-ray em ission from microquasars: a num ericalm odel for LSI+ 61 303

V.Bosch-Ramon, J.M. Paredes

D epartam ent d'A stronom ia i M eteorologia, U niversitat de Barcelona, Av.D iagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain e-m ail: vbosch@am.ub.es; jmparedes@ub.edu

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract. We explore the possible association between the m icroquasar LSI + 61 303 and the EGRET source $2CG \ 135+ 01/3EG \ J0241+ 6103$ by studying, with a detailed num erical m odel, whether this system can produce the em ission and the variability detected by EGRET (> 100 M eV) through inverse C om pton (IC) scattering.O ur num erical approach considers a population of relativistic electrons entrained in a cylindrical inhom ogeneous jet, interacting with both the radiation and the m agnetic elds, taking into account the Thom son and K lein-N ishina regimes of interaction.O ur results reproduce the observed spectral characteristics and variability at -rays, thus strengthening the identi cation of LSI + 61 303 as a high-energy -ray source.

Key words. X-rays: binaries { stars: individual: LSI + 61 303 { gam m a-rays: observations { gam m a-rays: theory

1. Introduction

LSI + 61 303 is a High Mass X -ray binary system whose optical counterpart is a bright (V 10.8) star of BOV spectraltype (Paredes & Figueras 1986). In fact, the com panion star is a Be, and the com pact object could be likely a neutron star (Hutchings & Cram pton 1981). Taylor et al. (1980) found that LSI+ 61 303 presented strong radio outbursts each 26.5 days, which was associated to the orbital period of the binary system (Taylor & Gregory 1982). A four-year modulation of the maximum ux during radio outbursts (Paredes 1987, G regory et al. 1989) was associated either to a precession of a possible jet or to variations in the accretion rate (G regory et al. 1989). Optical observations carried out by Paredes & Figueras (1986) detected variable em ission at tim escales sim ilar to the radio ones and, from ROSAT data, Goldoni & Mereghetti (1995) found also X -ray variability on a timescale of days. The m icroquasar nature of LSI + 61 303 was established when a relativistic jet structure was detected through VLBI observations of this source (M assi et al. 2001).

LSI + 61 303 was proposed by G regory & Taylor (1978) as the possible counterpart of the high-energy – ray source 2CG 135+01 (Herm sen et al. 1977, Swanenburg et al. 1981), which was also detected by EGRET¹ (3EG J0241+6103) (Kni en et al. 1997, Hartman et al. 1999). The proposed association between LSI + 61 303

and the high-energy -ray source is still unclear due to the high uncertainty in position of EGRET sources. Nevertheless, no radio loud active galactic nucleus or strong radio pulsar is known within the error box of 3EG J0241+6103, which includes LSI + 61 303 (Knien et al. 1997). Variability studies can help us to reinforce the association between LSI + 61 303 and 3EG J0241+ 6103. Tavaniet al. (1998) found that the -ray ux varied by a factor of 3. A lso, W allace et al. (2000) showed that in the EGRET viewing period 211.0 (1993 February 25{M arch 9) there was a -ray are at an orbital phase around 0.5. M oreover, M assi (2004) presented a variability analysis of the EGRET data, obtaining a -ray period of 27.4 7.2 days, in agreem ent with the orbitalone. The results of that work also seem to suggest the presence of two peaks in the -ray ux: the rst one would be in a phase around 0.2(periastron passage, Casares et al. 2004), which was not covered in the data studied by W allace et al. (2000), and the second one would be in a phase around 0.5 (like in the work of W allace et al. 2000). It is worth m entioning that X-ray observations perform ed by ROSAT just six m onths before the EGRET viewing period 211.0 (1992 August{ September) showed also an X-ray peak at an orbital phase around 0.5 (Peracaula 1997, Taylor et al. 1996). All these results strengthen the 3EG J0241+ 6103/LSI+ 61 303 association. At very high-energy -rays, Hall et al. (2003) gave upper lim its for the em ission associated to this source from observations performed with the Cherenkov telescope W hipple.

