H igh-R esolution, W ide-F ield Im aging of the G alactic C enter R egion at 330 M H z

MichaelE.Nord¹, T. Joseph W. Lazio, NamirE.Kassim

NavalResearch Laboratory

Code 7213, Naval Research Laboratory, W ashington, DC 20375-5351

Michael.Nord@nrl.navy.mil

Joseph.Lazio@nrl.navy.mil

Namir.Kassim@nrl.navy.mil

S.D.Hyman

Departm ent of Physics and Engineering, Sweet Briar College, Sweet Briar, VA 24595

shyman@sbc.edu

T.N.LaRosa

Department of Biological and Physical Sciences, Kennesaw State University, 1000 Chastain Road, Kennesaw, GA 30144

ted@avatar.kennesaw.edu

C.Brogan

Institute for A stronom y, 640 North A 'ohoku P lace, H ib, H I 96720

cbrogan@ifa.hawaii.edu

and

N.Duric

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, 800 Yale Boulevard NE, A buquerque, NM 87131

duric@tesla.phys.unm.edu

¹D octoral Student, University of New Mexico

ABSTRACT

We present a wide eld, sub-arcm inute resolution VLA in age of the Galactic Center region at 330 MHz. With a resolution of 7^0 12^0 and an RMS noise of 1.6 mJy beam ¹, this in age represents a signi cant increase in resolution and sensitivity over the previously published VLA in age at this frequency. The improved sensitivity has more than tripled the census of small diameter sources in the region, has resulted in the detection of two new Non Therm al Filaments (NTFs), 18 NTF candidates, 30 pulsar candidates, reveals previously known extended sources in greater detail, and has resulted in the rst detection of Sagittarius A in this frequency range.

A version of this paper containing full resolution images may be found at http://lwanrlnavymil/nord/AAAB pdf.

Subject headings: Galaxy: center | radio continuum : general | techniques: interferom etric

1. Introduction

At a distance of only 8 kpc, the Galactic center (GC) o ers an unparalled site for examining the environment of a (moderately) active galactic nucleus. A multi-wavelength approach is essential to understanding the diverse range of phenomena in the GC, and low – frequencies (< 1000 M Hz) provide several crucial benets in obtaining a complete picture of the GC.At 330 M Hz, thermal sources such as classical H II regions have not yet become self-absorbed while non-thermal sources such as supernova remnants (SNRs) are typically detected easily. Thus the interactions between these sources (e.g. in regions of massive star formation) can be studied. More generally, low frequency observations have intrinsically large elds of view, allowing the various components of the GC to be placed into a larger context.

The G alactic C enter (G C) was not in aged at 330 M H z at high resolution in 1989 (Pedlar et al. 1989; A nantharam aiah et al. 1991). A dvances enabled by these early in aging program s include revealing the 7^0 radio halo around the Sagittarius A region and constraining the 3-dim ensional structure of the region through optical depth distributions. However, in aging algorithm s at the time were unable to compensate for the non-coplanar nature of the VLA. Hence the full primary beam of the VLA at 330 M H z (FW HM 156⁰) was not correctly in aged and only the very center of the G C region was studied at high delity.

M ore recently, exploiting a number of advances in imaging algorithms to compensate for the non-coplanar nature of the VLA, LaR osa et al. (2000) re-imaged these data, forming a fulled of view image. This led to the discovery of many new sources, and provided an unparalleled census of both extended and small diameter, thermal and non thermal sources within 100 pc (projection) of the GC. This was a orded by signicant advances in wide-eld imaging algorithms, coupled with greatly increased computational power. However, even that e ort fell short of utilizing the full resolving power of the VLA and the commensurate improved sensitivity it would have a orded. Since those data were presented, signi cant improvements in software, hardware, and computational power have continued to be realized. This motivated us to revisit the GC in order to achieve further improvements in resolution and sensitivity at 330 M Hz.

In this paper we present analysis of our latest 330 MHz in age generated from new A and B con guration data sets, which are appropriate for generating a map with a minimum of confusion noise and maximum sensitivity to smaller scale (. 1°) structure. Consequently the entire GC region contained by the primary beam of the VLA has been in aged at the maximum possible resolution for the rst time. The image is centered on the radio-bright Sagittarius A region and provides a resolution of 7° 12^{\overline{0}} and an RMS sensitivity of 1.6 m Jy beam ¹, an improvement by roughly a factor of 5 in both parameters over the LaR osa et al. (2000) in age.

The improved sensitivity and resolution have led to the detection of at least two new N on Therm al Filam ents (NTFs), 18 NTF candidates and 30 pulsar candidates. It has also revealed previously known extended sources in greater detail and signi cantly increased the census of sm all diam eter sources in the GC region. In x2 we describe the observations and in x3 we describe data reduction, im age re-construction, and astrom etry. In x4 and x5 we discuss sm all diam eter sources, and in x6 we present im ages of resolved sources including new ly discovered NTFs and NTF candidates. O ur conclusions are presented in section x7.

2. Observations

Two sets of observations were obtained as sum marized in Table 1. The rst was observed at 330 M H z in the A con guration of the VLA in O ctober 1996. Six M H z of total bandwidth centered on 332.5 M H z was split into 64 channels in order to enable radio frequency interference (RFI) excision as well as to mitigate the e ects of bandwidth smearing (chrom atic abberation). These data were from a series of observations designed to nd candidate G C pulsars (i.e., sm all diameter, steep-spectrum objects; Lazio & Cordes 2004). The second set of observations were obtained in the A and B con gurations of the VLA and were obtained between M arch 1998 and M ay 1999. A total bandwidth of 3 M H z centered at 327.5 M H z was split into 32 channels. U nlike the archival data re-processed by LaR osa et al. (2000), all these new data were obtained using all 27 antennas of the VLA.

3. Data Reduction

D at reduction and imaging at 330 M H z with the VLA utilizes procedures similar to those employed at centim eter wavelengths. Key dierences are the need form ore intensive data editing and the requirement to implement non-coplanar imaging of the fullel dof view in order to mitigate the confusion from the numerous extended and small diameter sources in the primary beam². In general we followed reduction and imaging procedures analogous to the steps reported in LaR osa et al. (2000), although the speed and sophistication of many of the specialized algorithm s have been greatly improved.

Initial ux density and phase calibration were conducted in the standard m anner, with C ygnus A used for bandpass calibration in the 1998 data and 3C 286 used in the 1996 data. Flux density calibration was based on observations of 3C 286, and initial phase calibration was obtained using the VLA calibrators B1830 360 and B1711 251.

3.1. Radio Frequency Interference Excision

A key issue for bw frequency data reduction at the VLA is the impact of radio frequency interference (RFI). Som e sources of interference, such as lightning and solar-related activity, are norm ally broad-band, and require those time periods to be completely excised from the data. However, RFI at 330 MHz is mostly narrow-band. A logorithm s exist that attempt to autom ate the rem oval of only those channels with interference. We elected to inspect the data and rem ove RFI m anually because in our experiences with autom ated RFI excision, either available algorithm s rem oved too much good data, or failed to excise su cient RFI, particularly at low-levels.

RFI excision was based on the following criteria - rst, visibilities with excessive am plitudes (e.g., > 100) were agged. Then the visibility data am plitudes were scrutinized in both Stokes I and V. Stokes V is particularly useful in locating RFI as there should be very

²A full description of low-frequency VLA data reduction procedures is at hURL: http://rsd-www.nrlnavymil/7213/lazio/tutorial/i.

little circular polarization at these frequencies³ while RFI is often highly circularly polarized. Baselines and time ranges that showed excessive deviation from surrounding data were agged. An additional means by which RFI was localized was the identication of system ic ripples in the image. Determining the spatial frequency of these ripples allowed the o ending baseline and time range to be located and removed from the visibility (u-v) dataset.

A firer RFI excision, the spectral line data were sm oothed by a factor of two in order to lower the computational cost of imaging. As sensitivity declines steeply near the edge of the bandwidth, the end channels were om itted. The resulting data set had a bandwidth of 2.34 MHz, 12 channels with 0:195 MHz each.

3.2. W ide-Field Im aging & Self-Calibration

An additional complication for low frequency in aging is that the combination of the large eld of view (FW HM 156° at 330 MHZ), high angular resolution, and non-coplanar nature of the VLA necessitates specialized in aging algorithms to avoid in age distortion. We employed the polyhedron algorithm of Comwell & Perley (1992), in which the sky is approximated by many two-dimensional facets. We chose our facets to be 30° in size. This choice was driven by the degree of non-coplanar in age distortion deem ed acceptable at facet edges. The algorithm shifts the phase center to the center of an individual facet and then grids the u-v channel data before it is in aged. Iterating over many facets allows the entire primary beam to be imaged with minimal non-coplanar elects, at the minimal bandwidth smearing of the individual channels, and at the sensitivity of the full bandwidth.

Below 1 GHz, atm ospheric phase errors for interferom eters are dominated by the ionosphere. In order to rem ove ionospheric phase errors, an imaging/self-calibration (Comwell & Form about 1999) loop is used. For each data set, several iterations of self-calibration were used to improve the dynam ic range. A phase self calibration interval of 2 m inutes was used as this is generally short enough to track ionospheric changes and long enough to provide a su cient signal-to-noise ratio. Am plitude self-calibration was used only after m any iterations of phase-only imaging/self-calibration loops, and utilized larger solution intervals, as described below.

Current angle-invariant in plem entations of self-calibration solve for one phase and/or

 $^{^{3}}$ The radio source associated with the massive black hole in the center of our galaxy, Sgr A , is slightly circularly polarized at higher frequencies (Bower, Falcke, & Backer 1999). However, the ux density of this source is very low (< 0.1%) compared to the total ux density in the eld.

am plitude per antenna per tim e interval. For this reason, only ionospheric uctuations with isoplanatic patch sizes on the sky large com pared to the eld of view can be properly rem oved. Form s of angle variant self-calibration are needed to com pensate for non-isoplanatic e ects, especially at the lower VLA frequency of 74 M H z where those e ects becom e severe.

The state of ionospheric weather during an observation has a strong bearing on data quality. We were fortunate to have a very calm ionosphere during both A con guration observations. In our B con guration observation, the ionosphere was less calm and data from a small number of relatively longer u-v baselines had to be agged for the rst two hours of the observation. However those data were compensated for by high quality A con guration data covering regions of the u-v plane lost to the turbulent ionospheric conditions early in the B con guration.

For the special case of the GC, most (> 90%) of the ux density in the primary beam lies within the central facet containing Sgr A. Until properly deconvolved, artifacts from Sgr A dom inate all other sources of error in the image. At the early stages of imaging, calibration and ionospheric phase errors compound this confusion problem. Therefore, in the rst in aging iteration, only the central facet containing Sgr A was in aged. However, much of the emission in this eld is diuse, and standard deconvolution, which assumes point sources on an empty background, will not deconvolve this di use em ission e ectively. Hence SDI (Steer, Dewdney, & Ito 1984) deconvolution in AIPS was used. SDI clean more e ectively cleans di use en ission by selecting and deconvolving all pixels above a certain intensity in an image instead of iteratively deconvolving a few bright pixels. However, we found that starting with SDI clean resulted in the removal of too much emission from the central bright region, causing deconvolution errors in each successive m a pr cycle. Therefore, deconvolution was started with standard Cotton/Schwab (SG I) clean, and switched to SD I after the st m a pr cycle. G radually the num ber of facets was expanded so that successive loops of phase self-calibration and imaging encompassed the full eld of view. Once the num ber of facets was been expanded to include the entire eld, a nalam plitude and phase self-calibration with a long (one hour) solution interval was perform ed to correct for any system atic gain o sets between antennas.

