Extragalactic UHE proton spectrum and prediction for iron-nuclei ux at 10^8 10^9 GeV

V.S.Berezinsky^{a,b}, S.I.Grigorieva^b, B.I.Hnatyk^c

^a INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67010 Assergi (AQ), Italy

^bInstitute for Nuclear Research, 60th O ctober Revolution prospect 7A, 117312 M oscow, Russia

^cA stronom ical O bærvatory of K iev N ational U niversity, 3 O bærvatorna stræt, 04053 K iev, U kraine

A bstract

We investigate the problem of transition from galactic cosm ic rays to extragalactic ultra high energy cosm ic rays. U sing the model for extragalactic ultra high energy cosm ic rays and observed all-particle cosm ic ray spectrum, we calculate the galactic spectrum of iron nuclei in the energy range 10^8 10^9 GeV. The ux and spectrum predicted at lower energies agree well with the KASCADE data. The transition from galactic to extragalactic cosm ic rays is distinctly seen in spectra of protons and iron nuclei, when they are measured separately. The shape of the predicted iron spectrum agrees with the H all di usion.

Keywords: cosm ic rays, ultra-high energy cosm ic rays, knee PACS: 96.40, 96.40 D e, 98.70 Sa

1 Introduction

There are now convincing evidences that ultra high energy cosm ic rays (UHECR) 10⁴⁸ 8 10° eV are extragalactic protons. These in the energy range 1 evidences include: (i) M easurem ent by H iR es detector [1] of x_{max} in EAS longitudinal developm ent favours protons as prim aries at E 1 10° eV (see Fig. 1), (ii) The energy spectra measured by Akeno-AGASA [2], Fly's Eye [3], HiRes [4] and Yakutsk [5] detectors clearly show the dip [6] (see Fig 2), which is a signature of interaction of extragalactic protons with CMB radiation, (iii) 10 eV is seen in all data. The beginning of the GZK cuto up to E 8 including that of AGASA (see Fig. 2).



Fig.1. The HiRes data [1] on m ass composition (prelim inary). The m easured x_{max} at E 1 10^{18} eV are in a good agreement with QGSJet-Corsika prediction for protons.

It is interesting to note that the excess, detected by AGASA [7] at E 10^8 eV from directions to the galactic sources, Galactic Center and Cygnus, may be naturally interpreted as evidence of di use extragalactic ux at E 10^{18} eV. Indeed, if transition from galactic to extragalactic di use ux occurs due to failure of magnetic con nem ent in the Galaxy, the uncon ned ux from the galactic sources should becom e visible above energy of the transition.

On the other hand, not all experimental data agree with pure proton composition at E 1 10^8 eV.W hile data of H iR es M IA [8] and Yakutsk [9] support such composition, the data of other detectors, such as F ly's Eye [10], H averah Park [11] and A keno [12] favour the mixed composition at E 1 10^8 eV. The indirect con mation of the proton composition at E 1 10^8 eV com es from the KASCADE data [13], which show disappearance of the heavy nuclei from the CR ux at much low er energies.

UHECR have a problem with the highest energy events. First of all, 11 AGASA events at E 1 $1\hat{d}^0$ eV comprise signi cant excess (not seen by HiRes) over predicted ux (see Fig. 2). This excess m ay be interpreted as the new component of UHECR, probably due to one of the top-down scenarios (see [14]). Even if to exclude the AGASA data from analysis, the problem with particles at E > 1 $1\hat{d}^0$ eV remains. There are three events with E > $1\hat{d}^0$ eV observed by other detectors, namely, one Fly's Eye event with



Fig. 2. Comparison of calculated spectra for non-evolutionary model (see the text) with observational data. There is a good agreement of a dip centered at E 1 10^{19} eV with all data. The dip is produced due to pair-production p+ _{CMB} ! p+e⁺ + e on CMB radiation.AGASA excess needs for its explanation another component of UHECR (shown by dashed curve), which can be due to one of the top-down scenarios [14].

 $1\hat{\theta}^0$ eV, one H iR es event with E 1:8 10° eV and one Yakutsk Е 3 1:0 $1\hat{\theta}^0$ eV. The attenuation length of protons with energies event with E 10° eV is only 20 - 30 M pc.W ith correlations of UHECR directions (23) with AGN (BL Lacs) observed at (4 8) 1^b eV [15], the AGN must be observed in the direction of these particles. If to exclude the correlations with AGN from analysis, the propagation in the strong magnetic elds becomes feasible [16]. Nevertheless, also in this case the lack of the nearby sources in the direction of highest energy events (e.g. at E 3 10° eV) remains a problem for reasonable local eld H 1 nG. Indeed, the de ection angle

 $_{att}=r_{H}$ = 3.7 H $_{nG}$ is small, and the source should be seen within this angle.