Send o print requests to:V.Bosch-Ram on

¹ http://cossc.gsfc.nasa.gov/eqret

Severalm odels have been proposed in order to explain the high energy em ission of this source (e.g. Taylor et al. 1996, Punsly 1999, Harrison et al. 2000, Apparao 2001, Leahy 2001, Leahy 2004). Two typical scenarios have been proposed: a Be starplus a non-accreting pulsar or an accreting compact object with the same stellar companion. The most of the models mentioned above focused on the IC scattering of stellar photons by relativistic electrons as the mechanism for generating X-rays and/or -rays. The work of Punsly (1999) deserves a particular mention, since Synchrotron SelfC om pton (SSC) scattering plays an im portant role therein, like in the present paper. W e are interested in investigating whether LSI + 61 303 is able to generate the high-energy -ray emission detected by EGRET.However, in the present work, we have perform ed accurate num erical calculations, taking into account the electron energy losses, to obtain the spectral emission from external Compton (EC) and SSC interactions in the Thom son and K lein-N ishina regimes. Moreover, unlike the pulsar wind shock model (rst proposed by Maraschi & Treves 1981 and adopted also by, e.g., Harrison et al. 2000 and Leahy 2004), we suppose that the electrons are entrained within a jet, which is ejected from the compact object. As a matter of fact, the discovering of relativistic radio jets, very sim ilar to those observed in other m icroquasars (Mirabel & Rodr guez 1999), gives strong support to the accreting com pact object scenario. It should be noted that leptonic jet models are not the only way to explain em ission at EGRET energies. For instance, in the context of a high-m assm icroquasar like LSI + 61 303, a hadronic jet model em itting -rays through pion-decay (see, i.e., Romero et al. (2003) could also be applied. In such a case, neutrinos would be expected also, as well as an EGRET spectrum harder than the one produced in the leptonic case, due to the much lower rate of losses for protons than for electrons in the jet.

LS 5039 (3EG J1824+1514) (Paredeset al. 2000, Ribo 2002) is another m icroquasar and EGRET source candidate, sharing sim ilar characteristics with LSI + 61 303: both sources are high m ass X -ray binaries, both sources seem to harbor a neutron star (for LS 5039, M cSwain et al. 2004), both present persistent radio jets (for LS 5039, Paredes et al. 2002; for LSI+ 61 303, see M assiet al. 2001 and 2004), the apparent absence of inner disk features in the X-ray spectrum, their moderate levels of radio and X-ray em ission (for LSI + 61 303, in the quiescent state), their possible nature as high-energy -ray em itters, and that both of them are likely fed by wind from the primary (for LSI + 61 303, unless perhaps around periastron). Our model, previously applied to LS 5039 (Bosch-Ramon & Paredes 2004), has in LSI + 61 303 a new interesting object of application.

This paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2 we explain brie y our model, the application of the model to LSI+61 303 and its results are presented in Sect. 3, and the discussion of these results is developed in Sect. 4.

2.Themodel

In this model, we assume that the leptons in the jet dom inate the radiative processes related to the -ray production. The relativistic population of electrons, already accelerated and owing away into the jet, is exposed to external photons as well as to the synchrotron photons em itted by the electrons, since we take into account the magnetic eld in our model. The -ray em itting region, the -jet, is assumed to be closer to the compact object than the observed radio jets. This -jet is supposed to be short enough to be considered cylindrical. The magnetic eld (B) has been taken to be constant, as an average along the jet. We have included the interaction between the relativistic electrons and both the magnetic and the radiation elds. The energy losses of the relativistic leptonic plasm a within the

-jet are mainly due to synchrotron em ission, SSC scattering, and EC scattering. Due to the importance of the losses, the electron energy distribution density along the -jet model varies signi cantly, and in this sense the -jet is non-hom ogeneous. The -jet is studied by splitting it into cylindrical transverse cuts or slices. The size of the slices has to be suitable in order to get alm ost hom ogeneous physical conditions within each one (energy densities of the radiation and the electrons). Regarding IC interaction, we have used the cross-section of B lum enthal & Gould (1970): $(x; _{0}; _{e})$, which takes into account the low - and the high-energy regimes of interaction (i.e. the Thom son and K lein-N ishina regimes), 0 is the seed photon energy, e is the scattering electron Lorentz factor, and x is actually a function which depends on both of the form er quantities and on the scattered photon energy ().

The electron distribution is assumed to be initially a power law (N ($_{e}$) / $_{e}$ ^p, where $_{e}$ is the electron Lorentz factor), which evolves under the conditions in – posed by the magnetic and the radiation elds. Thus, the electron distribution function of a certain slice (N ($_{e}$; z)) depends on both the distance to the compact object (z) and $_{e}$. The components of the total seed photon radiation eld (U ($_{0}$; z)) are any present external radiation eld (U $_{ext}$ ($_{0}$; z)) and the synchrotron radiation eld produced by the relativistic electrons within the jet, all of them in the reference frame of the jet (for the external photon elds, see D erm er & Schlickeiser 2002).