3.3. Multi-Con guration Data Synthesis

The data from each of the three epochs were reduced separately following the procedures outlined above. Once reasonably high dynamic range in ages could be produced from all three data sets, intensities of sm all diam eter sources were checked for internal consistency. The 1996 A con guration in age was found to have sm all diam eter source intensities which were system atically low by a factor of roughly 20%, for reasons we could not determ ine. For this reason, as well as to bring all data onto a comm on am plitude scale, the datasets were self-calibrated one naltime. The concatenated u-v dataset was amplitude and phase self-calibrated with the 1998 B con guration in age as the model. The self-calibration was done using a time interval of 12 hours, longer than the time of any of the individual observations. This corrected for any system ic gain or position o sets between the datasets. The B con quration model was chosen to anchor this alignment because use of an A con quration model would bias the ux densities to be too low. While this technique aligned the ux density scales of the three datasets, absolute ux density calibration remains unknown at about the 5% level (Baars et al. 1977). A fter this last self-calibration, the combined data were in aged a naltime, producing the nalfacets. For the nalimage, all facets were interpolated onto one large grid, resulting in a single in age with a resolution of 7° 12^{00} and an RMS noise of 1.6 m Jy beam¹. Figure 1 shows the nalim age, containing over a third of a billion 1^{00} 1^{00} pixels and Figure 2 shows the central 12 1:0 of the eld. The total deconvolved ux density from the combined data set was 326 Jy.

3.4. A strom etry

Absolute position determination for low frequency in ages inevitably relies on tying their coordinates to a grid of sources whose positions are determ ined from higher frequency maps. The low frequency data alone are incapable of providing good astrometry for two key reasons. First, self-calibration inherently returns an improved visibility data set whose position is arbitrarily tied to the position of an imperfect starting model. Secondly, even prior to self-calibration the large scale component of the ionosphere introduces an arbitrary phase shift on both target eld and phase calibrator observations. Fortunately, as described by Erickson (1984), this second e ect manifests itself mainly as a global position shift, and to st order does not distort the brightness distribution within the image. Hence to correct for these positional inaccuracies, sm all diam eter sources extracted from the image (x4) were registered against the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS) 1.4 GHz survey (Condon et al. 1998). Figure 3 shows the relative positions of the 103 m atching sources. The mean of this distribution is o set from zero by $0.37^{\circ\circ}$ in Right A scension and $2.4^{\circ\circ}$ in D eclination. All sm all diam eter source positions were adjusted to account for these o sets. We de ne the root m can square deviation from the m can, 2.1° , as the positional accuracy of the compact sources.

4. Sm all D iam eter Sources

Locating and cataloging sm all diam eter (less than two beam widths) sources in the G C region is challenging. Regions of extended em ission can confuse autom ated sm all diam eter source detection algorithms, yet detection by eye can bias against noting weak sources. In this data set, we have the advantage that a great deal of the extended em ission in the region has been resolved out, but enough em ission still exists in supernova remnants, non-therm al

km ents and extended H II regions to confuse autom ated searches. For this reason, we used a hybrid sm all diam eter source search m ethod in which regions of extended em ission were excluded from autom ated sm all diam eter source searches. These regions included the Sgr A region and the region to the northeast along the G alactic plane extending out to the Sgr D H II region. To the south, the non-therm al lam ent Sgr C and the "Tornado" supernova rem nant were also rem oved. From the rem aining region, an autom ated sm all diam eter source search algorithm ⁴ was used to locate sources with a signal to noise threshold exceeding 5. Searches by eye were then used in areas that had been rem oved. D ue to confusing ux density, sm all diam eter source detection in these areas can not be considered com plete. Finally, all sources were exam ined by eye to exclude genuinely extended sources, sidelabe artifacts, or sim ilarly m is-identi ed sm all diam eter sources. In total, 241 sm all diam eter sources were identi ed in this m anner, m ore than tripling the num ber of sm all diam eter sources detected in LaR osa et al. (2000). Figure 1 shows the locations of the G alactic center P -band survey (G C P S) sm all diam eter sources.

O noe the sm all diam eter sources had been found, two dimensional G aussians were t to the sources in order to solve for positions, intensities, ux densities, and deconvolved sizes. The distance of each source from the phase center was computed, and the resulting primary beam correction was applied. It should be noted that the primary beam correction is a modeled function and therefore ux densities of sources beyond the half power point of the primary beam (80°) should be considered uncertain. Furtherm ore, there are many sources in the GC region which are extended at this resolution, but are still detected by the search routine. For this reason, we include the average of the major and m inor axes of the G aussian t to each source. In the cases where this value is significantly greater than the average beam size (9.75°), the source may be partially resolved, and the ux density measurement is therefore only a lower limit. D etails are given in Table 2. Column 1 numbers the sources, column 2 identi es sources using their G alactic coordinates, columns 3 and 4 give source RA and DEC, column 5 gives maximum intensity, column 6 gives the RMS of the image in the region local to the source, column 7 gives ux density, column 8 gives the arithm atic mean

⁴AIPS task SAD.

of the deconvolved major and minor axes, column 9 gives the oset of the source from the phase center, and column 10 contains information pertaining to source matches from the SIM BAD database. Figure 4 displays the locations of sources in Table 2.

In order to obtain spectral inform ation, we com pared our catalog (Table 2) against three catalogs at higher frequencies. Table 3 details sources having counterparts in these surveys. Sources were considered a match if their stated location matched ours to within $15^{\circ\circ}$. At a frequency of 1.4 GHz, the GPSR (Zoonem atkern ani et al. 1990 & Helfand et al. 1992) and 2LC (Lazio & Cordes 2004) surveys were used. The GPSR matches our observations well in survey area and in resolution. The 2LC has a much sm aller beam size and limited coverage (inner 1), but was useful in resolving sources separated by less than a beam. At 5 GHz, the com panion survey to the GPSR, the GPSR 5 (Becker et al. 1994) was used as it matches our resolution and coverage as well.

O fparticular note are two transient sources (GCRT J1746 2757 and XTE J1748 288) both described in Hym an et al. (2002). The form er was discovered with this data and is undetected in the X-ray. The latter, an X-ray transient, was rst detected at higher radio frequencies by H jellm ing et al. (1998). We are presently monitoring the GC at 330 M Hz several times a year in order to constrain the frequency and magnitude of G alactic center transients (Hym an et al. 2003).

5. A ssessing Sm all D iam eter Source Populations

5.1. Sm all D iam eter Source D ensity

A sthe G alactic center is one of the most densely populated regions of the sky, we expect the source density to be greater than in other regions of the sky. To test this hypothesis, source counts from the deep W SRT (W ierenga 1991) and C ohen et al. (2003) surveys, both at 330 M H z, were exam ined. C ohen et al. in aged a region far from the G alactic plane with the V LA with sensitivity and beam size sim ilar to our GC in age. W ithin the halfpower point of the prim ary beam , and correcting for slightly greater sensitivity in the C ohen et al. in age, 209 sm all diam eter sources were detected in C ohen et al. versus 123 in our G alactic center in age. W ierenga (1991) used the W esterbork Synthesis array telescope to survey a large region of the sky (90 square degrees), and t a di erential source count ($\frac{dN}{dS}$) m odel to the data. F igure 5 shows the euclidian norm alized di erential source counts for the G alactic C enter in age with the ($\frac{dN}{dS}$) m odel from the deep W SRT survey (W ierenga 1991) superim posed. T he num ber of sources expected under this m odel was obtained by the num erical integration of the follow ing:

$$N = \begin{array}{c} Z_{Pax} & Z^{I} \\ 2 & d \\ & \frac{dN}{dS} \\ S_{m in} \end{array}$$

Where min is the radial distance from the phase center at which the integration is started, m_{ax} is the radial distance at which the integration is stopped, and S_{min} , is the m in imum detectable intensity at m_{ax} . For our purposes, $m_{in} = 10^0$ in order to exclude the central Sgr A supernova rem nant, $_{max}$ is the full width at half maximum (FW HM) of the prim ary beam, and S_{min} is 15 mJy, the 5 detection limit at the FW HM of the prim ary beam . W ith these values, W ieringa's source count model predicts 194 sources out to the survey limit of Cohen et al. (1:3 radius). The observed value of Cohen et al. (2003) and the expected value of W ieringa (1991) agree to within 7%. However, the Galactic Center region's 123 sources represent an underdensity of 40%. This is at least partly explained by the presence of bright extended sources such as SgrA, SgrB, and SgrC, as detection of sm all diam eter sources that lie behind them is not possible. However, these sources cover no more than 5% of the region. To explain this underdensity we hypothesize that the free electron scattering screen of Cordes and Lazio (2004) is su ciently strong in the Galactic Center region that sources of lower intrinsic intensity are being scattered to such an extent that their surface brightness falls below the detection limit of the survey. The scattering m odel and the ram i cations of this observation are covered in detail in section 5.3.

5.2. Sm all D iam eter Source C lassi cation

At high G alactic latitudes the eld of view at 330 M H z is dom inated by an extragalactic source population, typically radio galaxies (e.g. C ohen et al. 2003). G iven that the line of sight for this observation passes through the maximum extent of the G alactic disk, we also expect a contribution from a G alactic source population (s). In this section we assess the extent to which we have detected both a G alactic and extragalactic population of sources and in particular seek to classify the underlying nature of the small diam eter component.

To determ ine if any G alactic population is present, the clustering of sources near the G alactic plane was exam ined. F igure 1, shows the distribution of sm all diam eter sources. The sources appear to be concentrated along the G alactic plane. In order to test this observation statistically, all sm all diam eter sources were placed into a radial coordinate frame. The observation (phase) center is the center of the frame, the parallel to the G alactic plane toward the north is de ned as zero degrees, and westward is de ned as positive G alactic

angle. A schematic of this coordinate system is shown in Figure 6. This coordinate system was chosen because any given angle range d will have equal area, r^2d , where r is the radius of the imaged area. Furtherm ore because the sensitivity of the VLA primary beam falls o radially from the phase center any given r^2d also has an equal sensitivity.

We assume that any G alactic or extra-galactic population would be symmetric about the G alactic plane. Furthermore, both populations are assumed to be symmetric about the perpendicular to the G alactic plane passing through the G alactic center. The small diameter sources were therefore rejected along these lines to place all sources in one quadrant. In this coordinate system, sources near the G alactic plane will have small G alactic angles (35) and sources further from the plane will have larger G alactic angles (55). An unbinned K olm ogorov-Sm innov (K S) test was performed on these data against the null hypothesis that the sources are random ly distributed. The K S test, shown graphically in Figure 7, excludes the null hypothesis at a condence level of 99.8% (3:6). Furthermore, the percentage of sources rises steeply at low G alactic angle, indicating an overdensity near the G alactic plane. The small diameter source catalog must therefore contain a component of G alactic sources, as a purely extra-galactic sample would not show clustering along the G alactic plane and indeed m ight be expected to show an anti-correlation due to increased scattering (Lazio & C ordes 1998a,b) along the plane.

