Fast growth of supermassive black Holes in galaxies

Faustin M unyaneza? and Peter L.Bierm ann

Max-Planck-Institut fur Radioastronom ie, Auf Dem Hugel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany

R eceived «date»/ A coepted «date»

Abstract. We report on a calculation of the growth of the mass of supermassive black holes at galactic centers from dark matter and Eddington - limited baryonic accretion. Assuming that dark matter halos are made of ferm ions and harbor compact degenerate Ferm iballs of masses from $10^3 M_{\odot}$ to $10^6 M_{\odot}$, we nd that dark matter accretion can boost the mass of seed black holes from about 5M to 10^{3} 4 M black holes, which then grow by Eddington - limited baryonic accretion to supermassive black holes of 106 9M . We then show that the form ation of the recently detected supermassive black hole of 3 10^9 M at a redshift of z = 6.41 in the quasar SD SS J114816.64+ 525150.3 could be understood if the black hole com pletely consum es the degenerate Ferm iball and then grows by Eddington - lim ited baryonic accretion. In the context of this model we constrain the dark m atter particle masses to be within the range from 12 keV $=c^2$ to about 450 keV $=c^2$. Finally we investigate the black hole grow th dependence on the form ation time of the seed BH and on the mass of the seed BH.We nd 3 10⁹M and z that in order to the observed data point of M $_{\rm B\,H}$ 6:41, dark m atter accretion cannot start later than about 2 10^8 years and the seed BH cannot be greater than about $10^4 \mathrm{M}$. Our results are in full agreem ent with the W MAP observations that indicate that the st onset of star form ation might have occurred at a redshift of z 15 20. For other m odels of dark m atter particle m asses, corresponding constraints m ay be derived from the growth of black holes in the center of galaxies.

Key words. Black hole physics - Galaxies: nuclei - Cosm ology: dark matter - Galaxies: Quasars: general

1. Introduction

O verthe past few years, the idea of dark m atter (D M) and the possible existence of superm assive black holes (B H) of m asses from $10^{6:5}$ to $10^{9:5}$ M at the center of galaxies (M acchetto et al. 1997; Schodel et al. 2002) have become e deeply rooted within the astrophysical community. The exploration of the relationship between these two intriguing problem s of m odern astrophysics has been the subject of an increasing number of papers.

It has been established that the mass of the central BH is tightly correlated with the velocity dispersion of its host bulge, where it is found that M_{BH} ^{4 5} (Faber et al. 1997; M agorrian et al. 1998; Ferrarese & M erritt 2000; G ebhardt et al. 2000; Ferrarese 2002; H aering & R ix 2004). This tight relation between the m asses of the BH s and the gravitational potential well that hosts them suggests that the form ation and evolution of superm assive BH s and the bulge of the parent galaxy m ay be closely related, e.g.W ang, B iem ann & W andel (2000). In addition, the recent discovery of high redshift quasars with z > 6 (Fan et al. 2001) in plies that the form ation of superm assive BH s took place over fewer than 10^9 years. In spite of

the vast and tantalizing work undertaken on BHs, their genesis and evolution are not well understood (see Rees 1984 for a review). Since the discovery of quasars in the early 1960s, it has been suggested that these objects are powered by accretion of gas onto the superm assive BHs of m asses 10⁶ 10⁹M (Lynden-Bell 1969). Two scenarios have been discussed in modeling the growth of BHs. One is that BHs grow out of a low mass 'seed' BH through accretion (Rees 1984), and another one is that BHs grow by merging (Barkana et al. 2001; Wang, Bierm ann & Wandel 2000; Gopal-Krishna, Bierm ann & Witta 2003, 2004). In a recent paper, Duschl & Strittm atter (2004) have investigated a model for the form ation of supermassive black holes using a combination of merging and accretion mechanism s.

The purpose of this paper is to study the growth of BHs from dark matter and Eddington-limited baryonic accretion. A lso, we would like to obtain some constraints on the DM particle masses. In the past, self gravitating neutrino matter has been suggested as a model for quasars, with neutrino masses in the range 0.2 keV $\leq m_f$ $\leq 0.5 \text{M eV}$ (M arkov 1964). Later, neutrino matter was suggested to describe DM in clusters of galaxies and galactic halos with masses in the range of 1eV $\leq m_f$ $\leq 25 \text{eV}$ (C ow sick & M cC lelland 1973; Ru ni 1980). M ore recently,

Send o print requests to: F. Munyaneza, e-m ail: munyanez@mpifr-bonn.mpg.de

[?] Hum boldt Fellow

ferm ion balls (FBs) made of degenerate ferm ionic matter of 10 keV $^{<}$ m $_{\rm f}$ $^{<}$ 25 keV were suggested as an alternative to superm assive BHs in galaxies (V iollier 1994; Bilic, Munyaneza & Viollier 1999; Tsiklauri & Viollier 1998; Munyaneza & Viollier 2002). It has been also suggested that if the G alaxy harbors a superm assive BH, then there should be a density spike in which dark matter (DM) falling tow ards the center could annihilate and the detection of these annihilation signals could be used as a probe for the nature of DM (Bertone, Silk & Sigl 2002; G ondolo & Silk 1999 and M erritt et al. 2002). The current belief is that DM particles are bosonic and very massive, i.e. m_{DM} > 1G eV = c^2 . However, the absence of experim ental constraints on the weakly interacting massive particles (W IM Ps) that probably constitute DM leaves the door open for further investigation of the hidden mass of the Universe.

In this paper, we will assume DM to be of ferm ionic m atter and described by a Ferm i-D irac distribution with an energy cuto in phase space (K ing 1966). We will then explore the limits for the DM particle m asses in order to reproduce the m ass distribution in the G alaxy (W ilkinson & Evans 1999) and then study the growth of a seed BH immersed at the center of the DM distribution in galaxies. We use degenerate FBs at the center of DM halos not as replacements for the BHs but as necessary ingredients to grow the BHs in galactic centers.

The resulting distribution of stars around a massive BH was studied in detail in the 1970s and early 1980s in the context of globular clusters (Hills 1975; Frank & Rees 1976; Bahcall & Wolf 1976; Duncan & Shapiro 1982; Shapiro 1985). Peebles (1972) studied the adiabatic grow th of a BH in an isotherm alsohere and show ed that the BH would alter the matter density to an adiabatic cusp with r ³⁼². A few years later, Young (1980) constructed num ericalm odels that con m ed Peebles' results and showed that the BH induces a tangential anisotropy in the velocity dispersion. In this paper, we use the results of previous calculations on the grow th of BHs that are accreting stars (Lightm an & Shapiro 1978) to investigate the growth of a BH that accretes DM. Here we assume that the physics driving the form ation of the power law cusp in the star - star case is the same as in the case of DM particle orbits being perturbed by molecular clouds. Julian (1967) investigated a sim ilar scenario in which the stellar orbits in our Galaxy were perturbed by molecular clouds to explain the stellar velocity dispersion dependence on the star's age. M oreover, D uncan & W heeler (1980) investigated the anisotropy of the velocity dispersions of the stars around the BH in M 87.

