D ynam ical-Friction G alaxy-G as C oupling and C luster C ooling F low s

AmrA.El-Zant¹, Woong-Tae K im^{2;3} & MarcKam ionkowski¹

¹M ail Code 130-33, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

 $^2\,\mathrm{H}\,\mathrm{arvard}\text{-}\mathrm{Sm}$ ithsonian C enter for A strophysics, 60 G arden Street, C am bridge, M A 02138, U SA

³A stronom y Program, SEES, SeoulNationalUniversity, Seoul 151-742, K orea

20 February 2022

ABSTRACT

We revisit the notion that galaxy motions can e ciently heat intergalactic gas in the central regions of clusters through dynam ical friction. For plausible values of the galaxy m ass-to-light ratio, the heating rate is comparable to the cooling rate due to X -ray em ission. Heating occurs only for supersonic galaxy m otions, so the m echanism is self-regulating: it becomes e cient only when the gas sound speed is smaller than the galaxy velocity dispersion. We illustrate with the Perseus cluster, assuming a stellar m ass-to-light ratio for galaxies in the very central region with the dark-m atter contribution becoming comparable to this at some radius r_s . For $r_s < 400$ kpc $3r_{cool}$ corresponding to an average m ass-to-light ratio of 10 inside that radius the dynam ical-friction coupling is strong enough to provide the required rate of gas heating. The measured sound speed is smaller than the galaxy velocity dispersion, as required by this m echanism . W ith this sm aller gas tem perature and the observed distribution of galaxies and gas, the energy reservoir in galactic motions is su cient to sustain the required heating rate for the lifetim e of the cluster. The galaxies also lose a sm aller am ount of energy through dynam ical friction to the dark m atter in plying that non {cooling- ow clusters should have at-cored dark-matter density distributions.

K ey words: galaxies: clusters, general { galaxies: evolution { galaxies: form ation { galaxies: interactions { galaxies: kinem atics and dynam ics

1 IN TRODUCTION

Galaxy cluster gas loses therm all energy copiously through X-ray emission. In the absence of energy input, radiative cooling in cores of clusters should result in substantial gas in ow (see Fabian 1994 for a review). These \cooling ows" would have associated mass deposition rates of several hundred M yr ¹ in som e clusters (Peres et al. 1998). Nevertheless, recent high-resolution X -ray observations (e.g., Peterson et al. 2001, 2003) have revealed that there is little evidence for the expected multi-phase gaseous structures, strongly suggesting that mass dropout is being prevented by some source that is heating the gas, thereby balancing radiative energy loss in the central region of clusters. Recent work has focused on two prospective heating mechanism s: (1) di usive heat transport, via therm al conduction (Tucker & Rosner 1983; Bregm an & David 1988; Narayan & Medvedev 2001; Voigt et al. 2002; Fabian, Voigt, & Morris 2002; Zakam ska & Narayan 2003; Kim & Narayan 2003a) and/or turbulent mixing (Cho et al. 2003; Kim & Narayan

2003b; Voigt & Fabian 2004), from hotter gas in the outer region to that in the core; (2) energy input from jets, outows, and radiation from a central active galactic nucleus (Ciotti & Ostriker 2001; Churazov et al. 2002; Bruggen & K aiser 2002; K aiser & Binney 2003).

There is how ever at least one additionalm echanism that appears to have been overlooked. It involves the energy lost by concentrated clumps of matter (galaxies) as they move through the cluster. Part of this energy may go into rearranging the dark-matter mass distribution (E HZ ant et al. 2003), but a signi cant fraction should end up deposited in the gas. That dynamical-friction (D F) coupling can transform the dynamical energy of galaxies into therm almotion in the gas has been known for at least four decades (e.g., D okuchaev 1964). Relatively recent work involving this notion includes the analysis by M iller (1986) of the Perseus cluster and that of Just et al. (1990) concerning the C om a cluster. B oth studies con m that, provided that the massto-light ratio of galaxies is 20, energy lost by galaxies to the gas should be su cient in counteracting the radiative cooling of the gas in the central region of these clusters.