A means of understanding the nature of the small diameter sources is via their spectral) for cases in which a higher frequency detection exists. The sources from index (S / Table 3 with 1.4 GHzGPSR (Zoonem atkern aniet al. 1990 & Helfand et al. 1992) m atches were examined as this survey has similar sensitivity and resolution to our in age. For the subset of 98 sources that matched the GPSR survey we computed spectral indices and perform ed the KS test to determ ine if the sources cluster along the G alactic plane. Figure 8 is a histogram of spectral indices with an overlayed Gaussian representing what would be expected from a pure extragalactic source population (D e B reuck et al. 2000). Though most (90%) of the sources appear to have spectral indices consistent with extra-galactic sources, there is a tail of the distribution towards both at and steep spectral indices compared to what is expected from a pure extra-galactic population. Furtherm ore, the KS test on sources with a GPSR m atch had a lower signi cance level (2:7) than the set of all sources, indicating that though some clustering along the plane may exist, the GPSR matched set is more random ly distributed. We therefore conclude that among the sources with GPSR m atches we are seeing a mostly extra-galactic source population with a few (10%) G alactic sources. The tail of the distribution towards steep spectral indices are non-therm alg alactic sources (e.g. pulsars, see below), while the tail towards at spectral indices points towards therm al sources, e.g. H II regions and planetary nebulae.

Because the GPSR has such well matched resolution and sensitivity to our image, the rem aining 143 sm all diam eter sources (60% of our sm all diam eter population) are of interest because they must represent a non-therm alpopulation of sources of relatively steep spectral index. In the area of interest the GPSR has a detection threshold of 5{10 mJy. Figure 9 is a spectral index histogram of sources without a GPSR match assuming a 1.4 GHz ux density of 10 mJy. Assuming this ux density value results in spectral indices which are upper limits, i.e. all sources must have a spectral index at least this steep. A gain overlayed is a Gaussian representing what is expected from a purely extra-galactic population (De Breuck et al. 2000). W hile roughly 50% of the sources in the region have spectral index upper limits consistent with an extra-galactic population and could be background radio galaxies falling below the sensitivity lim it of the GPSR, a large num ber of the sources are far too steep to be consistent with being background radio galaxies. M oreover the KS test ruled out a null hypothesis with a 5:8 con dence, indicating that these sources tend to strongly cluster along the Galactic plane. Hence we believe that we are detecting a population of steep spectral index sources of G alactic origin. Hypothesis for the identity of these sources are pulsars (x52.1), stellar clusters or young stellar objects (x52.2), and young Galactic SNRs (x523).

5.2.1. Pulsars and Pulsar Candidates

Current periodicity searches for pulsars are wellknown to be biased against short-period, distant, highly dispersed or scattered, and tight binary pulsars (as the recent Lyne et al. 2004 detection of J0737 3039 illustrates) (e.g. Cordes & Lazio 1997). As pulsars are expected to have a small diam eter and a steep spectral index, this high-resolution, bw frequency survey m ight be expected to be a more elective tool for inding G alactic center pulsars. For this reason, we have exam ined our catalog for possible pulsar candidates.

Table 4 lists four previously known pulsars which were detected by checking our sm all diam eter sources against the ATNF pulsar database⁵ (M anchester et al. 2003). Table 5 lists ten known pulsars in the search area that were not detected. Low ux density at higher frequencies and high in age RMS due to positions far from the phase center are consistent with these non-detections with the exception of B1737 30. In the case of this source, higher frequency detections (> 1 G H z; Lorim er et al. 1995 & Taylor M anchester & Lyne 1993) indicate that the source could be m arginally detected in our in age. How ever this pulsar appears to have a spectral index turnover at frequencies below 600 M H z (D. Lorim er

⁵http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat/i

2004, private communication) which would explain this non-detection. O ther previously known sources at comparable distances from the phase center whose higher frequency ux densities suggested they appear at 330 M H z were detected at expected levels. Hence the non-detection of B 1737 30 is most likely not a sensitivity related issue.

We identify 30 sources as pulsar candidates based on the following criteria: candidates must have a small diameter (deconvolved size $< 15^{\circ\circ}$ along the major axis) and either have a steep spectrum ($_{0:33}^{1:4}$ 1:0) or their non-detection in the Columbia 1.4 GHz survey (Zoonem atkern ani et al. 1990 & Helfand et al. 1992) in plies a steep spectrum. The com – pactness criteria is motivated by the observed diameter of Sgr A at this frequency ($13^{\circ\circ}$; N ord et al. 2004) and is set at a size greater than the beam size due to the scattering discussed in the previous section. Table 6 gives the details of the pulsar candidates.

Since we have no frequency-time information on any of these sources, we cannot say how many, if any, are pulsars. However, sources on this list that are not pulsars are still interesting sources and require follow on observations.

5.2.2. Steep Spectrum Stellar Clusters and Young Stellar Objects

In a previous paper based partly on this in age (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2003), the low frequency emission of the Arches stellar cluster (G 0.121+0.017, G CPS G 0.123+0.017 in this survey) was examined. This stellar cluster is a compact, them all source at frequencies between 1.4 and 8 G H z, but is strongly non-them all between 0.33 and 1.4 G H z ($\frac{1.4}{0.33}$ = 1.2 0.4). The mechanism for this non-them all emission is hypothesized to be colliding wind shocks where the stellar winds of m ass losing stars collide with the ambient medium. O f the other two known young stellar clusters in the G alactic center region, the Q uintuplet is undetected and the central cluster is undetectable due to its proximity to the SgrA supernova rem nant. W e note that the SIM BAD matches in Table 2 have 13 sources within 1⁰ of young stellar objects (Y SO). It is possible that part of the population of steep, G alactic sources discussed in x5.2 is comprised of such objects.

5.2.3. Young Galactic Supernova Rem nants

Though six G alactic supernovae have been detected in the last 1000 years (C lark & Stephenson 1977), between 20 and 40 are thought to have occurred (one per 40 10 yrs; Tam m ann, Loe er, & Schroeder 1994). The question of the m issing SNRs was statistically addressed by G reen (1991) by noting two m ain detection biases; SNRs m ust have enough

surface brightness to be detected, but also must have an angular extent m ore than several times the beam size in order to be identied. This results in a bias toward detection of extended, presumably older SNRs. As SNRs are non-thermal in nature and cluster strongly along the Galactic plane, a low-frequency survey for small diameter radio sources in the Galactic center such as this one could be ideal for identifying a missing young remnant population.

A ssum ing an expansion rate of 2000 km sec¹, a 1000 year old remnant would have a diam eter of 2 pc, or 45^{00} at an assumed galactocentric distance of 8 Kpc. Indeed, one such compact remnant, G1.18+0.33 with a diam eter of 1^{0} is detected in this image and is discussed in x6.2. A remnant only 300 years old or 24 Kpc in distance could easily be classi ed as a small diam eter source (. 25^{00}) in this survey (Table 2).

A ctual identi cation of young SNRs from our small diameter source list is di cult. SNRs typically have a spectral index of 0:7 < < 0:0, making identi cation by spectral index di cult in a eld dom inated by background radio galaxies of nearly the same spectral index range. SNRs can be signi cantly polarized, but depolarization by intervening ISM makes polarization work at 330 MHz di cult. The only possible identi er is morphology. The small diameter sources were scrutinized by eye for evidence of shell structure but no objects were identi ed in this manner. Even this identi er may be biased against identifying plerion-type SNRs. Thus while some of these sources may be young G alactic SNRs, we have no way of identifying them from among our detected small diameter sources.

5.3. Evidence for a Scattering Screen

Interstellar free electron scattering towards the G alactic center is known to be both large (van Langevelde et al 1992; Frailet al. 1994; Lazio & C ordes 1998a, b; B ow er et al. 1999) and potentially spatially variable (Lazio et al. 1999). The recent NE 2001 m odel (C ordes & Lazio 2004) describes the scattering toward the G alactic center by a sm oothly distributed screen as well as areas of strong scattering needed to predict large and/or anom alous scattering towards certain sources. The expected am plitude of angular broadening for a G alactic source seen through this screen is approximately 12^{00} , based on the diam eters of SgrA and various O H m asers when scaled to 330 M H z. Sources closer than the G alactic center will have sm aller scattering diam eters while m ore distant objects will be m ore heavily broadened, potentially by a large am ount. The angular broadening of an extragalactic source m ay range from sm all (less than our beam diam eter) to extrem ely large (m any arcm inutes), depending upon the porosity of the scattering screen.

O ur survey is at a single frequency, so we cannot attribute the diam eter of our sources exclusively to interstellar scattering as intrinsic source structure m ay also contribute. None the less, the spatial density of sources in our survey combined with its relatively low observation frequency means that scattering e ects might still be identieed in a statistical sense. Figure 10 shows the small diam eter sources in G alactic coordinates with their relative deconvolved sizes. We have checked for a correlation between source diam eter and source position { both as a function of distance from the G alactic plane and as a function of distance from the phase center. We detect no correlation.

However, we do think that we are detecting the signature of the hypothesized scattering screen in di erential source counts. Figure 5 clearly shows source counts fall o strongly towards lower ux density. Angular broadening conserves ux density, but sources are detected via their maximum intensity, which will decrease as the square of the diameter of the source. For instance a source with an intrinsic diameter of 10^{00} will have its maximum intensity decreased by 45% if broadened by 2^{00} . A source that would have been just at the detection limit would become undetectable. A source with higher intrinsic intensity would still be detectable, and its ux density would remain unchanged.

5.4. Steep Spectral Index Sources

Sensitive low frequency observations are ideal for noting steep-spectra sources. Several sources in our survey with cross identications in Table 3 have measured spectral indices that are very steep ($\frac{1:4}{0:33}$ 1:8) and therefore require scrutiny. These sources are discussed below.

- GCPS G 359.535 1.736 This source has a spectral index of $\frac{14}{0:33} = 1.9$, a deconvolved size of $10.6^{\circ\circ}$ 3.0°, and a position angle of 102 . A large length to width ratio and a position angle signi cantly di erent from that of the clean beam suggests that this source m ay be an unresolved radio galaxy with only a single component in the 1.4 G H z survey, akin to GCP 0.131 1.068.
- GCPS G0.131 1.068 This source is idential edwith two sources at 1.4 GHz (GPSR G0.131 1.065 & GPSR G0.131 10.67), with a spectral index of $\frac{1.4}{0.33} = 1.4$ and 2.0 respectively. The 330 MHz source is quite elongated with a deconvolved size of 11.8¹⁰ 3.5¹⁰, and has a position angle of 60, significantly different than the position angle of the beam. If the ux density of the two 1.4 GHz sources are added, the resulting spectral index is 1:1. This source is almost certainly a blending of the two lobes of a radio galaxy.

