TW O -D IM ENSIONAL STRUCTURE OF THIN TRANSONIC D ISCS: OBSERVATIONAL MANIFESTATIONS

V.S.BESK IN

Lebedev P hysical Institute, Leninskii prosp., 53, M oscow, 119991, Russia. E-m ail: beskin@ lpi.ru

A D.TCHEKHOVSKOY

M oscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Institutskii per., 9, D olgoprudny, 141700, Russia. E-m ail: chekhovs@lpi.ru

W e study the two-dimensional structure of thin transonic accretion discs in the vicinity of a non-spinning black hole within the fram ework of hydrodynam ical version of the G rad-Shafranov equation. Our analysis focuses on the region inside the marginally stable orbit (M SO), $r < r_{m s}$. We show that all components of the dynam ical force in the disc become signi cant near the sonic surface and (especially) in the supersonic region. Under certain conditions, the disc structure can be far from radial, and we review the a ected disc properties, in particular the role of the critical condition at the sonic surface. Finally, we present a simple model aim ed at explaining the quasi-periodical oscillations that have been observed in the infra-red and X-ray radiation of the G alactic C entre.

1. Introduction

The investigation of accretion ows near black holes (BHs) is undoubtedly of great astrophysical interest. Substantial energy release must take place near BHs, and general relativity e ects, attributable to strong gravitational

elds, must show up there. Depending on external conditions, both quasispherical and disc accretion ows can be realized. The structure of thin accretion discs has been the subject of many papers. Many results were included in textbooks [1, 2]. Lynden-Bell [3] was the rst to point out that supermassive BHs surrounded by accretion discs could exist in galactic nuclei. Subsequently, a theory for such discs was developed that is now called the standard model, or the model of the disc [4, 5, 6].

BeskinChekhovs

2

Since then the standard disc thickness prescription has been widely used,

$$H = r \frac{C_s}{V_K}; \qquad (1)$$

where the disc thickness H is assumed to be determined by the balance of gravitational and accreting matter pressure forces with the dynamical force neglected. This relation was later used in the renowned approach where all quantities were averaged over the disc thickness [7], with a lot of such one-dimensionalm odels following [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. As for the two-dimensional structure of accretion discs, it was investigated mostly only numerically and only for thick discs [9, 18, 19, 20].

Even though standard disc thickness prescription (1) and the averaging procedure are likely to be valid in the region of stable orbits $r > r_{ms}$ [1], they in our view require a more serious analysis. It is the assumption that the transverse velocity v may always be neglected in thin accretion discs all the way up to horizon [21], i.e. that the disc thickness is always determined by (1), that is the most debatable [22]. This assumption is widely used, explicitly or in plicitly, virtually in all papers devoted to thin accretion discs [23, 24].

There is a brief discussion on thin discs in this volum e that covers theory shortcom ings and a brief description of our approach (cf. Sec. nam ed Thin disk in [22]). In this paper we brie y describe our study of subsonic and transonic regions of thin discs followed in Sec. 5 by the elaborate discussion of the supersonic ow. Finally, in Sec. 6 we develop a toy model for explaining the observed quasi-periodical oscillations detected in the infra-red and X-ray observations of the GC.

2. Basic equations

We consider thin disc accretion on to a BH in the region where there are no stable circular orbits. The contribution of viscosity should no longer be signi cant here [22]. Hence we may assume that an ideal hydrodynamics approach is suitable well enough for describing the ow structure in this inner area of the accretion disc. Below, unless speci cally stated, we consider the case of non-spinning BH, i.e. use the Schwarzschild metric, and use a system of units with c = G = 1:We measure radial distances in theunits of M, the BH m ass.

In Boyer-Lindquist coordinates the Schwarzschild metric is [25]

$$ds^{2} = {}^{2}dt^{2} + g_{ik}dx^{i}dx^{k}; \qquad (2)$$

where

$$^{2} = 1$$
 $2=r;$ $g_{rr} = ^{2};$ $g = r^{2};$ $g, r = \$^{2} = r^{2} \sin^{2} :$ (3)

We reduce our discussion to the case of axisym metric stationary ows. For an ideal ow there are three integrals of motion conserved along the stream lines, namely entropy, S, energy E = -, and z-component of angular momentum L = - u^{*}, where = (m + P) = n (m is internal energy density, P = nT is pressure) is relativistic enthalpy. The relativistic B emoulli equation $u_p^2 = -2 - u_n^2 - 1$, where u_p is the physical poloidal 4-velocity component [22, 26, 27], now becomes

$$u_{p}^{2} = \frac{E^{2} \qquad {}^{2}L^{2} = \$^{2} \qquad {}^{2} 2^{2}}{2 \qquad 2} :$$
(4)