The free parameters of the model are B and the maximum electron Lorentz factor at the slice closest to the compact object ($_{e0}^{max}$). The leptonic kinetic lum inosity or leptonic jet power (L_{ke}) is set free also, and it is scaled with the observed lum inosity, in order to reproduce the observations.

The lum inosity per energy unit in the jet's reference frame (L) is presented in Eq.1. The photon ux per energy unit or spectral photon distribution² in the reference

² In our previous paper (Bosch-Ram on & Paredes 2004), the factor $_0$ in the denom inator of the integrand in Eq.1 was inadvertently left out (see Eq.12 in that work), although correctly included in the calculations. A lso, the notation related to calculation reference frame has been clarified.

fram e of the observer (I_0^0) is shown in Eq.2. The magnitudes with $({}^0)$ are in the observer reference fram e.

$$L = \frac{X_{ax}}{V_{slice}(z)} \frac{Z_{max}(z) Z_{max}(z)}{V_{slice}(z)} \frac{U(0;z)}{0}$$
$$\frac{V_{slice}(z)}{V_{slice}(z)} \frac{U(0;z)}{0}$$
$$N(0;z) \frac{d(x;0;e)}{d} d_{0}$$
(1)

$$I_{0}^{0} = \frac{2 + p}{4 D^{2} D^{0}} L_{0}$$
 (2)

where is the Doppler factor of the jet, D is the distance from LSI + 61 303 to the observer, and $V_{\text{slice}}(z)$ is the volume of the slice at a distance z from the compact object. For further details of the model, see Bosch-R am on & Paredes (2004).

3. Application of the model to LSI + 61 303

In this section, we explore the possible nature of LSI + 61 303 as a high-energy -ray emitter. We apply ourm odelgoing through di erent magnetic eld strengths and maximum electron Lorentz factors in order to estimate the best parameter values to reproduce the EGRET data. A flerwards, we study the implications of the orbital eccentricity on the stellar photon density and the accretion rate, and how it yields variability at high-energy -rays. We note that in this work we have assumed that the external photon eld is solely due to the stellar radiation eld (U star (0;z)), taken to be a black-body. We have not considered other possible sources of external photons like a disk or a corona. For a sem i-analytical model of an inhom ogeneous jet accounting for all the external photon elds, see Bosch-Ram on et al. (2004).

3.1. Param eter election for LSI+ 61 303

LSI+ 61 303 is an X-ray binary system located at an estimated distance of 2 kpc (Frail & H jellming 1991). The bolom etric lum inosity of the companion star has been taken to be L_{star} 2 10^{38} erg s¹. The more accurate value for the radio period, $P = 26:4960 \quad 0:0028 \text{ days}$, assumed to be equal to the orbital period, was determ ined by G regory (2002), and the eccentricity is taken in concordance with Mart & Paredes (1995) and Casares et al. (2004): e 0:7. The orbital sem im a praxis will be adopted as it is usual in the literature: $a = 5 \quad 10^{12} \text{ cm}$. We de ne the distance between the companion star and the compact object as Rorb. From MERLIN observations carried out by M assiet al. (2004), the jet velocity (viet) is taken to be 0.6c. A sit is stated in that paper, the jet could be precessing, in plying a possibly strong variation of .A typical value for of =6 has been adopted. This v_{iet} im plies a jet Lorentz factor of jet = 1:25. A lso, according to radio observations (Ray et al. 1997), the radio spectral index () can vary from 0.4 to 0 (where the ux density is F /),with 0:4 in the quiescent state. These values of , following the simple relationship p = 1 2 (only

valid in the synchrotron optically thin regime), would imply a value of p in the range from 1 to 1.8, being the second number its value in the quiescent state. We will try values of p around its value in the quiescent state in our study. The observed spectrum above 100 MeV has been obtained from the third EGRET catalogue (Hartman et al. 1999). The total observed photon ux at energies higher than 100 MeV is about 8 10⁷ photons cm² s¹, and the observed photon index is = 2.2 0.1 (photon ux per energy unit: I /). All these parameters have been sum marized in Table 1.