- GCPS G0.993 1.599 This source has a spectral index of $\frac{1.4}{0.33} = 2.0$, has a size of $22^{00} \quad 10^{00}$ with a position angle of 4, and appears slightly di use. If this source is associated with GPSR G1.003 1.594 (30^{00} to the north), the two sources would have the morphology of an FR II radio galaxy. A potential di culty with this classic cation is the steep and quite di erent spectral indeces ($\frac{1.4}{0.33} = 2.0$ for GPSR G0.993 1.599 versus $\frac{1.4}{0.33} = 1.0$ for GPSR G1.003 1.594.
- GCPS G1.027+1.544 This source has a size of 26^{00} 12^{00} with a position angle of 148 and appears di use. It is identified with two sources at 1.4 GHz (GPSR G1.025 1.545 & GPSR G1.026 1.546). If the ux densities of both 1.4 GHz sources are used in determining the spectral index, the result is $\frac{1:4}{0:33} = 1:4$. While morphologically this source appears to be an extragalactic source, its spectral index remains steeper than is common for extragalactic sources.
- GCPS G1.540 0.961 This source is a known pulsar PSR B1749 27. We derive a $\frac{1.4}{0.33}$ spectral index of 3.0 for this source.

5.5. Sagittarius A

Sagittarius A , the radio source associated with our Galaxy's central massive black hole, was detected utilizing a subset of this data. This is the rst detection of this source at comparable frequencies, and the lowest frequency detection to date. This detection, as well as implications for emission mechanisms and the location Sagittarius A with respect to other objects in the Sagittarius A region are detailed in N ord et al. (2004).

6. Extended Sources

Given the relatively high resolution of this image, a short discussion on why new extended sources were discovered is warranted. At a resolution of 45^{00} as in LaR osa et al. (2000), large areas of the GC region are dominated by di use ux density which is resolved out in this image. This allows for these regions to be searched for features that are unresolved or only moderately resolved in one dimension, i.e. NTFs. Secondly several sources appear unresolved to LaR osa et al., but are now resolved at this higher resolution.

Am ong the most fascinating of the unique structures in the Galactic Center are the NTFs. These are remarkably coherent magnetic structures that extend tens of parsecs and maintain widths of only a few tenths of parsecs (e.g., Lang et al. 1999). It has been hypoth-

esized that the NTFs are part of a globally ordered space lling magnetic eld (e.g., Morris & Serabyn 1996) and if so they would be the primary diagnostic of the GC magnetic eld. An alternative idea is that the NTFs are magnetic wakes formed from the amplication of a weak global eld through a molecular cloud-galactic center wind interaction (Shore & LaRosa 1999)

Though as ofyet there is no consensus as to the origin of these structures, they are known to be non-therm al in nature, and therefore high resolution studies at low radio frequencies are important to understanding this phenom enon and for increasing the census of known NTFs.

N ine isolated NTFs were known before this work was completed. Of those nine, we detect eight as we do not have su cient surface brightness sensitivity to detect G 359.85+0.39 (LaR osa, Lazio, & Kassim, 2001). Table 7 summarizes the properties of the previously detected NTFs. W ith respect to lower resolution measurements, the laments tend to have lower ux density and are longer. Insensitivity to low spatial frequencies is responsible for reducing the overall ux density of the NTFs, but also allows for extracting the fainter ends of the NTFs from the extended ux density near the G alactic C enter. For this reason, the ux density measurements of Table 7 should be taken only as lower limits.

We report the detection of 20 linear structures, two of which have been con m ed as NTFs (LaR osa et al. 2004). We regard secure identi cations of NTFs as those sources with large length to width ratios which have highly polarized (& 10% linear polarization), non-therm alem ission. W ith these observations, we can determ ine only m orphology and spectrum where higher frequency observations are available. W ithout polarization inform ation, we shall classify the rem aining 18 objects as NTF candidates. Table 8 sum m arizes the properties of these 20 linear structures.

If we assume that all of these NTF candidates will be eventually conmed as NTFs, the total number of known NTFs would triple. Figure 11 shows the intensity histogram of NTFs and NTF candidates. We show the intensity, rather than ux density for two reasons. First, detections of these sources is based on maximum intensity, not ux density. secondly baseline subtraction can be di cult for extended sources that pass through regions of di use ux density, so the total ux density of the NTFs is uncertain. C learly apparent in Figure 11 is a rapid increase in the number of potential NTFs at low intensity. The range of intensities is fairly sm all, but the increase in number rises faster than linearly with decreasing intensity. By increasing sensitivity by a factor of 5 over LaR osa et al. (2000), we have tripled the number of known and suspected NTFs, suggesting that the number of NTFs rises at minimum as N I ^{0:7}. We conclude that just the tip of the NTF lum inosity distribution is being detected and we hypothesize that there may be hundreds of low surface

LaR osa et al. (2004) discuss the properties of the emerging NTF population in detail, but here we brie y review several noteworthy properties. Firstly the new NTFs signi cantly increase the volume of space over which the NTF phenom enon is known to occur. Though G 359.10 0.2 remains the furthest southern extent of the NTF phenom enon, new candidate NTFs are now found N orth, East, and W est of those previously known. The entire population of suspected NTFs now covers 2 square degrees covering G alactic longitudes from + 0.4 to 0.9 and G alactic latitudes from + 0.7 to 0.5. This observation is of particular in portance to NTF m odels assuming a space lling poloidal eld, as the volume over which this eld must exist, and therefore the magnetic energy in the eld, are now signi cantly increased. Of further note is the space distribution of the new candidate NTFs. Previously G 359.10 0.2 (The Snake) was the only NTF found south of the G alactic plane, though the G alactic C enter radio arc and SgrC cross the plane. Thirteen of the twenty candidate NTFs are south of the G alactic plane.

The orientation of the NTFs is of particular interest due to their potential to discrim inate between dierent NTF origin theories and for tracing Galactic Center magnetic elds. For the purposes of this discussion, orientation will be de ned as the separation angle between the long axis of the NTF and the norm alto the Galactic plane. The nine known isolated NTFs are, with the exception of G 358.85+0.47, nearly norm alto the G alactic plane. This observation supports the hypothesis that the magnetic eld in the region is poloidal in nature (e.g. Morris & Serabyn 1996, and references therein). However, the new NTF population diers signicantly with a mean orientation of 35 40. Furthermore, NTFsmuch closer to the plane and to the Galactic Center than G358.85+0.47 such as NTF G359.22 0.16 are nearly parallel to the G alactic plane. This suggests that the G alactic C enter m agnetic eld is signi cantly more complicated than a simple dipole eld. Though it noteworthy that the brightest NTFs align norm al to the plane, the new NTF population would appear to in ply an larger scale non-poloidal eld and/or a disordered com ponent of the magnetic eld. M oreover, the pseudo-random orientation of weaker candidate NTFsm ay indicate a physical manifestation not directly connected to the properties of any global eld.

6.1. NTFs and NTF C and idates

Candidate NTFsG359.86 0.24 and G359.66 0.11 Lying to the south of SgrA in Figure 12, these long, low brightnessNTF candidates both curve northward.

Candidate NTFsG359.88 0.07 and G359.85 0.02 To the south and west of the supernova remnant SgrA East in Figure 12, and separated by only 4⁰, these NTF can-

didates are nearly perpendicular to each other. Candidate NTF G 359.85 0.02 is in itself interesting in that it is so close to the plane and to the Galactic Center, yet is parallel to the plane. The simple dipole model of the Galactic Center magnetic eld would be challenged to explain this NTF orientation. G 359.85 0.02 was marginally detected at 620 M Hz and labeled "Thread U" in Roy (2003) and G 359.88 0.07 was identied in Lang et al. (1999) as a "streak".

- Candidate NTFsG0.02+0.04 and G0.06 0.07 To the north of SgrA in Figure 12, these two faint NTF candidates are nearly parallel to the nearby bright lament G0.08+0.15.G0.02+0.04 was identied in Langet al. (1999) as a "streak".
- C and idate N T F G 359.90+0.19 To the south of the western extension of G 0.08+0.15, this N T F candidate di ers in orientation by roughly 35 from the nearby bright N T F. If this candidate is an N T F, it traces what m ust be signi cant m agnetic eld gradient in this region.
- N TF G 359.10 0.2 (The Snake) G 359.10 0.2 (Figure 13) was reported by LaRosa et al (2000) to have a length of 5.2°. Reduced sensitivity to large scale features and increased sensitivity to sm all scale features in this in age show that this feature in fact extends over m ore than 20°, has a large 'kink' in the m iddle, and shows curvature in di erent directions on each side of the kink; observations which are in agreement with higher frequency observations of this source (G rey et al. 1995).
- C andidate NTFs G 359.40 0.07 and G 359.40 0.03 To the south of Sgr C in Figure 14 lies candidate NTF G 359.40 0.07. This source was observed by Liszt & Spiker (1995) at 18 cm and detected as a sm all diam eter source in LaR osa et al. (2000). We derive a 20/90 cm spectral index of 0:1. Higher-resolution, 20 cm observations (Lazio & Cordes 2004) show the source to have a lam entary appearance. Candidate NTF G 359.40 0.03 m ay be a faint extension of G 359.40 0.07. If one

source, the bright part of is distinctly non-perpendicular to the G alactic plane while the extension curves and becom es m ore perpendicular. This dem onstrates a signi cant m agnetic eld gradient, particularly given its proxim ity to SgrC, which does not.

C and idate N T F G 359.36+0.09 Figure 14 shows that G 359.36+0.09 lies just to the west of Sgr C. At high resolution the western end of Sgr C lament is resolved into two distinct laments (Liszt & Spiker 1995). The end of the Sgr C lament begins to are, and there is linear source G 359.36+0.09 that may connect to the bottom lament of Sgr C. A very faint structure appears to cross this lament between it and Sgr C. If real, this would be the second example of interacting laments in the Sgr C region as G 359.43+0.13 to the north also exhibits a crossing lament.

- NTF G 359.22 0.16 Figure 14 shows this NTF lies to the south of the eastern part of SgrC. This NTF has been observed to have a polarization of 40% at 6 cm (LaR osa et al. 2004), con m ing this source as an NTF. This NTF is nearly parallel to the G alactic plane m aking it only the second con m ed NTF to be parallel to the plane, yet it is m uch closer to the plane than G 358.85+0.47 'The Pelican', the only other previously known parallel NTF. Furtherm ore, since the end of this source is less than 10 pc in projection from the SgrC lam ent, yet nearly norm alto SgrC, a sim ple dipole G alactic m agnetic eld structure cannot explain this lam ent.
- C and idate N TF G 359.43+0.13 Figure 14 shows this candidate to lie northwest of Sgr C. This cross-shaped source may be an example of interacting NTFs. Even if this structure is simply a projection e ect we have another potential NTF that is parallel to the G alactic plane, and one that is far closer to Sgr A than is G 358.85+0.47, 'The Pelican' (Lang et al. 1999).
- N TF G 0.39 0.12 & C and idate N TFs G 0.37 0.07, G 0.43+0.01, and G 0.39+0.05 Figure 15 shows our candidates between Sgr B1 and the Radio Arc. They appear to be isolated N TFs that cross the plane with the same orientation as the bundled laments in the G alactic C enter Radio Arc. N TF 0.39 0.12 has been observed to have a 6 cm polarization greater than 10% (LaR osa et al. 2004) and is therefore con rm ed as an N TF. These N TFs are the only known N TFs known north of the Radio Arc and therefore signi cantly increase the volum e over which the N TF phenom ena occurs.
- C and idate N T F G 359.12+0.66 Figure 16 shows the very faint G 359.12+0.66. This lament was rst detected at higher frequencies (M.Morris 2002, private communication) and is at the limits of detection here. This is a very long lament (15:6°) that is far above the G alactic plane, and appears to bifurcate in the middle.
- C and idate N T F G 359.33 0.42 Figure 17 shows the short G 359.33 0.42. This candidate N T F is very nearly perpendicular to the G alactic plane, making it the only perpendicular candidate south of the G alactic plane.
- C and idate N T F G 359.99 0.54 Figure 18 shows the very faint candidate N T F G 359.99 0.54. Though no part of this lam ent has a ux density greater than 3 times the RMS noise of the image, it is detectable by comparing the ux density of the region to nearby regions. This lam ent is the furthest south of the G alactic plane of all the N T F s.
- C and idate N TF G 359.59 0.34 Figure 19 shows G 359.59 0.34. This short candidate area signi cantly to the northwest, more than tripling its width. Other N TFs are observed to are, but this is the most extreme example.

