Ion-by-Ion D i erential Em ission M easure D eterm ination of C ollisionally Ionized P lasm a: I.M ethod

Patrick S.W ojdowski and Norbert S.Schulz

Center for Space Research, M assachusetts Institute of Technology

pswoj@space.mit.edu

ABSTRACT

We describe a technique to derive constraints on the dimension measure (DEM) distribution, a measure of the temperature distribution, of collisionally ionized hot plasm as from their X-ray emission line spectra. This technique involves thing spectra using a number of components, each of which is the entire X-ray line emission spectrum for a single ion. It is applicable to high-resolution X-ray spectra of any collisionally ionized plasm a and particularly useful for spectra in which the emission lines are broadened and blended such as those of the winds of hot stars. This method does not require that any explicit assumptions about the form of the DEM distribution be made and is easily automated.

1. Introduction

The X-ray emission for a large class of astrophysical objects is dominated by emission from a hot, thin plasma in which the electrons have a thermal velocity distribution and ion-electron recombination is balanced by collisional ionization | i.e., collisional ionization equilibrium. This class of astrophysical objects includes both hot and cool stars, clusters of galaxies, and elliptical galaxies as well as some cataclysm ic variable systems and active galactic nuclei (see, e.g., Paerels & Kahn 2003). A large class of laboratory plasmas are also in collisional ionization equilibrium. At temperatures above 10^6 K, plasmas in collisional ionization equilibrium emit X-rays in the 1.5{30A (0.4{8 keV}) band. Up to temperatures of 3 10⁸, that X-ray emission is characterized by strong line emission. This line emission varies strongly with temperature changes of a few tenths of a decade or more.

The unprecedented ability of the grating spectrom eters on the Chandra and XMM – Newton observatories to resolve this X -ray line em ission present new possibilities for m easuring temperatures of plasm as in C IE and, therefore, new tests of theories of astrophysical objects. Compilations of X -ray line emissivities have long been available (e.g., Raymond & Smith 1977, Mewe, Gronenschild, & van den O ord 1985, Smith et al. 2001) and given a detailed model of an astrophysical object, it is, in general, straightforward to compute the emission line spectrum of any optically thin C IE plasma. For the purpose of determining lum inosities of most emission lines, the three dimensional temperature and density distributions may be reduced to the dimension measure (DEM) distribution, a single-valued function of temperature which we de ne in x2. However, it is often the case that no explicit theoretical prediction exists for the DEM distribution of the dimension measure, it is often desirable to make measurements of the dimension of the dimension measure without respect to any model. Therefore, in this paper, we develop a method for obtaining constraints on the DEM distribution of a collisionally ionized plasma from its X-ray emission line spectrum without respect to any physical model.

Ourmethod consists of tting the observed spectral data using a model consisting of a continuum plus a number of line em ission components, with each line em ission component containing allof the lines of a single ion in the observed wavelength band. Since each ion em its only in a speci c tem perature range, the best t m agnitude for the line em ission of a given ion gives a measure of a weighted average of the DEM distribution in that tem perature range times the abundance of that element. We plot the constraints for all of the ions as a function of the tem perature at which emission from the ion peaks. These plots may be understood as one-dimensional \images" of the DEM distributions in that they consist of discrete \pixels" (one for each ion) and dier from the true DEM distribution by a convolution which may be understood as a $\pm x$ perature-spread" function. In addition, by thing the entire X-ray spectrum, rather than attempting to measure uxes of individual lines, we take advantage of the information available from blended lines. This is particularly important in the analysis of spectra in which the lines are signi cantly broadened and blended due to insu cient instrum ental spectral resolution, D oppler m otion of the em itting plasm a, or other e ects. This method can be automated, facilitating the analysis of large numbers of spectra. This attribute will become increasingly in portant with the future Constellation-X mission which will be able to obtain high-resolution spectra for a large number of objects. While we are unaware of any use of this method exactly as it is described here, it is quite similar to and, in fact, inspired by methods described and applied by Pottasch (1963) for the analysis of solar ultraviolet spectra, by Sako et al. (1999) for the analysis of an X-ray spectrum of the photoionized wind of the high mass X -ray binary Vela X-1, and by Brinkm an et al. (2001) and Behar, Cottam, & Kahn (2001) for analyses of the X-ray spectra of the corona of the cool stars HR 1099 and Capella, respectively.

