HIGH ENERGY EMISSION FROM IGR J16320-4751

L. Foschini¹, J. A. Tomsick², J. Rodriguez^{3,4}, R. Walter⁴, A. Goldwurm³, S. Corbel^{3,5}, and P. Kaaret⁶

¹IASF-CNR, Sezione di Bologna, Via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna (Italy)

²Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

³CEA Saclay, DSM/DAPNIA/SAp (CNRS FRE 2591), 91191, Gif–sur–Yvette Cedex, France

⁴INTEGRAL Science Data Centre, Chemin d'Ecogia 16, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland

⁵Université de Paris VII, Fédération APC, 2 place Jussieu, 75251 Paris Cedex 05, France

⁶Harvard–Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

ABSTRACT

IGR J16320 4751 was re-discovered by IBIS/ISGRI on board *INTEGRAL* in early February 2003 during the observation of the black hole candidate 4U1630 47 (PI Tomsick). This source, already observed by ASCA and BeppoSAX, belongs to the class of heavily absorbed objects ($N_{\rm H} > 10^{23}$ cm²) that populate some arms of the Galaxy. Soon after the rediscovery by IBIS/ISGRI, the source was observed by *XMM-Newton*: the arcsec position found with XMM allowed ones to find the most likely infrared counterpart. We present here the reanalysis of the high energy emission from IGR J16320 4751 detected by IBIS/ISGRI, including the spectral and temporal characteristics. We also present a reanalysis of the *XMM-Newton* and optical/IR data.

Key words: X-rays: binaries; X-rays: individuals: IGR J16320-4751.

1. INTRODUCTION

IGR J16320 4751 was serendipitously discovered on February 1.4, 2003 UT, with the IBIS/ISGRI detector (Ubertini et al. 2003, Lebrun et al. 2003) on board the INTEGRAL satellite during the AO1 observation of the black hole candidate 4U 1630 47 (PI Tomsick). $= 16^{h}$: 32^{m} : 0 and The coordinates (J2000) were 47 : 51^{m} :0, with an uncertainty of 2^{0} (Tomsick et al. 2003a). A guick archival research allowed ones to find immediately the X-ray counterpart in the ASCA catalog, as the source AX J1631:9 4752 (Sugizaki et al. 2001). IGR J16320 4751 is therefore apparently located in the Norma Arm of the Galaxy, an active star forming region distant from the Sun about 5 kpc (Georgelin & Georgelin 1976, Russeil 2003).

A Target of Opportunity request (ToO) with *XMM*-*Newton* was immediately activated and it found one single source inside the error circle of ISGRI. The coordinates (J2000) were $= 16^{h} : 32^{m} : 01^{s}$:9 and = $47 : 52^{\text{m}} : 29^{\text{s}}$ with an uncertainty of 4^{CO} (Rodriguez et al. 2003a). In this reduced error circle it was possible to identify two reliable infrared counterparts in the 2MASS catalog (Tomsick et al. 2003b).

A preliminary analysis of the *XMM-Newton* observation, together with the identification of the infrared counterpart was presented in Rodriguez et al. (2003b). Here we present a reanalysis of the *INTEGRAL* AO1 observation, together with a reanalysis of the *XMM-Newton* ToO data.

2. IN TEGRAL DATA ANALYSIS

INTEGRAL observed the Norma region from 1 February 2003 05^{h} : 40^{m} : 58^{s} to 5 February 2003 07^{h} : 53^{m} : 01^{s} UT for an elapsed time of 300 ks and with the dither pattern 5 5. The screening, reduction, and analysis of the IBIS/ISGRI data have been performed by using the *IN*-*TEGRAL* Offline Scientific Analysis (OSA) v. 3 (Goldwurm et al. 2003a), available to the public through the *INTEGRAL* Science Data Centre¹ (ISDC).

