimum trade is highly dependent on the scienti c goals, but it is unlikely that the current system is far o for studies of stellar kinem atics in galaxies.

323. Cross-Talk

Integral- eld spectroscopy is likely to have cross-talk between individual spatial channels in the telescope focal plane due to the blurring e ects of the atm ospheric pointspread-function. W hile there is no indication of ber-to-

ber cross-talk for the types of bers we have used (i.e., photons do not leak out of the ber cladding and penetrate the cladding of a neighboring ber), for ber-based integral- eld units, there is an added consideration.

Because of the azimuthal scrambling in bers, and the requisite remapping of the two-dimensional telescope focal-plane into the one-dimensional spectrograph slit, nonadjacent bers in the telescope focal-plane will be adjacent in the slit. This can lead to spatially incoherent, but system atic cross-talk. To minimize system atic e ects it is therefore desirable to adequately separate bers along the slit. The speci c ber separation depends on the optical quality of the spectrograph optics (both aberrations and scattering), as well as the scienti c need to control the level of system atics.

For SparsePak, given the large ber bu ers (0.9 arcsec edge-to-edge for the most closely packed bers) and excellent W IYN in age quality (a median seeing of 0.8 arcsec FW HM), there is very little coupling between bers in the telescope focal plane. Hence the only signi cant crosstalk would take place at the spectrograph slit. Because of the di culty in assessing the e ects of system atic errors due to cross talk, we have chosen conservative lim its. O ur adopted science requirem ent is to lim it cross-talk to < 1% for discrete spectral features from adjacent ber channels. This lim its system atic e ects to 10% for adjacent bers with factors of 10 di erence in signal ux. Such variations in signal are likely worse-case given the berm apping and the astrophysical variations of, e.g., H emission within galaxy disks. Incoherent cross-talk (i.e., scattering into and out of the source spectrum) limits are <10%, with a goal of <1%. This component mainly a ects the delivered S/N in an rm s. sense. Because incoherent scattering takes place over larger physical scales, it is dom inated by the spectrograph optics. Fiber separation (x3 2.4) is designed, then, to meet the requirement for coherent scattering even when on-chip binning by factor of 2 in the spatial dimension. (On-chip binning is important for low light-level applications, and provides signic cant gains given the large projected ber diameter onto the CCD { roughly 4 unbinned pixels in the spatial dimension.)

32.4. TotalFiberNumber

At the spectrograph input focal plane, the maximum slit-length currently used by any of the ber feeds³ is 76.4mm. There is also a minimum ber-to-ber separation at the spectrograph feed to prevent signi cant cross-talk between bers. This is a function of the scattering properties and in age quality of the spectrograph. To meet the design requirements of x3.2.3, we estimated 400 m was the minimum acceptable edge-to-edge distance between the active regions of bers at the spectrograph slit. This estimation wasbased on the performance of 3 existing ber feeds for the W IY N Bench Spectrograph. A detailed measurement of the SparsePak cross-talk is presented in x5 of P aper II.

The above combination of maximum slit-length, minimum ber separation at the slit, and maximum ber size constrains the total number of bers and hence the overall maximum grasp of the system. A maximum of 82 bers with 500 m diameter cores was chosen. These bers map into a 73.6m m slit. An additional 2-3 bers could be added to bring the slit-length up to the nom inal 76.4m m value of the other Bench cables. However, due to the strong vignetting within the spectrograph, the addition of extra home a could little gain

berso ered little gain.

3.2.5. Array Size

At the telescope focal-plane we are limited by the existing telescope mounting hardware. The entire ber array assembly (array plus its mount) must twithin a cylindricalm ount with a one-inch (25.4mm) outer diameter. The array had to be rectangular in cross-section given the way in which it was glued (as described in x4.3.2). This yields a maximum array dimension (cross-section) not to exceed 12mm, which corresponds to a maximum eld of view of

112 arcsec (diam eter), with diagonals up to 160 arcsec.

This limiting eld-of-view of the FFU is comparable to the size of nearby norm al, lum inous spiral galaxies. To m axim ize the distance between the object grid and the sky

bers, the object grid is placed in one corner of the ber array, and the sky bers are then placed in an L-shaped pattern around the two far sides (see Figure 2).

3.2.6. M in im izing the E ects of V ignetting

The mapping of bers between telescope and spectrograph input focal planes is complicated by several redesigns of the active ber lay-out during construction.

⁹These include the red and blue Hydra MOS cables, DensePak, and now SparsePak.

However, one of the goals was to put some of the bers in the center of the source grid near the outside of the spectrograph slit, and vice-versa, the reason being that since astronom ical sources are generally centrally concentrated, this would balance the strong vignetting in the spectrograph. Ideally, we would have adopted a more ordered mapping (e.g., Garcia et al. 1994), but the large ber diam eter and ber-to- ber separation makes the details of the mapping largely unim portant.

3.3. Sky Subtraction

Random and system atic errors in sky-subtraction have plagued ber-fied spectroscopic measurements. Here we motivate our ber allocation calculated to minimize random errors, and discuss how carefulplacement and treatment of sky bers in the spectrograph and telescope focal planes help limit systematic errors.

3.3.1. Optimum Number of Sky Fibers

Wyse & G im ore (1992) calculate the optim um allocation of bers to source and sky for the particular case of random errors where source ux and sky ux are equal. Here we consider a sim ilar calculation but for the two extrem e cases of background-lim ited and detector-lim ited observations. These are more relevant for observations at low surface-brightness and high spectral resolution.¹⁰

The adopted merit function assumes one is trying to achieve a speci ed S/N for a given number of sources (N_{source}) in the least amount of total observing time (t_{total}). (Here, S/N can be de ned as any linear function of the S/N per recorded detector element.) Observation of these N source sources constitute a \survey." In otherwords, one would like to maxim ize the merit function $f_{m erit} = N_{source} = t_{total}$. Further, we assume that a spectrograph is fed by a nite number of bers, $r_{\rm f}$, that can be used for any given observation; that some number (n_s) of these bers will be used for sky; that a survey m ay consist of more than one observing set (e.g., $N_{total} > n_f$ n_s); and that sky can be subtracted perfectly { in a statistical sense, i.e., sky contributes to shot-noise, but not to system atic error.

In the background-and detector-lim ited regimes,

$$S=N / \frac{s}{1+\frac{t}{1+1=n_s}} = \frac{t}{t} \text{ background lim ited}$$

$$: \frac{p}{1+1=n_s} = \frac{t}{t} \text{ detector lim ited}$$
(1)

where t is the observing time for a given source, which can be expressed as

$$t = \frac{t_{total}}{N_{source} = (n_f - n_s)};$$
 (2)

These equations can be combined to solve for the survey merit function:

$$f_{m erit} = \frac{N_{source}}{t_{total}} / \frac{n_{f} n_{s}}{p_{1+1=n_{s}}^{n_{f} n_{s}}} \text{ background limited}$$

$$p_{1+1=n_{s}}^{n_{f} n_{s}} \text{ detector limited}$$
(3)

M axim izing the m erit function with respect to $n_{\rm s}$ (at ~xed S=N and $n_{\rm f}$) yields quadratic relations with these exact solutions:

$$n_{s} = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 + p \\ q \\ \frac{3}{4}(1 + 1 + \frac{8}{9}n_{f}) & \text{background lim ited} \\ \frac{3}{4}(1 + 1 + \frac{8}{9}n_{f}) & \text{detector lim ited} \\ \end{cases}$$
(4)

which are plotted in Figure 3.

Equation (4) is a general result for background- and detector-limited surveys, which are essentially identical. This result is independent of spectral resolution, and independent of whether source bers target many, individual targets, or are bundled into a single IFU targeting one, extended source. Exam ination of Figure 3 indicates that SparsePak, with 82 total bers, should have of order 8 sky bers. For reasons of symmetry in the object grid, we chose to allocate 7. In contrast, DensePak has 4 allocated sky bers, whereas the optimum number is closer to 9. So while it may appear that DensePak is more e cient by allocating fewer bers to sky, from a survey perspective SparsePak is closer to the ideal. However, the merit function is not strongly dependent on the number of sky bers. The value of the merit function for DensePak, for

example, is only 6% lower than its optimum value.

3.3.2. Slit-M apping

Our argument in the previous section does not take into account instrumental issues which a ect the nal data quality and ability to extract signal accurately. W hile scattered light plays an important role in the ability to accurately subtract background continuum, the primary contribution to system atic errors in the subtraction of spectrally unresolved sky lines are the eld-dependent optical aberrations present in spectrographs (Barden et al. 1993b). The W IYN Bench Spectrograph, for instance, uses a parabolic collimator with eld angles ranging from 0 to 2.1 . Evidence for eld-dependent e ects are shown by Barden et al. (1993a) for the Mayall 4m RC spectrograph, and also are evident in the 2dF system as reported by W atson et al. (1998): W ith sky bers concentrated in one area of of the slit, sky residuals increase for bers farther away along the slit.

One technique to deal with the issue of aberrations is known as \nod-and-shu e" (Glazebrook & Bland-Hawthom, 2001), whereby the telescope is nodded between source (s) and sky at the same time that the charge is shu ed accordingly on the detector. W hile initially presented in the context of multi-slit spectroscopy, nod-andshu e can be applied to multi- ber spectroscopy as well. This technique has the advantage of putting both sky and source ux down the same optical path, while sam pling both over the same period of time. The more traditional \beam -switching" technique (e.g., Barden et al. 1993b), for example, su ers from the inability to sample source and sky at the same time. However, both techniques su er from allocating 50% of the observing time to sky. This is equivalent to allocating half of the total bers to sky. W hile nod-and-shu e undoubtedly achieves the sm allest

¹⁰N ot considered here is an additional source of error, relevant to high-signal regimes, from imperfect pixel-by-pixel correction of the CCD response, i.e., eld-attening.

level of system atic error, the penalty in term s of the above survey merit function (random error) may be too high. An alternative approach may be to try and map the optical aberrations within the spectrograph system (e.g., V iton & M illiard, 2003).