In x2 we motivate and describe our method in detail and in x3, we apply it to a simulated

spectrum of a plasm a with a continuous tem perature distribution and simulated spectra of several single tem perature plasm as. In x4, we discuss the possible applications of ourm ethod. The X-ray emission of most hot stars originates in supersonic stellar winds, resulting in broadened and blended emission lines. Therefore, our technique is particularly useful in the analysis of these spectra and in a companion paper (hereafter, \Paper II") we apply our technique to spectra of nine hot stars.

2. The D i erential E m ission M easure D istribution and its D eterm ination

In a plasm a in C E, line em ission is due primarily to electron-ion collisional excitation. Therefore, at low densities, for a given tem perature, line em issivities are proportional to the square of the density. However, at high enough density, ions in some metastable excited states may undergo additional collisional excitation, resulting in the em issivities of some lines depending on higher powers of the density. By de nition, in a plasm a in C E, the radiation eld is too weak to a ect the ionization balance. However, there is a regime in which a plasm a may be in C E but in which the radiation eld is strong enough to induce further excitation of ions in metastable excited states. This leads to additional dependence of the line em issivities on the radiation intensity.

For simplicity, in x2.1, we de ne the DEM distribution and describe a method for deriving constraints on it from its X-ray emission spectrum for a plasma in which excited ions do not undergo further excitation. Then, in x2.2, we describe a modi ed version of this method for deriving constraints on the DEM distribution of plasmas in which ions in metastable excited may undergo further excitation.

2.1. Low Density and Radiation Intensity

In the limit of low density and low radiation intensity, at a given temperature, line emissivities depend on the square of the density. Therefore, for the purpose of determining the emission line spectrum, the three dimensional temperature and density distributions may be reduced to the DEM distribution de ned as:

$$D(T) \frac{dE}{d\log T}$$
(1)

where T is the electron tem perature and E is the em ission m easure de ned as

$$E (T) n_e n_H dV$$
(2)

where n_e is the electron density, n_H is the hydrogen atom density and the integration is over that volume where the temperature is less than T. In addition, because di use plasmas in collisional ionization equilibrium cool radiatively, the cooling of gas is also determined by the DEM distribution. Therefore, constraints on the DEM distribution provide constraints on the overall energetics of the X-ray emitting plasmas.

The lum inosity of an emission line i from an ion of charge state z of element Z may be expressed as $$\mathbbmss2$$

$$L_{Z;z;i} = A_{Z} \qquad D (T)P_{Z;z;i}(T) d \log T$$
(3)

where A_z is the abundance of element Z relative to solar and $P_{Z,z,i}(T)$ is the line power function. The line power function depends only on atom ic physics parameters and the solar abundance of the element. Its variation with temperature is due to the variation of the fractional abundance of the em itting ion as well as the variation of intrinsic collisional excitation rates. Line power functions have been tabulated for a large number of lines. Therefore, from the measurement of a line luminosity, a constraint on the product of the elemental abundance and the DEM distribution can be inferred.

In the absence of speci c theoretical predictions of DEM distributions, it may be useful to determ ine, at least approximately, the magnitude and form of the DEM distribution. If we divide Equation 3 by $P_{Z,z,i}(T) d \log T$, then it may be seen that the measurement of a line lum inosity constrains the product of an elemental abundance and a \weighted average" of the DEM distribution. In Figure 1, we show the power functions of the lines of two ions. The line power data we use in this gure and elsewhere in this paper is from a version of A strophysical P lasm a Em ission D atabase (APED, Sm ith et al. 2001) based on the publicly released version 1.1 but m odi ed to include the dependence of the line power functions on density (R.Sm ith, private communication 2002). The grid for the database we use is somewhat sparse: line powers are tabulated for tentem perature values per decade and two density values per decade. It may be seen in the gure that the line power functions are single-peaked and, compared to their peak values, are negligible outside of tem perature ranges of about one decade around each peak. Therefore, the weighted average values of the DEM that we obtain from the measurem ent of line lum inosities are, approximately, the average value of the DEM in the vicinity of the peak of that line's power function.

