

Complex molecules in the hot core of the low mass protostar NGC1333-IRAS4A

S. Bottinelli^{1,2}, C. Ceccarelli¹, B. Lefloch¹, J. P. Williams², A. Castets³, E. Caux⁴, S. Cazaux⁵, S. Maret¹, B. Parise⁴, A. G. G. M. Tielens⁶

ABSTRACT

We report the detection of complex molecules (HCOOCH_3 , HCOOH and CH_3CN), signposts of a “hot core” like region, toward the low mass, Class 0 source NGC1333-IRAS4A. This is the second low mass protostar where such complex molecules have been searched for and reported, the other source being IRAS16293–2422. It is therefore likely that compact (few tens of AUs) regions of dense and warm gas, where the chemistry is dominated by the evaporation of grain mantles, and where complex molecules are found, are common in low mass Class 0 sources. Given that the chemical formation timescale is much shorter than the gas hot core crossing time, it is not clear whether the reported complex molecules are formed on the grain surfaces (first generation molecules) or in the warm gas by reactions involving the evaporated mantle constituents (second generation molecules). We do not find evidence for large differences in the molecular abundances, normalized to the formaldehyde abundance, between the two solar type protostars, suggesting perhaps a common origin.

¹Laboratoire d’Astrophysique de l’Observatoire de Grenoble, BP 53, 38041 Grenoble, Cedex 9, France.
sbottine@obs.ujf-grenoble.fr; ceccarel@obs.ujf-grenoble.fr; lefloch@obs.ujf-grenoble.fr; maret@obs.ujf-grenoble.fr

²Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawai’i, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu HI 96822, USA.
jpw@ifa.hawaii.edu

³Observatoire de Bordeaux, 2 Rue de l’Observatoire, BP 89, 33270 Floirac, France.
castets@obs.u-bordeaux1.fr

⁴Centre d’Etude Spatiale des Rayonnements, CNRS-UPS, 9 Avenue du Colonel Roche, BP 4346, 31028 Toulouse, Cedex 4, France.
caux@cesr.fr; parise@cesr.fr

⁵INA Osservatorio Astrofisico d’Arcetri, 1 Ple Aldo Moro, Florence, Italy.
cazaux@arcetri.astro.it

⁶Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, BO Box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands.
tielens@astro.rug.nl

Subject headings: ISM: abundances — ISM: individual (IRAS4A) — ISM: molecules
— stars: formation

1. Introduction

There is strong support — from the composition of cometary and meteoritic materials — for the notion that the solar nebula, from which the planets formed, passed through a phase of warm, dense gas with a rich chemistry. While much observational effort has been dedicated to the study of such hot cores around massive protostars, hot cores around low mass protostars have received little attention. Only very recently has the first hot core around a solar-type protostar been discovered towards the typical Class 0 source, IRAS16293–2422 (hereafter IRAS16293), exhibiting all characteristics of such regions: warm temperatures (> 100 K) and high densities ($> 10^7$ cm $^{-3}$: Ceccarelli et al. 2000a), high abundances of hydrides (CH₃OH, H₂CO, H₂O: Ceccarelli et al. 2000a, b; Schöier et al. 2002), high deuteration levels ($> 10\%$: Ceccarelli et al. 1998, 2001; Parise et al. 2002; Roberts et al. 2002), and complex molecules (HCOOCH₃, HCOOH, CH₃OCH₃, CH₃CN, C₂H₅CN: Cazaux et al. 2003). The definition of “hot core” used for massive protostars implies the presence of a relatively large amount of warm and dense gas, along with a complex chemistry triggered by the grain mantle evaporation (e.g. Walmsley et al. 1992). In order to make clear that hot cores of low and high mass protostars are, however, substantially different in the involved amount of material, we will use hereinafter the term “**hot corino**” to identify the warm inner regions of the envelope surrounding the low mass protostars.

