Dust em ission model of Lym an-break galaxies

 ${\tt T.T.Takeuchi^{1?}}$ and ${\tt T.T.Ishif^{2?}}$

¹ Laboratoire d'A strophysique de M arseille, Traverse du Siphon, BP 8-13376 M arseille C edex 12, France e-m ail:tsutomu.takeuchi@oamp.fr

² K w asan O bservatory, K yoto U niversity, Y am ashina-ku, K yoto, 607{8471, Japan e-m ail: ishii@kwasan.kyoto-u.ac.jp

R eceived/A ccepted

Abstract. Lym an-break galaxies (LBGs) contain a non-negligible am ount of dust. Takeuchiet al. (2003a) (T 03) constructed a model of the infrared spectral energy distribution (SED) for very young galaxies by taking into account the dust size distribution in the early stage of galaxy evolution, which can be di erent from that of present-day evolved galaxies. We applied T 03 m odel to LBGs and constructed their expected SED. In order to exam ine the grain size distribution of dust, we calculated the SED s based on two distinct type of the distribution m odels: a single-sized distribution and a power-law distribution with a slope of $dN = da / a^{3:5}$. We found that the single-sized and power-law dust size distributions yield a very sim ilar detectability of LBG s at the subm illim etre (submm). We also found that galaxies with a power-law dust distribution have much less ux at mid-infrared (M IR) than the other. By m aking use of this fact we can explore the dust grain size distribution in high-redshift galaxies through (observer-fram e) FIR observations. Then, we applied the model to a gravitationally lensed LBG MS 1512 cB58 (cB58), a unique probe of the dust em ission from LBGs. Observations by SCUBA suggest that the dust is hot in this galaxy. Our model framework well reproduced the hot dust tem perature under a natural assumption for this galaxy. We also exam ined the detectability of LBGs at submm wavelengths in an eight-hour deep survey by ALMA. The LBG population with an age $> 10^8$ yr and a SFR > 10 M yr 1 can be detected in such a survey. By integrating over their redshifted SED s with the observed lum inosity functions, we obtained the contribution of LBGs to the cosm ic infrared background radiation (CIRB). Although they have non-negligible amount of dust, their contribution was found to be small, especially in the FIR 200 m. Thus, we need a strongly obscured population of galaxies which contains a large am ount of star form ation, at som e epoch in the history of the universe.

Keywords. dust, extinction { galaxies: evolution { galaxies: form ation { galaxies: high redshift { in frared: galaxies

1. Introduction

Star form ation and m etal enrichm ent in an early stage of galaxies are of great in portance to understand the history of the universe. W ith the aid of a variety of new observational techniques and large facilities, studies on such young galaxies are being pushed to higher and higher redshifts. The surveys that have most e ciently secured large population of galaxies at a redshift z 3 are those that exploit the Lym an break to identify high-z candidates: Lym anbreak galaxies (LBG s) (Steidel et al. 1999, 2003).

Even in LBG s, there is clear evidence that they contain non-negligible am ount of dust (e.g., Sawicki & Yee 1998; A delberger & Steidel 2000; C alzetti 2001; Shapley et al. 2001). Dust grains absorb stellar light and re-em it it in the far infrared (FIR), hence it is crucial to evaluate the intensity and spectrum of FIR em ission from galaxies for understanding their star form ation properties (e.g., Buat et al. 2002; H irashita et al. 2003, and references therein). D espite its im portance, there still rem ains a large uncertainty in our understanding of the properties of dust em ission from LBGs (for a review, see Calzetti 2001).

O uchiet al. (1999) have estimated the submm ux from LBGs and, for the rst time, found empirically that the dust temperature should be high in order to explain their non-detection by SCUBA. Chapman et al. (2000) also pointed out that the SCUBA submm ux of LBGs were much weaker than that expected from their UV spectral slope index. They discussed that it is hard to reconcile unless dust temperature T is higher than that of local starbursts (T > 70K). For the analysis of SCUBA ux

Send o print requests to:T.T.Takeuchi

e-m ail:tsutomu.takeuchi@oamp.fr.

[?] PostdoctoralFellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Research A broad.

^{??} PostdoctoralFellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

of a highly lensed LBG, MS 1512 cB 58 (hereafter cB 58), Saw icki (2001) (S01) perform ed a thorough survey of the param eter space of dust tem perature and em issivity index, and concluded that the dust tem perature and/or em issivity index in cB 58 is substantially higher than those seen in local galaxies.

A nother in portant issue to be addressed is the contribution of dust em ission from LBGs to the cosm ic infrared background (CIRB) (G ispert et al. 2000; H auser & D wek 2001; Takeuchi et al. 2001a; Totani & Takeuchi 2002). The star formation rate (SFR) density of LBGs is now believed to increase toward redshifts z > 3, if we borrect' dust extinction (Steidel et al. 1999). Then, the remission from dust in LBGs is an interesting target to exam ine. Some resent observations suggest that their contribution to the total CIRB is not very large, at most

20 % (W ebb et al.2003). If so, there must be other contributors to the C \mathbb{RB} , which should bear an intense star form ation comparable or even larger than that in LBG s. Hence, theoretical prediction of the dust emission plays an important role in the interpretation of the cosm ic SFR and the C \mathbb{RB} .

In order to address these issues and to make a consistent picture of the dust emission from LBGs, we must consider their star formation history, chemical evolution and dust mass evolution, radiation process with nonequilibrium temperature uctuation, and dust composition properly. Recently, H irashita et al. (2002) (H 02) have developed a model for the evolution of dust content in very young galaxies (age t $< 10^9$ yr). Type II supernovae (SN e II) are the dominant source for the production of dust grains in young star-forming galaxies (D wek & Scalo 1980). H 02 have modeled the evolution of FIR lum inosity and dust temperature in such a young starburst on the basis of SN e II grain formation model of Todini & Ferrara (2001) (TF 01).

