SEPARATED AT BIRTH: THE ORIGIN OF THE PULSARS B2020+28 AND B2021+51 IN THE CYGNUS SUPERBUBBLE

W .H.T.V lemmings¹, J.M .Cordes¹, S.Chatterjee² For the A strophysical Journal

ABSTRACT

H igh precision astrom etric data have enabled us to determ ine the trajectories through the G alactic potential for a grow ing num ber of pulsars. This has resulted in the discovery of a pulsar pair (B 2020+ 28 and B 2021+ 51) that has a comm on origin in the Cygnus Superbubble or in one of its related O B associations at an epoch which is comparable with the spin-down ages of the pulsars. A nalysis of the G alactic orbits indicates that the progenitors of the pulsars had sim ilar m asses and were in a binary system, which was disrupted after the second supernova explosion. The in plied pulsar birth velocities are consistent with the high velocities of neutron stars in general. The initial spin period of the pulsar that was form ed in the second supernova explosion was 200 m s. A further increase in astrom etric accuracy will allow us to more tightly constrain the birth velocities and the kick velocities that were in parted by the two respective supernova explosions. Two additional pulsars in the sam ple of 24 with parallax m easurem ents m ay also have originated in the Cygnus Superbubble.

Subject headings: astrom etry starskinem atics pulsars: individual (PSR B2020+28, PSR B2021+51)

1. introduction

The velocity distribution of pulsars has long ago been shown to extend to much larger velocities then those of their progenitors (Gunn & Ostriker 1970). Several possible scenarios have been suggested as causes for high pulsar velocities. These include asymmetry in the birth supernovae (SN) of pulsars (Shklovskii 1970; Dewey & Cordes 1987) and the disruption of binaries by mass-loss from the SN explosion (Blaauw 1961; Gott, Gunn, & Ostriker 1970). Considerable evidence exists that both e ects take place. Exactly how an asymmetry in the core collapse of a SN explosion is converted to a kick imparted on the new ly form ed neutron star (NS) is still unclear; possibilities include hydrodynam ic or convective instabilities (Burrows & Hayes 1996; Janka & Muller 1996; Lai & Goldreich 2000) and mechanisms such as asymmetric neutrino emission in the presence of strong magnetic elds (Arras & Lai 1999).

B inarity is particularly com m on am ong the early type 0 and B stars that are expected to produce Type II SN and subsequently NS; approximately 70% of these stars exist in binary systems (Batten 1967). In about 50% of the binaries, both stars are massive enough to become SN; most of these binaries are disrupted when the rst SN occurs, while only a sm all percentage evolves into NS-NS binaries (Bethe & Brown 1998). Until now 6 of such NS-NS binaries have been detected (Burgay et al. 2003).

W hile most massive starbinaries are disrupted at either the rst or the second SN, many individual pulsars originate from such binaries. Identi cation of these pulsars will allow us to put constraints on kick velocities and the am ount of asymmetry in the SN explosions.

P reviously, several pairs pulsars where hypothesized to come from the same disrupted binary based on their positional information alone (G ott, G unn, & O striker 1970; W right 1979). Recently, high precision VLBI astrom etry has produced accurate m easurem ents of parallaxes and proper motions of about two dozen nearby pulsars, which allow smuch m ore accurate tests for pulsar pair identi cations. U sing the astrom etric data, we have analyzed possible trajectories for the pulsars that take into account the G alactic gravitational potential and plausible values of the unknown radial velocities. We nd that two of the pulsars (B 2020+ 28 and B 2021+ 51) have G alactic orbits that cross at an epoch consistent with their spin-down ages, which m akes them prim e candidates for pulsars that have been ejected from the same binary system. In this paper we rst discuss the identi cation of the pulsar pairs and describe the G alactic potential used to calculate the pulsar orbits (x 2). The results of the orbit calculations are presented in x 3. The birth location of the pulsars and several possible birth scenarios are discussed in x 4, followed by our conclusions in x 5.

2. identification of pulsar pairs

The sam ple of pulsars with high precision astrom etric data to date consists of 24 ob jects, six of which are recycled pulsars. The com plete sam ple is further discussed in Chatterjee et al. (2004, in preparation). First, the trajectories of the 24 pulsars were determ ined for 3 di erent radial velocities ($v_r = 300;0$ and +300 km s¹) in the G alactic potential which is discussed below (x 2 2). We then further exam ined the G alactic orbits of those pulsar pairs that cross on the plane of the sky at com parable distances and at a sim ilar epoch. From this analysis we nd that B 2020+ 28 and B 2021+ 51 are likely candidates for a pulsar pairs with a comm on origin in the C ygnus Superbubble (C SB). Two other pulsars (B 1857 26 and B 2016+ 28) in our sam ple m ay also have originated from this region.