Several developm ents on the empirical side suggest renewed relevancy for this mechanism. One obvious one involves recent X -ray data con m ing that a heating m echanism is required; whereas the consensus in the 1980's was against this conclusion, it now seems inescapable. The second involves revisions to the inferred gas electron densities in the central region of clusters; best values referred to by M iller and Just et al. are of the order of 10 3 cm 3 , while current best estimates are rather in the range of 10¹ cm³ (Kaastra et al. 2004). This leads to an 10^{2} order-of-m agnitude increase in the dynam ical friction coupling between the galaxies and gas. There has also been progress in determ ining the mass-to-light ratio of galaxies. On the theoretical side, work by Just et al. (1990) and Ostriker (1999) has since shed some light on the behavior of the dynam ical-friction coupling in a gaseous medium in the transonic and subsonic regimes.

There appears to be a priori no reason why the rate of energy loss from galaxies to gas via dynam ical friction should be of the same order of that radiated by the gas. However, this coupling is active only when the sound speed of the gas is smaller than the typical velocity of galaxies. The mechanism is therefore self-regulating; the gas cools until the dynam ical-friction heating rate is always equal to the cooling rate. W e start by pointing out, in the next Section, why this is expected to be so, before moving on to develop a M onte-C arlo m odel to estim ate the total energy expected to ow into the cooling region of the Perseus cluster, using recent data for galaxy lum inosities and projected positions, as well as for the gas param eters of that cluster, and averaging over a set of di erent realizations where three-dim ensional positions, velocities, and m ass-to-light ratios are treated as stochastic quantities. The nal Section discusses brie y the central dark-m atter distribution and energetics, as well as

2 DYNAM ICAL FRICTION IN GASEOUS SYSTEMS

som e rem aining questions.

The fundam ental di erence between the dynam ics of collisionless and gaseous systems is the existence in the latter case of pressure gradients which communicate forces at the sound speed cs. If the galaxy velocity V is much larger than $c_{\!\scriptscriptstyle S}$ then the gravitational interaction between a particle at im pact parameter b leading to dynamical friction is unaffected by pressure forces (because by the tim e these are com municated to that region the galaxy is already at a distance $b 1 + (V = c_s)^2$ b; e.g., Ruderman & Spiegel 1971). If, on the other hand, $V < c_s$, pressure forces can be communicated to the perturbed region before the minimum distance b is achieved. The resulting pressure gradients ensure that the displacem ent of gas particles therein is hindered, and so the cumulative back reaction on the perturber that results in the dynam ical-friction force is drastically reduced.

In the collisionless case, when one speaks of a particle, one has in m ind an actual m aterial constituent. In the classic C handrasekhar form ulation, each of these contributes

individually. The sum of the perturbations from particles m oving faster than the perturber (a galaxy) is negligible for sm all particle m ass (being proportional to that m ass). That from slower particles however results in a net contribution that for the massive perturber is independent of the smaller background-particle m ass, and is always directed opposite to the perturber's motion. This results in the gradual decrease in the dynamical-friction force when the perturber velocity falls below that of the typical background particle. In the gaseous case how ever, unless the m ean free path is larger than the impact parameter, collisions will lead to rapid decoherence of individual particle motion. A coherent e ect that results in dynam ical friction should then involve interactions between the perturber and gaseous elements instead of individual particles. If the bulk velocity, that is the m acroscopic m otion, of the gas elem ents is sm aller than that of the perturber then all gas elements will contribute.

The above considerations suggest that gaseous dynamical friction will follow the high-velocity approximation in a collisionless medium when $V > c_s$ and then drop sharply when $V < c_s$. This conclusion was already reached, on the basis of steady-state perturbation theory (Rudem an & Spiegel 1971; Raphaeli & Salpeter 1980) and was conmed by two more sophisticated, but rather dierent, techniques invoking time dependence (O striker 1999) and uctuation theory (Just et al. 1990). Simulations by Sanchez-Salcedo & Brandenburg (1999) have shown broad agreement for particles moving on rectilinear trajectories and, more im portantly, qualitatively con med the above conclusions in spherical systems (Sanchez-Salcedo & Brandenburg 2001). For a perturber of mass M p, moving with $V > c_s$ we will thus assume that energy is lost at a rate given by

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{4 (GM_p)^2}{V} \ln \frac{b_{max}}{b_{min}}; \qquad (1)$$

and that the energy loss vanishes for V $\,<\,c_{\!\rm s}$.