Takeuchietal. (2003a) (T03) subsequently constructed a model of infrared (IR) spectral energy distribution (SED) of galaxies starting from the HO2 model. It has been believed that the size of dust grains form ed in SNe II cannot be as large as $0:1\{1 \text{ m (TF01)}, \text{ and }$ host galaxies are too young for grains to grow in the interstellar space. T 03, for the st time, properly considered the dust size distribution peculiar to the very early stage of galaxy evolution, and construct a model of the IR SED of very young galaxies. T 03 m odel successfully reproduced the peculiar SED of a local metal-de cient galaxy SBS 0335 052 (Z = 1=41 Z), which we regarded as an analogue of genuine young galaxies. How ever, in clear contrast, recently Nozawa et al. (2003) has presented a new model of dust grain form ation in SNe II, which produce larger grains ($0:1\{1 m\}$) than the previously believed conjecture. Their dust has a broken power-law grain size distribution. Based on the known physical condition in LBGs, we discuss the possible geometrical con guration of dust, and show that we can test the dust size distribution at high redshift by an observation of LBG s in the IR

and submm. Such observations will be soon possible by, e.g., SPICA, Herschel, SMA and ALMA.

The layout of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we brie y describe our model fram ework. We present our basic results for the SED s of LBG s in Section 3. Related discussions are given in Section 4. First we focus on a gravitationally magni ed LBG M S 1512 cB 58, a unique probe of the internal physics of LBG s. Then we consider som e cosm ologically important problem s. Section 5 is devoted to our sum mary and conclusions.

2.SED M odel for Extrem ely Young Galaxies

2.1. SED construction

Since H 02 treats the evolution of dust content in a galaxy younger than 10^8 yr, the only contributor to the total dust m ass (M _{dust}) in a young (< 10^8 yr) galaxy is the supply from SN e II. The rate of SN e II is given by

$$(t) = (t t_{m}) (m) dm;$$
 (1)

where (t) is the SFR at age t (we de net = 0 at the beginning of the star form ation), (m) is the initial mass function (\mathbb{M} F), t_m is the lifetime of a star whose mass is m, and it is assumed that only stars with m > 8 M produce SN e II. H 02 assume a constant SFR, = 0, and a Salpeter $\mathbb{I}M$ F. Then the rate of increase of M dust is written as M-dust = m dust (m dust is the typical dust mass produced by one SN II). H 02 adopted m dust = 0.4 M .

In general we must take into account not only the production but also destruction of dust grains. However, the tim escale of dust destruction is much longer than that we consider in this work, hence the e ect of the destruction appears to be negligible. Detailed evaluation can be found in H 02 [their eq. (2)].

Dust grains are roughly divided into silicate and carbonaceous ones. TF01 have presented that the sizes of silicate and carbonaceous grains form ed in SN II ejecta to be about 10 A and 300 A, respectively. Classical studies claim ed that the dust grains originating from SNe II cannot be as large as those form ed in the atmosphere of evolved AGB stars or grown in the diuse interstellar space (Kozasa & Hasegawa 1987; TF01). In this case, the discrete and sm all grain sizes m ake the appearance of the IR SED of young galaxies drastically di erent from that of aged norm algalaxies. On the other hand, recently Nozawa et al. (2003) have proposed a drastically di erent picture of dust size distribution from SNe II. They showed that, under som e condition, dust grains can grow large even within the expansion timescale of SNe ejecta. Consequently, their size distribution of grains have a broken power-law shape. For com parison, we also consider this case by using the sim ple description of G alactic dust (M athis et al 1977) as

$$\frac{\mathrm{dN}}{\mathrm{da}} / a^{3:5}$$
 (2)

for both grain species, i.e., silicate or carbon dust. Here we note that we do not include polycyclic arom atic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in our dust grain model, because they are rarely found in metal-poor systems and/or in intense radiation environments (e.g., Madden 2000; Galliano et al. 2003).

It is well accepted that very small grains are stochastically heated, that is they cannot establish them alequilibrium with the ambient radiation eld (D raine & Anderson 1985; D raine & Li 2001): the heat capacity of very small grains is too small to maintain its temperature until the next photon impinges. As a result, the temperature of a grain varies violently in time, and we can de ne a distribution of the instantaneous temperature of a grain, which will be introduced in eq. (9). For the heat capacity of a grain, C (T), we apply the multidimensionalD ebye model according to D raine & Li (2001).

Then we calculated the temperature distribution of dust as a function of size a by M onte C arlo simulations, following D raine & Anderson (1985). The UV radiation eld strength is determined by the history of OB star lum inosity of H 02 and the size of the star forming region $r_{\rm SF}$. For the geometry, we assume that the OB stars are concentrated in the centre of the system, and dust is assumed to be distributed as a shell. We regard the radius of the sphere, r as the size of the spherical star forming region, $r_{\rm SF}$. The ux is expressed by J (t) = $L_{\rm OB}$ (t)=(4 $r_{\rm SF}^2$), hence the UV energy density, u, is obtained by u = J (t)=c. The spectral UV energy density u is calculated from the assumed spectrum of the UV radiation eld.

The rate at which a grain absorbs a photon with energy E = E + dE is expressed as¹

$$\frac{d^2p}{dE dt} = Q_{abs}(a;) a^2 u \frac{3}{h^2 c}; \qquad (3)$$

where Q_{abs} is the absorption e ciency of a dust grain.W e used the values proposed by D raine & Lee (1984) for Q_{abs} of silicate and carbon grains.

The heating is represented as follows:

$$\frac{hc}{T} = \frac{4 a^3}{3} \int_{T_0}^{Z_T} C (T^0) dT^0; \qquad (4)$$

where T is the peak tem perature achieved by a grain hit by a photon with energy hc=, and T_0 is the grain tem – perature just before absorption. On the other hand, the grain cools through radiation as

$$\frac{\mathrm{dT}}{\mathrm{dt}} = \frac{3}{\mathrm{aC}(\mathrm{T})} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} Q_{\mathrm{abs}}(\mathrm{a}; \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{T})\mathrm{d};$$
(5)

where $B\$ (T) is the blackbody function represented as a function of wavelength.

From Equations (3), (4), and (5), we obtain the sample path of a grain temperature as a function of time.