6.2. SNR G1.88+0.33

Figure 20 shows supernova rem nant G 1.88+ 0.33. Considered a sm all diam eter source in LaR osa et al. (2000), it is now resolved in our image. First reported as a SNR in G reen & G ull (1984), this rem nant is quite sm all (< 1°), and if it could be shown to be nearby, would be one of the youngest known G alactic supernova rem nants. A 327/74 M H z spectral index of 0.65 (B rogan et al. 2004) indicates that the rem nant does not have signi cant 74 M H z absorption. The fact that the line of sight passes within 2 of the G alactic center suggests that it m ay be on the near side of the G alactic center to avoid absorption, but this is not a robust distance indicator. If indeed it is on this side of the G alactic C enter (< 7.8 K pc) it would be less than 3 pc in diam eter, sm aller than 428 year old Tycho (Schwarz, G oss, K alberla, & Benaglia 1995).

6.3. G 0.4 0.6

G 0.4 0.6 is a moderately strong $(1 \ 2 Jy)$, extended (5^{0}) region of emission, shown in F igure 21, and located approximately one degree east of the G alactic center. Its morphology on the much lower resolution LaR osa et al. (2000) 330 M Hz image consists of an incomplete spherical shell with a central component of emission, resembling a composite SNR. However, a comparison with an earlier VLA image at 1.6 G Hz, kindly provided by H. Liszt, indicates that each of the source components shown in Figure 21 has a at to inverted spectrum. VLA observations obtained by us in 2001 at 4.8 G Hz, together with previous, lower resolution, single dish measurements at 4.9 and 10 G Hz (Altenho et al. 1979; Handa et al. 1987), demonstrate that the spectrum is at at high frequencies.

An upper limit of only 1% polarization was determined from the recent 4.8 GHz observation. We consider unlikely the possibility of severe depolarization due to a foreground therm alplasm a. The morphology at 4.8 GHz suggests that the region of emission may actually consist of two physically distinct sources with one comprised of the eastern and southerm regions as indicated in the gure, and a second, separate western region.

Based on its spectrum and unpolarized emission, we conclude that G0.4-0.6 is most likely an H II region (s) with decreasing ux density below 1 GHz due to self-absorption. This interpretation is supported by a single dish observation (D ownes et al. 1980) that detected H110a and H₂CO recombination lines from the region. A lso, their radial velocity m easurements provide evidence that the source is located in the GC.

{ 22 {

7. Conclusions

We have a presented a high resolution, high sensitivity in age of the Galactic Center at 330 M Hz. Synthesized from new observations and utilizing improved low frequency data reduction procedures, this image improves on previous GC 330 M Hz images (LaRosa et al. 2000) by roughly a factor of ve in both resolution and surface brightness sensitivity.

In this in age we have identi ed 241 sm all diam eter sources (diam eters . $15^{\circ\circ}$), tripling the num ber detected in previous low -frequency in ages of this region (LaR osa et al. 2000). O f these, roughly 40% can be identi ed with sources detected at higher frequencies, prim arily those in the 1.4 G H z G P SR catalog (Zoonem atkern ani et al. 1990 & Helfand et al. 1992), enabling spectral index determ inations. The spectral index distribution is broadly consistent with that expected from an extragalactic population of sources, though there are signi cant tails to both steep spectrum and at spectrum sources. The remaining 60% show clustering along the G alactic plane and roughly 50% of this population have spectral index upper lim its (0:7) which are inconsistent with extra-galactic sources. The exact nature of these sources is unknown, but candidates include young SNR s, pulsars, and stellar wind shocks from young stellar objects and/or stellar clusters. A paucity of low ux density sm all diam eter sources with respect to an extra-galactic population is interpreted as the e ect of a free electron scattering screen along the G alactic plane.

Of fourteen known pulsars in the survey area, four are detected. Non-detections are explained through low intrinsic brightness at higher frequencies and/or positions far from the phase center of the observations. Thirty sources were classified as pulsar candidates based on morphology and spectrum.

We have identied twenty non-thermal laments and NTF candidates. If all are eventually con med, the census of NTFs in the Galactic center will have tripled. The pseudorandom orientation of these laments is in stark contrast to previously detected laments, which with one exception are all nearly normal to the Galactic plane. As NTFs have been thought to be tracers of the Galactic center magnetic structure, the introduction of random ly oriented laments necessitates re-exam ination of the paradigm of a strong, ordered, global magnetic eld, currently accepted theories of NTF form ation, or both.

Future work will include a 330 M H z G alactic C enter in age utilizing all VLA con gurations in combination with data from the G reen Bank Telescope, and 74 M H z VLA in aging of the G alactic center.

The original data request was written with the help of K. A nantharam aiah. "A nantha" passed away during the initial stages of this project and will be missed greatly.

The authors would like to thank M ariana S. Lazarova and Jennifer L. Neureuther, students at Sweet B riar College for their assistance in small diameter source location and quantication.

Basic research in radio astronomy at the NRL is supported by the O ce of Naval Research.S.D.H.was supported by the Je res M em orial Trust and Research Corporation. The National Radio A stronom y O bærvatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreem ent by A spociated Universities, Inc. This research has m ade use of the SIM BAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France

REFERENCES

- Altenho, W.J., Downes, D., Pauls, T., & Schraml, J. 1979, A&AS, 35, 23
- Anantharam aiah, K.R., Pedlar, A., Ekers, R.D., & Goss, W.M. 1991, MNRAS, 249, 262
- Baars, J.W. M., Genzel, R., Pauliny-Toth, I.I.K., & Witzel, A. 1977, A&A, 61, 99
- Becker, R.H., White, R.L., Helfand, D.J., & Zoonem atkerm ani, S. 1994, ApJS, 91, 347

Bower, G.C., Falcke, H., & Backer, D.C. 1999, ApJ, 523, L29

- Brogan et al. 2004, (in preparation)
- Clark, D.H.& Stephenson, F.R. 1977, Oxford [Eng.]; New York : Pergam on Press, 1977.1st ed.,
- Cohen, A.S. et al. 2003, ApJ, 591, 640
- Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Greisen, E. W., Yin, Q. F., Perley, R. A., Taylor, G. B., & Broderick, J. J. 1998, AJ, 115, 1693
- Cordes, J.M. & Lazio, T.J.W. 1997, ApJ, 475, 557
- Cordes & Lazio, 2004 (submitted); astro-ph/020715
- Comwell, T.J. & Perley, R.A. 1992, A&A, 261, 353
- Cornwell, T. & Fom abont, E. 1999, ASP Conf. Ser. 180: Synthesis Im aging in Radio Astronom y II, 187
- De Breuck, C., van Breugel, W., Rottgering, H.J.A., & Miley, G. 2000, A& AS, 143, 303

- Downes, D., Wilson, T.L., Bieging, J., & Wink, J. 1980, A&AS, 40, 379
- Erickson, W.C. 1984, Journal of A strophysics and A stronom y, 5, 55
- Frail, D.A., Diamond, P.J., Cordes, J.M., & van Langevelde, H.J. 1994, ApJ, 427, L43
- Gray, A.D., Nicholls, J., Ekers, R.D., & Cram, L.E. 1995, ApJ, 448, 164
- Green, D.A.& Gull, S.F. 1984, Nature, 312, 527
- Green, D.A. 1991, PASP, 103, 209
- Handa, T., Sofue, Y., Nakai, N., Hirabayashi, H., & Inoue, M. 1987, PASJ, 39, 709
- Hjellming, R.M., Rupen, M.P., & Mioduszewski, A.J. 1998, IAU Circ., 6934, 1
- Helfand, D.J., Zoonem atkerm ani, S., Becker, R.H., & White, R.L. 1992, ApJS, 80, 211
- Hyman, S.D., Lazio, T.J.W., Kassim, N.E., & Bartleson, A.L. 2002, AJ, 123, 1497
- Hyman, S. et al., A stron. Nachr., Vol. 324, No. S1 (2003), Special Supplement "The Central 300 Parsecs of the Miky Way", Eds. A. Cotera, H. Falcke, T. R. Geballe, S. Marko
- Lang, C.C., Morris, M., & Echevarria L. 1999, ApJ, 526, 727
- van Langevelde, H.J., Frail, D.A., Cordes, J.M., & Diamond, P.J. 1992, ApJ, 396, 686
- LaRosa, T.N., Kassim, N.E., Lazio, T.J.W., & Hyman, S.D. 2000, AJ, 119, 207
- LaRosa, T.N., Lazio, T.J.W., & Kassim, N.E. 2001, ApJ, 563, 163
- LaRosa, T.N., Nord, M.E., Lazio, T.J.W., & Kassim, N.E. 2004 ApJ, in press
- Liszt, H.S.& Spiker, R.W. 1995, ApJS, 98, 259
- Lazio, T.J.W . & Cordes, J.M . 1998, ApJ, 505, 715
- Lazio, T.J.W . & Cordes, J.M. 1998, ApJS, 118, 201
- Lazio, T.J.W., Anantharam aiah, K.R., Goss, W.M., Kassim, N.E., & Cordes, J.M. 1999, ApJ, 515, 196
- Lazio & Cordes, 2004 (in preparation)

Lorim er, D.R., Yates, J.A., Lyne, A.G., & Gould, D.M. 1995, MNRAS, 273, 411

Lyne, A.G., et al. 2004, Science, 303, 1153

- Manchester, R., Hobbs, G., Teoh, A., & Hobbs, M. 2003, IAU Symposium, 218,
- Morris, M. & Serabyn, E. 1996, ARA & A, 34, 645
- Nord, M. E., Lazio, T. J.W., Kassim, N. E., Goss, W. M., & Duric, N. 2004, ApJ, 601, L51
- Pedlar, A., Anantharam aiah, K.R., Ekers, R.D., Goss, W.M., van Gorkom, J.H., Schwarz, U.J., & Zhao, J.1989, ApJ, 342, 769
- Roy, S. 2003, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Pune, (2003)
- Schwarz, U. J., Goss, W. M., Kalberla, P. M., & Benaglia, P. 1995, A&A, 299, 193
- Shore, S.N. & Larosa, T.N. 1999, ApJ, 521, 587
- Steer, D.G., Dewdney, P.E., & Ito, M.R. 1984, A&A, 137, 159
- Tammann, G.A., Loe er, W., & Schroeder, A. 1994, ApJS, 92, 487
- Taylor, J.H., Manchester, R.N., & Lyne, A.G. 1993, ApJS, 88, 529
- Wieringa, M.H. 1991, Ph.D. Thesis, Rijksuniversiteit Leiden, (1991)
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., Nord, M., Wardle, M., Law, C., Lang, C., & Lazio, T.J.W. 2003, ApJ, 590, L103
- Zoonem atkerm ani, S., Helfand, D. J., Becker, R. H., White, R. L., & Perley, R. A. 1990, ApJS, 74, 181

This preprint was prepared with the AAS IPT_EX m acros v5.0.