The relevant density $_{g}$ is the typical gas density to be found within the cluster cooling radius r_{cool}, inside which the cooling time is less than a Hubble time that is, the region where heating must be invoked. If the galaxy is inside this radius, the range of in pact param eters that contribute to heating should, to a rst approximation, correspond to a m inim al scale determ ined by the galaxy size and a m axim alone determ ined by the cooling radius. For these galaxies therefore we take the C oulom b logarithm to be $\ln (r_{cool}=r_0)$, where the galaxy radius" r_0 is set to 10 kpc about twice the e ective radius and disk scale length for ellipticals and spirals, respectively. Galaxies outside r_{cool} should also contribute, but in this case the contribution from material inside $r_{\mbox{\scriptsize cool}}$ to the energy lost by a galaxy at radius r com es from in pact parameters between max $(r_0; r r_{cool})$ and $r + r_{cool}$. This contribution is also restricted from within an angle $2 \tan^{1} [r_{cool} = (r r_{cool})]$. Replacing the minim alim pact param eterwith r $\ r_{\!\text{cool}}$ leads to an error of < 1% in the results. For galaxies outside $r_{\mbox{\scriptsize cool}}$ therefore

$$\ln \frac{b_{n \text{ ax}}}{b_{n \text{ in}}} ! \frac{1}{r} \tan^{-1} \frac{r_{\text{cool}}}{r r_{\text{fool}}} \ln \frac{r + r_{\text{cool}}}{r r_{\text{fool}}}:$$
(2)

For galaxies on highly eccentric trajectories, the bulk of the energy exchange with gas inside the cooling radius takes



F igure 1. Number of galaxies inside projected radius R, which can be approximated by a linear t (top) over a large range in radii and with limited relative error (bottom). The arrow indicates the cooling radius.

place at closest approach to $r_{\rm cool}$. However, corrections due to this e ect are not large, even if most galaxies are indeed on nearly radial orbits. For any individual realization of such a highly anisotropic quasi(steady-state system, the dom inant contribution to the energy input will come from those galaxies that are at sm all radii (since both term s on the right hand side of (2) rapidly decrease when r is appreciably larger than $r_{\rm cool}$) and are thus on their closest approach.

3 THE PERSEUS CLUSTER

B ecause of the rather large energy input rate (10^{45} erg=s) that is required to prevent a cooling ow, the Perseus cluster is one of the m ore dem anding cases for any cluster-heating m odel. In this Section we exam ine whether, even in this case, energy dissipated from cluster galaxies can be su cient to provide the required power.

Brunzendorf & Meusinger (1999) have collected a catalog of projected positions and apparent lum inosities of 660 galaxies in the Perseus cluster. In Fig. 1 we plot the cum ulative num ber of galaxies as a function of projected radius R. A sFig.1 shows, this can be tted over a large range in radius R, which im plies a projected denby a distribution N (R) sity distribution n (R) 1=R. This in turn implies a three dimensional density varying as 1=r. In this case, along the line of sight, and at any given R, the num ber density of $(R^2 + Z^2)^{-1}$ (with $r^2 = R^2 + Z^2$). galaxies decreases as This simple dependence of the number-density distribution on Z is consistent with the latter being distributed in such a way that $\frac{1}{2}$ j = R tan 1 X, with X being a random variable uniform ly distributed between 0 and =2. This is how the third spatial coordinate is chosen in the random realizations of the Perseus cluster that we describe below . Since the density does drop m ore sharply than $1=r^2$ at large radii, this prescription will slightly overestim ate the Z values of coordinates. This is however a minor e ect, since that region does not contain m any galaxies and since m ost of the DF com es from inner cluster galaxies (and if anything leads to a decrease in the total DF power pumped into $r_{\rm cool}$).

Galactic extinction is particularly severe at the position of the Perseus cluster in the sky. Trials with the NED calculator show that corrections in the central region vary between 0:65 and 0:9, with a value of about 0:7 magnitude at the CD galaxy NGC 1275 (which is not included in the DF calculations). To all galaxies in the catalog we will apply an extinction correction of 0:75 m agnitude. To this one needs to add a K-correction that ranges from -0.092 for ellipticals to -0.02 for late type spirals. The apparent m agnitude of disks are how ever further a ected by inclination e ects. These vary as A_i log (a=b), with b=a cosi (assuming the axis ratio of the galaxy viewed edge on to be vanishingly sm all). This gives an average correction $A_i =$ 0:19. W e also apply a cosm ological dim m ing correction of $10 \log (1 + z) =$ 0:085 to all galaxies. Absolute m agnitudes are then obtained by assuming a distance to the Perseus cluster of 78 Mpc, compatible with a Hubble parameter $H_0 = 100 h M pc w ith h = 0.7$.