M easuring the lum inosities of several lines with power functions that peak at di erent tem peratures gives us approximate average values of the DEM distribution in several tem – perature ranges. However, form any applications, it may be di cult to measure lum inosities of individual lines as they may be blended owing either to the nite spectral resolution of the instrument or intrinsic line broadening. In order to avoid the uncertainties related to the measurement of uxes of individual lines, we take advantage of the fact that the power



Fig. 1. | Line power functions and sum med line power functions for the L-shell ion Fe X V III and the hydrogen-like ion Si X IV. The topm ost curve in each panel is the total line power function (, de ned by Equation 4). All lines from the database are plotted. For Fe X V III, the lines can be seen to have nearly the same tem perature dependence. For Si X IV, a fam ily of line power functions have a tem perature dependence signi cantly di erent from the others, peaking at a lower tem perature. How ever, the peak values of these line power functions are only a few percent of those of the strongest lines. These gures illustrate the validity of our approximation that the line power functions of most of the lines of a given ion, and all of the strongest lines of that ion, the line power functions have alm ost the same shape. The sparseness of the tem perature grid is evident from the jagged appearance of the curves.

functions of most of the lines, and all of the strong lines, of an ion have very nearly the same shape. This similarity of line power functions is demonstrated in Figure 1. If two lines have power functions that have the same shape but di er by a constant factor, then the ratio of the lum inosities of those two lines will dier by that same constant factor regardless of the DEM distribution. Therefore, instead of attempting to measure the uxes of individual em ission lines in a spectrum, we t the entire spectrum using all of the lines in the database, constraining the line uses such that ratios of the line uses for any individual ion are sed. In such a t, there is only one free line lum inosity normalization parameter for each ion. Just as the lum inosities of individual lines in ply average values of the DEM distribution in tem perature ranges de ned by the line power functions, so do these norm alization param eters. However, because each ion has a distinct set of lines with distinct lum inosity ratios, these norm alization parameters and the corresponding average values of the DEM distribution can be uniquely constrained, even if lines are signi cantly blended. For several ions, there is a fam ily of lines, the dielectronic recombination lines, that have power functions that di er signi cantly from the other lines of the ion. This fact can be seen in Figure 1 for SIX IV. However, the dielectronic lines are quite weak. The peak powers of the dielectronic recombination lines are only a few percent of those of strongest lines. Therefore, we proceed with our attempt to derive constraints on DEM distributions by thing spectra with xed line lum inosity ratios.

In order to choose line lum inosity ratios for tting spectra, we de ne the function $_{\rm Z,z}$ for each ion as the sum of all of the power functions for all of the lines of that ion:

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ P_{Z,z}(T) \\ i \end{array}$$
 (4)

For each function , we de ne a tem perature T $_{\rm p}$ to be the tem perature at which it peaks. We then let the line lum inosities be given by

$$L_{Z;z;i} = D_{Z;z}P_{Z;z;i}(T_{p;Z;z}) \log T_{Z;z}$$
(5)

where D $_{\rm Z,z}$ is a variable norm alization parameter and

$$\sum_{Z, z} \log T = \frac{\prod_{Z, z} (T) d \log T}{\sum_{Z, z} (T_{p; Z, z})};$$
(6)

In Table 1 we give values of T_p and the quantities $\log T$ and $\log T$, de ned as

р

$$\log T_{Z;z} \qquad \frac{\int_{T_{p;Z;z}}^{T_{T_{p;Z;z}}} \sum_{Z;z} (T) d \log T}{R_{1}}; \qquad (7)$$

$$+ \log T_{Z;z} \qquad \frac{\frac{T_{p;Z;z}}{Z;z} (T) d \log T}{\frac{T_{p;Z;z}}{Z;z} (T_{p;Z;z})}$$
(8)

for each of the ions we use in our analysis. The quantities $\log T$ and $_+ \log T$ provide an indication of the tem perature ranges over which the ions have signi cant line emission. The fact that several ions have the same value of T_p is an artifact of the sparse tem perature grid.

If all of the line power functions of a given ion have exactly the same shape, then the value of D for that ion gives the product of the elemental abundance and the average of the DEM distribution weighted by that ion's function as described above for individual lines. A sm entioned above, not all of the line power functions for every ion have the same shape. However, as those line power functions that di er signi cantly have m agnitudes of only approximately 1% of the value of the strongest line power functions, we expect an error due to the di erence of line power functions of no more than about 1%.