Fig. 1. | 330 M Hz A + B-con guration in age of the Galactic Center region. Primary beam correction has not been applied. The dashed circle represents the half power point of the primary beam (FW HM 156°). The synthesized beam is $12^{\circ\circ}$ 7°°, and the RMS noise is 1.6 m Jy beam ¹. The gray scale is linear between 2 and 50 m Jy beam ¹. The scalloping of the image around the edges delimits the region imaged. Crosses indicate small diameter source locations from Table 2.



Fig. 2. The inner 1.0 12 of Figure 1. This image was generated using a non-linear transfer function in order to show the detail in the Sgr A region and the fainter NTFs and NTF candidates.



Fig. 3. The position o set between the NVSS positions and the nom inal positions for 103 sm all diam eter sources common to both surveys. The astrom etric correction applied in Section 3.4 was derived from these o sets.



Fig. 4. Map for locating sources in Table 2. The dashed line represents b = 0.



Fig. 5. Euclidean norm alized di erential sm all diam eter source counts for the inner 1.3 of the G alactic C enter in age. On the ordinate is plotted $S^{5=2} = \frac{dN}{dS}$ in units of $Jy^{3=2}$ ster¹. The dashed line denotes the theoretical completeness limit, and the solid line shows the source counts from a deep W SRT survey (W ieringa 1991). Note the increasing di erence between the W SRT observed source counts and the GC source counts with decreasing ux density.



Fig. 6. Schematic of the Galactic Angle coordinate system discussed in Section 5.2.



Fig. 7. Graphical representation of the unbinned K olom ogorov-Sm imov test. The solid line is the observed distribution of G alactic angle for all sources in Table 2, the dashed line shows the expected distribution under the null hypothesis that the sources are distributed random ly. The observed distribution rises steeply at low G alactic angles, dem onstrating that the sm all diam eter sources tend to cluster near the plane.



Fig. 8. $\begin{vmatrix} 0.33\\ 1.4 \end{vmatrix}$ spectral index (S) histogram of the 98 sources detected at 330 M Hz and in the GPSR 20 cm survey (Zoonem atkern ani et al. 1990 & Helfand et al. 1992). The Gaussian represents the distribution expected if the sources were purely extra-galactic (D e Brueck et al. 2000).



Fig. 9. $\left| \begin{array}{c} 0.33\\ 1.4 \end{array}\right|$ spectral index (S) histogram of the 143 sources not detected in the GPSR 1.4 GHz survey (Zoonem atkern ani et al. 1990 & Helfand et al. 1992) assuming all sources have a 1.4 GHz ux density of 10 m Jy. The detection threshold of the GPSR survey in the area of interest is 5–10 m Jy depending on position. Note that since the 20 cm ux density is an upper limit, the spectral indices are upper limits, i.e. they may all be steeper than displayed here. The Gaussian represents the distribution expected if the sources were purely extra-galactic (D e B nueck et al. 2000).



Fig. 10. Positions and relative deconvolved sizes of all sm all diam eter sources in G alactic coordinates. No correlation between distance from the G alactic plane and the deconvolved source size was detected.



Fig. 11. The histogram of maximum intensity for all known NTFs and NTF candidates. The vertical dotted line represents our estimate of the minimum intensity at which we can detect NTF candidates reliably.



Fig. 12. Non-Thermal Filam ents candidates in the Sgr A region. The gray scale is linear between 1 and 10 m Jy beam ¹. Primary beam correction has not been applied but is negligable in this eld.



Fig. 13. Non-Therm al Filam ent G 359.10 0.2 (The Snake). The gray scale is linear between 1 and 10 m Jy beam ¹. Prim ary beam correction has not been applied; the value at the center of the eld is 1.29.



Fig. 14. Non-Therm al Filam ents and candidates in the Sgr C region. The gray scale is linear between 1 and 10 m Jy beam ¹. Prim ary beam correction has not been applied; the value at the center of the eld is 1.13.



Fig. 15. Non-Therm al Filam ents and candidates in the region between Sgr B1 and the Radio Arc showing three candidate NTFs and one con rm ed NTF similar in appearance to the laments comprising the Galactic Center Radio Arc, which is located approximately 10° to the south. The gray scale is linear between 1 and 10 m Jy beam¹. Primary beam correction has not been applied; the value at the center of the eld is 1.08.



Fig. 16. Non-Therm al Filam ent candidate G 359.12+0.66. The gray scale is linear between 1 and 4 m Jy beam ¹. This source has an extrem ely low surface brightness and is best viewed from a distance. Primary beam correction has not been applied; the value at the center of the eld is 1.61.



Fig. 17. Non-Thermal Filam ent candidate G 359.33 0.42. The gray scale is linear between 1 and 15 m Jy beam ¹. Primary beam correction has not been applied; the value at the center of the eld is 1.21.

{ 40 {



Fig. 18. Non-Therm al Filam ent candidate G 359.99 0.54. The gray scale is linear between 1 and 6 m Jy beam ¹. This source has an extrem ely low surface brightness and is best viewed from a distance. Primary beam correction has not been applied; the value at the center of the eld is 1.09.



Fig. 19. Non-Thermal Filament candidate NTF 359.59 0.34. The gray scale is linear between 1 and 15 m Jy beam ¹. Primary beam correction has not been applied; the value at the center of the eld is 1.08.



Fig. 20. Supernova Rem nant G1.88+0.33. The gray scale is linear between 7 and 30 m Jy beam 1 . Prim ary beam correction has not been applied; the value at the center of the eld is 5.01.



Fig. 21. H II region G 0.4 0.6. The gray scale is linear between 0 and 10 m Jy beam 1 . Primary beam correction has not been applied; the value at the center of the eld is 1.28. The three regions indicated were used to determ in spectral indices as described in Section 6.3.

D ate	Con guration	(MHz)	(MHz)	Integration (hours)	Bea	am
24 0 ctober 1996	А	332.5	6	5,55	9 ⁰⁰	5 ⁰⁰
4 M arch 1998	А	327.5	3	5,38	9 ⁰⁰	5 ⁰⁰
26 September 1998	В	327.5	3	5.47	36 ⁰⁰	20 ⁰⁰

Table 1. Observational Sum mary

{	46	{
---	----	---

Table 2. Galactic Center P-band Survey (GCPS) of Sm all D iam eter Sources

#	N am e	RA	Dec	I	RM S	S		0 set	SIM BAD ^a
		(J20)00)	(m Jy k	peam ¹)	(mJy)	(00)	(⁰)	M atch
1	357.796-0.790	17 43 24 22	-31 13 39.6	89.7	132	234.2	10.4	136.2	
2	357.809-0.300	17 41 29.34	-30 57 32.6	168.8	11.0	237.2	5.8	129.0	
3	357.841-0.881	17 43 52 51	-31 14 13.0	82.6	13.1	337.0	12.0	136.0	
4	357.861-0.234	17 41 21.34	-30 52 44.8	64.4	9.9	91.6	13.5	125.3	
5	357.866-0.997	17 44 23.82	-31 16 36.2	233.4	13.5	881.6	15.2	137.0	
6	357.886+0.004	17 40 28.64	-30 43 56.7	186.1	93	292.4	6.6	123.2	
7	357.907+0.107	17 40 7.36	-30 39 36.4	84.6	92	129.0	5.7	122.6	
8	358.003-0.637	17 43 18 22	-30 58 17.1	427.5	9.0	654.5	6.6	121.9	
9	358.118+0.006	17 41 2.35	-30 32 5.0	36.7	6.4	83.2	10.4	110.0	
10	358.141-1.672	17 47 46.16	-31 23 33.8	153.4	16.0	715.9	16.6	145.6	
11	250 154 1 600	17 47 40 05	21 22 0 0	205.0	16.0	14626	17 /	145 7	
10	358.154-1.680	17 47 49.85	-31 23 8.0	305.U	10.0	1463.0 270.0	17.4 67	107.4	
12	350.137+0.027	17 41 3 27	-30 29 24 2	100.4	6.U E 0	104.0	0./ E.C	107.4	
13 14	358.267+0.037	17 41 17.10	-30 23 30.9	89.9 42.2	5.0	124 Z	5.6 47	100 . 8	
14	358.416-0.026	17 41 53.85	-30 17 54.9	43.5	4.0	59.8	4./	91.4	
15	358.440-0.212	17 42 41 30	-30 22 35.5	22.2	4.0	92.4	7.4 F.O	90.8	
17	358.475-0.741	17 44 51.94	-30 37 24.8	29.8	4./	39.0	5.0	97.0	
10	358.554-0.965	17 45 56.61	-30 40 23.4	44./	5.0	55.3	3.0	100.1	PSR
10	358,556-0,572	17 44 23.48	-30 27 59.4	29 . 0	3.8	40.3	5.U	100.0	
19	358.586-L.528	17 48 15.95	-30 56 16.4	55.1	8./	127.4	10.5	120.8	
20	358 591-1 515	1/4813.61	-30 55 34.1	62.6	8.4	115.9	/./	119./	
21	358.592+0.044	17 42 3.10	-30 6 42.5	283.8	3.3	351.9	4.5	81.2	
22	358.607+1.438	17 36 39.14	-29 21 25.1	213.1	8.5	287.1	5.4	120.0	
23	358.615-0.036	17 42 25.35	-3083.3	46.9	3.2	231.9	17.3	80.1	
24	358.633-0.124	17 42 48.69	-30 9 56.1	36.8	3.1	58.9	6.5	78.4	
25	358.634+0.060	17 42 5 . 41	-30 4 5.6	31.9	32	186.6	20.3	79.3	IRAS
26	358.638-1.162	17 46 55 . 97	-30 42 13 2	175.4	5.4	251.7	5.8	103.3	
27	358.645-0.035	17 42 29.53	-30 6 31.6	18.7	3.1	104.9	19.7	78.0	
28	358.664+0.726	17 39 33.53	-29 41 22.0	34.9	3.9	44.9	8.5	89.8	
29	358.684-0.118	17 42 54.85	-30 7 8.6	29.7	3.0	101.7	14.4	76.1	
30	358.687-1.511	17 48 26.41	-30 50 30 2	41.5	7.5	42.5	5.2	115.7	
31	358.697+0.260	17 41 27 62	-29 54 31 8	35.2	.3.0	42.5	8.1	77.1	
32	358.756+ 0.972	17.38.49.66	-29 28 50 1	23.7	4.3	27.6	7.7	93.9	
33	358,786+1,265	17 37 45.84	-29 17 54.1	 177_4	-~ 5.6	244.6	4.9	105.0	IRAS
34	358,800-0.121	17 43 12.35	-30 1 19.0	14.7	2.7	14.6	9.8	69.0	IRAS
				• •	- • ·		- ••		