2.1. B 2020+ 28 and B 2021+ 51 and their G alactic O rbits

The astrom etric properties of B 2020+ 28 and B 2021+ 51, which are listed in Table 1, in ply transverse velocities of 307 km s¹ and 120 km s¹ for B 2020+ 28 and B 2021+ 51 respectively. The m easured period (P), period derivative (P-) and dispersion m easure (D M) are give in Table 2, along with the spin-down age, $_{sd} = \frac{p}{p} \frac{P=2P}{-}$, and the m agnetic eld strength B = 32 10^{19} P P-, both for a braking index n = 3. Neither of the pulsars appears to have

 $^{^1}$ D epartm ent of A stronom y, C ornell U niversity, Ithaca, N Y 14853; w outer@ astro.comell.edu.

² Jansky Fellow, National Radio Astronom y Observatory, P.O. Box O, Socorro, NM 87801.

been recycled through accretion in a binary system, as the values for B are consistent with canonical, non-recycled values.

To determ ine the minimum distance between the pulsar pair at earlier epochs, we perform ed M onte Carlo sim ulations of the Galactic pulsar orbits taking into account the astrom etric uncertainties and the unknown radial velocities. W e assum ed a G aussian probability distribution for the proper m otion and parallax m easurem ents, and , with standard deviations, , and , respectively. The present day absolute positional uncertainty, estimated at 15 m as, corresponds to only 2 10^4 pc at 2 kpc distance and is negligible, as the properm otion errors already correspond to a positional uncertainty of 5 pc when extrapolated backward in time by 1 M yr. The distribution of the radial velocity was determ ined assuming a two component pulsar birth velocity distribution (f_{V_0}) as determ ined by Chatterie et al. (2004, in preparation) and consistent with the work of Cordes & Chemo (1998) and Arzoum anian, Chemo & Cordes (2002). The birth velocity PDF was corrected to rst order for the propagation through the Galactic potential for a time corresponding to the age of the pulsar. This gives the current velocity PDF:

$$f_V (v_?; v_r) = f_V (v) = f_{V_0} (v + z2)$$
 (1)

where we have estimated the true age to be equal to the spin-down age, = $_{sd}$, and where z is the acceleration due to the Galactic potential in the z direction perpendicular to the Galactic plane. Note that discrepancy of the true age from the spin-down age are not signicant in our analysis, because we restrict our study to young objects and thus the correction due to the acceleration is small. Then, given the transverse velocities, the conditional PDF for the radial velocity is:

$$Z = f_{v_r}(v_r) = dv_2 f_v(v_2;v_r)f_{v_2}(v_2)$$
(2)

where f_{V_2} (v_2) includes the PDFs of the measurement errors in proper motion and parallax. This conditional PDF was then used to random by select the pulsar radial velocity in each M onte C arb trial. The conditional PDFs for B 2020+28 and B 2021+51 are shown in Fig. 4.

The Galactic orbits of the pulsars cross within 10 pc for 0:15% of our M onte Carlo simulations. The resulting Galactic orbits of B 2020+28 and B 2021+51 are shown in Fig. 1 and correspond to co-location 1:9 M yr ago. The qure indicates the pulsar trajectories as seen from an observer at rest in the Solar reference fram e and includes the Galactocentricm otion of the Sun. Only one of the Galactic orbit pairs that results in a m inim um separation < 10 pc between the two pulsars is shown. The contours around the common origin position (starred symbol) indicate the 1;2 and 3 likelihood contours of the birth location for Galactic orbit solutions which approach 10 pc. The pulsars are seen to traverse the Cygnus Superbubble region (dashed ellipse), which contains several OB associations (see x 4.1). W hile there are multiple orbit solutions that yield minimum separations < 10 pc and such co-locations can occur by chance for unrelated pairs, we dem onstrate below that the probability of co-location is in this case too large to have been caused by chance. A lso the choice of G alactic potential does not signi cantly alter the results, as the G alactic orbit integration is only 2 M yr. Even when no G alactic potential is used, a signi cant number of pulsar orbits reach a m inim um separation < 10 pc.

22. Galactic Pulsar Orbits

The pulsar orbits were traced back in time through a three component Stackel potential, which satis es the most recent estimates of the Milky Way parameters (Fam aey & Depnghe 2003, hereafter FD 03). The three components include a thin disk, a thick disk and a halo component. As shown in FD03, a bulge component did not have to be included explicitly, as the resulting three component potential turns out to simulate an e ective bulge. In FD 03 several combinations of component parameters are shown to satisfy the Milky W ay parameters. In our potential we chose the axis ratios = 75:0;1:5 and 1:02, and relative contributions k = 0.13;0.01 and 1.0 for the thin disk, thick disk and halo respectively. The scaling parameters were then adjusted so that our potential predicts the 0 ort constants $A = 14.19 \text{ km s}^{1} \text{ kpc}^{1}$, $B = 11.69 \text{ km s}^{1} \text{ kpc}^{1}$ and a circular velocity $v_{circ} = 219.7$ km s¹ at R = 8.5 kpc. Additionally, our potential has a mass density in the solar 0.09 M pc³. These values agree neighborhood of with those obtained in an analysis of Hipparcos data by Feast & W hitelock (1997). The localm assdensity is also in agreement with 0:06 M pc 3 < < 0:12 M pc 3 determ ined from Hipparcos observations (Creze et al. 1998; Holmberg & Flynn 2000; Siebert, Bienayme, & Soubiran 2003). We assume that perturbations of the Galactic orbit due to sm all scale structure in the potential are negligible, as our integration times are less then a few Myr.

