X-ray em ission lines from inhom ogeneous stellar winds

LM.Oskinova, A.Feldmeier and W.-R.Hamann

A strophysik, Universitat Potsdam, Am Neuen Palais 10, D-14469 Potsdam, Germany

R eceived date; A coepted date

Abstract. It is commonly adopted that X-rays from 0 stars are produced deep inside the stellar wind, and transported outwards through the bulk of the expanding matter which attenuates the radiation and a ects the shape of em ission line pro les. The ability of the X-ray observatories Chandra and XMM -New ton to resolve these lines spectroscopically provided a stringent test for the theory of the X-ray production. It turned out that none of the existing models was able to the observations consistently. The possible caveat of these models was the underlying assumption of a sm ooth stellar wind. M otivated by the various evidences that the stellar winds are in fact structured, we present a 2-D num erical m odel of a stochastic, inhom ogeneous wind. Sm all parcels of hot, X -ray em itting gas are permeated with cool, absorbing wind material which is compressed into thin shell fragm ents. W ind fragm entation alters the radiative transfer drastically, com pared to hom ogeneous m odels of the sam e m ass-loss rate. X -rays produced deep inside the wind, which would be totally absorbed in a hom ogeneous ow, can e ectively escape from a fragmented wind. The wind absorption becomes wavelength independent if the individual fragments are optically thick. The X-ray line proles are at-topped in the blue part and decline steeply in the red part for the winds with short acceleration zone. For the winds where the acceleration extends over signi cant distances, the lines can appear nearly symmetric and only slightly blueshifted, in contrast to the skewed, triangular line pro les typically obtained from hom ogeneous wind models of high optical depth. We show that proles from a fragmented wind model can reproduce the observed line proles from 0 rionis. The present num ericalm odeling con m s the results from a previous study, where we derived analytical form ulae from a statistical treatm ent.

Key words. stars: winds, out ows { X -rays: stars { radiative transfer

1. Introduction

H ot m assive stars possess strong stellar w inds, as discovered by the advent of UV spectroscopy (M orton 1967). One decade later it was detected that these stars em it X-rays (H amden et al. 1979, Sew ard et al. 1979). The origin of these X-rays is debated controversially. One of the

rst suggestions was the existence of a hot corona close to the stellar photosphere. However, the surrounding stellar wind should imprint strong K-shell absorption edges on the X-ray spectrum, which were not observed (Cassinelli & Swank 1983). The base-coronalm odelwas nally ruled out because of the lack of characteristic coronal emission lines (Baade & Lucy 1982). Therefore it became commonly adopted that X-rays from hot stars are produced within their stellar winds, although most of the wind gas is obviously \ccol".

The driving mechanism for the mass-loss from OB stars has been identied with radiation pressure on spectral lines. A corresponding theory was developed by Castor et al. (1975, \CAK"). A fler a number of im provements, this theory seems to predict the observed mass-loss rates and w ind velocities correctly (Pauldrach et al. 1986). How ever, it was pointed out early (Lucy & Solom on 1970), and later further investigated (Carlberg 1980; Owocki & Rybicki 1984), that the stationary solution for a linedriven w ind is unstable; sm all perturbation should grow quickly and form shocks. This \de-shadow ing instability" is im plicitly suppressed in the CAK theory.

The most detailed hydrodynam ic modeling of this line driven instability was presented by Feldmeier et al. (1997). These calculations show how initially small perturbations grow and form strong shocks that emit X -rays. This \wind shock model" was principally able to reproduce the X - ray ux from the O supergiant O riA, which had been observed at that time only at low spectral resolution.

The ultim ate test for models of X -ray production in stellar winds became possible with the launch of the X -ray observatories C handra and X M M -N ew ton. Their spectrographs allow for the rst time to resolve X -ray emission line pro les. Surprisingly, the shape of the X -ray line proles turned out to be strikingly di erent among the few individual O stars observed so far:

Send o print requests to: lida@astro.physik.uni-potsdam.de

- ¹ Oric (Schulz et al. 2000) and & Cassinelli 2001) show pro les which appear windbroadened, symmetric and unshifted with respect to the center wavelength;
- rower, symmetric, and also centered at the laboratory wavelength;
- Pup (Cassinelli et al. 2001; Kahn et al. 2001) shows strongly broadened pro les which are blueshifted against the rest wavelength but otherwise appear to be sym m etric.

If X-rays are produced deep inside the stellar wind, they have to propagate through the absorbing cool wind before they can emerge towards the observer. The opacity at X-rays energies is much larger than in the visual and UV, due to the K-shell absorption of abundant m etal ions and the Heiiedge. Red-shifted photons of an emission line originate in the back hem isphere of the wind, and su ermuch stronger absorption than photons in the blueshifted part of the line pro le. Hence, as soon as the absorbing wind has a signi cant optical depth, the line pro les should be blueshifted and skewed, which means their shape resembles that of a triangle, with the ux maximum atmaximum blueshift (MacFarlane et al. 1991). None of the observed stars meets this prediction.

Krameretal. (2003) were able to teight X-ray lines observed in Puppis with a hom ogeneous wind model. However, these ts could only be achieved by assuming much less absorption in the wind than expected from the generally adopted m ass-loss rate of this star. M oreover, K ram er et al. (2003) state that there is not a strong trend of absorption with wavelength, in contrast to what is expected from the energy dependence of the mass absorption coe cient. Thus even for the prototype single 0 star

Puppis the wind shock model fails to reproduce observed X-ray emission lines consistently. At this point the question arose whether this model is adequate.

W e emphasize that the whole interpretation of X -ray spectra had been based so far on over-simpli ed models, assuming a hom ogeneous distribution of the absorbing material. By contrast, there is strong em pirical evidence that stellar winds are in fact strongly clum ped (e.g. H am ann & Koesterke 1998, Eversberg et al. 1998, Puls et al. 2003). This supports hydrodynam ic models (Owockiet al. 1988, Feldm eier 1995) which show that most of the material in a radiatively driven wind is compressed into a series of dense shells by the instability. As the hydrodynam ic models are one-dim ensional, they cannot tell anything about the lateral structure of these wind inhom ogeneities. The de-shadowing instability acts only in the radial direction; on the other hand, there is no obvious mechanism which could synchronize the wind in di erent directions. The observed variability in wind lines is not very pronounced, suggesting that the dense shells break up into a large num ber of fragm ents.

W ind inhom openeity alters the radiative transfer signi cantly. We have studied the e ects of wind fragmenta- sentially void, but at the outer side of the dense shells exist

OriA (Waldron tion on the X-ray line form ation in Feldmeier et al. (2003, PaperI), using a statistical approach that holds in the limit of in nitely many fragments. We showed analytically that the continuum wind opacity is greatly reduced OriA (Miller et al. 2002) shows pro les which are nar- in a structured wind, compared to a smooth wind with the same mass-loss rate. The line pro les we obtained are broad, blueshifted and at-topped, i.e. sym m etric, and thus of promising similarity to those observed in Pup.

> In the present paper we construct a stochastic wind model using a num erical approach. This allows to drop a number of idealizations in favor of a more realistic description.

- Statistical approach. W hile Paper I relied on the statisticallim it of very many fragments, we allow now for an arbitrary scale of fragm entation.
- W ind geometry.We study models with di erent spatial distributions of fragm ents, both in the radial and lateraldirection.
- W ind velocity law.W e abandon the restriction to a constant wind velocity and allow for so-called -velocity law s.

Last not least, another purpose of the present paper is to better understand som e surprising results obtained in PaperI. Despite of our focus on X-ray emission line form ation, we want to emphasize their general in portance for radiative transfer in inhom ogeneous media.

In the next section we will introduce the stochastic wind model. Section 3 provides the formalism for solving the radiative transfer in a fragmented wind, as im plemented in our numerical modeling. The basic e ects of structured absorption on line pro les are illustrated in Sect.4 using simpli ed examples. In Sect.5 we introduce the concept of an e ective opacity, and com pare the line pro les obtained num erically from the stochastic wind m odelw ith the results from an analytical, statistical treatment. In Sect.6 we evaluate line pro les using realistic assum ptions and explore the param eter space. Conclusions are drawn in the nalSect.7.A forthcom ing paper will be devoted to detailed ts of observations.

2.Themodel

2.1. Basic concepts

Our model refers to the structure and physical conditions in the wind shock m odel according to the hydrodynam ic simulations by Feldmeier et al. (1997). These dense

ows driven by radiation pressure on spectral lines are highly supersonic. The de-shadowing instability leads to strong gas com pression.Feldm eier et al. (1997) considered photospheric turbulence as seed perturbation of unstable grow th. The com pressed regions appear as thin shells, moving outward with nearly the stationary wind speed. Due to the lack of synchronization between di erent radialdirections, the shells probably break up into fragments of sm all lateral extent. The space between fragm ents is esextended gas reservoirs. From their outer edge, sm all gas cloudlets are ablated and accelerated to high speed by radiation pressure. P ropagating through an alm ost perfect vacuum, they catch up with the next outer shell and ram into it. In this collision, the gas parcels are heated and em it therm al X -radiation. This m echanism works within a lim ited radial range of the wind acceleration zone.

