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In [1] [1] was dem onstrated that strangelets (stable lum ps of quark matter) have properties which circum vent both the acceleration problem and the energy-loss problem $s$ facing $m$ ore $m$ undane candidates for ultrahigh energy cosm ic rays beyond $10^{20} \mathrm{eV}$, such as protons and nuclei.

In the preceding $C$ om $m$ ent $B$ alberg $[\underline{W}]$ argues that such a galactic ux of ultrahigh energy strangelets would trigger transform ation of allneutron stars into strange quark $m$ atter stars. He further argues, that all neutron stars can not be strange stars, and therefore nds the scenario in [1] [1] unlikely.

Here I show that the rst assum ption in $\bar{R}_{1}^{-1}$ is incorrect because strangelets at the relevant energies will be destroyed in collisionsw ith the stars they are supposed to transform. I further argue, that it is not currently know n whether all neutron stars are in fact strange stars. T hus the scenario presented in [11] rem ains viable.

Strangelet fragm entation will occur if the total energy added in inelastic collisions $w$ ith nuclei exceeds the strangelet.binding energy, which can be som etens ofM eV per baryon. A strangelet w ith baryon num ber A w ill be destroyed in a single head-on collision $w$ th a stellar proton if $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{col}}=10^{20} \mathrm{eVA}{ }^{1} \mathrm{E}_{20}>\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b} \text { ind }} \quad 10^{7} \mathrm{eVAE}_{\mathrm{B} 10}$ or $\mathrm{A}<310^{6} \mathrm{E}_{20}^{1=2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }_{10}^{1=2}$, where $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B} 10}$ is the binding energy per baryon in units of 10 M eV , and $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ is the cosm ic ray kinetic energy in units of $10^{20} \mathrm{eV}$. B ringing a strangelet to rest in the star requires the strangelet to $m$ ove through a total colum $n$ of $m$ ass roughly equal to its ow $n$, i.e. of order A collisions $w$ th protons or a frag$m$ entation lim it up to $\mathrm{A}<10^{13} \mathrm{E}_{20} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }_{10}^{10}$ (highly charged strangeletsw illhave a scattering cross section larger than geom etrical, which can reduce this A-lim it som ew hat). Sm aller strangelet fragm ents potentially form ed in such ultra-relativistic collisionsw illhave the sam e Lorentz factor and energy per baryon as the originalstrangelet, and w ill therefore be prone to destruction in later collisions. W hile the details of strangelet fragm entation rem ains to be studied ( $m$ uch of the necessary input physics is poorly know $n$ ) these order-ofm agnitude estim ates dem onstrate that the ultrahigh energy strangelets discussed in [1] $\underline{11}_{1}^{1}$ w ill be destroyed in collisions rather than serve as seeds to transform neutron stars into strange stars.

In contrast to the extrem ely high energy cosm ic ray strangelets, even a sm all ux of strangelets at low en-
ergies would be able to convert all neutron stars into strange stars. This was rst shown in detail in [1] and [ $\left.4_{1}^{1}\right]$ m ore than a decade ago. At that time it was argued (also by the present author in $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ ) that this ruled out the hypothesis of stable strange quark $m$ atter and strangelets, because som e properties of pulsars (notably the glitch phenom enon) seem ed inconsistent w ith strange star properties. Balberg $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{[1}\right]$ revives this argum ent and lists a set of such properties inchding glitches, r-m ode instabilities and cooling. H ow ever at the current level of understanding it is prem ature to rule out strange stars on these grounds. The strange star glitch problem ['] has been show $n$ to be $m$ arginally consistent $w$ ith ordinary strange stars w ith nuclear crusts [6] $]$ and $m$ ay also nd an explanation in the crystalline phases recently discovered in color-superconducting quark $m$ atter $[\underline{\underline{1}}, \mathbf{1}$. The r-m ode instabilities that rule out the sim plest color- avor locked strange stars $\left[\frac{10}{1}\right] \mathrm{m}$ ay also be consistent in m odels w ith crystalline phases, and sim ilar counterexam ples exist for the other e ects $m$ entioned in $\overline{\operatorname{L}} \mathrm{Z}]$. Sum $m$ arizing, it is not know $n$ at present $w$ hether strange stars exist at all, but it is not ruled out either, that all \neutron" stars could be strange stars.

In conclusion, the strangelet scenario for ultrahigh energy cosm ic rays presented in [1] [1] rem ains viable.
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