The chemical composition of the (massive) hot cores is thought to reflect a variety of sequential processes (Wamsley et al. 1992; Charnley et al. 1992; Caselli et al. 1993; Charnley 1995; Rodgers & Charnley 2001, 2003). Specifically, in the pre-collapse cold cloud phase, simple molecules form on grain surfaces by hydrogenation of CO and other heavy elements (notably examples are H₂CO, CH₃OH and H₂S). Upon heating by a newly formed star, these molecules, called “first generation” or “parent” molecules, evaporate into the gas and undergo fast neutral-neutral and ion-neutral reactions producing complex organic molecules, i.e. “second generation” or “daughter” molecules. The first part of this sequence, i.e. the formation of fully hydrogenated molecules on the grain surfaces, has been demonstrated to occur in low mass protostars too, for example by studies of multiply deuterated molecules: formaldehyde (Ceccarelli et al. 1998, Bacmann et al. 2003), methanol (Parise et al. 2002, 2004) and sulfide (Vastel et al. 2003). Evaporation from grain mantles of these first generation species (in particular H₂CO and CH₃OH) has been observed in IRAS16293 (Ceccarelli

et al. 2000b, Schöier et al. 2002) and in about a dozen low mass protostars (Maret et al. 2004). However, since the timescale necessary to convert first generation molecules into complex, second generation molecules (around $10^4 - 10^5$ yr; e.g. Charnley et al. 1992, 2001) is much longer than the transit time of the gas in the hot corinos (few 100 yr; e.g. Schöier et al. 2002), the formation in the gas of second generation molecules seems improbable (e.g. Schöier et al. 2002). The detection of a high abundance of complex molecules in the hot core of IRAS16293 (Cazaux et al. 2003) has evidently been a challenge to the simple theoretical sequence described above. The key question has shifted from “Is a hot core present in low mass protostars?” to “What is the origin of molecular complexity in these sources?” In particular, there may well be chemical pathways to complex molecules involving grain surface networks (e.g. Charnley 1995). In order to answer this question, more observations in other low mass protostars are necessary. This will allow the development of a solid observational framework within which we might search for clues to the formation of second generation molecules. As remarked in previous studies, the question is far from being academic, since the molecules in the hot corinos constitute the material which will eventually form the proto-planetary disk and, possibly, the planets of the forming Sun-like star.

In this Letter we present the first results of a survey we are carrying out on the sample of Class 0 sources studied by Maret et al. (2004). Here we report the detection of complex, second generation molecules in NGC1333-IRAS4A (hereafter IRAS4A), a well known Class 0 protostar, and a target of several studies of molecular emission (e.g. Blake et al. 1995). IRAS4A is part of the binary system IRAS 4, located in the NGC1333 reflection nebula, in the Perseus cloud. It is separated by $31''$ from the other component, IRAS 4B, and was itself resolved into two components with a separation of $2''$, by Lay et al. (1995). The distance to the NGC1333 cloud is uncertain (see e.g. Maret et al. 2002), but assuming a value of 220 pc (derived by Černis 1990, for consistency with previous work), IRAS4A has a luminosity of $6 L_{\odot}$ and an envelope mass of $3.5 M_{\odot}$ (Sandell et al. 1991). IRAS4A is associated with a very highly collimated outflow, detected in CO, CS, and SiO (Blake et al. 1995, Lefloch et al. 1998). Infall motion was detected by Di Francesco et al. (2001) and Choi et al. (1999) with an estimated accretion rate of $1.1 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, an inner mass of $0.7 M_{\odot}$ and an age of ~ 6500 yr (see also Maret et al. 2002).