The two structural wind components of highly compressed, cool fragments and hot X -ray em itting gas parcels are disjunctive. X -ray em ission ceases when the wind reaches its term inal speed. On the other hand, despite the expansion due to the internal pressure, the cool fragments are maintained to distances of a few tens of the stellar radius, before they gradually dissolve into a hom ogeneous out ow.

2.2. Assumptions

O ur stochastic m odel for X -ray line form ation is designed to describe in a generic way the structures and physical conditions of the stellar wind, as sum m arized in the preceding subsection:

- The ow is spherically symmetric and stationary in a statistical sense. The matter propagates according to a monotonic velocity law.
- 2. The initially hom ogeneous wind becomes fragmented at some speci ed distance from the stellar photosphere. The fragmentation is maintained out to a distance r_{sh}^{max} at which the fragments dissolve into a hom ogeneous out ow. In the radial interval $(r_{sh}^{min}; r_{sh}^{max})$ all wind material is condensed into a nite number of discrete fragments of spherical shells which propagate in radial direction.
- 3. All shell fragments cover the same solid angle as seen from the center of the star, and preserve this angle during their propagation. In contrast to PaperI this solid angle is not assumed to be in nitesimally small.
- 4. We consider di erent statistical distributions of the cool fragments: \concentric spheres", \broken spheres", \random fragments", and \cones". These models are described in Sect.2.3. The statistical approach of PaperI corresponds to the \random fragments" distribution.
- 5. The X -ray em ission originates from parcels of hot gas which are random ly distributed. Their location is conned to the radial range r^{m in}_{em}; r^{m ax}_{em}. There is no self-absorption by em itting material and no re-em ission of X -rays which became absorbed by cool fragments. Hence, em ission is decoupled from absorption, which allows for a form al solution of the radiative transfer equation.

Besides these physical assumptions, we restrict the model to two-dimensional symmetry. Throughout the paper the index j is used when addressing cool absorbing fragments, while the index i refers to parcels of X-ray emitting hot gas.

2.3.D istribution of absorbers

W e introduce cartesian coordinates as follows. The z axis points towards the observer, while the impact parameter p stands perpendicular to the latter. A lternatively, a point (p;z) is specified by spherical coordinates, i.e. the radius $r = \frac{p^2 + z^2}{p^2 + z^2}$ and the angle $\# = \cos^1$ between the line of sight and the radial vector. Hence = z=r.

For the velocity we adopt the standard " -law "

$$v(r) = v_1 \quad 1 \quad \frac{r_0}{r} ;$$
 (1)

where v_1 is the term inal velocity, and r_0 is chosen such that $v(r = R) = 0.01 v_1 \cdot R$ is the stellar (photospheric) radius. For a given m ass loss rate M-, the continuity equation de nest the density stratication via $(r) = M - = (4 r^2 v(r)) \cdot W$ e consider continuum absorption of X-rays by the cool wind component, caused predom inantly by bound-free and K-shell ionisation processes. The opacity scales linearly with the absorber density. A ssum ing for simplicity that the relative population num bers of the absorbers do not vary with radius, the opacity scales with the mass density (r),

$$(r) = (r)$$
 (2)

where is the mass absorption coe cient which can be calculated from the chemical composition, population numbers and the continuum cross sections at the frequency of the considered X-ray line. To avoid explicit reference to these quantities, we specify the wind by its total radial optical depth,

$$= (r) dr : (3)$$

For a hom ogeneous wind, the optical depth $_{\rm h}$ (p;z) between a point (p,z) and the observer is

$$_{h}(p;z) = \frac{M}{4} \int_{z}^{Z_{1}} \frac{dz^{0}}{r^{0}v(r^{0})} :$$
 (4)

with $r^0 = \frac{p}{p^2 + z^{02}}$.

Next we proceed to the fragmented wind. We introduce di erent versions of our model, according to di erent spatialarrangements of the compressed fragments (see Fig.1).

M odel "concentric spheres". First, we consider a m odelwhere them atter is radially compressed into N_r discrete shells forming full concentric spheres. In order that the tim e-averaged m ass- uxM- of shells resembles that of a stationary, hom ogeneous wind, the probability to nd a shell in the radius intervalr;r+ dr m ust scale with 1=v(r). A corresponding set of N_r+1 random radii is generated by m eans of the von N eum ann rejection m ethod (e.g. P ress et al. 1992). All the m atter located between two subsequent radii is compressed into a thin shell, m oving with the stationary wind speed. The m ass in each shell is conserved with tim e.

The total mass of a hom ogeneous wind enclosed between two subsequent random radii r_a and r_b is

$$M_{j} = \int_{r_{a}}^{Z_{r_{b}}} 4 r^{2} (r) dr = M - \int_{r_{a}}^{Z_{r_{b}}} \frac{dr}{v(r)} :$$
 (5)

In the fragmented wind model, this material is swept up into a thin shell of in nitesimal thickness r located at r_j . The volume of this shell is $V_j = 4$ r_j^2 r, its matter density is M $_j=V_j$, therefore the radial optical depth across the shell becomes

$$r_{j}^{rad} = \frac{M_{j}}{4 r_{j}^{2}} = \frac{M_{-}}{4 r_{j}^{2}} r_{a}^{z} \frac{dr}{v(r)}$$
 (6)

Note that the shell thickness r serves only as an auxiliary variable, and cancels in the expression for $_j$. Finally, the location of the shell, $r_j 2$ $[r_a; r_b]$, is determined by the condition that this radial optical depth should be the same as for the hom ogeneous wind when considering the radial range from where the material has been swept up:

$${}_{h}^{rad} = {Z_{r_{b}} \atop r_{a}} (r)dr = {M - \over 4} {Z_{r_{b}} \over r_{a}} {dr \over r^{2} v(r)} :$$
(7)

Requiring $_{j}^{rad} = _{h}^{rad}$ from Eqs.(6) and (7), the shell location is given by

$$r_{j}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{r_{a}} r_{b}}{r_{a}} \frac{dr}{v(r)} = \frac{\sum_{r_{a}} r_{b}}{r_{a}} \frac{dr}{r^{2}v(r)}$$
(8)

In case of constant velocity, the shell location is just the harm onic m ean between the boundaries, $r_j = \frac{p}{r_a r_b}$.



F ig.1. Sketch of the distribution of absorbing fragments for di erent models, for $hN_ri = 1$.

Thus, if shells are distributed according to Eq.(8), where r_a and r_b are two random numbers, not only the mass loss rate is the same as for the hom ogeneous wind but so is the radial optical depth.

M odel broken spheres". As a next step, the absorbing spheres from the previous section are cut into pieces of equal size. In the actual 2-D cross section we consider, we cut each ring into $N_{\#}$ arcs of angle $2 = N_{\#} \cdot N$ ext, the angular positions $\#_j$ of the arcs are random by distributed between 0 and 2 with uniform probability. Note that an independent set of angles $\#_j$ is generated for each previously diced r_j . The fragments partially overlap, leaving som e radial directions free.

M odel <code>\random fragm ents"</code>. In this model both coordinates r_j and $\#_j$ are chosen random by and independent from each other. The probability distribution is uniform in radius and in angle. In the statistical lim it N $_{\#}$! 1, and assuming constant wind speed, this model was studied analytically in PaperI.

M odel\cones".Onem ight doubt whether in a realstellar wind the radial and angular distributions of fragments can be statistically independent, as assumed in the random fragment model. One expects motions to be radial with negligible transversal component. The inner, not yet fragm ented zone of the wind provides a steady, hom ogeneous, and angle-independent mass ux. The random fragm ent m odel conserves this m ass only in the statistical sense, as at any moment the number of fragments in a given radial direction m ay di er from that in another direction. In a snapshot of the random fragm ents model, the radial optical depth is therefore di erent in di erent directions. In order to investigate whether this has any puzzling consequences, we put forward an alternative model where the radial optical depth is strictly the same in all directions, as in the concentric spheres model. To achieve this, we divide the angle interval [0;2] into $N_{\#}$ equal segments. Then sets of N_r + 1 random radii r_i are generated independently for each of these \cones" according to the description given for the \concentric spheres", and the fragm ents are placed accordingly. We emphasize that each fragment is con ned exactly to its cone. Therefore the radial optical depth and the mass in each radial direction is identical to that of a hom ogeneous wind at any instant of time.

2.4. Distribution of emission

The em itting gas is also distributed stochastically.We assume that a large but nite number, N_{em} , of small parcels of em itting gas are random ly distributed in the stellar wind in the radial interval $(r_{em}^{m \, in}, r_{em}^{m \, ax})$. It is assumed for simplicity that the em itting zones maintain their identity during propagation with the velocity law v(r); this implies that the probability to nd an em itting zone in the radial interval (r; r+ dr) scales with v¹.We assume the same velocity law for both em ission and absorption, and determ ine the location of em itting zones again by von Neum ann's rejection method.

