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#### Abstract

In this paper, focusing on the case of single scalar eld, we discuss various expanding and contracting phases generating prim ordial perturbations, and study the relation betw een the prim ordial perturbation spectrum from these phases and the param eterw of state equation in details. Further$m$ ore, we o er an interesting classi cation for the prim ordial perturbation spectrum from various phases, which $m$ ay have im portant im plications for building an early universe scenario em bedded in possible high energy theories.


PACS num bers: $98.80 . \mathrm{C}$ q, $98.70 . \mathrm{V}$ c

D ue to the central role of prim ordial perturbations on the form ation of cosm ological structure, it is im portant probe their possible nature and - igin. T he basic idea of in ation is simple and elegan for a recent review, see Ref. A lot poservations, speci cally the recent W M AP results imply that the in ation is very consistent early cosm ological scenario. H ow ever there re….... altematives. The ekpyrotic/cyclic scenario is $m$ otivated by the string $/ \mathrm{M}$ theory. The relevant aynam ics w ith prim ordial perturbations can be described by a 4D e ective theory in which the separation of the branes in the extra dim ensions is m odeled as a scin ${ }^{-7}$, and dependent on the $m$ atching conditions
its prim ordial perturbations spectrum Hy scale-invariant, for som e criticism $s$ see $R$ ef. Furthem .-.a contracting phase like Pre B lg Bang scenaric too is another case to seed a scale-invariant spectrum sureless $m$ atter is used,
tion is not an attractor corresponding scale soluin addition the usual in ation invariant spectrum, a slow ly expanding scenaric scalethe phantom $m$ atter ( $w<1$ ) $m$ ay be also feasuple.

All these scenarios rely on the param eter w $\underline{p}$ of state equation having a speci c qualitative behavior throughout the period when the perturbations are generated. For the in ation scenario, the condition on w is $w^{\prime}$ 1, an ver-law in ation with a nt rstly studied in Ref. it is $1<w<\frac{1}{3}$, and for ekpyrotic/cyclic scenamo, w 1, and for slow ly expanding scenario, w 1 . In som e sense, all these scenarios can give som e results satisfying the W M AP observations in their sim plest realization. In this paper, focusing on the case of single scalar eld, we discuss various expanding and contracting phases w ith constant w, and study the relation betw een the prim ordial perturbation spectrum
from these phases and the param eterw of state equation in details. Furthem ore, we o er an interesting classication for the prim ordial perturbation spectrum from various phases.

In general the evolution of cosm ological scale factor before the \bounce" ${ }^{1}$ in E instein fram e can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t) \quad e \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $t!+1$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t) \quad\left(t^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $t$ ! $\quad n$ is constant and positive or negative. For $n>0$, the corresponds the expanding phase and the corresponds the contracting phase, and for $n<0$ the case is in reverse. The Fridm ann equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.h^{2} \quad \stackrel{a}{( }\right)^{2}=-  \tag{3}\\
& h=\frac{+p}{2}  \tag{4}\\
& h=\frac{p}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $8 \mathrm{G}=1$ is set. C om bing


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\frac{2}{3(1+\mathrm{w})} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given. For the case that the speed of sound $c_{s}^{2}$ is constant the causally prim ordial perturbations can be generated in such a phase, i.e. exits the horizon during the evolution of this phase and re-enters the horizon after the \bounce" to an expanding phase corresponding to

[^0]| R egion | I | II | III | IV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| the evolution of the <br> scale factor | $a(t) \quad(\mathrm{t})^{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{t}) \quad(\mathrm{t})^{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{t}) \quad(\mathrm{t})^{\mathrm{n}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}<0$ | $\mathrm{n}>1$ | $\frac{1}{3}<\mathrm{n}<1$ | $0<\mathrm{n}<\frac{1}{3}$ |
|  | expansion | expansion | contraction | contraction |
| the param eter of state <br> equation | $\mathrm{w}<1$ | $1<\mathrm{w}<\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}<\mathrm{w}<1$ | $\mathrm{w}>1$ |
| kinetic energy term | reverse | standard | standard | standard |
| potentialenergy tem | standard | standard | standard | reverse |

TABLE I: The natures of various expanding and contracting phases generating the prim ordial perturbation spectrum are im plem ented in single scalar eld action.


