The Prim ordial Perturbation Spectrum from Various Expanding and Contracting Phases

Yun-Song Piao^{a,b} and Yuan-Zhong Zhang^{c,d}

^a Institute of H igh Energy Physics, Chinese Academ y of Science, P.O. Box 918-4, Beijing 100039, P.R. China ^b Interdisciplinary Center of Theoretical Studies, Chinese Academ y of Sciences, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China

^cCCAST (W orld Lab.), P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100080 and

^d Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academ y of Sciences, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China

In this paper, focusing on the case of single scalar eld, we discuss various expanding and contracting phases generating prim ordial perturbations, and study the relation between the prim ordial perturbation spectrum from these phases and the parameter w of state equation in details. Furthermore, we o er an interesting classication for the prim ordial perturbation spectrum from various phases, which may have important implications for building an early universe scenario embedded in possible high energy theories.

PACS num bers: 98.80.Cq, 98.70.V c

Due to the central role of prim ordial perturbations on the form ation of cosm ological structure, it is im portant probe their possible nature and origin. The basic idea of in ation is simple and elegant [], for a recent review, see Ref. [2]. A lot of observations, speci cally the recent W M A P results [3] in ply that the in ation is very consistent early cosm ological scenario. How ever there remains som e alternatives. The ekpyrotic/cyclic scenario [4, 5, 6, 7] is motivated by the string/M theory. The relevant dynam ics with prim ordial perturbations can be described by a 4D e ective theory in which the separation of the branes in the extra dimensions is modeled as a scalar eld, and dependent on the matching conditions [7, 8, 9], its prim ordial perturbations spectrum m ay be nearly scale-invariant, for som e criticism s see R ef. [11, 12, 13]. Furtherm ore, for a contracting phase like Pre Big Bang scenario [15, 16], there is another case to seed a scale-invariant spectrum [17] in which the pressureless matter is used, but the corresponding scale solution is not an attractor [5, 18]. For an expanding phase, in addition the usual in ation scenario which gives scaleinvariant spectrum, a slow ly expanding scenario [19] with the phantom matter (w < 1) may be also feasible.

р All these scenarios rely on the parameter w of state equation having a speci c qualitative behavior throughout the period when the perturbations are generated. For the in ation scenario, the condition on w is w ' 1, and power-law in ation with a ⁿt rstly studied in Ref. [20], it is $1 < w < \frac{1}{3}$, and for ekpyrotic/cyclic scenario, w 1, and for slow ly expanding scenario, w 1. In some sense, all these scenarios can give some results satisfying the WMAP observations in their simplest realization. In this paper, focusing on the case of single scalar eld, we discuss various expanding and contracting phases with constant w, and study the relation between the prim ordial perturbation spectrum

from these phases and the parameter w of state equation in details. Furthermore, we o er an interesting classi-

cation for the prim ordial perturbation spectrum from various phases.

In general the evolution of cosm obgical scale factor before the \bounce" 1 in Einstein frame can be written as

in which t! +1, or

in which t ! 0 , n is constant and positive or negative. For n > 0, the (1) corresponds the expanding phase and the (2) corresponds the contracting phase, and for n < 0 the case is in reverse. The Fridm ann equations are

$$h^2 \qquad \frac{a}{a})^2 = \frac{1}{3} \tag{3}$$

$$h = \frac{+p}{2}$$
(4)

where 8 = 1 is set. C om bing (1), (2), (3) and (4), the power-law index of scale factor

$$n = \frac{2}{3(1 + w)}$$
(5)

is given. For the case that the speed of sound c_s^2 is constant the causally prim ordial perturbations can be generated in such a phase, i.e. exits the horizon during the evolution of this phase and re-enters the horizon after the \bounce" to an expanding phase corresponding to

E lectronic address: yspiao@ itp.ac.cn

¹ Here the \bounce" means the exit from pre-bounce expanding/contracting phase to observational cosm ology. For in ation scenario it is the usual reheating [21, 22].

R egion	I	II	III	IV
the evolution of the	a(t) (t) ⁿ	a(t) t ⁿ	a(t) (t) ⁿ	a(t) (t) ⁿ
scale factor				
	n < 0	n > 1	$\frac{1}{3} < n < 1$	$0 < n < \frac{1}{3}$
	expansion	expansion	contraction	contraction
the param eter of state	w < 1	$1 < w < \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3} < w < 1$	w > 1
equation		-	-	
kinetic energy term	reverse	standard	standard	standard
potential energy term	standard	standard	standard	reverse

TABLE I: The natures of various expanding and contracting phases generating the prim ordial perturbation spectrum are im plem ented in single scalar eld action.



