M agnetic Fields and Ionized G as in the inner G alaxy: An Outer Scale for Turbulence and the Possible Role of H ii Regions

M.Haverkom¹, B.M.Gaensler¹, N.M.M.Clure-Gri ths^{2;3}, John M.Dickey⁴, and A.J. Green⁵

ABSTRACT

We present an analysis of rotation measure (RM) uctuations from the Test Region of the Southern Galactic Plane Survey (SGPS), along with emission measure (EM) uctuations in the same eld taken from the Southern H-Alpha Sky Survey Atlas. The structure function of RM uctuations shows a relatively steep slope at sm all scales (1 { 5 arcm in), a break in slope to a atter structure function at intermediate scales (5 { 60 arcm in), and a systematic variation of the strength of uctuations as a function of position angle on the sky at the largest scales (60 { 200 arcm in). The structure function of EM uctuations shows sim ilar behavior, although the lower resolution of the data prevents detection of a possible break in the spectrum . We interpret the anisotropy in RM /EM structure on large scales as resulting from a large-scale gradient in electron density (and possibly magnetic eld) across the region. The break in the slope of the RM structure function at scales of 5 arcm in can be explained by contributions from two spatially distinct m agneto-ionized screens, m ost likely in the Local and C arina spiral arm s. The observed structure function then in plies that the outer scale of RM uctuations in these screens 2 pc. Such behavior is in striking contrast to the expectation that interstellar turbulence is form s an unbroken spectrum from kpc down to AU scales. We conclude that we have identied an additional source of enhanced turbulence, injected on scales of a few pc, possibly seen only in the Galactic plane. The most likely source of such turbulence is individual H ii regions from relatively low -m ass stars, whose characteristic scale size is sim ilar to the outer scale of turbulence inferred here. These sources may be the dominant source of density and velocity uctuations in warm ionized gas in the Galactic plane.

Subject headings: Galaxy: structure | H ii regions | ISM : structure | techniques: polarim etric | radio continuum : ISM | turbulence

1. IN TRODUCTION

The evidence for the presence of turbulence in the interstellar medium (ISM) is overwhelming. A lthough som e studies of the characteristics of this turbulence (viz. the inner and outer scales, shape of the spectrum and power law spectral index) indicate the presence of standard incom pressible K olm ogorov (1941) turbulence, m any observations indicate di erent kinds of turbulence, drivers and environments. A rm strong, Rickett & Spangler (1995) com piled observations of (am ong others) interstellar scattering of pulsars and extragalactic sources, dispersion measures of pulsars and rotation measures (RM) of extragalactic sources in one

¹H arvard-Sm ith sonian C enter for A strophysics, 60 G arden Street, C am bridge M A 01238; m haverkom@cfa harvard.edu, bgaensler@cfa harvard.edu

²Australia Telescope National Facility, CSIRO, P.O. Box 76, Epping, NSW 1710, Australia; naomim ccluregri ths@csiro.au

 $^{^3\}mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{olton}\,\mathrm{Fellow}$

⁴D epartm ent of A stronom y, U niversity of M innesota, 116 C hurch Street, SE, M inneapolis, M N 55455; john@astro.um n.edu

⁵School of Physics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia; agreen@physics.usyd.edu.au

power spectrum. The result was the so-called \big power law in the sky", a Kolm ogorov-like power spectrum of electron density uctuations over 12 orders of magnitude, from a fraction of an AU to kiloparsecs. Although the big power law in the sky is very well-determ ined on sm all scales (up to

0:001 pc), the extension towards larger scales is based only on two types of measurements: the RM of extragalactic sources, which gives an upper lim it to the amount of structure in the electron density because the contribution of the magnetic eld is unknown; and from velocity measurements of H i, making assumptions about the connection between neutral and ionized material.

The dom inant source for the large-scale energy input in the G alaxy is generally assumed to be supernova explosions (Spitzer 1978; Vollm er & Beckert 2002; K orpiet al. 1999). O therpossible sources are superbubbles, m assive H ii regions and m assive stellar winds (Norman & Ferrara 1996), Galactic fountains, chim neys, or gravitational scattering by transient spiral waves (see Sellwood & Balbus (1999) and references therein), gravitational instabilities in a shearing disk (E lm egreen, E lm egreen & Leitner 2003), or m agneto-rotational instabilities (MacLow & Klessen 2004). The big power law in the sky certainly suggests the classical scenario of turbulent energy input on large scales, which cascades down to smaller scales until the energy is dissipated on the smallest scale (Kolmogorov 1941).

How ever, there are indications of other types of turbulence in the ISM as well. M inter & Spangler (1996) found a break in the structure function of RM s of extragalactic sources and em ission m easures (EM) of the warm ionized gas on scales of a few parsec, which they interpreted as a transition from three-dim ensional to two-dim ensional turbulence as one moves from sm all to large scales. A nalytic theory (Goldreich & Sridhar 1995, 1997) and simulations (e.g. Cho, Lazarian & Vishniac 2002; Maron & Goldreich 2001) show that magnetic elds can lead to anisotropic turbulence, which is predicted to exhibit the same power law spectral index as Kolm ogorov turbulence. Furtherm ore, observations of RM s of extragalactic sources (Sim onetti & Cordes 1986; Spangler & Reynolds 1990; Clegg et al. 1992) show higher am plitudes of structure in RM in the Galactic plane than out of the plane, suggesting the existence of an additional source of structure on parsec scales. Results from interstellar scattering show a similar enhancem ent of structure in the inner G alaxy, but on much smaller scales (Rao & A nanthakrisnan 1984; D ennison et al. 1984; A nantharam aiah & N arayan 1988).