From the astrom etric data we determ ine the position vector in the G alactic reference fram e and the velocity v $_{\rm PSr}$ in the plane of the sky. The pulsar velocity v $_{\rm psr}$ is then calculated with a radial velocity taken from the conditional PDF for radial velocity v_r as described above. A fler correcting the pulsar velocity v $_{\rm psr}$ for solar motion with respect to the local standard of rest (LSR) v $_{\rm sun}$, di erential G alactic rotation v $_{\rm DGR}$, and the velocity of the LSR v $_{\rm LSR}$, the pulsar velocity in the G alactic reference fram e is:

$$v_{psr}^{0} = v_{psr} \quad v_{sun} \quad v_{DGR} \quad v_{LSR} :$$
(3)

This velocity is then used to retrace the pulsar orbit in the Galactic potential with a fourth order Runge-Kutta num erical integration method and xed time steps of 1000 years.

2.3. Identi cation of pulsar pairs

A positive pair identi cation is assumed when simulations of the G alactic orbits produce a signi cant number of solutions where the minimum orbit separation is < 10 pc at a realistic epoch. At the distance to the pulsars discussed here as determined by the parallax m easurements, 10 pc correspond to only 5 km s^1 uncertainty in space velocity during 2 M yr. As was shown in H oogerw erf, de B nujne & de Zeeuw (2001), trajectories that cross within a few pc are only a sm all fraction of all the orbits consistent with the m easurement errors. Here we numerically estimate the probability to detect a pulsar pair within 10 pc



Fig. 1. The 3-dimensional pulsar motion through the G alactic potential is shown for one of the pulsar orbit solutions that yields a minimum separation < 10 pc (these particular G alactic orbits cross within 4 pc). The dashed circle represents the C ygnus superbubble, while the labeled solid ellipses are the C ygnus O B associations with positions and extents as tabulated by U yaniker et al. (2001). The extent of O B 2 is unknown and only the center of the association is indicated. The thick solid lines indicate the pulsar paths, with the origin denoted by the starred sym bol and the arrows pointing in the direction of motion. The current positions are indicated by the solid dots. The elliptical contours around the pulsars' origin in these panels indicate the 1, 2 and 3 levels of the likelihood solution for the birth location for G alactic orbit solutions that reach a minimum separation < 10 pc.

of each other when taking into account the m easurem ent uncertainties on the astrom etric param eters.

The conditional PDF for the pulsar separation $_{\rm d}$ at time t is given by:

 $f_{d}(dy_{r_{1}};v_{r_{2}}; 1; 2; 1; 2; t) = (d_{d}; t_{2} \times t_{1})$ (4) Here i_{1} and i_{1} are the astrom etric data for the two pulsars and $v_{r_{1}}$ is the radial velocity. The separation between the pulsars at time t is:

with

$$d = \mathbf{j} \mathbf{x}_{t_2} \quad \mathbf{x}_{t_1} \mathbf{j}$$
(5)

$$x_{t} = G(x; v; t):$$
 (6)

The function G describes the trajectory of the pulsar and incorporates the e ects of the Galactic potential. In the absence of the Galactic potential $G = x \quad v t. Eq. 4$ is integrated over the astrom etric uncertainty PDFs as well as over the radial velocity PDF given by Eq.2. To obtain the probability for a minimum separation < 10 pc between the pulsars we integrate further over the separation $_{\rm d}$ < 10 pc and over the times t = t_{m in} at which this m inim um separation occurs, $_{\rm Z}$ Z

$$P_{c}(_{0}) = dt d_{t_{m in}} d_{d}f_{d_{t}}:$$
(7)

As $t_{m in}$ depends on the time $_0$ at which the actualm inim um separation occurs, the resulting probability function in Eq.7 is a function of $_0$ that rejects errors that increase as the astrometric properties of the pulsars are projected back in time. U sing astrometric errors similar to those determ ined for B 2020+ 28 and B 2021+ 51, Eq.7 is evaluated numerically for a pulsar pair that is known to coexist at $_0$, limiting the analysis to pulsar pairs with $_0 < 10$ M yr. The resulting distribution is shown in Fig.2a. We indicate the probability of inding pulsar orbits that cross within < 10 pc is P_c (2 M yr) 0.16%, for a pulsar pair that is known to have a common origin at $_0 = 2$ M yr and that has current day astrometric errors similar to the measure-

Table 1 Pulsar A strometric data

Pulsar	J2000 : 0	J2000 : 0	(m as y	۳r ¹)	(m as y	r1)	(m	as)	D (kpc)
B 2020+ 28	20 22 37.0718	28 54 23.0300	4:38	0:53	23 : 59	026	0:37	0:12	$2:7^{+1:3}_{0:7}\\2:0^{+0:3}_{0:2}$
B 2021+ 51	20 22 49.8655	51 54 50.3881	5:23	0:17	11 : 54	028	0:50	0:07	

Note. The data are from Brisken et al. (2002). The positions are at the epoch 2000.