The total mass of each grain component is given by TF01. The mass ratio we adopt here is M $_{\rm sil}$: M $_{\rm C}$ = 0.56 : 0.44 (H 02). W ith this value and material density of each species ($_{\rm sil}$ = 3.50 gcm 3 and $_{\rm C}$ = 2.26 gcm 3 : D raine & Lee 1984), we obtain the normalization of the dust size distribution dN $_{\rm i}=da_{\rm i}^0,$

$$M_{dust}f_{i} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{4 a_{i}^{0^{3}} a_{i}}{3} \frac{dN_{i}}{da_{i}^{0}} da_{i}^{0};$$
(6)

where subscript i denotes the species of dust, sil or C, and f_i is the mass fraction of dust of species i. The total number of grains, N $_i$, is expressed as

$$N_{i} = \frac{Z}{dN_{i}} \frac{dN_{i}}{da_{i}^{0}} da_{i}^{0} :$$
(7)

For the TF01 dust distribution, a_i is xed for each dust component to a speci c value a_i , i.e., $dN_i = da_i^0 = N_i$ ($a_i^0 = a_i$). In this case, with E quations (7) and (6), the norm alization reduces to

$$N_{i} = \frac{3M_{dust}f_{i}}{4 a_{i i}^{3}} :$$
(8)

W e drop the prime in the following expressions.

Total IR emission spectrum from a galaxy is then calculated by superposing each of the continuum from silicate and carbonaceous grains,

$$L_{IR}; (t) = \begin{cases} X & Z & Z \\ & 4 & a_{i}^{2}Q_{abs}^{i}()B & (T) \frac{dN_{i}}{da_{i}} \frac{dP_{i}(u;a_{i})}{dT} dT da_{i}(9) \end{cases}$$

where dP_i(u;a_i)=dT is the tem perature probability density function, i.e., the fraction of time for which a grain with species i in a UV radiation eld with energy density u stays in a tem perature range [Γ ;T + dT]. The starburst age is incorporated with N_i (i.e., dN_i=da_i) and dP_i(u;a_i)=dT through M_{dust}(t) and u (t). Here we put a constraint that the am ount of absorbed light is equal to the FIR lum inosity. Lastly, if the dust opacity is very large, then self-absorption occurs, and even M IR radiation from dust is absorbed by the dust itself. We treat the extinction as given by a shellm odel. We crudely approxim ate the dust opacity and extinction to the rst order as

$$\begin{array}{c} {}_{\rm dust}(\) \ ' & {}^{\rm X} & {}^{2}{}_{\rm a}^{2}{}_{\rm abs;i}(\) \frac{3N_{i}}{4 \ [(r_{\rm SF} + r_{\rm SF})^{3} \ r_{\rm SF}^{3}]} \ r_{\rm SF} \\ {}^{\rm i} & {}^{\rm i} & {}^{2}{}_{\rm abs;i}(\) \frac{N_{i}}{4 \ r_{\rm SF}^{2}}; \end{array}$$
(10)

$$I() = I_0() e^{dust()}$$
: (11)

The absorbed light is re-em itted at longer wavelengths, mainly in the submm, and consequently, the SED is deformed by the self-absorption.Final SED is obtained via this absorption {re-em ission process.For further technical details, see T 03.

 $^{^1}$ W e note that there are two typographic errors in Equations (13) and (14) of T 03. The equations we show here are the correct expressions.

2.2. Input param eters for LBGs

Here we consider the input physical parameters in the model calculation for LBGs. We adopted the dust grain sizes $a_c = 200 \text{ A}$ and $a_{sil} = 6 \text{ A}$ for our canonical model. They are the same as those for SBS 0335 052 and IZw 18 used in T 03, because LBGs are thought to be young, and hence we expect similar dust properties to them.

The SFR of LBGs spreads over the range of SFR ' $1\{300 \text{ M yr}^{1} \text{ with a median value of SFR ' 20 M yr}^{1}$ (e.g., Erb et al. 2003). We assumed a constant SFR up to the age shorter than 10^{9} yr, which m ay be a good approximation (e.g., Baker et al. 2004). Within the young age oft < 10^{9} yr, neither SN e I nor RG B/AGB stars contribute to the dust production. O ther dust grow th mechanism in the interstellar medium cannot work e ectively, either (see, e.g., W hittet 1992). Thus, the basic fram ew ork of T 03 m odel is valid for LBG s.

The most important information to calculate the IR SED is the elective size of the star forming region, but it is the most uncertain quantity at the same time. It is still beyond the possibility of the present facilities to measure the size directly from observations. The mean half-light radius of LBGs is estimated to be 1:6 kpc from HST observations (Erb et al. 2003), hence it may be a general value for LBG populations. The similarity between the rest UV and optical morphologies suggests that the dust in LBG sm ay not preferentially obscure particular regions in these galaxies (Dickinson 2000; Calzetti 2001). Then we can safely use the galaxy radius $r_{\rm G}$ as the radius of a star-forming region, $r_{\rm SF}$, i.e., $r_{\rm SF}=2$ kpc. W e will revisit this issue with more physical considerations in Section 4.

3.Results

Based on the above-m entioned settings, we calculated the IR SEDs of LBG swith SFR = 10, 30, and 100 M yr 1 as representative cases. We traced the evolution of the SEDs from t = 10^{7} yr to 10^{9} yr.

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the SED for the singlesized dust size distribution. The dust opacity is found to be not very large, and consequently, the silicate features appeared in emission. Only in the case of SFR = 100M yr¹, these features becomes a signi cant absorption after 3 10^8 yr. M ost of the LBG s have a lower SFR than SFR = 100M yr¹, hence basically we can expect the silicate emission band feature in their M IR spectra. A long the same line, since the self-absorption is not signi cant for LBG spectra, we also expect a strong M IR continuum emission from LBGs if the single-sized dust distribution takes place.

For comparison, we next show the evolution of the SED for the power-law dust size distribution in Figure 2. In this case, an obvious di erence is that the M IR radiation is an order of m agnitude weaker than that for the single-sized dust results. This is because the power-law size distribution has much sm aller am ount of sm all grains (a < 100A) than the single-sized distribution. The extinction proper-

ties are similar to that of single-sized dust case, despite the drastic di erence of the SED s. Thus, if the geom etrical con guration of dust is similar among LBG s, the M IR observation can be a strong tool to explore the size distribution of dust grains.

4.D iscussions

4.1.MS 1512 cB58

It is still di cult to compare a model prediction for young galaxies to observational data of LBGs. Among the LBGs, a typical L LBG cB58 is a fortunate exception: it has been observed in various wavelengths (e.g., Ellingson et al. 1996; Bechtold et al. 1997; Nakanishi et al. 1997; Teplitz et al. 2000; Baker et al. 2001), because of a strong m agni cation by gravitational lensing (factor 22{40: Seitz et al. 1998).W e adopt a com m only used value of 30 for m agni cation. The half-light radius of cB58 is estim ated to be $r_{\rm G}$ ' 1:4h ¹ kpc from a detailed in age reconstruction Seitz et al. (1998)².