To x the -jet radius (R), we have imposed that it should be at least of about few electron Larm or radii (10⁶ cm), in order to keep those electrons con ned inside the jet. Thus, R has been taken to be 10^7 cm. To determ ine the power of the leptonic jet, we need to x also the accretion lum inosity of the disk (Falcke & Bierm ann 1996). A typical value for a m icroquasar with a high m ass stellar companion can be L_{ac} ' 10 ⁹ M c² yr¹. Both prior parameters can also be found in Table 1. L_{ke} will be xed through comparison between the observed uxes and the model. From the EGRET energy range, and the involved seed photon and electron energies in the scattering, the initial maximum Lorentz factor of the electrons should be about 10⁴. A lso, sim ilar values for the maximum Lorentz factor were estimated from millimiter observations by Paredes et al. (2000b). A more accurate value for m_{e0}^{max} will be found when trying to reproduce the observed spectrum slope. Regarding B , we will study our model behavior along a wide range of magnetic eld values, from values of 1 G (close to the magnetic eld strengths at the radio em itting zone, see M assi et al. 1993) to 100 G.

32.Results

W e have com puted the norm alized spectral photon distributions for two di erent values of B and e_0^{max} (see Fig.1). For $m_{e0}^{max} = 10^4$ and B = 100 G, the computed spectral photon index above 100 M eV is 2.4, which is steeper than the observed one $(2.2 \quad 0:1)$. In case that the magnetic eld strength is lower, the spectrum will get softer. For $m_{\rm e0}^{\rm max}$ = 10⁵ and B = 100 G, the spectrum becomes more similar to the one observed in the EGRET energy range. Now, in case that the magnetic eld strengh is lower the spectrum will get harder. About the electron power law index, we have found that a good value m ight be around 1.7, and higher values of p would im ply a calculated photon index di erent from the one obtained from EGRET data (about 2 or more for p higher than 2). We want to remark that p is the power-law of the initial electron energy distribution, and the spectral softening is a natural consequence of the introduction of losses in our model; it is not imposed a priori. Due to the lack of data beyond 10 G eV, we cannot still give a proper upper lim it for $\frac{m \text{ ax}}{e0}$.

The values of the model parameters that reproduce properly the observations are shown in Table 2.W e have taken separately the case in which the dominant source

es.

Param eter	D escription	derived values from observations	
jet	jet Lorentz factor	1.25	
	angle between the jet and the observer line of sight	30	
Vjet	jet velocity	0 . 6c	
a	orbital sem i-m a jor axis	$5 \ 10^{12} \text{ cm}$	
е	orbital eccentricity	0.7	
D	distance to the observer	2 kpc	
L _{star}	star total lum inosity	2 10^{38} erg s ¹	
I _{> 100 M eV}	photon ux at the EGRET band	8 10 7 photon cm 2 s 1	
	photon index at the EGRET band	2.2	
Param eter	D escription	adopted values	
R	-jet radius	10^7 cm	
L_{ac}	accretion disk lum inosity	10 9 M c ² yr 1	



F ig.1. Computed spectral photon distribution norm alized to the photon ux value at 100 MeV for di erent values of the magnetic eld and the maximum electron Lorentz factor. The solid and dotted lines represent I_0^0 for two di erent B :1 and 100 G, respectively, and a e_{0}^{max} of 10^5 . The dot-dashed and dashed lines represent I_0^0 for two di erent B :1 and 100 G, respectively, and a e_{0}^{max} of 10^4 .

of seed photons is the companion star (i.e. B = 1 G, see Figs.2 and 3) and the case in which the dom inant source of seed photons is the synchrotron process within the jet (i.e. B = 10 G, see Fig. 4). Also, in order to study the implications on variability of the orbital eccentricity at the EGRET energy range, we have calculated the spectral photon distribution at an orbital distance equal to the orbital sem im a jor axis, at the periastron passage and at the apastron passage. How ever, in a rst and simpler situation (Fig. 2), only the variations in the stellar photon density have been taken into account. In the other two cases (Figs. 3 and 4), accretion variation has been added. Follow ing the accretion m odel of B ondi & H oyle (1944),

Table 2. Two sets of free parameters used to reproduce the observations.

Param eter	dom inant E C	dom inant SSC
B L _{ke} max e0	1 G 10 ³⁵ erg/s 10 ⁵	10 G 3 10 ³⁵ erg/s 10 ⁵

the accretion rate has been taken to be proportional to the density of the medium surrounding the compact object and further dependences have been neglected here. We have assumed also that the ambient density decreases like $1=R_{orb}^2$.

