# SZ scaling relations in Galaxy Clusters: results from hydrodynam icalN {body simulations

Antonio J.C. da Silva LAOMP, 14, Av. Edouard Belin, 31400 Toubuse, France

A bstract. Observations with the SZ e ect constitute a powerfulnew tool for investigating clusters and constraining cosm ological parameters. Of particular interest is to investigate how the SZ signal correlates with other cluster properties, such as the mass, tem perature and X-ray lum inosities. In this presentation we quantify these relations for clusters found in hydrodynamical simulations of large scale structure and investigate their dependence on the e ects of radiative cooling and pre-heating.

K eyw ords: galaxy clusters, cosm ic m icrowave background

## 1. Introduction

G alaxy clusters are the largest gravitationally bound objects in the Universe. They have typical masses of  $10^{14}$   $10^{15}$ h <sup>1</sup> M and contain hundreds of galaxies within radius of a few Mpc. The intra-cluster medium (ICM) is lled with hot ionized gas, typically at temperatures 1{15 keV, which produces strong X-ray emission and causes spectral distortions in the CMB spectrum via the Sunyaev{Zel'dovich (SZ) e ect (see Ref. [11]).

N um erical sin ulations indicate that the non-baryonic dark m atter component in clusters, which is the dom inant fraction of their m ass, is rem arkably self-sin ilar for system s in approxim ate state of equilibrium, see e.g. R ef. [8]. How ever, the baryonic gas component does not share the same degree of self-sin ilarity. This is more evident from observations of the  $L_X$  (T relation in clusters, which is much steeper than is predicted by simple self-sin ilar scaling laws, specially for low-m ass system s (see e.g. R efs. [4, 14]). This deviation from self-sin ilarity has been interpreted as due to non-gravitational processes, such as radiative cooling and heating, that raise the entropy of the gas, see e.g. R ef. [10].

The purpose of this study is to investigate the correlation between intrinsic properties of clusters found in simulations, and to compare them with analytical scaling laws. We use high-resolution hydrodynam ical simulated clusters to assess the impact of radiative cooling and pre-heating on these scaling relations. Here we focus only on scalings involving the SZ integrated signal, Y, at redshift zero. The e ects on the mass{temperature and X-ray scaling relations have already been analyzed in detail in Refs. [6, 7] for this same set of simulations. A more



c 2024 Kluwer Academ ic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

#### Antonio da Silva

detailed analysis of the SZ scaling relations can be found in Ref. [2], whose results supersede those presented at this conference.

### 2. Scaling relations in C lusters

The quantity we want to study is the total therm al SZ ux density received from a cluster. This is de ned as the integral of the therm al SZ specic intensity (described by the y Comptonization parameter) over the solid angle occupied by the source in the sky. More exactly, we want to investigate how the frequency-independent SZ ux,

Y 
$$yd = d_{A}^{2} ydA = \frac{k_{B}}{mc^{2}} d_{A}^{2} v_{A} T_{e}n_{e}dV$$
; (1)

correlates with other cluster properties. This is known as the SZ integrated Y – ux or Y –lum inosity. Note that  $d_A$  is the angular diam eter distance,  $dA = d \; d_A^{\ 2}$  is the sky projected area of the source and the last integral is performed over the volume of the cluster. Since y is dimensionless, Y has dimensions of a solid angle. Equation (1) indicates that Y is proportional to the mean electron temperature,  $hT_ei$ , and the total cluster mass, M ,

$$Y / f_{gas}hT_{e}iM d_{A}^{2};$$
 (2)

where  $f_{gas}$  is the gas m ass fraction of the cluster. N ote that  $hT_{e}i$  is the m ass (or particle) weighted tem perature, which m ay di er from the em ission-weighted tem perature m easured in X-ray observations.

