Approaching Lambda without ne-tuning

Sabino M atarrese^{1;2}, C arlo B accigalupi^{3;4y}, Francesca Perrotta^{3;4z}
¹ D ipartim ento di Fisica G alileo G alilei, U niversita di Padova,
² INFN, Sezione di Padova, via M arzob 8, I-35131, Padova, Italy,
³ SISSA/ISAS, via Beirut 4, 34014 Trieste, Italy,
⁴ INFN, Sezione di Trieste, via Valerio 2, I-34127 Trieste, Italy

W e address the ne-tuning problem of dark energy cosm ologies which arises when the dark energy density needs to initially lie in a narrow range in order for its present value to be consistent with observations. As recently noticed, this problem becomes particularly severe in canonical Q uintessence scenarios, when trying to reproduce the behavior of a cosm ological constant, i.e. when the dark energy equation of state w_Q approaches 1: these models may be reconciled with a large basin of attraction only by requiring a rapid evolution of w_Q at low reshifts, which is in con-ict with the most recent estim ates from type Ia Supernovae discovered by H ubble Space Telescope. Next, we focus on scalar-tensor theories of gravity, discussing the implications of a coupling between the Q uintessence scalar eld and the R icci scalar (Extended Q uintessence"). We show that, even if the equation of state today is very close to 1, by virtue of the scalar-tensor coupling the quintessence trajectories still possess the attractive feature which allows to reach the present level of cosm ic acceleration starting by a set of initial conditions which covers tens of orders of magnitude; this e ect, entirely of gravitational origin, represents a new important consequence of the possible coupling between dark energy and gravity. W e illustrate this e ect in typical Extended Q uintessence scenarios.

Since the observations of distant type Ia Supernovae suggested that the Universe expansion is accelerating [1, 2], a great deal of cosm ological models has been proposed in order to describe possible mechanisms to speed up the expansion rate. Most of the models in the literature focus on Q uintessence" cosm ologies, where a classical, minimally-coupled scalar eld evolves along a shallow potential, while its energy density and pressure com bine to produce a negative equation of state, thus making the eld act as a repulsive force. The netuning problem of many Quintessence models, i.e. the need to set the eld initial conditions in a very tiny range in order to get the observed energy density and equation of state today (as distinguished from the \coincidence problem ", i.e. the similarity between the dark and the critical energy densities today), motivated the search for models where the equations of motion admits attractor solutions [3]-[10]. The m ain property of attractor solutions is that a very wide range of initial conditions rapidly converge to a common evolutionary track. In particular, \tracking" scalar elds will eventually evolve into a \tracking solution" in the backgrounddom inated era, where they have alm ost constant

equation of state w_Q lying between 1 and the background equation of state [4, 7]. Attractor solutions can be obtained from di erent potentials; the most popular being the exponential potential [10], the inverse power-law potential [9], and the SUGRA model [11], where the exponential function is modulated by an inverse power law. Despite the appeal of these models, originally introduced to overcome the ne-tuning problem while allowing for a negative equation of state, it has been recently pointed out in [12] that they are a ected by a serious draw back when analyzed in the post-tracking regime. The most recent analysis, combining Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) observations, Large-Scale Structure (LSS) data, Hubble parameter estimation and distant type Ia Supernovae, give, for a ducial model with constant Quintessence equation of state, 0:98 0:12 [13], while other authors give w₀ = $0.91^{+0.13}_{0.15}$ [14]. A completely orthogonal w₀ = information comes from the age of globular clusters, giving $w_0 < 0.8$ (68% con dence level) [15]. The problem with tracking Quintessence is that, once we x the present Quintessence energy density to a value consistent with its estimate in a at universe, $_{0} = 0.73$ 0:04 [13], the observational constraints on w_0 allow only \craw ling" quintessence, or potentials with large current curvature. The tracking solutions are, indeed, de ned in the background dom inated epoch, where the background is either radia-

sabinom atarrese@pd.infn.it

^ybacci@ sissa.it

^zperrotta@ sissa.it

tion or non-relativistic matter; in the present Quintessence-dominated era, the scalar eld has already passed the tracking phase. When tracking models are analyzed in detail, including the post-tracking behavior of the eld, it can be shown that \good trackers", i.e. attractors having a large basin of attraction, end up in the present Quintessence dominated era with a value of the equation of state which, being too di erent from

1, is ruled out by the observational constraints. M ore plausible values of w_Q today can be obtained by attening the potential in which the eld evolves (\craw ling" Q uintessence), but this requires to shrink the basin of attraction (\poor trackers"), making the U niverse too sensitive to the D ark Energy (DE) initial conditions. This shrinking becomes increasingly dramatic the m ore the present w_Q approaches the cosm ological constant value 1, in which case one has to exactly tune the initial value of the prim ordial Q uintessence energy density to the same value it has today.