2. Observations and results

The observations were carried out in June 2003 with the IRAM 30-meter telescope. The position used for pointing was $\alpha(2000) = 03^{\text{h}}29^{\text{m}}10^{\text{s}}.3$ and $\delta(2000) = 31^{\circ}13'31''$. Based on the observations of IRAS16293 by Cazaux et al. (2003), we targeted the following complex

molecules: methyl formate, HCOOCH_3 (A and E), formic acid, HCOOH , dimethyl ether, CH_3OCH_3 , methyl cyanide, CH_3CN , and ethyl cyanide, $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{CN}$. Different telescope settings were used in order to include as many transitions as possible for each molecule. All lines were observed with a low resolution, 1 MHz filter bank of 4×256 channels split between different receivers, providing a velocity resolution of ~ 3 , 2, and 1 km s^{-1} at 3, 2, and 1 mm, respectively. Each receiver was simultaneously connected to a unit of the autocorrelator, with spectral resolutions of 20, 80 or 320 kHz and bandwidths between 40 and 240 MHz, equivalent to a (unsmoothed) velocity resolution range of 0.1–0.4 km s^{-1} . Typical system temperatures were 100–200 K, 180–250 K and 500–1500 K, at 3, 2 and 1 mm respectively.

Two observation modes were used: position switching with the OFF position at an offset of $\Delta\alpha = -100''$, $\Delta\delta = +300''$, and wobbler switching with a $110''$ throw in azimuth. Pointing and focus were regularly checked using planets or strong quasars, providing a pointing accuracy of $3''$. All intensities reported in this paper are expressed in units of main-beam brightness temperature. At 3, 2 and 1 mm, the angular resolution is 24, 16 and $10''$ and the main beam efficiency is 76, 69 and 50%, respectively.

Fig. 1 shows two examples of low resolution spectra we obtained. Detected transitions have been identified using the JPL molecular line catalog (Pickett et al. 1998) and are reported in Table 1. We considered as good identifications only lines with a $3\text{-}\sigma$ detection and a $V_{\text{LSR}} = 6.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We detected three of the five targeted molecules: 10 transitions for HCOOCH_3 (A and E), 2 for HCOOH and 9 for CH_3CN . We also have a possible detection for $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ at 90.118 GHz; unfortunately, no other transition with a low enough energy and high enough Einstein coefficient was contained within the frequency ranges we observed to confirm the correct identification. No transitions of CH_3OCH_3 and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{CN}$ were detected to a noise limit of 6 and 2 mK respectively. All detected lines have linewidths $\sim 2\text{--}3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, with few exceptions, likely due to the presence of unresolved triplets or to the contamination of unidentified lines. In order to derive the rotational temperature and column density, we built rotational diagrams (Fig. 2) in which the observed fluxes were corrected for beam dilution, assuming a source size of $0''.5$ (derived from a hot core radius of 53 AU and a distance of 220 pc, as found by Maret et al. 2004). The assumption that the complex molecules are confined to the hot corino is supported by a Plateau de Bure interferometric study of IRAS16293 which shows localized emission in a region $\sim 1''.4$ around the protostar (Bottinelli et al. in preparation).

The rotational temperatures, total column densities and abundances for the detected molecules are presented in Table 2. Note that the large errors in the HCOOH (this work) and CH_3OCH_3 (Cazaux et al. 2003) abundances are due to a poor constraint of the rotational temperature, and hence column density, of these two molecules, even though each molecule

is clearly detected in each case.

3. Discussion and conclusion

The most important result of the present work is the detection of complex molecules in the hot corino of IRAS4A, the second Class 0 in which those molecules have been searched for, after IRAS16293 (Cazaux et al. 2003). This result demonstrates that as soon as a warm region is created in the center of the envelope of low mass protostars, complex molecules are readily formed and/or injected on timescales lower than the estimated Class 0 source ages ($\sim 5 \times 10^4$ yr in IRAS16293 and ~ 6500 yr in IRAS4A; e.g. Maret et al. 2002), and, most importantly, shorter than the transit time in the hot corinos. The latter is ~ 400 yr and ~ 120 yr in IRAS16293 and IRAS4A respectively, based on the hot corino sizes quoted in Maret et al. (2004) and assuming free-falling gas.

We compare the measured composition of the hot corino of IRAS4A to IRAS16293 (Cazaux et al. 2003) and the massive hot core of OMC-1 (Sutton et al. 1995) in Table 2. Note that the latter abundances are derived from single dish measurements with a $14''$ beam, which encompasses several hot cores (Wright et al. 1996). Unfortunately, not all the molecules considered here have interferometric measurements available, so that we can only use these $14''$ beam-averaged estimates of the abundances.