Pulsar Characteristics										
Pulsar	P	P	DM	^{sd}	B					
	(s)	(10 ¹⁵ ss ¹)	(cm ³ pc)	(Myr)	(10 ¹² G)					
B 2020+ 28	0:343	1:89	24 : 62	2 : 88	0:81					
B 2021+ 51	0:529	3:07	22 : 58	2 : 75	1:29					

m ents. This is remarkably consistent with the value of 0:15% found for B2020+28 and B2021+51.

If, instead of being produced in a binary, the pulsar pair crosses at a known minimum distance 0_d at time 0, the probability of nding orbits within ${}^d_d < 10 \text{ pc}$ decreases with 0_d as shown in Fig. 2b. Here we again used astrom etric errors sim ilar to those of B2020+28 and B2021+51, for a pulsar pair that has an actual minimum separation 0_d at ${}_0 = 2 \text{ M yr}$. Thus, for ${}^0_d = 0$, the value of P_c(${}^0_d = 0$) = P_c(${}_0 = 2 \text{ M yr}$) = 0:16%. P_c drops sharply for increasing 0_d , especially when the astrom etric errors in proper motion are decreased. The e ect of a reduction of parallax uncertainties is less, as these are generally larger than the several tens of parsec distance scale which was examined for 0_d .

To further investigate if the identication of the binary pulsar pair is only a chance coincidence, we simulated 20 sam ples of nearby (< 3 kpc) pulsars using the birth velocity and scale height distribution as determ ined by Chatterjee et al. (2004). The sam ples consisted of 18 pulsars, m atching the current sam ple of non-recycled objects with high precision astrom etry. W e restricted our simulations to young (< 25 M yr), non-recycled objects. The pulsars were propagated in the Galactic potential to determ ine their astrom etric properties. The radial velocity inform ation was discarded and we determ ined the closest approach for each of the 153 pulsar pairs in the sam ples by propagating the pulsars back in time on a grid of possible radial velocities (\dot{v}_r) < 800 km s¹). We found that 80% of our sam ples could produce one or more pulsar pairs with a minimum separation < 10 pc. However, the identied pairs are heavily biased tow ard pulsar ages > 10 M yr. W e do not identify any pair younger than 5 M yr. Those pairs that produce the most solutions in this rst, coarse, analysis, were then investigated further using the sam e M onte Carlo method as described in x 2.1. We included uncertainties on the proper motions and parallaxes that were sim ilar to the astrom etric uncertainties for B 2020+ 28 and

B 2021+ 51, and the radial velocity was drawn from the actual velocity PDF determ ined for each pulsar as shown in Eq.2. We found that the likelihood of nding a minimum separation < 10 pc for these simulated pairs is generally < 10⁴ %. This low probability rules out any spurious identication as a real related pulsar pair for all of the pulsars in our simulated samples.

However, instead of being a disrupted binary, the pulsars might have originated in one or two of the nearby OB associations, which have enhanced SN rates. Therefore we simulated a large number of pulsars coming from a spherical volum e with a radius of approxim ately 0.8 kpc, which is the volum e that encom passes several of the OB associations found in the Cygnus superbubble region (See x 4.1). We simulated 40 pulsars, corresponding to 780 possible pulsar pairs, which is approximately 20% of the number of pulsars estim ated to have form ed in the CSB (U yaniker et al. 2001). In an analysis sim ilar to the one perform ed on our simulated Galactic pulsar samples, we nd that approximately 5% of the pulsar pairs can be traced back to within 10 pc for realistic radial velocities. However, in a further analysis of those pairs, we nd that the likelihood of a minimum separation < 10 pc is only 0:01%, much less than the likelihood expected for a realpulsar pair. A s seen in Fig. 2b, this is consistent with an actual minimum separation of> 40 pc. Thus, we are con dent that B 2020+ 28 and B2021+51 are indeed related and originate from the sam e disrupted binary.

3. astrometric parameters and ages of b2020+28 and b2021+51

The pulsar pair B2020+28 and B2021+51 was found to have a minimum separation < 10 pc for 0.15% of 3 million M onte Carlo runs. Here we demonstrate that the values of , and required for these orbits are typical values allowed by the PDF's for those quantities, and not special values with low probability. Figs. 3 and 4 show the resulting PDFs for the proper motions, paral-





Fig. 2. The probability function P_c for nding pulsar orbits with a minimum separation < 10 pc. Left panel (a): as a function of the time before the present $_0$ at which the (simulated) pulsars are known to be co-located. For larger $_0$, the present day proper motions are extrapolated further back in time; the growing errors on the predicted pulsar positions cause the probability of nding pulsar with a minimum separation < 10 pc to decrease. The thick solid line indicates P_c for a pulsar pair with astrometric uncertainties similar to those of B 2020+28 and B 2021+51. The thinner lines correspond to 30% and 60% in provements on the parallax uncertainties, while the dashed line corresponds to a pulsar pair with uncertainties that are 30% of the , and uncertainties of B 2020+28 and B 2021+51. For $_0 > 4$ M yr the curves show some numerical noise. The point indicates the percentage of G alactic orbit solutions with a minimum separation < 10 pc for a time estimated 1 errors on the determined $_0$. Right panel (b): as a function of known minimum separation at time $_0 = 2$ M yr. The assume d astrometric uncertainties for the four curves are similar to those in the left plot. The horizontal dotted line corresponds to P_c determined for B 2020+28 and B 2021+51.