Structure in the ionized ISM can be studied by way of structure functions (SFs). Earlier determ inations of SFs of RM using extragalactic sources or the di use synchrotron background yielded results that varied widely with scale and area in the sky. Flat structure functions (indicating no structure on the probed scales) found by Sim onetti, Cordes & Spangler (1984) on scales larger than 4 tow ards the G a lactic pole were interpreted as showing structure intrinsic to the extragalactic sources with a negligible G alactic contribution. However, this cannot explain the at SFs from low -latitude extragalactic sources found by Sim onetti et al. (1984) on scales & 4 and by Clegg et al. (1992) on scales & 1 . Furtherm ore, Sun & Han (2004) have recently found shallow SFs of RM in the Galactic plane, and a at SF at the North Galactic Pole, also from extragalactic sources. Haverkom, Katgert & de Bruyn (2003a) studied the structure function of RM from diuse radio em ission, and found very shallow slopes for two elds at interm ediate latitudes.

In this paper we study the turbulent structure in ionized gas in the inner Galactic plane, by m eans of SFs of RM from the di use synchrotron background, and of SFs of EM from H em ission, both in a region in the inner Galactic plane.

In Section 2 we present our radio polarim etric observations of the G alactic synchrotron background in the G alactic plane. Section 3 discusses the computation of the structure function, while in Section 4 we interpret the structure function as arising from Faraday screens in two spiral arms along the line of sight, both exhibiting turbulent structure. In Section 5, we discuss evidence for enhanced density uctuations in the G alactic plane, and propose that the structure is dom inated by discrete H ii regions.

2. THE SOUTHERN GALACTIC PLANE SURVEY TEST REGION

The Southern Galactic Plane Survey (SGPS) is a radio survey in H i and linearly polarized con-



Fig. 1. Rotation measure in the SGPS Test Region in squares, overlaid on polarized intensity in grey scale given in Jy/beam. Open squares denote negative RM s and led squares positive ones. The length of a square is proportional to the m agnitude of the RM for RM j< 100 rad m 2 , and constant for RM j $\,$ 100 rad m 2 .

RM values have been given only if S/N > 5 and reduced $\frac{2}{r} < 2$. The rectangular box drawn in the gure shows the area over which structure functions are computed.



Fig. 2. Hem ission in the SGPS Test Region, from the Southern H-Alpha Sky Survey Atlas (Gaustad et al. 2001). The scale is in deciR ayleighs (1 R ayleigh = 10^6 = 4 photons cm² s¹ sr¹) and the drawn box is the same as in Figure 1. A gradient in emission is visible from the bottom left corner to the top right corner of the box.

tinuum at 1.4 GHz perform ed with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) and Parkes 64m dish (M cC lure-G ri ths et al. 2001; G aensler et al. 2001). The rst phase of the survey extends over 253 < 1 < 358 and $p_j < 1.5$, has a spatial resolution of 1° and a velocity resolution of 1 km s 1 . Before embarking on the com plete survey, a Test Region was observed, spanning 325:5 < 1 < 332:5 and 0:5 < b < 3:5 (Gaensler et al. 2001). The continuum data for the SGPS Test Region consists of nine frequency bands each of 8 MHz in width, in the range 1336 MHz to 1424 MHz. From the linear polarization position angle at these nine frequencies, RMs where derived as / RM 2 , where $RM = 0.81 n_e B_k ds$ with n_e the therm all electron density in cm 3 , B $_{\rm k}$ the component of the magnetic eld parallel to the line of sight in G, and ds the path length in parsecs. Gaensler et al. (2001) give a detailed analysis of the structure in linear polarization and RM in the Test Region, focused on morphology and individual sources. RM uctuations were apparent in their Figure 7, but not analyzed. W e use their data, but use a slightly di erent algorithm to derive RM s.

Gaensler et al. use a standard algorithm within the data reduction package M IR IAD⁶ (Sault & Killeen 2003) to compute RMs, which they describe in detail. The MIRIAD algorithm solves the problem of n radians am biquity in the polarization angle by rst computing an RM value from the rst two frequencies. Then, the polarization angles of the other frequencies are rotated n radians so that they lie closest to the angle predicted from the rst two frequencies, and the RM is recomputed using these angles. Therefore, a large error in (one of) the two rst frequencies can yield the wrong determination of RM. In this way, a small part (6%) of the RM values Gaensler et al. computed was probably incorrect. Note that all these erratically determ ined RM s had high reduced ² of the relation = RM ², which caused them to be disregarded in their analysis. Therefore the use of this algorithm did not lead to wrong quoted values of RM, but rather to a sm all num ber of unjustly disregarded RM s.

Here, we incorporate the ambiguity of polarization angle by always taking the least possible angle di erence between in adjacent frequency bands, i.e. $j_i \quad jj < rad$ where i and j are adjacent frequencies (H averkom, K atgert & de Bruyn 2003b; Brown, Taylor & Jackel 2003). The frequencies are so closely spaced that this method renders the correct RM for all RM . 1900 rad m². Since in our eld RM s are not higher than a few hundred rad m² and mostly much lower, this is the case for all derived RM s.