The first remark is that the absolute abundances of the observed molecules are one order of magnitude smaller in IRAS4A than in IRAS16293, but their relative abundances with respect to H_2CO are quite similar, with the exception of methanol, which is underabundant with respect to H_2CO by about a factor 10 in IRAS4A (Fig. 3). There are two reasons to consider abundances with respect to formaldehyde. The first one is observational: while the IRAS16293 hot core has now been imaged with the Plateau de Bure interferometer (Bottinelli et al. in preparation) and its size confirmed to be $\sim 1''.4$, the IRAS4A core size is only indirectly estimated from dust continuum single dish ($12''$) observations to be $0''.5$ and no interferometric observations are available yet with such a high resolution. So the IRAS4A core size might be wrong by up to a factor three (Maret et al. 2004) and the abundances by up to a factor ten, i.e. the absolute abundances of IRAS4A could be comparable to those of IRAS16293. Using abundance ratios allow us to remove this size uncertainty. The second reason is theoretical: “standard” hot core models predict that molecules like methyl formate or methyl cyanide are second generation molecules formed in the warm gas from the evaporated grain mantle constituents (formaldehyde, ammonia and methanol: e.g. Charnley et al. 1992; Caselli et al. 1993; Rodgers & Charnley 2003). It is therefore interesting to compare the abundances of the complex molecules to those of one of these supposed parent

molecules. Formaldehyde was chosen because we only have an upper limit on the methanol abundance (Maret et al. in preparation) and no measurements of the ammonia abundance are available.

A possible interpretation for the similarity in the complex molecules' relative abundances, with respect to H_2CO and not with respect to CH_3OH , is that the former is the mother molecule of the observed O-bearing species, e.g. likely the case of HCOOCH_3 (Charnley et al. 1992), and that the chemical evolution timescale is shorter than the age of the youngest source. Charnley et al. (1992) also predict that methanol is the mother molecule of CH_3OCH_3 , but we cannot say whether the available data confirm this hypothesis since we only have an upper limit on the abundance of this molecule in IRAS4A and a large error in IRAS16293. Similarly, the N-bearing molecules CH_3CN and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{CN}$ could both be daughters of the same mother molecule, probably ammonia. This would imply that the two sources have a similar ammonia mantle abundance. Alternatively, (some of ?) the reported molecules are possible mantle constituents themselves. This may be the case for formic acid, as predicted by Tielens & Hagen (1982), and suggested by the observational study by Liu et al. (2001). Moreover, the analysis of ISO absorption spectra towards the massive hot core W33A (e.g. Schutte et al. 1997) is consistent with the presence of solid formic acid and would also support the idea of this species being a mantle constituent. However, these considerations do not take into account the evolutionary state of the objects and the fundamental question is: does the abundance of any of these complex molecules have anything to do with the age and/or evolutionary stage of the protostar, or is it dominated by the initial mantle composition? Evidently, two sources are not enough to answer this question, and observations of more low mass sources are required.

Regarding the comparison with the massive hot core(s) in Orion, Fig. 3 would suggest that, with respect to formaldehyde, there is a deficiency of methanol and of N-bearing complex molecules in the low mass hot corinos. It is possible that these differences are mostly due to a different grain mantle composition, i.e. to a different pre-collapse density. However, recall that the abundance ratios of CH_3CN and CH_3OH in Figure 3 refer to the $14''$ beam-averaged values around the OMC-1 hot core, which in fact includes several smaller cores (Wright et al. 1996). Therefore, in order to make precise comparisons, higher resolution observations of the OMC-1 hot core are needed. It is also worth noting that if we consider for example the measurements by Wright et al. 1996 in the Compact Ridge component (a region about $10''$ away from the hot core central position, which is also a site of mantle evaporation and of active gas phase chemistry; e.g. Charnley et al. 1992), the CH_3CN and CH_3OH abundance ratios with respect to H_2CO are (surprisingly) close to those found for the hot corinos of IRAS16293 and IRAS4A. Hence, interferometric observations of a larger number of massive hot cores are necessary to provide a significant comparison of the hot

corinos with their high mass counterparts.