Fig. 3. Conditional distributions of the astrom etric variables (left), (middle) and (right) for the Galactic orbit solutions that yield minimum separations < 10 pc (thick histogram) and < 5 pc (thin histogram). The top panels are for B 2020+ 28 and the low er panels for B 2021+ 51. The observed values are indicated by the vertical solid lines and the thick solid curve indicate the initial Gaussian PDFs corresponding to the astrom etric errors. Note that both histogram s are norm alized with the same factor.



Fig. 4. Conditional distribution of the radial velocities of B2020+28 (left) and B2021+51 (right) for the Galactic orbit solutions yielding m inim um separation < 10 pc (thick histogram) and < 5 pc (thin histogram). The initial conditional PDF for v_r , as described in x 2.1, is indicated by the thick solid curve. The normalization of both histogram is sim ilar.



Fig. 5. Distribution of the times $_0$ (left) and distance to the sun D $_{\rm sun}$ (right) for the G alactic orbit simulation results yielding m inimum separations < 10 pc (thick solid) and < 5 pc (thin solid) between B 2020+28 and B 2021+51. The solid vertical lines denote the m ean of the distributions. In the left gure, the dotted vertical lines indicate the spin-down ages of the pulsars. In the right grue, the short dashed vertical lines indicate the estim ated distance interval to the C ygnus superbubble and O B associations. The norm alization of both histogram s is sim ilar.

laxes and radial velocities of the Galactic orbits yielding separations < 10 pc. A lso indicated are the distributions for a closest approach of < 5 pc, but we nd that the shape of the distribution is not altered signi cantly. As can be seen, the PDFs for the properm otion of B 2021+51 are not signi cantly di erent from our input PDFs. The PDF for the parallax of B 2021+ 51 indicates a slight preference for a higher value but this is less than a 0:5 shift. The orbital solutions that yield close proximity of the two pulsars do not require extrem e values for the true proper m otion and parallax. For the radial velocity of B 2021+ 51 we nd that the distribution of the close Galactic orbits peaks around 100 km s¹ while higher velocities are unlikely. In the case of B 2020+ 28 the PDF of is sim ilar to the initial G aussian PDF; however, the distribution of

shows a tendency form ore negative values and no solutions are found for $> 3.5 \text{ m as yr}^1$. Interestingly, the distribution of the parallax of B 2020+ 28 is more con ned around the observed value than the observational uncertainties suggest. We can constrain the radial velocity of B 2020+ 28 to be positive. However, a wide range of positive velocities can result in a close encounter between the two pulsars. The B 2020+ 28 radial velocity distribution peaks around 450 km s¹. Thus, the astrometric data

for both pulsars are completely consistent with a comm on origin from a disrupted binary.

We do not nd strong correlations between most of the astrometric parameters. The only obvious correlation we nd is between $_1$ and $v_{\rm r_2}$, with a larger distance to B2020+28 resulting in a more negative velocity of B2021+51. A modest correlation also exists between $_1$ and $_1$ and as a result between $_1$ and $v_{\rm r_2}$. Future reduction in the astrometric uncertainties will allow us to determ ine a much tighter relation between $v_{\rm r_1}$ and $v_{\rm r_2}$.

Fig.5 shows the distributions of the time of closest approach and the distance to the Sun at that time for the simulations that result in a minimum pulsar separation < 10 pc. We conclude that the binary disrupted approximately 1.9 M yr ago at approximately 1.9 kpc distance from the Sun.

4. the origin of b2020+28 and b2021+51

4.1. Birth in the Cygnus Superbubble

The CSB is a region with intense radio emission surrounded by soft X-ray emission (Cash et al. 1980). The CSB is approximately 2 M yr old. Uyaniker et al. (2001) estimate that approximately 200 SN have occurred in the CSB within its lifetime, while 1000 SN are needed to power the X-ray emission surrounding the CSB. In fact, Uyaniker et al. argue that the CSB is not a single structure at all, but merely the result from projection e ects of several X-ray emitting regions along the line of sight.