Figure 1 shows the RM in the Test Region, superim posed on the continuum polarized intensity in grey scale. The resolution is about 1^0 and the data are about three times oversampled. Open (lled) squares represent negative (positive) RM, and the size of a square is proportional to the m agnitude of RM for JRM j< 100 rad m² and constant for $\frac{1}{2}$ M j 100 rad m². Only every third beam is plotted for clarity. Furtherm ore, only \reliably determ ined" RM s are shown, i.e. RM s for which the signal to noise ratio S/N > 5 and the reduced ² of the linear (²) twas $\frac{2}{r} < 2$. The dependence of on ² can be non-linear due to depolarization e ects (Burn 1966; Sokolo et al. 1998). M ild non-linearity is allowed by including reduced $\frac{2}{r}$ up to 2. About 41% of the data have low enough reduced $\frac{2}{r}$, of which 37% has a high enough S/N.So about 15% of the data has reliably determined RM s.

As these data are taken solely with the ATCA interferom eter, they lack large-scale information (& 30⁰) in the observed Stokes Q and U m aps. If a large component of Q and U is missing, the computed values of polarization angle will be incorrect, and therefore the RM will be in error as well. How ever, the polarization angle depends very nonlinearly on Q and U, so that a large missing component in Q and/or U would destroy the linear relation between and ². The fact that so many RM 's with low reduced ² are observed suggests that any missing large-scale components should be small. This needs to be con m ed with data from the single dish Parkes telescope, which will be the subject of future studies.

W e note that the inability to detect large-scale structure in StokesQ and U in ages due to the lack of single-dish data does not im ply an inability to detect large-scale components in RM . As long as small-scale structure in RM exists superim posed on any large-scale RM, the complete Q and U signals will be detected, which correspondingly allow

⁶ http://www.atnf.csiro.au/computing/software/miriad

us to retrieve the totalRM, not just the sm all-scale component. Therefore, uctuations in RM can be probed at much larger scales than the maximum spatial scale probed by the interferom eter.

ASRM only provides information on the product $n_e B_k$ integrated over the path length, independent inform ation on the path length integrated distribution of therm all electrons is extrem ely useful for estimation of the structure in the magnetic eld. Therefore, we also used em ission m ea n_{p}^{2} ds data from the Southern Hsure EM = Alpha Sky Survey Atlas (SHASSA, Gaustad et al. 2001), which provides comparable resolution H data of the Southern sky, see Figure 2. Caution is needed in comparing RM and EM, as the path lengths m ay not be the same, and the H data is not corrected for dust extinction. The SHASSA provides data which is median ltered over four 0.8° pixels to rem ove star residuals, and has a sensitivity of about 0.5 Rayleigh (1 R = $10^6=4$ photons cm² s¹ sr¹, corresponding to $2 \text{ cm}^{6} \text{ pc at } T = 8000 \text{ K}$). ΕM

3. DETERM IN AT ION OF STRUCTURE FUNCTIONS

Ideally, the scales of variability in a signal such as RM are determined by the power spectrum. However, as the RM data contain blank spaces in the regular grid, we use structure functions (SFs) instead (Sim onetti et al. 1984; M inter & Spangler 1996). We de ne the second order structure function SF of RM and EM as a function of distance lag r as

 $SF_{rm}(r) = h(RM(x)) RM(x+r))^2 i_x$ (1) $SF_{em}(r) = h(EM(x)) EM(x+r))^2 i_x$ (2)

where h_{x} denotes the averaging over all positions x in the eld.

Structure functions of RM and EM were determ ined in the area of the SGPS Test Region for which the m ost data were available, i.e. within the box drawn in Figs. 1 and 2. The noise was taken into account by subtracting a SF of the error in the RM data from SF_{rm} , as explained in the Appendix. We computed one-dimensional SFs for di erent position angles (positive b through positive l) of the distance lag vector r, with an interval of = 10.

The left hand plots in Figure 3 give the SFs of RM (top) and EM (bottom) for dierent position angles 0 < < 180 superimposed for regular sampling in r. Errors in the SF are typically log(SFrm). 0:05. The two SFs agree rem arkably well: both are very shallow ; the slope of SF_{rm} is 0.2 and the slope of SF_{em} 025 for 4^0 . r. 5. The slope of SF_{rm} shows a break, below which the SF steepens to 0:5. Furtherm ore, at larger scales an anisotropy occurs with position angle in both SFs. A linear t to the slope at large scales was performed for each position angle over the range given by the horizontal lines in the left hand plots. The slopes of these linear ts are given in the right hand plots of Figure 3. The an isotropy on large scales in the SFs of both RM and EM is more or less sinusoidal, with a maximum for RM and EM at the same position angle 50 .

The sudden change in slope on scales smaller than the break is probably not due to resolution e ects in the RM data, as the resolution of the RM data is FW HM = 86^{00} (log (r) 1:6), which is well below the break. In the EM data, a median litering over 4 pixels means that at scales log (r) > 1:17, beam s are independent, so that the position of the break in SF_{rm} falls below the resolution of the H data. The unsmoothed data (with a resolution of 0.8^{0}) are too contam inated with point sources to determ ine if a break in the spectrum is present in those data.

The anisotropy in the SF slope at large scales is not an artifact of the gridding or the coordinate system, as its maximum does not coincide with one of the axes. Furtherm ore, we constructed onedimensional SF's of the same data in (RA, dec) coordinates. The slopes of these SF's show maxin a at the same intrinsic position angle as the data in Galactic coordinates, con ming that the anisotropy is not an artifact of the gridding of the data. The same analysis has also been applied to m aps of the Stokes Q and U param eters, and to a map of random ly scrambled RM values in which the observed RM s are redistributed at random positions in the eld. The SF's of none of these maps showed an anisotropy, again indicating that the change in slope of SF with direction is physical and not an artifact.