The dependence of the X-ray bolom etric lum inosity on mass and tem perature follows from its de nition,

$$L_{X} = \int_{V}^{Z} \frac{2}{(m_{p})^{2}} (T) dV :$$
 (3)

The integral is over the volume of the cluster,  $m_p \prime 1 10^{24}$  is the mean mass per particle, (T) is the gas cooling function and  $g_{as}$  is the gas density. A ssum ing the gas is well described by an isothermal tem perature prole one obtains,

$$L_X / f_{gas}^2 M_c \operatorname{crit} (T) / f_{gas}^2 M_c \operatorname{crit} T^{1=2}$$
; (4)

where the last step results from assuming that the bolom etric lum inosity in clusters is dominated by brem sstrahlung emission, ~/ T  $^{1=2}$  .

As suggested by the virial theorem the mass and the temperature of a cluster are tightly correlated quantities. At the virial radius these

3

are related by the virial relation,  $k_B T$  / GM =r. The mass enclosed within this radius can be written as M = 4 r<sup>3</sup> <sub>c crit</sub>=3, where <sub>c</sub> is the overdensity contrast between the mean cluster density within r and the critical density, <sub>crit</sub>. U sing this expression one obtains

$$T / M^{2=3} (_{c crit})^{1=3} / \frac{c}{(z)} M^{2=3} (1 + z):$$
 (5)

U sing Eq. (5) into Eqs. (2) and (4), with  $hT_{ei}$  T, one can derive general scaling relations for the SZ and X-ray lum inosities as a function of cosm ology, redshift, and the cluster mass (or tem perature). A coording to these, clusters are expected to present the following interdependencies of mass, tem perature, X-ray lum inosities and integrated SZ uxes at redshift zero:

T / M 
$$^{2=3}$$
; L<sub>X</sub> / M  $^{4=3}$  / T  $^2$ ; Y / M  $^{5=3}$  / T  $^{5=2}$  / L<sub>X</sub>  $^{5=4}$ : (6)

These expressions coincide with the scaling relations predicted by the self-sim ilar model [5], which is expected to describe well the correlation between the above properties if shock heating from gravitational collapse is the dom inant mechanism driving the gas evolution.

## 3. Sim ulations, C luster identi cation and catalogues

W e present results from three simulations of a single CDM cosm ology with density parameter,  $_{\rm m} = 0.35$ , cosm ological constant, = 0.65, Hubble parameter h = 0.71, baryon density,  $_{\rm B}h^2 = 0.019$ , shape parameter of the CDM power spectrum, = 0.21, and normalization  $_8 = 0.9$ .We use  $160^3$  particles of each gas and dark matter in a box of side 100 h  $^{1}$ M pc, which gives particle masses of m<sub>gas</sub>  $= 2.6 \ 10^9 \ h^{-1}$ M and m<sub>dark</sub>  $= 2.1 \ 10^{10} \ h^{-1}$ M respectively. The gravitational softening at redshift zero was  $25 \ h^{-1}$ kpc. The simulations were carried out as part of the V irgo C onsortium program, using a parallel version of the hydra N-body/hydrodynam ics code (see R efs. [1, 9]).

The rst of the three simulations was performed without any additional heating or cooling mechanisms; we refer to this as the honradiative' simulation. The second simulation included a model for radiative cooling using the method described in Ref. [13], except that we adopted the cooling tables of Ref. [12] and a global gas metallicity evolving as Z = 0.3 (t=t<sub>0</sub>)Z, where Z is the solar metallicity and t=t<sub>0</sub> is the cosm ic time in units of its present value. Our third simulation, which also includes cooling, was performed in order to study the effects of pre-heating the gas at high redshift. We considered an energy

ws-hcp.tex; 20/03/2024; 18:30; p.3

in jection of 0.1 keV per gas particle at redshift four for this run. Note that this is less energy in jected per particle than that used in the preheating run of Ref. [2] (1.5 keV). We used identical initial conditions to enable direct comparisons between clusters form ing in the three runs. For more details see Ref. [3].