Below we use another angular variable = =2 and for the sake of simplication we adopt the polytropic equation of state P = k (S) n so that temperature and sound velocity can be written as [1]

$$T = k (S) n^{-1}; c_{S}^{2} = -k (S) n^{-1};$$
 (5)

3. Subsonic ow

Following Sec.1, we assume that the -disc theory holds outside the M SO . We adopt the ow velocity components, which this theory yields on the M SO $r = r_{m\,s}r^{a}$ as the rst three boundary conditions for our problem . For the sake of simplicity we consider the radial velocity, which is responsible for the in ow, to be constant at the surface $r = r_{m\,s}$ and equal to u_{0} and the toroidal velocity to be exactly equal to that of a free particle revolving at $r = r_{m\,s}$.^b W e also assume the speed of sound to be constant at the M SO, $c_{s} = c_{0} = \text{const. Having introduced} \max_{m\,s} [27]$ | the Lagrange coordinate of stream lines at the M SO | for c_{s} 1, i.e. non-relativistic tem perature, we obtain from (4) and (5),

$$u_{p}^{2} = u_{0}^{2} + w^{2} + \frac{2}{1}c_{0}^{2} \quad \zeta_{s}^{2} + \frac{1}{3} \quad {}^{2}_{m s} \quad {}^{2} + :::$$
(6)

The quantity

$$w^{2}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e_{0}^{2}}{2} \frac{2l_{0}^{2} = \mathbf{r}^{2}}{2} \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(6 \mathbf{r})^{3}}{9\mathbf{r}^{3}};$$
(7)

^aA nearly parallel in ow with a small radial velocity $v_{SS}c_s^2 = v_K$ c_s 1; $r_{ms} = 3r_g$, where $r_g = 2M$ is the gravitational radius of the BH of mass M. ^bFor a free particle revolving at $r = r_s$ around a non-spinning BH we have R^5 .

^bFor a free particle revolving at $r = r_{m s}$ around $a_p non-spinning BH$ we have [25] $u_{n}(r_{m s}) = 1 = \overline{3}; \quad 0 = (r_{m s}) = \overline{2=3}; \quad 0 = (r_{m s}) = \overline{4=3}:$

where $e_0 = E_0 = 0$ and $l_0 = L = (0 \cos_{m_s})$, is the poloidal four-velocity of a free particle having zero poloidal velocity at the M SO.

In the extrem e subsonic case, $u_p = c_s$, the G rad-Shafranov hydrodynamic equation is signi cantly simpli ed [22, 27]. The numerical results are shown in Fig.1. In the subsonic region, $r < r = r_{ms} = 3r_g$, the disc thickness rapidly diminishes, and at the sonic surface we have H (r) = $u_0 = c_0 H (r_{ms})$, so that you cannot neglect the dynamical force there.

We stress that taking the dynam ical force into account is indeed extrem ely important. This is because, unlike zero-order standard disc thickness prescription (1), the G rad-Shafranov equation has second order derivatives, i.e. contains two additional degrees of freedom. This means that the critical condition only xes one of these degrees of freedom (e.g. imposes som e limitations on the form of the ow) rather than determ ines the angular momentum of the accreting matter [22, 27].

4. Transonic ow

In order to verify our conclusions we consider the ow structure in the vicinity of the sonic surface in more detail. Since the smooth transonic ow is analytical at a singular point r = r; = 0 [28], it is possible to express the quantities via a series of powers of h = (r - r) = r and . Substituting these expansions into equations of motion we get a set of equations on the coe cients which allows us to reconstruct the ow structure in the vicinity of the sonic point (see Fig. 2), in particular

$$u_{p}^{2} = c^{2} 1 \quad 2_{1}h + \frac{1}{6} (1) \frac{a_{0}^{2}}{c_{0}^{2}} + \frac{2}{3} (+ 1)_{1}^{2} ;$$

$$c_{s}^{2} = c^{2} 1 + (1)_{1}h + \frac{1}{6} (1) \frac{a_{0}^{2}}{c_{0}^{2}} + \frac{2}{3} (1) (+ 1)_{1}^{2} ; (8)$$

where $a_0 = [2=(+1)\int_{1}^{+1} c_0=u_0$ gives the compression of stream lines, $a_0 = H(r_m_s)=H(r)$, and u_0^1 . Equation (8) yields shape of the sonic surface, $u_p = c_s$; it has the standard parabolic form $h = (+1)_1^2 c_s^2$.