Given the density distribution in DM halos, we are interested in establishing how a seed BH would grow by accreting DM. Moreover, the comparison of the grow th of the BH from accretion of DM and Eddington $-\lim_{i=1}^{i}$ ted baryonic matter would give us another piece of information in the debate surrounding the nature of DM. We therefore investigate how a BH seed of 5 M could

grow to a 3 10⁹M BH as recently detected in quasar SD SS J1148+ 5251 at z= 6.41 (W illot, M cLure & Jarvis 2003). Such a seed BH of a typicalm ass between 5 and 9 could have evolved in BH binaries (Podsiadlowski, М Rappaport & Hau 2003). Here, we note that the seed BH could be an intermediate mass black hole (IMBH) of 10³ ⁴M that might have form ed from collisions in dense star-form ing regions (Portegies Zwart & M dM illan 2002, Colem an M iller 2003 and van der M arel 2003 for a review). In fact, W ang & Bierm ann (1998) have established that a BH would grow exponentially with time accreting baryonic matter as long as the supply lasts. In addition, they were able to reproduce the observed correlation M $_{\rm B\ H}$ =M $_{\rm sph}$ using standard disk galaxy parameters. A ssum ing a cusp - like distribution of self-interacting DM (Spergel & Steinhardt 2000), Ostriker (2000) has estim ated that BHs could grow to 10^6 10^9 M from DM accretion. For completeness, we note that accretion of DM particles by BHs has recently been studied in Zhao, Haehnelt & Rees (2002) and Read & Gilmore (2003).

Cosm ological parameters of H₀ = 70 km s ¹M pc ¹, _m = 0.3 and = 0.7 are assumed throughout this paper. In section 2, we establish the main equations to describe DM in galaxies. We then discuss the growth of seed BHs from DM and Eddington -limited baryonic matter accretion in section 3 and conclude with a discussion in section 4.

2.Dark matter in galaxies

2.1. Main equations

We characterize DM by itsm ass density (r) and its velocity dispersion .DM is assumed to be collisionless and of ferm ionic matter and the mass of DM particles is denoted by m_f .We will look for DM distributions with degenerate cores at the center of the DM halos instead of a steep power law r with 1 1:5 (e.g.Navarro, Frank & W hite 1997) as we are assuming here that a central cusp is a result of BH grow th. In order to obtain bound astrophysical solutions at nite temperature, we follow K ing (1966) and introduce an energy cuto in phase space using the Ferm i-D irac distribution function.We therefore adopt the follow ing prescription for the distribution function

$$f(E) = \begin{cases} \frac{8}{8} & \frac{g_{f}}{8^{-3}h^{3}} \frac{1}{\exp \frac{E}{kT}} + C ; E < E_{c} \\ \vdots \\ 0 & ; E > E_{c} ; \end{cases}$$
(1)

where g_f is the spin degree of freedom, i.e. $g_f = 2$ for M a jorana and $g_f = 4$ for D irac's ferm ions, h is the P lanck constant, E is the particle energy, is the chem ical potential and T stands for temperature. The constant C is chosen so that the distribution function vanishes at the cuto energy $E = E_c$. As a result, we get the follow-ing "low ered" Ferm i-D irac distribution that will be used

М

throughout the paper.

The mass density (r) is given by

$$(r) = m_{f} = 4 f(p)p^{2}dp(E);$$
 (3)

and the gravitational potential obeys Poisson's equation

$$(r) = 4 G (r) :$$
 (4)

N ext we introduce a dim ensionless gravitational potential v, a radial variable x and an inverse tem perature as

$$v = \frac{r(_{0} (r))}{GM}$$
; $x = \frac{r}{a}$; $= \frac{T_{0}}{T}$; (5)

where a and T_0 are the length and temperature scales, respectively. They are given as

$$a = \frac{3 h^3}{2^{5+2}m_f^4 g_f G^{3+2} M^{1+2}} ; T_0 = \frac{G M m_f}{ak} ; (6)$$

where k is Boltzm ann's constant and the cuto energy E_{c} has been chosen as $E_{c} = m_{f}$ 0. We also de ne the degeneracy parameter as

$$= \exp \frac{m_{f 0}}{kT} :$$
 (7)

The equation for the gravitational potential can thus be written as

$$\frac{1}{x}\frac{d^2v}{dx^2} = \frac{3}{2} \xrightarrow{3=2} + 1 I_{1=2} ; \frac{v}{x} ; \qquad (8)$$

with boundary conditions

$$v(0) = \frac{M_{BH}}{M}; v(x_0) = 0;$$
 (9)

where $x_0 = R = a$ is the dimensionless size of the DM halo at which the density and pressure of DM vanishes. It can be shown that for ! 1, equation (8) describes the isotherm algas sphere of King (1966) whereas for ! 1, and v >> 1 we recover the Lane-Em den equation for a degenerate FB (Viollier 1994). In (k;) is a Ferm i - like integralde ned as

$$I_{n}(;) = \int_{0}^{Z} d^{n} \frac{1 e}{1 + e} :$$
 (10)

The mass density (r) can be written in terms of the dim ensionless potential v as follow s:

(r) =
$$\frac{m_{f}^{4}g_{f}2^{1=2}}{2^{2}h^{3}} \frac{GM}{a}$$
 $3=2 + 1$ $I_{1=2}$; $\frac{v}{x}$:(11)

Using (r) and the di erential equation for the gravitational potential v, the m ass enclosed within a radius r of the DM halo is given by

$$E < E_{c} (2)_{r}$$

M (r) = 4 (r⁰)r⁶² dr⁰
= M (xv⁰(x) + v(x) v(0)) : (12)

and the total mass of the DM halo (including M $_{\rm BH}$ and the ferm ion halo) is given by

$$M = M (R) = M v^{0}(x_{o})x_{0}; \qquad (13)$$

where x_0 is the dimensionless size of the DM halo. We then study the solutions of equation (8) for various values of and m_{f} .

In Fig. 1, we plot the mass density and the mass enclosed for solutions with a 3 10^{12} M m ass and a radius of 200 kpc, which corresponds to our G alaxy. Throughout our paper, we will study solutions of type 3 which have degenerate FBs in the center. For the case of our galaxy, in order to have a degenerate FB of 3 10⁶M at the center and t the rotation curve of the Galaxy, i.e. reproduce the $1=r^2$ law, the mass of ferm ions should be about $m_f c^2$ 12keV.For a FB of 3 10³M , one would need a ferm ion mass of m_f 450keV= c^2 . Thus under the assum ption of a degenerate FB of 3 10^3 M to 3 10^6 M at the center of a DM halo of 3 10¹²M with a density scaling as $1=r^2$ in the outer edge of the halo, the ferm ion m ass is constrained in the range

12 keV
$$^{<}$$
 m $_{f}c^{2}$ $^{<}$ 450 keV : (14)

Similar solutions for the DM distribution in our Galaxy have also been studied in Bilic et al. (2002a).

The solutions shown in Fig. 1 have been obtained using the fact that at large distances the Ferm igas is non degenerate. The degree of non-degeneracy is described by equation (7) which contains the chemical potential . Thus, the use of the chemical potential in the Fermi-Dirac ditribution allows to constrain the DM particles in the range from 12 to 450 keV, much below the Lee-W einberg lower lim it of about 2 GeV (Lee & W einberg 1977) which was established under the assumptions that DM particles were in therm odynamic equilibrium at the freeze out tem perature. W e also note that a suitable candidate for the DM particle as de ned by the range given in (14) could be either the gravitino, postulated in supergravity theories with a mass in the $1 \text{keV} = c^2$ to $100G \, eV = c^2$ range (Lyth 2000), or the axino, with a mass in the range between 10 and $100 \text{keV} = c^2$, as predicted by supersymmetric extensions of the Peccei-Quinn solution to the strong CP problem (Goto & Yam aguchi1992). For a recent review on DM particle candidates, the reader is referred to Bertone, Hooper & Silk (2004) and Baltz (2004).