It is worth noting that, although for the two magnetic eld strengths quoted before the spectral photon distribution is quite similar, the physical origin of the seed photons and the length of the -jet are di erent. W hen B = 1 G, the length reached by the jet's electrons em itting at 100 M eV by IC process (the -jet length) is of about one astronom ical unit whereas, for B = 10 G, the length of such a jet is roughly one hundred tim es sm aller.

4.D iscussion

O ur m odel is able to reproduce the observed data, supposing that the emission detected by EGRET comes from a compact cylindrical jet close to the compact ob ject. In order to reach the observed levels of emission, a L_{ke} of 10^{35} erg/s for B = 1 G, or of 3 10^{35} erg/s for B = 10 G, is necessary. These values for the leptonic jet power are well within the limits given in the work of Falcke & Bierm ann (1996), using our adopted L_{ac} . Regarding $\frac{max}{e0}$, for a value of 10^5 , the model reproduces properly the observations. O therw ise, future instrum ents covering EGRET



Fig.2. Computed spectral photon distribution above 100 MeV plotted with the EGRET data points. Only changes in the stellar photon density have been taken into account and, due to the bw magnetic eld, EC dom – inates. p is taken to be 1.7, $_{e0}^{max} = 10^5$, and B = 1 G. There are plotted the computed I_0^0 for di erent orbital radii: a (solid line), the distance at the periastron passage (a (1 e), dashed line), and the distance at the apastron passage (a (1 + e), dotted line).



Fig.3. Same as in Fig.2 but now changes in both the stellar photon density and the accretion rate have been taken into account.

and higher energy ranges (i.e. AG ILE³, G LAST⁴, HESS⁵, MAG IC⁶) will allow to get a better constraint on $\substack{\text{max} \\ e0}$, as well as will perm it to check whether the predicted spec-



Fig.4.Same as in Fig.3 but with B = 10 G.

tral shape follows the real source spectrum . A lso, assum ing that the electron energy distribution function is represented roughly by a power-law, we have found that p should be of about 1.7, which is also in agreement with radio observations in the quiescent state. This fact seem s to suggest that both radiation types (radio and -rays) com e from the jet. O urm odel also predicts that, during a are, variations in both the intensity and the slope of the spectrum at higher energies should be detected in correlation with the ones observed at radio frequencies. This is not necessarily in contradiction with the observed shift in tim e of the em ission peaks at di erent energy bands, which have been linked to changes in the environm ent and within the jet itself (M art & Paredes1995, Strickm an et al. 1998, G regory et al. 1999) and whose study is beyond the scope of this work. O therw ise, good tim ing and spectral resolution observations at high-energy -rays and coordinated observations at di erent energy bands are needed in order to nd out whether both the low - and the high-energy em ission have the same origin. We will not go, for the m om ent, into the study of the em ission at frequencies below the high-energy -rays, being necessary to extend the m odelisation of the jet up to bigger scales. A further com m ent can be done, regarding the role of the magnetic eld in our model: unlike in som e other developed models before, B could play an important role in the generation of seed photons in the high energy IC em ission. It is worth also mentioning that the upper lim its of emission at hundreds of GeV (Hall et al. 2003) would be in agreement with the softening of the computed spectral photon distribution above 10 GeV. This softening above 10 GeV would make the source extremely faint at hundreds of GeV and above. The previous point and a spectrum at X-rays harder than at EGRET energies, likely due to the electron energy losses, seem to point to a dom inant leptonic radiative mechanism in the jet (IC) instead to a hadronic one.