Unless the structural parameters de ning the density distribution of elliptical galaxies vary signi cantly with m ass, the Faber-Jackson relation and the virial theorem in ply a lum inosity-dependent central m ass-to-light ratio: M = L + w with 0:3 0:4. Gerhard et al. (2001) have dynam ically exam ined the central m ass-to-light ratio of a sam ple of elliptical galaxies and determ ined that their results are in agreement with the assumption of structural hom ology, and that the variation of the m ass-to-light ratio within an elective radius is largely due to change in stellar population. A lthough Trujillo et al. (2004) have argued that non-hom ology elects may be in portant in determ ining

(which they deduce to be 0:1), a stellar mass-to-light variation with 0:4 also appears to exist for disk galaxies (Salucci, A shm an & Persic 1991). All these relations exhibit signi cant scatter. We adopt a zero-point mass-to-light ratio (which we will refer to as \stellar", even though the aforementioned papers do not exclude a modest dark-matter contribution in the inner regions) consistent with these results and containing a stochastic component to represent the scatter. Thus for a galaxy of lum inosity L we adopt

$$\frac{M}{L}_{s} = X \quad 10 \frac{L}{5 \quad 10^{0} L}^{0.3};$$
(3)

where X is a random variable chosen from a norm aldistribution with average of unity and dispersion 0:7. (The normalization is chosen by inspection of Fig. 13 of Gerhard et al. 2001.)

The mass-to-light ratio is expected to increase with radius, as the dark-matter contribution becomes progressively more important (e.g., G enhand et al. 2001; Takamiya & Sofile 1999). For galaxies con ned in clusters, the contribution of dark matter at larger radii will depend on how much of the halo that should be surrounding the galaxy has been removed. This will in turn depend on the maximum excursion of that galaxy into the cluster center, and the density distributions of both the galaxy and the cluster. For example, a singular isotherm al sphere with character-



F igure 2. M ass-to-light ratios for galaxies inside radius r deduced from equation 4 over 10,000 random realizations of the Perseus cluster data.

istic velocity dispersion moving through another isothermal system with dispersion >, will be cut to a radius $r_t = (=)r_{m in}$ if $r_{m in}$ is the minimum distance that the centers of the two spheres achieve. And so, in this approxim ation of the situation, an average galaxy halo (velocity dispersion 200 km s¹) moving through the Perseus cluster 2000 km s 1), will have r_{t} (velocity dispersion <u>r</u>m_in=10 and the mass enclosed within this radius will be (2 $^{2}=$ G)r_{t}. Since halos found in cosm ological simulations have density pro les that are close to singular isotherm al spheres over a large range in radii, this representation should be su cient in approximating the initial conditions before any modication of these pro les takes place provided that the density of the galactic halos is scaled in such a way that the mass in the very inner region is not dom inated by the dark matter, so as to be in line with the aforem entioned studies concerning the central mass-to-light ratios of galaxies.

W e will assume that the radial variation of the massto-light ratio of cluster galaxies is given by

$$\frac{M}{L} = 1 + Y \frac{r}{r_s} - \frac{M}{L}_s; \qquad (4)$$

where $(M = L)_s$ is defined by equation (3) and $r_s = GM_{lum} = {}^2$ f (r=10) (with f = r_m in =r and M_{lum} the lum innous mass) defining a spatial scale over which enough dark matter remains tied to the galaxy for its contribution to the total mass to be comparable to the lum incus one. Statistically, f will be determined by the velocity distribution of galaxies, with more anisotropic dispersions in plying deeper entries into the cluster core and so more stripping. Uncertainty in this parameter are represented by an additional appeal to another random variable Y again chosen from a norm al distribution with mean unity and dispersion 0.7. In F ig. 2 we show the average mass-to-light ratio within a given radius for the Perseus cluster averaged over 10;000 realizations of the random variables X and Y.

Another dynamical variable determining the gasgalaxies DF coupling is the velocity distribution of the latter.



F igure 3. Power expected (over ten thousand random realizations of the cluster) to be transmitted to gas inside $r_{\rm cool}$ via dynam ical friction on cluster galaxies within radius r, relative to the total power radiated from within $r_{\rm cool}$.