F ig.1. The mass density and the mass enclosed within a radius r are plotted in the upper and lower panels, respectively.Near the center of the DM halo, the ferm ions are completely degenerate and condensed in a FB of mass

3 10^{6} M . In the outer edge of the DM halo, the density scales as $1=r^{2}$ (van A lbada et al. 1985). The mass of ferm ions used in this plot is m $_{\rm f}c^{2}$ 12keV and the size of the FB is about 10 2 pc. The data points for the mass within 50 kpc and 200 kpc are taken from W ilkinson & E vans (1999).

2.2. Black hole accretion rate and Pauli principle

W e de ne the local dynam ical (orbital) tim e scale and the mass accretion ow as

$$t_{\rm dyn} = \frac{r}{v_{\rm ff}} ; \qquad (15)$$

 $M_{-BH} = 4 r^2 (r) v_{ff}$; (16)

where v_{ff} is the free - fall velocity

$$v_{ff} = \frac{2Gm(r)}{r}$$
; (17)

and m (r) is the mass enclosed within a radius r in the DM halo.

Near the center of the DM halo, the core becomes com - pletely degenerate and the Pauli condition can be written as

$$\frac{g_f}{6^2} = \frac{m_f v}{n^{1-3}} = h;$$
(18)

where $n = = m_f$ is the number density for DM particles and v is the Ferm ivelocity. A seed BH of mass M_{BH} that settles at the center of the DM halo induces a free – fall velocity

$$v_{\rm ff} = \frac{r}{\frac{2GM_{\rm BH}}{r}}$$
(19)

Replacing v from the equation (18) with the last expression for $v_{\rm f\,f}$, the density of DM at the Pauli lim it is given by

$$n = \frac{g_f}{6^2} \frac{m_f^3}{h^3} - \frac{2GM_{BH}}{r} :$$
 (20)

We then rewrite equation (16) for the mass ow using the Pauli ow condition near the BH $\,$

$$M_{-BH} = \frac{8g_{f}}{3 h^{3}} m_{f}^{4} G^{2} M_{BH}^{2}$$

= 1:03 10 ⁷g_{f} $\frac{m_{f}c^{2}}{15 \text{ keV}} \frac{4}{M} \frac{M_{BH}}{M}$ ² M yr (21)

Thus as soon as the region of BH in uence is reached, the accretion ow becomes independent of the radius. However, the BH accretion rate depends on the fourth power of the ferm ion mass and on the square of the BH mass. This dependence is of course a consequence of the Pauli principle applied near the BH.

Here we note that the m ass M $_{\rm F}\,$ of nonrelativistic degenerate FBs scales with radius R $_{\rm F}\,$ as

$$M_F R_F^3 = 27.836M \qquad \frac{15 \text{keV}}{m_f c^2} = \frac{8}{g_f} + \frac{2}{g_f} + 2 \text{ (22)}$$

The physics of degenerate FBs as a model of DM at the center of galaxies was considered in a series of papers (Bilic et al. 2002a,2002b; Bilic, Munyaneza & Viollier 1999; Munyaneza, T sik lauri & Viollier 1998, 1999; Munyaneza & Viollier 2002; T sik lauri & Viollier 1998 and Viollier 1994). In these papers, it was argued that the supermassive com – pact objects in galactic centers are FBs rather than BHs. O ur approach in this paper is that FBs are not a replacement for BHs but are a necessary step for them to grow in galactic centers.

In Fig. 2 we plot the mass - radius relation for FBs for di erent values of the ferm ion mass. In the same plot we have drawn the BH line and two horizontal lines that correspond to a mass of $3 \ 10^3$ M and $3 \ 10^6$ M. From this plot, we nd an upper lim it for the ferm ion mass of

$$m_{f}c^{2} < 32 M eV$$
 : (23)

This upper limit is the value of the ferm ion mass that would correspond to the point in the graph where the BH line crosses the horizontal line of a mass M $_{\rm F}~=~3~10^3 M$.



F ig.2. The mass-radius relation for degenerate FBs. The total mass scales as M_F $R_F^{3}m_f^{8}$. In the same plot, we have shown the BH line and two horizontal lines for the lower and upper limits for the total mass of the degenerate Ferm iballs. Relativistic e ects make the mass-radius relation curve have a maximum mass M_{OV} (see equation 24) and the size of such a FB at the Oppenheim er Volko limit is only 4.45R_{OV}^{s} where R_{OV}^{s} is the Schwarzchild radius of the mass M_{OV}. The line of BHs and a FB at the OV limit are nearly indistinguishable in this plot.

The choice of the lowest value for the FB m ass is m otivated by the fact that once the BH has consumed a FB of 3 10³M , it would grow by Eddington - lim ited baryonic matter accretion to $3 10^9 M$ as observed in quasars at redshifts z 6. The choice of the second value 10^{6} M , is due to increasing evidence for the exof 3 istence of a BH of m ass 3 106M at the center of our galaxy. Thus we will assume throughout this paper that galaxies which have BHs had degenerate FBs of masses 10⁶M . The ferm ion mass ranging from 10³M to range given by equation (14) is of course well below the upper lim it for the ferm ion m ass from equation (23).

For completeness we note here that stable degenerate FBs exist up to a maximum mass also called the OppenheimerVolko limit

$$M_{0V} = 0.54195M_{P_{1}m_{f}}^{3} g_{f}^{1=2}$$

= 2.7821 10⁹M $\frac{15 \text{keV}}{m_{f}c^{2}}^{2} \frac{2}{g_{f}}^{2}$; (24)

where $M_{P1} = (hc=G)^{1=2}$ is the Planck mass (Bilic, M unyaneza & V iollier 1999). The size of a such FB at the Oppenheim er Volko limit is only $R_{OV} = 4.45R_{OV}^{s}$ where R_{OV}^{s} is the Schwarzschild radius of the mass M_{OV} . Thus there is practically no di erence between a black hole and a FB of the same mass at the OV limit, as the closest stable orbit around a non-rotating BH has a radius of $3R_{s}$ only.

From equation (24), the ferm ion mass needed to form a degenerate FB of 3 10^9 M at the OV lim it is about m_f 12keV = c^2 . This value is in agreement with the lower lim it on the mass of ferm ions obtained from thing the

50454035 $v_c~(km~s^{-1})$ 30 25201510305 keV310 k eV5315 keV0 0 2 -3 Radius (kpc)

F ig.3.F it of the rotation curve of dwarf galaxies DD 0154. The data points can be tted by a non-degenerate Ferm i –D irac distribution function for DM particles in the mass range discussed in this paper, i.e. for $12 \text{keV} \leq \text{m}_{\rm f} c^2 \leq 450 \text{keV}$. In this plot we have used a ferm ion mass of mf $305 \text{keV} = c^2$ to t the rotation curve of the dwarf galaxy DD 0154. Here we also note that some small addition of baryonic matter would probably allow a closer t to the data.

Galactic DM halo with a FB of 3 10^{6} M at the center and $1=r^{2}$ density law from about 3 kpc. The most compact supermassive object of 3 10^{9} M has been identied as a BH at the center of M 87 (M acchetto et al. 1997).