³ http://agilemi.iasf.cnr.it

⁴ http://glast.gsfc.nasa.gov

⁵ http://wwwmpihdmpg.de/hfm/HESS/HESS.html

⁶ http://hegra1.m.ppmum.pg.de/MAGICWeb/

Regarding variability, our model predicts that im portant variations of the ux might occur along the eccentric orbit. For the sake of sim plicity, we have contem plated two cases. The stone accounts for the variation of the EC photon ux along the orbit due only to the changes in the stellar photon density because of the eccentricity (see Fig. 2). This is only relevant if the SSC e ect is not signi cant. The second one takes into account the variation of the IC photon uxes due to the changes in both the stellar photon density and the accretion rate because of the orbital eccentricity (see Figs. 3 and 4). The high-energy -ray em ission variability is clearly dom inated by the accretion rate variations along the orbit. As it is mentioned in Sect. 1, the high-energy -ray em ission of 3EG J0241+ 6103 varies a factor of 3. A lso, all of the computed spectral photon distributions present uxes that vary within a range of 2{30 times. Due to EGRET tim ing sensitivity, the periodic outburst at -rays associated to the periastron passage could not be sam pled properly though the detected averaged em ission could explain the observed variability of 3EG J0241+6103, being also related to the strong radio outbursts. Such a -ray outburst would be detected sm oother and earlier in orbital phase than the radio one. If this is true, more sensitive tim ing observations at high-energy -rays along the orbit will nd larger variability than the previously found. It is interesting to note that our model predicts a peak in the periastron at 0.2 (as it is found in the work of M assi 2004), when accretion rate is expected to be higher. The second peak at phase 0.5, m entioned in the Sect. 1, can be explained in the context of an eccentric orbit where the interaction between the compact object and the wind of the stellar companion produces an increase in the accretion rate under certain conditions (M art & Paredes1995). This would produce also a variability e ect on the -ray ux like the one showed in Figs. 3 and 4.

Short tim escale variability could be produced by precession (see, for instance, K aufm an Bernado et al. 2002). In M assi et al. (2004), the authors found evidence of precession of the LSI + 61 303's jets on timescales of a few days, similar to the shorter variability timescales found at high-energy -rays by Tavani et al. (1998) for 2CG 135+01. We have computed the uxes for dierent values of the angle , from 0 to /2, obtaining variations in the intensity of the IC emission of alm ost two orders of magnitud. This gives us just an upper limit for the intensity changes of the -ray emission due to precession, in agreement with observed variability at these energy ranges.

Regarding the e ect of the magnetic eld on the length-scale of the -jet, for B above 10 G and due to the strong energy losses induced by the SSC e ect, the electronsm ight need to be reaccelerated signi cantly affer leaving the -jet to reach the observed radio jet. How ever, for B lower than 10 G, reacceleration m ight not be necessary in regions closer to the compact object than the radio jet. It is remarkable that higher magnetic elds im ply m ore seed photons though higher energy losses as well, and this is the reason why the leptonic jet power requirements are slightly di erent depending on the dom inant mechanism of -ray emission, EC or SSC scattering (see Table 2).

Finally, comparing the results obtained applying our model to LSI + 61 303 with the ones obtained in Bosch-Ram on & Paredes (2004) for LS 5039, we want to note the strong sim ilarities shown by both sources. Nevertheless, it is remarkable that the jet power in the rst case is ten tim es smaller than in the second one. This is related to the fact that both sources present di erent Lorentz factors. The Lorentz factor of the jet in LSI + 61 303 is mildly relativistic but higher than the Lorentz factor of the jet in LS 5039 (1.25 and 1.02, respectively). This higher Lorentz factor has two e ects. The stone is the increase of the observed ux due to the Doppler boosting, needing less kinetic power in the jet to explain the observed levels of em ission. The second e ect is an increase of the stellar seed photon density in the reference fram e of the jet of LSI + 61 303. This makes the photon eld density of the stellar companion of LSI + 61 303 (a BO V star) in the reference fram e of the jet to be sim ilar to the one in the case of LS 5039 (a ON 6.5 V ((f)) star). Therefore, in order to know which source of seed photons can be dom inant, is in portant also to have a good know ledge of both the jet kinem atic characteristics and the angle between the jet and line of sight.

A cknow ledgem ents. W e are grateful to M arc R ibo and G ustavo E. R om ero for their useful comments and suggestions. V B-R. and JM P. acknow ledge partial support by DGI of the M inisterio de C iencia y Tecnolog a (Spain) under grant AYA-2001-3092, as well as additional support from the European R egional D evelopm ent Fund (ERDF/FEDER). D uring this work, V B-R has been supported by the DGI of the M inisterio de C iencia y Tecnolog a (Spain) under the fellow ship FP-2001-2699.