As shown in Fig.1, the CSB region hosts severalOB associations at distances between 0.7 and 2.5 kpc. The ages of the OB associations range between 3 and 13 M yr. The distance and location of the pulsar pair at its birth site agrees best with the Cyg OB 2 association at l = 80:1, b = 0:9 and estimated distance D = 1:44 2:10 kpc, assum ing the OB association is at rest with respect to the Sun. Taking a distance of 1.7 kpc (Torres-Dodgen, Carroll, & Tapia 1991), we nd that the separation between Cygnus OB 2 and the pulsar pair peaks at approxim ately 200 pc when the pulsars are at their closest approach, well within the estimated distance interval to OB 2. Cygnus OB2 is between 3 5 M yrold (Torres-Dodgen, Carroll, & Tapia 1991; U yaniker et al. 2001). It also contains several of the most massive stars (> 60M) in the Galaxy (Abbott, Bieging, & Churchwell 1981; Massey & Thompson 1991). A common origin of the two pulsars in this association 2 Myr ago would require a progenitor binary with two extremely high mass stars (> 40M) with mainsequence lifetim es less than 3 M yr. Such high m ass stars are, however, more likely to form black holes or disrupt completely when the SN occurs (W oosley & W eaver 1986). However, a modest velocity of a few times 10 km s¹ of the OB associations, could bring som e of the older ones in the Cygnus region close to the pulsar pair. Such velocities are quite reasonable, as the peculiar velocities of nearby $0 \ 30 \ \text{km} \ \text{s}^{1}$ (de OB associations are shown to be Zeeuw et al. 1999). Additionally, if the pulsars originated from a binary pair disrupted after the second SN, the rst SN can already have imparted a velocity of several tens of km s 1 . If for instance, the second SN took place 0.5 M yr after the rst, this would correspond to a displacem ent on the sky of alm ost a degree at the distance of the Cygnus OB associations. Unfortunately, in the absence of accurate velocity inform ation for the Cygnus OB associations, it is im possible to identify the parent association with certainty.

We nd that two other pulsars in our sam ple also cross the area of the CSB for acceptable radial velocities and epochs. Both B1857 26 and B2016+28 passed in the vicinity of the CSB and its OB associations approximately 5 M yr ago. The spin-down ages are 48 and 60 M yr for B1857 26 and B2016+28 respectively. However, their Pand magnetic elds B are somewhat lower than typical values (P-= 2:0 and 1:5 10¹⁶ s s¹; B = 0:35 and 0:30 10¹² G auss for B1857 26 and B2016+28), indicating that they went through a period of recycling. If so, the di erences between their chronological ages and spindown ages could be large. Therefore, these pulsars could also have been born in the CSB if they originate from binaries that went through an episode of accretion which was interrupted by the second SN.

4.2. Possible birth scenarios

There are several possible scenarios for the common origin of B 2020+28 and B 2021+51. If they originate from the same binary, the masses of the progenitor stars would likely have been within a few percent of each other to produce two pulsars which have not been recycled and whose properties and spin-down age are so sim ilar. Bethe & Brown (1998) nd that 50% of all binaries have stars massive enough to undergo SN. Of these, it is estimated that 2% have masses sim ilar enough that the two SN occurwithin 1 M yr of each other (Brown 1995). The binary could then have been disrupted after the st SN explosion, with the second SN occurring while the rst NS and its companion were already separated. A Iternatively, the binary could have survived the rst SN only to be disrupted by a second SN a short time afterward. Another possibility is that the pulsars were not part of a binary and our identication is the result of a chance alignment, as discussed earlier (x 2.3). How ever, even if the pulsars were not part of a binary, the likelihood analysis in x 2.3indicates that they both originate from the same OB association in the Cygnus region, within several pc of each other.

Any birth scenario must explain the pulsar velocities at birth, as well as the angle between the pulsar velocity vectors. As shown in Fig. 6, we nd that the velocity of B2021+51 at the time of closest approach peaks strongly at 150 km s¹. The velocity of B2020+28 is less well determined, but peaks at 500 km s¹. We nd that the distribution of the direction angle, which is the angle between the birth velocity vectors of the pulsars, peaks strongly around 160.

4.2.1. A binary disrupted after the rst SN explosion

Bethe & Brown (1998) estim ate that approxim ately 60% of the binaries are disrupted after the rst SN explosion when the kick velocity distribution of C ordes & C hemo (1998) is used in the analysis. The high space velocities of B2020+28 and B2021+51 should then have been im – parted in the rst SN explosion, when the progenitor star of the second NS was already well into its red-giant phase. A coording to the analysis by Tauris & Takens (1998), a kick velocity higher than 600 km s¹ would be needed to

Fig. 6. The birth velocity distribution of B 2020+ 28 (thick) and B 2021+ 51 (thin) for the G alactic orbit solutions yielding separations of < 10 pc. The birth velocities have been corrected for G alactic rotation.

in part a velocity sim ilar to that of B2021+51; how ever, the rst NS would then be expected to have a velocity of around 700 km s¹. Since the velocity of B2020+28 is not very well constrained, we cannot rule out this scenario on the basis of the velocities alone. A som ewhat lower kick velocity in the rst SN could also explain the high velocities if the second SN (in this case most likely B2021+51) in parted an additional kick. How ever, as we are able to trace the two pulsars to within a few pc of each other, the second kick must have been in the direction of motion of the runaway pulsar, unless the second SN occurred within a few thousand years when the progenitor star had traveled only a few parsec.