A part from ferm ions as candidates of DM, bosons have also been considered as an alternative to the DM constituents in galaxies. Self - gravitating bosons can form boson stars and they are prevented from complete gravitational collapse by the H eisenberg uncertainty principle r p h (Schunck & Liddle 1977). Boson stars are described by E instein's eld equations coupled with the non-linear K lein G ordon equation for a complex eld with self - interaction of the form U (j j) = m $_{\rm B}^2$ j j² + j j⁴ where m _B is the boson m ass and is a coupling constant. It has been shown that boson stars have a maximum m ass called the K aup lim it given by

$$M_{Kaup} = 0.633 M_{P1}^{2} = m_{B};$$
 (25)

for = 0. Thus for a boson mass of m $_{\rm B}$ 10 $^{23}{\rm G\,eV\,=c}^2$ the K aup mass limit is about 10⁶M (Torres, C apozziello & Lam biase 2000). For m $_{\rm B}$ 1G eV = c^2 the K aup limit is as small as 10 $^{17}{\rm M}$. However if 6 0, the combination of these two free parameters m $_{\rm B}$ and let boson stars have any mass for any chosen value of the boson mass m $_{\rm B}$. It is in this fram ew ork that boson stars were attractive as they could form very massive objects with m $_{\rm B}$ 1G eV = c^2 (Schunck & M ieke 2003).

In Fig. 3, we t the rotation curve of dwarf galaxies using a Ferm i-D irac distribution. The rotation curve data points are well tted in the outer region. In the inner region, i.e. around 1 kpc, baryonic m atter is perhaps needed to get a better t of the rotation curve. In order to t the rotation curve of dwarf galaxies, a non-degenerate FD distribution is needed. The data points are taken from C arignan & Purton (1998) and the mass of the the dwarf galaxy DD 0154 is taken to be 3 10^9 M with a size of

10 kpc (K ravtsov et al. 1998). The m enging of dwarf galaxies would generate another galaxy with a degenerate FB, and the BH would grow by the samemechanism considered in this paper in the m enged galaxy. The Ferm i – D irac distribution studied in this paper allows two types of solutions: O new hich ts dwarf galaxies with a non -degenerate core and the other solution with $1=r^2$ drop o in the outer edge of the halo. D egenerate FB s are consistent with data on the rotational curves only in the second type of solution with a $1=r^2$ density pro le at large distances.

3.B lack hole grow th

3.1. Inner dark m atter accretion and the quantum cascade m echanism

In this section we will consider the accretion of inner DM, i.e. DM inside the FB and in the next section we will analyse the accretion of DM outside the FB. In the last section, we established that the inner part of the the Ferm i ball is completely degenerate and have used the Pauli Principle to obtain the BH consumption rate given by equation (21), which can be integrated to give the BH m ass as a function of time:

$$M_{BH} = M = \frac{M}{M_{BH}^{i}} = \frac{1}{t_0} t t^{i};$$
 (26)

where M $_{BH}^{i}$ is the mass of the seed BH at the starting time tⁱ of accretion, and t₀ is a time parameter given by

$$t_0 = 9:7 \quad 10^6 \text{yr} \quad \frac{15 \text{keV}}{m_f c^2} \quad q_f^{-1} :$$
 (27)

The last two equations de ne the growth of a seed BH immersed at the center of a DM halowith a degenerate FB from which it is seen that the BH mass strongly depends on the ferm ion mass.

From equation (26), we can estimate the time t_1 needed for the BH mass to be in nite to be

$$t_1 = t^i + \frac{M}{M_{BH}} t_0$$
; (28)

which for an initial seed of 5M at $t^i = 0$ would give

$$t_1 = 1.94 \quad 10^6 \text{yr} \quad \frac{15 \text{keV}}{m_f c^2} \quad {}^4 \text{g}_f^{-1} :$$
 (29)

For a ferm ion m ass of m $_{\rm f}$ = 1keV =c² the above tim escale t₁ would be about 5 10¹⁰ years whereas a ferm ion m ass of m $_{\rm f}$ = 1M eV =c² would give a grow th tim escale of about t₁ = 5 10² years. However, the BH m ass cannot be greater than the m ass of the FB that feeds it and we will require that the BH m ass will grow from DM accretion only up to the m ass of the FB and this will constitute one of the m ain assumptions in this paper. Once the BH m ass has grown to the FB ball m ass, a further grow th

to $10^6 \, {}^9\mathrm{M}$ is achieved via Eddington – limited baryonic matter accretion.

The mechanism of consumption of DM particles by the BH can be understood using a quantum cascade mechanism.First, low angular momentum DM particles at the inner Ferm i surface in phase space will be consumed by the BH. Due to a high degeneracy pressure, high angularm om entum particles will be pushed to the inner Ferm i surface and taken up by the BH. Outside the FB, ferm ions will hit the outer Ferm i surface and for the FB to be hit continuously by DM particles, we use molecular clouds as perturbers of DM orbits. Thus, we have a three body interaction between the FB, the molecular clouds and DM particles. For our mechanism of consumption of DM by the BH to work, we will assume that the dark matter particles interact with the FB through inelastic collisions. In hitting the FB, som e of the DM particles will be excited to a slightly higher energy level and get stuck in high m om entum segments of phase space. This process will continue until the FB has been completely consumed by the BH and thereafter baryonic matter accretion will control the BH growth.

32.0 uter dark matter accretion

We study the growth of a seed BH of about 5M introduced at the center of DM halos of masses ranging from $10^9 M$ to $10^{14:5} M$. The lower limit corresponds to dwarf galaxy halo masses while the upper lim it corresponds to the most massive halo such as that of M87. The gravitational potential and the mass density of DM are given by Eqs. (8) and (11), respectively. It is well established that the BH will induce a density law (r) r ³⁼² at the center of a DM halo (Lightman & Shapiro 1978; Quinlan et al. 1995; M adM illan & Henriksen 2002; M erritt 2003).Following Spitzer & Schwarzschild (1951, 1953) and Julian (1967), we assume that DM orbits outside the degenerate FB are perturbed by molecular clouds of mass m_{pert} 10⁶M . It has been recently reported that m olec-10¹⁰M ular gas of total m ass is observed in the host galaxy of the sam e guasar SD SS J114816.64+ 525150.3 at z 6:41 (W alter et al. 2003; C arilli et al. 2004).

In this paper, we assume that there is a compact degenerate FB at the center of galaxies that have BHs. The seed BH $\,$ rst consumes the degenerate FB and grows to a mass of about $10^3~^6M$ $\,$ and then grows by Eddington - limited baryonic matter accretion to higher masses of $10^6~^9M$ $\,$.

We will be mostly concerned with the calculation of the time needed to re llthe BH loss cone using molecular clouds as DM perturbers. To thise ect, we denote by r the radial distance from the BH to the DM particle position, r the distance from the BH to the molecular clouds, is the angle between the direction from the BH to the DM particle and its projection in the disk and ' is the angle between the direction from the BH to them olecular clouds



Fig.4.Geometry for the system BH (BH), DM (DM) particles and molecular clouds (MC).FD stands for the foot (projection) of the DM particle in the disk.The angles BH FD DM and MC FD DM are both right angles.

and to the foot of the DM particle location in the disk. The diagram for the geometry used is shown in Fig. 4.