For our purposes, in plementing nod-and-shu e is beyond the scope of the current e ort. We have chosen instead to carefully place our 7 sky bers such that they sample at nearly uniform intervals along the slit. With the reasonable assumption that the optical aberrations are sym m etric about the optical axis, we expect to be able to model the aberrations empirically via these small num ber of sky bers. The success of this method, and its dependence on any di erential FRD within the bers, is dem onstrated in Paper II. At present what is relevant for the instrum ent design is the concept of mapping the sky bers in the focal plane across the slit.

3.3.3. Sky-Fiber Placement Within the Fiber Array

Our experience with DensePak (Andersen 2001) indicates DensePak sky bers behaved di erently then those sam pling the source. In this FFU, the \source" bers are glued together coherently into a rectangular array, while the sky bers are separately mounted in hypoderm ic needles, and o set from the array. The di erences we found were such that the continuum levels measured in the sky

berswere system atically above or below the continuum in the \source" bers after eld- attening, even when the array was pointed at blank sky. W hile we never determ ined the exact cause for this system atic behavior, it seem ed reasonable to suppose that di erences in ber term ination m ay have played a role. For this reason, we designed SparsePak to include the sky bers within the same coherent ber bundle as the source bers.

3.4. Summary of Design Considerations

The nalSparsePak design was dictated by a con uence of, and com prom ise between scienti c ob jectives, technical perform ance goals, and mechanical and fabrication constraints. W ithin the con nes of the existing spectrograph and telescopes feed, the spatial and spectral sam pling are the key drivers which determined the ber size and layout of the SparsePak form atted eld unit. Cross-talk was a secondary condition which provides some lim its on the ber packing and hence total number of bers. Sky subtraction dictated som e additional netuning of the ber allocation and placem ent. The above discussion provides generic requirem ents to yield adequate observational data for a wide range of dynam ical studies in the context of the practical constraints of the W IYN telescope feed and existing Bench Spectrograph.

4. TECHNICAL SYNOPSIS

Summarized here are the technical attributes of the SparsePak cable detailed in the Appendix deem ed directly relevant for its perform ance.

4.1. Head Construction: Bu ering

The ber head has short, \packing" bers surrounding the long, active bers, cut from the same Polym icro batch. These serve as mechanical elements, and provide an edge bu er with a minimum thickness of one ber. The bu er

is intended to minimize stress on the active bers, and maxim ize their condition uniform ity. The success of this bu er arrangem ent is evaluated in x6.

4.2. Cable Design

The cable consists of an outer sheath of heavy-gauge exible stainless-steel conduit and an inner PVC tube jointed every 6 feet to provide natural spacing within the larger stainless conduit. Within the PVC cable run 82 black Te on tubes (each containing 1 ber). The stain lesssteel ex-conduit serves to protect against ber crushing and over-bending. The PVC and Te on provide safe, smooth inner surfaces for the Teon and ber, respectively. We believe this design is successful in minimizing stressinduced FRD along the cable length, although the addition of therm albreaks in the Te on would be advantageous in future designs (Fabricant et al. 1998).

4.3. Cable Term ination and Interfaces

The cable is term inated with mounts whose design are dictated to a large extent by existing mounting hardware in the telescope and spectrograph focal surfaces. Three signi cant modi cations were made within these constraints. (1) To ensure and maintain telecentricity of

bers in the telescope focal plane the head-m ount dim ensions were precisely machined, and a support brace attaching to W IFOE was made. (2) An anti-rotation collar is placed roughly 250 mm back from the end of the

ber head to prevent the bare bers from twisting. (3) The mount to the spectrograph has a modi ed slit-block, and the exit aperture of the lter-holding \toes" has been enlarged considerably. The latter allows up to an f/4 unvignetted beam to exit the bers into the spectrograph. Measurements presented in Paper II show that this enlargement may increase the throughput by 20%.

One last feature of the existing mounting hardware to note here is the ber foot (where the cable term inates for mounting on the spectrograph). As we evaluate in x6, this curvature is too sharp, and is the principal cause of FRD in the system .

4.4. Reference Cables

To determ ine the e ects of the cable m anufacturing process specic to the FFUs on ber throughput and FRD, and to provide a stable reference for future testing, we produced several, single-ber \reference" cables. Two of these cables were made from the 500 m ber { a \short" cable, 1.5m length, and a \long" cable, 24.5m in length. The last meter of each cable is covered with the identicalblack, opaque Te on used in the FFU cables. The remainder of the ber is uniform by coiled on the initial foam packing-spools on which the ber came. The ber ends are all term inated inside a m icro-tubes of appropriate diam eter, and glued with a single drop of Norland 68 UV curing epoxy. These tubes are them selves glued into machined, brass femules suitable form ounting on an opticalbench with standard hardware, or into our circular-lap polisher. The ber polishing process is identical to that used for the FFU cables on this polisher. As expected, no hand-polishing was necessary.

These reference cables represent idealized application of astronomical ber light-conduits in that they have excellent polish, the glue type is superior, the glued surface-area

is m inim al, and there is otherwise little stress (or change in stress) on the optical bers.

5. ASTROMETRIC SPECIFICATIONS

Final SparsePak head dim ensions were measured in our lab independently by two, skilled technicians, each using two di erent microm eter engines. The nal SparsePak array of 23 20 bers is very close to 12.05mm square at the front face. This maps to 113.00 at W IYN TAS port assuming the nominal plate scale of 9.374 arcsec/mm. The precise dimensions are 12:09 0:05mm in width, and 12:01 0:03mm in height, as detailed in Figure 2.¹¹ The array is square to within 0:6 0:3%. The array dimensions in ply average ber-to-ber separation at the face of 525.6 and 600.5 m, or 4.927¹⁰ and 5.629¹⁰, respectively, in width and height. The array dimensions also imply an average glue thickness of 0.5 m where the ber bu ers abut. M easurem ents of the array dim ensions along the 50.8mm length of the glued volume indicates aring of 0:13 0:01 in width and +0:10 0:07 in height, where the sign of the

aring indicates whether the aring is toward (+) or away () from the centralaxis of the berhead. The amplitude of this bundle aring is well under our tolerance lim it in term sof the FRD error budget: D i erentiale ects, centerto-edge, are well under a 0.1.

A strom etry based on direct in aging of the ber face (e.g., Figure 11) indicates that the ber-to-ber spacing is uniform within our measurement errors (1% of ber width, or $< 0.05^{(0)}$). A table of astrom etric positions of the

bers relative to the central ber (# 52), useful for creating m aps of extended sources, is available at the SparsePak web site.¹² Two common observing o set-patterns are also provided there. The \Array ll" pattern of three positions provides com plete sam pling at every ber position (e.g., every $5.6^{(0)}$) within the nom inally sparsely sam pled 72^{00} 71^{00} grid. This pattern is useful, for example, for creating velocity elds of spiral disks (e.g., Andersen & Bershady 2003, Courteau et al. 2003, Swaters et al. 2003, Verheihen et al. 2004). The \A rray sub-sam ple" provides critical sam pling, i.e., at every half- ber position in both dimensions (roughly 2.8^{00} spacing) within the denselysam pled core. This pattern is useful to obtain the highest spatial resolutions within the inner 39^{00} 25^{00} region of an extended object. By combining these two patterns (9 positions total), critical sampling is achieved over the full 72^{00} 71^{00} grid.

6. OPTICAL PERFORMANCE

Prior to shipping and installing the SparsePak cable onto W IYN, we characterized the completed cable on an optical test-bench in our lab. The test-bench system was designed to measure absolute throughput and FRD at a number of optical wavelengths for which we had available lters.

6.1. Optical Test-Bench

The test-bench setup, illustrated schem atically in Figure 4, consists of a double re-im aging system using commercially available, 2-element, 50mm achromats. The concept is based on earlier systems developed by Barden & Ram sey, as reported by Ram sey (1988): A di erential ux and ux-pro le comparator is made from two optical reim aging systems with an intermediate focus that can be switched between (1) a \straight-through" mode where the

rst re-imaging system directly feeds the second, and (2) a $\$ ber" mode where the rst re-imaging system feeds a ber which then feeds the second re-imaging system. M odes (1) and (2) di er only by the presence of the optical ber inserted at the interm ediate focus, which form s an optical diversion adding zero net length to the imaging portion of the system. The m odes are selected by the sim ple translation of a precision stage which holds the entire

rst re-in aging system and the output end of the ber. The rst re-im ager serves to place an image of a uniform ly illum inated pin-hole at an interm ediate focus with a beam of known and modulatable f-ratio (the \input fratio"). As noted above, this focus can be transferred either directly to the second re-im aging system (beam mode"), or into a ber (\ bermode"). In bermode, the ber fæds the second re-imaging system. In both cases, the second re-imaging system transfers the interm ediate focus to the surface of a CompuScope CCD.¹³ This detector has a 768 512 form at of 9 m square pixels. The second re-imaging system has a known and modulatable \output f-ratio."

For both re-in aging systems, the f-ratio modulation is accomplished via a graded iris placed in their respective collim ated beams. Ideally the iris would be placed at the pupil form ed by the collim ator lens, but space lim itations on our optical bench prevented us from doing this. Given the small eld used in the system, i.e., the image is a pinhole, the vignetting produced by our setup is negligible. Because the cam era lenses are over-sized given the e ective beam stops of the irises, it is unimportant for the cam era optics to be at the collim ator pupil. Pellicles were inserted into the collim ated beam s of both re-im agers for initial optical alignment (by visual inspection via a telescope and by tracing via a laser feed). One pellicle was used during the measurem ent stage in the rst re-im aging system for alignment of the intermediate focus onto the ber.