Fig. 3. Top left: Structure functions of RM, SF $_{\rm rm}$, in the SG PS Test Region for di erent position angles 0 < 180 (positive b through positive l) superim posed. The vertical dotted line shows the position of the break in slope, and the horizontal line gives the range over which the linear t was performed to yield the slope given in the right hand plot. Top right: dependence of the slope of SF $_{\rm rm}$ on position angle . Bottom : The same for SF $_{\rm rm}$.

4. IN TERPRETATION OF THE STRUC-TURE FUNCTIONS

4.1. The Anisotropy of the SF Slope at Large Scales

Two very di erent characteristics of a m edium can produce an anisotropy of the slopes of SFs: anisotropic turbulence and a large-scale gradient in the m edium.

If a strong enough large-scale magnetic eld is present in the turbulent ionized medium, the turbulence will be anisotropic (e.g. Goldreich & Sridhar 1995, 1997; Cho & Vishniac 2000). Observing anisotropic turbulence through RM data is believed to be di cult or even impossible because the anisotropy is directed with respect to the localmagnetic eld (Cho et al. 2002), so that structure in the magnetic eld along the line of sight is likely to destroy any observational e ects of the anisotropic turbulence. If anisotropic turbulence is present in the SFs, it should be seen on all scales instead of only large ones. Furtherm ore, an isotropic turbulence would yield a set of SFs as a function of position angle which have the sam e am plitude of uctuations but on di erent scales. This is not the case in our observations, where slopes vary from 1 to below zero with position angle. Therefore, we do not believe that the anisotropy in the slope of the SF is caused by an isotropic turbulence.

If the anisotropy in the slope is caused by a gradient across the eld, one would expect a steep SF slope in the direction of the gradient, and a at SF slope in the perpendicular direction. Noise and the superposition of a regular structure like a sinusoid can decrease the slope to below zero. This variation in slope to values below zero is exactly what we observe in both SFrm and SFem. In fact, in the H data the gradient can be directly seen in the maps (Figure 2). An H map of a larger region shows a complex of H ii regions and supernova rem nants extending over m ore than 15 degrees in Galactic longitude at higher longitude and lower latitude from the SGPS Test Region, and the EM from that com plex decreasing towards and across the Test Region. This coincides with the direction of the gradient computed from the SFs.

A maximum amount of uctuations in RM at

the position angle 50 does not determ ine if the gradient is directed towards 50 or 130. To nd the direction of the RM gradient, we looked at the correlation between the magnitude of EM and RM. Figure 4 shows the average EM in bins of RM jwith width RM = 10 rad m². The magnitudes of EM and RM are clearly correlated, indicating that the gradient in RM jfollows the gradient in EM. Therefore RM j like EM, decreases towards = 50. This is to be expected if gradients in electron density and/or path length dom in the gradient or does not change signi cantly over the eld.

To determ ine what kind of gradient could produce an anisotropy in the slope of SF as observed, we modeled the anisotropy in SF_{rm} using three simple functions: a linear gradient, a broad sinusoid and a G aussian decrease, allw ith the gradient oriented at = 50 . Figure 5 shows the results of the modeling with each of these three functions, com pared to the data given by the diam onds. The linear gradient is given by the dotted line, the sinusoid by the dashed line, and the Gaussian is denoted by the dash-dotted curve. Noise is included in the models at RM $_{noise} = 5$ rad m², similar to the noise level in the data. These sim plied models show an anisotropy in the slope of com parable am plitude and m in im um to the data, although we cannot distinguish between them . M ore elaborate modeling of the anisotropy in the SF slope is beyond the scope of this paper.

The three models t best for a total gradient in RM across the eld of RM 3 rad m^2 , while the H data show a change in EM of about 10 cm 6 pc (5 Rayleigh at T 8000 K). These changes can be due to gradients in electron density or the path length, and m ost likely a com bination of these, possibly accompanied by a gradient in magnetic eld. Therefore the measured RM and EM give too few constraints to estimate the gradients separately in magnetic eld strength and electron density.

4.2. The Shallow Slope and Break

A structure function of RM produced by a slab of K olm ogorov turbulence with an inner scale r_i and an outer scale r_o is shown as the solid line in the upper panel of Figure 6 (e.g. Stinebring & Condon 1990). The SF is constant on small



Fig. 4. A verage EM in bins of absolute RM with width $\frac{1}{2}$ M j = 10 rad m². The errors are the errors in the mean within a bin.



Fig. 5. Sope of SF_{rm} as a function of position angle (diamonds), and models of a linear gradient (dotted), a broad sinusoid (dashed), and a Gaussian (dash-dotted). A noise component of 5 rad m² has been added to the model RM s, comparable to the noise in the data.



Fig. 6. Example of the superposition of two K olm ogorov-like structure functions. Top: two structure functions of turbulent Faraday screens with an outer scale r_o and an inner scale r_i , at distances \near" (dashed) and \far" (solid). Bottom : the addition of the two structure functions in the top panel shows a break in the slope, with a shallower slope at large scales.

scales due to dissipation at the inner scale, and then starts to rise at r_i . If the spatial uctuation in RM is a statistically hom ogeneous and isotropic random variable and the spectrum of RM is a power law with spectral index s, the slope of the SF b (SF rm / r^b for $r_i < r < r_o$) is related to s as (Sim onetti et al. 1984):

SF_{rm} (r) /
$$r^{b}$$
 where $b = \begin{cases} s & 2 & 2 < s < 4 \\ 2 & s > 4 \end{cases}$
(3)

Therefore a Kolmogorov spectrum (s = 11=3) should exhibit a structure function slope b = 5=3. Above the outer scale of structure r_o , the structure function saturates to the constant value of 2 $^2_{rm}$, where $^2_{rm}$ is the variance of the RM distribution.