In simulations clusters are identied with a spherical overdensity group nder algorithm, as described in Ref. [6]. The identication process consists of several steps. The rst is to create a minimalspanning tree of all dark-m atter particles whose density exceeds = <sup>0:55</sup> (z) times the mean dark-matter density in the box. The 178 next step is to split the minim al-spanning tree into clum ps of particles using a maximum linking length equal to  $0.5^{1-3}$  times the mean inter-particle separation. Then we grow a sphere around the centre of the clum p until the enclosed m ean density is tim es larger then the com oving critical density. Because the density parameter of the real universe is not known, we have chosen to average the properties within an isodensity contour of = 200, corresponding to a radius  $r_{200}$ . A nalcluster catalogue is produced by selecting objects whose totalm ass is equivalent to at least 500 particles of gas and dark m atter within this radius, and whose centre is not located within a more massive cluster. In this way our catalogues (available on line from virgo.sussex.ac.uk) 1:18 10<sup>13</sup>h <sup>1</sup>M are complete in mass down to M<sub>lim</sub>

For each cluster the catalogues contain several entries representing di erent cluster properties. Relevant for this study are the bolom etric lum inosity,  $L_{bol} = P_{p} m_{i \ i} (T_{i};Z) = (m_{p})^{2}$ , the emission-weighted tem perature,  $T_{ew} = m_{i \ i} (T_{i};Z) T_{ip} m_{i \ i} (T_{i};Z)$ , the massweighted tem perature  $T_{mw} = m_{i \ T_{i}} m_{i}$ , and the hot gas mass fraction,  $f_{gas} = m_{i} = M_{i} \cdot M$ . In these expressions, sum mations run over gas particles with tem peratures above  $10^{5} (1 + z)^{2} K$  (i.e. the hot intra-cluster gas that gives rise to the SZ and X-ray emission) within a radius  $r_{200}$ . M is the total mass, i.e., the sum of the mass of all particles, including both baryons and dark matter, within the same radius. The quantities,  $m_{i}, T_{i}, i$  and  $(T_{i}; Z)$  are respectively them ass, tem perature, density and emissivity (cooling function) of the particles.

The intrinsic SZ lum inosity, de ned as  $Y^{int} = Y d_A (z)^2$ , can then be computed from the cluster catalogues as,

$$Y^{\text{int}} = \frac{T k_B}{m_e c^2} \frac{(1 + X)}{2m_p} \sum_{\substack{i \ge h \text{ gas}}}^X m_i T_i = \frac{T k_B}{m_e c^2} \frac{0.88}{m_p} f_{\text{gas}} T_{m_w} M ; \quad (7)$$

where we assume a hydrogen mass fraction of X = 0.76. Since the y-parameter is dimensionless we will quote  $Y^{\text{int}}$  in units of (h  ${}^{1}\text{M}\text{ pc})^{2}$ .

4. Results: scaling relations at redshift zero

In Figure 1 we plot the intrinsic SZ-ux against mass (top panel), m ass-weighted temperature (centre panel) and X-ray luminosity (bottom panel), for clusters found in simulation boxes at redshift zero. A llproperties are calculated within  $r_{200}$ . For the plots in this paper we adopt the following convention: gray crosses represent clusters from the non-radiative simulation, whereas dark gray and light gray crosses illustrate the properties of clusters in the cooling and pre-heating runs. To ensure completeness in temperature and luminosity we have trim med the original cluster catalogues in the two bottom plots by selecting only clusters with emission-weighted temperatures above 0.35, 0.75 and 0.55 keV for the non-radiative, cooling and pre-heating simulations, respectively. This permits to avoid the introduction of arti cial trends on the derived ttings for the  $Y_{200}^{int}$   $T_{mw}$  and  $Y_{200}^{int}$   $L_{bol}$  relations.