P in-holeswere illum inated by a lamp via a coherent ber bundle illum inating a ba ed di user, and then a lter, in that order. W e found this speci c setup and careful baf-

ing of the pin-hole illum ination was essential to m in in ize scattered light. Due to their small size, Iters were placed between the ba ed di user and the pinhole. Neutral density (ND) Iters were also required since high lam p intensities were needed for source-stability, optical alignment, and to place the pin-hole im age on the ber face. Placement of the NDs in front of the CCD considerably eased the m easurement process.

The size of the pin-hole is dictated by the magni cation

¹¹ The width dimension is dened as the 23 rows in direction orthogonal to major axis of central, densely packed bers. Mechanically, the width is in the direction of the cut in the head-mount that form s the clamp. The height dimension is dened as the 20 rows in direction parallel to major axis of central, densely packed bers. Mechanically, the width is in the direction orthogonal to the cut in the head-mount that form s the clamp.

¹²www.astro.wisc.edu/mab/research/sparsepak

 $^{^{13}\}mathrm{P}$ recision Instrum entation & Software, Santa Barbara, CA .

of the rst re-im aging system and the desire to underor over-11 the ber face. For the test-bench measurements reported here, we used lenses with 250, 200, 150, and 100mm focal lengths at L1 through L4 respectively. We chose a 400 pin-hole for SparsePak such that the reim aged size at the interm ediate focus was 320 m. A s such, this permitted us to illum inate a large fraction of the ber face while being sure that all of the incident ux went into the ber. We also tried a smaller, 10 pin-hole to verify (a) that all of the light was being fed into the ber, and (b) that FRD measurements did not depend on the speci c input m odes lled at constant f-ratio. For example, with the smaller pinhole we were able to align the spot on the middle and edge of the incident ber face. The results of these tests with the smaller pinhole were positive, and so we focus below on results using the 400 pin-hole.

The lters available at the time of SparsePak testing consisted of a \standard" UBVRI set. Narrower bandwidths are desirable, particularly in the blue where ber and CCD response change rapidly with wavelength.

6.1.1. Comparison to other FRD Measuring Engines

The di erence between our experim entaldesign and earlier ones (e.g., Ram sey 1988) is in the details of the optical arrangement, opto-mechanics of the alignment process, and the use here of an areal detector instead of an aperture photom eter. The use of a CCD reduces sensitivity to defocus, permits a better understanding of optical alignment and focus, and yields more accurate and precise estimations of the total transmitted light (via the ability to perform multi-aperture photometry and determ ine background levels).

However, we have not taken full advantage of the areal detector, namely to image the far-ekd output pattern of the direct and ber-fed beams. This would directly allow us to measure the e ects of FRD on the beam pro le in one step, i.e., we wouldn't need measurements at multiple f-stops, as described in the next section. Carrasco & Parry (1994) have implemented such a scheme, e ectively by placing the CCD camera directly behind what would be our rst focus. The disadvantage of their scheme is that a precisely repeatable back focal-distance must be ensured since they are imaging an expanding beam.

A viable alternative for future consideration is to place the CCD at the pupil of what is our second collim ated beam. In practice this requires the necessary optics to m ake a sm all enough collim ated beam to m atch the available CCD, and possibly the addition of a ekd-kens near the rst focus (our Focus 1) to place the pupil at a backdistance convenient for CCD m ounting.

Such a system as we have just described m ay be com petitive w ith, and certainly com plem entary to the \collim ated beam " approach described by Carrasco & Parry (1994). The latter uses a laser to directly probe the FRD at a given input incidence angle, and then relies on a model to synthesize the full e ects of FRD on a astronom ical beam pro le (i.e., a lled cone w ith obstructions). The approach described here is model-independent, provides a m eans also for m easuring total throughput, and m ay provide a sim pler and m ore cost-e ective way to m easure the w avelength dependence of throughput and FRD.

6.2. Laboratory M easurem ents

For each liter and ber, the idealized m easurem ent process consisted of (i) establishing the optical alignm ent of the system at a given input f-ratio; (ii) obtaining a measurem ent of the beam -m ode ux at an output f-ratio of f/3 (\open"); (iii) transferring the setup to berm ode and carefully aligning the pin-hole in age with the ber center; (iv) obtaining a series of ber-m ode ux m easurem ents while varying the output f-ratio from f/3 to f/12 (typically 5-10 exposures at a given f-ratio); (v) re-acquiring beam - m ode and taking an identical series of ux m easurem ents. P ost-acquisition in age-processing was done via IRAF, the goal of which was simply to m easure a total ux from the pin-hole or ber-output in age incident on the CCD.

Considerable care was taken with mounting SparsePak and the reference cable to ensure that the bers were aligned to the optical axis within 0.2. As with telescope alignment, even small o -axis angles induce appreciable FRD. In the case of SparsePak, the mounting hardware was considerable given the bulk and sti ness of the cable and the need to actuate the slit between ber and beam modes.

Due to the short time period between completing SparsePak's manufacture, the nalalignment of the testbench, and the shipping date, characterization of the SparsePak bers were done within the short period of 6 days between April 19 and April 24, 2001. Some of these m easurem ents are known to have been problem atic in terms of optical alignment. We were careful to note when we thought the placem ent of the pin-hole in age on the ber was poor or uncertain, or if other aspects of the optical set-up were questionable. With the exception of lam p variability (which m ight produce errors of either sign), all of the other system atics in our measurem ent process would lead to underestim ating the true throughput of the bers. As we will show, the measurements we were able to obtain give consistent and plausible results that SparsePak is a high-throughput ber cable with explainable trends in FRD.

6.3. Fiber Transmission

We have measured the total ber transmission for 13 SparsePak bers in the B, V, R, and I bands for an input f-ratio of 6.3. We have also m ade identical measurements for the SparsePak reference ber, both at f/6.3 and f/13.5input focal ratios. The SparsePak bers were chosen to lie over a range of positions within the SparsePak head as well as to span the slit. The total ber transmission is de ned to be the light transmitted within an output beam of f/3. A swe show in the next section, the encircled energy as a function of output f-ratio converges by this value.

Recall that ber throughput m easurem ents are done in a di erentialway by com paring the total counts m easured with the test-bench CCD in \im aging" and \ ber" m odes. W hat we report, then, is a m easurem ent of the total ber transm ission which includes end-losses. As noted, m easurem ent system atics that we could identify included lam p drift or poor alignm ent of the illum inated, re-im aged pinhole onto the ber. For the latter we had to rely on detailed m easurem ent-log notes. For the form er, we could check the stability of the observed ux over a series of m easurem ents at a xed iris aperture, as wellas com paring initial and nal beam -m ode uxes. Of the 13 bers m easured, 5 berswere agged as being problem atic: # 37, 39, 72, 81, 82.

The results of our m easurem ents are presented in Figure 5. The 8 bers for which we have robust m easurem ents in all bands are in agreem ent with expected values based on the m anufacturer's attenuation speci cations plus two air-silica interfaces { at least at wavelengths corresponding to V, R and I bands. In the B band our m easurem ents appear too high. This is because we have not correctly assessed the color term s of the band-pass, and hence the proper e ective wavelength for the m easurem ents. W e estim ate that the combination of the relatively cool lam p

lam ent (due to modest lamp intensity to optimize stability), red bertransmission, and dropping quantum e - ciency of the detector in the blue yields an e ective wavelength closer to 4900A for the B lter. From inspection of Figure 5, one can see our measurements through the B lter are in agreement with the predicted performance assuming such a red e ective wavelength.

Finally, we found that the reference cable had system – atically higher transm ission than the median value for the SparsePak bers { roughly 3-4%. One might be tempted to conclude that this is an FRD -related e ect (see below). However, in no case does the reference cable have higher transm ission than the best-transm ission measurement for the SparsePak bers. Moreover, the transm ission appears to be somewhat lower (3%) for the reference cable fed at f/13.5 instead of f/6.3. We conclude that transm ission variations between reference and SparsePak bers is not signi cant.

In sum m ary, the SparsePak bers are red-optim ized and deliver total throughput consistent with m anufacturer's speci cations. The total throughput rises above 80% red-wards of 65000A, reaches 90% redwards of 6500A, and peaks near 92% at 8000A.

6.4. Focal Ratio Degradation

W hile the total transm ission of SparsePak bers is high, also relevant for spectrograph perform ance is the e ective output focal ratio of the bers. A telescope delivers a converging (conical) ber-input beam, with constant surfacebrightness cross-section and square edges in the far-eld for a point source. Telescope obstructions (e.g., secondary and tertiary m irrors) make the beam prole annular, still with constant surface-brightness within the far-eld annulus. The elect of berm icro-fractures orm icro-bends (see e.g., Carrasco & Parry, 1994) scatters or redirects the incident light such that the output focal ratio is faster and the beam prole soffer (a beam cross-section no longer has constant surface brightness and the edges are soff even in the far eld). This is FRD.

Fibers, then, degrade the input beam by radial scram bling, and hence increase entropy (they also provide com plete azim uthal scram bling, but this is unim portant here). The inform ation lost can only be recovered at additional cost (e.g., larger optics at the output end of the ber). One m easure of this signal degradation is to m easure the output focal ratio containing som e xed fraction of the total transm itted ux,, i.e. the encircled energy (EE). A penusal of the literature (e.g. Barden, Ram sey & Truax 1981) indicates the speci c choice of ducial ux fraction is arbitrary. Carrasco & Parry (1994) prefer to param etrize ber FRD by a m ore fundam ental param eter which characterizes their adopted m icro-bending m odel. To the extent that the m odel is correct, this has the strong advantage of being m uch m ore general by enabling m easurem ents of a given berto be used to characterize the FRD perform ance of sim ilar bers of di erent lengths. Here we m easure the full input and output beam pro le, from which any index m ay be extracted.

