Reply to Comment on Strangelets as cosm ic rays beyond the GZK -cuto

Jes Madsen

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Aarhus, DK-8000 Arhus C, Denmark

(Dated: 0 ctober 8, 2003)

PACS num bers: 98.70 Sa, 12.38 M h, 12.39 Ba, 24.85.+ p

In [1] it was demonstrated that strangelets (stable lumps of quark matter) have properties which circum – vent both the acceleration problem and the energy-loss problem s facing more mundane candidates for ultrahigh energy cosm ic rays beyond 10^{20} eV, such as protons and nuclei.

In the preceding C om m ent B alberg [2] argues that such a galactic ux of ultrahigh energy strangelets would trigger transform ation of all neutron stars into strange quark m atter stars. He further argues, that all neutron stars can not be strange stars, and therefore nds the scenario in [1] unlikely.

Here I show that the rst assumption in [2] is incorrect because strangelets at the relevant energies will be destroyed in collisions with the stars they are supposed to transform. I further argue, that it is not currently known whether all neutron stars are in fact strange stars. Thus the scenario presented in [1] remains viable.

Strangelet fragm entation will occur if the total energy added in inelastic collisions with nuclei exceeds the strangelet binding energy, which can be som e tens of M eV per baryon. A strangelet with baryon number A will be destroyed in a single head-on collision with a stellar proton if $E_{col} = 10^{20} \text{eV A}^{-1} E_{20} > E_{bind}$ $10^{7} eVAE_{B10}$ $10^6 \text{E}_{20}^{1=2} \text{E}_{\text{B}10}^{1=2}$, where $\text{E}_{\text{B}10}$ is the binding or A < 3energy per baryon in units of 10 M eV , and E $_{\rm 20}\,$ is the cosm ic ray kinetic energy in units of 10²⁰ eV . Bringing a strangelet to rest in the star requires the strangelet to move through a total column of mass roughly equal to its own, i.e. of order A collisions with protons or a fragmentation limit up to A < 10^{13} E₂₀E_B¹ (highly charged strangelets will have a scattering cross section larger than geometrical, which can reduce this A-lim it somewhat). Sm aller strangelet fragm ents potentially form ed in such ultra-relativistic collisions will have the sam e Lorentz factor and energy per baryon as the original strangelet, and will therefore be prone to destruction in later collisions. W hile the details of strangelet fragm entation rem ains to be studied (much of the necessary input physics is poorly known) these order-of-m agnitude estim ates dem onstrate that the ultrahigh energy strangelets discussed in [1] will be destroyed in collisions rather than serve as seeds to transform neutron stars into strange stars.

In contrast to the extrem ely high energy cosm ic ray strangelets, even a small ux of strangelets at low en-

ergies would be able to convert all neutron stars into strange stars. This was rst shown in detail in [3] and [4] more than a decade ago. At that time it was arqued (also by the present author in [3]) that this ruled out the hypothesis of stable strange quark matter and strangelets, because som e properties of pulsars (notably the glitch phenom enon) seem ed inconsistent with strange star properties. Balberg [2] revives this argument and lists a set of such properties including glitches, r-m ode instabilities and cooling. How ever at the current level of understanding it is premature to rule out strange stars on these grounds. The strange star glitch problem [5] has been shown to be marginally consistent with ordinary strange stars with nuclear crusts [6], and m ay also nd an explanation in the crystalline phases recently discovered in color-superconducting quark matter [7]. The r-m ode instabilities that rule out the sim plest color- avor locked strange stars [8] m ay also be consistent in m odels with crystalline phases, and similar counterexamples exist for the other e ects mentioned in [2]. Sum marizing, it is not known at present whether strange stars exist at all, but it is not ruled out either, that all \neutron" stars could be strange stars.

In conclusion, the strangelet scenario for ultrahigh energy cosm ic rays presented in [1] remains viable.

This work was supported by the Theoretical A strophysics Center under the Danish National Research Foundation, and by the Danish NaturalScience Research Council.

- [1] J.M adsen and JM.Larsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 121102 (2003).
- [2] S.Balberg (preceding comment).
- [3] J.M adsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2909 (1988).
- [4] R R. Caldwell and J.L. Friedman, Phys. Lett. 264B, 143 (1991).
- [5] M A.A par, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2152 (1987).
- [6] N K. G lendenning and F. W eber, A strophys. J. 400, 647 (1992).
- [7] M. Alford, JA. Bowers, and K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. D 63, 074016 (2001).
- [8] J.M adsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 10 (2000); ibid 81, 3311 (1998).