Brunzendorf & Meusinger have only determ ined the line-ofsight speeds of 169 of the galaxies in their sam ples. The velocity dispersions of galaxies, when binned radially in groups of 20 to 30, are 1000 1400 km s¹ with no obvious system atic variation incom patible with sm all-sam ple statistics. Fig. 3 shows the energy expected to be deposited via dynam ical friction into r_{cool}, from galaxies enclosed within a radius r, relative to the energy radiated from the cooling region, for di erent values of the radial scale rs. For each velocity component a normal distribution with zero mean and dispersion , such that $\sqrt[r]{3} = 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, is assumed. Results are shown for the 10,000 realizations of the cluster in Fig. 3, assuming $r_{cool} = 130$ kpc, and the total energy em itted from $r < r_{cool}$ to be 5 10^{44} erg=s, consistent with the ndings of K aastra et al. (2004), when converted to correspond to h = 0.7. The sound speed $c_s =$ RT = canalso be read o Table 5 of K aastra et al. (2004). Excluding the very inner data point, which is probably in uenced by central activity (Churazov et al. 2003), the central sound speed starts at about 640 km s ¹, eventually rising with radius to reach an asymptotic lim it almost double that value, but remaining largely constant within most of the cooling region (Churazov et al. Fig. 8). These studies also show the electron density to be slow by varying within r_{cool} , with val $pes in the range 0:1 0:005 \text{ cm}^3$ (there is also a factor of 0:7=0:5 that should be included because we use h = 0:7, as opposed to the value h = 0.5 used by these authors). In calculations shown in Fig. 3, a value of 0:02 cm 3 (setting = 1:18 to convert electron densities into gas density) and $c_s = 700 \text{ km s}^1 \text{ are used}$.

Because of the sharp cuto in the DF-m ediated coupling when $V < c_s$, the am ount of energy deposited into the gas must sensitively depend on the relative m agnitude of the galaxy velocities and the sound speed when V = g. The former is a function of the anisotropy of the distribution. For example, if galaxies move on nearly radial trajectories, their



F igure 4. Same ordinate as in F ig.3 but plotted against the gas sound speed for di erent (constant as well as radially varying) values of the ratio of the velocity dispersions = r (and assuming =).

velocity dispersion would be closer to the line-of-sight value of 1200 km s 1 , rather than 2000 km s 1 as assumed above. The simulations of G higna et al. (2000) suggest that galaxies (as represented by cluster subhalos) may have biased velocity dispersion in the central region, perhaps re ecting enhanced radial m otion; w hereas at larger radii they have velocity distributions that rather closely follow that of the dark matter. Fig. 4 shows the power pumped into the gas relative to that radiated within the cooling radius of the Perseus cluster, as predicted by our model, as a function of the sound speed for a range of xed and (radially) varying =) for $r_s = 400$ kpc. It is = r values (assuming rather remarkable that the competing e ects of increased coupling at low velocities (because of the 1=V dependence), and the rapidly decreasing num ber of galaxies contributing to the DF with increasing c_s , conspire to always ensure that a maximum value for the energy input is approached when 600 km s 1 < $c_{\rm s}$ < 700 km s 1 , precisely the value attained in the (central) region where the tem perature drops in the cluster.

4 CONCLUDING REMARKS

That dynam ical-friction coupling between cluster galaxies and gas can signi cantly heat the latter component is not a new concept. Indeed M iller (1986) has pointed out that a few lum inous galaxies in the Perseus cluster's central region m ay alone deposit su cient energy to keep gas in that region from cooling, provided that they had a mass-to-light ratio of about 20. We revisited this cluster using recently com – piled galaxy and gas data and determ inations of the massto-light ratios in galaxies. O ur M onte C arlo m odel shows that the rate at which energy is deposited by galaxies into the gas within the cooling radius can completely compensate for radiative loss from within that radius, if galaxies at radii \leq 400 kpc from the center of the cluster have dark m ass that is comparable to their stellar m ass (with galaxies at sm aller radii having progressively sm aller dark-m atter content, vanishing for those near the center of the cluster). The associated average m ass-to-light ratio within this radius is about 10.