The plots show that  $Y_{200}^{int}$  is tightly correlated with m ass and the m ass-weighted tem perature in all runs. The correlation between the SZ integrated ux and the X-ray lum inosity is also strong, but shows signi cantly m ore scatter. This is because the X-ray em ission is sensitive to the gas distribution and tem perature, whereas  $Y_{200}^{int}$  is essentially a function of the total therm all energy of the hot gas m ass within  $r_{200}$ . In each plot, the solid lines are power-law best ts to distribution of clusters in each simulation. For the  $Y_{200}^{int}$  M <sub>200</sub> correlation we nd the follow ing best-t parameters for each simulation run:

Non-radiative:  $Y_{200}^{int} = 12$  10 <sup>6</sup>  $(M_{200}=M_{14})^{1:7}$  h <sup>1</sup>Mpc <sup>2</sup> Cooling:  $Y_{200}^{int} = 8:3$  10 <sup>7</sup>  $(M_{200}=M_{14})^{1:8}$  h <sup>1</sup>Mpc <sup>2</sup> Pre-heating:  $Y_{200}^{int} = 1:0$  10 <sup>6</sup>  $(M_{200}=M_{14})^{1:7}$  h <sup>1</sup>Mpc <sup>2</sup>, where M <sub>14</sub> = 10<sup>14</sup> h <sup>1</sup>M . The slope from the non-radiative run reproduces well the value 5/3 predicted by the self-sim ilar scaling Eq. (6). The cooling simulation presents a steeper slope and low ernorm alization then the other two runs. This im plies signi cant di erences in  $Y_{200}^{int}$ , particularly for low-m ass system s. For example, at M <sub>200</sub> 10<sup>13</sup> h <sup>1</sup>M , sources in the cooling simulation are about two times less strong then their non-radiative counterparts. At 10<sup>14</sup> h <sup>1</sup>M the relative di erence is still of about 50 percent, but gets much reduced at higher m asses.

The di erences between runs can be explained in term softhe changes caused by our models of cooling and pre-heating in the hot gas fraction and mass-weighted temperature of clusters. The inclusion of cooling in both radiative simulations lowers the hot gas mass fraction and tends to increases the emission-weighted temperature due to the removal of cooled material from the hot gas phase. The increase in temperature results from the infall of high-entropy gas which replaces the cooled



Figure 1. SZ scaling relations for clusters at redshift zero from the non-radiative (gray crosses), cooling (dark gray crosses) and pre-heating (light gray crosses) sim – ulations of the CDM cosmology. From top to bottom we have the scalings of the intrinsic Y – ux with mass, mass-weighted temperature and X –ray bolom etric lum inosity, respectively. The solid lines are power-law to the distributions.



Figure 2. Fraction of hot gas within  $r_{200}$  for clusters in the non-radiative (gray crosses) cooling (dark gray crosses) and pre-heating (light gray crosses) simulations.

m aterial form ing at the central regions of clusters. As can be inferred from Eq. (7), these are competing e ects for the same cluster mass. The overall e ect on  $Y_{200}^{int}$  is dominated by the decrement of the hot gas mass fraction, which shifts clusters downward in the Y<sup>int</sup> M plane. The e ect is less strong in the pre-heating run, because particles were heated up by the energy injection mechanism and less amount of cooled material is able to form. The decrement of  $Y_{200}^{int}$  is also stronger in low-mass systems, which explains the steepening of the relations.

In Figure 2 we plot f<sub>gas</sub> for all clusters in non-radiative, cooling and pre-heating catalogues at redshift zero. We see that clusters in the cooling simulation have the lowest hot gas fractions. For low-m ass system s these are reduced by about 2.5 tim es when com pared with clusters in the non-radiative simulation. The fraction of hot gas in clusters of the pre-heating run is also substantially reduced, but less than in the cooling case. In both radiative simulations, lower mass systems show lower amounts of hot gas, which implies a lower  $Y_{200}^{int}$ . In the cooling simulation, this is because cooling becomes more e cient in low-mass system s, where particles have lower tem peratures and higher cooling rates due to collisional excitation cooling from neutral elements. In the pre-heating simulation, low-mass systems may also reduce their hot gas fraction in this way. This process is less e cient then in the cooling case because the energy in jection rises the tem perature of the particles and reduces the contribution from collisional excitation cooling. Preheating can also reduce the am ount of hot gas in clusters in a di erent way. Instead of converting hot gas into cooled m aterial, pre-heating has

the e ect of heating up and expelling gas from the central regions of clusters. In low m ass systems this may cause some of the hot gas to be expelled from the haloes, which in turn in plies lower  $f_{gas}$  at lower m asses.We nd that the am ount of baryonic gas which is converted into cooled m aterial in clusters of the cooling and pre-heating simulation can be as high as 50 and 30 per cent, respectively.

