# Constraining X -ray B inary Jet M odels via R e ection

Sera Marko<sup>1</sup> and Michael A. Now ak

M assachusetts Institute of Technology, Center for Space Research, Rm. NE80-6035, C am bridge, M A 02139

sera, mnowak@space.mit.edu

## ABSTRACT

A lthough therm aldisk em ission is suppressed or absent in the hard state of X-ray binaries, the presence of a cold, thin disk can be inferred from signatures of reprocessing in the 2 50 keV band. The strength of this signature is dependent on the source spectrum and ux impinging on the disk surface, and is thus very sensitive to the system geometry. The general weakness of this feature in the hard state has been attributed to either a truncation of the thin disk, large ionization, or beaming of the corona region away from the disk with 0:3. This latter velocity is comparable to jet nozzle velocities, so we explore whether a jet can account for the observed re ection fractions. It has been suggested that jets m ay contribute to the high-energy spectra of X -ray binaries, via either synchrotron from around 100  $1000 r_{q}$  along the jet axis or from inverse C on pton (synchrotron self-Compton and/or external Compton) from near the base. Here we calculate the rejection fraction from jet models wherein either synchrotron or Compton processes dominate the emission. Using as a quide a data set for GX 339 4, where the relection fraction previously has been estimated as 10%, we study the results for a jet model. We not that the synchrotron case gives < 2% re ection, while a model with predom inantly synchrotron self-C om pton in the base gives 10 18%. This shows for the rst time that an X-ray binary et is capable of signi cant re ection fractions, and that extrem e values of the re ection may be used as a way of discerning the dom inant contributions to the X-ray spectrum .

Subject headings: radiation m echanism s: non-therm al { accretion, accretion disks { black hole physics { X-rays: binaries

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>NSF A stronom y & A strophysics Postdoctoral Fellow

### 1. Introduction

X -ray binaries (XRBs) have been observed in several distinct states, which are characterized by the relative strength of their soft and hard X -ray em ission components, as well as by their variability properties (see, e.g., M cC lintock & Rem illard 2003). In the \standard" m odels (see Reynolds & Nowak 2003, and references therein) the soft component is wellexplained with therm alem ission from a standard thin disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), while the hard power-law component is generally attributed to inverse C ompton (IC) scattering processes. The various m odels currently in existence often have quite di erent seed photons and system geom etries, yet predict sim ilar results for the broad continuum em ission (see N ow ak et al. 2002; M arko et al. 2003). In order to discern between the m odels, therefore, one has to look at ner details which are dependent upon speci c elements of the geom etry.

In the hard state, the power-law component dom inates the therm ald isk em ission over most of the X-ray range. The presence of a cold, thin disk can still be inferred via detection of a soft component in the 0.3 {1 keV band, and via spectral components in the 2 {50 keV band suggesting that a fraction of the hard X -rays is reprocessed or relected from an optically thick surface. The rejection component is characterized by a attening of the power-law 10 keV (e.g., Pounds et al. 1990; George & Fabian 1991), as well as by spectral above features such as an Fe K uorescent line and an Fe edge (see Reynolds & Nowak 2003, for a review). The strength of these components is directly related to the spectrum and ux hitting the disk, and is therefore sensitive to assumptions about the system geometry. For example, in models where the hard X -rays are due to IC in a hot coronal plasm a completely \sandwiching" the disk, the rejection is easily too high for typical X-ray binary spectra (and the self-consistently derived coronal tem peratures are too low; e.g., Stem et al. 1995; D ove et al. 1997a). Thus modi cations have been proposed, such as a recessed thin disk (Dove et al. 1997b; Poutanen et al. 1997), beam ing away from the disk (Reynolds & Fabian 1997; Beloborodov 1999), or large am ounts of ionization of the disk (Ross et al. 1999; Nayakshin & Dove 2001; Done & Nayakshin 2001).

In addition to the standard corona m odels, M arko et al. (2001) proposed that the entire broadband spectrum of hard state XRBs could instead result from synchrotron emission at the beginning of an acceleration region. W hile controversial, this m odel succeeds at explaining the tight correlation of radio and X-ray emission seen in several sources (e.g., GX 339 4, C orbel et al. 2000, 2003; M arko et al. 2003), which, in fact, m ay be a universal correlation in XRB hard states (G allo et al. 2003). It is also the rst m odel to provide a link between the inferred presence of a hot, m agnetized electron plasm a near the inner regions of the central engine to the hot, m agnetized plasm a that we know exists via in aged radio jets (e.g., Stirling et al. 2001). A shortcom ing of these m odels, how ever, is that they have not yet attempted to explain detailed X -ray spectral features such as rejection and iron lines. In this Letter we calculate the expected rejection fraction from several jet models with parameters that provide good descriptions of broad band features (e.g., overall luminosity, at radio spectrum, X -ray spectral slope and cuto ) for 'typical' XRB data sets, such as those shown here for the Galactic source GX 339 4. We then discuss the ensuing constraints on jet models.