In our test bench, we used f/4.5, f/6.3, and f/13.5 beam s for the intermediate focus which feeds the bers in \setminus ber" mode. These focal ratios are the input beam s produced, respectively by the Hobby-Eberly Telescope (HET) sphericalaberration corrector (which feeds the Fiber Instrum ent Feed), the W IYN Nasm yth imaging port, and the W IYN M odi ed Cassegrain port. W e did not sim ulate, how ever, any of the central obstructions in these systems. Central obscurations will steepen pro les of EE vs f-ratio for a pure in aging system. For example, on W IYN the central obstruction is 17.1%, which is equivalent to f/15.3 relative to the f/6.3 beam at the Nasmyth focus; no light is contained in the far- eld within input cones slower than f/15.3. The e ects of FRD will be to scatter light into this slow cone, as well as into a cone faster than f/63. How ever, since we are interested primarily in the e ect at small f-ratio, the e ects of the central obstruction will only be in portant if the radial scram bling is gross. This is not the case. Here we report the results for the f/6.3 beam with the SparsePak and reference bers.

Figure 6 shows that the SparsePak bers have a wide range of output beam pro les when all are fed with the same (f/6.3) input beam. As a check on the quality of the imaging system, we also measured the beam pro le of the \straight through "system . We nd the latter pro le is close to the ideal case of a constant surface-brightness (perfect) beam, except near f/6.3, where there is a little droop indicating som e softness in our pro le edges. How ever, the SparsePak ber output beams are so substantially aberrated in comparison with the \straight through" beam, the imperfections in the optical system are secondorder e ects. W hat is signi cant to note is that the reference cable has an output beam pro le very similar to the \straight through" system, i.e., the FRD in the reference cable is very low. For example, the reference cable output beam contains over 90% of its signal within f/6.3 (the input f-ratio), whereas the mean SparsePak ber contains only 67% of its signal within this same f-ratio.

6.4.1. W avelength D ependence

W e have checked that there is no signi cantwavelength dependence to FRD. Figure 7 show sm easurements of output EE at f/6.3 as a function of wavelength between 470-800 nm for four representative SparsePak bers. The variations between bers at a given wavelength is due to other e ects, which we address in the following section.

There is some evidence for a modest FRD increase in the red for the two berswith the largest FRD, but no evidence for this e ect for the berwith the smallest FRD. This is qualitatively consistent with the micro-bend model adopted by Carrasco & Parry (1994) which predicts the broadening width of a collim ated beam at large incidence angles is proportional to , e.g., a factor of 1.7 between 470 nm and 800 nm. The e ect should become larger when the overall amplitude of the FRD increases. A quantitative test of the wavelength dependence of their model requires m ore precise m easurem ents, and is worthy of future pursuit: Carrasco & Parry's (1994) direct m easurem ents of the broadening width was a factor of two short of the m odel predictions. O ther work by Schm oll, R oth & Laux (2002) indicate there is little wavelength-dependence to FRD, and cite other theoretical work which predicts that there should be no wavelength dependence. C learly this issue is not resolved. For our purposes, FRD wavelengthdependence, if it is real, am ounts to less than or of order a few % variation in the indices we discuss next.

6.5. Im plications for future cable and spectrograph design

We explored possible causes of the wide range in FRD for the SparsePak bers seen in Figures 6 and 7. The two likely causes, we believed, would be slit position (due to the system atically changing radius of curvature of the bers along the slit), and array position, due, possibly, to edge e ects. Figures 8 and 9 show, respectively, the f-ratio at xed EE and relative EE at xed f-ratio as a function of berposition along the slit. These gures demonstrate, indeed, these two ber attributes explain essentially all of the pro le variance for the SparsePak bers.

The rst-ordere ect is slit position: FRD is greatest for bers with the lowest number which are at the \top" of the slit where the curvature in the foot is greatest. The radius of curvature of the bers goes from 82.6mm (for

ber # 1 at the top of the slit) to 178m m (for ber # 82 at the bottom of the slit). We conclude that there would be substantial improvement (decrease!) in the FRD if the ber foot were straightened som ewhat. The amount of straightening needed is probably slight given the observed fact that the bottom bers (least bent) have FRD properties that nearly converge with the Reference F iber. Based on extrapolating the trend of EE50 with radius of curvature for the SparsePak bers to the reference cable, we would recommend a minimum radius of curvature of 240mm for 500 m bers. It is not known if these FRD effects are present for the W IYN cables; these have sm aller bers, which are more exible. Veri cation will await on-

telescope m easurem ents of these thinner bers.

It also appears that bers within 1 ber from the edge of the array su er a second-order increase in FRD relative to bers at comparable slit position but more centrally located within the ber head. As we discuss in the Appendix, the process of releasing the head from the mold undoubtedly induces stress on the edge bers. For this reason we introduced a single layer of short, packing bers around the entire array. C learly one layer was not enough. How – ever, as seen in Figures 8 and 9, there is no evidence that

bers within 2-4 bers depth from the edge of the array behave any di erently than more centrally located bers. We surm ise, therefore, that just one more layer (2 layers total) of edge (bu er) bers would have been su cient to have prevented this second-order enhancement of the FRD. We note that we have not proven the added stress originates from the mold-release phase. The increased FRD could also stem from the asymmetric distribution of pressure introduced from curing (contracting) epoxy for edge bers, or from the head-mount clamp. W hatever the cause, we suspect that this is a generic result, independent of ber diam eter for FFUs manufactured with a similar glue and press. To be safe, we would recommend a minimum of 2

ber layers of 500 m bers, or the corresponding number

of bers of di erent diam eter to make at least a 1.2 mm bu er.

These results have ram i cations for the throughput of the Bench Spectrograph, and its in provement, as illustrated in Figures 9 and 10. Currently an f/6.3 beam is input to the bers, and is then matched to a spectrograph designed for a 152m m collimated beam. The initial design for the 4m M ayall telescope had 152m m and 203m m f/6.7 collimators (Barden et al. 1993a). The current Bench on W IYN has a 235m m clear-aperture diameter parabolic collimator with focal length of 1021m m. This collimator captures an f/4.2 for an on-axis ber, but produces a collimated beam substantially in excess of 152m m. The effective f/6.7 beam is collimated into 152m m, but contains signi cantly less than the full output of the bers.

Adopting the mean curve for the laboratory FRD measurements for the SparsePak cable, an f/6.7 beam contains only roughly 62% of the ber output. The amount of light outside of the 152mm diameter beam in the current system is in the range of 25% to 55%, and 38% on average. (O ther curves for D enseP ak and H ydra cables, m easured on the telescope, indicate similar performance P. Smith & C.Conselice, 1998, private communication].) If a faster collimator were used, the fraction of enclosed light in a 152mm collim ated beam would rise to 81,88, and 95% for 870mm, 790mm, 715mm focal lengths, respectively. W ith no change in the cam era, the resulting spectral resolution will decrease with the increase in the magni cation with the collim ator focal length. This penalty assumes that the slit is resolved. For smaller bers and set-ups with large anam orphic factors the degradation in spectral resolution will be sm aller.

Hence a trivial upgrade to the Bench Spectrograph, consisting of inserting a faster, parabolic collim ator, will im – prove the throughput by 31, 42 and 53%, with a loss in spectral resolution less than 18, 29, and 42%, respectively for replacement collim ator focal-length of 870m m, 790m m, 715m m. The decrease in spectral resolution will be less severe for the smaller ber diam eters, since the current system is under-sampled. Based on these results, we argue that a collim ator with focal length near 750m m would substantially in prove the throughput of the spectrograph for acceptable losses in spectral resolution. For some applications, how ever, the loss in resolution will be unacceptable. O ne of the better features of the Bench is its m odular and accessible design. This m eans that one could switch with relative ease between one of several collim ators.

7. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we have presented the design, construction, and laboratory measurements of the SparsePak FFU, a form atted ber-optic array designed to mate the Nasm yth imaging port to the Bench Spectrograph on the W IY N 3.5-m telescope. A physical description of the SparsePak array is found in x2 and 4, with a complete description of the design and assembly contained in the Appendix. The latter is included speci cally to allow others to repeat this relatively simple instrument-building process.

The primary scientic motivation for this array is to measure the kinematics of stars and gas in nearby galaxy disks. Examples of science capabilities are found in Paper II, and in several recent studies using SparsePak to measure stellar velocity dispersions to estimate dynamical m ass and the radial dependence of the m ass-to-light ratio in spiral disks radius (Bershady et al. 2002, Verheijen et al. 2003, 2004), the Tully-F isher relation in barred spirals (Courteau et al. 2003), and rotation curves and ionized gas kinem atics in low-surface-brightness galaxies (Sw aters et al. 2003).

Here we have focused on the con uence of science objectives with technical, observational, and physical constraints that shaped the speci c SparsePak design. The salient design drivers are the balancing of trade-o s in spatial and spectral coverage and resolution, plus an optim ization for superior sky-subtraction. For this latter purpose we have developed an analytic expression for the optim um num ber of sky bers in the background- and detectorlim ited regimes. Placement of sky and source bers along the spectrograph slit are also in portant considerations in our design { both for sky subtraction and to o set the effects of vignetting within the spectrograph. In Paper II we explore the sky-subtraction perform ance of our design. The results presented here and in Paper II are applicable to other ber-fed spectrographs.