However, the observed SFs do not show this behavior. In the observed SFrm, a break is visible, and the slope at scales larger than the break is very shallow. This behavior can be most easily explained by the superposition of two Faraday screens at di erent distances, both with a Kolm ogorov-like SF and with certain physical inner and outer scales. Even if the physical scales of the structure in these screens are equal, the RM will exhibit structure on di erent angular scales, as shown in Figure 6. The upper panel shows two Kolm ogorov-like SFs with the same am plitude at dierent angular scales . In the lower panel the sum of the two SFs is shown, revealing both a break and a shallow spectrum, as observed in Figure 3.

Due to a larger electron density and magnetic eld strength in the spiralarm s (Beck et al. 1996; Frick et al. 2001; Cordes & Lazio 2003), the mapr contribution to the RM occurs in the spiral arm s. Gaensler et al. (2001) determ ined that the observed polarized em ission originates predom inantly from the Crux arm at a distance of 3.5 kpc from the Sun. The major contribution to the RM ism ost likely made by the Carina and Local spiral arm s, located at 100 pc and 1.5 kpc from the Sun, respectively. Then, the Localarm corresponds to the nearby screen in Figure 6, and the Carina arm to the far screen. The break in the SF can be interpreted as the location of the outer scale of structure in the far screen, and therefore denotes the outer scale of the uctuations in the Carina spiral am with r_{far,o} 2 pc. Similarly, a lower limit to the outer scale of uctuations in the nearby

screen is given by the position at which the largescale anisotropy starts dom inating the spectrum, i.e. $\log(r) = 0$. This corresponds to the outer scale of structure in the Local A m $r_{n \, ear, o}$ & 1:7 pc. The shallow slope of SF_{em} con m sthis hypothesis, as the H em ission in this direction is believed not to originate farther away than 1 { 2 kpc, so all H em ission from the C rux am would be absorbed by intervening dust. Note that En lin & Vogt (2003) demonstrated that the autocorrelation length of the G alacticm agnetic eld is sm aller than the autocorrelation length of the RM in typical astrophysical situations, so that the given values for the outer scale of structure could be an upper lim it.

5. H LIREGIONS AS THE DOM INANT SOURCE OF STRUCTURE

The only other comparable study of EM and RM uctuations is that m ade by M inter & Spangler (1996). Minter & Spangler found an outer scale for three-dimensional turbulence in ionized gas of around 4 pc in a region of about 100 square degrees centered at (1;b) = (143; 21), but concluded that two-dimensional turbulence extended to larger scales of at least several hundred parsecs. Sim ilarly, Sim onetti & Cordes (1986) found structure in the RM of extragalactic point sources in a region at 70 < 1 < 110 , 45 < b < 5 on scales of 4 up to 40, equivalent to 35 to 400 pc at a distance of 2 kpc. Studies of velocity uctuations in neutral gas also provide evidence for turbulence out to scales of 100 { 1000 pc (Larson 1979; Padoan et al. 2001).

These results are all in striking contrast to the structure function analyses presented in Section 3, where we provide evidence that the outer scale for uctuations in the density of ionized gas is only $r_o = 1$ 2 pc. Because of the ubiquity of the turbulent cascade otherwise seen in ionized gas over at least 12 orders of magnitude in scale (A m strong et al. 1995), we are reluctant to interpret our result as indicating that a di erent physical mechanism is operating in our particular region. R ather, we interpret our results as being due to an additional component of turbulence in the warm ISM . We propose that there are two contributions to turbulence in ionized gas: the overall cascade from the very largest G alactic scales described by A m –

strong et al. (1995) found throughout the ISM; and a more localized source of turbulence with an outer scale of 2 pc, possibly of much stronger amplitude, found only in the Galactic plane.

There is already good evidence to support such a possibility. The previous analyses of uctuations in H em ission and in Faraday rotation which have argued for an outer scale r_o & 100 1000 pc, have focused on sources at high Galactic latitudes, in the outer Galaxy, or in speci c localized regions. However, studies of turbulence including the ionized gas in the G alactic plane of the inner G alaxy generally show enhanced turbulence in those regions. C legg et al. (1992) considered RM s of extragalactic sources in the inner G alaxy and at low latitude (45 < 1 < 93, bj < 5). Because their background sources provided only sparse and irregular sam pling, C legg et al. were unable to calculate a continuous structure function with a wellde ned slope, as we have done here. However, their data provide clear evidence that at scales & 1, the amplitude of RM uctuations at low latitudes is a factor > 10^3 stronger than those seen at high latitudes. C legg et al. concluded that this results from enhanced turbulence at low latitudes, generated by discrete structures in the Galactic plane. Sim onetti & Cordes (1986) also argued for an additional contribution to the turbulence in the Galactic plane by com paring RM sofextragalactic sources in and out of the Galactic plane.

A similar conclusion was reached by Spangler & Reynolds (1990), who compared the EM s towards eight extragalactic sources with the sizes of these sources' scattering disks as measured by VLBI. They found that heavily scattered sources were the same sources which also showed enhanced EM s along their sight lines. They concluded that heavily scattered sources are viewed through an additional component of ionized gas, with turbulent properties distinct from those of the di use ionized medium through which weakly scattered sources are seen. Spangler & Reynolds suggested that the additional component is individual H ii regions in the Galactic plane. Furtherm ore, studies of interstellar scintillation of pulsar signals and angular broadening of extragalactic radio sources indicate higher electron density uctuations in the innerGalaxy than in the solar neighborhood (Rao & Ananthakrishnan 1984; Dennison et al. 1984; Cordes, Weisberg & Boriako 1985).