Good trackers may actually be reconciled with observations only if the potential curvature (and, therefore, w_0) is rapidly varying at redshifts z < 0.5, but this appears to further exacerbate the coincidence problem, in that we require the energy density to be small and rapidly changing at recent times. Even accepting an anthropic explanation to this coincidence, another potential problem for good trackers with rapid current evolution of the eld m ay arise from observational constraints on wo $dw_0 = dz;$ indeed, the latest analysis of type Ia Supernovae with Hubble Space Telescope [16], combined with independent constraints from CMB and LSS data, gives $w_{0} = 0.6$ 0.5, for a at universe, ruling out the possibility of a rapidly-changing equation of state of DE.As a consequence, it is clear that values of w_0 very close to 1 would seriously challenge the whole class of canonical Q uintessence m odels.

This puzzling problem is however alleviated if we extend the class of DE models, allowing for a non-minimal coupling between the Quintessence scalar eld and the Ricci scalar [17]-[33]. We will show that in Extended Quintessence models of Dark Energy [21, 24], the basin of attraction is enlarged by the so-called R-boost, a purely gravitational e ect due to the coupling. As a result, non-minimally coupled models of Quintessence can preserve the appealing feature of attractors which is lost in minimally-coupled models, because they can approach the value $w_Q = 1$, while still allowing a large range of initial energy density of the eld.

As discussed in detail in [12], if we exclude potentials whose curvature increases rapidly near the present epoch (which would further enhance the coincidence problem), canonical Quintessence scenarios require at potentials in order to reproduce an equation of state close to 1; in this case, the trajectories of the eld are almost at, so that a real tracking never occurs (\craw ling" Quintessence). The range of initial values of the scalar eld energy density is increasingly narrow as we approach the limiting case $w_0 = 1$; the cosmological constant case is realized only starting from a single value which is exactly tuned to the present one ($_{\circ}$ 26 m eV 4 for a at m odel with h = 0.7). This can be easily understood recalling that, in the tracking regime, the value of the DE equation of state is strictly related to the shape of the potential; generally, the closer w_{Q} is to 1, the atter the potential needs to be. In practice, the e ciency of tracking is lost when requiring such low values of the equation of state.

However, this shrinking of the basin of attraction is peculiar of m in mally coupled Q uintessence

elds: the K lein-G ordon equation rules the dynamics of the eld, which freezes during most of the U niverse history if the potential is nearly at. The situation is dramatically dierent in scalartensor theories, where the Ricci scalar R in the gravitational sector of the Lagrangian of G eneral Relativity is replaced by the product of R with a function F (). The most important e ect of the non-minimal coupling is to enhance the dynamics of the eld, while keeping the potential at. As discussed in [24], the coupling adds a new source term in the K lein-G ordon equation:

$$^{\infty}$$
 + 2H $^{0} = \frac{a^{2}}{2}$ F; R a^{2} V; ; (1)

in Eq. (1), primes indicate di erentiation with respect to the conform altime, and H $a^0=a$. The new term proportional to the Ricci scalar on the R H S. adds up to the one produced by the \true" potential of the eld, V (), thus generating an e ective potential which is di erent from the corresponding m inim al-coupling one; the di erence is especially relevant at high redshifts, when the coupling term dom inates over the true potential. Deep in the radiation era, the quantity a^2R diverges as a^1 imprinting a boost of energy to the scalar eld, an e ect we named R-boost" [24]. This gravitational e ect has a deep connection with particle physics, because the onset of the Rboost, in the radiation-dom inated epoch, occurs as soon as the rst particle species of the cosm ic plasm a becom es non-relativistic [24].