2.1. Imaging

An initial analysis was performed by deconvolving the ISGRI shadowgrams to obtain images. IGR J16320 4751 was detected in the imaging pipeline in the energy bands 20 40 keV (signal-to-noise ratio SN R = 30) and 40 60 keV (SN R = 11). The mosaic images are shown in Fig. 1. Given the present uncertainties in the validation of the software for the off-axis sources, it was adopted the same procedure described by Goldoni et al. (2003). We divided the count rate of IGR J16320 4751 by the count rate of the Crab in a similar off-axis angle, extracted from the calibration observations. We added a 5% of systematic error, to take into account the residual fluctuations in the count rate (cf Goldwurm et al. 2003b). The calculated flux is $(8:0 \quad 0:5) \quad 10^{11} \text{ erg cm}^2 \text{ s}^1$

¹http://isdc.unige.ch/index.cgi?Soft+download



*Figure 1. IBIS/ISGRI mosaic of the Norma region centered on the BH 4U*1630 47. (left) *Energy band* 20 40 keV, (right) *Energy band* 40 60 keV, with a total exposure of 297 ks. Equatorial coordinates are superimposed.

and $(2.0 \ 0.2)$ 10 ¹¹ erg cm ² s ¹, in the energy bands 20 40 keV and 40 60 keV respectively. No significant detection was recorded at higher energy.

2.2. Timing

IGR J16320 4751 was detected in most of the individual ScWs with 3 < SN R < 6. Therefore, to study the variability of the source it was decided to rebin the lightcurve so that each time bin contains 8 ScW, corresponding approximately to 15 20 ks. The obtained lightcurve shows a clear outburst at the time of the discovery, plus some other periods with a certain variability (Fig. 2). During the outburst, the flux in the band 20 40 keV raised from about 7 8 mCrab to 30 mCrab (Fig. 2, *left*). The time scale of the variations occurs on 10^4 s or even more. A similar behaviour is clearly seen in the 40 60 keV energy band (Fig. 2, *right*).

Since in a coded-mask instrument the brightest sources in the field of view (FOV) can – under certain conditions – significantly affect the detection of the other nearby sources, we investigated also the time variability of the three brightest sources in the FOV, namely $4U1630 \quad 47$, $4U1700 \quad 377$, and GX340 + 0. All the analysed sources display different variability patterns and therefore we conclude that the variability of IGR J16320 $\quad 4751$ is genuine.

2.3. Spectral extraction

To extract the spectrum of the whole observation and also during the outburst we used both the spectral extraction pipeline and the results from the image analysis, to perform a check of the results. This is necessary to take into account that IGR J16320 4751 is located in a crowded region, with at least two nearby sources (4U 1630 47 and IGR J16318 4848), and therefore the different approach in the deconvolution of images and extraction of spectra (see Goldwurm et al. 2003a for a full explanation of the algorithms) could generate results not always consistent each others.

For the spectral extraction pipeline we rebinned the latest RMF matrix from the original 2048 channels to 21 channels, by putting all the channels above 200 keV into the last channel of the rebinned matrix. The remaining channels in the range 15 200 keV were grouped in bin of about 10 keV in size. This approach has been selected to emphasize the energy range 15 200 keV and to have enough statistics to perform the ² test in the spectral fit with x spec (v 11.3). Nonetheless, the source is faint and does not allow a fit with multiple component models. We decided to fit with a simple power law model to measure if there is hardening/softening during the outburst.

The spectrum of the whole observation has $= 3 \pm 0.9$, with a flux of about 9 $\pm 10^{\pm 11}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ in the energy band 20 ± 60 keV, consistent with the results from the imaging analysis. Also in the spectral extraction pipeline no flux is detected for energies greater than ± 60 keV.

The spectrum extracted during of the outburst (bins 2-4 in Fig. 2) has the photon index varying from 3:1 to



*Figure 2. IBIS/ISGRI lightcurves of IGR J*16320 4751 *in the energy band 20* 40 *keV* (left) *and 40* 60 *keV* (right). *Each bin is composed of 8 ScW, corresponding to about 15* 20 *ks. Upper limits are at 3 level.*



Figure 3. EPIC–PN image in the 0.2 12 keV energy band, with 5 ks of exposure (i.e. cleaned from soft-proton flares). The IBIS/ISGRI error circle is superimposed. It is clearly visible only one source inside the error circle.