F IG .1: T he dot dashing line denotes the expanding phase and the long dashing line denotes the contracting phase. From left to right, the di erent shadow s correspond to R egion I II III and IV in table respectively.
our observational cosm ology, which mequires that ah increases w th tim e, thus $\mathrm{n}>1$ for and $\mathrm{n}<1$ for must be satis ed.

T hese phases can be im plem ented in the single scalar eld action as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\left(@{ }^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2} \mathrm{~V}\left(^{\prime}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the sign of the kinetic energy term, takes 1 for norm alscalar eld and 1 forphantom eld. In this case $c_{s}^{2}=1$. If taking the eld' spatially hom ogeneous but tim e-dependent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{(\mathrm{t})^{2}}=\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be given, which determ ines the nature of scalar eld, i.e. nom alscalar eld for $n>0$ or phantom eld for $\mathrm{n}<0$. From and the e ective potential of the scalar eld can oe obtamed and its pre-factor is n (3n 1), which determ ines the positive and negative $c^{\text {fin }}$ e ective potential. Som e details can be seen in $R$ ef

Therefore, four di erent regions can be plotted according to the relation between $n$ and $w$, see Fig . 1. $B$ oth regions $I$ and II are expanding phases. In region $I$,
a (t) ( ti) and $n<0$. Since in this phase $w<1$, the phantom eld which has reverse sign in kinetic energy term $m$ ust be introduced. For $w \quad 1$, the expansion is very slow but for $w \quad 1$, is ver....id, which may be regarded as the phantom in ation . In region II, a (t) $\quad \mathrm{f}$ and $\mathrm{n}>1$, which corresponas an accelerated expanding phase. phase is generally described as pow er-law in atior and its extrem e case w 1 is usualde Sitter in ationary phase. D i erent from region I and II, region III and IV are contracting phases. In region IV, a (t) ( t) and $0<n<\frac{1}{3}$, com pared w ith region III, which corresponds a m ore slow ly contracting phase. Since the pre-factor of the potential is $n\left(\begin{array}{ll}3 n & 1\end{array}\right)$, we see that in this region the negative potential is required. T he relevant details of these regions are sum $m$ arized in Table I. F or the expanding phase, the scale solution is a stable attractor only if $w<1$, which is com patible $w$ ith region I and II in which the scalar potentials are positive, and for the contracting phase, the scale solution is a stable attractor only if $w>1$, which is com patible gion IV , in which the scalar potential is negative but not $w$ ith region III.

In the follow ing w e discuss the prim ordialperturbation spectrum s from these regions before the \bounce". Let us pay attention to the scalar $m$ etric uctuations. In longitudinalgauge and in absence of anisotropic stresses, the scalar $m$ etric pertunbation can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.d s^{2}=a^{2}()\left(\quad(1+2) d^{2}+(1 \quad 2)\right)_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is conform altimed $\frac{d t}{a}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)^{n+1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(1) \quad\left(\frac{n}{)^{n}} \quad(\quad q\right.  \tag{10}\\
& H \quad \frac{a^{0}}{a} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and is the Bardeen potential. De ning the canonical variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=p \frac{a}{2 H^{2} H^{0} j}=\frac{a}{r 0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For adiabatic perturbation of single scalar eld, the $u_{k}$ equation, i.e. the linear perturbation equation of , can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}^{\infty}+k^{2} \frac{q(q+1)}{2} \quad u_{k}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For allinteresting $m$ odes $k$, we can solve analytically and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}=p-{ }_{k}\left(B_{1}(k) J(k)+B_{2}(k) J \quad(k)\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $=\dot{\mathcal{M}}+\frac{1}{2} j$ and $J$ is the rst kind of the Bessel function $w$ th order and the function $B_{i}(k)$ can be determ ined by specifying the intial conditions. In the regim $\mathrm{ek}^{2}{ }^{2} \quad \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{q}+1)$, in which them ode $u_{k}$ is very deep in the horizon, the equation reduced to the equation for a simple harm onic oscillator, and $u_{k} \frac{e^{i k}}{(2 \mathrm{k})^{3=2}}$ is stable. In the regim $\mathrm{ek}^{2}{ }^{2} \quad q(q+1)$, in which the m ode $u_{k}$ is far out the horizon, the m ode is unstable and grow s. In long-w ave lim it, $k$ can be given and expanded to the leading term of $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the spectrum index from is $\mathrm{n}=1 \quad 2 \mathrm{q}$ for $\mathrm{q}>\quad \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{n}=3+2 \mathrm{q}$ for $\mathrm{q}<\frac{1}{2}$.