FIG.1: The dot dashing line denotes the expanding phase and the long dashing line denotes the contracting phase. From left to right, the di erent shadows correspond to Region I II III and IV in table respectively.

our observational cosm ology, which requires that ah increases with time, thus n > 1 for (1) and n < 1 for (2) must be satis ed.

These phases can be implemented in the single scalar eld action as follows

$$L = \frac{(0')^2}{2} V (')$$
 (6)

where is the sign of the kinetic energy term, takes 1 for normal scalar eld and 1 for phantom eld. In this where case $c_s^2 = 1$. If taking the eld ' spatially hom ogeneous but time-dependent,

$$h = \frac{n}{(t)^2} = \frac{\prime^2}{2}$$
 (7)

can be given, which determ ines the nature of scalar eld, i.e. normal scalar eld for n > 0 or phantom eld for n < 0. From (3) and (7), the eld for potential of the scalar eld can be obtained and its pre-factor is n (3n 1), which determ ines the positive and negative of the eld can be details can be seen in Ref. [9].

Therefore, four di erent regions can be plotted according to the relation between n and w, see Fig. 1. Both regions I and II are expanding phases. In region I,

a (t) (t) and n < 0. Since in this phase w < 1, the phantom eld which has reverse sign in kinetic energy term must be introduced. For w 1, the expansion is very slow but for w 1, is very rapid, which may be regarded as the phantom in ation 23]. In region Π_r t and n > 1, which corresponds an accelerated a (t) expanding phase. Such phase is generally described as power-law in ation 20] and its extrem e case w 1 isusualde Sitter in ationary phase. Di erent from region I and II, region III and IV are contracting phases. In region (t) and $0 < n < \frac{1}{3}$, compared with region IV, a(t) III, which corresponds a more slow ly contracting phase. Since the pre-factor of the potential is n (3n 1), we see that in this region the negative potential is required. The relevant details of these regions are sum m arized in Table I. For the expanding phase, the scale solution is a stable attractor only if w < 1, which is compatible with region I and Π in which the scalar potentials are positive, and for the contracting phase, the scale solution is a stable attractor only if w > 1, which is compatible with region IV, in which the scalar potential is negative [5, 18], but not with region III.

In the following we discuss the primordial perturbation spectrums from these regions before the \bounce". Let us pay attention to the scalar metric uctuations. In longitudinal gauge and in absence of an isotropic stresses, the scalar metric perturbation can be written as

$$ds^2 = a^2$$
 () ((1 + 2) d^2 + (1 2)_{ij} $dx^i dx^j$) (8)

where is conformal time d dt, thus

$$(t)^{n+1}$$
 (9)

a() (
$$\frac{n}{2}$$
 (10)

$$H = \frac{a^0}{a}$$
(11)

and is the Bardeen potential. De ning the canonical variable

$$u = p \frac{a}{2 \mu^2 H^2} = \frac{a}{r^0}$$
 (12)

For adiabatic perturbation of single scalar $\;$ eld, the u_k equation, i.e. the linear perturbation equation of $\;$, can be written as

$$u_k^{(0)} + k^2 - \frac{q(q+1)}{2} u_k = 0$$
 (13)

For all interesting m odes k , we can solve (13) analytically and obtain

$$u_{k} = \frac{P}{k} (B_{1}(k)J(k) + B_{2}(k)J(k))$$
(14)

where = $j_{I} + \frac{1}{2}j_{i}$ and J is the rst kind of the Bessel function with order and the function B_i(k) can be determined by specifying the initial conditions. In the regime k² ² q(q+1), in which them ode u_k is very deep in the horizon, the equation (13) reduced to the equation for a simple harm onic oscillator, and u_k $\frac{e^{-ik}}{(2k)^{3+2}}$ is stable. In the regime k² ² q(q+1), in which them ode u_k is the ode u_k is far out the horizon, the mode is unstable and grows. In long-wave limit, _k can be given and expanded to the leading term of k

$$k^{\frac{3}{2}} k^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (15)

Thus the spectrum index from is n = 1 2q for $q > \frac{1}{2}$ and n = 3 + 2q for $q < \frac{1}{2}$.