Since the transonic ow in the form of a nozzle (see Fig. 2) has longitudinal and transversal scales of one order of magnitude [28], near the sonic surface we have r_k r_i ; i.e. r_k H (r). Hence for thin discs (i.e. for c_0 1) this longitudinal scale is always much smaller than the distance from the BH, $r_k=r$ H (r)=r 1. Only by taking the transversal velocity into account do we retain the small longitudinal scale r_k r_g : This scale is left out during the standard one-dimensional approach.

5. Supersonic ow

Since the pressure gradient becomes insigning cant in the supersonic region, the matter moves here along the trajectories of free particles. Neglecting the r P term in the -component of relativistic Euler equation [29], we have [21]

$$u_{\hat{r}}\frac{\varrho(ru_{\wedge})}{\varrho r} + \frac{(ru_{\wedge})}{r^{2}}\frac{\varrho(ru_{\wedge})}{\varrho} + (u_{\wedge})^{2} \tan = 0:$$
(9)

Here, using the conservation law of angular momentum, u_{n} can be easily expressed in term sofradius: $u_{n} = 2 \quad \overline{3}=r$. We also introduce dimensionless functions f(r) and g(r): f(r) = ru_{n} and g(r) = $u_{r} > 0$. Using (9) and the de nitions above, we obtain an ordinary dimensial equation for f(r) which could be solved if we knew g(r):

$$\frac{df}{dr} = \frac{f^2 + 12}{r^2 g(r)}:$$
 (10)

Equation (10) governs the supersonic ow structure for the case of nonspinning BH. To get a better m atch with observations (cf. Sec. 6), we also consider a m ore general case of spinning BH, i.e. a K err BH with non-zero speci c angular m om entum a. A fler som e calculation, equation (10) can be generalized to the K err m etric with a strikingly sim ple form,

$$\frac{df}{dr} = \frac{f^2 + a^2 \ 1}{r^2 g (r)} \frac{q_0^2 + l_0^2}{r};$$
(11)

where g (r) is a straightforward generalization of g (r) to the K err case; we om it it here due to space limitations. For the Schwarzschild BH (a = 0, $e_0 = \frac{p}{8=9}, l_0 = 2^{\frac{p}{3}}$ [28]) equation (11) reduces back to (10).

Integrating (11), we obtain

$$f(r) = \tan \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{2g(r)} + \frac{1}{2};$$
 (12)

where = $p = \frac{p}{a^2 (1 + l_0^2) + l_0^2}$ and =2 has been to a good accuracy substituted for the integration constant arctan f (r) = $\frac{p}{3}$.

The results of num erical calculations are presented in Fig. 1. In the

 $^{^{\}rm c}F$ or r just below r ; the function f should be positive to re ect the fact that the ow diverges. Then, f = 0 corresponds to the point where the divergency nishes, and the ow starts to converge.

BeskinChekhovs





Figure 1. The structure of a thin accretion disc (actual scale) for $c_0 = 10^2$, $u_0 = 10^5$ after passing the M SO $r = 3r_g$ (a = 0, Schwarzschild case). As su cient dissipation can take place in the vicinity of the rst node $r = r_f$, we do not prolong the ow lines to the region $r < r_f$.

thin disc stream lines prole around the sonic point. The ow has the form of the standard nozzle. Here

Figure 2. Schematics of

x = h, and y = .

supersonic region the ow perform s transversal oscillations about the equatorial plane, their frequency independent of their am plitude. We see as well that the maximum thickness of the disc in the supersonic (and, hence, ballistic) region, which is controlled by the transverse component of the gravitational force, actually coincides with the disc thickness within the stable orbits region, $r > r_{ms}$, where standard estimate (1) is correct.

O now diverged, the $\$ ow converges once again at a hodal' point closer to the BH. The radial positions the nodes are given by the implicit form ula f (r_n) = 1; i.e.

$$Z_{r_n} = \frac{d}{2g(r)} = n;$$
 (13)

where n is the node number; the node with n = 0 corresponds to the sonic surface. In this form ula the sonic radius r r_0 can be to a good accuracy approximated by $r_{m s} = r_{m s}$ (a) the expression for which can be found in most textbooks [1]. Figure 3 shows the positions of nodes for dimensional event values of c_0 (the positions do not depend on u_0 for $u_0 = c_0$) and the BH spin parameter a. The matter travel time between the nodes has weak dependence not only on u_0 but also on c_0 as well. This provides a means for testing the theory via observations, and we do this in the following section.