2.2. Line Pumping

A swe have mentioned before, som e ions have metastable excited states that are susceptible to further excitation by collisions with electrons or absorption of photons. The result of this is that the emission in lines resulting from the decay of the metastable state are \pumped" into other lines. We rst consider pumping by collisional excitation because the e ects of the two mechanisms are similar and because data for pumping by collisional excitation is more readily available. For this case, the line emission may still be described by line power functions. However, the line power P is a function of density as well as temperature. Therefore, the emission line spectrum depends on the two-dimensional temperature-density DEM

H (T;n_e)
$$\frac{d^2E}{d\log T d\log n_e}$$
 (9)

The DEM distribution is related to this quantity by

$$D (T) = H (T;n_e)d \log n_e:$$
(10)

If the density dependence of each line power function of a single ion is due to a single upward transition from a single m etastable state and the collisional excitation rate for that transition does not change much over the tem perature range where that ion em its, then the line power functions for that ion m ay be well approxim ated by the form

7

$$P_{Z;z;i}(T;n_e) = Z_{;z}(T)(B_{Z;z;i} + F_{Z;z;i}H_{Z;z}(n_e))$$
(11)

where and H are functions that are the same for all of the lines of a given ion and B and F are constant \cos cients for each line. Even if the two conditions mentioned above are not

Ion	T _p (K)		log T	+ log T
N VI	1:6	10 ⁶	0.20	0.34
NVII	2:0	10 ⁶	0.14	0.34
ΟVΠ	2:0	10 ⁶	0.16	0.21
O VIII	32	10 ⁶	0.18	0.34
NeIX	4:0	10 ⁶	0.22	0.19
NeX	6:3	10 ⁶	0.23	0.35
МgХI	6:3	10 ⁶	0.22	0.22
МgXII	1:0	10 ⁷	0.20	0.40
SiX III	1:0	10 ⁷	0.24	0.23
Six IV	1:6	10 ⁷	0.21	0.42
SXV	1:6	10 ⁷	0.30	0.22
SXVI	2:5	10 ⁷	0.24	0.43
ArXVII	2:0	10 ⁷	0.25	0.28
ArXVIII	4:0	10 ⁷	0.28	0.42
CaXIX	2:5	10 ⁷	0.24	0.33
CaXX	5:0	10 ⁷	0.23	0.48
FeXVII	5:0	10 ⁶	0.18	0.21
FeXVIII	7 : 9	10 ⁶	021	0.10
FeXIX	7 : 9	10 ⁶	0.11	0.15
FeXX	1:0	10 ⁷	0.13	0.11
FeXXI	1:0	10 ⁷	80.0	0.18
FeXXII	1:3	10 ⁷	0.11	0.15
FeXXIII	1:6	10 ⁷	016	0.17
FeXXIV	2:0	10 ⁷	0.18	0.32
FeXXV	6:3	10 ⁷	0.33	0.33
FeXXVI	1:3	10 ⁸	0.28	0.48

Table 1. Tem perature D ata for Ion Line Power Functions

satis ed, the line power functions m ay be well approximated by this form . We discuss the validity of this assumption in x2.2.1 and proceed here with the assumption that the power functions have this form .

We de ne the functions , and the temperatures where they peak, T $_{\rm p}$, as before in the lim it of low density and low radiation intensity. W ith this de nition, the functions H (n $_{\rm e}$) go to zero as n $_{\rm e}$ does. If the functions H are continuous, it is possible to show that for any temperature-density DEM distribution H (T;n $_{\rm e}$) with an associated DEM distribution D (T) = H (T;n $_{\rm e}$)d log n $_{\rm e}$, there exists, for each ion, a value of the density n $_{\rm Z}^0$, such that the temperature-density DEM distribution H $_{\rm Z,z}^0$ de ned as

$$H_{Z;z}^{0}(T;n_{e}) D(T) (n_{e} n_{Z;z}^{0})$$
 (12)

produces the same lum inosities for all of the em ission lines of the ion Z;z as does H (T; n_e). Therefore, we modify the method described above by adopting the variable parameters $n_{Z,z}^0$ and let the line lum inosities be

$$L_{Z;z;i} = D_{Z;z}P_{Z;z;i}(T_{p;Z;z};n_{Z;z}^{0}) \qquad (13)$$

where $_{Z,z} \log T$ is defined as before in Equation 6. That is, we take entire spectrum using all of the lines in the database as described in x2.1. However, instead of constraining the line us ratios of individual ions to be xed, we allow the ratios to vary with a density parameter $n_{Z,z}^0$ for that ion. The density parameters for each of the ions are allowed vary independently. A gain, $D_{Z,z}$ approximates the product of the elemental abundance and the average of the DEM distribution weighted by $_{Z,z}$ (T). Even if equation 11 is not satisfied, this method will result in good to the data and accurate constraints on the DEM distribution if the emitting plasm a has a single density or if density and temperature are strongly correlated within the plasm a.