From spectroscopic observations of nebular lines, the SFR of cB58 is estimated to be ' $10\{20h^2 M \text{ yr}^1 (\text{Pettinietal. 2000})$. The age of major star formation in cB58 was estimated to be t_{SF} ' 35M yr (Matteucci & Pipino 2002). An older age estimate of t_{SF} = 140M yr is given by (Baker et al. 2004), and we adopt both of these values for our calculations. This is broadly consistent with other observational suggestions (e.g., Pettiniet al. 2000; Ellingson et al. 1996), and significantly younger than the median value of those of general LBGs (350M yr: Shapley et al. 2001).

The optical extinction of cB58 is estimated to be E (B V) ' 0.27 (Pettiniet al. 2000; Ellingson et al. 1996; Teplitz et al. 2000). Em ission from dust in cB58 is measured at two wavelengths: Sawicki (2001) observed this galaxy at 850 m by SCUBA on the JCMT, and no signal was detected above 3 level of 3.9 m Jy. Another observation by SCUBA (van der W erf et al. 2001) detected a 850 m ux to be 4.2 0.9 m Jy. Baker et al. (2001) reported a detection at 1.2 m m by MAMBO (M ax-Planck M illimeter Bolometer) array, and the ux was 1.06 0:35 m Jy (4:4).

The subm m ux from the foreground cD galaxy (6^{00} away from cB 58) may contam inate the observed data (Sawicki 2001). A fler careful examination of the new subm m mapping data, it has been concluded that the contam ination is 5 % at 850 m and 22 % at 1.2 mm, respectively (Baker et al. 2004), though there still remain some other uncertainties (e.g., Baker et al. 2004 discusses a possible contribution of em ission lines or background sources. W e may need an interferom etric observation to solve this problem). In addition, the uncertainties in the lensing correction and in the UV based SFR estimation may also be as large as that of the contam ination correction.

² Throughout this paper, we adopt a cosmology with $(h; _0; _0) = (0.7; 0.3; 0.7)$, where $h = H_0 = 100$:



F ig.1. The evolution of the SED for the single-sized dust size distribution. From left to right, the star form ation rate (SFR) is 10, 30, and 100 M yr ¹. The dotted, dashed, dot-dashed, long-dashed, and solid lines represent that the age of the major star form ation in a galaxy is 1.0 10^7 yr, 3.0 10^7 yr, 1.0 10^8 yr, 3.0 10^8 yr, 3.0 10^7 yr, and 1.0 10^9 yr, respectively.



F ig.2. The evolution of the SED for the power-law dust size distribution. Comparing with Figure 1, we see a clear di erence in the MIR.

tion.W e should keep these issues in m ind in the following discussions.

4.1.1. IR SED

W e use a comm only accepted value of 24 M yr 1 as a SFR (B aker et al. 2004) and assume the SFR to be constant in time. For the age of the major star formation in cB 58, as we mentioned above, we adopt 35 M yr and 140 M yr. Because of its young age, dust is predom inantly produced by SN e II and the framework of T 03 model is again valid for cB 58. The half-light radius of the reconstructed in age of cB 58 (' 2 kpc Seitz et al. 1998) is very similar to that of general LBGs (1:6 kpc E ib et al. 2003).

We show the model SED of cB58 in Figure 3. Left panel presents the SED with a single-sized dust, and Right panel shows the SED with a power-law distribution (Equation 2), respectively. Symbols represent the measured ux densities reported by Sawicki (2001) (upper limits), Baker et al. (2001) (open triangles) and van der W erfet al. (2001) (open squares). There are two open symbols at each wavelength: the upper open symbols are the measured values, and the lower ones represent the ux densities corrected for the possible contam ination by the point source at the lens cluster center (Baker et al. 2004). It is still not clear whether the point source is the cD galaxy or not (Baker et al. 2004).

The apparent peak of the SED is located at a wavelength shorter than 100 m at the restframe of cB58. A ctually, the dust temperature is higher than that of the local (z = 0) sample of norm algalaxies observed by SCUBA, but comparable to the most intense dusty starbursts (D unne & Eales 2001). It is mainly because of an intense UV radiation eld of cB58 and low dust opac-



F ig.3.M odel spectral energy distribution (SED) of a lensed Lym an-break galaxy M S 1512 cB 58 (cB 58).Left panel shows the observed SED calculated based on the single-sized dust size distribution with silicate grain radius $a_{sil} = 6A$ and carbon grain radius $a_c = 200A$. The observed SED is magni ed by a factor 30.R ight panel shows the SED with a power-law grain size distribution. Symbols represent the measured ux densities reported by Saw icki (2001) (upper lim its), Baker et al. (2001) (open triangles) and van der W erf et al. (2001) (open squares). In both panels, D otted lines represent the SED with the age of $t_{SF} = 35M$ yr, and dashed lines of $t_{SF} = 140M$ yr, respectively.

ity. The mean dust temperature of the power-law dust model is lower than that of the single-sized dust model, but the superposition makes the submm part of its – nal SED similar to that of a hot dust emission. We nd that both models predict slightly lower ux densities than observed. Considering the uncertainties mentioned above, we conclude that the model is roughly consistent with observations within a few factors. Some authors claim that the submm ux densities of cB 58 is surprisingly low (e.g., Baker et al. 2004), but in our fram ework, they are naturally explained by our model fram ework (the model predicts even smaller uxes). The power-law model predicts a slightly larger ux at submm wavelengths, but it is di – cult to distinguish the two models only by submm observations.

The prom inent feature in the M IR is the silicate band of 9:7 m.For the single-sized dust model, the N IR {M IR part of the SED is dominated by the radiation from small silicate grains, and F IR {submm part by larger carbonaceous grains. In contrast, relatively lower abundance of small grains in the power-law model makes the M IR radiation considerably weaker.