BeskinChekhovs



Figure 3. Radial positions (in the units of M) of the nodes for a range of initial sound velocities. Dotted, dashed, and solid curves correspond to the cases a =

0.5, a = 0, and a = 0.5 respectively. Each curve relates the radial position of a node to a value of the initial sound velocity. Intersection points of these curves with the line $c_0 = const$ give the the nodes' radial positions for that particular value of c_0 .

Figure 4. Relation of a vs. M . Dashed, dash-dotted, and solid lines come from m atching \overline{T} (700 $\,$ 100 s), $T_{m\ s}$ (2200 $\,$ 300 s), and T_0 (1100 $\,$ 100 s) respectively. The resulting error polygon is bolded.

6. Applications to observations

Suppose some perturbation in the disc (a $\cmu w$) approaches the M SO. We expect to observe radiation coming from the chunk with the period of its orbitalmotion,

$$f_{m s}(a) = 2 \qquad r_{m s}^{3=2} + a ;$$
 (14)

where a is the angular momentum per unit mass of the BH and $r_{m s}$ is an estimate of the distance from the BH to the chunk [1]. A fler a number of rotations, the chunk reaches the MSO and passes through the nodal structure derived earlier (cf. Sec. 5) generating a are. Each time the chunk passes through a node, it generates some additional radiation, and therefore the are is likely to consist of several peaks. We believe that it is these peaks that were discovered in the infra-red and X-ray observations of

the G C [30, 31].

The time interval between the detection of two subsequent peaks equals the time it takes for the chunk to pass between two adjacent nodes (n-th and (n 1)-th), $T_n^{(1)}$, plus the di erence in traveltimes to the observer for the radiation coming from the n-th and (n 1)-th nodes, $T_n^{(2)}$:

$$T_{n} = T_{n}^{(1)} + T_{n}^{(2)}; (15)$$

where n is the index of the observed time interval (counting from one).

The rst term in r.h.s. of (15) can be easily obtained from the analysis of particle's geodesics in the equatorial plane [1]

$$T_{n}^{(1)}(a;c_{s}) = \frac{\sum_{r_{n}}^{r_{n-1}} \frac{u^{t}}{u^{r}} dr}{r_{n}} = \frac{\sum_{r_{n-1}}^{r_{n-1}} \frac{(\varphi g^{tt} + \log^{t'}) j_{s}}{g(r)} dr; \quad (16)$$

where the coe cients of the inverse metric are $g^{tt} = 2^2 = (2^2)$ and $g^{t'} = 2 g^{tt}$; the de nitions of , , , and ! can be found elsewhere in this volume [22].

For de niteness and simplicity, we assume that the observer is located along the rotation axis of the BH.On its way to the observer, the radiation travels along the null geodesic that originates at a node in the equatorial plane (e.g. $r = r_n$, = =2) and reaches the observer at in nity (r = 1, = 0). Using these as boundary conditions for null geodesics in the K err metric [32], we numerically nd $T_n^{(2)}(a;c_s)$.

Figure 5 shows the dependence of observed time intervals on the value of the speed of sound in the disc. A lthough each individual time intervalm ay depend on c_0 , the range $[T_{m \ in}(a), T_{m \ ax}(a)]$ of observed time intervals (see the caption to Fig. 5) is independent of c_0 . With such weak dependence on the speed of sound in the disc, we have only two matching parameters: the speci c spin a and the mass M of the BH.

In the are precursor section we associate the period $T_{m,s}$ with the 2200 300 s one (group 5, cf. Table 2 in [30]) and the time interval T_1 with the period of 1100 100 s (group 4 in [30]). In consistency with the infra-red observations of the are, the periods T_1 , T_2 , etc. chip with the peak number [31], i.e. resemble the QPO structure and thus form a cum ulative peak of a larger width shifted to higher frequencies on the ares' power density spectra (700 100 s, group 3, cf. Fig. 3a and 4a in [30]). We can estimate the average frequency of this peak as 1=T = 1=2 ($I=T_{m,in} + 1=T_{m,ax}$). The results of the periods' matching procedure are shown in Fig. 4. D espite large uncertainties in the observational data allowing signi cant freedom of a and M, high positive values of a (i.e. the disc orbiting in the sam e direction as the BH spin) are clearly ruled out.