A nother problem with UHECR exists at its low energy edge. The transition from galactic to extragalactic cosm ic rays may occur at position of the second knee. The energy of the second knee varies from 4 10^{17} eV to 8 10^{17} eV in di erent experiments (F ly's Eye { 4 10^{17} eV [3], A keno { 6 10^{17} eV [17], H iRes { 7 10^{17} eV [4] and Yakutsk { 8 10^{17} eV [5]). This energy is close to E 1 10^{18} eV, where according to the H iRes data [8] protons start to dom inate the ux. On the other hand, KASCADE data [13] show disappearance of galactic cosm ic rays at much lower energy. In Fig. 3 one can see that positions of the proton, helium, carbon and iron knees can be



Fig. 3. The calculated spectra of extragalactic protons (thick dashed curve labeled \extr. p") and of galactic iron nuclei (dash-dotted thick curve labeled \Fe m odel") in comparison with the Akeno and KASCADE data. The values of $_{\rm g}$ and E_c used in the calculations are indicated in each panel. The all-particle spectrum of Akeno is shown by lled triangles and by solid curve. The sum of the curves \extr. p" and \Fe m odel" is exactly equal to all-particle spectrum of Akeno, given by curve \AKENO". The data of KASCADE for di erent nuclei are shown as : protons { by lled circles, helium { by diam onds, carbon { by stars, and iron { by inverted triangles. The thin full curves sm oothly connect the data points. The arrows labeled by p, He, C and Fe show the positions of corresponding knees, calculated as $E_z = Z E_p$, with $E_p = 2.5 \quad 10^6$ GeV. O ne can notice the satisfactory agreem ent between calculated and observed positions of the knees.

tentatively accepted as 2:5 10^5 eV, 5:5 10^5 eV, 1:8 10^6 eV, and 7:0 10^6 eV, respectively (see arrows in Fig. 3).

How the gap between the knee for iron nuclei and the beginning of the extragalactic component is lled? W hy the transition reveals itself in the form of hardly noticeable feature in all-particle spectrum?

2 Themodel

W e will construct here the phenom enological model, which predicts the spectrum of iron nuclei in the energy range 8 10° 1 10° GeV. Following Ref. [6], we will calculate the spectrum of extragalactic protons in the energy range 10° 10° GeV. Subtracting this proton spectrum from the observed all-particle spectrum (we shall use A keno-AGASA data), we obtain residual spectrum, which, inspired by the KASCADE data, we assume to be comprised by iron nuclei. This spectrum will be compared with that measured by KAS-CADE. The justic cation of thism odel consists in conmation of the dip in the calculated proton spectrum by observations. One of the results is description of transition from galactic to extragalactic cosm is rays. The predicted physical quantity is the ux of iron nuclei and their spectrum at 10° GeV.

2.1 Spectrum of extragalactic protons

W e will calculate the extragalactic proton spectrum, following Ref. [6], in the model with the following assumptions.

W e assume the generation spectrum of a source

$$Q_{g}(E_{g};z) = \frac{L_{p}(z)}{\ln \frac{E_{c}}{E_{min}} + \frac{1}{g^{2}}} q_{gen}(E_{g}); \qquad (1)$$

with

$$q_{gen}(E_g) = \begin{cases} 8 \\ \gtrless \\ 1 = E_g^2 \\ \vdots \\ E_c^2 (E_g = E_c) \end{cases} at E_g E_c$$
(2)

The di use spectrum is calculated as

$$J_{p}(E) = \frac{C}{4} \frac{L_{0}}{\ln \frac{E_{c}}{E_{min}} + \frac{1}{g^{2}}} \int_{0}^{\frac{2}{2} ax} dtq_{gen} (E_{g}(E;z);E) \frac{dE_{g}}{dE};$$
(3)

where $L_0 = n_s L_p$ is emissivity (with n_p and L_p being the comoving density of the sources and lum inosity, respectively), $E_g (E;z)$ is generation energy of a proton at epoch z, $dE_g = dE$ is given in Refs. [18,19] as

$$\frac{dE_{g}(z_{g})}{dE} = (1 + z_{g}) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{H_{0}} \frac{z^{E_{g}}}{dz_{g}} \frac{(1 + z)^{2}}{(1 + z)^{3} + z^{3} + z^{3}$$

where $b_0 (E)$ is the proton energy loss dE = dt at z = 0, and dt is given as

$$dt = \frac{dz}{H_0 (1+z)} \frac{dz}{m (1+z)^3 +};$$
(5)

with H_0 ; m; and being the Hubble constant, relative cosm obgical density of matter and that of vacuum energy, respectively.