2:6, therefore slightly harder than that of the whole observation, with a peak flux of 2 10^{10} erg cm² s¹ in the 20 60 keV energy band.

During the "normal" activity, investigated only with the imaging pipeline, IGR J16320 4751 is barely detected in the energy band 40 60 keV (Fig. 2, *right*), with the exception of the outburst.

The hardness ratio (not shown), calculated by using the fluxes in the two energy bands (20 40 keV and 40 60 keV), is in agreement with the results of the spectral extraction pipeline, and confirms the softness of the source during almost the whole observation.

3. XMM NEW TON DATA ANALYSIS

XMM-Newton observed IGR J16320 4751 as a Target of Opportunity (ToO) from 4 March 2003 20^{h} : 20^{m} :

38^s UT to 5 March 2003 03^{h} : 47^{m} : 18^{s} UT, with an elapsed time of about 26 ks. Due to soft-proton flares, the effective exposure was about 5 ks, but sufficient to clearly identify (uncertainty < $4^{\circ\circ}$) the X-ray counterpart at coordinates (J2000) = 16^{h} : 32^{m} : 01^{s} :9 and =

47 :52^m :29^s (Rodriguez et al., 2003a,b; see Fig. 3). An early analysis of the *XMM-Newton* data (ToO) were published in Rodriguez et al. (2003b). The lightcurve in the 5 ks clean from soft–proton flares showed a time variability on time scales of 100 s, while the spectrum was found to be harder than that of ISGRI, fitted with an absorbed power law with photon index = $1:6^{+0.2}_{0.1}$ and N_H = $(2:1^{+0.2}_{0.1})$ 10²³ cm² (² = 55:9, d.o.f.= 69). The unabsorbed flux in the 2 10 keV energy band was 1:7 10¹¹ erg cm² s¹. We refer the reader to the paper by Rodriguez et al. (2003b) for more details.

For the present work, we decided to reanalyze the XMM-Newton data to extract the better spectrum. We used still the XMM SAS v. 5.4.1 software to process and screening the data and the same procedures described in Rodriguez et al. (2003b). We adopted a different procedure only to extract the spectrum. Since the source is bright enough to be clearly visible still in the flared image, we avoided the selection of a time region not affected by soft-proton flares, and we extracted directly from the contaminated image the source plus background counts from a circu-4751 with radius lar region centered on IGR J16320 of 30°°. Therefore, we extracted the background from another circular region with radius 2°, and close to the source. We performed the background (now including the soft-proton flares) subtraction directly in xspec.

We succeeded to save 17 ks from the elapsed time of 26 ks, with a loss of only 9 ks (to be compared with the loss of 21 ks of the early analysis). We found that the best fit model is still an absorbed power law with $= 1.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$ and N_H = (2.2 0.2) 10^{23} cm⁻² (2 = 204.5, d.o.f.= 184), consistent with the results of the early analysis (see Fig. 4). The unabsorbed flux in the 0.2 12 keV energy band is 2.8 10^{-11} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹.

We note that there are some interesting features between



Figure 4. EPIC–PN spectrum in the 3 12 *keV energy band, with* 17 *ks of exposure and fitted with a single power law model. The deviations of data versus model are shown in the bottom window.*

4 and 10 keV, and particularly some hints for emission lines of the iron complex, between 6 and 7 keV. The addition of a thermal plasma model (mekal model in xspec) with a temperature kT = 5:5 keV is able to reproduce some of these features, and particularly the emission lines of the iron complex. However, this model is statistically required only at 91% confidence level. A simple gaussian emission line at E = 6:5 0:1 keV and width = 0:3^{+0:2} keV is required at 99:67%. Further observations are required to better constrain the nature of this excess.