Table 2 | Continued

#	N am e	RA (J20	D ec	I (m Jy)	RMS Deam ¹)	S (mJy)	(⁰⁰)	0 set (°)	SIM BAD ^a Match
35	358.804-0.012	17 42 47.43	-29 57 41.1	18.5	2.6	22.2	7.4	68.3	Y SO
36	358.814+1.562	17 36 41.06	-29 6 56.9	46.3	8.0	103.6	72	118.0	
37	358.840-0.193	17 43 35.18	-30 1 32.6	15.2	2.6	19.0	12.2	66.8	
38	358.845-1.599	17 49 10.16	-30 45 7.4	227.8	73	317.8	52	114.4	
39	358.849+0.153	17 42 15.07	-29 50 11.2	27.4	2.6	45.2	7.1	67.1	
40	358.849+0.159	17 42 13.63	-29 49 56.8	98.9	2.6	153.6	5.6	66.6	
41	358.874+0.275	17 41 49 . 96	-29 45 2.1	71.1	2.6	102.1	5.7	66.8	
42	358.892+1.406	17 37 28.82	-2981.6	107.9	6.0	144.5	4.6	107.7	X,SY1
43	358.897+1.285	17 37 57 51	-29 11 39 2	34.2	5.2	63.2	7.8	101.7	
44	358.901+1.638	17 36 36.31	-2907.8	106.8	8.3	181.6	7.1	119.0	
45	358.918+0.071	17 42 44.38	-29 49 15.7	95.3	2.4	1623.5	6.4	62.2	
46	358.922+0.138	17 42 29 21	-29 46 56.4	12.9	2.4	13.5	2.7	62.0	Y SO
47	358.926-0.326	17 44 19.04	-30 1 20 2	13.4	2.4	34.5	10.2	63.5	
48	358.930+0.008	17 43 0.91	-29 50 35.4	13.3	2.4	15.4	6.8	60.9	IRAS
49	358.932-1.198	17 47 46.86	-30 28 15.4	129.6	4.1	221.7	7.7	92.2	
50	358.934-1.200	17 47 47.78	-30 28 12 2	97.7	4.1	174.7	7.5	92.2	
-1	050 040 1 004	1 - 00 1 6 00	00 10 40 5	67 0	1.6	00 F	C 1	0	
51	358.948+1.234	17 38 16.89	-29 10 43.5	67.8	4.6	99.5	6.1	97.4	
52	358.955-1.045	17 47 13.76	-30 22 20.6	73.2	3.5	110.4	6.3	84.5	IRAS, PN?
53	358.972-0.181	17 43 51.62	-29 54 24.1	16.4	2.3	43.0	10.9	59.0	
54	358.981+0.018	17 43 6.04	-29 47 41.5	14.1	23	15.7	12.7	57.8	
55	358.983+0.578	17 40 54.92	-29 29 50 3	142.8	2.6	164.5	3.1	68.7	
56	359.011-0.003	17 43 15 27	-29 46 49.9	49.6	22	77.1	62	55.8	
57	359.019-1.571	17 49 28.47	-30 35 18.5	68.8	5.9	100.2	6.1	107.1	
58	359.096-1.443	17 49 8.80	-30 27 22.4	40.8	4.7	78.1	8.9	98.1	
59	359.145+0.826	17 40 20.80	-29 13 43.8	20.6	2.7	29.6	5.8	71.0	
60	359.150-0.529	17 45 39 . 45	-29 56 15.1	12,2	22	13.7	2.9	56.0	
61	359.150-2.247	17 52 29 . 24	-30 49 16.7	89.7	14.5	318.8	14.6	140.5	
62	359.159-0.037	17 43 44.91	-29 40 20.1	10.7	2.0	37.2	14.4	47.3	IRAS,YSO
63	359.180-2.292	17 52 44.41	-30 49 3.9	183.7	15.2	334.4	7.5	142.6	PN
64	359.204+1.397	17 38 16.53	-28 52 30.7	31.5	4.6	54.3	7.8	97.4	
65	359.212+1.901	17 36 21.39	-28 35 52.7	476.0	9.8	643.3	5.2	125.0	
66	359.229+1.979	17 36 5.76	-28 32 30.4	274.0	11.0	706.8	9.7	129.0	
67	359.235+0.102	17 43 23.01	-29 32 5.3	12.2	1.9	11.3	4.5	43.8	
68	359,260+1,385	17 38 27 58	-28 50 2.4	23.8	4.4	36.5	5.3	95.2	

Table 2 | Continued

#	N am e	R A (J20	D ec 000)	I (m Jy ł	RMS Deam ¹)	S (mJy)	(00)	0 set (°)	SIM BAD ^a Match
69	359,264-2,297	17 52 57 42	-30 44 54 5	87.2	14.6	273.4	48.2	140.7	
70	359.300-0.134	17 44 28.12	-29 36 10.8	34.6	1.9	83.4	9.9	39.3	
71	250 205 0 941	17 /7 15 71	20 50 0 0	77 0	2.4	212.2	10.4	60 7	VDCD
71	250 226 0 567	17 47 13./1	-29 38 0.0	171	2.4	47.6	11.2	00./ 40 E	A, P SK
72 72	250 240-0 220	17 40 13.00	-29 40 24 0	107	2.U 1 0	47.0	11 Z	40.0 27.1	
75	250 250 0 001	17 44 59.77	-29 57 1.9	20.1	1.0 2.5	10.0	11 1	57.1	VDN
74	250 207 1 764	17 51 7 00	-29 59 57 2	29.1 50.6	2.S	70.7	2 2 11 •T	100.0	Α _μ ΡΝ
75	359.387-1.764	17 42 21 25	-30 22 17.5	59.0 10.0	°∠ 2.0	70.4	3∠ 21.0	108.4	
/0 77	359.389+0.459	17 42 21.85	-29 12 56.0		2.0	77.4	21.0	45.0	
70	359.391+1.272	17 39 13.05	-28 4 / 0.8	141.Z	3.0	294.0	7.9	83.9 0F.0	
78	359.394+1.270	17 39 13.82		133	3.6	80 J	1.3	85.8	W GO
79	359.413+0.007	17 44 11 20	-29 25 57.5	10./	1.8	15.1	5.9	31./	Y SO
80	359.432+0.130	1/43 45.15	-29 21 9.4	15.9	2.0	82.6	10.2	44.0	
81	359.460-1.246	17 49 13 . 84	-30 2 35.1	23.9	3.1	24.0	1.6	77.5	P SR
82	359.465-0.169	17 45 0.07	-29 28 49.5	14.1	1.7	25.9	8.1	29.4	IRAS,YSO,X
83	359.467-0.174	17 45 1.49	-29 28 54 2	11.7	1.7	37.6	13.6	29.3	IRAS,YSO,X
84	359.473+1.247	17 39 30.74	-28 43 40.4	64.5	3.4	97.9	5.6	82.7	
85	359.476+1.241	17 39 32.34	-28 43 42.4	66.8	3.4	98.0	5.4	82.4	
86	359.483-0.218	17 45 14 28	-29 29 27 2	11.0	1.7	24.9	10.3	29.5	
87	359.535-1.736	17 51 21 . 46	-30 13 48.9	72.0	5.5	182.5	102	104.0	
88	359.545-1.147	17 49 2.46	-29 55 9.8	123.6	2.7	170.8	4.6	70.4	
89	359.547+0.986	17 40 41.97	-28 48 10.7	93.9	2.6	248.9	11.5	66.4	
90	359.558+0.801	17 41 26.51	-28 53 29.7	23.9	22	75.3	13.5	56.0	PN
01	250 560 1 1 45	17 40 0 01	20 42 4 2	65.2	2.0	205 7	16 4	74.0	
91	359,300+1,145	17 40 0 21	-20424.2	00 N 1 E 7	2.9	295./	10.4	74.9 60.4	
92	359.391+ 1.031	17 40 33 20	-20 43 32.1	1J./	2./ 1 7	JU.0 1E4 0	122	20.2	
95	359.003 ± 0.305	17 43 29 20	-29 0 40.0	44.1 64.6	1./	100.7	13.S	۲۶ ۵۶ ۵	
94	359.020+1.311	17 39 30 20	-20 33 44./	15.0	J.4 1 7	105.0	22.2	03.0 10.2	V CO
95	359.646-0.057	17 44 59.75	-29 16 3.1	13.Z	1.7	0.201	107	17.0	I SO
96	359.657-0.067	17 45 3.69	-29 15 48.8	14.0	1./	82.2	18./	1/.8	Y SO
91 00	259.0/3-1∠/8	17 47 51.99	-29 32 31.6	21.3	3.U 1.0	30∠ 120 1	ю.У С О	/ ン 	
98 90	222.1TU-0.200	17 40 00 00	-29 29 19.0	ŏ∪∠	∆ . ⊥	175 6	ю.9 Г.С	33.4	
99 100	359./10-0.903	17 48 28 29	-29 39 8.8	112.8	22	1/5.6	5.6	535	
TUU	359./12-0.90/	1/48/29.52	-29 39 8.6	12/1	<i>∠ ∠</i>	265 న	ŏ.4	JJ./	
101	359.733+1.139	17 40 33.31	-28 33 53.6	15.0	2.8	35.4	10.4	72.5	