### 2. M odelBackground

The amount of X-rays from a jet falling on an element of the disk depends upon the distance between the jet emission zone and disk element, the angle between the jet bulk ow and the line-of-sight to the disk, and the bulk velocity, j, of the jet at that zone. A moderately relativistic ow is not necessarily prohibitive, and in fact the resulting aberration has been invoked as a solution to reduce the relation fraction in hard state sources. Beloborodov (1999) originally proposed this scenario for C yg X-1, and found that they could explain the observed relation fraction if a dynam is corona is beam ed perpendicularly away from the disk with 0.3. Malzac et al. (2001) used a more sophisticated approach to nd that the correlations between relation fraction and spectral index can be explained by varying the bulk ow velocity from 0.3 0.7. These bulk velocities are typical for weak jets; therefore, a careful treatment of relation from jet emission may shed light on how models of dynam is coronae can be united with models of the jet base.



Fig. 1. Schematic of jet models.

Following Beloborodov (1999), the re extion fraction" of a relativistically beam ed corona can be de ned as <math>D = E

$$R() \quad \frac{dP}{d} \stackrel{E}{} \cdot \frac{dP()}{d}; \qquad (1)$$

where dP =d is the emitted power per unit solid angle, cos, is the angle between the direction of coronal bulk motion and the observer's line of sight, and the angular average is over the half of the sky subtended by the disk. In the absence of beam ing, R would be independent of angle and 1, since the disk subtends nearly half the sky as viewed from the dynam ic corona. The same would be true for our jet models, as the distance from the jet base to its outer X -ray emission region is substantially smaller than the outer disk radius. Beam ing serves to reduce the re ection fraction by enhancing the coronal or jet emission along lines of sight in the direction of motion, while simultaneously reducing the amount of emission towards the disk.

In the speci c m odel considered by Beloborodov (1999), the ratio of these two e ects yields a re ection fraction of

$$R = (1 = 2) (1 )3 (1 + )2 : (2)$$

Even for 1, one expects a relection fraction of 3% for = 1=2. Note that a simple answer is obtained as Beloborodov (1999) considers a photon ux per unit energy / E<sup>2</sup>. The spectrum shape, although not its amplitude, is independent of angle, allowing the de nition given above.

For the case of our jet models, the spectrum intercepted by the disk has a similar, but not identical, shape to that of the directly viewed spectrum. This makes a translation into a simple value for 're ection fraction' less straightforward, although one still expects tted values to yield R 3% if 1. Larger re ection fractions can be achieved by decreasing the jet speed and by increasing the fraction of X-ray emission occurring close to the disk surface, e.g., by Compton emission from the jet base.

In the jet models considered to date, there have been propositions for both synchrotron (M arko et al. 2001, 2003) and external IC (E IC) (G eorganopoulos et al. 2002) contributions to the X-rays. In the form erm odels, the components from synchrotron self-C ompton (SSC) and E IC from the disk were calculated self-consistently, but were found to fall below the synchrotron emission for the jet parameters considered. The relative C ompton contributions can be raised if the assumed scale for the jet is expanded, resulting in low er densities and thus allowing higher electron temperatures. In the synchrotron dominant models that we have previously studied, we had assumed the radius of the jet base to be on the order of the event horizon, speci cally, we set  $r_0 = 3r_g = 3 \text{ GM} = c^2$  (M arko et al. 2003). If, on the other hand, the jet base is contiguous with, or generated in, an extended corona, a larger

scale may be more sensible. With this in mind, we have explored a new range of models with  $r_0$  15  $20r_a$ .