The SparsePak performance can be summarized in terms of its instrum entalgrasp of $137 \text{ m}^2 \operatorname{arcsec}^2$ per ber, and $11,200 \text{ m}^2 \operatorname{arcsec}^2$ overall. In more details, the cable has a throughput of 89–92% redwards of 500 nm (92% is the expected best-case for two fused-silica {air interfaces}. The cable throughput drops rapidly below 500 nm. The overall system throughput (telescope plus cable plus spectrograph) are measured and described in Paper II. The

bers produce FRD which takes an input f/6.3 beam and degrades to f/5.7 to f/4.1 at EE 95. There is no wavelength dependence to the observed FRD between 500 and 800 nm. The non-telecentricity error budget is below 0.1 deg (di erential) at the telescope focal-plane, and does not contribute signi cantly to the observed FRD. The range of FRD depends, to rst order, on a ber's slit position (due to mechanical curvature of spectrograph feed) and, to second order, on its position in the head (due to edge stresses). The implications are that future ber-arrays manufactured with the process described here should have thicker bu ers of inactive bers (at least 2 bers thick) on the outside walls of the array, and straighter feeds.

There are several conclusion which may be drawn from our study. First, we have shown that econom ical, highperform ance optical cables are possible to build which are relatively long in length. The losses within the bers them selves are relatively small; in a ber cable of 25m length the end-losses dom inate. This means that signi cantly longer cables can be constructed with high-throughput perform ance in the red. This may be useful for connecting spectrographs to multiple telescopes at a given site.

Second, our laboratory measurements indicate the promise of signi cantly lower levels of FRD with modestm odi cations to our ber-head design construction and closer attention to the bending geometry near the spectrograph term ination { even for very large (500 m) bers. This optimism should be tempered by the fact that all other existing cables on the Bench Spectrograph exhibit comparable FRD to SparsePak. However, it is unclear whether the other Bench ber fæds have been optimized to minimize ber stress.

Finally, within the context of the current ber cables feeding the W IYN Bench Spectrograph, it is clear that much of the light is being lost due to the injection of a beam faster than the spectrograph was designed to handle. In Paper II we document how much of the light is lost from the SparsePak cable due to geometric vignetting factors within the Bench Spectrograph. Roughly speaking, a modest decrease in the collimator focal-length would gain back factors of two in throughput while at worst decreasing the spectral resolution by only 30%. For many program s this trade of throughput for resolution is a winning proposition. M oreover, the resolution losses are not even this severe since the current system is under-sam pled; higher resolution can be regained for stellar surveys by using smaller berswith little light-loss in the telescope focal plane { thanks to W IY N's excellent in age quality. G iven the cost of running the W IYN telescope and the number of nights the Bench Spectrograph is in use, it would seem unimaginable were such an upgrade not implemented in short order.

W e wish to thank S.Barden, L.Engel, and D.Sawyer for consultation on IFU and cable design; C.Corson, D. Harmer, and G.Jacoby form aking this a reality at W IYN; D.Bucholtz, without whom the many critical details of SparsePak would have languished; S.Buckley, and D.Ho man for their excellence in instrum ent making; and many helping-hands at UW to pull the cable out of the stairwell (several times). W e also thank R.Swaters for uncovering an error in our assumed plate scale, adopted from the DensePak web-page prior to 2001. Support for this project is from NSF AST/AT I-9618849. M A B.also acknow ledges support from NSF grant AST -9970780 and the UW G rad School.

APPENDIX

TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION AND FABRICATION

Cabling: Design

Each of the 82 science bers, 25.37m in length, is housed in a 24.5m cable, term inated at the telescope end by a \head", and at the spectrograph end by a curved \foot." The head and foot, respectively, contain 0.32m and 0.55m of ber. The lay-out of the bers in the head is illustrated in Figure 2, described in x2, and motivated in x3. The optical ber is a single draw of Polymicro¹⁴ ultra-low OH, multimode, step-index ber with pure fused-silica core, doped silica clad, and polyimide bu er with core clad bu er diam eters of 500.550.590 m, respectively. Our order was for 2.3km of ber, at a cost of \$35,000 in 1997.

¹⁴Polym icro Technologies Incorporated, 18019 North 25th St, Phoenix, AZ 85023-1200, (602) 375-4100.

The cable consists of a \core" of 82 opaque-black 18-gauge Te on tubes¹⁵ (one per ber), surrounded by standard PVC tubing, and nally covered with stainless-steel, interlocked, exible conduit⁶ with a 5.72cm inner diam eter (ID), 6.35cm outer-diam eter (OD), and a minimum bend radius larger than 19cm. This multi-layered cable design provides a well-supported, sm ooth conduit and protects the sti , 500 m bers from breakage and over-bending.

Because the bundled Te on tubes have a much smaller diameter (roughly 1.90cm) than the exterior conduit, we fed the Te on into PVC tubing with 2.54cm ID, and jointed the conduit every 1.8m with connectors just under the exterior (stainless steel) conduit ID. This minimizes sagging, and hence di erential path lengths between the exterior cable, the Te on, and ultimately the bers. The aim is to minimize a potential source of ber stress.

The PVC is attached rigidly to the exterior stainless conduit at both ends via set-screw s pressing on m etal connectors attached to the PVC. The set-screw s are threaded through alum inum collars which also serve to term inate the stainless conduit and provide a mounting surface for the ber head and foot. Two other collars join together three 7.6m lengths of stainless conduit, and allow cable access. Due to the natural curvature in the PVC, the segmented PVC cable is quite elastic and conveniently acts to pull the cable collars tight against the end of the conduit even in the absence of rigid attachment.

The Te on is term inated slightly above and below the conduit within interface modules joining the cable to the head and foot assemblies. At the head-end of the cable, Te on extends up an additional 10cm and term inates in an alum inum anti-rotation collar which is rigidly attached to the cable term ination collar via a 5.08cm OD cylindrical alum inum This collar consists of a circular array of 82 holes through which the Te on is pulled with little clearance. The Te on ends are ared with a soldering iron, and a short (1cm) piece of shrink-wrap is placed just behind the are to form a collar. This prevents the Te on from sliding back through the holes in the anti-rotation collar, but it does not prevent the Te on from pushing up.

The foot-end of the cable connects to a replication of a standard NOAO/W IYN Bench Spectrograph \cable interface." Te on term inates 0.19m below the cable at the end of the interface in a 3-element shear clamp with holes arranged in a staggered, rectangular pattern (35 holes in length and 2 or 3 holes in width). This serves to translate the round ber bundle into a linear slit and anchor the Te on against movement in either direction.

Cabling: A ssem bly

A seem bly took place in public space within the A stronom y D epartm ent at the University of W isconsin. (For obvious reasons, this e ort would have bene ted substantially from a dedicated space.) Pre-cut Te on tubes were unrolled horizontally in a clean halway on a packing paper bed (to minim ize dust), grouped in numbers of 6 or 7 with shrink-wrap, and labeled. At the telescope (\top") end these groups were bundled into a single, ared unit via a larger piece of shrink-wrap. The are and grab of the multiple layers of shrink-wrap was su cient to suspend the entire Te on core vertically under its own weight in an 8-story stair-well. The PVC and stainless conduit were pulled up and over the Te on core, until the cable was hanging by the stainless conduit with the ared Te on bundle resting on the neck of the term inal PVC connector. The anti-rotation collar and ange (top-end) and cable interface and sheer clam p (bottom -end) were then installed, and the Te on perm anently locked into place. At this stage the mapping between the bers in the FFU head and slit were e ectively set (see x3.2.6 and 3.3.2).

Fibers were individually measured via a calibrated transfer spool, cut using a nuby cleaver, and fed from the top. Because the 500 m bers are very sti and the Te on was hanging vertically and relatively unentwined, it was easy to install the ber. We required a J-bend at the bottom end of the cable to keep some bers from slipping all the way through. The feeding process took 15 m inutes per ber for preparation (length measurement and cleaving), and the same for installation. The two tasks were done in parallel. Once installed, we identie ed and labeled the ber at both ends of the cable, checking at the same time that there were no breakages. The cable was then hauled out of the stairwell and placed into its traveling box for movement to the polishing and optics lab.

Fiber Head: A ssem bly

The bers in the fully assem bled cable were glued into an \integral" head using a pressing jig, or mold. The jig design (Barden, private communication) consists of a precision-cut, U-shaped channel, 76mm in length, made from three walls, one of which is precision-actuated on an under-cut block with a microm eter for repeatable width adjustment (see Figure 11). Before gluing, the jig channel is thoroughly sprayed with dry lubricant mold-release agent¹⁷. The gluing process begins with pre-setting the channel width and machining a \tamping" tool to precisely t this width. Short, packing

bers, 50.8mm in length were precut and laid down in rows, interspersed with the appropriate long bers. Each row is wicked with glue as it is placed into the jig. As the entire array is assembled, the tamping tool is used to press the array into its tightly packed form, and to squeeze out excess glue. There are a total of 367 packing bers in the head. The face of the array, which at this stage consists of nuby-cut ber edges is monitored via a mirror and microscope to ensure correct positioning of the long, science bers. Since our emphasis when gluing the head was to make sure bers were well-seated with minimal arring, we did not force ber-ends to be even, and hence the exact term ination length di ers by 1-2mm from ber to ber. Given the limited depth-of- eld of the microscope the assessment of ber position was aided by back-lighting science bers.

¹⁵Zeus, PO Box 2167, 620 M agnolia Street, O rangeburg, SC 2911-2167, (803) 533-5694.

 $^{^{16}{\}rm M}$ cM aster-C arr Supply Co., PO Box 94930, C leveland, O H 44101-4930, (330) 995-5500.

¹⁷ M iller-Stephenson Chem ical Co., Inc., 55 Backus Ave., Danbury, CT 06810 USA, (203) 743-4447.

The entire process of laying down precision-placed rows such that the bundle has little-to-no are in the out-of-channel dimension requires skill and nesse which can only be accomplished through practice. Very sm all angular deviations from telecentricity can lead to substantial e ective FRD, as illustrated in Figure 12 (see also W ynne 1993). Hence, assuring that there is no aring of the bundle is of utmost importance.