A robust and potentially important prediction of the model is that the drop in gas temperature invariably observed in the cooling regions of clusters is necessary for the DF coupling to be su ciently strong. There is a natural interpretation for this phenom enon: the gas cools until dynam ical-friction heating becomes su ciently e cient to prevent a further drop in temperature. The gas random motion is then coupled to that of the galaxies, and so remains in approximate equilibrium with it. All this simply follows from the fact that dynam ical friction drops sharply for subsonic motion. In the case of the Perseus cluster, the transition from weak to strong coupling occurs precisely in the range of sound speeds found in the cooling core of the cluster.

The action of the mechanism discussed in this paper also has consequences for the dark-matter distribution. As shown by El-Zant et al. (2003), dynam ical friction from the galaxies will heat a density cusp, creating a core with radius corresponding to roughly a fth of the original Navarro, Frenk & W hite (1997) scale length (cf., Fig. 1 of El-Zant et al.), where dark matter has been spread out to larger radii (Fig. 3 of E l-Zant et al.). A pproxim ating the initial density distribution in this region such that i = 0 (r₀=r) and the nalone with f = 0, the energy required for this transfor-nation is = (8 G) $\int_{0}^{1} (jd) = dr_{R}^{2} (jd) = dr_{H}^{2} (jd) + r^{2} dr = 0$ m ation is $22 \stackrel{2}{}_{0} \stackrel{2}{}_{0} \stackrel{2}{}_{0} \stackrel{5}{}_{0} = 45$ [with d = dr = (4 G = r²) r² dr]. Taking r₀ = r_{cool} and $_0$ to correspond to four times the value dened by the electron density $_{e}(r_{0}) = 0.0053$ (where we have used the gas to gravitational mass ratio in Table 5 of Peres et al. 1998 and equation (4) of Churazov et al. 2003 = 6 10⁶⁰ erg, for the electron density), one nds which is comparable to the binding energy of the CD galaxy (G $M^2_{C\,D}$ =R $_{C\,D}$). It is however signi cantly sm aller СD than the energy radiated from gas inside the cooling radius for the age of the cluster (e.g., for ve Gyr this am ounts 10^{61} erg). Nevertheless, this energy is easily availto 8 able from fast moving galaxies from beyond the very inner region for example its value coincides with the kinetic energy in a mass similar to that of the CD galaxy and moving at 2000 km s 1 , and that material can be supplied solely by the stellar mass of galaxies within $2r_{cool}$ the region from which, according to our model, the bulk of energy input to the gas com es from .

It is worth noting here that, under the circum stances just described, the mass of the gas within r_{cool} [which using equation (4) of Churazov et al. 2003, adjusted for h = 0.7 with $r_{cool} = 130$ kpc amounts to 2.2 10^{2} M] is comparable to that of the galaxies in that region. Due to the tem perature drop in the gas, therm almotions can be signi cantly sm aller than that of the galaxies. The energy of the gas can therefore be sm aller than that of the galaxies by up to an order of magnitude. There is therefore su cient energy in galaxies to heat the gas many times over, with the crucial parameter, which was the focus of this paper, being the rate

at which this is transferred.Furtherm ore, once the dark m atter in the center has been heated, it absorbs little additional energy from the galaxies, since dynam ical friction does not act on fast particles, and the coupling with the dark m atter at larger radii decreases rapidly with radius (see E l-Z ant et al. 2003 for further discussion). The energy lost to the dark m atter is therefore less than that going into keeping the gas at constant temperature. The m ass of the CD galaxy, as well as the spatial and velocity distribution of galaxies in the central region of the cluster will re ect the history of energy loss to both components.

Several questions rem ain open. P rom inent am ong these is the issue of therm al stability. From the condition that therm al stability requires a remarkably narrow range of heating rates, B regm an & D avid (1989) have argued against M iller's proposition that the Perseus cluster gas is heated by DF from galaxies. However the functional form of the heating rate used by these authors does not agree with later calculations that have been borne out by num erical studies e.g., their postulated form has a negative energy transfer rate (1=V) for highly subsonic velocities, resulting in gas cooling, which is incompatible with the positive (even if sm all) heating found by Just et al. (1990) and O striker (1999) in that lim it. It will be therefore necessary to repeat these calculations using adequate form s for the heat-loss function. These calculations would also address another crucial question, that concerning the precise manner in which the energy lost by the galaxies is distributed in the gas. We have adopted the simple approach where this energy is deposited isotropically and equally in logarithm ic intervals. This should approximate how the energy is initially deposited, at least for highly supersonic galaxies (and since, in the cooling region, the sound speed m ay be several tim es sm aller than the velocity dispersion, this m ay not be a very bad assumption). Even then, how ever, the deposited energy may still be transported toward the center of the cluster by wave motions, as suggested, for example, by Balbus & Soker (1990), and redistributed. W e note here that the claim of these authors that DF from galaxies is insu cient to heat the cluster core is based upon outdated values for the electron density and an outdated (1=r) gas distribution.W ith updated values for the electron density and gas distribution (e.g., the empirical form ula of Churazov et al. 2003), their conclusions are changed, as we have show n.W e thus conclude that it is far from obvious that DF-heating of cluster gas is irrelevant.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank M.C.Begelman, E. Bertschinger, P.Goldreich, R.Narayan, E.Ostriker, N. Scoville and R.Sunyaev for helpful discussions and communications. This work was supported at Caltech by NASA NAG 5-9821, DoE DE-FG03-92-ER40701 and NSF grant AST 00-98301, and at the CfA by NAG 5-10780 and NSF grant AST 0307433.