4. OPTICAL/INFRARED COUNTERPARTS

With the improved position given by XMM-Newton, it became possible to look for the counterparts at other wavelengths, specifically in the optical/infrared bands (Tomsick et al. 2003b). Two sources have been found in the Two Microns All-Sky Survey (2MASS): the first, labelled 1 in Fig. 5, could also be the most probable counterpart. The infrared colours along the line of sight H) = 1:0, E (H K = 0.8, for the extinction (E (J due to the Galactic absorption $A_V = 11:1$) suggesting an excess perhaps due to the presence of hot plasma or circumstellar dust, consistent with the findings of the Xray analysis. Specifically, the source 1 is not detected in the J band, with an upper limit of J > 14. Concerning the source 2, there are detections in other catalogs: a summary of the optical/infrared detections is shown in Table 1. It is worth noting that the source 2 is classified as a non-star object, that may be either galaxies or blended objects, in the Guide Star Catalog 2.2². Indeed, it ap-



Figure 6. Broad band unfolded spectrum of *IGR J*16320 4751 obtained with a simultaneous fit of XMM-Newton (*EPIC–PN*) and INTEGRAL (*IBIS/ISGRI*) data. The energy ranges are 3 12 keV for XMM-Newton and 30 60 keV for INTEGRAL.

pears slightly elongated with an eccentricity of 0:07 and a semimajor axis of 3:12 pixels.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In the present work we confirmed and extended the results obtained by Rodriguez et al. (2003b). The nature of IGR J16320 4751 is not clear yet and, even though the most probable hypothesis is a Galactic X-ray binary, the possibility of an extragalactic object cannot be com-

²http://www-gsss.stsci.edu/gsc/GSChome.htm



Figure 5. 2MASS images in the bands J (left), H (centre), and K (right). The XMM-Newton error circle is superimposed.

pletely ruled out. The source appears to be intrinsically absorbed, with an N_H one order of magnitude greater than the Galactic absorption along the line of sight. Also the infrared observation suggests an excess due to circumstellar plasma or dust along the line of sight. The X-ray spectrum could support the hot plasma solution, but the confidence level is not enough (only 91%) and should be confirmed with further observations with much more statistics. Therefore, the picture of a high–mass X–ray binary (HMXRB) with a compact object accreting through winds appears to be very likely for IGR J16320 4751. The winds could also be the source of obscuration, wrapping up the compact object.

The nature of the accreting object is not clear as well: the strong differences in the photon index in the energy bands 0:5 60 keV could be due to 10 keV and 20 changes in spectral states, thus suggesting a black hole. On the other hand, the drop of emission for energies greater than 60 keV is common in neutron stars. Although, the INTEGRAL and XMM-Newton are not simultaneous, we tried a simultaneous fit (Fig. 6). The best fit model (2 = 344:8, dof = 344) is an absorbed power law with exponential cutoff (cutoffpl model in xspec), typical of accreting neutron stars (see, e.g. White et al. 1983). The photon index is $= 0.7^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$, the column density N_H = $(2.0 \ 0.2) \ 10^{23}$ cm², and the cutoff energy 10 3 keV. The scaling constant applied to the ISGRI spectrum is 5^{+3}_{2} . We stress that the observations were not simultaneous and the source is strongly variable. Therefore, this fit should be taken with extreme care.

Another possibility, given the low luminosity in both bands (8 10^{34} erg/s in the 0.2 12 keV energy band, and 3 10^{35} erg/s in the 20 60 keV band) if the source is located in the Norma Arm (5 kpc), is that we are observing the emission from a jet. In this case, we could make the hypothesis that the change in the photon index could be due to a break, because of a change in the mechanism of cooling of electrons.