In calculation of the ux according to Eq. (3) we use the non-evolutionary model, taking L_0 not dependent on redshift z. Since we are interested in energies E 1 10 GeV the maximum redshift appears in Eq. (3) automatically due to sharp increase of $E_g(z)$ at large z. The control calculations with $z_{max} = 4$ give the the identical results. Notice that in evolutionary models the calculated ux is sensitive to $\frac{1}{2} max$.

The calculated spectrum is displayed in Fig. 2 with $E_c = 1$ 10° GeV and g = 2:7 in comparison with observational data. In the further calculations we $x_g = 2:7$ as the best to the observational data displayed in Fig. 2 and consider E_c as a free parameter.

2.2 Galactic iron spectrum and transition from galactic to extragalactic cosm ic rays

In Fig. 4 we show the iron galactic spectrum found as subtraction of the extragalactic proton spectrum calculated above, from all-particle spectrum (A keno-AGASA). The transition from galactic to extragalactic cosm ic rays occurs at crossing of proton and iron spectra, which is very noticeable and occurs at energies 1:5 10^6 GeV, 3:6 10^6 GeV and 6:2 10^6 GeV for the curves $1-1^0$, $2-2^0$ and $3-3^0$, respectively. In Fig. 5 the fraction of iron nuclei in the total ux is shown as function of energy. Notice, that in our model in energy range (1 10) 1° GeV the total ux is comprised only by protons and iron. The H averah Park data [11] con m that it can be the case. The analysis of the H averah Park data has been performed for energy-independent com position, how ever comparison of the obtained composition (34% of protons and 66% of iron) with our average value favours large E_c.

The ux of iron nuclei calculated here t well the KASCADE data at energy E 10° GeV and below (see Fig. 3).

W hy there is no pronounced feature in the total spectrum which corresponds to transition from galactic to extragalactic component? Such feature is inevitably faint when both components have approximately equal spectrum exponents. This is our case: the Akeno spectrum up to 7 10° GeV is characterised



Fig. 4. Calculated spectrum of extragalactic protons (curves 1; 2; 3) and of galactic iron spectra (curves $1^0; 2^0; 3^0$) compared with all-particle spectrum from Akeno and AGASA experiments. The galactic iron spectrum is obtained by subtraction of the calculated proton spectrum from the all-particle spectrum. The pairs of curves 1 and 1^0 , 2 and 2^0 , 3 and 3^0 correspond to E_c equal to 3 10^8 GeV, 1 10^9 GeV, and 2 10^9 GeV, respectively. The intersections of the curves 1 1^0 , 2 2^0 and 3 3^0 give the transition from galactic (iron) to extragalactic (protons) components, which occurs at 1:5 10^8 GeV, 3:6 10^8 GeV and 6:2 10^8 GeV, respectively. The KASCADE data are shown by 1ed squares for all-particle uxes and by open circles – for iron nuclei uxes.

by = 3:0, while at higher energies – by = 3:25. However, one can see from Fig.3 that intersection of extragalactic proton spectrum (\extr.p") and galactic iron spectrum (curve \Fe-m odel") is characterised by quite prom inent feature. Therefore, m easuring the spectra of iron and protons separately, one can observe the transition quite distinctively.

2.3 V isibility of galactic sources at E & 1 10° G eV

W e argued above that at energy $E > E_c$ 1 10 GeV the extragalactic ux dom inates. However, as the AGASA observations show [7] at these energies the excess of events in the direction of som e galactic sources is observed. We shall show in this section that visibility of galactic sources at $E > E_c$ is a natural prediction of our model.



Fig. 5. Fraction of iron nuclei in the total ux as function of energy.

If galactic sources accelerate particles to energies higher than 1 10° GeV their \direct" ux can be seen, while the produced di use ux should be smallbecause of short con nem ent time in the galaxy. If generation spectrum is dom inated by protons, the \direct" ux must be seen as the protons, while the di use galactic ux is presented by the heaviest nuclei.