Several studies have tried to characterize the nature of this additional component of structure in the inner Galaxy. Cordes et al. (1985) concluded from pulsar scattering measurem ents that the ionized plasm a had both clum ped and nearly uniform components. They suggested that the enhanced scattering in the clum ped com ponent could be due to ionization fronts associated with H ii regions or shocks associated with stellar winds and supernova shells. Three di erent com ponents have been identi ed by Pynzar' & Shishov (1999), based on observations of pulsar scintillation tim escales, pulse broadening and dispersion measures. They distinguish a component A as the di use gas at high latitudes, which is statistically uniform; a clum py component BI which is distributed uniform ly in the spiral arm s and m ay correspond to Strom gren spheres of 07 to B0 stars; and a clum py component BII which is concentrated in \compact regions" (1:5 kpc) and is associated with known H ii regions or supernova rem nants. A sim ilar subdivision was made by Ehle & Beck (1993) for the external spiral galaxy NGC 6946. Ehle & Beck created a model explaining Faraday rotation, depolarization and them al radio em ission as observed at various wavelengths from 2.8 cm to 20.5 cm. Their best t model contains ionized gas in three components: (1) the di use ionized gas with low density and high lling factor; (2) the classical giant H ii regions with high density and low lling factor; and (3) sm all (1 pc) low-density H ii regions with interm ediate lling factor.

Ourmeasurements appear to con m this earlier work, in that we sim ilarly have identied a region for which the characteristics of electron density uctuations are distinct from those found at high latitudes and in quiescent regions. W hile Simonetti & Cordes (1986), Spangler & Reynolds (1990) and Clegg et al. (1992) showed that the amplitude of density uctuations was enhanced on parsec scales in the inner Galaxy, we have demonstrated that the outer scale of such uctuations is also widely di erent. We note that Spangler & Reynolds suggested that the param eter which di erentiates the two types of turbulence is ${}^{3}=r_{0}$, where is the standard deviation of the density uctuations divided by the msdensity, and represents the depth of density modulation due to turbulence. Spangler & Reynolds estim ated ro 180 ³ pc for di use ionized gas, and r_{o} 1:0 ³ pc for regions of enhanced scattering. A ssum ing strong turbulence (1) for the regions of strong scattering, they found a typical scale of 1 pc, which is very similar to what we observe here. O ther indications that an outer scale of structure of a few parsec may exist in the Galactic plane are presented by Haverkorn et al. (2003a). They show SFs of RM from the diffuse synchrotron background in two regions in the second quadrant at latitudes of 8 and 16 . Because they determ ined the RM from observations at 350 M H z, only the nearby m edium (500 pc) is probed, i.e. only the thin disk. The SFs com puted by Haverkorn et al. may exhibit a break at scales of about 2 pc, and are at on larger scales. Furtherm ore, the SF rm of extragalactic sources presented by Lazio, Spangler & Cordes (1990) seem to saturate on scales of a few parsec, although they do not m ention this feature. F inally, Sim onetti & Cordes (1986) discuss RMs from a region in the G alactic plane and show that there is no structure in this region on scales above 4 .

We therefore con m the supposition m ade by earlier authors that in the denser and m ore com plicated regions of our G alaxy found at low latitudes, the dom inant source of injection for turbulence appears to be relatively sm all, discrete, sources. The density uctuations induced by these sources dom inate the turbulent cascade generated at m uch larger scales which is seen at higher latitudes.

W hat are the sources likely to be in jecting such turbulence? Ionized gas in the Galactic plane is dominated by the contributions from individual H ii regions from massive stars. W hile such sources span a very wide range of sizes, depending on the mass and number of the central powering stars and on the ambient density, there is reasonable evidence that the characteristic scale for such sources is 1 { 2 pc, as implied here. The radius of an idealized Strom gren sphere for the late-type B stars which most likely dom inate the population of photo-ionizing sources in the Galaxy is indeed a few pc (e.g. Prentice & ter Haar 1969), while m easurem ents of the sizes of extragalactic H ii regions show that the distribution indeed peaks at diam eters < 5 10 pc (Hodge, Lee & Kennicutt 1989; Paladini, Davies & DeZotti 2003).

If the observed structure is mainly due to H ii regions from B stars, their num ber should be su -

cient to cover the entire eld of view. We can estim ate the num ber of B 3 to A 0 stars per square parsec of the disk from the Present D ay M ass Function (PDMF) presented by Miller & Scalo (1979), and the size of their H ii regions from Prentice & Ter Haar (1969) (who assume a mean density $n = 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$). Stars m ore massive than B3 are excluded because of their scarceness, and stars later than A 0 because their H ii regions are of negligible size. W e construct a three-dim ensional m odel of our eld of view, placing B3 to A0 stars random ly in the Local and Carina spiral arm s according to the PDMF, and no stars anywhere else. We assum e a thickness of 160 pc for both arm s (twice the scale height) and the Sun positioned at the far side of the Local arm . The resulting distribution of H ii regions is shown in Figure 7. The upper plot shows a two-dimensional cut through the model volume, where the x-axis is directed along the line of sight, the observer is located at (x;y) = (0;0) and the dashed lines give the eld of view of the part of the SGPS Test Region used for the structure function calculation. The lower left plot shows the distribution of the sizes of H ii regions, and the lower right plot presents how much of the line of sight is occupied by H ii regions, for all independent beams in the region. C learly, the number of H ii regions is enough to dom inate the am ount of structure at every line of sight.

