# The evolutionary sequence of active galactic nuclei and galaxy form ation revealed

M.J.Page

Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury StM ary, Dorking, Surrey RH5 6NT, UK

mjp@mssl.ucl.ac.uk

J.A. Stevens and R.J. Ivison

A stronom y Technology Centre, Royal Observatory, B lackford Hill, E dinburgh, EH 9 3H J, U K

jas@roe.ac.uk,rji@roe.ac.uk

and

F.J.Carrera

Instituto de F sica de Cantabria (Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cient cas{Universidad de Cantabria), 39005 Santander, Spain

carreraf@ifca.unican.es

#### ABSTRACT

Today, alm ost every galaxy spheroid contains a massive black hole: a rem nant of, and testam ent to, a period in its evolution when it contained an active galactic nucleus (AGN). However, the sequence and tim escales of the form ation of the black hole and surrounding spheroid of stars are completely unknown, leaving a large gap in our know ledge of how the Universe attained its present appearance. Here we present subm illim eter observations of matched sam ples of X-ray absorbed and unabsorbed AGN which have lum inosities and redshifts characteristic of the sources responsible for most of the mass in present day black holes. Strong subm illim eter emission, an isotropic signature of copious star form ation, is found only in the X-ray absorbed sam ple, ruling out orientation e ects as the cause of the absorption. The space density and lum inosity range of the X-ray absorbed AGN indicate that they are undergoing the transition between a hidden grow th phase and an unabsorbed AGN phase, and in plies that the X-ray absorbed period in the AGN's evolution coincides with the form ation of the galaxy spheroid.

Subject headings: galaxies: evolution | galaxies: form ation | galaxies: active

### 1. Introduction

It is now known that the history of cosm ic star form ation and lum inous AGN activity track each other rather well, both showing a dram atic decline between z 2 and the present day (Franceschiniet al. 1999). Their legacy, the prevalence of massive black holes today (Yu & Trem aine 2002) and the proportionality between black hole mass and the mass of their host galaxy spheroids (M erritt & Ferrarese 2001), is most easily explained if the form ation of the two components was coeval, i.e. the black hole was built up by accretion of the same gas that rapidly form ed the stars of the spheroid. During this form ation episode, the average bolom etric lum inosity of the stellar component is expected to exceed that emitted by the AGN by a factor of a few (Page et al. 2001a). Under such circum stances, a large fraction of stellar mass must have built up around the AGN that dom inate the accretion power of the Universe, because these were responsible for the majority of present day black hole mass. The bulk of the comoving lum inosity density was produced by objects with lum inosities close to the break lum inosity L , on account of the AGN lum inosity function at any redshift being characterised by a broken power law (Page et al. 1997; Boyle et al. 2000). W hile the X-ray background is chie y produced by AGN at z < 2 (e.g. Barger et al. 2001), the lum inosity density of AGN was at its peak between z = 1 and z = 3 (Page et al. 1997; M iya jiet al. 2001). Therefore coeval black hole / stellar bulge form ation would in ply that a large fraction of the Universe's star form ation took place around AGN with 1 < z < 3, and  $\log(L) = \log(L)$ 0:7.