We shall argue here that galactic point sources should be observed as the extensive sources, as it occurs in the AGASA observations [7].

P ropagation of the protons from the galactic sources takes place in quasidi usive regime with the large di usion coe cient. The protons arrive at an observer along a trajectory in the regular galactic magnetic eld, while the small-angle scattering in the random magnetic eld provides the angular distribution of protons in respect with the main direction along the trajectory. Because of the large proton energy, the scattering occurs mainly on the basic scale of the turbulent (random) magnetic eld $\frac{1}{2}$ 100 pc. The scattering angle corresponds to $h^2 i = l_c^2 = r_L^2$, where r_L is the Larm or radius in the basic magnetic eld. U sing $hB_2^2 i = (2=3)hB^2 i$ for the eld perpendicular to a particle trajectory one obtains

h²i =
$$\frac{2}{3}e^{2}I_{c}^{2}hB^{2}i=E^{2};$$
 (6)

where e is electric charge of the proton.

A fter n scattering in the random magnetic eld the angle with respect to the

direction of the regular trajectory becomes [21,22] h²i = $\frac{1}{3}$ nh²i.

U sing $n = r=l_c$, where r is a distance to the source along the regular trajectory, one nally obtains

h²i =
$$\frac{2}{9}e^{2}rl_{e}hB^{2}i=E^{2};$$
 (7)

sim ilar to form ulae used in Ref. [23,24].

The distribution of arriving particles over the angles is the norm alG aussian, with dispersion = h^2 i. For a distance r = 10 kpc, B = 1 G and E = 1 10° G eV one obtains = 25 in a good agreement with the AGASA observations.

At larger energies and smaller distances the sources are seen as the point-like ones.

3 Discussion and conclusions

O ne of the assumptions of our model is that at high energies $E > 1 = 10^{\circ} \text{ GeV}$ only iron nuclei as galactic component survive. This assumption is inspired rst of all by the KASCADE data (see Fig. 3) which show how light nuclei gradually disappear from the spectrum with increasing the energy. This result

is in the accordance with other experim ents, e.g. [20], which also demonstrate that the mean atom ic weight hA i (and hence hZ i) increases with energy.

The good agreem ent of calculated iron spectrum with that m easured by KAS-CADE at E 10° GeV m ight be delusive. The published spectra are still prelim inary and they are slightly di erent in di erent publications. In e ect, it is more proper to speak only about rough agreem ent of calculated spectrum with one m easured by KASCADE. On the other hand, we have a free param – eter E_c with help of which we can tour spectrum to the KASCADE data. Such twould restrict our predictions for the Fe/p ratio at higher energies (see Fig. 5).

In principle there are three classes of models explaining the knee (for a review and references see [25]):

(i) Rigidity-dependent exit of cosm ic rays from the Galaxy, e.g. [26{28].

(ii) Rigidity-dependent acceleration [29{31].

(iii) Interaction-produced knee [32,33].

Formore references see [25].

As far as the spectra are concerned, the st two classes can result in identical conclusions. For example the spectra of nuclei in Ref. [29] with acceleration in presupernova winds have the same rigidity dependence as in the models of class (i). We shall restrict ourselves in further discussion by models of class (i).

The data of KASCADE (Fig. 3) are compatible with rigidity dependent knees for di erent nuclei, as it must be in models (i) and as it might be in models (ii).

The positions of the proton, helium, carbon and iron knees in the KASCADE data can be tentatively accepted as 2:5 10 GeV, 5:5 10[°] GeV, 1:8 10⁷ GeV, and 7.0 10[°] GeV, respectively (see arrows in Fig. 3). The accuracy of the knee positions is not good enough and is di erent for di erent knees. W hile position of the proton knee, E_{p} 2:5 10 G eV, agrees with m any other measurements (see [25] for a review), the position (and even existence) of the iron knee is uncertain. The energies given above should be considered not more than indication. The future K ascade-G rande [34] data will clarify the situation with the iron knee. However, it is interesting to note that the positions of the nuclei knees, taken above from the KASCADE data, coincide well with simple rigidity model of particle propagation in the Galaxy. In this model $E_z = Z E_p$, where Z is a charge of a nucleus. Taking $E_p = 2.5 = 10^6$ G eV, one obtains for helium, carbon and iron knees $E_{He} = 5.0 \quad 10^{\circ} \text{ GeV}$, $E_{C} = 1.5 \quad 10^{\circ} \text{ GeV}$ and $E_{Fe} = 6.5$ 10 GeV, respectively, in a good agreem ent with the KASCADE data cited above (see Fig. 3).