In summary, although the present observations do not allow us to answer the questions why and how complex molecules are formed, they do show that hot corinos, in the wide definition of chemically enriched regions, are a common property of solar-type protostars in the early stages. The evidence is that the types of complex molecules that are formed are determined primarily by the composition of the grain mantles. At this stage, it is not clear whether the evolutionary stage of the protostar plays any role at all, other than governing the presence and size of the mantle evaporation region.

4. References

- Bacmann, A., Lefloch, B., Ceccarelli, C., Steinacker, J., Castets, A., & Loinard, L. 2003, *ApJ*, 585, L55
- Blake, G. A., Sandell, G., van Dishoeck, E. F., Groesbeck, T. D., Mundy L. G., & Aspin, C. 1995, *ApJ*, 441, 689
- Caselli, P., Hasegawa, T. I., & Herbst, Eric 1993, *ApJ*, 408, 548
- Cazaux, S., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Ceccarelli, C., Castets, C. Wakelam, V., Caux, E., Parise, B., & Teyssier, D. 2003, *ApJ*, 593, L51
- Ceccarelli, C., Castets, A., Caux, E., Hollenbach, D., Loinard, L., Molinari, S., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2000a, *A&A*, 355, 1129
- Ceccarelli, C., Castets, A., Loinard, L., Caux, E., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 1998, *A&A*, 338, L43
- Ceccarelli, C., Loinard, L., Castets, A., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Caux, E., Lefloch, B., & Vastel, C. 2001, *A&A*, 372, 998
- Ceccarelli, C., Loinard, L., Castets, A., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Caux, E. 2000b, *A&A*, 357, L9
- Černis, K. 1990, *Ap&SS*, 166, 315
- Charnley, S. B. 1995, *Ap&SS*, 224, 251
- Charnley, S. B., Rodgers, S. D. & Ehrenfreund, P. 2001, *A&A*, 378, 1024
- Charnley, S. B., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Millar, T. J. 1992, *ApJ*, 399, L71
- Choi, M., Panis, J.-F., & Evans, N. J. 1999, *ApJS*, 122, 519
- Di Francesco, J., Myers, P. C., Wilner, D. J., Ohashi, N., & Mardones, D. 2001, *ApJ*, 562, 770
- Lay, O. P., Carlstrom, J. E., & Hills, R. E. 1995, *ApJ*, 452, L73
- Lefloch, B., Castets, A., Cernicharo, J., & Loinard, L. 1998, *ApJ*, 504, L109
- Liu, S.-Y., Mehringer, D. M., & Lewis, E. S. 2001, *ApJ*, 552, 654