The key question in the study of this source is therefore the spectral variability. An approved coordinated *INTE-GRAL* and *XMM-Newton* observation to be performed by next August should give us sufficient data to disentangle these hypotheses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Based on observations obtained with INTEGRAL, an ESA mission with instruments and science data centre funded by ESA Member States (especially the PI countries: Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Switzerland, Spain), Czech Republic and Poland, and with the participation of Russia and the USA. Based on observations obtained with XMM-Newton, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and the USA (NASA). This research has made use of data obtained from the High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEASARC), provided by NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center, and of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

LF acknowledges the Italian Space Agency (ASI) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- Cameron L.M., 1990, A&A 233, 16
- Cutri R.M., Skrutskie M.F., van Dyk S., et al., 2003, 2MASS All–Sky Catalog of Point Sources. University of Massachusetts and Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, (IPAC/California Institute of Technology)
- Georgelin Y.M., Georgelin Y.P., 1976, A&A 49, 57
- Goldoni, P., Bonnet–Bidaud, J.M., Falanga, M., & Goldwurm, A., 2003, A&A 411, L399
- Goldwurm A., David P., Foschini L., et al., 2003a, A&A 411, L223
- Goldwurm, A., Gros, A., Goldoni, P., et al., 2003b, IBIS/ISGRI Instrument Specific Software Scientific Validation Report, v. 1.0
- Lebrun F., Leray J.-P., Lavocat P., et al., 2003, A&A 411, L141
- Monet D.G., Bird A., Canzian B., et al., 1998, U.S. Naval Observatory Flagstaff Station (USNOFS) and Universities Space Research Association (USRA) stationed at USNOFS.

Source 1	
Catalog	Magnitude
2MASS ^a	J < 14 : 08
2MASS ^a	H = 13:03 0:04
2MASS ^a	K = 10 : 99 0 : 04
Source 2	
USNO B1 ^b	B ₁ n.a.
USNO B1 ^b	B ₂ = 17:3 0:3
USNO A2 ^b	B = 17:3 0:3
GSC 2.2°	B = 18:0 0:4
USNO CCD AC^d	UCAC = 16:0 0:3
USNO B1 ^b	R ₁ = 14:6 0:3
USNO B1 ^b	$R_2 = 15:4 0:3$
USNO A2 ^b	R = 15:0 0:3
GSC 2.2°	R = 15:4 0:4
USNO B1 ^b	I = 14:2 0:3
DENIS ^e	I = 14:00 0:03
2MASS ^a	J = 12:13 0:02
DENIS ^e	J = 12:22 0:09
2MASS ^a	H = 11:24 0:03
2MASS ^a	K = 10 : 82 0 : 04
DENIS ^e	K = 10:75 0:07

Table 1. Optical/Infrared counterparts of IGR J16320 4751.

- ^a Two Microns All Sky Survey (2MASS) Point Source catalog, Cutri et al. (2003).
- ^b US Naval Observatory Catalog A2, Monet et al. (1998). US Naval Observatory Catalog B1, Monet et al. (2003). For the latter, B and R magnitudes are taken from two types of plates and referenced with subscripts 1 and 2: (1) Palomar Observatory Sky Survey (POSS) I, (1949 1965), with emulsion sensible at the wavelengths 620 670 nm; (2) POSS II (1985 2000), sensible at 385 540 nm.
- ^c Guide Star Catalog 2.2.
- ^d US Naval Observatory CCD Astrograph Catalog (UCAC, http://ad.usno.navy.mil/ucac/). UCAC magnitude is in the wavelenght band 579 642 nm, between V and R bands.
- ^e DEep Near Infrared Survey (DENIS) of the southern sky (DENIS Consortium 2003, http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/denis.html).
- Monet D.G., Levine S.E., Casian B., et al., 2003, AJ 125, 984
- Rodriguez J., Tomsick J.A., Foschini L., et al., 2003a, IAUC 8096
- Rodriguez J., Tomsick J.A., Foschini L., et al., 2003b, A&A 407, L41
- Russeil D., 2003, A&A 397, 133

Sugizaki et al., 2001, ApJS 134, 77

- Tomsick J.A., Lingenfelter R., Walter R., et al., 2003a, IAUC 8076
- Tomsick J.A., Rodriguez J., Goldwurm A., et al., 2003b, IAUC 8096
- Ubertini P., Lebrun F., Di Cocco G., et al., 2003, A&A 411, L131
- White N.E., Swank J.H., Holt S.S., 1983, ApJ 270, 711