4.2.2. A binary disrupted after the second SN explosion

If the binary survived the rst SN explosion, spiral-in and mass-transfer can occur, and the companion star can evolve into a much lighter Helium star with a lifetime of the order of 1 M yr. However, since the pulsars do not exhibit any characteristics of recycling, this is highly unlikely. We thus expect the binary separation to have been large enough so that the two stars evolved independently.

Tauris & Takens (1998) show that for a system with a large binary separation and a high-mass companion, a SN kick of only 200 km s¹ can already produce the implied birth velocities that we nd for B2020+28 and B2021+51. Using a simple binary model with an initial circular binary orbit we have attempted to reproduce the pulsar velocities and the angle between the birth velocity vectors. If the disruption of the binary was caused only by the (instantaneous) mass-loss in a symmetric SN, the angle between the pulsars' birth velocity vectors cannot be larger than 90 (Gott, Gunn, & Ostriker 1970). However, when we include an asymmetric kick, larger angles can be produced. We nd that the model can reproduce the required velocities and direction angle when the com panion mass 9 M, the binary separation is 2 4 AU





Fig. 7. Schem atic representation of a binary disrupted after the second asymmetric SN explosion (indicated by the starred symbol with the grey annulus) that can produce the observed pulsar pair. The high m ass progenitor of the second pulsar (B 2020+ 28) and the N S (B 2021+ 51) have initial circular velocities v_1 and v_2 respectively, around the center of m ass (CM). The kick velocity v_{kick} imparted in the second SN is in the direction of the N S companion and the new ly created NS m oves closely past its companion, resulting in a slingshot e ect that contributes to the observed pulsar velocities and their resulting orbits (grey curves).

and the kick velocity is 200 km s¹ with an angle 70 from the direction of motion of the exploding star tow and the companion NS.As the new ly created NS moves closely past the rst NS, both experience a slingshot e ect which accelerates each to the desired velocities. In this simple analysis, we expect B2021+51 to be created in the rst SN explosion while B2020+28 was created in the explosion that disrupted the binary system. This scenario is illustrated in Fig.7.

If the initial binary orbit was elliptical, the orbital position as well as the kick angle must be included in the analysis. It is quite likely that the binary orbit was no longer circular after the rst SN had failed to disrupt the system. However, since the circular binary scenario can already easily produce the required velocities and direction angle, an elliptical binary will most likely be able to do the same. Thus, the scenario in which our pulsar pair originates from a binary that was disrupted in the second SN explosion seems the most likely.

5. conclusions

A fter exam ining the available sam ple of pulsars that have precision astrom etric data, we have found that the pulsars B 2020+ 28 and B 2021+ 51 likely share their origin in a disrupted binary even though they are presently separated by 23 on the plane of the sky. The G alactic orbits of the pulsars, traced back through a 3 com ponent Stackel potential that satis es the current G alactic param eters, are found to cross approxim ately 1.9 M yr ago at 1.9 kpc distance from the Sun in the region known as the C ygnus superbubble. Simulations of pulsar sam ples, and an analysis of the likelihood functions, show that it is unlikely that our pulsar pair identi cation is the result from a chance alignm ent.

The most likely scenario for the creation of the runaway pulsar pair is the disruption of a binary system in the second SN explosion. As no signs of accretion are present, the progenitor stars must have had similar masses and have been in a wide binary orbit. The second SN must have followed the rst by only a short time (< 0.5 M yr). The velocities and direction angle of the pulsars at birth can be explained if the second (asymmetric) SN imparted a kick velocity of 200 km s¹. The velocity analysis indicates that B 2020+ 28 was created in the second SN.

The system was disrupted 1:9 M yr ago, which must correspond to the true age of at least one of the pulsars. The spin-down ages of both pulsars are very sim – ilar: B2020+28 has a spin-down age of 2:88 M yr, while B2021+51 has a spin-down age of 2:75 M yr. As the relationship of spin-down age to true age is largely uncertain, the sim ilarity between our derived age and the spin-down ages is rem arkable. An age of 1.9 M yr in plies that the initial period of B2020+28 was 201 m s, assum ing a constant braking index of n = 3. If the SN that created B2021+51 was the source of the binary disruption, the initial pulsar period will have been 295 m s, also assum ing a constant n = 3. In both cases, a constant braking index of n > 4can be ruled out.