2.2.1. Accuracy of the Power Function Approximation

A system atic study of the density dependence of em ission lines with wavelengths from $12\{31A \text{ has been undertaken by Sm ith et al. (2002)}$. These authors have made to to the line powers as functions of density at the constant temperatures 10^6 , $10^{6:5}$, 10^7 , and $10^{7:5}$ K for lines with peak powers exceeding a minimum value and also meeting a criterion for variability with density at each temperature. They found the power functions of most of the lines satisfying those criteria (90%) could be approximated well using a function of the form

$$P(n_e) = c_0 + c_1 \exp(n_e = n_1)$$
 (14)

where c_0 , c_1 , and n_1 are t parameters. If the density dependence for the line powers of all of the lines of an ion have the form of Equation 14, then Equation 11 is a valid description of the line power functions if, in the tem perature range where line emission is signi cant,

all of the lines of any one ion have the same value of n_1 and

for any single line, the ratio of the line power at high density (g) to the value at low density ($c_0 + c_1$) and the value of n_1 do not change with tem perature.

We have inspected the results of Sm ith et al. (2002) and found that, for all of the ions we use except Fe XIX, Fe XX, and Fe XXI, most of the lines (90%) are described by Equation 14 and have values of n_1 that are very close, having a standard deviation of 0.1 or less in $\log n_1$. For those lines, of any ion, that m set the criteria to be t at more than one tem perature, the root m can square (RM S) of the di erence between values of $\log n_1$ for the same ion at di erent tem peratures is 0.09 and the RM S of the di erence between values of $\log (c_0 = (c_0 + c_1))$ is 0.16. In sum mary, Equation 11 is not exactly satis ed for all ions. However, because most line power functions do not depend on density, it is unlikely that this would cause errors greater than a factor of a few . Because Equation 11 is near to being satis ed for m ost ions, we expect the actual errors to be m uch less: not m uch greater than 10 or 20%.

2.2.2. Radiation

Because m etastable states m ay be photoexcited, line powers are functions not only of tem perature and density but also of the m can radiation intensity at the frequencies of the transitions that a cct line em ission. That is, the line power m ay be written $P_{Z,z;i}(T;n_e;J)$ or $P_{Z,z;i}(T;n_e;J_{i1};J_{i2};:::;J_{in})$ where J is the m can radiation intensity as a function of frequency and J_{ij} are the m can radiation intensities at the frequencies of the transitions a ccting line i. W hile this is, in principle, a large number of variables, the number of transitions in which photoexcitation plays a signi cant role (the value of m) is often only one. Furtherm ore, for a given system, the values of J_{ij} m ay be a function of a sm all number of variables. For example, in hot star winds, the radiation intensity due to the stellar photosphere is given by

$$J = I_{?}, W \tag{15}$$

where $I_{?}$; is the radiation intensity at the surface of the star and is approximately that of a blackbody with a temperature, depending on the stellar type, of a few tens of thousand K and W is a factor accounting for the geometrical dilution of the stellar radiation with distance from the star. W e know of no system atic study (at least, not of the scope of that by Sm ith et al. 2002 for density) of the dependence of line powers on radiation. However, as radiation and density both a ect the line power through excitation of metastable stable states, the e ects are sim ilar. Therefore, we use the method described above | we take the line emission from each ion to be determined by the temperature at which its emission peaks and a density which is a free parameter, independent of the density values of the other ions | and assume that the e ects of radiation and density can be replicated approximately by density abne. In Paper II we again address the validity of this approach for plasm as with a signi cant pumping radiation eld.

3. Tests with Simulated Data

3.1. Simulations

In order to dem onstrate the perform ance of ourm odel, we tested ourm ethod by applying it to a series of simulated spectra. We simulated Chandra MEG and HEG spectra for a plasm a with a DEM distribution constant with temperature over the range 32 10⁵ {7:9 10⁸ K¹} and zero elsewhere. We also simulated spectra from single-temperature plasm as at the temperatures 3 10⁵, 10⁶, 3 10⁶, 10⁷, 3 10⁷, 10⁸, and 3 10⁸ K. In Paper II we apply our method to spectra of hot stars. Therefore, for our simulations we adopt the parameters of Pup, the best-studied hot star in the X-ray band, and of an observation of it with Chandra (see Paper II). However, the results of the application of ourm ethod to these simulated data indicate the general behavior of ourm ethod.