In order to get the expression for the time $_{ref ill}(r)$ needed to re llthe loss cone which is due to multiple sm allangle gravitational (C oulom b) encounters, we evaluate the random walk integral (Spitzer 1987)

$$v^{2} = \frac{2 v p_{0}}{r_{m in} 0} \frac{2 v p_{0}}{p}^{2} r dr d' n_{pert} (r) v_{refill} (r) ; (30)$$

where v is the relative velocity of DM particle and m olecular clouds at large separation, p_0 and p are given by

$$p_0 = \frac{G (m_{pert} + m_{DM})}{v^2}; \qquad p^2 = r^2 + r^2 \quad 2rr\cos \cos' \quad (31)$$

In the above equations, we have used the values of 0.1 kpc and 20 kpc for $\mathbf{r}_{m \ in}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{m \ ax}$, respectively. We will also assume the following 3D number density distribution equivalent to the surface density form olecular clouds (G uster & M ezger 1982)

$$n_{\text{pert}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{0}{2\mathbf{r}} \exp - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r_0} ; \qquad (32)$$

where $r_0 = 5$ kpc is a scaling distance and $_0$ is a constant denoting the surface density to be chosen a posteriori. Inserting the last expression (32) for the number



Fig.5. The relling and orbital timescales plotted as a function of the radius from the center of the DM halo. In this plot, the mass of the FB is $3 10^{6}$ M and the corresponding ferm ion mass is $12 \text{keV} = c^2$. For small r, the time to rell the loss cone is much greater than the age of the Universe. For the growth of the BH, we use the orbital time scale and the BH feeds on DM from inside the FB. For the DM inside the FB to be fully consumed by the BH, we introduce a quantum cascade e ect which is a result of the existence of a high degeneracy pressure that pushes high angularm om entum particles to the inner Ferm i surface. The particles at the inner Ferm i surface in 6D phase space will then be consumed by the BH. This process continues until the BH has consum ed the entire FB. The BH then grows by Eddington -lim ited baryonic matter accretion. The FB is fed from DM from outer orbits but its accretion rate is much lower than that of the BH inside the FB as seen in the next qure. The vertical thick line shows the size of the FB.

density into equation (30), after integration we get the following equation for the time to re 11 the loss cone:

$$refill (r) = \frac{v^{3}r_{0}}{2 G^{2} (m_{DM} + m_{pert})^{2} {}_{0}I(r;)} - \frac{v}{v}^{2} ; (33)$$

with

$$\frac{v}{v}^{2} = \frac{R_{s}}{r}^{2} = \frac{2GM_{BH}}{c^{2}r}^{2}; \qquad (34)$$

In the above equations, we have used the values of where the function I (r;) is given by the following integral

$$I(r;) = \frac{\sum_{x_{max}} x_{max}}{x_{min}} dx q \frac{\exp(x)}{x^4 - 2(\frac{r}{r_0})^2 \cos(2x^2 + (\frac{r}{r_0})^4}; (35)$$

In the last integral, $x_{m \text{ in}} = r_{m \text{ in}} = r_0 = 0.02$ and $x_{m \text{ ax}} = r_{m \text{ ax}} = r_0 = 4$. The function I (r;) tends to a constant for r ! 0 whereas for large values of r it scales as $1=r^2$.

The FB is consumed from inside by the BH with an accretion rate

$$M_{-BH} = 4 r^2 v_{ff}; r < R_F;$$
 (36)



BH accretion rate

FB accretion rate

Fig.6. The mass accretion ow as a function of distance from the center. For a given mass of the BH, the accretion rate is independent of the radius until the B ondi radius where the BH dom inates the gravitational potential of the ferm ions. A sthe BH mass approaches that of the Ferm ion ball, the BH accretion rate becomes constant until the radius of the FB and as the size of the FB is reached, the DM accretion rate onto the FB drops dram atically. Thus the grow th rate of the FB is much low er than that of the BH which grows by feeding on DM from inside the FB. Sim ilarly to the last plot, we have used here a FB mass of $3 \ 10^6 M$.

The left side of the plot shows the BH accretion rate and the FB consumption rate is shown on the right side of the gure.

which after using the Pauli degeneracy condition is given by equation (21). Thus the BH gains by consuming DM inside the FB and of course the FB loses its DM particles. On the other hand the FB is also fed on the outside by direct collision via the loss cone mechanism. The FB grow th rate is given by

$$M_{-FB} = \frac{2_{R_{DM}}}{4 r^2} (r) (r) (r)^{-1} dr r > R_F; (37)$$

where R_F is the size of the FB, R_{DM} is the DM halo size and is the fraction of the solid angle subtended by the loss cone and is given by

$$= \frac{R_{s}}{r}^{2} = \frac{2GM_{BH}}{c^{2}r}^{2}$$
(38)

The timescale for the FB growth is given by 8

$$= \begin{array}{c} \langle t_{dyn} & ; t_{refill} < t_{dyn} \\ \vdots & \\ t_{refill} & ; t_{dyn} < t_{refill} ; \end{array}$$
(39)

where t_{dyn} and t_{refill} are the dynam ical (orbital) and loss cone re lling timescales, respectively. As soon as the FB is consumed, the capture radius for DM particles coming from the outside drops rapidly.

In Fig. 5, we plot the dynamical and the re lling timescales as functions of the radius. At sm all radii, ie.



Fig.7. The black hole mass grow th from only DM accretion. The ferm ion mass is varied as shown on the plot. It can be seen that the BH mass can grow to 10^{3} 4 M in about 10^{7} ⁸ years if the ferm ion mass is in the range between about 1 keV and 1 MeV. For ferm ion masses $m_f \sim 1 \text{keV} = c^2$, the form ation of a BH of 10^3M would require a tim escale much greater than than a Hubble tim e. On the other hand, for ferm ion masses m $_{\rm f}$ $^{>}$ 1M eV = c^2 , a 10^{3} M BH would have form ed in less than than one year after the beginning of the Universe. Thus the degeneracy pressure of ferm ions allows for the capture of a large amount of mass if the ferm ion mass is constrained in a range between about 1 keV and 1 M eV. The data point shown at t = 8.47 10⁸ years is the recent detection of a BH m ass of 3 10^9 M BH in the quasar SD SS SD SSJ114816.64+ 525150.3.

 $r < R_{\rm s}$, the tim e $t_{\rm refill}$ to re llthe loss cone is too long for the DM to feed the BH.Near the BH, we use the dynam – ical tim escale to feed the BH.For radii greater than the size of the FB, we will use the slow est of the two tim escales to feed the FB.Thus the FB feeds from the outside DM particles via the loss cone mechanism while the BH feeds from DM inside the FB via the quantum cascade mechanism .

In Fig.6, we plot the mass ow as a function of the radius from the center. To this end, we x them assofthe FB to 3 10^{6} M and use a ferm ion mass of m_f $12 \text{keV} = \text{c}^2$. Using the BH accretion rate equation (21), the accretion 10^{6} M yr 1 for a ferm ion mass of rate becom es M $m_f = 12 \text{keV} = c^2$ and a BH m assof 3 10^6M .For ferm ions ofm ass 450 keV = c^2 and a BH m ass of 3 10^3 M , the ac- 10^4 M yr ¹. It is seen than the BH cretion rate is M_ accretion rate is independent on the radius and drops signi cantly when the size of the FB is reached. Thus the BH growsmuch faster from the innerDM particles than the FB from the outer DM particles. A fter the BH has reached a mass equal to that of the FB, i.e. 10^{3} ⁴M , its growth is controlled by Eddington baryonic matter accretion.

In Fig. 7, we plot the BH mass as a function of time for di erent values of the ferm ion mass. The BH mass –

 $1e \pm 06$



Fig.8. The mass M $_{\rm B\,H}$ of the BH is plotted as a function of time t for DM and Eddington - limited baryonic matter accretion. It is seen from this plot that DM dom inates the BH growth at early times. The mass of ferm ions is varied as shown on the plot. The totalm ass of the degenerate FB has a m ass of 3 10³ M . We nd that ferm ion masses of $m_f > 4 \text{keV} = c^2$ su ce for stellar seed BHs to grow to about 10^3 M which then grow by Eddington limited baryonic matter accretion to about 3 10⁹M The obtained constraint on the ferm ion mass covers the range of dark matter masses discussed earlier. The data point shown at z = 6:41 corresponds to the BH m ass of 3 10⁹M in the quasar SD SSJ114816.64+ 525150.3.

time dependence is given by equation (26). It is shown that for ferm ion m asses lower than about 1 keV, it would takem ore than 10^{10} years for the BH to grow to 10^{3} ⁴M However, due to the Pauli principle used in the derivation of equation (26), if the ferm ion mass is constrained in the m ass range between about 1 keV and 1 M eV, a seed B H of 5 M can grow to a 10^{3} ⁴M BH in about 10^{7} ⁸ years, which would then grow by Eddington - lim ited baryonic accretion to 10⁹M at a redshift of z 6:41 (see next sub - section). Ferm ion m asses greater than about 1 M eV would make the BH grow much faster and the BH could be as large as 10^3 4 M in less than about one year after the beginning of the Universe.