The curvature perturbation on uniform comoving hypersurfaces

$$= \frac{H^{0} + H^{2}}{H^{2} + H^{0}} +$$
(16)

is a constant on scales larger than H ubble horizon in the absence of entropy uctuations, as can be seen from its equation of m otion

$${}^{0} = \frac{H}{H^{2} H^{0}} k^{2}$$
(17)

and is used to infer the spectrum of at the time when the perturbations re-enter the Hubble horizon in in ationary cosm ology. De ning the Mukhanov-Sasakivariable [24, 25]

$$v = \frac{a^{p} \frac{2 H^{2} H^{2}}{H}}{H} = \frac{a'^{0}}{H}$$
(18)

The v_k equation can be written as

$$v_k^{(0)} + k^2 - \frac{q(q-1)}{2} v_k = 0$$
 (19)

Similarly we can obtain

$$v_k = \frac{p}{k} (C_1 (k)J (k) + C_2 (k)J (k))$$
 (20)

where $= jq \frac{1}{2}j$ and J is the rst kind of the Bessel function with order and the function $C_i(k)$ can be determ ined by specifying the initial conditions. The initial



FIG. 2: The short dashing line denotes n , the long dashing line denotes n . From left to right, the di erent shadows correspond to Region I II III and IV in table respectively.



FIG.3: The dashing line denotes n_t . From left to right, the di erent shadows correspond to Region IIIIII and IV in table respectively.

condition is $v_k = \frac{e^{-ik}}{(2k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$. In long-wave limit, $_k$ can be given and expanded to the leading term of k

$$k^{\frac{3}{2}} k^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
 (21)

Thus the spectrum index from is n = 5 2q for $q > \frac{1}{2}$ and n = 3 + 2q for $q < \frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore, in general, for various expanding and contracting phases the values of n and n are di erent. From (10) and (11),

$$\frac{H^{0}}{H^{2}} = \frac{1+3w}{2}$$
(22)

can be obtained, thus

$$q = \frac{2}{1+3w}$$
(23)

In term of relations between n or n and q, the Fig. 2

re ecting n or n varying with w is plotted. n_t^2 is the hyper-surface spectrum index of the tensor uctuations and plotted in the bounce Fig. 3. We see that only for $\frac{5}{3} < w < \frac{1}{3}$, i.e. $q < \frac{1}{2}$, V ishniac [26] the spectrum index of is the same as that of , and when w ' 1, nearly scale-invariant spectrum can be obtained, which corresponds to the case in in ationary of k [11], the spectrum is a spectrum of the transition of

when w ' 1, nearly scale-invariant spectrum can be obtained, which corresponds to the case in in ationary cosm ology, but for other value of w, the spectrum index of is di erent from that of , the spectrum is nearly scale-invariant for w 1 and 1, while the spectrum is nearly scale-invariant for w ' 0. W hich of the spectrum of and can be inherited in late-time observational cosm ology dependent on the m atching conditions through the \bounce" [8]. We shall brie y comment it in the following.

The curvature perturbations re-enter the horizon during radiation/m atter-dom ination and create density uctuations , which results in the form ation of large-scale structure of universe. The density contrast = - can deduced from Poisson equation

$$_{k} = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{k}{H}\right)^{2} _{k}$$
 (26)

at horizon crossing H ' $k, j_k \hat{j}$ ' $j_k \hat{j}$ is given, thus the Bardeen potential in late-tim e universe really determ ines the spectrum. The solution for on super Hubble scale is approximately [25]

' D + S
$$\frac{H}{a^2}$$
 (27)

From (16) and (17), we have

$$= D + k^2 S f()$$
 (28)

where the subscript + and denote the phases after and before the \bounce" respectively, the coe cient D k $\frac{1}{2}$ + q and D + denote the am plitude of the constant mode, S k $\frac{3}{2}$ q and S₊ are that of the grow - ing or decaying mode dependent on di erent phases, and f () = $(\frac{H}{a'^0})^2 d$, the coe cients and are dependent only on the parameter w of state equation. For late-time expanding phase driven by radiation/m atter, S₊ is decaying mode, thus D₊ is dom inated mode for our observational cosm ology. If taking the constant energy

$$ds^{2} = a()(d^{2} + (_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^{i}dx^{j})$$
(24)

where h_{ij} can be expanded in term of the two basic traceless and symmetric polarization tensors e_{ij}^{\dagger} and e_{ij} as $h_{ij} = h_{+} e_{ij}^{\dagger} + h e_{ij}$. The gauge-invariant tensor amplitude $_{k} = \frac{p^{a}}{2}h_{k}$ satis es the equation [26]

$${}_{k}^{00} + (k^{2} - \frac{q(q-1)}{2})_{k} = 0$$
 (25)