The correlation between  $Y_{200}^{int}$  and the m ass-weighted tem perature is also easy to understand. For the same  $T_{m\,w}$ , Eq. (7) tells us that the Y – lum inosity is simply proportional to the hot gas m ass of the cluster. As a consequence, for a given tem perature, clusters of the cooling and preheating simulations present low er  $Y_{200}^{int}$ . The e ect is again stronger for low -m ass systems and for clusters in the cooling simulation. Clusters in the radiative runs also present higher tem peratures for the same m ass. The combination of these two e ects causes clusters to shift in the direction of high tem peratures and low er Y – uxes in the  $Y_{200}^{int}$   $T_{m\,w}$  plane. The best-t parameters found for the  $Y_{200}^{int}$ 

Non-radiative:	$Y_{200}^{int} = 5:8$	$10^{-7}$ (T <sub>m w</sub> = keV) <sup>2:0</sup>	h	<sup>1</sup> Mpc <sup>2</sup>
Cooling:	$Y_{200}^{int} = 1:8$	$10^{-7}$ (T <sub>m w</sub> =keV) <sup>3:0</sup>	h	$^{1}\mathrm{Mpc}^{2}$
Pre-heating:	$Y_{200}^{int} = 3:4$	$10^{-7}$ (T <sub>m w</sub> = keV) <sup>2:8</sup>	h	<sup>1</sup> M pc <sup>2</sup>

The slopes of the relations derived from the radiative simulations are steeper than those found in the non-radiative run. This is due to the higher tem peratures and lower gas m asses of clusters in these simulations. Again the non-radiative simulation is in good agreement with the slope 5/2 predicted by the self-sim ilar scaling.

In the top panels of F igure 3 we plot  $Y_{200}^{int}$  against the mass-weighted (left panel) and X-ray em ission-weighted (right panel) tem peratures for clusters in the non-radiative and cooling simulations. These show that the integrated SZ signal presents a much tighter correlation with the mass-weighted tem perature than with the emission-weighted tem perature. This result con m s expectations, since T<sub>ew</sub> is weighted by density and tem perature and is therefore sensitive to substructures and clumping of the gas inside the cluster. The bottom panels of Figure 3 show the correlation between mass and temperature for the same set of clusters displayed in the top panels. A gain, the correlation between M  $_{200}$  and T<sub>mw</sub> presents signi cantly less scatter than the correlation of M  $_{200}$  with T $_{ew}$ . This is evidence of the potential of the SZ e ect to study the properties of clusters. Future high-resolution SZ observations m ay provide in portant constraints on cluster evolutionary theories. Good calibrations of the observed Y T and Y M relations m ay also provide alternative robust estimates of temperature and mass in clusters.

W e end the analysis by reporting on the  $Y_{200}^{int}$  L<sub>bol</sub> correlation. In the radiative runs, the high entropy gas which replaces the cooled m aterial



Figure 3. The top panels show the  $Y_{200}^{\text{int}}$  against the mass-weighted (left panel) and X-ray emission-weighted (right panel) temperatures (respectively  $T_{m\,w}$  and  $T_{ew}$ ) for clusters in the non-radiative (gray crosses) and cooling (dark gray crosses) runs. The bottom panels show mass{temperature correlations for the same set of clusters.

which form s in these simulations is less dense and hotter then the gas in the non-radiative simulation. These variations in temperature and density have opposite elects on the X-ray lum inosity, which is a slow lyvarying function of temperature, but it is proportional to the square of the gas density, see Eq. (3). As a result, the change in density wins the competition and the lum inosity decreases with cooling. The inclusion of pre-heating reduces the am ount of cooled material and increases the gas temperature. This im plies higher lum inosities in the pre-heating run then in the cooling simulation, but lower lum inosities than in the non-radiative run. The combination of these elects with the decrease of  $Y_{200}^{int}$  explains the substantial shifts observed in the  $Y_{200}^{int}$  L<sub>bol</sub> relations of Figure 1 in the pre-heating and cooling simulations. The best-t