Submillimeter observations have already shown that there is a signi cant overlap between the bulge and black hole growth phases in X-ray absorbed QSOs in this range of redshifts and lum inosities (Page et al. 2001a). These enigm atic objects are characterised by signi cant X -ray absorption (column densities of 21:4 <  $\log N_{\rm H}$  < 22:5) but little or no obscuration to their broad emission lines and ultraviolet continua (Page et al. 2001b). However, sensitive submillimeter observations have been performed for only eight such objects, and hence the tem poral overlap between black hole and bulge growth phases is subject to considerable statistical uncertainty. M ore in portantly, there were no sensitive subm illim eter observations of a comparable sample of unabsorbed QSOs, which represent the majority of QSOs selected in soft X-ray surveys. Therefore we followed up this initial result with an experiment involving a larger sample of 20 unabsorbed objects. This sample is matched in lum inosity and redshift to the original sample; it encompasses all of the crucial 1 < z < 3, 0:7 region which can viably be investigated with the Submillimeter  $\log(L) = \log(L)$ Common User Bolom eter Array (SCUBA) at the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT). Figure 1 shows the region of redshift { lum inosity space from which the sample is drawn. The sample was drawn from a combination of several surveys: the Extended Einstein Medium Sensitivity Survey (EM SS, Stocke et al. 1991), the Rosat International X -ray Optical Survey (R IXOS, M ason et al. 2000), the UK Rosat Deep Survey (M cH ardy et al. 1998), the C am bridge-C am bridge Rosat Serendipity Survey (CRSS, Boyle et al. 1997), the Q SF 1 & Q SF 3 elds (G ri ths et al. 1995), and the Lockm an Hole (Schm idt et al. 1998). The wide range of ux limits covered by these surveys is crucial in providing AGN over the required range of redshift-lum inosity space. In all practical respects this sample is comparable to the original sample, except that it is composed of AGN that are unabsorbed in the X-ray band. A Hubble constant H<sub>0</sub> = 70km s <sup>1</sup>M pc <sup>1</sup> and density parameters = 0:7 and <sub>m</sub> = 0:3 are assumed throughout this Letter.

#### 2. Observations and data reduction

Observations at 850 m were carried out at the JCM T between December 2002 and M arch 2003. The observations were carried out in photom etry mode, in which the source is placed on the central bolom eter of the array and the secondary m irror is jiggled in a 3

3 pattern with 2 arcsec intervals with a 1 s integration at each position. The secondary m irror was chopped 45 arcsec in azim uth at a frequency of 7.8 Hz and nodded between the source and reference positions every 18 s. D ata were reduced using the standard STARLINK SURF software. A fler compensating for the nod, the data were at elded and corrected for atm ospheric extinction. Each jiggle in turn was then corrected for residual sky noise which is correlated across the SCUBA eld of view and often dom inates the signal from faint sources. These sky o sets were taken to be the median signal from all bolom eters on the given array (excluding noisy bolom eters).

## 3. Results and discussion

The measured 850 m ux densities for the X-ray unabsorbed Q SO s are given in Table 1.0 nly one source (RX J141954) is detected with 3 con dence, and none of the sources are brighter than the 5 /5m Jy level. This lack of detections is in stark contrast to the sam ple of X-ray absorbed Q SO s observed in 2001, of which 50% are brighter than 5m Jy (F igure 2). Far from simply improving the statistical uncertainty inherent to our original sam ple, the results for the unabsorbed AGN are incom patible with those for the absorbed sam ple. The lack of 5m Jy detections in the unabsorbed sam ple implies that at 95% con dence < 14% of unabsorbed Q SO s are bright subm illim eter sources (G ehrels 1986), whereas the detection rate of the X-ray absorbed sources in plies that at least 19% of X-ray absorbed Q SO s are bright subm illim eter sources (G ehrels 1986), whereas the detection rate of the X-ray absorbed sources in plies that at least 19% of X-ray absorbed Q SO s are bright subm illim eter sources (G ehrels 1986), whereas the detection rate of the X-ray absorbed sources in plies that at least 19% of X-ray absorbed Q SO s are bright subm illim eter sources (G ehrels 1986), whereas the detection rate of the X-ray absorbed sources in plies that at least 19% of X-ray absorbed Q SO s are bright subm illim eter sources (G ehrels 1986), whereas the detection rate of the X-ray absorbed sources in plies that at least 19% of X-ray absorbed Q SO s are bright subm illim eter sources. Our observations in ply that unabsorbed Q SO s, which have been studied for 30 years, and of which we know tens of thousands of examples, do not play

a signi cant role in the Universe's star form ation history. In contrast, the X-ray absorbed Q SO s at z > 1.5 are embedded in the massive starbursts of still-form ing galaxy spheroids.