To choose a total emission measure for our simulations, we conducted a number of three-temperature ts to the spectrum of Pup, using an xed value of 1:0 10^{22} cm² (c.f., Berghofer, Schmitt, & Cassinelli 1996) for the interstellar absorption column. These ts resulted in total emission measures in the range (2.4{5.0}) 10^{55} cm³ (for an adopted distance of 450 kpc, Schaerer, Schmutz, & Grenon 1997) depending on whether we tried to t the nitrogen lines or the oxygen lines (this discrepancy is discussed in more detail in Paper II) and we chose a value of 3:6 10^{55} cm³ for the total emission measure. For the constant DEM distribution, this in plies a DEM value of 1:0 10^{55} cm³. For our simulated exposure time, we used 68,598 s, the exposure time of our observation of Pup. For all of the simulations, n_e was taken to be 8 10^{13} cm³. We chose this particular density value

¹actually one component for each of the database's temperature values, with each component having the same emission measure

because it is large enough so that, like in the actual stellar spectra, the forbidden lines of the helium -like triplets are completely pumped into the intercombination lines. For the simulated line proles we used the ISIS thermal/turbulent line prole function:

$$Z_{zz,i}(x) = \frac{1}{Z_{zz,i}^{2} + Z_{zz,i}^{2}} \exp \left(\frac{(z_{zz,i})^{2} + (1 + v_{r} = c)^{2}}{2 Z_{zz,i}^{2}}\right)$$
(16)

where

$$z_{z,z} = c^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}v_t^2 + \frac{kT_{p,Z,z}}{m_z}$$
 (17)

where m_z is the mass of element Z, k is Boltzmann's constant. We took v_r to be 0 and v_t to be 800 km s⁻¹, approximating the line widths observed by K ahn et al. (2001) for Pup.

3.2. Application of the M ethod to the Simulated D ata

We t the sinulated spectra using the method described in x22. In our analysis we do not attempt to use measurements of the continuum to constrain the DEM distribution. However, in order to t spectra and obtain accurate constraints from the emission lines, it is necessary to account for the continuum. The continuum emission from a collisional plasma is due primarily to brem sstrahlung, though radiative recombination continua and two-photon continua also contribute. For our ts, we use a continuum consisting of three brem sstrahlung components with variable temperatures and normalizations. In all cases, this provides a su cient empirical representation of the continuum. For the t model line pro les, we use the same function as for the simulation model line pro le (equation 16). However, in the t, the values of $v_{\rm r}$ and $v_{\rm t}$ are taken to free but to have the same values for all lines. A lso, in the t spectralm odels, we included the same absorption (1:0 10^{22} cm 2) used for the simulation.

Though we use the same atom ic database for the simulations and the model we t to the simulated data, this does not amount to thing the data with the same model used for the simulation. For the simulations, the line lum inosities are given by equation 3 with D (T) constant or proportiolato (T T_{sim}) where T_{sim} is the temperature of the simulated plasm a. On the other hand, in the model t to the simulated data, the line lum inosities are given by equation 5. Unless all of line power functions have the same shape every other line power function of the same ion, the line lum inosities of the simulated data test whether or not the di erences in line power shapes between lines of individual ions are small enough for our method to be valid.

We simultaneously tboth the HEG and MEG simulated data to nd the best tvalues of D_{Z,z} and $n_{Z,z}^0$ for each of the ions by minimizing the Cash (1979) statistic. This statistic, unlike the ² statistic, is valid in the regime where the number of counts in a channel is sm all such as is the case for several of our data sets. We search for a minimum of the Cash statistic with ISIS, rst by using its in plementation of the Levenberg-M arquardt algorithm and then using its in plementation of the simplex algorithm. We then search for condence intervals, again using ISIS, on the parameters v_r , v_t , and on each of the values of D_{Z,z} and $n_{Z,z}$. In searching for condence intervals, only the Levenberg-M arquardt algorithm is used. In searching for condence intervals, a new minimum is frequently found, requiring the process to be restarted. On our workstations (with clock speeds of order 1GHz), tting and nding all of these condence intervals for one of our data sets generally takes a few weeks.