In typical non-m in in alcoupling m odels, where the coupling term is proportional to $\ ^2 R$, the amount of R-boost depends on the initial value of the scalar eld. Starting from some initial value of the scalar eld energy density, the eld acquires a new potential energy which will be rapidly converted into kinetic energy; in a very short time, the eld accelerates until the friction caused to the Hubble drag term in Eq. (1), becomes com parable with the coupling term . After that, the scalar eld slow ly rolls with a kinetic energy scaling as a^2 (a^3 in them atter epoch), until the true potential V becom es in portant (typically, in the m atter dom inated era), and the evolution occurs along the corresponding attractor trajectory: depending on the value w_0 today, there can be a period of freezing with w_0 1, followed by tracking, or a \craw ling" Q uintessence without ever really \tracking " if the present w_0 approaches 1. For inverse power-law potentials, V () /

the equation of state in the tracking regime is $w_{Q \text{ track}} = 2 = (+2)$: in order to approach the cosm ological constant equation of state, the potential is forced to be extremely at. W hat we want to outline here is that, even in the lim iting case w_0 ! 1, the R-boost enlarges the allowed range of initial energy densities Q_{init} , which can cover several orders of m agnitude, as opposite to the minim ally-coupled Quintessence case, where a at potential in plies early freezing and narrow basin of attraction. To give a practical example, let us consider the non-m in im al coupling described in [21, 24], with F () = 2 + const; is the coupling param eter, related to the Jordan-Brans-Dicke parameter, $!_{JBD}$ F=F; $^{2}_{today}$. We assum ed an inverse power-law potential for the eld, and $!_{JBD} = 4000 [34]$; though recent experiments seem to converge towards larger values of $!_{\rm JB\,D}$ [35], this would only anticipate the onset of the R-boost, without a ecting the substance of our results. W e perform ed a num erical integration of the background equations for a at universe, requiring $w_0 = 0.999$, h = 0.7 and $_0 = 0.7$ today. Such an equation of state is so close to 1 that the potential V closely resembles a cosm ological constant. In practice, the potential alone would not be able to induce any dynam ics to the

eld. The energy density of the scalar eld vs. redshift is plotted in Fig. 1 for four di erent initial conditions Q_{init} , spanning several orders of m agnitude. In each case, the initial kinetic energy of the eld has been taken to vanish, while we changed the initial value of the eld in a range of 6 orders of m agnitude; independently of the initial conditions, the Quintessence eld is found to nish up with w_o 0. The freezing is reached later for higher $_{Q \text{ in it}}$ (see [24]). In the same gure, we can distinguish the scaling of the matter and radiation components. For comparison, we also plotted in Fig. 2 the scaling of the DE component in a m in im ally-coupled m odel w ith the sam e inverse power-law potential, the same equation of state today and the same initial values of the eld as in Fig. 1. In the latter case, because of the potential atness, very di erent initial eld values span a narrow range of initial energy densities, unlike Extended Quintessence; as discussed in [12], the initial energy density has to be tuned in a tiny interval, here covering a range of a few tenths of meV⁴ at z 10^8 . As w₀ ! 1, this basin of attraction shrinks to a single value, while in nonm in in ally coupling cases it rem ains huge, thereby avoiding any ne-tuning of the initial energy density.

From Fig. 1 we see how this loss of dependence on the initial conditions is realized in Extended Quintessence: the R-boost adds dynam ics to the cosm ological constant, which can now be reached from a huge range of initial values. The only value which has to be xed is the present DE energy density $_Q$. In conclusion, the R-boost, a purely gravitational e ect characteristic of nonm inim ally coupled theories, has the attractive feature of enriching the set of initial conditions a

eld can have to closely m in ic the cosm ological constant today. In canonical Q uintessence scenarios, in order to reach $w_Q = 1$ today one would have to exactly tune the initial value $_Q$ to the present one; here, the balance between cosm ological friction and gravitational coupling plays a fundam ental role, enhancing the dynam ics of the eld down to relatively low redshifts and allow ing to approach $w_Q = 1$ without ne-tuning on the initial energy density.

W hile, given the present experim ental uncertainty



FIG. 1: Solid curves: energy density of the tracking Extended Quintessence with present equation of state $w_Q = 0.999$, with di erent values of the initial scalar eld energy density. D ashed curve: m atter energy density. D ot-dashed: radiation.