To see why this is such a suprising and consequential result, we must consider the alternative models for the X-ray absorption in QSOs. By far the most widespread and most paradigm atic model is the AGN unied scheme (Antonucci 1993) in which the absorbing material is present in all objects in the form of a toroidal structure. In this model, absorbed and unabsorbed AGN are intrinsically identical, with the torus producing absorption in those objects viewed through it. This model is well established for the nearby, lower lum inosity Seyfert galaxies, although there are some notable discrepancies, (e.g. Tran 2003, and references therein), and most X-ray background synthesis and AGN population models are predicated on this concept (Setti & Wolter 1989; Com astriet al. 1995; Gilliet al. 2001). The m ore speculative alternative is that X -ray absorbed and unabsorbed Q SO s are intrinsically di erent objects. In particular, it has been proposed that substantial absorption could be a de ning characteristic of the early phases of Q SO evolution (Fabian 1999). In such models, the main, obscured growth phase of the QSO coincides with the formation of the host galaxy spheroid, the completion of which coincides with the lum inous, unobscured phase of the QSO's evolution (Silk & Rees 1998; Sanders et al. 1988). In the unied scheme, any submillimeter emission powered by the nucleus will be orientation independent because the obscuring torus is optically thin at submillimeter wavelengths. Submillimeter emission originating in star forming regions will be similarly isotropic. Thus if the X-ray absorbed QSOs are absorbed because of their orientation to our line of sight, their subm illim eter properties will be indistinguishable from those of X-ray unabsorbed Q SO s.

In m ediately then, the level of segregation we have found in the submillim eter properties of the X-ray absorbed and unabsorbed sources allows us to rule out orientation as the cause of the X-ray absorption. It therefore seems likely that the absorbed and unabsorbed Q SO s represent di erent stages in an evolutionary sequence, in which the absorbed Q SO s represent the earlier phase. This hypothesis is con rm ed by Hubble Space Telescope im ages which show that the majority of unabsorbed Q SO s lie in massive elliptical galaxies, at least for 0 < z < 1(K ukula et al 2001; D unlop et al. 2003); their regular, relaxed morphologies, im ply that these are essentially mature, nished galaxies. D irect measurements of the morphologies and stellar masses of the host galaxies of unabsorbed Q SO s at z > 1, while extrem ely di cult at present (e.g. K ukula et al 2001; C room et al. 2004), are also consistent with regular, relaxed morphologies. The lack of submillim eter emission from our sample of 1 < z < 3unabsorbed Q SO s suggests that these objects also reside in relatively quiescent, fully form ed host galaxies. Therefore unabsorbed Q SO s have already undergone a period of prodigious star form ation in which they would have shone as powerful submillim eter sources. That the X-ray absorbed Q SO s are characterized by high submillim eter lum inosities in plies that they are embedded in the dense interstellar media of their forming host spheroids, and therefore that they are still at this earlier evolutionary stage. Furthermore, the rst submillimeter images of their M pc-scale environments indicate that they are located within signi cant overdensities of ultralum inous starburst galaxies which will rapidly evolve into rich clusters of galaxies typical of those that host X -ray unabsorbed Q SO s (Stevens et al. 2004).