A fler the radius r_i of an emitting parcel is selected, the random choice for $\#_i$ is to be made. For a uniform distribution over the sphere, the probability distribution of # must scale with $\sin \#$. This corresponds to a uniform probability distribution of z in the interval (r_i ; r_i). As our model is rotationally symmetric around the z axis, we put the azim uth to $r_i = 0$. Figure 2 shows one of these line-emitting zones, identied by its index i, and located at spherical coordinates (r_i ; $\#_i$), corresponding to C artesian shifted,

coordinates $(z_i; p_i)$. A ctually $\#_i$ does not appear explicitly in our form alism, but only r_i , z_i and $p_i = \frac{p_i}{r_i^2} - \frac{z_i^2}{z_i^2}$ do.

A particularX-ray em itting zone with index i has a line lum inosity L_i .W em ake the following sim plifying assum ptions.Allem itting parcels of gas contain the same am ount of matter at the same tem perature. The line em ission is powered by collisional excitation and therefore scales with the density-squared.During motion, each zone expands according to the continuity equation.Hence, the em ission L_i of zone i at radius r_i scales with density,

$$L_{i} = \frac{L_{0}}{r_{i}^{2} v(r_{i})}; \qquad (9)$$

with a constant L_0 determ ined by the line em issivity and the lling factor.

The total X -ray line lum inosity internally released by the wind is

$$L_{X;int} = \begin{array}{c} X_{iax} \\ L_{i}; \\ i = 1 \end{array}$$
(10)

Because of absorption by shell fragments, only a fraction of this radiation will emerge from the atm osphere.



F ig.2. Sketch of the coordinate system for the fragmented wind model. X-ray line photons are emitted by hot, small gas parcels. Shown is the emission site i located at random coordinates ($p_i; z_i$), and moving with velocity v(r) in the radial direction. The coolwind consists of compressed and aligned fragments that are also random ly distributed. The radiation from parcelitowards the observer at z ! 1 has to cross a shell fragment j at radius r_j and covering an angle interval $\#_j = \frac{1}{2} \#_i \#_j + \frac{1}{2} \#_i$. The optical depth across fragment j for the ray in z direction is j, reducing the line intensity I by a factor exp(j).

3. Radiative transfer

A fier the X -ray line em itting zones and the continuum absorbing shell fragm ents have been speci ed, the radiative transfer is calculated in order to obtain the emergent X ray line pro le. In our model emission is decoupled from absorption, which allows for the form al solution of the radiative transfer equation. Form ulating the radiative transfer in the usual notation (cf. M ihalas 1978), we denote the em issivity of the X-ray em itting zone with index i as

$$(_{cm f};p;z;') = (11)$$

 $\frac{L_{i}}{4}$ (p p) (z z) (p(' '_{i})) (_{cm f} _{0}):

In order to take advantage of the rotational symmetry of our model around the z axis, cylindrical coordinates (p;z;') are used here. The delta functions account for the negligible spatial extension of the zone and the negligible intrinsic line width. All delta functions are normalized with respect to the integral over their argument, (p p)dp = 1, etc. Note that the radiation is emitted at the line center frequency $_0$ as measured in the frame of reference co-moving with the ow. The corresponding frequency as seen by an observer at z ! 1 is Doppler-

$$_{i;obs} = _{0} 1 + \frac{z_{i} v(r_{i})}{r_{i} c} :$$
 (12)

If there were no absorption, the observer would receive an intensity

$$L_{i}(;p;') = \frac{L_{i}}{4}$$
 (p p) (p(' '_i)) ($_{i;obs}$) (13)

as obtained by integrating Eq. (11) over z.

It may happen that X -rays emitted from the considered parcel of hot gas have to cross one orem ore absorbing shell fragments while traveling in the direction towards the observer. Thus we have not to not which fragments cover the impact parameter p_i of the emission spot. In agine, the shell fragment with index j meets this condition (cf. Fig.2). The radial optical depth assigned to this fragment is j_i^{rad} . If the center of this fragment has spherical coordinates $(r_j; \#_j)$, the optical depth actually experienced by the X-ray is

$$j = \frac{j}{j} ;$$
 (14)

This accounts for the longer geometrical path of rays which intersect the shell fragment at an inclined angle. For simplicity we apply a constant optical depth $_{\rm j}$ over the whole shell fragment, neglecting its curvature.

Let us com pile a list J_i which contains the indices j of those shells which are hit by the ray from emission zone i. Then the total optical depth for the ray from this zone, i, is the sum over all absorption shells crossed, i.e.

$$= \int_{j^2 J_i}^{\Lambda} j :$$
 (15)

The crucial point to understand is that the optical depth $_{i}$ di ers essentially from the optical depth of an individual fragm ent $_{j}$. The optical depth across a fragm ent depends on the opacity (r) and the am ount of swept-up m aterial. In contrast, the optical depth along a speci c line of sight, $_{i}$, depends in the rst place on the geom etrical distribution of absorbing fragm ents, which de nes the list J_{i} overwhich the sum in Eq. (15) is taken. If, for example, the fragments are located in such a way that the ray from a particular emission zone i towards the observer does not cross any fragment, then $_i = 0$ for this zone, even if all fragments have $_j$ 1.V ice versa, if there are many fragments of small $_j$ 1 on the line of sight, the sum med-up optical depth for that ray, $_i$, can be signi cant.

For com pleteness, we have to specify the absorption of those parts of the w ind which are not considered as being fragm ented. First, the stellar core of radius R $\,$ is opaque, i.e. em ission zones with $z_i < 0$ and $p_i < R \,$ are obscured and produce no em ergent intensity.

Furtherm ore, we assume that the radial range between R and $r_{sh}^{m \ in}$ is led with hom ogeneous gas. Thus the ray from an emitter at $(p_i; z_i)$ towards the observer collects an additional optical depth

$$_{h}^{int} = \frac{M}{4} \frac{Z_{z_{sh}^{min}}}{z_{0}} \frac{dz}{r^{2}v(r)}$$
(16)

when traveling though this internal sphere (cf. Eq. (4)). Here $z_{sh}^{m in} = (r_{sh}^{m in})^2 p_1^2$ and $z_0 = m ax(z_i; z_0)$.

M or eover, for radii larger than $r_{sh}^{m\ ax}$ the fragm ents decayed and the wind is assumed to be hom ogeneous again. The emission from all zones which lie further inward suffers an additional absorption

$${}_{h}^{out} = \frac{M}{4} \frac{Z_{1}}{z_{sh}^{max}} \frac{dz}{r^{2}v(r)}$$
(17)

with $z_{sh}^{max} = \frac{p}{(r_{sh}^{max})^2} \frac{p_i^2}{p_i^2}$. Since we generally assume that r_{sh}^{max} is large enough for the velocity at these distances being constant, Eq.(17) becomes

$$_{h}^{out} = \frac{M}{4 p} \frac{1}{2} \tan^{1} \frac{z}{p}$$
 (18)

Therefore the optical depth along a ray between the emission zone i and the observer is, in general, composed of the sum over the crossed shell fragments, i, plus contributions from the hom ogeneous parts of the wind inside and outside of the fragmented zone, i.e. $_{h}^{int}$ and $_{h}^{out}$, respectively. A lthough this is fully im plemented in our code, in the discussion of this paper we will refer merely to i to keep the notations simple. With i from now to be understood as the total optical depth between the X-ray emitting zone i and the observer, the emergent intensity becomes

$$I'_{;i}(;p;') = I_{;i}(;p;') \exp(_{i}):$$
(19)

The em ergent astrophysical ux, at some reference radius R, is obtained by averaging the intensity over the disk of radius R,

$$F = \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{0}^{R} dp \int_{0}^{Z_2} p d' I_{;i}^{+}(;p;') :$$
 (20)

W e insert $I^{+}_{;i}$ from Eqs.(13) and (19), and perform the integral over the coordinates. D ue to the delta functions,

this results in sum m ing up the contributions from allem itting zones,

$$F = \frac{1}{4^{-2}R^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{1}{N}ax} L_{i} \exp(\alpha_{i}) \quad (\alpha_{ijobs}):$$
(21)

We can calculate now the total X-ray line lum inosity emerging from the star. For this purpose, one has to multiply the physical ux F with the surface of the reference sphere (4 \mathbb{R}^2) and to integrate over all frequencies, giving

$$L_{X} = \underset{i=1}{\overset{i}{\mathbb{X}}} L_{i} \exp((i)) : \qquad (22)$$

Note that the internally released X-ray line lum inosity $L_{X,int}$ from Eq.(10) is correctly reproduced by Eq.(21) in case of zero absorption.