We use EPO-TEK 354¹⁸, a tem perature-curing epoxy. The heads were cured in-situ within the pressing jig with a heat lamp, and then released. In testing we found that despite liberal application of mold-release, occasionally edge bers were dam aged or fractured. Consequently we added a minimum of 1 row or column of short, packing bers to surround, or bu er, all elements of the science ber arrays. A swe show in x6, we have found edge-e ects in the SparsePak array. On this basis we would now advocate a minimum of 2, and ideally at least 3 rows of packing bers to act as a bu er.

Fiber Head: Polishing

Fiber head polishing was done using an Ultrapol 1200 polishing/lapping machine¹⁹ with 15.25 m circular lapping disks.²⁰ The polisher consists of a horizontal, rotating, alum inum platen, lubricant tub, and polishing head with precision 3-axis positioner and oscillator. The lubricant of choice is distilled water. M any of the features on the costly positioner were unused, and in hind-sight a custom m ade positioner would have been optim al for application with the heavier ber arrays. In all cases bers were attached via custom m ade, alum inum adapters that attached directly to the polishing head. The ber head adapter consisted of two 6.35m m thick L-shaped brackets which screwed together diagonally to place pressure on all four walls. To ensure the polished surface was orthogonal to the ber length, support walls were connected to the L-brackets (see Figure 13).

Initial material was removed with course grit before we began true polishing. The polishing process consists of descending in grit size from 60, 40, 30, 15, 10, 5, 1 (silicon carbide) and nally 0.5 m (alum inum oxide). For single bers or small FFU heads made from thinner bers, the oscillator could be used, greatly facilitating high-grade polishing. A single ber can take as little as an hour to polish, while a small FFU polishes in roughly 1-2 days. SparsePak head polishing took several weeks due to the large area and quantity of material rem oved and the mechanical di culty of manipulating the cable.

For example, because the cable was sti and heavy, it had to be specially suspended and supported during polishing by a combination of horse and cam era tripod. A special brace also was made to connect directly the polishing head adapter to the cable term ination, to ensure bers were not crushed (Figure 13). Given these modi cations, it was time consuming to rem ove and inspect the SparsePak head in mid-polish, and nearly impossible to replace the head at exactly the same angle. Hence sm all facets were introduced, and a $\$ nal" polish, while yielding excellent luster, still left several, discrete scratches on the head surface visible at back-lit, oblique angles at 10 m agni cation or higher. Horizontal polishing with the existing mount hardware was unsatisfactory for SparsePak.

We therefore developed a vertical hand-polishing tool using two sets of precision ball slides²¹ to provide an x-y stage with 110mm of diagonal travel (see the SparseP ak web-site). A hand-positioned, rem ovable plunger with a 70mm circular polishing surface allowed us to polish, rem ove, and inspect the SparseP ak ber-head at regular and frequent intervals. (Lubricant is dropped vertically through the system while polishing.) With this polisher we were able to ensure perpendicularity of the polishing surface, rem ove rem aining facets, and achieve a superior polish on the SparseP ak surface. D espite these in provements, the nal Sparsepak head face shows smallm icro-scratches on bers 4, 16, 17, 24, 36, 40, 72, even though the over-all luster is high. Based on our lab measurements described in x6, there is no evidence that these features dim inish the throughput or degrade the output focal ratio by a measurable am ount.

O ur experience with EPO-TEK 354 is that while its wicking properties are good, it does not polish as well as, e.g., Norland 68 UV curing $epoxy^{22}$. However, given the thickness of the SparsePak ber head, a heat-curing epoxy was essential.

Fiber Head: Mount

SparsePak is designed to be swapped into and out of the WIYN Nasmyth in aging port (IAS) on a regular basis. The term inalmechanicalelement in the SparsePak cable, the head mount, serves to grip the ber head and provide a mounting surface. Reported here are salient details required to provide a rigid and robust mounting mechanism compatible with existing interface hardware on the telescope.

A mounting box (W FOE, named after the W IYN Fiber-Optic Echelle), developed by K. Honneycutt and collaborators for a single ber-optic feed, is the mechanical assembly to which the SparsePak and DensePak attach during operation. W FOE contains optics for feeding line-lamps to the focal plane, and for simultaneously viewing the back-lit ber face and focal-plane in age from the telescope. The W FOE port requires a 25.4mm OD tube of minimum length 44.4mm, permitting a 17mm maximum diameter for the ber array in order to maintain mechanical rigidity in the surrounding head mount. The SparePak ber head diagonal dimension is at this limit.

The SparsePak ber head mount (Figure 14) was designed to ensure that the array was held at the proper telecentric angle if held rigidly by the W IFOE port. To do so we cut a 50.8mm -long channel in rectangular alum inum stock. The

¹⁸Epoxy Technology Inc., 14 Fortune D rive, B illerica, M A 01821, (508) 667-3805.

¹⁹U ltra Tech, 1025 E. Chestnut Ave., Santa Anna, CA 92701-6491, (714) 542-0608.

²⁰ M oyco Industries, Inc., Corporate O ces & U ltralap/A brasives D ivision, 200 C om m erce D r., M ontgom ergville, PA 18936, (800) 331-8837.

²¹ # E-4, DelTron Precision, Inc., 5 Trowbridge Dr., PO Box 505, Bethel, CT 06801, (800) 245-5013.

²²N orland P roducts Inc., PO Box 637-T, C ranbury, NJ, 08512, (609) 395-1966.

16

channel width matches the SparsePak ber-head width of 11.7mm, with little (25 m) clearance. The stock was then turned down to a 76.2mm tube with a 25.4mm diameter, and a 6.35mm thick ange with a 50.8mm OD form ounting to the rest of the cable. Three half clam-shell clam ps cover the channel. These units serve to give the tube a nearly complete circular cross-section, while at the same time providing the clam p mechanism for the array. The array was held with a uniform -pressure rectangular clam p seated below the clam-shells, actuated by three set-screws in the clam-shells. The ber head is padded on both top and bottom by a thin rubber gasket 38.1mm in length. In this way, substantial force is exerted on grabbing the ber without substantially stressing the glass.

To protect the ber-head face during installation and rem oval, the ber head term inates roughly 7.6mm back from the end of the mounting tube. This last portion of the mounting tube was beveled, ringed, sand-blasted, and carefully ocked to avoid vignetting of the input beam on the edge bers, while minimizing glints and scattered light. Figure 15 is an image of the front face of the nal, assembled ber head and mount.

The SparsePak ber head-mount is fastened in the W IFOE entrance-collar with a thum b-screw that sets into a detent. For a light-weight cable, such as that containing a single ber, this fastener is adequate both to hold and retain the cable robustly at the correct telecentric angle as the IAS rotates during observations. Because of SparsePak's considerable weight and rigidity, it was necessary to create a removable support ange. The ange attaches to the cable 33cm back from the port collar, and consists of a rigid, closed brace with a three-leg attachment to the W IFOE and IAS. This modi cation eliminated remaining exure to levels below detection, and can also be used with D ensePak.

The overall accuracy of the telecentric positioning of the ber head is estimated to be better than 0.2, based on the quadrature sum of our estimates for (a) the accuracy of the positioning of the ber head within the head mount (< 0.15); and (b) the accuracy of the positioning of the head-mount within the W IFOE collar (< 0.15). Both of these estimates are based on the known mechanical tolerances of the mounting hardware. No accommodation in this estimate is made for any non-uniform ities in the N asm yth port mounts and W IFOE. The resulting increase in FRD, as illustrated in Figure 12, is under 3%.

Fiber Slit: Mount, Assembly and Polishing

The slit assembly, containing all elements after the shear-clamp, consists of a 90 curved foot (Figure 16), where bare bers make bends with radii between 82.6mm and 178mm, a slit block, and \toes" for liters and a slit-narrowing mask. These are standard components required to integrate with the existing spectrograph mount. As shown in x6, the curvature in this foot is problematic, re-design of which, while beyond the scope and budget of this project, presents a clear upgrade path. However, we did make cost- and performance- ective modications to the toe and slit-block design.

We determ ined the standard toe design produced substantial vignetting in the dimension transverse to the slit for onaxis beams faster than f/5.7, and for beams faster f/7.1 em anating from the edge of a 500 m ber. There is also vignetting in the dimension parallel to the slit for bers near the ends of the slit; a slit-edge ber in SparsePak is vignetted for rays exiting faster than f/5.6. (For other fixeds the vignetting for edge bers is more severe because of larger slit-lengths { 76.4mm instead of 73.6mm. This adds appreciably to their over-all \slit-function.") G iven the f/6.3 input beam from the telescope, the output f-ratio of the bers is expected to be substantially faster than f/6 due to FRD. Hence the SparseP ak toes' cham ber-ba es were enlarged and the last (4th) cham ber rem oved. This enables an output beam at f/4 at the end of the slit for a 500 m ber to exit unvignetted from the fixed; provides room for simultaneous use of one interference and one glass liter; and is compatible with the existing mechanized liter-insertion mechanism on the Bench.

The standard slit-block consists of two clamp plates, one of which contains precision-machined v-grooves to locate micro-tubes, each containing a ber. Such machining is di cult and expensive. We found that for 600 m OD bers, 20-gauge, thin-wall stainless steelmicro-tubes (31.8mm in length, 647 m ID and 902 m OD)²³ provided an outstanding way to hold and separate the bers if the tubes were tightly packed like the bers in a row if the FFU head. A packed row of 82 tubes yields a ber-to- ber edge separation of over 400 m, and a total slit length of 73.6mm from active ber edge to edge. The glue thickness is inconsequential since the micro-tube were bonded in our pressing jig; the glue bonded in the interstitial regions. Our adopted slit-block consisted of three rows of micro-tubes glued together for mechanical strength, pressed into a stainless steel holder, and pinned for precision alignment to the end of the ber foot (see Figure 16). We estimate that the overall alignment error of the bers with respect to the optical mounting axis of the ber foot is under 0.2.