Figure 5. The dependence of time intervals between the peaks in a lare on the speed of sound in the disc, c_0 , for a moderately spinning BH (a = 0.5). The uppermost curve corresponds to the time interval T_1 between the the 0th and 1st peaks, the second curve from the top corresponds to the time interval T_2 between the 1st and 2nd ones, etc. All intervals T_n behave very similarly: they list decrease with g and then abruptly increase to in nity due to time dilation when the innerm ost node in the pair comes close to the BH horizon (which is indicated with upward arrows). Even though individual time intervals between subsequent peaks in the lare may depend on the tem perature in the disc (which is proportional to c_0^2 , see (5)), their minimum and maximum values remain the same for the range of sound velocities where there are several intervals observed. In the particular case of a = 0.5, illustrated in the gure, we have T_{min} 32 and T_{max} 60 with all other time intervals lying in between.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e thank the organizing com m ittee of the W orkshop for hospitality and creating a wonderfulatm osphere. W e thank A V.G urevich for his interest in the work and for his support, useful discussions and encouragement. W e are grateful to K A.Postnov for his help and inspiring suggestions regarding the observational part. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no.160320032), D ynasty fund, and IC FPM.

References

- Shapiro S.L., Teukolsky S.A., Black Holes, W hite Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars (W iley{Interscience Publication, New York, 1983).
- 2. Lipunov V M., A strophysics of Neutron Stars (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992).

- 3. Lynden-BellD ., Nature 223, 690 (1969).
- 4. Shakura N .I., A Zh 49, 921 (1972).
- 5. Shakura N.I., Sunyaev R A., A & A 24, 337 (1973).
- 6. Novikov ID., Thome K S.Black Holes (C.DeW itt, B.DeW itt, eds, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1973).
- 7. PaczynskiB, Bisnovatyi-Kogan G.S., Acta Astron. 31, 283 (1981).
- 8. Abram ow icz M A ., C zemy B ., Lasota J.P., Szuszkiew icz E ., ApJ 332, 646 (1988).
- 9. Papaloizou J., Szuszkiewicz E., MNRAS 268, 29 (1994).
- 10. Riert H., Herold H., ApJ 450, 508 (1995).
- 11. Chen X., Abram owicz M. A., Lasota J.-P., ApJ 476, 61 (1997).
- 12. Narayan R., Kato S., Honm a F., ApJ 476, 49 (1997).
- 13. Peitz J., ApplS., MNRAS 286, 681 (1997).
- 14. Beloborodov A M ., MNRAS 297, 739 (1998).
- 15. Gammie C F., Popham R., ApJ 498, 313 (1998).
- 16. Gammie C.F., Popham R., ApJ 504, 419 (1998).
- 17. A rtem ova Yu $\rm N$, B isnovaty
iK ogan GS, Igum enshchev IV , Novikov ID , Ap
J 549,1050 (2001).
- 18. Igum enshchev I.V., Beloborodov A.M., MNRAS 284, 767 (1997).
- 19. Balbus S A., Haw ley J.F., Rev. M od. Phys. 70, 1 (1998).
- 20. K rolik J.H., Haw ley J.F., ApJ 573, 754 (2002).
- 21. A bram ow icz M A ., Lanza A ., Percival M J., ApJ 479, 179 (1997).
- 22. Beskin V.S., this volume (2004).
- 23. Abram ow icz M A., Zurek W , ApJ 246, 314 (1981).
- 24. ChakrabartiS., ApJ 471, 237 (1996).
- 25. Landau LD., Lifshits EM., The Classical Theory of Fields (4th edn. Butterworth-Heinem ann, 1987).
- 26. Beskin V S., Phys. U sp. 40, 659 (1997).
- 27. Beskin V S., K om paneetz R Yu., T chekhovskoy A D., A stron. Lett. 28, 543 (2002).
- 28. Landau LD., Lifshits EM., Fluid mechanics (2nd edn. Butterworth-Heinemann, 1987).
- 29. Frolov V P., Novikov ID., Black Hole Physics (Kluwer A cadem ic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998).
- 30. A shenbach B., Grosso N., D. Porquet, and Predehl P., A&A, accepted, astro-ph/0401589 (2004).
- 31. GenzelR., SchodelR., Ott T. et al., Nature 425, 934 (2003).
- 32. Carter B., Phys. Rev. 174, 5 (1968).
- 33. Beskin V S., Pariev V J., Phys. Usp. 36, 529 (1993).
- 34. BondiH., MNRAS 112, 195 (1952).
- 35. Igum enshchev I.V., A bram ow icz M. A., Narayan R., ApJ 537, L27 (2000).
- 36. PaczynskiB., W ita P.J., A&A 88, 23 (1980).
- 37. Thome K S., Price R N., Macdonald D A., Black Holes: The Membrane Paradigm (Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, CT, 1986).