Due to the discrete character of the emitting parcels of gas, the emergent ux is composed of delta-function spikes at discrete frequencies. A spectrum of nite resolution is obtained by a corresponding binning. For each frequency index k, we compile a list I_k of those emission zones indices i for which $_{i,obs}$ falls into the frequency interval ($_k \frac{1}{2}$; $_k + \frac{1}{2}$). Then, by integrating the ux (Eq. (21)) over the frequency bin k, the binned ux at frequency $_k$ becomes

$$F(_{k}) = \frac{1}{4^{-2}R^{2}} \sum_{i \ge I_{k}}^{X} L_{i} \exp(_{i}) :$$
 (23)

The emergent ux scales linearly with the internally released X-ray line lum inosity, $L_{X,int}$ (cf.Eq.(10)). It is illustrative to normalize the emergent ux prolewith respect to the unabsorbed case. The total energy ux in the line for the unabsorbed case, obtained by setting all $_i = 0$ and integrating the previous equation over frequency, is $L_{X,int} = (4 \ ^2R^2)$. Normalizing the emergent ux prole to this value, Eq.(23) becomes

$$F(_{k}) = \frac{1}{L_{X;int}} X_{i2I_{k}} L_{i} exp(_{i}) : \qquad (24)$$

For convenience we will display the computed line prodes as function of dimensionless frequency x, measured relative to the line center and in Doppler units referring to the term inal wind velocity v_1 ,

$$x = \frac{0}{0 v_1 = c}$$
: (25)

Hence the line pro le is con ned between normalized frequencies 1 and 1.U sing frequency bins x, the normalized ux nally becomes

$$F(\mathbf{x}_{k}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{x} \mathbf{L}_{X,int}} \sum_{\substack{i \ge I_{k}}}^{X} \mathbf{L}_{i} \exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}) :$$
(26)

If the em itting zones propagate w ith constant velocity and there is no absorption, the resulting line pro le is box-shaped with F $(x_k) = 0.5$ for $jx_k j < 1$, so that the integral over the whole pro le correctly contains all internally released X-ray line lum inosity (cf. Eq. (10)).

Equation (26) yields the line pro le for one speci c random trial of the emitting spots and absorbing fragments. A di erent random trial will result in a di erent line pro le, and a large num ber of trials (a few thousands, typically) is required to achieve a good statistical average. Phenom enologically, we can consider each trial as a snapshot of the wind. Typical exposure times of an Xray observation are much larger than the dynam ical time scale $R = v_1$ of the stellar wind, which corresponds to an average over m any snapshots. M oreover, our m odel is 2-D, whereas in a 3-D wind di erent azim uthal angles will display statistically independent patterns of emission and absorption, whose di erent line pro les are also averaged in a ux m easurem ent. Investigating the variability of X ray lines would be interesting, but is beyond the scope of the present paper.

4. Illustration of basic e ects

In this section we concentrate on simpli ed cases of wind em ission and absorption to dem onstrate the basic e ects of fragmentation on line pro les.

Hom ogeneous wind. The line pro les from a hom ogeneous out ow are widely discussed in the literature (e.g. MacFarlane et al. 1991). Figure 3 displays the line pro les for an optically thick, hom ogeneous wind of constant velocity. All em ission zones are placed at the same radius, $r_i = r_{em}$, which is varied for the di erent pro les shown in the gure (labels). The further out the em ission is located, the less absorption occurs along rays in outward direction, i.e. in the blue part of the line pro le.On the other hand, radiation from the back hem isphere which form s the red part of the line pro le must always penetrate the whole wind. Therefore the resulting pro les appear skewed and blueshifted. Note that the lowest curve, corresponding to an em ission radius of 4R, is zoom ed 10^5 times, which means that essentially all radiation formed deep in the wind is totally absorbed.

Concentric spheres. Figure 4 shows line pro les from the concentric spheres model, om itting the hom ogeneous inner and outer parts of the wind. Radiation em itted close to the stellar core is attenuated by an ensemble of concentric spheres located above the em ission site. The location of the spheres is determ ined by Eq. (8). The optical depth of a shell crossed by the ray between an em itter and the observer, i, scales inversely with j j (Eq. (14)), i.e. depends on the intersection angle between ray and shell, and becomes large when the shell is met under a small angle. Therefore the shape of the line pro le is determ ined by the range of angles under which the absorbing shell can be crossed by an em itted photon. For em itting spots placed at r_i and absorbing fragments at r_i , the sm allest possible value of j j is $(r_1 = r_1)^2$. Consider, for in-1 stance, the case of just one concentric shell (N $_{\rm r}$ = 1) which has swept up allm aterial between $r_{\rm sh}^{\rm m \ in}$ and $r_{\rm sh}^{\rm m \ ax}$, m oving with constant velocity. A coording to Eq. (8), the shell is



Fig.3.Em ission line pro les from a hom ogeneous wind of constant velocity. The radial optical depth of the photosphere is = 50 for all shown pro les.All hot gas em itting the X-ray line is assumed to be located at the same radius r_{em} , which is varied for the di erent pro les shown (see labels). All pro les appear skewed and blueshifted. The lowest curve ($r_{em} = 4R$) is zoom ed 10⁵ times.



Fig.4.Line proles from a wind where the absorbing material is compressed in N $_r$ concentric shells distributed between $r_{sh}^{m\ in}$ = 4R and $r_{sh}^{m\ ax}$ = 100R. The emission originates at r_{em} = 4R , the wind velocity is constant (v = v_1) and the total radial optical depth across all shells is = 1. The hom ogeneous wind below 4R is om itted here. The di erent proles shown correspond to di erent num bers N_r of concentric spheres (labels), whose random ly chosen radii are all larger than that of the emission zone.

bcated at $r_{sh}^{m \ in} \quad r_{sh}^{m \ ax}$ in order to conserve the radialoptical depth. For the example shown in Fig.4, $r_{sh}^{m \ in} = 4R$ and $r_{sh}^{m \ ax} = 100R$, and hence the shell is located at $r_j = 20R$. The emission is located at $r_i = 4R$, i.e. at a m uch sm aller radius. Therefore them inim um value of j j is close to unity, and the optical depth is nearly the same for all rays crossing the shell. Consequently, in this example with only one shell, the line prole is nearly at-topped, the normalized ux being F (x) = $0.5 \exp(\frac{1}{3})$ 0.5=e.

We increase now the number of shells N_r into which the material is compressed. According to their random distribution, some of the shells lie closer to the minimum fragmentation radius than the one shell in the N_r = 1 example. The larger N_r, the nearer is the innerm ost shell to the emitting sphere. For rays tangential to the emitting sphere, i.e. at line center, the intersection angle with the innerm ost shell become as small. These rays experience strong absorption, which leads to a depression in the line center.

However, these pro les are not realistic because we have arti cially om itted any absorption inside a radius of 4R .W e now 11 this region with the hom ogeneous inner part of the wind, while all other param eters rem ain as in Fig.4. The e ect is demonstrated in Fig.5. If there is absorbing m aterial between the stellar core and the X-ray em itting spherical layer, then radiation from the receding back hem isphere has to pass through it, whereas radiation from approaching part has not. Therefore, the red part of the line, x > 0, is always depleted compared to the blue part, x < 0. The blue part is at-topped for a sm all num ber of shells, but with increasing Nr the resulting pro les approach the shape from a hom ogeneous wind. This result does not depend on whether the central part of the wind is hom ogeneous, or arranged in a number of concentric shells.



F ig.5. The e ect of a hom ogeneous inner wind. All param eters are the same as in Fig.4, except that the central part of the wind between R and r_{em} is now led with hom ogeneous absorbing material. W hen the number of concentric spheres, N_r, becomes large, the emergent line pro le converges to the line pro le from a hom ogeneous wind of the same . The latter is shown by the thick curve, which is indistinguishable from the case of N_r = 1000 shells.

O ptically thick broken spheres. Let us start with just one absorbing shell of large optical depth, assuming that there is no absorption in the inner part of the wind, below the emission site. When all emission is formed inside absorbing shell, it will be completely trapped and the external observer will not register any emergent radiation.

In the broken sphere model, we break this absorbing shell into N $_{\#}$ fragments, for simplicity all having the same size. In the 2-D cut each fragment is a fraction of a circle, i.e. an arc. These fragments are placed random ly on the circle, which means that the coordinate $\#_{\rm j}$ of the center of each fragment is a random number chosen uniform ly between 0 and 2 .

C learly, the random ly distributed fragments will overlap in some directions, and leave gaps in others. Remember that they covered one full sphere before the latter was broken. The radiation from inside the sphere can pass through these gaps entirely unattenuated.

The left panel of F ig.6 shows one random distribution of fragments. All emission is coming from just one sphere with radius $r_{\rm em}$, for which dierent values are tested (labels). Constant velocity is assumed. Therefore the cosine of the angle between the direction to the observer and to an emitting spot is the normalized frequency, $_{\rm i}$ = x, at which the observer receives the line photons from this spot. For one random distribution of the absorbing fragments, the optical depth along the line of sight from one speci c emission spot at (_i;r_i) towards the observer is either zero, or big.

W hat is the probability that $_{i}(_{i};r_{i}) = 0$? For solid spheres, the probability that a gap occurs along a line of sight is nil. For broken spheres, simple combinatoric considerations show that, on average, a fraction exp(1) of the sphere is free from absorbing fragments.