The bers them selves were bent, cut to nallength, and then glued into the micro-tubes with only a bead of N orland 68 UV curing epoxy at the end of the tubes, and cured using a commercial black-light. The entire slit-block, attached to the ber foot, was mounted on our U trapol lapping machine with a special adapter. It polished well, and did not require additional hand-polishing. This concluded the SparsePak cable manufacture.

TotalE ort

For reference for future e orts: The cable construction and ber installation took 320 person-hours (2 people at any given, except for hauling the cable { a half-hour process requiring 4 people), and one month of shop-time for ttings and term ination hardware. Polishing took an additional person-month plus one week of shop time. These totals do not include the more substantial e ort to develop the assembly, gluing and polishing techniques, nor does it include time for laboratory calibration m easurements described in x6.

²³C onnecticut H ypoderm ics, 519 M ain Street, Yalesville, CT 06492, (203) 284-1520.

- Akritas, M.G. & Bershady, M.A. 1996, ApJ, 470, 706 udeen
- A llington-Sm ith, J. et al. 2002, PASP, 114, 892
- Andersen, D.R. 2001, Ph.D. thesis, Penn State University
- Andersen, D.R., & Bershady, M.A. 1999, in \Galaxy Dynam ics," eds. D. R. Merritt, M. Valluri, and J. A. Sellwood, (ASP Conference Series), 182, 215
- Andersen, D.R., & Bershady, M.A. 2003, ApJ, submitted
- Angel, J. R. P., Adams, M. T., Boroson, T. A., Moore, R. L. 1977, ApJ, 218, 776
- A rribas, S. et al. 1998, in \O ptical A stronom ical Instrum entation," ed.S.D 'O dorico, SP IE, 3355, 821
- Bacon, R., et al. 1995, A & A S, 113, 347
- Bacon, R., et al. 2001a, MNRAS, 326, 23
- Bacon, R., et al. 2001b, A & A, 371, 409
- Barden, S.C., Ram sey, L.W., and Truax, R.J. 1981, PASP, 93, 154
- Barden, S.C., A m andro, T., M assey, P., G roves, L., Rudeen, A.C., Vaughnn, D. and M uller, G. 1993a, in \Fiber O ptics in A stronom y II," ed.P.M.G ray, ASPCS, 37, 185
- raine
- Barden, S. C., Elston, R., Armandro, T., Pryor, C. P. 1993b, in \Fiber Optics in Astronom y II," ed. P.M. Gray, ASPCS, 37, 223
- Barden, S. C., Armandro, T., Muller, G., R., A. C., Lewis, J., Groves, L. 1994, in \Instrumentation in Astronomy VIII," eds. D.L.Craw ford and E.R.Craine, SP IE, 2198, 87
- Barden, S.C., Sawyer, D.G., Honneycutt, R.K. 1998, in \Optical A stronom ical Instrum entation," ed. S D 'O dorico, SP IE, 3355, 892 Bershady, M. A. 1997, in \Dark and V isible M atter in G alaxies
- and Cosm ological Im plications," eds. M . Persic and P. Salucci, ASPCS, 117, 547
- Bershady, M.A., Andersen, D., Ram sey, L., Horner, S., in \Fiber Optics in Astronomy III," eds. S. Arribas, E. Mediavilla, F. Watson 1998, ASPCS, 152, 253
- Bershady, M. A., Verheijen, M. A. W., Andersen, D. R. 2002, in \D isks of G alaxies: K inem atics, D ynam ics, and Perturbations," eds.E.Athanassoula & A.Bosma, ASP Conference Series, 275, 43
- Bershady, M . A ., Andersen, D ., Verheigen, M . A . W ., W estfall, K ., C raw ford S., Swaters, R . A .2003, subm itted to ApJS (Paper II) Bottem a, R., 1997, A & A, 328, 517
- Carrasco, E. and Parry, I.R. 1994, MNRAS, 271, 1
- Clayton, C.A. 1989, A&A, 213, 502

- Courteau, S., Andersen, D. R., Rix, H.-W., Bershady, M. A., M acA rthur, L.A., 2003, to appear in AJ
- Fabricant, D.G., Hertz, E.N., Szentgyorgyi, A.H., Fata, R.G., Roll, J.B., Zajac, J.M. 1998, SP IE, 3355, 285
- G arcia, A., Rasilla, J. L., A rribas, S., M ediavilla, E. 1994, in \Instrum entation in A stronom y V III," eds. D. L. C raw ford and E.R.C, SP IE, 2198, 75
- Garrido, O., Marcelin, M., Amram, P., and Boissin, O. 2002, A&A, 387,821
- G lazebrook, K. & B land-H aw thorn, J. 2001, PASP, 113, 197
- Kelz, A., Roth, M. M., Becker. T. 2003, in "Instrum ent Design and Perform ance for Optical/Infrared G round-based Telescopes," eds. M. Iye and A.F.M. Moorwood, SP E, 4841, 1057
- Le Fevre O., et al. 2003, in \Instrum ent D esign and Perform ance for Optical/Infrared G round-based Telescopes", eds. M . Iye, and A . F.M. Moorwood, SPIE, 4841, 1670 Pasquini, L. et al. 2002, in \Instrum ent D esign and Perform ance for
- Optical/Infrared G round-based Telescopes", eds. M . Iye, and A . F.M.M. oorwood, SP E, 4841, 1682
- Ram sey, L.W . 1988, in \Fiber Optics in Astronom y," ed.S.Barden, A SPC S, 3, 26
- Ren, D., Allington-Sm ith, J 2002, PASP, 114, 866
- Schm oll, J., Roth, M .M ., & Laux, U . 2003, PASP, 115, 854
- Schommer, R.A., Bothun, G.D., Williams, T.B., Mould, J.R. 1993, AJ,106,97
- Swaters, R ., Verheigen, M .A .W ., Bershady, M .A ., Andersen, D .R . 2003, ApJ, 587, L19
- Verheijen, M.A.W., Bershady, M.A., Andersen, D.R. 2003, in \The M ass of Galaxies at Low and High Redshift," eds. R. Bender & A.Renzini, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin), 221
- Verheijen, M.A.W., Bershady, M.A., Andersen, D.R., Swaters, R. A., Westfall, K., Kelz, A., Roth, M. M. 2004, AN, 325, 151
- Viton, M. & Milliard, B. 2003, PASP, 115, 243
- W atson, F.G., O er, A.R. & Lewis, I.J. 1998, in \Fiber Optics in A stronom y III," eds. S. Arribas. E. M ediavilla, and F. W atson, ASPCS, 152, 50
- W einer, B.J., W illiam s, T.B., van Gorkom, J.H., and Sellwood, J. A.2001, ApJ, 546, 916
- W ynne, C.G. 1993, M NRAS, 260, 307
- W yse, R.& Gilm ore 1992, MNRAS, 257, 1



Fig. 1. | Grasp versus spectral power for a current suite of two-dim ensional spectroscopic systems including SparsePak (see text). The total grasp is de ned as the product of area solid-angle (A). The specic c grasp is the grasp per spatial resolution element (in the case of SparsePak, this is per ber); N_S is the number of spatial resolution elements. The spectral power is de ned as the product of the spectral resolution elements, N . Spectographic instruments on 8m -class telescopes are show n as dashed lines (G em ini/GM OS, VLT /V M OS, and VLT /ARG US); spectographic instruments on 4m -class instruments are shown as solid lines (M HT /SAURON and IN TEGRAL, CFHT /OA SIS, C alar A lio/PM A S, and W IY N /D ensePak and SparsePak); Fabry-Perot instrum ent are shown as led circles. The variations in the shapes of covered parameter space depends on how a given instrum ent and spatial sam pling, i.e., through changes in gratings, slit-widths, or both. Note the unique location of SparsPak in these diagram s.



Fig. 2. A strom etric diagram of the SparsePak array head at the W IYN N asm yth in aging port (bare RC focus). Active (science) bers are numbered according to their position in the slit. The relative size of the ber core and the core plus bu er is shown to scale for the un-num bered, inactive, or bu er bers. The active bers have the same geom etry. On-sky orientation at the W IYN IAS port with zero rotator o set places N upward and E to the left (also as viewed in the W IFOE slit-view ing cam era). Physical measurements were made in our lab (as described in the text); angular dimensions are based on these measurements using the nom inalW IYN bare-RC im aging-port plate scale of 9.374 arcsec/m m.



Fig. 3. Optim um number of bers dedicated to sky (n_s) is plotted as a function of the total number of spectrograph bers (n_f) . This assumes that sky backgrounds and their subtraction contribute only to random (shot) noise, i.e. system atic errors are not considered in this model. Background-lim ited measurements are indicated by the solid line; detector-lim ited measurements by the dashed line. An insert illustrates the behavior at small n_f . The two points represent the number of sky bers allocated for SparseP ak and D enseP ak. For SparseP ak, the optim um number of sky bers is 8, while for D enseP ak the optim um number is number; the allocated numbers are 7 and 4, respectively. However, the survey merit function de ned in the text is a weak function of n_s . The relevant number of sky bers for several other survey spectrographs are indicated.