In Figure 2 we plot the best t values of D as a function of T_p for each of the ions (indicated by led circles) and also the simulated data and best tm odel spectrum. In the plot of D vs. Tp, a diam ond surrounds each led circle. The vertical extent of a diam ond indicates the statistical error on the best-t value of D (given by C = 2.706, where C is the Cash t statistic | this is the 90% con dence region) and the extent of a diam ond to the left and right is given by bgT and + bgT, respectively. These tem perature ranges are the tem perature ranges for which the values of D_{Z,z} represent the approxim ate average values of the DEM . While we do not assess the quality of the spectral t quantitatively, it may be seen that the t is quite good. The fact that the data points in the rst panel are consistent with $D = 1.0 \quad 10^{55} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and the residuals are no larger than the square root of the number of counts indicates that our method is valid. In Figure 3, we show the plots of D_{7.12} for the simulated spectra of single-tem perature plasm as, except for the simulated 10⁵ K plasm a spectrum which had only a few counts. The ts for these spectra, which 3 we do not show, are also quite good. In the rst panel of Figure 2 and in Figure 3 we use the same tem perature range on the horizontal axis and 3 orders of magnitude in DEM on the vertical axis. This allows slopes of lines in the various plots to be compared. Our best-t values of v_r and v_t have uncertainties of a few tens of km s¹ and are consistent with the input values of zero and 800 km s¹, respectively.

It may be seen from Equation 3 that, for a constant DEM distribution, we expect the values of $D_{Z,z}$ to be that constant value of the DEM and, as illustrated in the rst panel of Figure 2, within the errors, this is indeed what we nd. This, and the good quality of the

ts indicates that the fact that not all of the power functions of every ion have the same tem perature dependence does not cause our method to be signi cantly inaccurate. As our simulated plasm a has only a single density, this does not test whether or not deviations in the line power functions from the form of Equation 11 cause signi cant inaccuracies in our DEM determ inations. Using plasm as with distributions of densities would have provided a



Fig. 2. In the rst panel, the results for the simulated data for a DEM constant in the range 3.2 10^5 {7.9 10^8 K (D = 1.0 10^{55} cm⁻³) is plotted. For each ion Z; z, we plot a led circle at $(T_{p;Z,z}, D_{Z,z})$ where $D_{Z,z}$ is our best-t value. The vertical extent of the diam ond around a led circle indicates the con dence region determ ined for D_{Z,z} and the horizontal extent of a diam ond is given by $T_{Z,z}$ and $T_{Z,z}$, which is dened in the text and is, approximately, the region over which the ion emits. The ions corresponding to the data points are labeled using A rabic num erals rather than R om an num erals. Because the data points are so close in this plot, we label only a few of them . In the second panel we show the simulated MEG spectrum (black) and the best-tm odel obtained with our method (red). We label several bright lines. Though we do not show it here, the HEG spectrum was also used in the t. For this and all of our simulated spectra, we adopt the absorption column $(1:0 \quad 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2})$, totalem ission measure $(3:6 \quad 10^{55} \text{ cm}^{-3})$ em ission measure, and line width (800 km s¹) of Pup. The fact that the data points in the rst panel are consistent with $D = 1.0 \quad 10^{55} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and the residuals are no larger than the square root of the number of counts indicates that our method is valid.



Fig. 3. Plots of DEM constraints for spectra of plasm as at the single tem peratures 10^6 , $3 \ 10^6$, 10^7 , $3 \ 10^7$, 10^8 , and $3 \ 10^8$ K. The designation \(ul)" indicates an upper lim it.

test of this. However, this would not have provided a test of the accuracy of our method for plasm as with strong ambient radiation elds. Simulating the emission of a plasm a with an ambient radiation eld is beyond the scope of this work.

For each of the single temperature simulations, the determ ined values of $D_{Z,z}$ show a peak at the temperature of the simulated plasma. However, the sharpness of the peak di ers for each of the simulations. These plots may be understood as \temperature-spread functions" in analogy with point spread functions in images for use in evaluating our results from observed spectra.