- Maret, S., Ceccarelli, C., Caux, E., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Jørgensen, J. K., van Dishoeck, E., Bacmann, A., Castets, A., Lefloch, B., Loinard, L., Parise, B., & Schöier, F. L. 2004, *A&A* 416, 577
- Maret, S., Ceccarelli, C., Caux, E., Tielens, A. G. G. M. & Castets, A. 2002, *A&A*, 395, 573
- Parise, B., Castets, A., Herbst, E., Caux, E., Ceccarelli, C., Mukhopadhyay, I., Tielens, A.G.G.M. 2004, *A&A* 416, 159
- Parise, B., Ceccarelli, C., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Herbst, E., Lefloch, B., Caux, E., Castets, A., Mukhopadhyay, I., Pagani, L., & Loinard, L. 2002, *A&A*, 393, L49
- Pickett, H. M., Poynter, R. L., Cohen, E. A., Delitsky, M. L., Pearson, J. C., & Muller, H. S. P., 1998 “Submillimeter, Millimeter, and Microwave Spectral Line Catalog”, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. & Rad. Transfer* 60, 883
- Roberts, H., Fuller, G. A., Millar, T. J., Hatchell, J., & Breckle, J. V. 2002, *A&A*, 381, 1026
- Rodgers, S. D., & Charnley, S. B. 2003, *ApJ*, 585, 355
- Rodgers, S. D., & Charnley, S. B. 2001, *ApJ*, 546, 324
- Sandell, G., Aspin, C., Duncan, W. D., Russell, A. P. G., & Robson, E. I. 1991, *ApJ*, 376, L17
- Schöier, F. L., Jørgensen, J. K., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Blake, G. A. 2002, *A&A*, 390, 1001
- Schutte, W. A., Greenberg, J. M., van Dishoeck, E. F., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Boogert, A. C. A., & Whittet, D. C. B. 1997, *Ap&SS* 255, 61
- Sutton, E. C. Peng, R., Danchi, W. C., Jaminet, P. A., Sandell, G., & Russell, A. P. G. 1995, *ApJ*, 97, 455
- Tielens, A. G. G. M. & Hagen, W. 1982, *A&A*, 114, 245
- Vastel, C., Phillips, T. G., Ceccarelli, C., & Pearson, J. 2003, *ApJ*, 593, L97
- Walmsley, C. M., Cesaroni, R., Churchwell, E., & Hofner, P. 1992, *Astron. Gesellschaft Abstract Ser.*, 7, 93
- Wright, M. C. H., Plambeck, R. L., & Wilner, D. J. 1996, *ApJ*, 469, 216




Fig. 1.— Two low resolution spectra obtained during our observations of IRAS4A. Lines which are not labelled are unidentified. The rms noise level is 2 mK (top spectrum) and 12 mK (bottom spectrum). The spectral resolution is 3.3 km s^{-1} (top) and 1.2 km s^{-1} (bottom). The V_{LSR} is 7.0 km s^{-1} . Known transitions are indicated but not all of them are detections, e.g. HCOOCH_3 at 90.145 GHz is not considered as such, but the upper limit derived from it is consistent with the rotational diagram of Fig. 2.




Fig. 2.— Rotational diagrams of the detected molecules, corrected for beam dilution. The arrows show the upper limits for the transitions that have not been detected. Lines represent the best fit to the data. Error bars are derived assuming a calibration uncertainty of 10% on top of the statistical error. The excess of emission of the CH_3CN transition at 210 K is probably due to contamination from unknown line(s).




Fig. 3.— The abundances of the observed species (reported on the x-axis) normalized to the H_2CO abundances. Stars refer to the OMC-1 hot core, squares to the hot corino of IRAS16293 and diamonds to the one of IRAS4A. Arrows represent upper limits in IRAS4A derived from our observations. No errors were quoted by Cazaux et al. (2003) for the HCOOH abundance, which was determined from two transitions only and is rather uncertain.

Table 1. Molecular lines detected toward IRAS4A.