4.1.2. Extinction and size of the star-form ing regions

Besides IR emission, dust extinction is a fundamental quantity to specify the dust properties. We relate the ex-

tinction in magnitude (A) with $_{\rm dust}$ () (Equation 10) by

 $A = 1.086_{dust}()$: (12)

W hen we adopt the $r_{SF} = 2 \text{ kpc}$, the extinction at K -band $is A_K = 0.08 m ag. A gain we adopt the extinction curve of$ Cardelliet al. (1989), we expect $A_V = 0.8 \text{ mag from our}$ model. The measured color excess is E (B V) = 0.27hence by adopting $R_V = 3:1$ as a representative value in a diuse ISM (K rugel 2003), we have $A_V = R_V E$ (B V)' 0:84 m ag, which is in perfect agreem ent with our model prediction. V in et al. (2003) perform ed a sophisticated analysis of the attenuation in LBGs by using a radiative transfer model. They showed the attenuation is in the range of 5:7{18:5 at 1600A, and is well represented by Calzettilaw (Calzettiet al. 1994). Our extinction estim ate is also consistent with their result. They also showed that the shell geometry is appropriate for the dust in LBGs, which is in accordance with our assumption. Thus, we see that the extinction in the visible stellar system of cB 58 is consistent with its dust am ount and spatial scale ofr_{SF} ' 2kpc.

Then, can a heavily hidden star form ation exist in this galaxy? A ctually, SBS 0335 052 hosts a completely extinguished starburst (Hunt et al. 2001; P lante & Sauvage 2002), and its SED is well understood by T 03 m odel. To consider this problem, we have calculated the SED s with



F ig.4. E ect of the size of the star-form ing regions on the model SED of cB 58. Solid line is the canonical model (same as the solid line in the left panel of F ig.3). Dotted, dashed, and dot-dashed lines indicate the SED calculated with a radius of the star-form ing region, $r_{\rm SF}$ = 300 pc, 120 pc, and 30 pc, respectively. Note that the SED is presented in the unit of L [L] here.

various sm aller star-form ing region sizes. This means that the bulk of the dust in cB 58 could be con ned in a sm all part of its volume. They are shown in Figure 4.D otted, dashed, and dot-dashed lines are those with $r_{\rm SF} = 300\,{\rm pc}$, 120 pc, and 30 pc, respectively. Sm aller sizes make the opacity in the region signi cantly larger, and the conversion from UV to FIR becomes much more e cient. Considering the observational upper limits, the allowed sm allest size is $r_{\rm SF} = 120\,{\rm pc}$, with a possible range of 50{200\,{\rm pc}} if we take into account the uncertainties in the estimates of the SFR and lensing magni cation.

It has been pointed out that the galactic wind can transport the dust into larger radius (T 03). A ctually, precise spectroscopy by Pettiniet al. (2003) clearly shows the out owing motion of gas with a velocity of v_{wind} ' 255 km s^{-1} in cB 58. They consider the wind and extinction, and suggest that the superwind shell size is com parable to the galaxy size. Hence the wind crossing timescale 10⁶ yr. If dust closely couples with the gas mois t_{cross} tion, it is di cult to keep dust grains con ned in a region much smaller than the galaxy size through its age of t = 35{140 M yr. Strong radiative pressure m ay also expand the dusty region, as suggested by (Inoue 2002). Here we should comment the e ect of the patchiness of dust, which may be realistic for LBGs. In the FIR, since the opacity of dust is small (< 1), the FIR emission escapes from a galaxy without signi cant absorption. Then the

ux is controlled simply by energy conservation, and the patchiness does not a left the result signi cantly (see, e.g., W itt et al. 2000; G ordon et al. 2000).

Together with its high SFR and SN rate, which are considered to provide a large am ount of radiative and kinetic energy to gas-dust system, we conclude that a com – pact dusty star form ation m ay not be plausible for cB 58. Hence, the dust con guration of cB 58 m ay be sim ilar to the present m odel. This suggests that we can safely discrim inate the e ect of dust size distribution on the SED from that of the con guration and geom etry of dust in the galaxy. A gain, this conclusion can hardly be a ected by the clum piness of dust, just the sam e reason m entioned above.

4.1.3. Follow -up observations of cB 58

O ur single-sized dust model provides an SED with bright continuum in the rest N IR {M IR regime, hence we expect that cB 58 will be detected by M IR {F IR observations (20{100 m}. At present, Spitzer Space Telescope³ M IPS and ASTRO-F⁴ IRC will be suitable instruments for such observations.For example, both instrum ents have the same level of sensitivity around 20 m, and the ux of 0.06{0.08 m Jy will be detected.For FIR, both can detect 0.8{1 m Jy at = 60{70 m.Therefore, the M IR continuum can be detected by the future M IR {F IR observations if the single-sized dust takes place

On the other hand, if the power-law distribution takes place, the ux densities will be an order of magnitude smaller than those of our canonical model, because the number of the stochastically heated grains is reduced. As we mentioned, the uncertainty caused by dust geometry is not very large for a LBG because the con guration becomes more or less shell-like in these galaxies just as a scale-up of SBS 0335 052. Therefore, the MIR observation will be a strong test for the size distribution.

We should note, however, that even by Spitzer, confusion limit can be severe in FIR (e.g., Ishii et al. 2002; Dole et al. 2003; Takeuchi & Ishii 2004). Larger space IR facilities such as Herschel Space Observatory⁵ or SPICA⁶, and IR interferom etry missions are longed to improve the confusion limit. At longer wavelengths, of course ALM A will be useful.

4.2.0 bservability of the dust em ission from LBGs

Considering the non-negligible extinction in LBGs, their energy budget radiated in the IR should be signi cant (A delberger & Steidel 2000; C alzetti 2001). Stim ulated by the consideration, the relation between LBGs and dusty starbursts, another representative star-forming galaxy population at $z = 2\{5, has gathered the researchers' at-$

³ URL:http://sirtf.caltech.edu/.

⁴ URL:http://www.ir.isas.ac.jp/ASTRO-F/index-e.html.

⁵ URL:http://www.rssd.esa.int/herschel/.

⁶ URL:http://www.ir.isas.ac.jp/SPICA/index.html.

tention. In fact, how ever, m ost of the LBG s have not been detected by SCUBA observations (Chapm an et al. 2000; W ebb et al. 2003), except for a few extrem e cases (e.g., Chapm an et al. 2002). C alzetti et al. (2000) pointed out the possibility that the dust is hot in LBG s based on the observed SED of a low -m etallicity galaxy Tol1924 416. Hot dust in LBGs was also suggested by S01 from his observation of a lensed LBG cB58. Since their consideration is empirical, and we need som e explanation for the hot dust, if it exists. As seen in the above, our m odel naturally predict a high dust tem perature. Here, we discuss how LBGs look like in the IR/subm m wavelengths. It is also useful to estim ate the observational feasibility of LBG s by forthcom ing subm m facilities like ALMA.