The dependence of the calculated spectrum upon the model parameters (jet size scale, jet power, electron tem perature, etc.), and the interdependence of the model parameters given the assumptions of a maxim aliet, are complex. Increasing the scale of the jet base allows one to decrease the electron density as well as the magnetic eld, for a xed equipartition relationship. This allows one to consider electron temperatures up to a few times higher than those used in our previous models (to make up for lost synchrotron ux). The higher electron temperatures lead to greater C om pton em ission relative to synchrotron processes in the X-ray band. It is in portant to note that, com pared to the synchrotron em ission, the Compton emission occurs close to the central black hole in a region of lower bulk velocities 0:3). However, the beam ing is enough to signi cantly reduce the am ount of reprocessed ( disk radiation reaching the jet for inverse C om pton upscattering. The photon eld from the rest fram e synchrotron em ission in the jet is typically orders of m agnitude higher than even the direct disk photon eld. Reprocessed disk radiation will be signi cantly less and thus its feedback on the X-ray spectrum will be negligible. We thus do not include this in the following calculations.

In Fig. 1, we show a schematic of the jet model, indicating the approximate locations of the synchrotron and EIC/SSC emission regions, as well as their bulk velocities. The jet base starts out as a nozzle ow of constant radius moving at the speed of sound,  $_{\rm s} = \frac{p}{(_{\rm a} - 1)=(_{\rm a} + 1)}$  0.4 for our adopted adiabatic index  $_{\rm a} = 4=3$ . In the case of a free jet, which we assume here for simplicity, it accelerates only due to its longitudinal pressure gradient. The velocity pro le of the jet,  $_{\rm j}(z)$ , is then determined by solving the Euler equation along the jet axis (see Falcke 1996). Ignoring terms of order ln  $_{\rm j}$  j, one nds  $_{\rm j}$  j  $' \frac{p}{2} \frac{^2}{(_{\rm a} + 4 \ln (z=z_0))}$ , where  $z_0$  is the length of the nozzle. The jet also expands laterally with  $_{\rm s}$ , and the resultant adiabatic cooling of the particles is taken into account.

M odels and scaling arguments based on active galactic nuclei (AGN) jets suggest that the turnover from optically thick to thin jet synchrotron in XRBs occurs in the IR/NIR.Such a turnover is seen explicitly in a 1981 observation of GX 339 4 (Corbel & Fender 2002). For our model, this corresponds to a region at  $100\{1000 r_g \text{ in the jet, and represents the}$ start of the acceleration zones. In contrast, both SSC and EIC from the disk are strongest at the base of the jet in the nozzle regin e.



Fig. 2. Jet model representative ts for multiwavelength GX 339 4 observations from 1999 M ay 14 (see Nowak, W ilm s, & D ove 2002). a) Synchrotron-dom inated regine similar to what was presented in M arko et al. (2003), but with jet nozzle  $r_0 = 15 r_g$  and electron temperature  $T_e = 7 \quad 10^9$  K, with equipartition parameter k  $B^2=8 = n_e (E_e)dE_e = 3$ . Roughly 10% of the particles are accelerated. b) Synchrotron self-C ompton dom inated regine with jet nozzle  $r_0 = 15 r_g$  and electron temperature  $T_e = 2 \quad 10^{10}$  K, with k= 30. In this t, . 1% of the particles are accelerated, further suppressing the synchrotron component.

### 3. Calculation

#### 3.1. D irect Jet Em ission

In order to test the am ount of rejection expected from both regions in ourm odel, as well as to test the dependence upon the plasm a velocity, we have performed four calculations. We have calculated the spectra of both a synchrotron-dom inated and an SSC-dom inated m odel, and for each type of model we employ  $_{\rm s}$  0:4, as described above, as well as a slower jet model with  $_{\rm s}$  0:3 (with  $_{\rm j}$ (z) scaled accordingly). In Fig. 2 we show representative plots of a synchrotron- and an SSC-dom inated model for one of the simultaneous radio, IR and X-ray data sets used in M arko et al. (2003). This particular data set is from 1999 M ay 14 (RXTE observation 40108-02-03-00, labeled \99.3" in M arko et al. 2003). It represents a source hard state, severalm onths after the return from a prolonged soft state, as the source was fading into quiescence. This particular observation had a rejection fraction of R 0:1 when t by coronalm odels (the eqpair code; Coppi1999).

The models in Fig. 2 have not been convolved with the raw X-ray data through the detector response matrices. We recently have succeeded in importing the jet continuum model into the XSPEC software analysis package<sup>2</sup> (A maud 1996), in order to begin addressing the detailed features of the spectrum. For instance, there has been some question as to whether jet models can describe the shape of the spectrum near the 100 keV cut-o region in the hard state. We will focus on this question in detail elsewhere (Marko & Nowak, in prep.); however, here we include for reference a preliminary gure for the G alactic BHC Cyg X-1 (Fig. 3), which exhibits evidence of a rather steep cut-o. Applying the jet model to the 10 200 keV region, we obtain a very good description of the broadband X-ray continuum, including the turnover region. This is a promising start, but we need to consider further details such as line emission and a soft component. We further need to determ ine how to incorporate the re-ection results presented here into a self-consistent, but also tim e-e cient, tting procedure.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ The t shown below, however, was performed in ISIS v1.1.7 Houck & Denicola (2000), which incorporates all XSPEC models including 'local models', and will allow us to more readily include radio and optical data in future ts.