References

- Apparao, K.M.V.2001, A&A, 366, 865
- Blum enthal, G.R.& Gould, R.J. 1970, RMP, 42, 237
- Bondi, H.& Hoyle, F. 1944, MNRAS, 104, 273
- Bosch-Ramon, V. & Paredes, J.M. 2004, A&A, 417, 1075
- Bosch-Ramon, V., Romero, G.E. & Paredes, J.M. 2004, A & A, submitted
- Casares, J., Ribas, I., Paredes, J. M., & Mart 2004, A&A, submitted
- Dermer, C.D. & Schlickeiser, R. 2002, ApJ, 575, 667
- Falcke, H. & Bierm ann, P.L. 1996, A & A, 308, 321
- Frail, D.A.& Hjellming, R.M. 1991, AJ, 101, 2126
- Goldoni, P. & Mereghetti, S. 1995, A & A, 299, 751
- G regory, P.C. & Taylor, A.R. 1978, Nature, 272, 704
- Gregory, P.C., Xu, H., Backhouse, C.J., & Reid, A. 1989, ApJ, 339, 1054
- G regory, P.C., Peracaula, M., & Taylor, A.R. 1999, ApJ, 520, 376
- G regory, P.C. 2002, ApJ, 575, 427
- Hall, T.A., Bond, I.H., Bradbury, S.M. et al. 2003, ApJ, 583, 853

- Harrison, F.A., Ray, P.S., Leahy, D.A., Waltman, E.B., & Pooley, G.G. 2000, ApJ, 528, 454
- Hartman, R.C., Bertsch, D.L., & Bloom, S.D. et al. 1999, ApJS, 123, 79
- Herm sen, W., Swanenburg, B.N., Bignam i, G.F. et al. 1977, Nature, 269, 494
- Hutchings, J.B. & Cram pton, D. 1981, PASP, 93, 486
- Kaufm an Bernado, M . M ., Romero, G . E ., & M irabel, I. F . 2002, A & A , 385, L10{L13
- Knien, D.A., Alberts, W.C.K., Bertsch, D.L. et al. 1997, ApJ, 486, 126
- Leahy, D.A. 2001, A & A, 380, 516
- Leahy, D.A. 2004, A & A, 413, 1019
- M araschi, L.& Treves, A.1981, MNRAS, 194, 1P
- Mart, J.& Paredes, J.M. 1995, A&A, 298, 151
- M assi, M ., Paredes, J.M ., Estalella, R ., & Felli, M .1993, A & A , 269, 249
- Massi, M., Ribo, M., Paredes, J.M., Peracaula, M., & Estalella, R. 2001, A & A, 376, 217
- Massi, M., Ribo, M., Paredes J.M., et al 2004, A&A, 414, L1
- Massi, M. 2004, A & A, accepted [astro-ph/0404605]
- M cSwain, M .V ., G ies D .R ., Huang W ., et al. 2004, ApJ, 600, 927
- M irabel, I.F. & Rodr guez, L.F. 1999, ARA & A, 37, 409
- Paredes, J.M. & Figueras, F. 1986, A & A, 154, L30
- Paredes, J.M. 1987, PhD thesis, Universitat de Barcelona
- Paredes, J.M., Mart, J., Ribo, M., & Massi, M. 2000, Science, 288, 2340
- Paredes, J.M ., M art , J., Peracaula, M ., Pooley, G ., & M irabel, I.F. 2000b, A & A , 357, 507
- Paredes, J.M., Ribo, M., Ros, E., Mart, J., & Massi, M. 2002, A&A, 393, L99
- Peracaula, M. 1997, PhD thesis, Universitat de barcelona
- Punsly, B. 1999, ApJ, 519, 336
- Ray, P.S., Foster, R.S., Waltman, E.B., Tavani, M., & Ghigo, F.D. 1997, ApJ, 491, 381
- R ibo, M . 2002, PhD Thesis, Universitat de Barcelona
- Romero, G.E., Torres, D.F., Kaufman Bernado, M.M., & Mirabel, I.F. 2003, A & A, 410, L1
- Strickm an, M .S., Tavani, M ., Coe, M .J., et al1998, ApJ, 497, 419
- Swanenburg, B.N., Bennett, K., Bignam i, G.F., et al. 1981, ApJ, 243, L69
- Tavani, M., Knien, D., Mattox, J. R., Paredes, J. M., & Foster, R. 1998, ApJ, 497, L89
- Taylor, A.R., Gregory, P.C., Hutchings, J.B., & Crampton, D.1980, IAU Circ., 3464, 3
- Taylor, A. R., Young, G., Peracaula, M., Kenny, H. T., & G regory, P.C. 1996, A & A, 305, 817
- Taylor, A.R. & G regory, P.C. 1982, ApJ, 255, 210
- W allace, P.M., Gris, N.J., Bertsch, D.L. et al. 2000, ApJ, 540, 184