We now argue that there is a particular time in the evolution of a typical QSO corresponding to the X-ray absorbed phase. It im mediately precedes the unabsorbed phase and lasts for only 15% of the lifetime of the optical QSO.First, their space density compared to unabsorbed Q SO s allows us to make a crude estimate of the relative durations of the two phases. At a 0.5-2 keV ux of  $10^{-14}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> the surface density of X-ray sources with Rosat spectra similar to the absorbed QSOs is 19 deg  $^2$ , of which around half are broad-line objects (Page et al. 2000, 2001b). The uxes must be corrected for absorption to allow a meaningful comparison with unabsorbed QSOs; the average ux correction factor for the QSOs from the hard-spectrum Rosat survey is 1.5 (Page et al. 2001b). Thus 9 X-ray absorbed Q SO s deg  $^2$  with an absorption corrected 0.5{2 keV ux of there are 1:5 10<sup>14</sup> erg s<sup>1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>. This ux limit corresponds to  $L_{0:5} = 4$  10<sup>44</sup> erg s<sup>1</sup> at z = 2, i.e. close to L in the epoch when Q SO activity peaked (Page et al. 1997). For com parison, there are 60 70 unabsorbed Q SOs at the same X -ray ux limit (Page et al. 1997; Miya ji et al. 2001). A ssum ing that absorbed and unabsorbed Q SO s accrete with the sam e Eddington ratio, this implies that the X-ray absorbed QSO phase typically lasts only around 15% as long as the unabsorbed phase (even less if Q SO s accrete with higher Eddington ratios while they are still absorbed). Second, assuming that they accrete at 10% e ciency, that they do not exceed the Eddington limit, and that 3% of the accretion power is emitted in the 0.5-2.0 keV band (E lvis et al. 1994), their X -ray lum inosities in ply that they contain black holes of  $> 10^8 M$  . Thus they are already relatively mature objects, and cannot grow by accretion much further without producing black holes that are larger than those found in typical unabsorbed Q SO s. However, since their host spheroids are still form ing, this brief phase must precede the unabsorbed QSO with its complete, quiescent spheroid. This strongly suggests that the X -ray absorbed Q SO s are observed during a transitional phase which in m ediately preceeds the unabsorbed QSO, perhaps when the AGN has become powerful enough to eject the remaining cold interstellar medium and thereby term inate the growth of the spheroid (Fabian 1999; Silk & Rees 1998).

Before the X-ray absorbed phase, there is an initial phase that is so highly obscured as to have been m issed in all but the deepest X-ray surveys undertaken so far. This earliest phase corresponds to the m ain grow th period of the host galaxy spheroid, and so should be lum inous in the subm illim eter. During this phase the black hole must be accreting rapidly to achieve a m ass of  $10^{8}$ M , and so must reach X-ray lum inosities in excess of  $10^{44}$  ergs <sup>1</sup>. If

AGN in this initial phase were not X -ray absorbed, then a signi cant fraction of unabsorbed QSOs would be lum inous submillim eter sources, but this is ruled out by our observations. If they were absorbed to a sim ilar degree as the X -ray absorbed Q SO s, they would outnum ber this population substantially at the ux limits probed by the hard-spectrum Rosat survey, and certainly would be found in large numbers just below this ux limit in the deeper surveys that are now being carried out with XMM -Newton and Chandra. This is not the case (M ainieriet al. 2002; Page et al. 2003), and hence the earlier evolutionary phases must be characterised by considerably higher levels of X-ray absorption (N<sub>H</sub> >  $10^{23}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>). Since the great majority of optically selected QSOs show little or no X-ray absorption (Yuan et al. 1998), the earlier phases must also be heavily obscured in the restfram e optical/UV. Consequently, AGN in the earliest stages of their evolution will be di cult to detect in any waveband, except for the far-IR / subm illim eter where they will be strong sources. At present, the sm all num ber of optically faint, hard X -ray detected subm illim eter sources reported by Ivison et al. (2002) and A lexander et al. (2003) are probably the best candidates for AGN in this initial phase because they are more heavily obscured than our Rosat-selected objects, although we can anticipate that future high-throughput X-ray observatories could reveal the buried AGN components in many more submillimeter sources. In contrast, the X-ray absorbed QSOs found in the hard-spectrum Rosat survey may be caught at the earliest evolutionary stage readily accessible to conventional X-ray and optical surveys; ourishing and youthful, they may only just be emerging from the obscuring gaseous cocoons of their birth.

