High-redshift QSOs in the GOODS

SC ristian¹, D M A lexander^{2;10}, F Bauer², W N B randt², E T C hatzichristou³, F Fontanot⁴, A G razian⁵, A K oekem oer⁶, R A Lucas⁶, JM ao¹¹, P M onaco⁴, M N onino¹, P P adovan^{6;9}, D Stem⁷, P Tozzi¹, E T reister³, C M U rry³, and E N anzella⁸

- ¹ IN A F -O sservatorio A stronom ico, V ia T iepolo 11, I-34131 T rieste, Italy
- ² D epartm ent of A stronom y and A strophysics, Pennsylvania State University, 525 D avey Lab, University Park, PA 16802
- ³ Departm ent of A stronom y, Yale University, PO Box 208101, New Haven, CT 06520
- ⁴ D ipartin ento di Astronom ia dell'Universita, V ia Tiepolo 11, I-34131 Trieste, Italy
- ⁵ IN A F -O sservatorio A stronom ico di R om a, via Frascati 33, I-00040 M onteporzio, Italy
- ⁶ Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San M artin Dr., Baltim ore, M D 21218
 ⁷ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, M ail Stop 169-506, Pasadena, CA 91109
- ⁸ European Southern Observatory, K Schwarzschild-Stra e 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany
- ⁹ ESA Space Telescope D ivision
- ¹⁰ Institute of A stronom y, M adingley Road, C am bridge, CB3 OHA, UK
- ¹¹ SISSA, via Beirut 4, I-34014 Trieste, Italy

1 Introduction

Q SO s are intrinsically lum inous and therefore can be seen rather easily at large distances; but they are rare, and nding them requires surveys over large areas. As a consequence, at present, the number density of QSOs at high redshift is not well known.Recently, the Sloan D igital Sky Survey (SD SS) has produced a breakthrough, discovering Q SO s up to z = 6.43 [8] and building a sample of six $Q SO s w \pm z > 5:7$. The SD SS, however, has provided information only about very lum inous Q SO s (M 1450 < 26:5), leaving unconstrained the faint end of the high-z Q SO Lum inosity Function (LF), which is particularly in portant to understand the interplay between the form ation of galaxies and super-m assive black holes (SMBH) and to measure the QSO contribution to the UV ionizing background [16]. New deep multiwavelength surveys like the G reat Observatories Origins Deep Survey (GOODS), described by M Dickinson, M Giavalisco and H Ferguson in this conference, provide signi cant constraints on the space density of less lum inous Q SOs at high redshift. Here we present a search for high-zQSOs, identied in the two GOODS elds on the basis of deep in aging in the optical (with HST) and X-ray (with Chandra), and discuss the allowed space density of Q SO s in the early universe, updating the results presented in [6].

2 Stefano Cristiani et al.

2 The Database

The optical data used in this search have been obtained with the ACS onboard HST, as described by M G iavalisco. M osaics have been created from the rst three epochs of observations, out of a total of ve, in the bands F 435W (B_{435}), F 606W (V_{606}), F 775W (i_{775}), F 850LP (z_{850}). The catalogs used to select high-z Q SO s have been generated using the SE xtractor software, perform ing the detection in the z_{850} band and then using the isophotes de ned during this process as apertures for photom etry in the other bands. This is a common practice avoiding biases due to aperture m ism atch coming from independent detections.

The X-ray observations of the HDF-N and CDF-S consist of 2 M s and 1 M s exposures, respectively, providing the deepest views of the Universe in the 0.5{8.0 keV band. The X-ray completeness limits over 90% of the area of the GOODS elds are similar, with ux limits (S/N = 5) of 1:7 10^{16} erg cm 2 s 1 (0.5{2.0 keV) and 1:2 10^{15} erg cm 2 s 1 (2{8 keV) in the HDF-N eld, and 2:2 10^{16} erg cm 2 s 1 (0.5{2.0 keV) and 1:5 10^{15} erg cm 2 s 1 (2{8 keV) in the CDF-S eld. The sensitivity at the aim point is about 2 and 4 times better for the CDF-S and HDF-N, respectively. A s an example, assuming an X-ray spectral slope of = 2.0, a source detected with a ux of 1:0 10^{16} erg s 1 , and 3 10^{43} erg s 1 at z = 3, and z = 5, respectively (assuming no G alactic absorption). [1] produced point-source catalogs for the HDF-N and CDF-S with m ore than 1000 detected sources in total.