Fig. 3. Jet model (similar to that shown in Fig. 2b), without rejection components, t to 10-200 keV spectra from the low/hard state of Cyg X-1. This spectrum has been previously presented by Pottschmidt et al. (2003) (rev. 11 in that paper). These data are from the RXTE instruments PCA (10-22 keV; solid diamonds) and HEXTE (18-200 keV; clear circles), extracted using the HEASOFT v5.3 tools. System atic errors of 0.5% have been applied to the PCA data; however, no system atic errors have been applied to HEXTE. The PCA data has been rebinned to have a minimum of 20 counts per bin, while HEXTE has been rebinned to have a minimum of 20 counts per bin, while HEXTE has been rebinned to have a minimum of 8 in each bin. Using ISIS v.1.1.7 (Houck & Denicola 2000) to perform the ts, we obtain a reduced  $^2 = 1.6$  for 173 degrees of freedom. The quality of this data description, including the excellent t to the high energy rollover, is comparable to that of coronal C omptonization ts (Pottschmidt et al. 2003).

### { 10 {

### 3.2. Re ected Jet Em ission

In order to calculate the rejection spectra of the jet, we divide the jet into 80 logarithm ically spaced slices along its axis, from 3  $10^8 r_g$ . The elect of relativistic beaming is applied to the emission from each jet element, and we calculate the angle-dependent ux intercepted by an annulus of the disk, which is divided into 100 logarithm ically spaced annuli between radii of  $6 r_g$  to  $10^5 r_g$ . For a given disk annulus, the total intercepted ux is calculated by integrating the beam ed jet emission over the full extent of the jet. We have not considered the elects of gravitational focusing of the emission towards the disk; how ever, this is likely to be negligible for the synchrotron-dom inated jets where the X-ray emission is coming from  $100\{1000 r_g$ . We are likely, how ever, to be underestimating the amount of rejection from the SSC-dom inated jets where a signi cantification of the emission occurs close to the inner disk.

O urapproach is to calculate the em ission from each segment of the jet as if it were coming from the center of the segment along the jet axis, which is a reasonable approximation from far away. At its very base, however, the jet radius is larger than the inner radius of the disk. In order to account for this region, we perform a separate calculation of the nozzle emission at the jet base. Using = 1 in the beaming factor, we integrate the jet base emission impinging upon the disk out to  $r_0$ . The direct emission from the jet, taking = 0:77, is calculated as described by M arko et al. (2003). We further assume that observer only sees X-ray emission from one side of the jet and disk.

The integrated jet emission hitting each radial bin is then taken and passed through an ionized relection code (pexriv) and then relativistically smeared using a Schwarzschild metric, as appropriate for K eplerian rotation at each radius (see M agdziarz & Zdziarski1995; Zdziarski et al. 1995; Zycki et al. 1997). The speci c usage of these widely available codes was adapted from the eqpair code, where we have taken advantage of the eqpair spline ts of the continuum spectrum before it is passed to the relection routines (C oppi 1999). For Fig. 4 we have taken a neutral disk with solar abundances, and we adopt = 0.77 for the relected spectrum, as with the direct jet emission.

### 4. Results and Discussion

As a rst step towards judging the magnitude of the relation fraction, we can calculate R () as a function of energy by substituting dP = d d into eq.1. The synchrotron-dom inated cases show the smallest overall relation. The ratio is only 1{2% in between energies of 1{100 keV ( $_{s} = 0.3$ ; 0.4). The ratio peaks at 0.3 keV with value 6% and 8% for  $_{s} = 0.3$ 

and  $_{\rm s}$  = 0.4, respectively. The SSC dom insted jet shows significantly more rejection. For  $_{\rm s}$  = 0.3 and  $_{\rm s}$  = 0.4, the ratio is > 10% in between 0.5{23 keV. The former peaks at 18% at 6 keV, while the latter peaks at 17% at 5 keV. Such values of he ection fraction' are comparable to the observed range for GX 339 4, and in fact are larger than the the for this particular observation (N owak et al. 2002).