The observed ux density of a source at observed frequency, $_{\rm obs}$ is obtained by

$$S_{obs} = \frac{(1+z)L_{(1+z)obs}}{4 d_{L}(z)^{2}} = \frac{(1+z)L_{em}}{4 d_{L}(z)^{2}}; \quad (13)$$

where $d_L(z)$ is the lum inosity distance corresponding to a redshift z, and _{obs} and _{em} are observed and em itted frequency, respectively. We show the observed IR /subm m SEDs of LBGs at z = 2, 3, and 4 in Figures 5{10. Figures 5{7 represent the SEDs for the single-sized dust size distribution, and Figures 8{10 for the power-law distribution (Equation 2). The thick black short horizontal lines indicate the 3- detection lim its for 8-hour observation by ALM A. Here we assumed 64 antennas and three wavelength bands, 450, 850, and 1080 m. We also show the 3- source confusion lim it of Herschel at 75, 160, 250, and 350 m bands by thick gray horizontal lines. These lim its are based on the photom etric criterion' of (Lagache et al. 2003). Ishii et al. (2002) also report sin ilar estim ates for the confusion lim its.⁷

From Figures 5{10, we recognize that the detectability of these galaxies at submm bands are not signi cantly dependent on the redshift. This is because of the hegative K-correction', well-known in the eld of submm astronom y. On the contrary, the galaxy age and SFR are more im portant for the detection of LBGs. If the age $> 10^8$ yr and SFR > 10M yr, a LBG can be detected at a wide range of redshifts in the submm.

In general, the longer the wavelength is, the easier the detection becomes for millimetre (mm) observations. In the submm and mm wavelengths, the detectability is not quite di erent between LBGs with single-sized dust and those with power-law dust. It is a natural consequence that the radiation in these wavelengths is dominated by relatively large dust grains that can establish a therm al equilibrium with the radiation eld.

The apparent peak of the dust emission lies in a relatively short wavelength of about $200{400}$ m at z = $2{4}$. Thus, the accumulated background radiation spectrum from LBGs may have its peak in the FIR. The ex-



Fig.11. The contribution of LBGs to the cosm ic infrared background radiation (CIRB) (open symbols). Filled symbols and hatched area arem easured CIRB spectrum by COBE (Hauser et al. 1998; Fixsen et al. 1998; Lagache et al. 1999).

pected dust em ission from LBGs is, however, too faint to be detected even by Hershel deep survey, except som e rare exceptionally bright sources, e.g., lensed galaxies like MS 1512 cB58, or in the case of extrem ely high SFR (in order to reach the confusion lim its, unrealistic integration tim e m ight be required). Hence, still m ore powerful instrum ents at these wavelengths would be required.

In the shorter wavelengths (M IR {F IR }, the size distribution a ects the possibility of detection drastically, just as we have seen in the case of cB 58 (4.1). Surveys in the M IR by Spitzer and A ST RO \pm will be desirable to detect these objects. These observations are in portant to com – pare the overallSED sofLBG sdirectly with those of \bcal analogues", such as SBS 0335 052 and IZw 18.Existence of such bcal galaxies with hot dust is reported by recent studies (see e.g., Calzetti et al. 2000; Takeuchi et al. 2003b). Sim ilarity and di erence between them provides us a unique clue to the physics of galaxy form ation.

4.3. Contribution of LBGs to the CIRB

The implication of hot dust also o ers a potentially important cosm ological insight into high-z galaxies: if dust in high-z object is as coolas usually assumed, then we cannot expect a high comoving SFR density because in a realistic cosm ology the cosm ic IR background (CIRB) spectrum strongly constrains the high-z IR emission (Takeuchiet al. 2001a). How ever, very hot dust in such high-z object reconciles the CIRB constraint with high SFR, and al-

 $^{^7}$ G enerally, in a sky region suitable for cosm ological surveys, the cirrus confusion is less severe than the galaxy confusion (see, e.g., D ole et al. 2003).



F ig.5. Prediction for the observed IR/submm SEDs of LBGs at z = 2, 3, and 4. The star form ation rate (SFR) is 10 M yr⁻¹, and the dust grains are single-sized. The dotted, dashed, dot-dashed, long-dashed, and solid lines represent, the same as Fig.1, that the age of the major star form ation in a galaxy is 1:0 10⁷ yr, 3:0 10⁷ yr, 1:0 10⁸ yr, 3:0 10⁸ yr, 3:0 10⁷ yr, and 1:0 10⁹ yr, respectively. Confusion limits of H erschel and detection limits of ALM A 8-hour survey are also shown by gray and black thick horizontal lines, respectively.



Fig.6. The same as Figure 5, but the SFR is 30M yr ¹.



Fig.7. The same as Figure 5, but the SFR is 100 M yr¹. The silicate feature nally appears as absorption.



Fig.8. Prediction for the observed \mathbb{R} /submm SEDs of LBGs at z = 2, 3, and 4. The star formation rate (SFR) is 10M yr¹, and the dust grains are power-law.



Fig.9. The same as Figure 8, but the SFR is 30M yr 1 .

lows vigorous star form ation hidden by dust in the early Universe (Totani & Takeuchi2002).

A ctually, non-detection of LBG s in the subm m wavelengths (Chapman et al.2000; W ebb et al.2003) suggests the hot dust temperature. Considering the non-negligible extinction in these galaxies, their energy budget radiated in the IR is signi cant (A delberger & Steidel 2000; C alzetti 2001). How ever, their contribution to the subm m radiation cannot be quite large because of the high dust temperature. In fact, the contribution to the extragalactic subm m background radiation has been suggested to be at m ost 20% (W ebb et al.2003).

U sing our model SED and the lum inosity function of LBGs (Shapley et al.2001), we calculate the integrated IR light from LBGs to the CIRB. In order to have a rough estimate, we correct the extinction to the observed lum inosity function, and converted it to the distribution of the SFR by converting the UV lum inosity to the SFR according to our model. Here we crudely assumed that all the LBGs have the same star form ing region size $r_{\rm SF} = 2 \, \rm kpc$. We also assumed that the number of LBGs rapidly de-

creased at $z > 4.^8$ By using the SFR distribution, we obtained the multiband FIR lum inosity function of the LBG s.W e nally integrated it over the FIR lum inosity to get the CIRB intensity.