3 The Selection of the QSO candidates

W e have carried out the selection of the Q SO candidates in the m agnitude interval 22:45 < z_{850} < 25:25. Four optical criteria have been tailored on the basis of typical Q SO SEDs in order to select Q SOs at progressively higher redshift in the interval 3:5 < z < 5:2. The criteria have been applied independently and produced in total 645 candidates in the CDF-S and 557 in the HDF-N. They select a broad range of high-z AGN, not limited to broad-lined (type-1) Q SOs, and are less stringent than those typically used to identify high-z galaxies. W e have checked the criteria against Q SOs and galaxies known in the literature within the m agnitude and redshift ranges of interest con ming the high completeness of the adopted criteria.

Below z' 3.5 the typical QSO colors in the ACS bands move close to the locus of stars and low-redshift galaxies. Beyond z' 5.2 the i z color starts increasing and infrared bands would be needed to identify QSOse ciently with an \i-dropout" technique.

4 M atch with C handra Sources

The optical candidates have been m atched with X -ray sources detected by C handra within an error radius corresponding to the 3 X -ray positional uncertainty.

W ith this tolerance the expected number of false matches is ve and indeed two misidenti cations, i.e. cases in which a brighter optical source lies closer to the X-ray position, have been rejected (both in the CDF-S).

The sample has been reduced in this way to 11 objects in the CDF-S and 6 in the HDF-N.Type-1QSOs with M₁₄₅₀ < 21, given the measured dispersion in their optical-to-X-ray ux ratio [19], are detectable in our X-ray observation up to $z^{>}$ 52.Conversely, any $z^{>}$ 35 source in the GOODS region detected in the X-rays must harbor an AGN (L_x (05 2 keV) $^{>}$ 10⁴³ erg s¹).

5 Redshifts of the QSO candidates

Twelve objects out of the 17 selected have spectroscopic con mations. N ine are Q SO swith redshifts between 2:6 and 5:2. Three are reported to be galaxies, and the relatively large o sets between the X-ray and optical positions suggest that they could be misidenti cations. Both quasars of Type I and II are detected.

Photom etric redshifts of the 17 Q SO candidates have been estimated by comparing with a ² technique (see [2] for details) the observed ACS colors to those expected on the basis of a) the typical Q SO SED s; b) a library of template SED s of galaxies (the \extended Coleman" of [2]). For the nine Q SO s with spectroscopic conmation the photom etric redshifts are in good agreement with the observed ones. In general the estimates a) and b) are similar, since the color selection criteria both for galaxies and Q SO s rely on a strong ux decrement in the blue part of the spectrum – due to the IGM and possibly an intrinsic Lyman limit absorption – superimposed on an otherwise blue continuum. If we limit ourselves to the redshift range z > 4 – where the selection criteria and the photom etric redshifts are expected to be most complete and reliable – in addition to one spectroscopically conmed Q SO s are present in the G O O D S, depending on whether galaxy or Q SO SED s are adopted for the photom etric redshifts. This brings the total to nomore than three Q SO s with redshift larger than 4.

6 Comparison with the models

Let us compare now the QSO counts observed in the z_{850} band with two phenom enological and two more physically motivated models. The double power-law

t of the 2Q Z Q SO LF [5] has been extrapolated for z > 2.7 (the peak of Q SO activity) in a way to produce a power-law decrease of the num ber of bright Q SO s by a factor 3.5 per unit redshift interval, consistent with the $3.0^{+1.3}_{-0.9}$ factor found by [7] for the bright part of the LF. The extrapolation is carried out either as a Pure Lum inosity Evolution (PLE) or a Pure Density Evolution (PDE). The PLE model predicts about 17 Q SO s with $z_{850} < 25.25$ at redshift z > 4 (27 at z > 3.5) in the 320 arcm in² of the two GOODS elds, and is inconsistent with the observations at a more than 3 level. The PDE estimate is 2.9 Q SO s at z > 4 (6.7 at z > 3.5).



Fig.1. Differential QSO luminosity function at z 4 5. Squares: data from [13] and upper limits from [14] at z 4:4. Stars: SDSS [7] computed at 3.5 < z < 5 assuming a power-law decline and rescaled to z = 4:3. Triangles: COM BO-17 LF [20], for 4.2 < z < 4.8. Circle: GOODS LF at 4 < z < 5.2. The continuous line shows the prediction of the PDE model described in the text. All LFs have been rescaled to a cosm ology with h; tot; m; = 0:7;1:0;0:3;0:7.