Fig. 4. Schem atic diagram of our test-bench for characterizing the throughput and FRD of the optical bers. The setup consists of a double re-im aging system. The rst system (right side, moving left from lam p through cam era optic L2), is on a translation stage such that it can either feed the second system directly or via a ber feed. The setup is illustrated for the direct feed, or \beam mode." The $\$ ber mode" is accomplished by moving the translation stage such that the input (I) of Focus 1 from the rst system is placed on the ber input or IFU (refer to labeled points). This translation also moves the ber output or Slit into the form erposition of Focus 1 to create the output (O) which feeds the second system (refer to labeled points). The second system (left side, moving left from collim ator opticalL3 to the CCD detector) is xed. M irrors and pellicles (P 1/M 1 and P 2/M 2) are placed into the collim ated beam s for alignm ent purposes. Irises (II and I2) are for controlling the input and output f-ratio, respectively. D etails of the light source, di user (D), lter (F), and pin-hole (P H) setup, as well as the CCD and neutral-density lters (ND) are given in the text.



Fig. 5.| Fiber transm ission (throughput) of 13 of the 82 SparsePak bers and the SparsePak R eference C able, m easured using the testbench in our lab, as described in the text and illustrated in Figure 13. Sym bols denote di erent bers, as identi ed in the key, and are o set in wavelength within groups for presentation purposes. Light sym bols (gray instead of black) are bers for which the throughput variance across bands was larger than 15%. These bers either had m easurem ent-log notes indicating unsatisfactory setup of the pin-hole in age on the ber face, or beam m ode m easurem ent variances indicating the lam p stability was poor. As such, these m easurem ents should be viewed as suspect, consistent with the unusually high or low m easured transm ittance. The large, grey circles are the m edian of the 8 good ber m easurem ents. The solid curve is the expected transm ittance of 25.4m of Polym icro ultra-low OH - fixed-silica ber, based on Polym icro's gures, com bined with two silica-air interfaces (3.43% per interface). The dotted curves represent the norm alized broad-band liter transm ission, convolved with the C CD response function. From left to right: B;V;R;I. For V;R;I, note the excellent agreem ent between the m easured and expected values, the m easurable di erence between di erent bers. The B-band m easurem ents appear high relative to expectations. The dashed curve curve takes into account the e ective band-pass given the expected ber transm ittance. N ot taken into account is the spectrum of the light source. The likely e ective wavelength of the B -band m easurem ents is 4900A.



Fig. 6. Relative encircled energy (EE) as a function of output f-ratio for an f/6.3 input-beam into SparsePak and Reference Cable bers. A perfect beam (with constant cross-sectional surface-brightness) is represented by the thin, solid line. Straight-through beam pro les (no ber) measured when testing three of the bers (# 40, 41, and the reference cable) are shown to illustrate the quality of the optical test-bench setup. The average output beam pro les of the bers is represented by the thick, solid line; the grey shaded area represents the range for the 13 SparsePak bers measured. The range of FRD is real, and represents system atic ber-to- ber di erences due to variations in physical conditions of the bers (see text). The reference cable ber output pro le is the dashed curve. Reducing the curvature of the SparsePak spectrograph-feed would dram atically in prove the SparsePak ber pro les.



Fig. 7.| Relative encircled energy (EE) at an output f-ratio of f/6.3 as a function of wavelength for 4 of the SparsePak bers (labeled) fed with a f/6.3 input beam. These represent four di erent m easures of FRD wavelength dependence for the 500 m core Polym icro ultra-low OH bers. Error-bars represent estim ated observational uncertainties. W avelength o sets of points are for presentation purposes.



Fig. 8.| Trends of output f-ratio for four given EE as a function of ber # and position in the SparseP ak array for 13 of SparseP ak's bers. All bers are fed with an f/6.3 input beam. The ber number, from 1 to 82, corresponds to a ber's position in the slit and ber foot. Fiber # 1 is on the inside edge of the foot, where the radius of curvature is sm allest. Fibers within one ber-diam eter from the array edge are m arked as solid triangles; bers within 2-4 ber-diam eters from the array edge are m arked as 4-pointed stars; all other (interior) bers are m arked as open circles. (No bers are on the edge since there is a one- ber bu er.) Note the strong, rst-order trend in increased FRD (sm aller f-ratio at xed EE) with decreasing ber number (foot radius), and the second-order trend with distance from the edge of the ber array. Solid lines are W LS regressions to all data (Akritas & Bershady, 1996). Lower and upper dashed lines are regressions to the outer (juxj = 1) and inner (juxj = 2) bers, respectively; their o set from the solid line at ber # 42 is labeled. The f-ratio value for the reference cable is marked as a horizontal dashed line. SparseP ak bers approach this lim it when they are least bent and m ore than 2 bers from the edge of the array. Substantial gains could be had by proper term ination of the ber array cable, m ost particularly at the spectrograph end, i.e., by straightening the foot.



Fig. 9. Trends of relative EE vs ber num ber for four output f-ratio: 6.7, 5.7, 5.2, and 4.7. Sym bols are as in Figure 17 and key. D ashed lines are W LS regressions to all data (A kritas & Bershady, 1996), and are labeled for the mean ber output EE for SparseP ak. The dotted line represents the output EE for the reference cable. The solid line at unity is for reference. The Bench Spectrograph optics is designed for a 152m m collim ated beam, which is achieved with the current collim ator in an f/6.7 beam. Hence, in the current con guration this beam only contains between 45-75% of the light (62% on average).



Fig. 10. Fractional output encircled energy (EE) from bers as a function of W IY N Bench Spectrograph collim ator focal ratio (bottom axis) or collim ator focal length (top axis). This assumes a 152m m collim ated beam diam eter and that all bers are fed with a f/6.3 beam. The m ean SparsePak beam pro le (thick, solid line) the range for the 13 m easured SparsePak bers (grey shaded area), and reference cable (thin solid curve) are based on laboratory m easurem ents (cf. Figure 15) using the f/6.3 input beam shown as a thin solid curve with solid circles. Comparable curves, as m easured on the telescope for Densepak (300 m bers), and the two H ydra cables (with \blue," 310 m and \red," 200 m bers), are shown for comparison (private communication, P.Sm ith & C.C onselice; see text for further details). These measurem ents use the W IY N f/6.3 beam (accounting for the central obstruction { see text}, shown as the thin solid curve with open triangles. The very bottom scale (relative spectral degradation) indicates how the spectral resolution of the Bench would alter (worst case) due to changes in system dem agni cation as a function of changes in the collim ator focal length at xed cam era focal length. The current Bench has a f/6.7 collim ator for a 152m m collim ated beam. This gure illustrates the e ects of FRD on light losses for the Bench Spectrograph, and how optim ization trades m ight be m ade between throughput and spectral resolution for redesign of the Bench Spectrograph collim ator.



Fig. 11. Pressing jig for gluing ber arrays, based on a design by S.Barden. The inverted, U-shaped pressing channel, lower right, has a width controllable by a microm eter adjusted translation stage. A 45 mirror (middle-right) is used for inspecting the ber array during construction and curing. The lower diagonal rail is for holding the ber cable, not used in practice. The entire jig is designed to be taken apart and cleaned between presses.



Fig. 12. Focal-ratio degradation (FRD) due to berm is-alignment with the telecentric angle. The elective output f-ratio of the extreme error as a function of berm isalignment from the optical axis (e.g., the telecentric angle) is shown for four input focal-ratios: (i) the W IYN M odi ed Cassegrain port (CassIAS) at f/13.5; (ii) the W IYN N asmyth (bare RC) port (IAS) at f/6.3; (iii) the HET ber instrument feed (FF) at f/4.5; and the SALT ber instrum ent feed at f/4.2. The elects are more pronounced for slow er input beam s, but in general substantial degradation occurs form isalignments above 0.1-0.3. The estimated alignment encrobudget for SparsePak is indicated by the vertical dashed line. In general, the elective output f-ratio, as de ned, e.g., by some encircled energy, will also decrease with berm isalignment, but at a slow er rate depending on the detailed shape of the input beam (see, for example, W ynne 1993).



Fig. 13. Close-up view of the SparsePak head polishing in progress on the Ultrapol Lap polisher. Note the vertical brace behind the bare bers which extends from the double L-bracket head attachment up to the cable term ination. One the L-brackets has padded walls which serves to press the array against the other L-bracket to form a precise, perpendicular alignment of the ber array with the polishing surface. The other L-bracket has U-shaped slot (not seen) for attachment to the Ultrapol Lapping polisher. The brace serves to prevent compression of the bers during polishing. Lubricant is owing through the exible conduit onto the rotating platon containing a polishing disk. A lso visible to the lower right is the Ultrapol polishing arm with precision angle (roll and pitch) and height control. G iven the load of the SparseP ak cable, it was not feasible to use this feature during this stage of the polishing. A lignment of the ber face perpendicular to the platen was m ade relative to the L-bracket face. Note the leg of the cam era tripod, bearing the cable load. The cable is also supported by a mechanical horse in mediately to the left of the image.



Fig. 14. | SparsePak ber head-mount. The ber bundle inserts from the left, and term inates 7.6mm from the right end of the mount. V isible are the three clam -shells, each with two pairs of mounting screws (near pair visible), and a set-screw (top) which serves to press the clam ping-bar onto the array. The channel holding the array and bar is visible at the top.



Fig. 15. Final, polished SparsePak head in its assembled head-mount. The end of the head-mount is beveled to avoid vignetting the outermost bers for input f-ratio slower than f/6; ridging and ocking is to help eliminate scattered light. Back-illumination indicates the active (light) and short, packing bers (dark). On-sky orientation at the W IYN IAS port with zero rotator o set places N upward and E to the left (also as viewed in the W IFOE cam era slit-viewing cam era).



Fig. 16. SparsePak ber-foot and slit-block mounted into its polishing attachment (non-anodized end). While only the bottom portion of the ber-foot is visible in this image, the 90 bend of the bers is clearly visible. The 32mm -long micro-tubes used for the slit block is at the bottom, with glued bers protruding severalmm from the end. During polishing and nal assembly into the foot, a top clamp holds the slit-block in place. The entire slit-block clamping assembly is pinned into the foot to ensure precise location. The toes (not show here) extend from the end of the slit block, tow and the bottom and out of the page.