N on-radiative:	$Y_{200}^{IIIC} = 6:3$	10 <sup>12</sup> (L <sub>bol</sub> =L <sub>40</sub> ) <sup>13</sup> h <sup>1</sup> Mpc
Cooling:	$Y_{200}^{int} = 1:6$	10 $^{9}$ (L <sub>bol</sub> =L <sub>40</sub> ) $^{0:9}$ h $^{1}$ M pc $^{2}$
Pre-heating:	$Y_{200}^{int} = 32$	10 <sup>10</sup> $(L_{bol}=L_{40})^{1:0}$ h <sup>1</sup> M pc <sup>2</sup> ,

where  $L_{40} = 10^{40}$ h<sup>2</sup> ergs<sup>1</sup>. These show considerable di erences of slope and norm alization. The slope in the non-radiative run is close to 1.25, which is the value predicted by the self-sim ilar scaling. The

#### Antonio da Silva

radiative runs show sim ilar slopes, which are less steep than in the non-radiative case. As in the case of the  $Y_{200}^{\text{int}}$   $T_{ew}$  relation, the  $Y_{200}^{\text{int}}$   $L_{bol}$  relation shows signi cant scatter due to the sensitivity of the X-ray em ission to the density and tem perature distribution of the hot gas.

## 5. Conclusions

We have studied the e ect of our models of cooling and pre-heating on the SZ cluster population by identifying clusters in the simulation boxes and computing their characteristic properties. We correlated the SZ lum inosity with other cluster properties and derived scaling relations at redshift zero. The non-radiative simulation reproduces well the scalings predicted by the self-similar model, whereas the inclusion of cooling and pre-heating generally changes the slope and normalization of the scaling relations for those runs. The integrated Y -signal is found to be tightly correlated with the mass-weighted tem perature and total mass of the cluster.

The author wishes to thank A. Liddle, P. Thom as, S. Kay and O. M uanwong for many fruitful discussions and acknow ledges the use of the computer facilities of the A stronom y Unit at Sussex, UK, and CALM IP at Toulouse, France. The simulations used were carried out on a Cray-T 3E at EPCC as part of the VIRGO Consortium collaboration.

#### References

- 1. Couchman, H.M. P., P.A. Thomas, and F.R. Pearce: 1995. Ap.J 452, 797.
- 2. da Silva, A.C., S.T.Kay, A.R.Liddle, and P.A.Thom as: 2004 MNRAS 348, 1401.
- 3. da Silva, A.C., S.T.Kay, A.R.Liddle, P.A.Thomas, F.R.Pearce, and D. Barbosa: 2001. Ap.J 561, L15.
- 4. Edge, A.C. and G.C. Stewart: 1991. MNRAS 252, 414.
- 5. Kaiser, N.: 1986. MNRAS 222, 323.
- 6. Muanwong, O., P.A. Thom as, S.T. Kay, F.R. Pearce, and H.M. P.Couchman: 2001. Ap.J 552, L27.
- 7. Muanwong, O. and Thomas, P.A. and Kay, S.T. and Pearce, F.R.: 2002. MNRAS 336, 527.
- 8. Navarro, J.F., C.S.Frenk, and S.D.M.W hite: 1997. Ap.J 490, 493.
- 9. Pearce, F.R. and H.M. P.Couchman: 1997. New Astronomy 2, 411.
- 10. Ponman, T.J., D.B.Cannon, and J.F.Navarro: 1999. Nature 397, 135.
- 11. Sunyaev, R.A. and Y.B.Zel'dovich: 1972. Comm. A strophys. Space Phys. 4, 173.
- 12. Sutherland, R.S. and M.A.Dopita: 1993. Ap. J. 88, S253.
- 13. Thom as, P.A. and H.M. P.Couchm an: 1992. MNRAS 257, 11{31.
- 14. Xue, Y. and X.W u: 2000. Ap.J 538, 65.