In the rigidity-dependent models (i) one can predict the spectrum shape below and above the knee. Bebw the knee, in the case of the Kolm opprov spectrum of random magnetic elds the di usion coe cient D (E) / $\dot{E}^{=3}$, and the generation index for galactic cosm ic rays is $_{g} = 2.7$ 0:33 2:35. If above the knee D (E) / E^k , the spectral index = $_q + k$. At extremely high energy, when the Larm or radius $r_{\!\scriptscriptstyle \rm L}$ becomes much larger than the basic scale of magnetic eld coherence l, the di usion reaches the asymptotic regime with D (E) / E². In this case = 4:35. The critical energy is $E_{cr} = Z e B_0 l_c =$ 2:4 10° GeV for iron (Z=26), where $l_{c} = 100$ pc and the regular magnetic 1 G. Therefore, the asymptotic regime with eld on the basic scale is B_0 = 4:35 is valid at E 2:4 10°G eV and in the energy region of interest the interm ediate regime with non-power law spectrum may occur.

Below the knee the longitudinal di usion (along the regular ebB_0) dom in the nates. It is provided by condition of strong magnetizing. At higher energy the role of transverse di usion might be important. An example of it can be given by the Hall di usion [26,22], associated with the drift of particles across the regular magnetic eld. In this case above the knee $E > ZE_p$, D(E) / E and = 3:35.

In our model the iron spectra are di erent for the cases 1° (E_c = 3 10° GeV), 2° (E_c = 1 10° GeV) and 3° (E_c = 2 10° GeV) (see Fig. 4). In fact all spectra are not power-law, but in the elective power-law approximation they can be roughly characterised by 3:9 for spectrum 1° , 3:4 for spectrum 2° and = 3:3 for spectrum 3° . These spectra, especially 2° and 3° are consistent with the H all di usion, which predicts = 3:35.

If galactic sources accelerate particles to energy higher than $1 \quad 10^{\circ} \text{GeV}$, they should be observed in extragalactic background by the \direct" ux. Due to multiple scattering of protons in the galactic magnetic eld the sources look as extensive ones with the typical angular size 20 at distance 10 kpc.

In conclusion, the model for UHE proton propagation (Section 2) combined with measured all-particle spectrum (taken as Akeno-AGASA data) predicts the galactic iron spectrum in energy range 1 10° 1 10° GeV. The predicted ux agrees well at E 8 1° OG eV and below with the KASCADE data. The transition from galactic to extragalactic cosm ic rays occurs in the energy region of the second knee and is distinctly seen if iron and proton spectra are measured separately. In all-particle spectrum this transition is characterised by a faint feature because spectral indices of galactic component at E < 1 10° GeV and extragalactic component at E > 1 10° GeV are close to each other (= 0.25). The spectrum of iron nuclei in 1 10° 1 10° GeV energy range agrees with the H all di usion.

4 A cknow ledgm ents

We thank transmational access to research infrastructures (TARI) program through the LNGS TARI grant contract HPRI-CT-2001-00149. This work is partially supported by Russian grants RFBR 03-02-16436a, RFBR 04-02-16757 and LSS -1782.2003.2.

We thank V. Ptuskin and our collaborators in TARI project R. Albisio and A. Gazizov for valuable discussions.

References

 P. Sokolsky, \The High Resolution Fly's Eye - Status and Preliminary Results on Cosm ic Ray Composition above 10¹⁸ eV ", Proc. of SPIE Conf on Instrum entation for Particle A strophysics, Hawaii, (2002);

G. Archbold and P.V. Sokolsky (for the HiRes Collaboration), Proc. of 28th International Cosm ic Ray Conference, 405 (2003).

[2] M. Takeda et al (AGASA collaboration), A stroparticle Phys. 19, 447 (2003).

[3] D.J.Bird et al, Ap.J. 441, 144 (1995).

D.J.Bird et al (Fly's Eye collaboration), Ap.J., 424, 491 (1994).