T hus the tensor spectrum index is n_t = 4 -2q for $q>\frac{1}{2}$ and n_t = 2 + 2q for $q<\frac{1}{2}$.

hyper-surfaces, in which and are continuous across the bounce, i.e. the Deruelle-Mukhanov [27] (Hwang-V ishniac [28])m atching conditions is satis ed, like that in the transition from in ationary phase to radiation dom i-D can be obtained to leading order nated phase, D + of k [11], thus regardless which of D and S is dom inated mode during pre-bounce phase, D + mode will only inherit the spectrum of D mode. In this case we can obtain the sam e results from and . But if, for example, we take and 0 continuous, then D + S , which means that D + mode should take the spectrum of dom inated mode which of D and S . For ekpyrotic/cyclic and slow ly expanding scenario, the growing S mode is the dom inated m ode during pre-bounce phase, which will be inherited by D_+ mode. From (27) and (28), we see that for S mode, the spectrum of is di erent from that of and the latter has a suppression from k^2 , which may imply that is not a proper quantity for the calculations of primordial perturbation spectrum in this case. More recently, as is pointed to in Ref.[9], see also Ref. [30, 31], the resulting spectral index in late radiation-dom inated universe depends on how and passing through the \bounce", which is determ ined by the details of \bouncing" physics.

In sum m ary, we describe the evolution of various prebounce phases with constant w and also construct the corresponding action of the single scalar eld. W e separate four di erent regions which correspond di erent expanding and contracting phases in term of parameter w of state equation, and show that the in ation and other alternative scenarios recently proposed can be placed in di erent positions of these regions, and for all possible w, only when w' 1 or 1 or 1 m ay the nearly scale-invariant scalar spectrum be obtained, which corresponds the in ation, ekpyrotic/cyclic and slow ly expanding scenario respectively. The degeneration of nearly scale-invariant scalar uctuations spectrum may be remove by the tensor uctuations spectrum, see Fig. 3, which, for w ' 1, is nearly scale-invariant and for W 1 or 1, is strong blue. Since di erent scenarios make di erent predictions for the spectrum of scalar and tensor perturbations, it is possible that the observations of the cosm ic m icrow ave background can distinguish am ong di erent scenarios. For other value of w, the nearly scale-invariant scalar spectrum can not be generated by the uctuations of background eld, thus other feasible mechanisms may be required [33, 34, 35]. Furtherm ore, the m atching between various phases [36, 37] m ay give a reasonable explain for a possible loss of power recently observated by WMAP.Our work o ers an interesting classi cation for the prim ordial perturbation spectrum from various phases before the \bounce", which m ay have important implications for building an early universe scenario em bedded in possible high energy theories.

A cknow ledgm ents W e thank M ingzhe Li, X inm in Zhang for useful discussions. W e also thank R obert B randenberger for rem inding on the m atching conditions in

² The tensor perturbed m etric can be written as

Ref. [11]. When this manuscript was being submitted to the relevant journal, Boyle et.al.'s paper appeared [38], in which the scalar pertrubations (and) and tensor perturbations as a function of w were also obtained, furtherm ore, they pointed out the interesting relationship between two di erent models sharing the same scalar perturbations. We thank its authors for kind correspondence and Paul J. Steinhardt for comments on our manuscript. This work is supported in part by K \mathcal{L} W ang Postdoc Foundation and also in part by the NationalBasic Research Program of China under Grant No. 2003CB716300.