W hen a photon has a probability of exp (1) to nd a gap in the broken sphere, the emergent intensity is $I^+ = I_0 \exp(1)$, always understood in the statistical limit of averaging overmany random settings. In other words, the optical depth along the line of sight is unity. Note that this holds for all line frequencies, because the fractional area of gaps in a surface does not depend on the projection angle under which the surface is seen. Thus the emergent line prole is at-topped, independent from the radius g_m of the emitting sphere (cf. Fig.6, right panel).

It is important to realize that the at-topped shape is due to two conditions. First, the emission must be produced in a narrow range of velocities, in order that the un-absorbed pro lewould be at-topped. Second, the absorbing fragments must be thin, i.e. their radial extent must be sm all compared to their lateral size. G lobular clouds, for instance, would not meet this condition.

So far, we considered one single broken sphere.W hat if there are N_r concentric broken spheres, all located above the em ission forming region? Since the #-random ization of fragments is independent for each sphere, the optical depth for each of them is unity, and the total optical depth adds up to $_{i} = N_{r}$.



F ig.6. The broken sphere m odel. One opaque sphere (= 4) is divided into N # fragm ents, which are then random ly redistributed in angle. One of the random trials is shown in the left panel. Constant velocity is assumed. Em ission arises from one sphere, for which di erent radii $r_{en} = R = 4, 30, 50$ and 70 are tested, but the emergent line pro les are independent from this choice. The line pro les shown in the right panel are obtained after averaging over 10 random realizations, for the case that $N_r = 1, 2$ or 3 spheres were broken into fragments (labels).

tained for $N_r = 1, 2$ and 3. The left panel shows one realization of a random distribution of absorbing fragments, whereas the line pro les are accumulated over a large num ber of trials.

to the case without any absorption (F (x) = 0.5), the ux is reduced by a factor $exp(N_r)$, as expected from

our statistical considerations. The key di erence between the line pro les in Figs.5 and 6 is due to the fact that in the form er case the transport of radiation is through the material, which leads to an angular-dependent opticaldepth, while in the latter case the radiation emerges through the empty gaps, where the probability to nd a gap does not depend on angle.

Optically thick random fragm ents. So far we treated rst the case where the radius of the absorbing (full) concentric shells was chosen random ly; and second the case of one or more shells which were broken into fragments and distributed random ly in angle, while the fragments kept their given radial coordinate. W e com bine these cases now and distribute the individual fragm ents random ly and independently in both the angular and the radial coordinate. For the mom ent the fragm ents are assumed to be opaque.

The left panel of Fig.7 shows one trial for this random fragm ents model. The total solid angle of all fragm ents corresponds to one full sphere. The absorbing fragments are distributed between 4.01 and 100 R .

To start with, let us assume that the em itters are located closer to the star than any absorbing material. In Fig.7 this corresponds to the example with $r_{em} = 4R$. According to the parameters of this model, there is, after averaging over many trials, one absorbing fragment in each radial direction, $hN_r i = 1$. This is exactly the

The right panel of Fig.6 shows the line pro les ob- same situation as in the broken sphere model considered above. Em erging radiation su ers absorption with an opticaldepth i = 1, leading to the form ation of a rectangular line pro le.

Next let us consider em ission which is permeated with The emergent ux pro les are at-topped. Com pared the absorbing fragments. Again for clarity, we assume that the radiation is produced at a single radius r_{em} . In Fig.7 the radii of emission are chosen to be 4, 30, 50, and 70 R . When the emission takes place in outer parts of the wind, say at 70 R , there are not m any fragm ents left between $r_{em} = 70 R$ and $r_{sh}^{max} = 100 R$. As can be seen from the gure, there are many gaps for photons to escape unattenuated from the front hem isphere towards the observer. Since we assume constant velocity in this example, the fragments are uniform ly distributed in radial direction. Hence the average num ber of absorbing fragments along a radial ray from r_{em} to $r_{sb}^{m ax}$ is $hN_ri(r_{sh}^{max} r_{em}) = (r_{sh}^{max} r_{sh}^{min})$, with $hN_ri = 1$ in our example.For instance, with 70 R for the radius of emission and 100 R for the outer boundary, the average num ber of absorbing fragments in radial direction is about 0.3.

> This num ber plays the role of an e ective optical depth ; the probability that there is no fragm ent along the radial ray from r_{em} to the observer is exp (). This concept will be discussed on a more general basis in Sect.5. See also the discussion after Eq. (3) in Paper I.

> For the interpretation of Fig.7 we must understand how this e ective optical depth depends on the value of the emitting spot located on the sphere of radius rem (rem ember that the radiation from is observed at dim ensionless frequency x = 0.0 by journal of the probability for a ray to hit a fragment depends only on the radial interval which the ray has to cross. For the front hem isphere (> 0) this range is r_{sh}^{max} rem, independent of . Therefore the blue part of the pro les in Fig.7 is at-



Fig.7. Random fragments model. Left panel: one random trial of the model where the absorbing shell fragments are random ly distributed in radius (uniform ly between 4.01 and 100R) and in angle. On average there is one fragment along each radial direction, hN ri = 1. The fragments in this example are opaque, and the central part of the wind (r < 4R) is void. Right panel: line proles, for emission located at $r_{em} = R = 4, 30, 50$ and 70 (labels). For $r_{em} = 4R$, all absorbers are outside of the em ission site and the resulting pro le is at-topped as in the broken sphere m odel (cf. Fig.6). If emission is located within the absorbing wind, as in cases with $r_{em} = R = 30, 50$ and 70, the red part of the pro le is additionally absorbed in the back hem isphere, while the blue part of the line pro le remains at-topped.



Fig.8. Cones model. An absorbing sphere (i.e. a circle in the 2-D cross section) is divided into 200 equal arcs, which are random ly distributed in radius. In contrast to the random fragments model in Fig.7, the fragments are kept in their angular position (\cone"). O therw ise, the m odel is the same as in Fig. 7. By eye one can not catch a big di erence between this distribution and the one for the random fragments model. This is reected by the line pro les shown in the right panel, which look very similar to those in Fig.7. The overall ux is only slightly lower than in case of random fragments. The biggest di erence is at the blue edge of the pro k. This is due to the fact that in the cones model the radial optical depth is preserved (here, = 4), and photons emitted in alm ost radial direction towards the observer cannot nd gaps to escape.

topped, and the ux level is exp (the un-absorbed em ission.

If the em itters are located at $r_{\rm em}~=~4\,R$, the

) times the the ux of ample that the central part of the wind (r < 4R) is void. However, when the emitting spots are permeated with the fragments (say, at $r_{em} = 50 R$), a ray from an atem itting spot on the back hem isphere (< 0) with coortopped shape extends also to the red side of the pro le, dinates $(p_i; z_i < 0)$ collects optical depth from both hem ibecause of our som ew hat arti cial assumption in this exspheres. In radius, the ray st descends from r_{em} to $r=p_i$ (back hem isphere), and than climbs from $r=p_i$ to $r_{sh}^{m\ ax}$ (front hem isphere). Thus the total radial range crossed is $r_{sh}^{m\ ax}$ + r_{em} 2p, and the optical depth is proportional to this range. Therefore the red part of the line becomes strongly absorbed and skewed.

C ones. This model was introduced in Sect.2.3. Each absorbing sphere is divided into N $_{\#}$ segments (i.e. arcs in the two-dimensional cross section), which are random ly distributed in radius. In contrast to the random fragments model, the fragments are kept at their angular position (\cone"), and not random ized in lateral direction. This model is interesting, because the radial optical depth and the mass are conserved for each radial direction. One of the random trials for the distribution of absorbing fragments is shown in the left panel of Fig.8. As in the previous examples, the emission is located at a unique radius $r_{\rm em}$, and there is no absorption below this radius. A seen by eye, this distribution of the fragments can hardly be distinguished from the one in Fig.7.

The resulting line pro les are shown in the right panel of Fig.8. They also do not di erm uch from the line pro les obtained in the random fragm ent case. The overall ux is only slightly lower. The biggest di erence is at the blue edge of the pro les. This is due to the fact that in the cones m odel the radial optical depth is conserved (here, = 4), and photons em itted in alm ost radial direction tow ards the observer cannot nd gaps to escape from absorption. But apart from the blue edge, the radial random ization of the absorbing fragm ents provides escape channels for the photons, acting in the same way as in the random fragm ents m odel.

It order to verify this num erical results for 3-D, we made num erical experiments to illustrate the e ect of random radial re-positioning of fragments of a sphere. We cover the unit sphere with spherical triangles of sim ilar size, m im icking absorber fragm ents. Spherical coordinate lines are not appropriate here, due to the dependence of solid angle on sin #. The sphere is divided into octants. For each of the three grand circle segments delim iting an octant surface, the m idpoint at half arc length is connected to the m idpoints of the other two grand circle segments. This divides the octant surface into four spherical triangles. For each of them this procedure (division of grand circle segments at half arc length, and connection to nearest neighbors) is repeated. A fter six such subdivisions one obtains $4^7 = 16384$ triangles on the front hem isphere. These triangles are of sim ilar size and shape, but not exactly uniform .