Interestingly, we note that A m strong et al. (1995) calculated the contribution of the warm ionized ISM to interstellar turbulence, and concluded that spheres of density $n_e = 0.2$ cm⁻³ and radius 2 pc could produce uctuations com parable to those observed. A m strong et al. suggested that the am plitudes of uctuations on such scales were consistent with the turbulent cascade seen at both larger and sm aller scales. A calculation of whether our data contain evidence for higher am - plitude than or com parable uctuations to those found elsew here w illbe the subject of a later paper in this series.

M ac Low & K lessen (2004) argue that the am ount of energy input from H ii regions is only a few percent of the energy needed to drive the turbulence in di use ionized gas. However, the additional component of turbulence that we observed could be almost entirely due to structure within the H ii regions them selves, with a negligible contribution from the turbulence in the di use



Fig. 7.| Top: two-dimensional cut through a volume distribution of H ii regions of B3 to A0 stars. The observer is located at (x;y) = (0;0), and the dashed lines indicate the eld of view of the part of the SG PS Test Region used for the structure function analysis. Stars are random ly positioned in the Local and the C arina spiral arm s. The circles indicate the sizes of the individual Strom gren spheres. Bottom : number of H ii regions per cubic parsec as a function of their radii (left) and the am ount of the line of sight taken up by H ii regions for m any lines of sight in the eld of view (right).

warm ISM (Spangler & Reynolds 1990; Clegg et al. 1992).

If individual H ii regions dom inate the structure, what is the expected SF spectral index b? The additional structure component in the ionized gas could be due to discrete edges of H ii regions, turbulence inside the H ii regions, turbulence in the ISM invoked by the H ii regions, or a com bination of these. For structure in RM caused only by discrete edges of H ii regions SF rm is expected to exhibit a slope b = 2 (Rickett, private com munication). There is ample observational evidence that H ii regions are turbulent (O 'D ell 1991; Joncas 1999; Gaensler et al. 2001), but this turbulence may operate on much smaller scales of 0:1 pc (Joncas 1999). The observed steepening of the SF_{rm} slope below log(r) 1:1 possibly constitutes a transition region to steeper slopes of b = 2, or to Kolmogorov-like turbulence (b = 5=3) on scales. 2 pc.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed structure functions (SF) of RM and EM data in the SGPS Test Region. These show very consistent results:

the SFs of both RM and EM, SFrm and SF em, exhibit a linear slope in log-log space, with SF rm showing a break at 4^0 to a atter slope at larger angular scales;

the slope at angular scales & 4° is shallow though non-zero in both SF_{rm} and SF_{em}, with a SF spectral index b 0.2;

at scales larger than about a degree, the spectral index of SF $_{\rm rm}$ and SF $_{\rm em}$ is an isotropic, form ing a quasi-sinusoidal dependence on position angle.

The anisotropy in one-dimensional SFs at large scales is explained by a large-scale gradient in electron density, possibly accompanied by magnetic eld, across the eld of view due to a foreground structure. The break in SF_{rm} and shallowness of the slope in both SF_{rm} and SF_{em} at smaller scales can be explained by the superposition of two contributions to EM and RM of the Local and Carina spiral arm s. W ithin such a model, we infer the outer scale of structure in the spiral arm s to be about 2 pc. As Kolm ogorov-like

turbulence is observed in the ISM on scales much larger than a few pc, these results constitute evidence for an additional contribution to turbulent uctuations in the G alactic plane. The inferred outer scale of uctuations agrees with the size of Strom gren spheres around the most abundant late-type B stars. Therefore, H ii regions could provide the dom inant source of structure on pc scales in the G alactic spiral arm s.

The suggestion that the break in SF_{rm} and the shallow ness of both SF_{rm} and SF_{em} is due to two separate Faraday screens will be tested in a forthcom ing paper by studying SFs in di erent regions of the sky. This can also shed light on the occurrence of any spatial variations of the turbulent spectrum. Furtherm ore, the combined RM and EM data should enable at least partial decoupling ofm agnetic eld and electron density, and the am plitudes of the SF will yield inform ation on this additional component of structure in the ionized ISM in the G alactic plane.

We thank Ellen Zweibel, Alex Lazarian, Jungyeon Cho, Dipanjan Mitra and Chris Brunt for stimulating discussions and helpful suggestions. The Australia Telescope is funded by the Commonwealth of Australia for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO. The Southerm H-Alpha Sky Survey Atlas (SHASSA) is supported by the National Science Foundation. MH and BMG acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation through grant AST-0307358.

A. NO ISE CONTRIBUTION TO THE STRUCTURE FUNCTION

For a rotation measure RM rad m², the SFs of the RM and the error can be separated using

$$SF (RM_{obs}) = [(RM (x) + (x)) (RM (x + r) + (x + r))^{2}]$$

$$= RM^{2} (x) + RM^{2} (x + r) 2RM (x)RM (x + r) + \frac{2}{(x)} + \frac{2}{(x + r)} 2 (x) (x + r)i$$

$$= SF (RM) + 2^{2} (A1)$$

$$= SF (RM) + SF () (A2)$$

since hRM i = 0 and h(x)(x + r)i = 0. Therefore, the contribution of noise in RM (which is assumed $\frac{1}{p} \frac{aussian}{a^2i}$) can be taken into account by subtracting a SF of a Gaussian distribution of noise with width h^2i from the SF of the observed RM.