In the next section, we will discuss the E ddington baryonic m atter accretion and discuss the plots of BH grow th from both DM and E ddington baryonic m atter accretion.

3.3. Eddington limited baryonic accretion

The details of E ddington - \lim ited baryonic accretion have been studied by many authors, here we refer the reader to W ang & Bierm ann (1998) and provide just the main form ulae:

$$\frac{dM_{BH}}{dt} = \frac{M_{BH}}{EDD}; \qquad (40)$$



Fig.9.The same as in the last gure but for a degenerate FB mass of 3 $10^6 M$.

where $_{EDD} = 10^{7:69}$ years $_{\overline{0:1}}$ is the corresponding time scale, being the e ciency. This value for $_{EDD}$ has been chosen to t the exponential grow th of BH s given by Fig. 1 of W ang & Bierm ann (1998). The BH m ass is found to grow in this case as

$$M_{BH}$$
 (t) = $M_{BH}^{i} \exp \frac{t t^{i}}{EDD}$; (41)

and the corresponding Eddington lum inosity for an e - ciency of 0.1 is

$$L = M_{-BH} c^{2} = 0:1 \frac{M_{BH}}{EDD} c^{2}:$$
(42)

In Fig. 8 and 9, we present the grow th of superm assive BHs accreting DM and baryonic matter. It is seen from the plot that a BH grows faster in the beginning accreting DM rather than baryonic matter. In Fig.8, the degenerate FB has a mass of 3 10^{3} M whereas we use a FB mass of 3 10^{6} M in Fig. 9. The data point shown in Fig. 8 & 9 at t = 8.4 10^{8} years is the recent detection of a supermassive BH of mass of M_{BH} 3 10^{9} M in the quasar SD SS J114816.64+ 5251 at a redshift of z = 6.41 (W illott, M cLure & Jarvis 2003; Fan et al. 2001).

It is seen from Fig.8 & 9 that only baryonic matter accretion cannot t this data point and the curve for the grow th of the BH due to baryonic matter lies on the right side of the data point. U sing equation (41) the totalm ass of the BH from Eddington - lim ited baryonic accretion at 10^8 years i.e. z = 6:41 is 1:4 10⁸M t = 8:4 which is about ten times less than the inferred BH mass of 3 10^9 M , clearly outside the error range. The growth of a seed BH from 5M to 3 10⁹M is accomplished in two steps: First, the BH completely consum es the degenerate Ferm icore with m asses between 3 10^3 M and 3 10^6 M In the second step, the 10^3 10^6 M BH feeds on baryonic matter accretion to reach the mass of 3 10⁹M at a redshift of z 6:41.

In Fig. 10, we investigate how the growth of the mass of the BH depends on the accretion starting time. To this





e ect, we x the totalm assofthe FB to 3 10^{3} M and the ferm ion m ass to m $_{\rm f}$ = 10keV = c^2 and vary the initial time when the DM accretion starts as shown on the graph.We nd that the data point of 3 10^9 M at z 6:41 is well tted for an accretion starting time of t 2 10⁸ years for any ferm ion greater than m $_{\rm f}$ > 10keV = c^2 . Here we also note that Eddington baryonic matter accretion could also allow the seed BH to grow to a 3 10⁹M BH if the e ciency is = 1:1 10². The accretion starting time of 2 10⁸ years corresponds to a redshift of reionization t 17 as obtained by WMAP observations (K rauss of z 2003).

Finally in Fig. 11, we investigate how the growth of the BH is a ected by the mass of the seed BH at di erent starting times of accretion. In order to constrain the mass of the seed BH, we vary the mass of the FB from 10^3 M to 10^{6} M and also the mass of the seed BH from 5M to $10^4 M$ at initial times of $t^i = 3:5 \quad 10^6$ and 2 10^{8} years. These values correspond to the earliest and latest plausible times for the formation of the rst stellar seed BHs in order to grow into supermassive BHs at redshift 6:41. From recent W M A P observations (Spergel et Ζ al. 2003), BHs of masses 10⁵M might have form ed at a redshift z 20. In Fig. 11, we have plotted only the lim iting curves which show that the mass of the FB should beM_F 10⁴M and the mass of the seed BH cannot be greater than about 10^4 M . The best t is obtained for a BH form ation time a reionization time of tⁱ $2 10^8$ years, ie.z 17.



Fig.11. We investigate how the BH growth depends on the mass of the seed BH.To t the data point of mass M 3 10^9 M at z 6:41, the seed BH cannot be greater than 10^4 M for a FB of 10^4 M and the accretion starting time should be about z 17. In this plot, the ferm ion mass is xed to m f 10keV = c². Thus, any other mechanism that could create massive seed BHs would generate the same grow th.

It is interesting to estimate the maximum luminosity attainable by an accreting BH of this mass. This is usually considered to be the Eddington luminosity at which the outward radiation pressure equals the inward gravitational attraction. U sing equation (42), the luminosity of a 3 10^9 M BH is of the order of a few 10^{47} erg s ¹ and the corresponding accretion rate is about 65 M =yr. How ever, such high luminosity values (Fan et al. 2001) would be obtained only for a standard e ciency of = 0:1 which as seen from Fig. 8 & 9 does not t the data point for only baryonic matter accretion.

3.4. BH masses from energy conservation arguments

A gravitational system in which molecular clouds provide the interaction path between DM particles and feeding the BH leads to a strong lim it on the maximum mass of the BH.For an initial distribution of DM in the halo without a BH, the total energy of the system is given by

$$E_{i} = \frac{3G M_{DM}^{2}}{5R_{DM}} ; \qquad (43)$$

where M_{DM} , R_{DM} stand for the total mass of the DM halo and its size, respectively. A fter the BH is formed at the DM halo center, its total energy is given by

$$E_{f} = \frac{3GM_{BH}^{2}}{5R_{s}};$$
(44)

with R_s being the Schwarzschild radius

$$R_{s} = \frac{2GM_{BH}}{c^{2}} :$$
 (45)

Equating E $_{\rm f}\,$ to E $_{\rm i}$ as a lim it, we arrive at the following constraint

$$\frac{M_{DM}}{M_{BH}} = \frac{R_{DM}}{R_{s}}^{1=2} ;$$
 (46)

from which we derive the BH mass lim it

$$M_{BH}^{max} = \frac{2GM_{DM}^{2}}{c^{2}R_{DM}} :$$
 (47)

A ssum ing an isotherm algas sphere distribution for the DM halo, i.e. $M_{DM} = 2 \ ^2R_{DM} = G$, with being the DM velocity dispersion, we arrive at the nal expression for the BH m ass

$$M_{BH}^{max} = \frac{8^{4}R_{DM}}{c^{2}G} ; \qquad (48)$$

or

$$M_{BH}^{max} = 4 - \frac{2}{C} M_{DM}$$
 : (49)

The last two equations constrain the properties of the DM m atter halo to the BH and could be considered as the theoretical interpretation of the M $_{\rm B\,H}$ observed correlation in elliptical galaxies. Here, we note that stands for the velocity dispersion of DM . Using the above equations, the BH m ass lim it M $_{\rm B\,H}^{m\,ax}$ is obtained to be $10^2 {\rm M}$,

 10^{6} M , and 10^{9} M⁻ for dw arf galaxies, the M ilky W ay and M 87, respectively. The corresponding DM halos have masses of 4 10^{9} M , 3 10^{12} M and 4 10^{14} M . In order to get the above estimates for the maximum BH mass, we have used a DM halo size of R_{DM} 9 kpc for dw arf galaxies, R_{DM} 200 kpc for the M ilky W ay and the size of M 87 is assumed to be 5 M pc.