The Jam es C lerk M axwell Telescope is operated on behalf of the Particle Physics and A stronom y R esearch C ouncil of the United K ingdom, the N etherlands O rganisation for Scienti c R esearch and the N ational R esearch C ouncil of C anada. F JC adknow ledge nancial support by the Spanish M inisterio de C iencia y Tecnolog a, under grant E SP 2003-00812.

#### REFERENCES

A lexander, D.M., et al., 2003, AJ, 125, 383

Antonucci, R., 1993, ARA & A, 31, 473

Barger, A.J., Cowie L.L., Bautz, M.W., Brandt, W.N., Garmire, G.P., Hornschem eier, A.E., Ivison, R.J., Owen, F.N., 2001, AJ, 122, 2177

Boyle, B.J., W ilkes, B.J., & Elvis, M., 1997, MNRAS, 285, 511

- Boyle, B.J., Shanks, T., Croom, S.M., Smith, R.J., Miller, L., Loaring, N., & Heymans, C., 2000, MNRAS, 317, 1014
- Comastri, A., Setti, G., Zamorani, G., & Hasinger, G., 1995, A&A, 296, 1
- Croom, S.M., Schade, D., Boyle, B.J., Shanks, T., Miller, L., Smith, R.J., 2004, ApJ, 606, 126
- Dunlop, J.S., McLure, R.J., Kukula, M.J., Baum, S.A., O'Dea, C.P., & Hughes, D.H., 2003, MNRAS, 340, 1095
- Elvis, M., et al., 1994, ApJS, 95, 1
- Fabian, A.C., 1999, MNRAS, 308, L39
- Franceschini, A., Hasinger, G., Miyaji, T., Malquori, D., 1999, MNRAS, 310, L5
- Gehrels, N., 1986, ApJ, 303, 336
- Gilli, R., Salvati, M., Hasinger, G., 2001, A&A, 366, 407
- Griths, R.E., Georgantopoulos, I., Boyle, B.J., Stewart, G.C., Shanks, T., & della Ceca, R., 1995, MNRAS, 275, 77
- Ivison, R.J., et al., 2002, MNRAS, 337, 1
- Kukula, M. J., Dunlop, J. S., McLure, R. J., Miller, L., Percival, W. J., Baum, S. J., & O'Dea, C. P., 2001, MNRAS, 326, 1533
- M ason, K.O., et al, 2000, MNRAS, 311, 456
- Mainieri, V., et al., 2002, A&A, 393, 425
- M cH ardy, I.M ., et al., 1998, M NRAS, 295, 641
- Merritt, D., & Ferrarese, L., 2001, MNRAS, 320, L30
- M iya ji, T ., Hasinger, G ., & Schm idt, M ., 2001, A & A , 369, 49
- Page, M. J., Mason, K. O., McHardy, I. M., Jones, L. R., & Carrera, F. J., 1997, MNRAS, 291, 324

Page, M. J., M ittaz, J.P.D., & Carrera, F.J., 2000, MNRAS, 318, 1073

Page, M. J., M ittaz, J.P.D., & Camera, F.J., 2001, MNRAS, 325, 575

- Page, M. J., Stevens, J.A., Mittaz, J.P.D., & Carrera, F. J., 2001, Science, 294, 2516
- Page, M. J., et al, 2003, AN, 324, 44
- Sanders, D.B., Soifer, B.T., Elias, J.H., Madore, B.F., Matthews, K., Neugebauer, G., & Scoville, N.Z., 1988, AJ, 325, 74
- Setti, G., & Woltjer, L., 1989, A&A, 224, L21
- Sik, J., & Rees, M . J., 1998, A & A , 331, L1
- Stevens, J.A., Page, M.J., Ivison, R.J., Smail, I., & Carrera, F.J., 2004, ApJ, 604, L17
- Stocke, J.T., et al., 1991, ApJS, 76, 813
- Schmidt, M., et al., 1998, A&A, 329, 495
- Tran, H.D., 2003, ApJ, 583, 632
- Yu, Q., & Tremaine, S., 2002, MNRAS, 335, 965
- Yuan, W , Brinkmann, W , Siebert, J., & Voges, W , 1998, A & A , 330, 108

This preprint was prepared with the AAS  $IAT_EX$  m acros v5.0.