REFERENCES

- Anantharam aiah, K. R., & Narayan, R. 1988, in \Radio wave scattering in the interstellar medium ", ed. J.M. Cordes, New York, American Institute of Physics, p. 185.
- Arm strong, J. W., Rickett, B. J., & Spangler, S. R. 1995, ApJ, 443, 209
- Beck, R., Brandenburg, A., Moss, D., Shukurov, A., & Sokolo, D. 1996, ARA&A, 34, 155
- Brown, J.C., Taylor, A.R., & Jackel, B.J. 2003, ApJ, 145, 213
- Bum, B.J. 1966, MNRAS, 133, 67
- Cho, J., Lazarian, A., & Vishniac, E.T. 2002, ApJ, 564, 291
- Cho, J., & Vishniac, E.T. 2000, ApJ, 539, 273
- Clegg, A.W., Cordes, J.M., Simonetti, J.M., & Kulkarni, S.R. 1992, ApJ, 386, 143
- Cordes, J.M., & Lazio, T.J.W. 2003, preprint (astro-ph/0301598)
- Cordes, J.M., Weisberg, J.M., & Boriako, V. 1985, ApJ, 288, 221
- Dennison, B., Broderick, J. J., Thomas, M., Booth, R. S., Brown, R. L., & Condon, J. J. 1984, A&A, 135, 199
- Ehle, M., & Beck, R. 1993, A&A, 273, 45
- Elm egreen, B.G., Elm egreen, D.M., & Leitner, S.N. 2003, ApJ, 590, 271
- En lin, T.A., & Vogt, C. 2003, A&A, 401, 835
- Frick, P., Stepanov, R., Shukurov, A., & Sokolo, D.2001, MNRAS, 325, 649
- G aensler, B.M., D ickey, J.M., M cC lure-G ri ths, N.M., G reen, A.J., W ieringa, M.H., Haynes, R.F. 2001, ApJ, 549, 959
- Gaustad, J.E., McCulbugh, P.R., Rosing, W., & Van Buren, D. 2001, PASP, 113, 1326
- Goldreich, P., & Sridhar, S. 1997, ApJ, 485, 680
- Goldreich, P., & Sridhar, S. 1995, ApJ, 438, 763

- Haverkom, M., Katgert, P., de Bruyn, A.G. 2003a, A&A, 403, 1045
- Haverkom, M., Katgert, P., de Bruyn, A.G. 2003b, A&A, 403, 1031
- Hodge, P., Lee, M.G., & Kennicutt, R.C., Jr. 1989, PASP, 101, 32
- Joncas, G. 1999, in proceedings of the 2nd Guillerm o H aro Conference, Interstellar Turbulence, ed. J. Franco & A. Carram inana, Cambridge University Press, p.154
- Kolmogorov, A. N., 1941, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 30, 301
- Korpi, M. J., Brandenburg, A., Shukurov, A., Tuominen, I., & Nordlund, A 1999, ApJ, 514, 99
- Larson, S.M. 1979, MNRAS, 186, 479
- Lazio, T.J., Spangler, S.R., & Cordes, J.M. 1990, ApJ, 363, 515
- M ac Low, M.-M., & Klessen, R. S. 2004, Rev. M od. Phys., in press (astro-ph/0301093)
- Maron, J., & Goldreich, P. 2001, ApJ, 554, 1175
- M cC lure-G ri ths, N. M., G reen, A. J., D ickey, J. M., Gaensler, B. M., Haynes, R. F., & W ieringa, M. H 2001, ApJ, 551, 394
- Miller, G.E., & Scalo, J.M. 1979, ApJS, 41, 513
- M inter, A.H., & Spangler, S.R. 1996, ApJ, 458, 194
- Norman, C.A., & Ferrara, A. 1996, ApJ, 467, 280
- O'Dell, C.R. 1991, in proceedings of the 147th Symposium of the IAU, ed. E Falgarone, F.Boulanger, & G.Duvert, Kluwer A cademic Publishers, Dordrecht, p.476
- Padoan, P., Kim, S., Goodman, A., & Staveley-Sm ith, L. 2001, ApJ, 555, 33
- Paladini, R., Davies, R.D., & DeZotti, G.2003, preprint (astro-ph/0309350)
- Prentice, A.J.R., & Ter Haar, D. 1969, MNRAS, 146,423

- Pynzar', A.V., & Shishov, V.I.1999, A.Rep., 43, 7, 436
- Rao, A.P., & Ananthakrishnan, S. 1984, Nature, 312, 707
- Sault, R.J., & Killeen, N.E.B.2003, The Miriad User's Guide (Sydney: Australia Telescope National Facility)
- Sellwood, J.A., & Balbus, S.A. 1999, ApJ, 511, 660
- Sim onetti, J.H., & Cordes, J.M. 1986, ApJ, 310, 160
- Sim onetti, J.H., Cordes, J.M., & Spangler, S.R. 1984, ApJ, 284, 126
- Sokolo , D . D ., Bykov, A . A ., Shukurov, A ., Berkhuijeen, E . M ., Beck, R ., & Poezd, A . D . 1998, M N R A S, 299, 189
- Spangler, S.R., & Reynolds, R.J. 1990, ApJ, 361, 116
- Spitzer, L. 1978, \Physicalprocesses in the interstellarm edium ", New York W iley-Interscience
- Stinebring, D.R., & Condon, J.J.1990, ApJ, 352, 207
- Sun, X.H, & Han, J.L.2004, in \The M agnetized Interstellar M edium ", ed.B.Uyan ker, W .Reich & R.W ielebinski, Copernicus GmbH, in press (astro-ph/0402180)

Vollmer, B., & Beckert, T. 2002, A&A, 382, 872

T h is 2-column preprint was prepared with the AAS ${\rm IAT}_E X$ m acros v5.0.