4.D iscussion & conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the growth of a stellar seed BH immersed at the center of DM halos with 10³M degenerate FBs of mass from 10⁶M to Using the Pauli exclusion principle, we have established that the BH accretion rate strongly depends on the mass m $_{\rm f}$ of the ferm ions as M- $_{\rm B\,H}$ $m_{f}^{4}M_{BH}^{2}$ and thus establish for the rst time the relationship between BH grow th and ferm ionic DM . We have shown that in order to t the DM distribution in the Galaxy with such degenerate cores, the DM particles should be in the $12 \text{keV} = c^2$ $m_f \leq 450 \text{keV} = c^2 \text{ mass range. We have shown that such}$ DM masses could be used to t the distribution of DM in dwarf galaxies. FBs of m asses 10^3 ⁶M could only exist in such galaxies where the density drops o as $1=r^2$ at large distances. D w arf galaxies as well as cluster of galaxies do not host FBs as their data can only be tted by a non - degenerate Ferm i - D irac distribution of the K ing type. We have argued that the merging of dwarf galaxies would lead to the form ation of galaxies with degenerate FBs. The wideness of the ferm ion mass in the keV range is due to the FB m ass range from 10^3 to 10^6 M that we have adopted in this paper. Our main assumption is the

use of ferm ions as DM candidates in galaxies. However, if one uses bosons instead of ferm ions, the range of DM particle m asses would of course di er from the one obtained in this paper. The range of ferm ions used in our paper is in con ict with the Lee-W einberg lower limit of $2 \text{GeV} = \text{c}^2$ on the ferm ion m ass (Lee & W einberg 1977). This is due to the fact that the derivation of the Lee-W einberg limit assum es a freezout from equilibrium distributions. Our sim – plem odeluses the chem ical potential which allows for non equilibrium distributions and this m ight modify the Lee-W einberg argum ent; this remains to be demonstrated.

If we use heavy fermions with masses of about $1 \text{GeV} = c^2$, then according to equation (24) the maximum mass allowed for the degenerate Ferm i core would only be of about 1M , which is not enough to grow a stellar mass BH to 10^3M in about 10^8 years. On the other hand, very light fermions i.e.m f $^{<}$ 12keV = c^2 would generate very massive degenerate FBs of masses greater than 10^6M and the BH would grow to 10^9M in a very short time, i.e. z << 6:41.

The growth of seed BHs from DM accretion is investigated using the quantum cascade mechanism upon which low angular m om entum DM particles at the inner Ferm i surface are rst consumed by the BH and then due to a high degeneracy pressure, higher angular momentum particles are pushed inwards and the process continues until the entire degenerate FB is consumed by the BH. M oreover, m olecular clouds have been used as perturbers of DM particle orbits outside the FB and we have shown that the BH grows faster than the FB.A fter the BH has consumed the entire FB, it then grows by Eddington lim ited baryonic accretion to higher m asses of $10^9 M$ at redshifts z 6:41. W e also point out that m olecular clouds of mass 10¹⁰ M have also been detected in the host galaxy of the sam e quasar at a redshift of z 6:41 (W alter et al. 2003).

We have also constrained the possible starting time of accretion, i.e. the time of BH seed formation. From our analysis, the mass of a 3 10^9 M BH in the quasar SD SS J114816.64+ 525150.3 at a redshift of z = 6.41 can be tted exactly if the accretion process starts at a time of about 2 10^8 years, which corresponds to the reionization time.

The seed BH m ass is found to be in the range from a few solar m asses up to an upper limit of $10^4 \, {\rm M}$. For a seed BH m ass of $10^3 \, {}^4 {\rm M}$, Eddington baryonic m atter accretion would be enough to cause the seed BH to grow into a supermassive BH of 3 $10^9 \, {\rm M}$ m ass. The data point at a redshift of z=6.41 can be tted by only Eddington baryonic m atter accretion with an e ciency of 0.01.

O urm odel provides a m ethod to nd the DM particles m ass. If it is found that there is a clear lower m ass cut of 10^3 to 10^6 M in the distribution of BH m asses, then this m ass can be used for the m ass of the FB to nd the corresponding m ass m f of the ferm ions which will be in the range of 12 keV to 450 keV. If on the other hand the

BH mass distribution is a continuous function, then our model of BH growth with DM will probably be ruled out.

The postulated DM particles in this paper were non relativistic at the decoupling time and are usually called cold dark matter particles (CDM). The latter have to be neutral, stable or quasi-stable and have to weakly interact with ordinary matter. As mentioned in section 2.1, these particles could be axions which have been investigated by DAMA/NAI (Bernabeiet al. 2001). The heavier particles of m ass above 1G eV = $c^2 \mod d$ also be of the class of DM candidates named W IMPS (Weakly Interactive M assive particles). How ever, in the standard m odel of particle physics, CDM cannot be suitable candidates for particles. Thus, a new window beyond the standard model of particle physics has to accom m odate these particles for our model of BH growth to work. The DAMA/NAI experiment (see Bernabei et al. 2005 for a review) which aims at the veri cation of the presence of DM particles in the Galactic halo, will be able to con m whether GeV W IM PS or axions of m asses of 12 to 450 keV could exist in nature.

O bservations have shown that the m assess of superm assive BHs at galactic centers correlate with the m assess of the host bulges, i.e. M $_{\rm B\,H}$ $\,$ 0.002 M $_{\rm bulge}$ (H aering & R ix 2004). This result is obtained in our m odel as long as the E ddington limited accretion dom inates the nalgrow th of the BH. This happens for BH m assess of m ore than about $10^5 M$ (W ang, B ierm ann & W andel 2000).

M ergers of dwarf galaxies as well as the spinning of BHs would play an important role in the growth of the BHs. The consideration of these two e ects will be the subject of further investigations. In addition, it would be of great interest to study the growth of BHs from boson DM particles. Although it has been shown that bosons could provide a good t to the rotation curves in dwarf galaxies, it is not yet clear whether an analogous mechanism could work in galaxies with a $1=r^2$ density fall o .

W hile it takes only 8:4 10^8 years to grow superm assive BHs in most distant quasars, the G alactic center m ight have grown to its current mass of 10^6 M with only DM accretion in a Hubble time. In the following paper, we will address the grow th of the G alactic center BH.

Acknow ledgements. We thank Y iping W ang for providing us with the data le for baryonic matter growth. We are also grateful to Heino Falcke and Rainer Spurzem for useful discussions. FM research is supported by the A lexander von Hum boldt Foundation. W ork with PLB has been supported through the AUGER theory and membership grant 05 CU 1ERA/3 through DESY/BMF (G erm any).Further support for the work with PLB has come from the DFG, DAAD, Hum boldt Foundation (allG erm any), grant 2000/06695-0 from FAPESP (Brasil) through G. Medina-Tanco, a grant from KOSEF (K orea) through H.Kang and D.Ryu, a grant from ARC (Australia) through R. J. Protheroe, and European IN TAS/ Erasm us/ Sokrates/ Phare grants with partners V. Berezinsky, L.G ergely, M.O strow ski, K.Petrovay, A.Petrusel, M.V.Rusu and S.Vidrih.