Fig. 1. Selection of the sample. The datapoints show the redshifts and X-ray lum inosities of X-ray selected AGN from a number of surveys with di erent ux limits and sky areas. The thin solid line shows the position of log (L) as a function of redshift assuming the best t model luminosity function from Page et al. (1997), and the bold solid line encloses the region  $(1 < z < 3; \log(L) ) 0:7 < \log(L) < \log(L) + 0:7)$ , in which the majority of AGN comoving luminosity density is produced. The bold dashed line is the limit at which sources are expected to have a ux of 3 m Jy at 850 m if they have star-formation powered FIR luminosities equal to their AGN bolom etric luminosities, and assuming FIR spectra similar to that of M rk 231. The AGN above the dashed line but within the region enclosed by the bold line are the objects that can usefully be probed with SCUBA and are relevant to the production of the Universe's accretion luminosity. Sources selected for submillimeter observations are shown in black rather than grey.



Fig. 2. Left panel: 850 m ux densities of the sample of 20 X-ray unabsorbed Q SO s. Right panel: 850 m ux densities of the matched sample of X-ray absorbed Q SO s, adapted from Page et al. (2001a). In both panels, sources which are detected at > 3 con dence are plotted as lled circles, while non-detections are shown as open circles. The solid line on both panels shows the predicted 850 m ux density of the nearby submillimeter lum inous, X-ray absorbed Q SO M rk 231 if it were viewed at redshift z.

Table 1. Characteristics of the unabsorbed sample and their observed submillimeter emission.  $L_X$  is the 0.5-2 keV luminosity in erg s<sup>1</sup>; S<sub>850</sub> is the 850 m ux density in mJy. Bold text indicates the source detected at 3 condence at 850 m.

Source	Z	$\log L_{\rm X}$	S <sub>850</sub>	S <sub>850</sub>	
RX J105316 <b>.</b> 8+ 573552	1,204	44.9	0:3	0:9	
M S 0537.4-2843	1,245	44.9	1:5	0:9	
RX J125456 <b>.</b> 9+ 564941	1,261	44.9	1 <b>:</b> 6	1:0	
RX J125639 <b>.</b> 5+472410	1.315	45.0	0 <b>:</b> 9	1:0	
M S 1633.1+2643	1.336	44.9	0:4	0 <b>:</b> 8	
M S 1703.5+ 6052	1.358	44.9	0:0	1:0	
RX J071858.8+712432	1.390	44.9	1 <b>:</b> 7	0 <b>:</b> 9	
M S 0824,2+0327	1.431	44.9	1:3	0 <b>:</b> 9	
RX J100926.3+533424	1.718	45.0	02	1:0	
RX J105649 <b>.</b> 6+ 493412	1.788	45.1	0:9	1:1	
RX J111918.6+211339	1.818	44.9	02	12	
RX J180534.0+694733	1.820	45.0	1:0	1:0	
RX J104718.6+ 541919	1.823	44.9	02	1:0	
RX J232443.6+231537	1.840	45.0	1:3	0:9	
RX J113655.3+295131	1.861	44.9	0:5	0 <b>:</b> 9	
RX J112106.0+133825	1.940	45.1	1:8	0 <b>:</b> 9	
RX J105331.8+572454	1.956	45.0	1:9	0 <b>:</b> 8	
CRSS J1428.3+ 4231	2,171	45.1	0 <b>:</b> 6	1:0	
RX J141954.3+543014	2.248	45.1	2:8	0 <b>:</b> 8	
CRSS J1415.1+1140	2,353	45.0	02	0 <b>:</b> 9	