REFERENCES

- Balbus S.A., Soker N., 1990, ApJ, 357, 353
- Bregm an J.N., David L.P., 1988, ApJ, 326, 639
- Bregm an J.E., David L.P., 1989, ApJ, 341, 49
- Bruggen M., Kaiser C. R., 2002, Nature, 418, 301
- Brunzendorf J., Meusinger H., 1999, A&AS, 139, 141
- Cho J., Lazarian A., Honein A., Knaepen B., Kassinos S., Moin S., 2003, ApJ, 589, L77
- Churazov E., Sunyaev R., Form an W., Bohringer H., 2002, M NRAS, 332, 729
- Churazov E., Form an W., Jones C., Bohringer H., 2003, ApJ, 590, 225
- Ciotti L., O striker J. P., 2001, ApJ, 551, 131
- Dokuchaev V.P., 1964, Sov.Astron., 8, 23
- E HZantA.A., Ho man Y., Primack J.P., Combes F., Shlosman I., astro-ph/0309412
- Fabian A.C., 1994, ARAA, 32, 277
- Fabian A.C., Voigt L.M., Morris R.G., 2002, MNRAS, 335, L71
- Gerhard O., K ronaw itter A., 2001, AJ, 121, 1936
- Ghigna S., Moore B., Governato F., Lake G., Quinn T., Stadel J., 2000, ApJ, 544, 616
- Just A , D eiss B . M , K egelW . H , B ohringer H , M orgilG . E , 1990, A pJ, 400, 353
- Kaastra J.S.et al., 2004, A & A, 413, 415
- Kaiser C. R., Binney, J., 2003, MNRAS, 338, 837
- Kim W .-T., Narayan R., 2003, ApJ, 596, 889
- Kim W .-T., Narayan R., 2003, ApJ, 596, L139
- M iller L., 1986, M N R A S, 220, 713
- Narayan R., Medvedev M.V., 2001, ApJ, 562, L129
- Navarro J.F., Frenk C.S., W hite S.D.M., 1997, ApJ, 490, 493
- O striker E ., 1999, ApJ, 513, 252
- Peres C.B., Fabian A.C., Edge A.C., Allen S.W., Johnstone R.M., White D.A., 1998, MNRAS, 298, 416
- Peterson J.R. et al., 2001, A & A, 365, L104
- Peterson J.R. et al., 2003, A&A, 590, 207
- Raphaeli Y ., Salpeter E . E ., 1980, ApJ, 240, 20
- Ruderm an M . A ., Spiegel E . A ., 1971, ApJ, 151, 679
- SalucciP, Ashm an K.M., Persic M., 1991, ApJ, 379, 89
- Sanchez-Salcedo F.J., Brandenburg A., 1999, ApJ, 522, L35
- Sanchez-Saloedo F.J., Brandenburg A., 2001, MNRAS, 322, 67
- Takam iya T ., Sofile Y ., 2000, ApJ, 534, 670
- Trujilo I., Burkert A., Bell E. F., 2004, ApJ, 600, L39
- Tucker W . H ., Rosner, R ., 1983, ApJ, 267, 547
- Voigt L.M., Schm idt R.W., Fabian A.C., Allen S.W., Johnstone R.M.n 2002, MNRAS, 335, L7
- Voigt L., Fabian A., 2004, MNRAS, 347, 1130
- Zakam ska N.L., Narayan R., 2003, ApJ, 582, 162