4. D iscussion

We have described a method for deriving constraints on the dimension measure distribution of a collisionally ionized plasma from its X-ray emission spectrum and displaying these constraints in a way that indicates the DEM distribution. We have designed our technique to account for the line pumping that occurs at high densities and with high radiation intensities. We have applied our method to simulated spectra and demonstrated that, with this method, we can recover simulated DEM distributions in, approximately, the temperature range 10^{6} { 3 10^{8} K from their emission line spectra subject to a temperature resolution comparable to the width of the line power functions: a few tenths of a decade.

We expect that the technique described here will be useful in the analysis of highresolution spectra of plasm as in collisional ionization equilibrium in several astrophysical contexts. This technique is particularly useful in cases where lines are broadened to the point of being blended. Therefore, we expect this technique to be especially useful in the analysis of the X-ray spectra of hot stars and we apply it to spectra of nine hot stars in Paper II.M ukaiet al. (2003) have shown that the X-ray spectra of som e cataclysm ic variable stars are characteristic of plasm as in collisional ionization equilibrium. Some of those spectra show lines as broad as 500 km s¹. Therefore, this technique may be useful in the analysis of those objects. Even for spectra in which lines are not broad and blended, this technique has advantages over others. It does not require thing of individual lines to determ ine their uxes and is therefore easily autom ated. A lso with this technique, it is not necessary to make assumptions or introduce biases about the form of the DEM distributions. Therefore, our technique may also be useful for analysis of high-resolution spectra of the coronae of cool stars obtained with XMM - Newton and Chandra. The Astro-E II observatory will be able to obtain high-resolution spectra of extended objects. Therefore, with the data from that observatory, our technique may be useful in the analysis of the spectra of clusters of galaxies and halos of elliptical galaxies. The fact that this algorithm can be easily autom ated

m ay be of particular importance when the DEM distributions need to be determ ined for a large num ber of spectra. The fact that the anticipated Constellation-X observatory will have a very large e ective area for high-resolution spectroscopy will enable it to obtain spectra for a large num ber of objects that are too faint to be e ciently observed with the three previously mentioned observatories. Therefore, our technique m ay be especially useful in the analysis of the large num ber of high-resolution X -ray spectra that will be obtained with that observatory.

We thank John Houck for assistance in plementing our analysis technique in ISIS, D an Dewey for a careful reading of the manuscript, and the referee, E hud Behar, for helpful comments. Support for this work was provided by the National A ir and Space A dm inistration (NASA) through C handra A ward N umber G O O-1119X by the C handra X -ray O bservatory C enter (CXC) which is operated for and on behalf of NASA by the Sm ithsonian A strophysical O bservatory (SAO) under contract NAS8-39073. Support for this work was also provided by NASA through contract NAS8-01129 and by the SAO contract SV I-61010 for the CXC.

REFERENCES

- Behar, E., Cottam, J., & Kahn, S.M. 2001, ApJ, 548, 966
- Berghofer, T.W., Schmitt, J.H.M.M., & Cassinelli, J.P. 1996, A&AS, 118, 481
- Brinkm an et al. 2001, A & A , 365, L 324
- Cash, W .1979, ApJ, 228, 939
- Kahn, S. M., Leutenegger, M. A., Cottam, J., Rauw, G., Vreux, J.-M., den Boggende, A. J. F., Mewe, R., & Gudel, M. 2001, A&A, 365, L312

Mewe, R., Gronenschild, E.H.B.M., & van den Oord, G.H.J. 1985, A&AS, 62, 197

- Mukai, K., Kinkhabwala, A., Peterson, J.R., Kahn, S.M., & Paerels, F. 2003, ApJ, 586, L77
- Paerels, F.B.S.& Kahn, S.M. 2003, ARA&A, 41, 291
- Pottasch, S.R. 1963, ApJ, 137, 945

Raymond, J.C. & Sm ith, B.W. 1977, ApJS, 35, 419

Sako, M., Liedahl, D.A., Kahn, S.M., & Paerels, F. 1999, ApJ, 525, 921

Schaerer, D., Schmutz, W., & Grenon, M. 1997, ApJ, 484, L153

Smith, R., Brickhouse, N., Liedahl, D., & Raymond, J. 2002, at http://asc.harvard.edu/atom.db/features_density.html

Sm ith, R.K., Brickhouse, N.S., Liedahl, D.A., & Raymond, J.C. 2001, ApJ, 556, L91

This preprint was prepared with the AAS ${\rm IAT}_E X$ m acros v5.0.