The most likely three dimensional space velocities of the pulsars at birth are $\,$ 150 km s 1 for B 2021+ 51 and

500 km s¹ for B 2020+28. Recent analyses of pulsar velocities have found that a two component birth velocity distribution seems to best t the current data (C ordes & Chemo 1998; Arzoum anian, Chemo & Cordes 2002). Using the sample of pulsars with accurate astrometric data, Chatterjee et al. (2004) nd that the pulsar velocities are best described by a 2-com ponent birth velocity distribution with characteristic velocities of 86 km s 1 (70%) and 292 km s¹ (30%). The probability of nding pulsars with a three dimensional space velocity 500 km s¹ ranges from 10% using the Chatterjee et al. (2004) distribution, which has probable selection e ects against the 45% for the Arzoum anian, highest velocity pulsars, to Chemo & Cordes (2002) distribution, which was based on a much larger sample if pulsars, but used a di erent m ethodology that was based largely on DM -distances using the Taylor & Cordes (1993) model. The birth velocities determ ined for B 2020+ 28 and B 2021+ 51 then indicate that B 2021+ 51, likely created in the rst SN explosion, is part of the low ervelocity population, while B 2020+ 28, created in the SN explosion that disrupted the binary system, is part of the high velocity com ponent.

The current astrom etric data are completely consistent with the common binary origin of the two pulsars and an improvement of astrom etric precision is unlikely to invalidate our conclusions. However, as the accuracies of the proper motion and parallax measurements are increased, we will be able to more precisely determine the current radial velocities of the pulsars. This will lead to a signi cant improvement on the determination of the birth velocities and as a result, we will be able to obtain better constraints for the kick velocities of the SN explosions as well as other characteristics of the progenitor binary system.

As the sample of pulsars with accurate proper motions and parallaxes increases, the identication of additional related pulsar pairs will allow us to put constraints on kick velocities and the amount of asymmetry in the SN explosions. Unfortunately, due to pulsar beaming, we can only detect approximately one out of ve pulsars. This ratio is somewhat better for young pulsars, which, as seen in x 2.3, are also the pulsars for which a positive pair identication is the most likely. For a compete sample of nearby, young pulsars with precision astrometric data, we expect to be able to identify related pulsars for at least 10% of them .

The National Radio Astronom y Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation (NSF) operated under cooperative agreem ent by A ssociated Universities, Inc. This work at Cornell was supported in part by NSF grants A ST 9819931 and A ST 0206036.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, D.C., Bieging, J.H., & Churchwell, E. 1981, ApJ, 250, 645
- Arras, P.& Lai, D. 1999, ApJ, 519, 745
- Arzoumanian, Z., Chemo, D.F. & Cordes, J.M. 2002, ApJ, 568, 289
- Batten, A.H., 1967, ARA&A, 5, 25
- Bethe, H.A.& Brown, G.E., 1998, ApJ, 506, 780
- B laauw, A. 1961, Bull. A stron. Inst. N etherlands, 15, 265
- Brisken, W .F., Benson, J.M., Goss, W .M., & Thorsett, S.E. 2002, ApJ, 571, 906
- Brown, G.E. 1995, ApJ, 440, 270
- Burgay, M . et al. 2003, N ature, 426, 531
- Burrow S, A. & Hayes, J. 1996, Physical Review Letters, 76, 352 Cash, W., Charles, P., Bowyer, S., Walter, F., Gamire, G., & Riegler, G. 1980, ApJ, 238, L71 Cordes, J.M. & Chemo, D.F. 1998, ApJ, 505, 315
- Creze, M., Chereul, E., Bienayme, O., & Pichon, C. 1998, A&A, 329, 920
- Dewey, R.J. & Cordes, J.M. 1987, ApJ, 321, 780 de Zeeuw, P.T., Hoogerwerf, R., de Bruijne, J.H.J., Brown, A.G.A., & Blaauw, A. 1999, AJ, 117, 354
- Fam aey, B.& Dejonghe, H., 2003, MNRAS, 340, 752
- Feast, M. & W hitelock, P. 1997, M NRAS, 340, 752 Feast, M. & W hitelock, P. 1997, M NRAS, 291, 683 G ott, J.R. I., Gunn, J.E., & O striker, J.P. 1970, ApJ, 160, L91 G unn, J.E. & O striker, J.P. 1970, ApJ, 160, 979 H olm berg, J. & Flynn, C. 2000, M NRAS, 313, 209

- Hoogerwerf, R., de Bruijne, J.H.J.& de Zeeuw, P.T., 2001, A&A, 365,49
- Janka, H.-T. & Muller, E. 1996, A & A, 306, 167
- Lai, D. & Goldreich, P. 2000, ApJ, 535, 402
- Massey, P. & Thompson, A. B. 1991, AJ, 101, 1408
- Shklovskii, I.S. 1970, AZh, 46, 715
- Siebert, A., Bienayme, O., & Soubiran, C. 2003, A&A, 399, 531
- Tauris, T.M. & Takens, R.J. 1998, A & A, 330, 1047
- Taylor, J.H.& Cordes, J.M. 1993, ApJ, 411, 674
- Torres-Dodgen, A.V., Carroll, M., & Tapia, M. 1991, MNRAS, 249, 1
- Uyaniker, B., Furst, E., Reich, W., Aschenbach, B. & Wielebinski, R.2001, A&A, 371, 675
- W oosley, S.E. & W eaver, Thom as A., 1986, ARA & A, 24, 205
- W right, G.A.E. 1979, Nature, 277, 363