Molecule	Transition line	Frequency (MHz)	E_u (cm ⁻¹)	δV^a (km s ⁻¹)	ΔV^b (km s ⁻¹)	T_{mb} (mK)	$\int T_{mb}dV$ (K km s ⁻¹)	rms ^c (mK)
HCOOCH ₃ -A	7 _{2,5} – 6 _{2,4}	90156.5	13.7	0.5	1.5	22	0.036	5
	8 _{0,8} – 7 _{0,7}	90229.7	13.9	0.5	2.5	16	0.041	5
	8 _{3,6} – 7 _{3,5}	98611.1	18.9	0.2	1.2	28	0.036	7
	8 _{4,5} – 7 _{4,4}	98682.8	22.2	1.9	4.2	95	0.042	2
HCOOCH ₃ -E	7 _{2,5} – 6 _{2,4}	90145.7	13.7	0.5	1.3	14	0.019	5
	8 _{0,8} – 7 _{0,7}	90227.8	14.0	0.5	3.1	16	0.055	5
	8 _{3,6} – 7 _{3,5}	98607.8	18.9	0.9	3.8	13	0.054	4
	8 _{4,5} – 7 _{4,4}	98711.7	22.2	0.2	1.4	22	0.034	7
	20 _{2,18} – 19 _{2,18}	226713.1	83.6	0.8	1.0	89	0.099	26
	20 _{3,18} – 19 _{3,17}	226773.3	83.6	0.8	2.1	54	0.121	19
HCOOH	4 _{2,2} – 3 _{2,1}	90164.5	16.4	0.5	0.8	16	0.015	5
	6 _{2,4} – 5 _{2,3}	135737.7	24.6	1.4	1.8	15	0.029	5
CH ₃ CN ^d	6 _{3,0} – 5 _{3,0}	110364.6	57.6	0.8	5.1	20	0.110	6
	6 _{2,0} – 5 _{2,0}	110375.1	32.8	0.8	2.3	46	0.112	6
	6 _{1,0} – 5 _{1,0}	110381.5	17.9	0.8	3.4	67	0.241	6
	6 _{0,0} – 5 _{0,0}	110383.6	12.9	0.8	4.3	76	0.347	6
	14 _{4,0} – 13 _{4,0}	257448.9	143.9	1.2	3.3	40	0.141	12
	14 _{3,0} – 13 _{3,0}	257482.7	109.1	0.4	2.6	53	0.150	19
	14 _{2,0} – 13 _{2,0}	257507.9	84.3	0.4	3.1	59	0.195	19
	14 _{1,0} – 13 _{1,0}	257522.5	69.4	0.4	2.2	74	0.172	19
	14 _{0,0} – 13 _{0,0}	257527.4	64.4	1.2	3.8	68	0.274	12

^aSpectral resolution of the observation (when possible, the integrated intensity was derived from the high resolution data).

^bWidth of the observed line.

^crms computed over the linewidth.

^dAll the CH₃CN lines are (unresolved) triplets. The quoted signal is the integral over each triplet. Larger linewidths could be due to the larger spacing between the components of the triplets.

Table 2. Results from the rotational diagrams for IRAS4A, in comparison with IRAS16293 and the massive hot core OMC-1.

Molecule	IRAS4A			IRAS16293 ^b	OMC-1 ^c
	T_{rot} (K)	N_{total} (cm ⁻²)	x^a	x	
HCOOCH ₃ -A	36 ^d	5.5 ± 2.7(16)	3.4 ± 1.7(-8)	1.7 ± 0.7 (-7)	1(-8)
HCOOCH ₃ -E	36 ± 5	5.8 ± 1.1(16)	3.6 ± 0.7(-8)	2.3 ± 0.8 (-7)	1(-8)
HCOOH	10 ± 6	7.3 ± 13.0(15)	4.6 ± 7.9(-9)	~6.2(-8)	8(-10)
CH ₃ CN	27 ± 1	2.6 ± 0.3(15)	1.6 ± 0.2(-9)	1.0 ± 0.4 (-8)	4(-9)
CH ₃ OH	...	...	≤7(-9) ^f	3(-7)	1(-7)
H ₂ CO	...	...	2(-8) ^g	1(-7) ^h	7(-9)
Upper Limits					
CH ₃ OCH ₃	36 ^d	≤4.5(16)	≤2.8(-8)	2.4 ± 3.7(-7)	8(-9)
C ₂ H ₅ CN	27 ^e	≤1.9(15)	≤1.2(-9)	1.2 ± 0.4(-8)	3(-9)

^aAssuming an H₂ column density in the hot corino of $N(\text{H}_2) = 1.6 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (From Maret et al. 2004).

^bFrom Cazaux et al. 2003.

^cFrom Sutton et al. 1995.

^d T_{rot} assumed to be similar to the one derived for HCOOCH₃-E.

^e T_{rot} assumed to be similar to the one derived for CH₃CN.

^fFrom Maret et al. 2004, in prep.

^gFrom Maret et al. 2004.

^hFrom Ceccarelli et al. 2000b.