The curvature perturbation on uniform com oving hypersurfaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{H^{0}+H^{2}}{H^{2} H^{0}}+ \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a constant on scales larger than H ubble horizon in the absence of entropy uctuations, as can be seen from its equation ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{H}^{0}} \mathrm{k}^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is used to infer the spectrum of at the tim ewhen the perturbations re-enter the Hubble horizon in in ation ology. De ning the M ukhanov-Sasakivari$a b l$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{a^{p} \frac{H^{2}}{2 H^{0}} j}{H}=\frac{a^{\prime 0}}{H} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$ equation can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\infty}+\mathrm{k}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{q} \quad 1)}{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{J} \quad(\mathrm{k})\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\dot{\text { M }} \frac{1}{2} j$ and $J$ is the rst kind of the Bessel function $w$ ith order and the function $C_{i}(k)$ can be determ ined by specifying the in itialconditions. The initial


FIG. 2: T he short dashing line denotes n , the long dashing line denotes $n$. From left to right, the di erent shadow s correspond to R egion I II III and IV in table respectively.


FIG. 3: The dashing line denotes $n_{t}$. From left to right, the di erent shadow s correspond to R egion I II III and IV in table respectively.
condition is $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}}}{(2 \mathrm{k})^{\frac{1}{2}}}$. In long-wave lim it, k can be given and expanded to the leading term of $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad 1^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the spectrum index from is $n=5 \quad 2 q$ for $q>\frac{1}{2}$ and $n=3+2 q$ for $q<\frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore, in general, for various expanding and contractinc phase the values of $n$ and $n$ are di erent. From and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{H}^{0}}{\mathrm{H}^{2}}=\frac{1+3 \mathrm{w}}{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be obtained, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{2}{1+3 w} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term of relations between n or n and q , the F ig. 2
re ecting $n$ or $n$ varying $w$ th $w$ is plotted. $n_{t}{ }^{2}$ is the spectrum index of the tensor uctuations and plotted in Fig. 3. W e see that only for $\frac{5}{3}<\mathrm{w}<\frac{1}{3}$, i.e. $\mathrm{q}<\frac{1}{2}$, the spectrum index of is the same as that of , and when w ' 1, nearly scale-invariant spectrum can be obtained, which corresponds to the case in in ationary cosm ology, but for other value of $w$, the spectrum index of is di erent from that of , the spectrum is nearly scale-invariant for w 1 and 1 , while the spectrum is nearly scale-invariant for $w ' 0$. W hich of the spectrum of and can be inherited in late-tim e observationalcosm ology depen ${ }^{-1}$ nt on the $m$ atching conditions through the \bounce' We shallbrie y com ment it in the follow ing.

The curvature perturbations re-enter the horizon during radiation $/ \mathrm{m}$ atter-dom ination and create density uctuations, which results in the form ation of large-scale structure of universe. The density contrast $=-$ can deduced from Poisson equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{H}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{k} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

at horizon crossing $H$ ' $k, j_{k} \jmath^{\prime} j_{k} \jmath^{f}$ is given, thus the $B$ ardeen potentialin late-tim e universe really determ ines the spectrum. T lution for on super $H$ ubble scale is approxim ately

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{S} \frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{a}^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From and $\begin{aligned} & \text { we have } \\ &=D+k^{2} S f()\end{aligned}$
where the subscript + and denote the phases after and before the \bounce" respectively, the coe cient D $\quad k^{\frac{1}{2}+q}$ and $D+$ denote the am plitude of the constant mode, $S \quad k^{\frac{3}{2}} q$ and $S_{+}$are that of the grow ing or depcaying $m$ ode dependent on di erent phases, and $f()=\left(\frac{H}{a^{\prime} 0}\right)^{2} d$, the coe cients and are dependent only on the param eter $w$ of state equation. For latetim e expanding phase driven by radiation/m atter, $\mathrm{S}_{+}$ is decaying $m$ ode, thus $\mathrm{D}_{+}$is dom inated $m$ ode for our observational cosm ology. If taking the constant energy