Our model predicts 0:4 nW m 2 sr 1 at 200 , and 0.2 nW m 2 sr 1 at 850 m, respectively. The di erence of the dust size distribution does not a ect the CIRB spectrum almost at all. This result is shown in Figure 11. The 850 m intensity is quite consistent with the LBG contribution at 850 m estimated by W ebb et al. (2003). Since the CIRB intensity at 200 m is much smaller than the measured peak (I = 15 nW m 2 sr 1 at ' 140 m) Lagache et al. 1999), it strongly supports the existence of heavily obscured dusty galaxy population. Therefore, LBG s are not the dom inant population contributing to the CIRB.

Straightforward conversion of the UV radiation from galaxies into the IR by recent models cannot reproduce the strong peak (e.g., Balland et al. 2003). In addition, the large energy budget radiated in the IR requires an ef-

 $^{^{\}rm 8}\,$ A ctually, this assumption a let the results little.



Fig.10. The same as Figure 8, but the SFR is 100 M yr ¹.

fective energy release, which m ay related to starburst phenom ena, even if they are nearby galaxies (Takeuchi et al. 2001a; Franceschiniet al. 2001; Hauser & Dwek 2001). Therefore, hidden starbursts are necessary to explain the strong evolution of submm source counts (e.g., Takeuchiet al. 2001a,b). At present, redshifts of the starburst population contributing to the CIRB are alm ost unknown.If the dusty starburst is a low -z phenom enon, there must be a strongly obscured era at z 1 (Takeuchiet al. 2001a). In this case it is interesting to discuss a possible relation between the activation of star form ation and the peak of m erger rate in hierarchical structure form ation scenarios (e.g., Lacey & Cole 1993; Kitayam a & Suto 1996). On the other hand, if the obscured galaxies reside in a high-z Universe, it implies that the high-z cosm ic SFR density may be higher than the dust-corrected value (Steidel et al. 1999) because it would be a sum of the contributions both from LBGs and hidden starbursts.

5. Sum m ary and conclusion

Lym an-break galaxies (LBGs) contain a non-negligible am ount of dust. Takeuchi et al. (2003a) (T 03) constructed a model of the infrared spectral energy distribution (SED) for very young galaxies by taking into account the dust size distribution in the early stage of galaxy evolution, which can be di erent from that of present-day evolved galaxies.

In this work, we applied T 03 m odel to LBG s and constructed their expected SED. In order to exam ine the grain size distribution of dust, we calculated the SED s based on two distinct type of the distribution m odels: a singlesized distribution predicted by Todini & Fernara (2001), and a power-law distribution with a slope of dN =da / a ^{3:5}, which is often used to describe the G alactic dust (M athis et al 1977).

W e found that the single-sized and power-law dust size distributions yield a very sim ilar detectability of LBG s at the subm illim etre (subm m). W e also found that the difference of the grain size distribution a ects the SED drastically at m id-infrared (M IR) wavelengths. G alaxies with power-law dust distribution have much less ux at M IR than the other. Generally, strong out ow is observed in LBGs, and consequently their geometry of dust con guration m ight be relatively simple. It reduces the uncertainty of complex radiative transfer, and we can safely explore the dust grain size distribution high-redshift galaxies by (observer-fram e) FIR observations.

Then, we applied the model to a gravitationally lensed LBG MS 1512 cB58 (cB58), a unique probe of the dust emission from LBGs. Thanks to the large magnication factor, the dust emission has been detected in the subm m by SCUBA observations. These observations suggest that the dust is hot in this galaxy. Our model fram ework well reproduced the hot dust temperature under a natural assumption for this galaxy.

W e also exam ined the detectability of LBGs at subm m wavelengths in an eight-hour deep survey by ALMA. The LBG population with an age $> 10^8$ yr and a SFR > 10 M yr 1 can be detected in such a survey. The detectability of LBGs in the subm m is not strongly affected by redshift, because of the well-known negative K - correction. Hence, the detected LBG sample will be undoubtedly an ideal sample to study the early evolution of m etal and dust content in galaxies.

By integrating over their redshifted SEDs with the observed lum inosity functions, we obtained the contribution of LBGs to the cosm ic infrared background radiation (CIRB). Although they have non-negligible amount of dust, their contribution was found to be small, especially in the FIR 200 m. Thus, we need a strongly obscured population of galaxies which contains a large amount of star form ation, at some epoch in the history of the universe.

A cknow ledgem ents. F irst we deeply thank the anonym ous referee whose careful com m ents in proved the quality and clarity of this paper very m uch. This work has been m otivated by a stimulating discussion with M arcin Sawicki at a conference in M ykonos island. A kio K. Inoue is kindly thanked for his careful reading of the m anuscript. W e are greatly indebted to H iroyuki H irashita, Veronique Buat, M asato O nodera, Leslie K.Hunt, Andrea Ferrara, Atsunori Yonehara, Tracy M.Webb, Kouichiro Nakanishi, and Ichi Tanaka for their helpful com m ents and suggestions.