It is possible to connect Q SO s with dark matter halos (DMH) form ed in hierarchical cosm ologies with a minimal set of assumptions (MIN model, e.g. [11]):a) Q SO s are hosted in new ly form ed halos with b) a constant SM BH/DMH mass ratio and c) accretion at the Eddington rate. The bolom etric LF of Q SO s is then expressed by:

$$(\mathbf{L} \dot{\mathbf{z}})d\mathbf{L} = n_{\mathrm{PS}} (\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{H}} \dot{\mathbf{z}}) \underbrace{P}_{t(z)} (\mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{H}}; \mathbf{t}(z))d\mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{I}} \frac{d\mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{E}\,\mathrm{dd}}}$$
(1)

where the abundance of DMHs of mass M_H is computed using the Press & Schechter [18] recipe, the distribution P (t_f $M_{\rm H}$;t(z))dt_f of the formation times t_f follows [15], t_{duty} is the QSO duty cycle and L_{E dd} = $10^{4:53}$ L is the Eddington lum inosity of a 1 M SMBH. This model is known to overproduce the number of high-z QSOs [12] and in the present case predicts 151 QSO sat z > 4 (189 at z > 3:5).

To cure the problem s of the M IN model feedback e ects have been invoked, for example assuming that the Q SO s shine a t_{delay} time after DM H formation (DEL model; [17], [10]. The Q SO LF is then computed at a redshift z^0 corresponding to $t(z) = t_{delay}$. The predictions of the DEL model are very close to the PDE, with 32 Q SO s expected at z > 4 (4.8 at z > 3.5), in agreement with the observations. W hile all the models presented here are consistent with the recent Q SO LF measurement of the COM BO-17 survey [20] at z' = 2, only the PDE and DEL models the COM BO-17 LF in the range 4.2 < z < 4.8 and M $_{1450} < 26$.

7 Conclusions

At z > 4 the space density of moderate luminosity (M₁₄₅₀ ' 23) Q SOs is signi cantly lower than the prediction of simple recipes matched to the SD SS data, such as a PLE evolution of the LF or a constant universale ciency in the formation of SM BH in DM H.A attening of the observed high-z LF is required below the typical luminosity regime (M₁₄₅₀ < 265) probed by the SD SS.An independent indication that this attening must occur comes from the statistics of bright lensed Q SOs observed in the SD SS [21] that would be much larger if the LF remains steep in the faint end. A similar result has been obtained at 5 < z < 6.5, by [4]. The Q SO contribution to the UV background is insuicient to ionize the IGM at these redshifts. This is an indication that at these early epochs the formation or the feeding of SM BH is strongly suppressed in relatively low-mass DM H, as a consequence of feedback from star formation [10] and/or photoionization heating of the gas by the UV background [12], accom plishing a kind of inverse hierarchical scenario.

References

- 1. A lexander, D M ., et al. 2003, A J 126, 539
- 2. A mouts, S., Cristiani, S., Moscardini, L., Matarrese, S., Lucchin, F., Fontana, A., Giallongo, E., 1999, MNRAS 310, 540
- 3. Barger, A.J., Cowie, L.L., Richards, E.A., 2000, AJ 119, 2092
- 4. Barger, A J., Cowie, L L., Capak, P., A lexander, D M., Bauer, F E., Brandt, W. N., Garmire, G. P., Hornschemeier, A E., 2003, ApJ 584, L61
- 5. Boyle, B J., Shanks, T., Croom, S.M., Smith, R.J., Miller, L., Loaring, N., & Heymans, C., 2000, MNRAS 317, 1014
- 6. Cristiani, S., et al. 2004, ApJ 600, L119
- 7. Fan, X., Strauss, M. A., Schneider, D., et al., 2001, AJ 121,54

- 6 Stefano Cristiani et al.
- 8. Fan, X., Strauss, M. A., Schneider, D. P., Becker, R. H., et al., 2003, AJ 125, 1649
- 9. Giacconi, R., et al. 2002, ApJS 139, 369, G 02
- 10. G ranato, G L., Silva, L., M onaco, P., Panuzzo, P., Salucci, P., D e Zotti, G., D aneæ, L., 2001, M N R A S 324, 757
- 11. Haiman, Z., & Hui, L., 2001, ApJ 547, 27
- 12. Haiman, Z., Madau, P., & Loeb, A., 1999, ApJ 514, 535
- 13. Kenne ck, J.D., Djorgovski, S.G., & de Carvalho, 1995, A.J 110, 2553
- 14. Kenne ck, J.D., Djorgovski, S.G., & Meylan, G., 1996, A.J. 11, 1816
- 15. Lacey, C., & Cole, S., 1993, MNRAS 262, 627
- 16. M adau, P., H aardt, F., Rees, M. J., 1999, ApJ 514, 648
- 17. M onaco, P., Salucci, P., D anese, L., 2000, M N R A S 311, 279
- 18. Press, W H., & Schechter, P., 1974, ApJ 187, 425
- 19. Vignali, C., et al. 2003, AJ 125, 2876
- 20. Wolf, C., Wisotzki, L., Borch, A., Dye, S., Kleinheinrich, M., Meisenheimer, K., 2003, A&A 408, 499
- 21. W yithe, J.S.B., Loeb, A., 2002, ApJ 577, 57