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \mathrm{~T} \text { he tensor perturbed } m \text { etric can be } w \text { ritten as } \\
& \qquad d s^{2}=a()\left(d^{2}+\left(i j+h_{i j}\right) d x^{i} d x^{j}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{i j}$ can be expanded in term of the two basic traceless and symm etric polarization tensors $e_{i j}^{+}$and $e_{i j}$ as $h_{i j}=$ $h_{+} e_{i j}^{+}+h \quad e_{i j}$.Theganの-invariant tensor am plitude $k=\frac{a}{2} h_{k}$ satis es the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k}^{\infty}+\left(k^{2} \frac{q(q \quad 1)}{2}\right) k=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the tensor spectrum index is $n_{t}=4 \quad 2 q$ for $q>\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}=2+2 \mathrm{q}$ for $\mathrm{q}<\frac{1}{2}$.
hyper-surfaces, in which and are cor pus across the bour i.e. the D enuelle M ukhanov (H wang$V$ ishniac $m$ atching conditions is satis ed, like that in the transirion from in ationary phase to radiation dom inate ${ }^{-1}$ ase, $\mathrm{D}_{+} \mathrm{D}$ can be obtained to leading order of $k \quad$ thus regardless which of $D$ and $S$ is dom inated $m$ ode during pre-bounce phase, D + m ode willonly inherit the spectrum ofD $m$ ode. In this case we can obtain the sam e results from and. But if, for exam ple, wetake and ${ }^{0}$ continuous, then $D_{+} D+S$, which $m$ eans that $D_{+} m$ ode should take the spectrum of dom inated $m$ ode which of $D$ and $S$. For ekpyrotic/cyclic and slow ly expanding scenario, the grow ing $S$ m ode is the dom inated $m$ ode during pre-bornop phase which will be inherited by D + m ode. From and we see that for S m ode, the spectrum of 1 sdi erent from that of and the latter has a suppression from $k^{2}$, which $m$ ay im ply that is not a proper quantity for the calculations of prim ordial perturbation spernm in this ca recently, as is pointed to in Re see also Ref . the resulting spectral index in late radiation-dom inared universe depends on how and passing through the \bounce", which is determ ined by the details of $\backslash$ bouncing" physics.

In sum $m$ ary, we describe the evolution of various prebounce phases w th constant w and also construct the corresponding action of the single scalar eld. W e separate four di erent regionswhich correspond di erent expanding and contracting phases in term of param eter $w$ of state equation, and show that the in ation and other altemative scenarios recently proposed can be placed in di erent positions of these regions, and for all possible w, only when w' 1 or 1 or 1 may the nearly scale-invariant scalar spectrum be obtained, w hich corresponds the in ation, ekpyrotic/cyclic and slow ly expanding scenario respectively. The degeneration of nearly scale-invariant scalar uctuations spectrum $m$ ay be rem ove by the tensor uctuations spectrum, see Fig. 3, which, for $w$ ' 1 , is nearly scale-invariant and for w 1 or 1 , is strong blue. Since di erent scenarios $m$ ake di erent predictions for the spectrum of scalar and tensor perturbations, it is possible that the observations of the cosm ic $m$ icrow ave background can distinguish am ong di erent scenarios. For other value ofw , the nearly scale-invariant scalar spectrum can not be generated by the uctuations of backgrou feasible $m$ echanism $s m$ ay be required therm ore, the $m$ atching betw een various pnases m ay give a reasonable explain for a possible loss of pow er recently observated by W M AP. O urw ork o ens an interesting classi cation for the prim ordialperturbation spectrum from various phases before the \bounce", which $m$ ay have im portant im plications for building an early universe scenario em bedded in possible high energy theories.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} H$ ere the $\backslash$ bounce" $m$ eans the exit from pre-bounce expanding/contracting phase to observ, sm ology. For in ation scenario it is the usual reheatinc