Fig. 4. Totalm odel spectrum (direct plus rejected – solid line), sum med spectrum incident upon the disk (dashed line), and rejected spectrum (lower solid line). Left: SSC -dom inated jet with  $_{s} = 0.4$ . Right: Synchrotron-dom inated jet with  $_{s} = 0.4$ .

As described above, we have also directly calculated the rejection spectrum expected from ourm odels. We show spectra for the SSC-dom inated jet and the synchrotron-dom inated jet, both with  $_{\rm s}$  = 0:4, in Fig.4. Qualitative di erences are immediately apparent. The SSC-dom inated jet clearly has an overall brighter rejection spectrum, and elects of relativistic smearing are readily visible. These elects are to be expected given both the lower velocities of the SSC-dom inated region (0:3) as well as the fact that this region is closer to the central black hole.

We have chosen a slightly face-on orientation, = 0:77, since there has been some suggestion that this is indeed the case for the GX 339–4 system (W u et al. 2001). Most BHC systems should have a higher inclination, which, given eq. 1, allows for even greater rejection fractions. If we freeze all of our model parameters but instead choose = 0:5, rejection fractions, as de ned by the ratio of the disk-incident spectrum to the directly viewed spectrum, increase. Over the 1{20 keV interval, this ratio is everywhere > 26% for the SSC -dom inated jet with  $_{\rm s}$  = 0:3, and > 7% for the synchrotron-dom inated jet with  $_{\rm s}$  = 0:4. The form erpeaks at 38% at 2 keV and, again, exhibits clear relativistic broadening of the rejection features.

W hile still prelim inary, this is the nst time that the rejection of jet emission of an accretion disk has been calculated for an X-ray binary system. These results show that jets are indeed capable of producing the rejection fraction inferred from the X-ray data, providing further support for a connection between the base of the jet and the corona. We have high-lighted two extreme cases which m ight be applicable to dimensional situations. System s that exhibit very low rejection (. 5%), with sharp (i.e., non-relativistic) features in any rejected spectrum (e.g., XTE J1118+480, Miller et al. 2001), could be synchrotron-dom inated, and clearly rule out SSC-dom inated jets. System s with significantly larger rejection fractions (& 15%) cannot be synchrotron-dom inated, especially if they exhibit features which are unambiguously relativistically smeared. However even in the Compton-dom inated regime, as shown in Fig. 2, synchrotron radiation can contribute & 10% of the ux which, as we discuss further below, will greatly e ect the to data with corona plus rejection models.

For interm ediate values, or values & 30%, other factors need to be considered. For instance, these results assume that the disk is perfectly at, and that the jet is always perpendicular to the disk. Realistically, disks are expected to ared or warped (e.g., D ubus et al. 1999), and several systems also show evidence form isalignment between the jets and outer disks (M accarone 2002). Both of these e ects will serve to increase the relation fraction from the jet, particularly for the synchrotron component. Therefore, we treat these num bers as lower limits.

We would like to emphasize, however, that a signi cant X-ray contribution from jet

synchrotron em ission can greatly alter how one even de nes 're ection fraction' based upon a presum ed single-com ponent, underlying continuum. A s shown in Fig. 3, jet radiation alone can provide a good description of the high energy cuto region. O ne can readily im agine a m odel wherein the soft X -ray region is dom inated by SSC em ission (as in Fig 4b) and/or C om ptonization of external (disk) photons, each with a large covering factor of the disk (i.e., essentially unity re ection fraction, for that component alone). The hard X -ray radiation could then be dom inated by synchrotron radiation with inherently low re ection fraction. The net spectrum would have an interm ediate tted value of 're ection fraction' that does not have a 'geom etric interpretation' entirely appropriate for either the soft or hard em ission com ponents. If the broad-band X -ray continua of hard state BHC are in fact com prised of such multiple com ponents, as in som e of the jet m odels presented here, then this calls into question current interpretations of 're ection fractions' based upon single component ts.

O f course, in order to determ ine whether such multiple spectral components are indeed present in the observations, actual thing of the combined direct plus rejection spectrum needs to be performed. The calculations and models presented here provide vital clues as to how much each process can contribute for this next step. This work has shown that this type of analysis may hold the key to disentangling the emission processes relevant from the accretion in ow and out ow, and place limits on the synchrotron vs. Compton contributions to the hard state spectrum.