References

- Adelberger, K.L., & Steidel, C.C. 2000, ApJ, 544, 218
- Balland, C., Devriendt, J.E.G., & Silk, J.2003, MNRAS, 343,107
- Baker, A. J., Lutz, D., Genzel, R., Tacconi, L. J., & Lehnert, M. D. A & A, 372, L37
- Baker, A. J., Tacconi, L. J., Genzel, R., Lehnert, M. D., & Lutz, D. 2004, ApJ, 604, 125
- Bechtold, J., Yee, H.K.C., Elston, R, & Ellingson, E. 1997, ApJ, 477, L29
- Buat, V., Boselli, A., Gavazzi, G., & Bonfanti, C. 2002, A&A,383,801
- Calzetti, D., Kinney, A., & Storchi-Bergmann, T. 1994, ApJ, 429, 582
- Calzetti, D., Amus, L., Bohlin, R.C., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533,682
- Calzetti, D. 2001, PASP, 113, 1449
- Cardelli, J.A., Clayton, G.C., & Mathis, J.S. 1989, ApJ, 345,245
- Chapman, S.C., Scott, D., Steidel, C.C. et al. 2000, MNRAS, 319, 318
- Chapman, S.C., Shapley, A., Steidel, C., & W indhorst, R.2002, ApJ, 572, L1
- Dickinson, M. 2000, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A, 358, 2001
- Dole, H., Lagache, G., & Puget, J.-L. 2003, ApJ, 585, 617
- Draine, B.T., & Anderson, L. 1985, ApJ, 292, 494
- Draine, B.T., & Lee, H.M. 1984, ApJ, 285, 89
- Draine, B.T., & Li, A. 2001, ApJ, 551, 807
- Dunne, L., & Eales, S.A. 2001, MNRAS, 327, 697
- Dwek, E., & Scalo, J.M. 1980, ApJ, 239, 193
- Edge, A.C., Ivison, R.J., Smail, I., Blain, A.W., & Kneib, J.P.1999, MNRAS, 306, 599
- Ellingson, E., Yee, H.K.C., Bechtold, J., & Elston, R. 1996, ApJ, 466, L71
- Erb, D.K., Shapley, A.E., Steidel, C.C., et al. 2003, ApJ, 591,101
- Fixsen, D.J., Dwek, E., Mather, J.C., Bennett, C.L., & Shafer, R.A. 1998, ApJ, 508, 123
- Franceschini, A., Aussel, H., Cesarsky, C.J., Elbaz, D., & Fadda, D. 2001, A & A, 378, 1
- Galliano, F., Madden, S. C., Jones, A. P., et al. 2003, A&A,407,159
- Gispert, R., Lagache, G., & Puget, J.L. 2000, A&A, 360, 1
- Gordon, K.D., Clayton, G.C., Witt, A.N., & Misselt, K. A.2000, ApJ, 533, 236
- Hauser, M.G., & Dwek, E.2001, ARA&A, 39, 249
- Hauser, M.G., Arendt, R.G., Kelsall, T., et al. 1998, ApJ, 508,25
- Hirashita, H., Hunt, L.K., & Ferrara, A. 2002, MNRAS, Takeuchi, T.T., & Ishii, T.T. 2004, ApJ, 604, 40 330,L19 (H02)

- Hirashita, H., Buat, V., & Inoue, A.K. 2003, A&A, 410, 83
- Hunt, L.K., Vanzi, L., & Thuan, T.X. 2001, ApJ, 377, 66
- Inoue, A.K. 2002, ApJ, 570, 688
- Ishii, T. T., Takeuchi, T. T., & Sohn, J.-J. 2002, in Infrared and Submillimeter Space Astronomy, EDP Sciences, Les Ulis, p.169
- James, A., Dunne, L., Eales, S., & Edmunds, M.G. 2002, MNRAS, 335, 753
- K itayam a, T., & Suto, Y. 1996, MNRAS, 280, 638
- Kozasa, T., & Hasegawa, H. 1987, Prog. Theor. Phys., 77, 1402
- K rugel, E. 2003, The Physics of Interstellar Dust, Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol
- Lacey, C., & Cole, S. 1993, MNRAS, 262, 627
- Lagache, G., Abergel, A., Boulanger, F., Desert, F.X., & Puget, J.-L. 1999, A&A, 344, 322
- Lagache, G., Dole, H., & Puget, J.-L. 2003, MNRAS, 338, 555
- M adden, S.C. 2000, New AR, 44, 249
- Mathis, J.S., Rumpl, W., & Nordsieck, K.H. 1977, ApJ, 217,425
- Matteucci, F., & Pipino, A. 2002, ApJ, 569, L69
- Nakanishi, K., Ohta, K., Takeuchi, T. T., et al. 1997, PASJ, 49, 535
- Nozawa, T., Kozasa, T., Umeda, H., Maeda, K., & Nomoto, K. 2003, ApJ, 598, 785
- Ouchi, M., Yamada, T., Kawai, H., & Ohta, K. 1999, ApJ, 517,L19
- Pettini, M., Steidel, C.C., Adelberger, K.L., Dickinson, M., & Giavalisco, M. 2000, ApJ, 528, 96
- Pettini, M., Rix, S., Steidel, C. C., et al. E. 2003, ApJ, 569,742
- Plante, S., & Sauvage, M. 2002, AJ, 124, 1995
- Sawicki, M. 2001, AJ, 121, 2405 (S01)
- Sawicki, M., & Yee, H.K.C. 1998, AJ, 115, 1329
- Seitz, S., Saglia, R. P., Bender, R., et al. 1998, MNRAS, 298,945
- Shapley, A. E., Steidel, C. C., Adelberger, K. L., et al. 2001, ApJ, 562, 95
- Steidel, C. C., Adelberger, K. L., Giavalisco, M., Dickinson, M., Pettini, M. 1999, ApJ, 519, 1
- Steidel, C.C., Adelberger, K.L., Shapley, A.E., et al. 2003, ApJ, 592, 728
- Takeuchi, T.T., Yoshikawa, K., Ishii, T.T. 2000, ApJS, 129,1
- Takeuchi, T. T., Ishii, T. T., Hirashita, H., et al. 2001a, PASJ, 53, 37
- Takeuchi, T.T., Kawabe, R., Kohno, K., et al. 2001b, PASP, 113, 586
- Takeuchi, T.T., Hirashita, H., Ishii, T.T., Hunt, L.K., Ferrara, A. 2003a, MNRAS, 343, 839 (T 03)
- Takeuchi, T.T., Yoshikawa, K., Ishii, T.T. 2003b, ApJ, 587, L89 (erratum : Takeuchi, T. T., Yoshikawa, K., Ishii, T.T.2004, ApJ, 606, L171)

- Teplitz, H. I., M cLean, I. S., Becklin, E. E., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, L65
- Todini, P., & Ferrara, A. 2001, MNRAS, 325, 726 (TF01)
- Totani, T., & Takeuchi, T.T. 2002, ApJ, 570, 470
- van der W erf, P.P., K nudsen, K.K., Labbe, I., & Franx, M. 2001, in Deep M illim eter Surveys: Im plications for G alaxy Form ation and E volution, ed.J.D.Lowenthal& D.H.Hughes (Singapore:W orld Scienti c Publishing), 103
- Vih, U.P., Witt, A.N., & Gordon, K.D. 2003, ApJ, 587, 533
- W ebb, T.M., Eales, S., Foucaud, S., et al. 2003, ApJ, 582, 6
- W itt, A.N., & Gordon, K.D. 2000, ApJ, 528, 799
- W hittet, D.C.B.1992, Dust in the Galactic Environment, IOP, New York
- Yee, H.K.C., Ellingson, E., Bechtold, J., Carlberg, R.G., & Cuillandre, J.-C. 1996, AJ, 111, 1783