Next, the radial location of these (opaque) triangles is chosen random ly and uniform ly between r = 0.8 and 1. The corresponding sky projection is shown in Fig. 9. In fact, the distribution appears random and uniform, except in the disc center and close to the limb. To quantify this, we divide the projected disk into 10 rings of equal radial extent and determ ine num erically the fraction of the ring area which is covered by at least one fragment (Fig.10).



The resulting line protest are shown in the right panel in the random from the line protest in the right panel is are shown in the right panel. The front hem isphere of a unit sphere is cut into 16384 roughly equal spherical triangles, which are subsequently random ly redistributed between r = 0.8 and 1.0 while their angular position is kept.



F ig.10. Fraction of projected surface covered by radially random ized fragments. The sky projection of the fragmented hem isphere from Fig.9 is divided into 10 concentric rings of equal width in impact parameter p, and the fraction of covered (black) surface is determined num erically. Except for the center and the limb region, the coverage is $1 e^1$, i.e. close to the value expected if the fragments were random ly distributed in their angular position, but kept on the unit sphere.

The com plem ent is the fractional area which rem ains free of any projected fragm ent, while fragm ents are overlapping at other locations. For central rays p 1, radial random ization cannot move fragm ents out of the line of sight. Hence, the central region r 0.2 rem ains largely obscured (sm all fragm ent overlap), > 1. For 0.2 r 0.3, the transm ission is close to 1 e^1 , as expected if the fragments were random ly distributed laterally on the surface of the sphere. For r > 0.8 nally, < 1 because fragments are radially distributed over this range. To sum marize, for an observer at in nity, radial random ization in a spherically symmetric wind is largely indistinguishable from lateral random ization.

5.E ective opacity

An alternative approach to the stochastic num ericalm odeling of this paper is to introduce an e ective optical depth i for a random distribution of absorbing fragments by statistical means (cf. Paper I). Both the num erical and the analytical approach should yield identical results for the same parameters. In this section we concentrate on the analytical description, and com pare the resulting line pro les with those obtained in the num erical simulations.

Let us de ne the e ective optical depth $_{i}$ in the conventional way, as integral over an e ective opacity (dimension:per length) along the path,

$$z_{sh}^{max} dz :$$

$$z_{sh}^{max} dz :$$
(27)

The radiative transfer equation keeps its usual form

dI = I dz; (28)

with the well-known solution Eq.(19).

The e ective opacity is the product of the num ber density of absorbers and the (average) area of the absorbing fragments projected on a plane perpendicular to the line of sight. Moreover, we allow (in contrast to the usual de – nition of atom ic cross sections) for absorbers that are not com pletely opaque, but have a probability, P, that a photon hitting the cross section is absorbed.

The average number of shells within a unit radius is termed n(r). In case of constant velocity, n(r) = $hN_r i = (r_{sh}^{max} - r_{sh}^{min})$ is also constant.W ith variable velocity, the radial density of shells scales with v¹ (cf. Sect.2.3). Therefore we have

$$n(r) = \frac{n_0}{v(r)}$$
; (29)

with

$$n_0 = h N_r i \frac{r_{sh}^{max}}{r_{sh}^{min}} \frac{dr}{v(r)}$$
(30)

As can be seen from F ig.2, the area of a fragm ent projected to the plane perpendicular to the line of sight scales with j j.The total area of all absorbers within a spherical layer of radius r and thickness dr is n (r) j j4 r^2 dr, while the volume itself is 4 r^2 dr. Thus the e ective opacity is

$$(r;) = n(r) j j P$$
: (31)

Note that this opacity is not isotropic as usual, but angular-dependent. The probability P that a photon which encounters a fragment is absorbed is

$$P = 1 e^{j};$$
 (32)



F ig.11.R adialoptical depth of individual fragments.For one selected cone in the cones model, the total radial optical depth = 1 splits into N $_{\rm r}$ = 500 shells located at random radii r_j. The optical depth $_{\rm j}^{\rm rad}$ of each fragment varies according to the random algorithm used to de ne the mass in each shell, and is denoted by dots. The continuous line gives the average radial optical depth $_{\rm j}^{\rm rad}$ of the shells, if the mass is uniform by distributed over all fragments. Further parameters of the model are $r_{\rm sh}^{\rm min}$ = 4R , $r_{\rm sh}^{\rm max}$ = 100R , and = 1 for the velocity-law exponent.

i.e. is a function of the optical depth $_{\rm j}$ of fragments at r with orientation as given by Eq.(14).

As long as one avoids to integrate through the point where = 0, the path element along the ray, dz, can be substituted by dr, using

$$dz = {}^{1} dr : (33)$$

By inserting Eqs. (31), (32) and (33) into Eq. (27) we obtain for the e ective optical depth between an emitting point at $(r_i; i)$ and the observer

$$_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{i}; i) = \sum_{r_{i}}^{Z} r_{sh}^{max} n(\mathbf{r}) (1 e^{j}) d\mathbf{r}:$$
 (34)

Remarkably, in contrast to Eq. (4) neither M- nor appears explicitly in this expression for $_{i}$. The e ective optical depth is determ ined essentially by the geometrical distribution of fragments, as explained earlier.

In the following we will consider the limits of optically thick and optically thin fragments, $\frac{1}{1}$ and $\frac{1}{1}$ 1.

5.1.0 ptically thick case (1) 1)

If all cool m aterial is assembled in a number of optically thick fragments, the emergent radiation is only due to the presence of gaps between the fragment. The radiation passes through these gaps entirely unattenuated, but all photons which intersect a fragment are absorbed. Therefore the probability P is unity. In case of constant velocity, the integral in Eq. (34) is taken over the constant in Fig.11 shows the run of the average optical thickness n (r) and reduces to (see Eq. (4) in paper I)

$$_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{i}; i) = \frac{hN_{r}i}{r_{sh}^{max} r_{sh}^{min}} r_{sh}^{max} r_{sh}^{i} r_{sh}^{max} + r_{i} 2p \text{ if } i < 0; (35)$$

The line pro les from our stochastic num erical model shown in Fig.7 agree extremely well with this analytical result.

5.2.0 ptically thin case ($_{i}$ 1)

The optical depth of individual absorbers may be small because the total optical depth of the wind, , is small, or because the optical depth is distributed over very many fragm ents (i.e. N $_{\rm r}$ is large). If the absorbing fragm ents are optically thin, the emergent ux is both due to radiation which passed unattenuated through gaps, and to radiation which crossed fragm ents and was only partly absorbed.

For small optical depths, i 1, the exponent in Eq.(32) can be expanded as exp(j)1 i. i and Eq. (31) simpli es to Consequently P

$$(r;) = n(r) j j_{j}:$$
 (36)

Expressing the directional optical depth of the fragm ent, i, by its radial optical depth i (Eq. (14)) yields

$$(r;) = n(r) \frac{rad}{r}$$
: (37)

Therefore, in the lim it of optically thin fragm ents the effective opacity becom es isotropic, as the usual opacity in hom ogeneous winds.

We insert now for $\frac{rad}{i}$ the average optical depth of a fragm ent i (r) at radius r.R em em ber that in our stochastic wind model the amount of matter swept up into an individual fragment is a random number. This is illustrated in Fig.11, which shows for one cone of the cones m odelhow the total radial optical depth = 1 splits into $N_r = 500$ shells located at their individual radii r_i . To de ne an average optical depth, each shell shall have the sam e am ount of m ass. A coording to the geom etrical dilution, the radial optical depth of the shells scales with r 2 , so that we can write

$$_{j}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{0}{\mathbf{r}^{2}}$$
: (38)

The radial integral over the e ective opacity must yield the total optical depth ,ie.

$$Z_{r_{sh}^{max}} n(r)_{j}(r) dr = ;$$

$$r_{sh}^{min} (r)_{j}(r) dr = ;$$
(39)

which leads with Eq. (29) to

$$n_{0} \quad {}_{0} \quad {}_{r_{sh}^{m \text{ in}}} \frac{dr}{r^{2}v(r)} = = \frac{M}{4} \frac{Z}{n_{0}} \frac{r_{sh}^{m \text{ ax}}}{r_{sh}^{m \text{ in}}} \frac{dr}{r^{2}v(r)}$$
(40)

where on the right-hand side we expressed the radial op- Solving the radiative transfer equation with the e ective tical depth using its original de nition for a hom ogeneous opacity from Eq. (31) is equivalent to our statistical apwind. Thus we have $_{0} = M = (4 n_{0})$. The continuous line proach in Paper I. In this subsection we discuss how this

of fragments as function of radius (Eq. (38)). The e ective opacity in Eq. (37) for the optically thin limit nally) becom es

$$(r;) = \frac{n_0}{v(r)} \frac{0}{r^2} = \frac{M}{4} \frac{1}{r^2 v(r)}; \qquad (41)$$

which is identical to the opacity of a hom ogeneous wind given by Eq.(2).