W e would like to thank Jon M iller for encouraging us to m ake this calculation, and Jom W ilm s for a careful reading of the m anuscript. S M . is supported by an NSF A stronom y & A strophysics postdoctoral fellow ship, under NSF A ward A ST -0201597. This work has also been supported by NSF G rant INT -0233441 and NASA G rant NAS8-01129.

### REFERENCES

A maud, K.A. 1996, in Astronom ical Data Analysis Software and Systems V, ed.J.H. Jacoby & J.Barnes No. 101 (San Francisco: ASP), 17

Beloborodov, A.M. 1999, ApJ, 510, L123

Coppi, P.1999, PASP Conference Series, 161, 375

Corbel, S. & Fender, R. 2002, ApJ, 573, L35

Corbel, S., Fender, R. P., Tzioum is, A. K., Nowak, M., McIntyre, V., Durouchoux, P., & Sood, R. 2000, A&A, 359, 251

- Corbel, S., Nowak, M., Fender, R. P., Tzioum is, A. K., & Marko, S. 2003, A & A, 400, 1007
- Done, C. & Nayakshin, S. 2001, MNRAS, 328, 616
- Dove, J.B., W ims, J., & Begelman, M.C. 1997a, ApJ, 487, 747
- Dove, J.B., W ilm s, J., M aisack, M.G., & Begelm an, M.C. 1997b, ApJ, 487, 759
- Dubus, G., Lasota, J., Hameury, J., & Charles, P. 1999, MNRAS, 303, 139
- Falcke, H. 1996, ApJ, 464, L67
- Gallo, E., Fender, R.P., & Pooley, G.G. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 60
- Georganopoulos, M., Aharonian, F.A., & Kirk, J.G. 2002, A&A, 388, L25
- George, I.M. & Fabian, A.C. 1991, MNRAS, 249, 352
- Houck, J.C. & Denicola, L.A. 2000, in ASP Conf. Ser. 216: A stronom ical Data Analysis Software and System s IX, Vol. 9, 591
- M accarone, T.J.2002, MNRAS, 336, 1371
- Magdziarz, P. & Zdziarski, A. A. 1995, MNRAS, 273, 837
- Malzac, J., Bebborodov, A.M., & Poutanen, J. 2001, MNRAS, 326, 417
- Marko, S., Falcke, H., & Fender, R. 2001, A & A, 372, L25
- Marko, S., Nowak, M., Corbel, S., Fender, R., & Falcke, H. 2003, A&A, 397, 645
- M cC lintock, J.E. & Rem illard, R.A. 2003, in Compact Stellar X -ray Sources, Eds.W H.G. Lew in and M. van der K lis, Cambridge University Press, in press (astro-ph/0306213)
- Miller, J.M., Ballantyne, D.R., Fabian, A.C., & Lewin, W.H.G. 2001, MNRAS, 555, 477
- Nayakshin, S. & Dove, J.B. 2001, ApJ, 560, 885
- Nowak, M.A., Wilms, J., & Dove, J.B. 2002, MNRAS, 332, 856
- Pottschmidt, K., Wilms, J., Nowak, M. A., Pooley, G. G., Gleissner, T., Heindl, W. A., Smith, D. M., Remillard, R., & Staubert, R. 2003, A&A, 407, 1039
- Pounds, K.A., Nandra, K., Stewart, G.C., George, I.M., & Fabian, A.C. 1990, Nature, 344, 132

- Poutanen, J., Krolik, J.H., & Ryde, F. 1997, MNRAS, 221, 21p
- Reynolds, C.S.& Fabian, A. 1997, MNRAS, 290, 1p
- Reynolds, C.S. & Nowak, M.A. 2003, Phys. Rep., 377, 389
- Ross, R.R., Fabian, A.C., & Young, A. 1999, MNRAS, 306, 462
- Shakura, N. I. & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A & A, 24, 337
- Stern, B.E., Begelman, M.C., Sikora, M., & Svensson, R. 1995, MNRAS, 272, 291
- Stirling, A.M., Spencer, R.E., de la Force, C.J., Garrett, M.A., Fender, R.P., & Ogley, R.N. 2001, MNRAS, 327, 1273
- Wu, K., Soria, R., Hunstead, R.W., & Johnston, H.M. 2001, MNRAS, 320, 177
- Zdziarski, A.A., Johnson, W.N., Done, C., Smith, D., & McNaron-Brown, K. 1995, ApJ, 438, L63
- Zycki, P.T., Done, C., & Sm ith, D.A. 1997, ApJ, 488, L113+

This preprint was prepared with the AAS  $IPT_EX$  m acros v5.0.