References

- Bahcall, J.N. & Wolf, R.A. 1976, ApJ, 209, 214
- Baltz, E.A., astro-ph/0412170
- Barkana, R., Haiman, Z. & Ostriker, J.P. 2001, ApJ, 558, 482
- Bemabei, B. et al. 2005, astro-ph/0501412
- Bemabei, B. et al. 2001, Phys. Lett. B 515, 6
- Bertone, G., Silk, G. 2002, MNRAS, 337, 98
- Bertone,G., Hooper,D. & Silk,J. 2005, Phys. Rep. 405, 279
- Bilic, N., Munyaneza, F., Tupper, G.B. and Viollier, R.D. 2002, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 48, 291
- Bilic, N., Munyaneza, F., Tupper, G.B. and Viollier, R.D. 2002 in Proceedings of the 4th International Conference DARK 2002 of dark matter in Astro - Particle Physics, eds. K lapdor-K leingrothaus and R.D.Viollier, p.46
- Bilic, N., Munyaneza, F., V iollier, R.D. 1999, Phys. Rev. D. 59, 024003
- Carignan, C. & Purton, C. 1998, ApJ506, 125
- Carilli, C.L. et al. 2004, astro-ph/0409054
- Colem an M iller, M . 2003, astro-ph/0306173
- Cowsik, R. and McC lelland, J. 1973, ApJ, 180,7
- Duschl, W .J. & Strittm atter, P.A. 2004, astro-ph/0401010
- Duncan, M.J.& Shapiro, S.L. 1982, ApJ, 253, 921
- Duncan, M.J.& W heeler, J.C. 1980, ApJ, 237, L27
- Fan, X. et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 2833
- Faber, S.M. et al. 1997, AJ, 114, 1771
- Ferrarese, L. 2002, ApJ, 578,90
- Ferrarese, L. & Merritt, D. 2000, ApJ, 539, L9
- Frank, J., & Rees, M J. 1976, MNRAS, 176, 633
- Gebhardt, K. et al. 2000, AJ, 119, 1157
- Gondolo, P.& Silk, J. 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett., 83, 1719
- Gopal, K., Biermann, PL. & W iita, Paul, J. 2003, ApJ, 594, L103
- Gopal, K., Biermann, PL. & Wiita, Paul, J. 2004, ApJ, 603, L9
- Goto, T.& Yam aguchi, M. 1992, Phys. Lett. B, 276, 123
- Gusten, R. & Mezger, P.G. 1982, Vistas in Astron. 26, 1982
- Haering, N. & Rix, H. 2004, ApJ, 604, L89
- Hills, J.G. 1975, Nature, 254, 295
- Julian, W .1967, ApJ, 148, 175
- K rauss, L.M., 2003, ApJ, 596, L1
- King, A. 1966, AJ, 71, 64
- K ravtsov, A.V., K lypin, A A., Bullock, J.S., Primack, J.R. 1998, ApJ, 502, 48
- Lee, B.W. & Weinberg, S. 1977, Phys. Rev. Lett., 39, 165
- Lightm an, A.P. & Shapiro, S.L. 1978, Rev. M od. Phys., 50, 437
- Lynden-Bell, D. 1969, Nature, 223, 690
- Lyth, D.H. 2000, Phys. Lett. B 488,417
- M adM illan, J.D. & Henriksen, R.N. 2002, ApJ, 569, 83
- M acchetto, D . et al., 1997, ApJ, 489, 579
- M agorrian, J., Trem aine, S., Richstone, D., Bender, R., Bower, G., Dressler, A., Faber, S.M., Gebhardt, K., Green, R., Grillm air, C., Korm endy, J., Lauer, T. 1998, AJ, 115, 2285
- Markov, M.A. 1964, Phys. Lett., 10, 22
- Merritt, D. 2004, Phys. Rev. Lett., 92, 201304
- M erritt, D . et al. 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett., 88,19301
- M unyaneza, F., T sik lauri, D. & V iollier, R D, 1998, ApJ, 509, L105
- M unyaneza, F., Tsiklauri, D. & Viollier, R. D., 1999, ApJ, 526, 744
- M unyaneza, F., V iollier, R D. 2002, ApJ, 564, 274
- Navarro, J.F., Frenk, C.S., & W hite, SD M .1997, ApJ, 490, 493

- Ostriker, J.P. 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett., 84, 23
- Peebles, P.J.E. 1972, Gen.Rel.Grav., 3, 6
- Podsiadlowski, Ph., Rappaport, S. & Hau, Z., 2003, MNRAS, 341, 385
- Portegies Zwart, S.F. & M cM illan, SLW .2002, ApJ, 576, 899
- Read, J.I.& G ilm ore, G. 2003, MNRAS, 339, 949
- Rees, M .J.1984, ARA&A, 22, 471
- Ru ni, R. 1980, Lett. Nuovo C im . 29, 161
- Quinlan, G.D., Hemquist, L. & Sigurdsson, S., 1995, ApJ, 440, 554
- Schodel, R . et al. 2002, N ature, 419, 694
- Schunk, F.E. & Liddle, A.R. 1977, Phys. Lett. B 404, 25
- Schunk, F.E. & M ielke, E.W .2003, Class. Quant. Grav. 20, R 301
- Silk, J.& Rees, M .J.1998, A&A, 331, L1
- Spergel, D.N. et al. 2003, ApJS, 148, 175
- Spergel, D.N. & Steinhardt, P.J. 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett., 84, 3760
- Shapiro, S.L. 1985, in IAU Symp.113, Dynamics of star clusters, ed.J.Goodman & P.Hut (Dordrecht Reidel), 373
- Spitzer, L. 1987, Dynamical evolution of globular clusters (Princeton:Princeton Univ.Press)
- Spitzer, L. & Schwarzschild, M. 1951, ApJ, 114, 3856
- Spitzer, L. & Schwarzschild, M, 1953, ApJ, 118, 106
- Tonnes, D.F., Capozziello, S. & Lam biase, G., 2000, Phys. Rev.D 62, 104012
- T sik lauri, D. & V iollier, R D. 1998, ApJ, 500, 591
- Tyler, C., Janus, B. & Santos-Noble, D. 2003, astro-ph/0309008
- Young, P.1980, ApJ, 242, 1232
- van der M arel, R P. "C amegie O bservatories A strophysics series, Vol. 1: C oevolution of B lack H oles and G alaxies" ed. H o, L C ., 2003, in press, astro-ph/0302101.
- van Albada, T.S., Bahcall, J.N., Begeman, K. & Sancisi, R. 1985, ApJ, 295, 305
- W alter, F., Bertoldi, F., Carilli, C., Cox, P., Lo, K.Y., Neri, R., Fan, X., Omont, A., Strauss, M. & Menten, K. M., 2003, Nature, 424, 406
- W ang, Y.& Bierm ann, P.L. 1998, A&A, 334, 87
- W ang, Y ., B ierm ann, P.L.& W andel, A .2000, A & A , 361, 550
- W illott, C.J., M cLure, R.J.& Jarvis, M. 2003, ApJ, 587, L15
- W ilkinson, M . I. & Evans, N . W . 1999, M N R A S, 310, 645
- Viollier, R.D. 1994, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 32, 51
- Zhao, H ., H aehnelt, M .G ., R ees, M .J., 2002, N ew A stronom y 7, 385