- [4] T.Abu-Zayyad et al (HiRes collaboration) 2002a, astro-ph/0208243;
 T.Abu-Zayyad et al (HiRes collaboration) 2002b, astro-ph/0208301.
- [5] A.V.G lushkov et al (Yakutsk collaboration), JETP Lett. 71, 97 (2000);
 A.V.G lushkov and M.I.Pravdin, JETP Lett. 73, 115 (2001).
- [6] V.S.Berezinsky, A.Z.Gazizov, and S.I.Grigorieva, Proc. of Int. Workshop "Extrem ely High Energy Cosm ic Rays" (eds M. Teshim a and T. Ebisuzaki) Universal A cadem y Press Inc., Tokyo, Japan, 63 (2003), astro-ph/0302483.
- [7] N. Hayashida et al (AGASA collaboration), Astroparticle Physics 10, 303 (1999).
- [8] T.Abu-Zayyad et al, Astrophys. J. 557, 686 (2001);
 T.Abu-Zayyad et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., 84, 4276 (2000).
- [9] A.V.Glushkov et al (Yakutsk collaboration), JETP Lett. 71, 97 (2000).
- [10] D. Bird et al, Phys Rev. Lett., 71, 4276 (1993).
- [11] M. Ave et al, A stroparticle Phys., 19, 61 (2003).
- [12] K. Shinozakiet al, Proc. of 28th International Cosm ic Ray Conference (ICRC), 1,401 (2003).
 - K.Shinozakiet al, Ap.J. 571, L117 (2002);
 - T.Doietal, Proc. 24th ICRC (Rome) 2, 685 (1995).
- [13] K.H.Kampert et al (KASCADE-Collaboration), Proceedings of 27th ICRC, volum e "Invited, Rapporteur, and Highlight papers of ICRC", 240 (2001); J.R.Hoerandelet al (KASCADE Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 110, 453 (2002).
- [14] R. Aloisio, V. Berezinsky, M. Kachelriess, hep-ph/0307279.
- [15] P.G.Tinyakov and I.I.Tkachev, JETP Lett., 74, 445 (2001).
- [16]G.Sigl, M.Lemoine, P.Bierm ann, Astroparticle Phys., 10, 141 (1999);
 D.Harari, S.Mollerach, E.Roulet, JHEP 0207, 006 (2002);
 H.Yoshiguchi et al, Ap.J., 586, 1211 (2003).
- [17] M. Nagano et al, J. Phys. G. Nucl. Part. Phys., 18, 423 (1992).
- [18] V.S.Berezinsky and S.I.Grigorieva, Astron. Astroph., 199, 1 (1988).
- [19] V.S.Berezinsky, A.Z.Gazizov, and S.I.Grigorieva, 2002a, hep-ph/0204357;
 V.S.Berezinsky, A.Z.Gazizov, and S.I.Grigorieva, 2002b, astro-ph/0210095.
- [20] K. Rawlins (for SPASE and AMANDA collaborations), Proc. of 28th International Cosm ic Ray Conference, 173 (2003).
- [21] C.Alcock and S.Hatchett, Ap.J., 222, 456 (1978).

[22] D. Harari, S. Mollerach, E. Roulet and F. Sanchez, JHEP 0203, 045 (2002).

- [23] E W axm an and J.M iralda-Escude, ApJ., 472, L 89 (1999).
- [24] G Sigl, F M iniati, T Ensslin, astro-ph/0401084
- [25] J.R.Hoerandel, Astroparticle Phys. 19, 193 (2003);Hoerandel J.R. et al (KASCADE Collaboration), astro-ph/0311478.
- [26] V.S.Ptuskin et al, Astron. and Astroph. 268, 726 (1993).
- [27] A.A.Lagutin, Yu.A.Nikulin, V.V.Uchaikin, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suupl), 97, 267 (2001).
- [28] J. Candia, E. Roulet, L. N. Epele, JHEP 0212, 033 (2002).

[29] P.L.Bierm ann et al, 2003, astro-ph/0302201

- [30] E.G. Berezhko, L.T.K senofontov, JETP 89, 391 (1999).
- [31] A.D.Erlykin and A.W. Wolfendale, J.Phys.G.: Nucl. Part. Phys., 27, 1005 (2001).
- [32] W. Tkachyk, Proc. 27th Int. Cosm ic Ray Conf., Hamburg, 5, 1979 (2001);
 S.Karacula and W. Tkachyk, A stroparticle Phys., 1, 229 (1993).
- [33] J. Candia, L.N. Epele, E. Roulet, A stropart. Phys., 17, 23 (2002).
- [34] K.H.Kampert et al. (the KASCADE G rande Collaboration), Proceedings of "X II International Symposium on Very H igh Energy Cosm ic Ray Interactions", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland 15-20 July 2002, astro-ph/0212347