To sum marize, we have dem onstrated that if the optical depth across all fragm ents is sm all, i 1, the effective opacity in the fragm ented wind is identical to the opacity of a hom ogeneous wind of otherwise the same param eters. W e m ay conclude that the hom ogeneous wind is the lim iting case of a fragm ented wind, when the radial num ber of fragm ents is big and/or the total optical depth is sm all.



Fig.12. Line pro les for a model with total optical depth = 10, and N_r = 10 shells in radial direction. The histogram -style pro les are for the \random fragments" and the \cones" versions of our stochastic wind model. The smooth line is analytically calculated with the e ective opacity from Eq. (31), and is equivalent to the statisticalm odel in Paper I. It agrees precisely with the random fragm ent stochastic m odel. The m ore regular arrangem ent of the absorbing fragm ents in the cones model leads to a slightly low er em ergent ux. In drastic contrast, a hom ogeneous wind with the same mass-loss rate absorbs the X-ray line alm ost totally (dashed line). Further m odel param eters are: constant velocity; em ission distributed between $r_{em}^{m in} = 10 R$ and $r_{em}^{m ax} = 30 R$; absorbing shells between $r_{sh}^{m in} = 10 R$ and $r_{sh}^{m ax} = 100 R$.

5.3. Com parison with the analytical statistical model

analytical treatment compares with the stochastic model constructed in the present paper.

Some resulting line pro les are shown in Figs.12 and 13. The total optical depth is = 10 for both qures. The only di erence is the number of fragments in radial direction, which is $N_r = 10$ in Fig.12 and $N_r = 100$ in Fig.13. The histogram -style pro les are for the stochastic wind model in the \random fragments" and \cones" version. The sm ooth line is calculated analytically using the e ective opacity from Eq. (31), and is equivalent to that from the statistical approach of Paper I. It is in excellent agreem ent with the random fragm ent stochastic model, con ming its prediction of a at-topped, blueshifted and nearly symmetric pro le. The more regular arrangement of the absorbing fragm ents in the cones m odel leads to a slightly low erem ergent ux, especially at the blue edge of the pro le.

For the param eters in Fig.12, m ost fragments are optically thick. Therefore the gain in emergent ux achieved in the stochastic wind m odel is dram atic. A hom ogeneous wind with the same m ass-loss rate absorbs the X-ray line almost totally (dashed line in Fig.12), and the tiny remaining line has a triangular prole.

Figure 13 shows the result for the same models, but with the number of fragments in radial direction being increased to N_r = 100.Now most of the fragments are no longer optically thick. Therefore the emergent line pro le from the stochastic wind model (both versions) have a triangular shape like that from the hom ogeneous wind, and the gain in emergent ux is only a factor of two.



F ig.13.Sam e as Fig.12, but with N $_r = 100$ fragm ents in radial direction.D ue to their larger number, most of the fragm ents are now optically thin. Therefore the proles from the stochastic model are more similar to that from a hom ogeneous wind, although still stronger by a factor of two.

Thus our num erical results from the stochastic wind model con m fully the predictions from the statistical considerations in Paper I. If the wind matter is com pressed

into a small number of optically thick shells, and these shells break up into fragments, the elective optical depth of the wind is small, independent of the amount of mass contained in the fragments. On the contrary, a hom ogeneous wind with the samemass-loss ratemay have an arbitrarily large optical depth. Therefore the gain in emergent intensity of an X-ray line emitted from deep inside the wind may be huge, comparing the fragmented with the hom ogeneous model. The transparency of the fragmented wind increases with decreasing number of fragments in radial direction.

We emphasize that the line proles from the fractured wind do not depend on the angular size of the shell fragments. The number N $_{\#}$ of arcs in our 2-D cut of them odel does not enter the elective opacity. The statistical limit of a smooth line prole can be achieved by a large N $_{\#}$, but also by a large number of random settings over which the results are averaged. Only for technical reasons, the fragments should be small enough to justify the neglect of curvature in our description. Moreover, in the cones model, too big fragments in xed angular positions would spoil the frequency resolution and in print spurious steps to the emergent proles.



Fig.14. Line proles for relatively thin winds. The result from the fragmented wind is compared to the corresponding hom ogeneous model with the same mass-loss rate. The pairs are labeled with the total optical depth of the hom ogeneous wind, . The stronger line of each pair refers to the fractured wind. The radial number of fragments is always hN $_{\rm r}i = 1$. The di erences between the fractured and the hom ogeneous wind become signi - cant when > 1. The proles from hom ogeneous models become weaker and skewed, while the fragmented model proles remain at-topped in the blue part. The velocity law exponent = 1.

6. Param eter study

In Sect.4 we employed simpli ed models in order to illustrate and understand the e ects of wind fragm entation. In this section line pro les are presented for realistic wind param eters, calculated with our stochastic wind model in the \random fragments" version.

A stellar wind is specied by a few fundamental param eters, such as the stellar lum inosity, the photospheric radius, the mass-loss rate, the chem ical composition and the velocity law. Even for a hom ogeneous model, the calculation of the continuous opacity at a given X-ray frequency is not straightforward and requires in principle a Non-LTE atm ospheric model. Then one can calculate the radial optical depth of the hom ogeneous m odel, as dened by Eq. (3). As stated already in Sect. 2.3, we assume for simplicity that the X-ray opacities scale linearly with density, and adopt of the corresponding hom ogeneous m odel as the free param eter of our calculations.

The spatial structure of the inhom ogeneous wind depends on the radial distribution of the wind fragm ents and of the X-ray line em itting spots. Im portant is the num ber of fragments in radial direction, Nr. Existing hydrodynam ical simulations trace the fragm entation to as far as hundreds of stellar radii. X -ray em ission is predicted to take place only in the wind acceleration zone, i.e. out to a few stellar radii.

To keep the discussion transparent, we adopt the following parameters for all gures in this section: a sm ooth wind between 1R and 4R, fragmentation of the wind between 4R and 100R followed by a sm ooth wind again further out; the X-ray line is emitted between 4R and 10 R . The remaining parameters for the stochastic fragm ented wind m odel are the angular extension of the fragm ents and the num ber of fragm ents per radius. The num ber of fragments in angular direction, for which we generally use $N_{\#} = 360$, is of no signi cance, as discussed in the previous section.

Therefore, when is specied, the most in uential parameter of the model which is not constrained by independent m eans is the num ber of fragm ents per radius, hN ri. This parameter de nes whether the fragments are optically thick or thin for the given mass-loss rate.

It was shown in Section 5.2 that fragmentation becomes e ective in reducing opacity when fragments are optically thick, but cannot in uence the radiative transfer in optically thin winds even if the number of fragments per radial direction, Nr, is small. To check the param eter range we calculated som e models with $h_{ri} = 1$ and di erent values of around unity. The pro les in Fig.14 con m that the di erence between the hom ogeneous and fragm ented wind is sm all for the optically thin = 0:15, and only becomes signi cantwhen > 1. case W ith increasing the pro les from the hom ogeneous m odels becom e weaker and skewed, while the fragm ented model profestrem ain strong and at-topped in the blue when v(r) jumps to v_1 in mediately at the stellar surface. part.



Fig.15. Line pro les emerging from fragmented winds (solid lines) and from smooth winds (dashed lines). All m odels are w ith = 1 and $hN_r i$ = 10. The di erent proles refer to di erent velocity-law exponents = 0, 0.5,0.8, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, and 4, where the higher values yield narrower pro les.



Fig.16. Line pro les emerging from an optically thick wind, = 10, com pressed into one fragm ent per radial direction, hN ri = 1. The line pro les are for di erent velocity laws, namely for constant velocity, and exponents = 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5 (from lower to higher maximum).

The next two gures study the in uence of di erent velocity laws on the line pro le. First we consider a relatively weak wind, with optical depth = 1. The fragm ented wind is calculated with $hN_r i = 10$ shells in radial direction. The line pro les shown in Fig.15 are for different values of = 0.5, 0.8, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5 and 4, respectively. The broadest pro le is for the constant velocity case, which can be considered as the lim it ! 0 The higher , the slower is the wind acceleration.CAK-

type hydrodynam ic m odeling of O -star w inds arrives at 0.8, typically, while certain empirical facts have been

interpreted in favor of higher values. The X -ray line proles from our stochastic wind model show some sensitivity to this parameter.

At the opposite extrem e, Fig.16 shows an optically thick wind (=10) compressed into just one fragment per radial direction hN_ri = 1. The line proles are again for di erent velocity-law exponents ranging from constant velocity (! 0) to =4:5. For high values of the lines are nearly symmetric, and only slightly shifted. D ue to the high optical depth, the emergent lines from the corresponding hom ogeneous models are far too weak to be plotted to the same scale.



F ig.17. The gain in emergent line ux by wind fragmentation. The ratio of the integrated line ux from fragmented and hom ogeneous wind models, $R = F_{frg} = F_h$, is shown on a logarithm is scale. The curves show model series with di erent optical depths (labels). A long each series the number of shells in radial direction, hN ri, is varied. The gain factor R can be huge for very thick winds, i.e. high , but is reduced with increasing number of radial fragments, hN ri. All models are for a velocity-law exponent = 0.8.