FIG. 2: Tracking Quintessence energy density in minimally-coupled models with inverse power-law potential and $w_Q = 0.999$ today. The curves correspond to di erent initial values of the eld, which are the same as in Fig. 1; the dashed curve is the attractor solution. Here the y axis is plotted on linear scale.

on the value of the DE equation of state, canonical Q uintessence m odels are still far from experiencing a real crisis, future precision m easurements of w_Q and w_Q will be crucial: if experiments will converge towards $w_Q = 1$, and $w_Q ! 0$, as it seems to be the case from the latest observations [16], m inim ally-coupled Q uintessence scenarios would su er from a serious ne-tuning problem which would call for either an anthropic explanation or for new classes of m odels, am ong which Q uintessence m odels based on scalar-tensor theories of gravity appear particularly prom ising.

- [1] A.G.Riessetal, Astrophys. J. 116, 1009 (1998)
- [2] S. Perlm utter et al., Astrophys. J. 517, 565 (1999)
- [3] R R. Caldwell, R. Dave and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1582 (1998)
- [4] P.J. Steinhardt, L. W ang and I. Zlatev, Phys. Rev.D 59, 123504 (1999)
- [5] I. Zlatev, L. W ang and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev.Lett. 82, 896 (1999)
- [6] P.G. Ferreira and M. Joyce, Phys. Rev. D 58, 023503 (1998)
- [7] A R. Liddle and R J. Schenner, Phys. Rev. D 59, 023509 (1999)
- [8] E J. Copeland, A R. Liddle and D. W ands, Phys. Rev.D 57, 4686 (1998)
- [9] B. Ratra and P.J.E. Peebles, Phys. Rev. D 37, 3406 (1988)
- [10] C.W etterich, Nucl. Phys. B 302, 668 (1988)
- [11] P.Brax and J.Martin, Phys.Lett.B 468, 40 (1999)
- [12] S.B ludm an, astro-ph/0312450 (2003)
- [13] D N . Spergelet al, A stron. & A strophys. Suppl. 148, 175 (2003)
- [14] Y.W ang and M. Tegm ark, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 241302 (2004)
- [15] R. Jim enez, L. Verde, T. Treu and D. Stern, Astrophys. J. 593,, 622 (2003)
- [16] A.G. Riess et al., astro-ph/0402512 (2004)
- [17] A D. Dolgov, in The Very Early Universe, eds. G W. Gibbons, SW. Hawking, S.T.C. Siklos, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p. 449 (1983)
- [18] V.Sahniand S.Habib, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1766 (1998)
- [19] J.P.Uzan, Phys.Rev.D 59, 123510 (1999)
- [20] T.Chiba, Phys. Rev. D 60, 083508 (1999)
- [21] F. Perrotta, C. Baccigalupi and S. Matarrese, Phys. Rev. D 61, 023507 (2000)
- [22] N. Bartolo and M. Pietroni, Phys. Rev. D 61, 023518 (2000)
- [23] B.Boisseau, G.Esposito-Farese, D.Polarski and A A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2236 (2000)
- [24] C. Baccigalupi, S. Matarrese and F. Perrotta, Phys. Rev. D 62, 123510 (2000)
- [25] L.Amendola, Phys. Rev. D 62, 043511 (2000)
- [26] X. Chen, R.J. Scherrer and G. Steigm an, Phys. Rev.D 63, 123504 (2001)
- [27] R.Bean, Phys.Rev.D 64, 123516 (2001)
- [28] F.Penrotta and C.Baccigalupi, Phys. Rev. D 65, 123505 (2002)
- [29] A. Riazuelo and J.P. Uzan, Phys. Rev. D 66, 023525 (2002)
- [30] D F. Torres, Phys. Rev. D 66, 043522 (2002)
- [31] D .Com elli, M .P ietroniand A .R iotto, Phys.Lett. B 571, 115 (2003)
- [32] F. Perrotta, S. Matarrese, M. Pietroni and C.

Schimd, Phys. Rev. D 69, 084004 (2004)

- [33] Caresia P., Matarrese S. and L. Moscardini, Astrophys. J. 605, 21 (2004)
- [34] C M . W ill, A strophysics and Space Science 283,

543 (2003) [35] B.Bertotti, L. Iess and P.Tortora, Nature 425, 374 (2003)