- [1] A H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 347; A D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B 108 (1982) 389; A A. A lbrecht and P J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1220.
- [2] A D. Linde, hep-th/0402051.
- [3] C. Bennett et al., astro-ph/0302207; G. Hinshaw et.al., astro-ph/0302217; A. K ogut et.al., astro-ph/0302213.
- [4] J. Khoury, BA. Ovnut, PJ. Steinhardt and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 123522; J. Khoury, BA. Ovnut, N. Seiberg, PJ. Steinhardt and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 086007; PJ. Steinhardt and N. Turok, Science 296, (2002) 1436; Phys. Rev. D 65 126003 (2002).
- [5] S. G ratton, J. K houry, P.J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, astro-ph/0301395;
- [6] J. Khoury, P.J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, astro-ph/0302012.
- [7] A.J. Tolley, N. Turok and P.J. Steinhardt, hep-th/0306109.
- [8] R. Durrer, hep-th/0112026; R. Durrer and F. Vernizzi, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 083503.
- [9] C. Cartier, R. Durrer and E.J. Copeland, hep-th/0301198.
- [10] R.Kallosh, L.Kofm an and A.Linde, Phys. Rev. D 64 123523 (2001); R.Kallosh, L.Kofm an, A.Linde and A. Tseytlin, Phys. Rev. D 64, 123524 (2001).
- [11] R. Brandenberger and F. Finelli, JHEP 0111, 056 (2001).
- [12] J. Hwang, astro-ph/0109045; D H. Lyth, Phys. Lett. B 526,173 (2002); S. T sujikawa, Phys. Lett. B 526, 179 (2002); J. M artin, P. Peter, N. Pinto-Neto and D J. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. D 65, 123513 (2002).
- [13] S. Tsujikawa, R. Brandenberger and F. Finelli, Phys. Rev.D 66, 083513 (2002).
- [14] A.Tolley and N.Turok, Phys.Rev.D 66 (2002) 106005, hep-th/0204091.
- [15] M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, Astropart. Phys. 1 (1993) 317, hep-th/9211021.
- [16] G. Veneziano, hep-th/0002094; J.E. Lidsey, D. W ands and E.J. Copeland, Phys. Rept. 337 (2000) 343, hep-th/9909061.

- [17] D.W ands, Phys. Rev. D 60 023507 (1999); F.F inelliand R.Brandenberger, hep-th/0112249.
- [18] JK. Erickson, D.H. W esley, P.J. Steinhardt, N. Turok, hep-th/0312009.
- [19] Y.S.Piao and E Zhou, Phys. Rev. D 68, 083515 (2003).
- [20] LF. Abbott and MF. W ise, Nucl. Phys. B 244, 541 (1984).
- [21] L.Kofm an, A D. Linde and A A. Starobinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 3195 (1994); Phys. Rev. D 56 3258 (1997).
- [22] G N. Felder, L.K ofm an and A D. Linde, Phys. Rev.D 59 123523 (1999); Phys. Rev. D 60 103505 (1999).
- [23] Y.S.Piao and Y.Z.Zhang, astro-ph/0401231.
- [24] V F. Mukhanov, JETP lett. 41, 493 (1985); Sov. Phys. JETP. 68, 1297 (1988).
- [25] V F.Mukhanov, H A.Feldm an and R H.Brandenberger, Phys. Rept. 215, 203 (1992).
- [26] A A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett 30, 682 (1979).
- [27] N. Deruelle and V.F. Mukhanov, Phys. Rev. D 52 5(1995).
- [28] J. Hwang and E.T. Vishniac, A strophs. J. 382 (1991).
- [29] C.G ordon and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 123508.
- [30] J.M artin and P.Peter, hep-th/0307077.
- [31] P. Peter and N. Pinto-Neto, hep-th/0203013; P. Peter, N. Pinto-Neto and D. A. Gonzalez, hep-th/0306005.
- [32] Finelli, hep-th/0307068.
- [33] D.H.Lyth, and D.W ands, hep-ph/0110002; S.M ollerach, PhysRev. D 42 (1990) 313; K.Enqvist, and M. S.Sloth, hep-ph/0109214; T.M oroi and T.Takahashi, hep-ph/0110096.
- [34] V. Bozza, M. Gasperini, M. Giovannini and G. Veneziano, hep-ph/0206131; hep-ph/0212112.
- [35] G. Dvali, A. Gruzinov and M. Zaldarriaga, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 023505; L.K ofm an, astro-ph/0303614.
- [36] Y.S.Piao, B.Feng and X.Zhang, Phys. Rev.D in press, hep-th/0310206.
- [37] Y.S.Piao, S.Tsujikawa and X.Zhang, hep-th/0312139.
- [38] LA. Boyle, P.J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, hep-th/0403026.