The most important consequence of the wind fractioning is the drastic reduction of the wind opacity. Therefore, em ergent X - ray line uxes can be much higher than from hom ogeneous winds of the sam e m ass-loss rate. The gain factor, i.e. the integrated em ergent line ux ratio between a fragm ented wind and the corresponding hom ogeneous wind, $R = \log F_{fra} = F_h$, is shown in Fig.17. The uxes are integrated over the whole line pro le. The gure displays the dependence of R on the radial num ber of fragm ents, hN ri. The di erent series of models have di erent optical (labels). The plot dem onstrates that fragm endepths tation can increase the emergent line ux by orders of magnitude. The e ect is extremely pronounced for very thick winds, i.e. high . Radial fragm entation into very m any shells reduces the gain factor.

7.D iscussion and conclusions

A main motivation for our fragmented wind model is to explain the observed X-ray line pro les from 0 stars, which cannot be reproduced so far. W e may check now whether we came closer to this goal. Figure 18 shows the pro le of the Nex line from Orionis, as observed with the Chandra satellite (histogram). The smooth line is a tentative comparison with one of our calculations. From the known mass-loss rate of the star, the continuum at the frequency of this line may be optically thick (W aldron et al. 2001). Therefore we select a suitable pro le (with = 2) from the set in Fig.16 with = 10. The dim ensionless frequency is converted into wavelength according to the term in alw ind velocity of 0 rion is (2100 km s^1) , and the pro le is convolved with a Gaussian of 0.023A FW HM to account for instrum entalbroadening. The good agreem ent just dem onstrates that our fragm ented wind m odel can reproduce em ergent line pro les of the observed shape, even is large. For a system atic t, all available line pro les if should be considered in order to adjust the parameters of the model consistently. This will be subject of a forth com ing paper.

There are two aspects in the problem of thing the observed line pro les. One is to reproduce the line shape, another is to provide for the observed line ux. Ignace & Gayley (2002) considered pro le shapes for optically thick emission lines. They showed that these lines are blueshifted, and slightly narrow erthan optically thin lines. The lines have a universal shape which is nearly symm etric and insensitive to the level of continuous absorption. Nevertheless, the ux in the line scales inversely with optical depth of the cool wind, and therefore the problem of m issing opacity is still unresolved in their approach. The sam e problem is highlighted in K ram eret al. (2003), which up-to-day is the most consistent attempt to the observed lines. Our study shows that incorporation of wind fragm entation can resolve the controversy.

A burning question is, why stars with existing highresolution X-ray spectra show qualitatively di erent prole shapes, as listed in the introduction. At present we suggest that only Pup is a safe prototype for an isolated strong stellar wind. Indeed, speckle interferom etry led to the discovery that ¹O riC and O riA, are binary system s (Hummel et al. 2000, W eigelt et al. 1999), and O riA is a well-known multiple system (see e.g. M iller et al. 2002). Moreover, ¹O riC has a strong magnetic eld in excess of 1 kG (D onati et al. 2002). The physical processes leading to the em ission of X-rays can di er between objects. N evertheless, we claim that the e ects of wind fragmentation ought to be included in the modeling of emerging line pro les independent of the particular em ission mechanism.

The scenario chosen as a fram ework of the model presented here is that of Feldm eier et al. 1997, where X -ray are produced in the collision of fast cloudlets with dense shells. The prom inent feature of this hydrodynam ic sim ulation is that the X -ray em itting plasm a is always located



Fig.18.Pro leoftheNex line from Orionis, as observed with the Chandra satellite (histogram). The sm ooth line is a tentative comparison with one of our calculations, taking the pro le for = 10 and = 2 from Fig.16. The theoretical pro le is scaled to the term inal wind velocity of Orionis (2100 km s¹) and convolved with the instrummental pro le, a Gaussian of 0.023A FW HM. The good

t dem onstrates that our fragm ented wind m odel can reproduce em ergent line pro les of the observed shape.

at the starward face of the cool absorbing fragments. It was already shown in Paper I, and is con med here, that this con guration leads to strong depletion of the central part of the line, an e ect which is certainly not observed.

The following conclusions can be drawn from our numericalmodeling of the X-ray line emission from inhomogeneous, fragmented stellar wind:

- 1. Fragm entation drastically reduces the e ective opacity of the wind. Therefore X -rays produced deep inside the wind can e ectively escape, which would be totally absorbed in a hom ogeneous wind of the sam em ass-loss rate.
- Absorption in a fragmented wind becomes e ectively independent of the mass absorption coe cient and therefore of the wavelength, if the fragments are optically thick.
- 3. The line pro les from the fragmented wind model exhibit a variety of shapes, ranging from broad, blueshifted and at-topped to narrow and nearly sym metric, and are thus of promising similarity to observations.
- 4. The possibility of a at-topped, i.e. alm ost sym m etric blue part of the line pro le is due to the m odel assum ption that the fragm ents are at, have sm all thickness, and are aligned perpendicular to the radial ow direction.
- 5. The e ect of fragm entation is signi cant when the individual fragm ents are optically thick. Therefore the e ect depends on the average num ber of fragm ents per radial direction. This param eter can be empirically re-

stricted by a detailed line t and has interesting in plications for the theoretical understanding of the w ind hydrodynam ics.

- 6. The lateral size of the wind fragments has no in uence on the emergent lines.
- 7. If the fragm ents are strictly con ned to a pattern of radial cones while moving outwards, which ensures strict m ass conservation in each radial direction, the resulting line pro les di er only little from the random fragm ent m odel where the absorbing shell fragm ents are random ly distributed in both radial and angular coordinates.
- 8. The num erical modeling featuring stochastically arranged em itting parcels of gas and absorbing fragm ents con m s the results of P aper I, where analytical form ulae have been derived from a statistical treatm ent.

A cknow ledgem ents. L M Ω . acknow ledges support from a D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft grant (Fe 573/1-1).

References

- Baade, D., Lucy, L.B. 1987, A & A, 178, 213
- Carlberg, R.G. 1980, ApJ, 241, 1131
- Castor, J.I., Abbott, D.C. & Klein, R.I. 1975, ApJ, 195, 157
- Cassinelli, J.P., Swank, J.H. 1983, ApJ, 271, 681
- Cassinelli, J.P., M iller, N.A., W aldron, W.L., et al. 2001, ApJ, 554, L55
- Donati, J.F., Babel, J., Hannies, T.J., et al. 2002, MNRAS, 333, 55
- Eversberg, T., Lepine, S., Mo at, A.F.J. 1998, ApJ 494, 799
- Feldm eier A . 1995, A & A , 299, 523
- Feldmeier, A., Puls J., Pauldrach, A W A. 1997, A&A, 322, 878
- Feldm eier, A., O skinova, L., H am ann, W. -R. 2003, A & A, 403, 217 (Paper I)
- Hamann, W.-R., Koesterke, L. 1998, A & A, 335, 1003
- Hamden, F R ., Branduardi, G ., E kvis, M ., et al. 1979, ApJ, 234, 51
- Hummel, CA., White, NM., Elias, NM., et al. 2000, ApJ, 540, 91
- Ignace, R., Gayley, K.G. 2002, ApJ, 568, 954
- K ahn, S M , Leutenegger, M A , C ottam , J , et al. 2001, A & A , 365, L312
- K ram er, R H., Cohen, D H., Owocki, S P. 2003, ApJ, 592, 532 Lucy, L B. 1982, ApJ, 255, 286
- Lucy, L.B., Solom on, P.M. 1970, ApJ, 159, 879
- Lucy, LB., W hite, R L. 1980, ApJ, 241, 300
- M acFarlane, J J., C assinelli, J P., W elsh, B Y., et al. 1991, A pJ, 380, 564
- M ihalas, D .1978, Stellar A tm ospheres (2nd ed.; San Francisco: Freem an)
- M iller, N A , Cassinelli, J P, W aldron, W L , et al. 2002, A pJ, 577, 951
- Morton D.C. 1967, ApJ, 150, 535
- Owocki, S.P., Castor, J.I., Rybicki, G.B. 1988, ApJ, 335, 914
- Owocki, S.P., Rybicki, G.B. 1984, ApJ, 284, 337
- Pauldrach, A., Puls, J., Kudritzki, R.P. 1986, A&A, 164, 86
- Press, W H, Teukolsky, SA., Vetterling, W .T., et al. 1992, Num ericalrecipes in fortran.Cam bridge University Press

- Puls, J., Repolust, T., Ho mann, T.L., et al. 2003, in M assive star odyssey: from main sequence to supernova, ed. K A. van der Hucht, A. Herrero & C. Esteban (ASP), IAU Symp.212, 61
- Schulz, N S., Canizares, C R., Huenem oerder, D., Lee, J.C. 2000, A pJ, 545, L135
- Seward, F.D., Forman, W. R., Giacconi, R., et al. 1979, ApJ, 234, 55
- W aldron, W L., Cassinelli, J.P. 2001, ApJ, 548, L45
- $\tt W$ eigelt, G ., Balega, Y ., P reibisch, Th., et al. 1999, A & A , 347,

18