Table 2 | Continued

#	N am e	RA	Dec	I	RM S	S		0 set	SIM BAD ^a
		(J20)00)	(m Jy k	peam ¹)	(m Jy)	(00)	(°)	M atch
102	359.733-1.854	17 52 17.45	-30 7 10.9	149.9	6.3	192.2	4.9	109.3	
103	359.744-0.590	17 47 19.11	-29 27 39.9	22.3	1.8	76.3	14.0	34.5	IRAS
104	359.745+0.818	17 41 49.73	-28 43 26.9	11.8	2.1	16.9	4.7	53.3	
105	359.764-1.980	17 52 52.11	-30 9 25.3	82.8	7.7	109.8	4.9	116.5	
106	359.770-0.459	17 46 51 . 97	-29 22 14.7	8.5	1.7	49.2	19.7	27.0	
107	359.776-0.450	17 46 50.74	-29 21 41.5	11.0	1.7	29.7	12.0	26.0	
108	359.778+1.985	17 37 24.74	-28 4 32.0	245.7	9.1	277.5	3.0	122.4	
109	359.780+0.522	17 43 3.49	-28 51 2.3	24.4	1.8	111.6	17.2	35.8	
110	359.832-0.877	17 48 39.55	-29 32 4.2	16.8	2.1	20.0	7.7	50.5	
111	359.845-1.845	17 52 31 25	-30 1 6.6	562.8	6.1	764.0	5.5	108,2	
112	359.868-1.509	17 51 14.39	-29 49 40 3	44.7	3.7	53.3	4.0	87.7	
113	359.873+0.177	17 44 37.48	-28 57 8.6	87.2	1.6	508.7	19.6	14.1	Y SO
114	359.900-1.060	17 49 32.33	-29 34 11.7	38.0	2.4	81.6	9.5	60.9	\mathbb{R}
115	359.912-1.815	17 52 33.43	-29 56 44.7	326.9	5.8	473.8	62	105.9	
116	359.923-1.837	17 52 40.31	-29 56 52.5	129.1	6.0	186.6	6.1	107,2	
117	359.931-0.876	17 48 53 . 33	-29 26 57.1	34.9	2.1	49.9	5.7	49.5	
118	359.978-1.314	17 50 43.71	-29 38 0.4	30.1	3.0	40.1	2.8	75.8	
119	359.986+1.382	17 40 13.78	-28 13 16.4	85.0	3.6	177.8	8.5	85.7	
120	359.988-0.544	17 47 43.04	-29 13 42.5	10.1	1.8	14.4	8.8	29.8	
121	359.993+1.590	17 39 27.04	-28 6 17.0	105.8	4.7	214.1	5.9	98.2	
122	0.005-0.892	17 49 7.60	-29 23 38.4	299.0	2.1	568.0	8.7	50.7	
123	0.028+0.622	17 43 16.09	-28 35 12.6	10.6	1.9	20.7	6.0	40.3	
124	0.059+1.903	17 38 24 31	-27 52 55.9	45.6	7.9	68.7	15.3	117.5	
125	0.075-1.066	17 49 58 53	-29 25 22.6	29.0	2.4	106.2	13.8	61.6	\mathbb{R}
126	0.078-0.690	17 48 30 27	-29 13 40.0	10.2	1.9	17.8	7.0	39.3	
127	0.107-1.217	17 50 38.78	-29 28 23 2	29,2	2.7	51.1	7.9	70.9	
128	0.111-1.392	17 51 20.85	-29 33 32 2	48.9	3.3	64.9	5.1	81.2	
129	0.115+0.792	17 42 48.85	-28 25 24 1	36.0	2.1	78.4	93	51.4	
130	0.119+1.160	17 41 24 37	-28 13 33.7	26.3	2.8	33.7	4.1	73.3	
131	0.123+0.017	17 45 50.81	-28 49 20.1	43.2	1.6	91.7	9.4	11.2	Х,МС
132	0.131-1.068	17 50 6.94	-29 22 32.0	55.7	2.4	129.0	8.7	62.2	
133	0.156-0.781	17 49 2.65	-29 12 24.7	12.6	2.0	26.5	15.9	45.8	OPC
134	0.191-2.221	17 54 49.58	-29 54 38.6	76.3	11.6	99.5	6.4	131.2	IRAS
135	0.193-0.688	17 48 46.10	-29 7 37.6	21.7	1.9	29.4	5.3	41.2	

Table 2 | Continued

#	N am e	RA	Dec	I	RM S	S		0 set	SIM BAD ^a
		(J20)00)	(m Jy k	peam ¹)	(mJy)	(***)	(⁰)	M atch
136	0.197-1.218	17 50 51.72	-29 23 45.7	94.3	2.8	113.6	3.8	71.7	
137	0.272+1.195	17 41 38.11	-28 4 39.3	224.2	3.0	259.5	2.9	77.2	
138	0.281-0.485	17 48 10.66	-28 56 51.1	13.3	1.8	58.9	17.1	33.1	IRAS,H II
139	0.285+0.407	17 44 42.93	-28 28 50.1	10.0	1.8	12.8	12.1	34.4	·
140	0.300+0.767	17 43 21.18	-28 16 45.9	17.7	22	31.5	7.7	53.6	
141	0.306+ 0.392	17 44 49.17	-28 28 13.5	40.1	1.8	50.6	4.7	33.9	
142	0.314+1.643	17 40 0.96	-27 48 17.7	144.1	5.4	404.5	10,2	103.7	
143	0.315-0.195	17 47 7.55	-28 46 4.8	15.0	1.7	28.2	8.5	23.7	Х
144	0.329-1.668	17 52 57.07	-29 30 45.6	92.5	4.9	122.0	4.9	99.9	
145	0.355+0.250	17 45 29 <i>2</i> 1	-28 30 11.1	23.9	1.8	24.2	3.4	30.1	
146	0.359+0.897	17 42 59.48	-28 9 38.4	14.6	2.4	21.9	6.4	62.0	
147	0.391+0.230	17 45 39.14	-28 28 57 . 6	22.8	1.8	27.1	6.4	32.0	IRAS,YSO
148	0.405+1.060	17 42 28.43	-28 2 10.6	208.9	2.8	261.1	4.6	71.7	
149	0.409+0.977	17 42 48.37	-28 4 33.7	36.2	2.6	127.2	13.5	67.6	
150	0.413+0.523	17 44 33 . 95	-28 18 40,2	12.9	2.0	13.0	5.7	44.5	
151	0.420-0.567	17 48 49.80	-28 52 13.6	11.4	1.9	41.0	13.6	42.2	IRAS
152	0.426-0.060	17 46 51.74	-28 36 10.0	53.5	1.7	90.7	7.5	28.6	Y SO
153	0.441+0.586	17 44 23.41	-28 15 12.4	60.9	2.0	117.0	7.6	48.1	
154	0.446+1.019	17 42 43.74	-28 1 21.8	16.1	2.7	22.5	10.5	70.6	
155	0.450+0.591	17 44 23.63	-28 14 38 3	55.5	2.0	94.9	8.2	49.0	
156	0.469-0.097	17 47 6.57	-28 35 9.9	56.3	1.8	161.8	11.8	31.3	
157	0.473-0.677	17 49 23.13	-28 52 53.7	11.5	2.1	76.0	20.7	49.4	
158	0.478-0.101	17 47 8.63	-28 34 48.6	38.3	1.8	138.8	13.2	32.4	
159	0.481–1.598	17 53 1.55	-29 20 45.1	32.6	4.7	58.3	8.1	98.3	
160	0.485-0.703	17 49 30.75	-28 53 5.7	11.1	2.1	32.5	11.9	50.9	
161	0.491-0.699	17 49 30.78	-28 52 42,2	12.5	2.1	24.3	8.1	51.0	
162	0.491-0.779	17 49 49.45	-28 55 6.9	24.3	22	26.3	6.4	54.7	
163	0.491–1.044	17 50 51.90	-29 3 17.7	55.0	2.6	72.8	52	68.2	
164	0.502-2.109	17 55 6.13	-29 35 10.6	59.2	10.7	114.1	8.9	128.2	
165	0.538+0.261	17 45 52.89	-28 20 26.9	17.9	1.9	30.9	7.5	40.1	
166	0.548-0.851	17 50 14.53	-28 54 25.9	24.8	2.3	127.5	16.7	60.3	IRAS,H II
167	0.554-0.839	17 50 12.61	-28 53 43.7	17.1	2.3	51.0	13.0	60.0	IRAS,H II
168	0.562-0.819	17 50 8.87	-28 52 42.0	101.8	2.3	119.7	3.7	59.3	
169	0.565-0.854	17 50 17.72	-28 53 38.7	15.0	2.4	79.7	18.8	61.1	H II

Table 2 | Continued

#	N am e	RA (J20	D ec	I (mJył	RMS Deam ¹)	S (mJy)	(⁰⁰)	0 set (⁰)	SIM BAD ^a Match
170	0.586-0.871	17 50 24.55	-28 53 5.1	19.5	2.4	432.7	42.3	62.6	H II
		1 - 11 11 - 0		60 F	- 4	00 5	10.0	100.0	
170	0.636+1.53/	17 41 11 50	-2/35 18.3	62.5	5.4	88./	10.3	103.6	
172	0.653-0.340	17 48 29 25	-28 33 15 2	110.0	2.0	50.4	12.8	45.8	
174	0.65/+1.054	17 50 21 22	-2/49/29/2	112.3	3.1	181.4	/.1	/8./	
175	0.663-0.853	17 50 31 23	-28 48 34.0	90 J	2.5	110.4	4.3	64 . 8	
175	0.66/-0.03/	1/4/20.52	-28 23 6.1	112.6	1.9	194.6	9.5	43.0	н ш , х
1/6	0.677-0.224	17485.50	-28 28 24 4	54.3	2.0	255.5	1/5	45.1	ХКВ
177	0.691-0.224	17 48 7.44	-28 27 42.6	32.3	2.0	52.4	7.1	46.1	
178	0.722+0.405	17 45 45 51	-28 6 31.7	64.7	22	87.0	7.6	54.0	
179	0.722+1.299	17 42 18.62	-27 38 28 2	60.1	42	81.3	2.9	93.2	
180	0.736-1.465	17 53 5.77	-29 3 29 3	128.9	4.6	1692	4.6	97.4	
101	0 7/01 1 19/	17 12 18 91	_27 /0 39 1	20.2	30	21.0	56	99.4	
101	0.749 ± 1.104	17 42 40.94	-2/40/39.1	202	J.0	21.0 CE C	J.0 0 2	00.4	
102	0.001-1.303	17 52 55.92	-28 37 44.0	552 E0.0	4.4	200.0	ం.ఎ 17.0	90 . 11 C 0	
183	0.801-1.796	17 54 33 21	-29 10 10.9	59.0	/.8 Г.С	308.2	1/2	116.8	
184	0.809-1.571	17 53 40.90	-29 2 56 3	37.9	5.6	44.5	6.9	105.1	
185	0.83/-0.556	17 49 45.82	-28 30 27.0	14.6	2.4	13.4	4.0	61.6	
186	0.838-0.560	1/4946.84	-28 30 29.3	25.1	2.4	55.1	92	61.8	
187	0.845-0.105	17 48 1.43	-28 16 5.8	12.1	22	45.8	15.2	53.8	IRAS,YSO
188	0.847+1.171	17 43 5.81	-27 36 5.0	390.0	4.0	421.0	2.3	90.6	
189	0.858-0.952	17 51 21.70	-28 41 31.8	34.3	3.0	47.1	4.6	77.1	
190	0.868-0.286	17 48 47.06	-28 20 30.9	21.3	2.3	27.9	3.8	57.2	
1 0 1	0 074 0 202	17 10 17 16		02.6	2.2	141 0	6.6	57.0	
102	0.074-0.203	17 46 47.10	-20 20 0.0	92.0	2.J 2.E	141.9 60.1	0.0 E 0	512	
102	0.880+0.489	17 45 48 31	-2/55/49.4	47.9	2.2	69.L	5.9	65.U	
193	0.891+0.209	17 46 54.83	-28 3 56.9	13.4	2.3	10.0	92	59.5 72.0	
194	0.891+0.736	17 44 52.60	-2/4/32.1	20.1	2.9	32.0	6.8 0.1	/3.8	
195	0.900-0.856	17 51 4.99	-28 36 26 2	1/.6	2.9	27.4	9.1	/5.1	
196	0.900-1.404	17 53 13.98	-28 53 11.4	33.2	4.9	60.7	8.1	99.5	
197	0.901+0.405	17 46 10.63	-27 57 22.6	36.3	2.4	183.4	18.1	63.3	
198	0.931-1.136	17 52 15.19	-28 43 23.1	30.2	3.8	43.2	3.9	88.1	
199	0.936+1.469	17 42 9.99	-27 22 8.1	63.0	62	82.0	4.6	108.4	
200	0.954–1.619	17 54 12.38	-28 56 55.2	46.4	6.8	55.1	11.1	112.1	
201	0 000 0 332	17 40 14 00	00 1E 00 E	10.0	0 F	26.0	10.0	CE 1	N CO
201 202	0.002 1 500	17 EA 12 15	-20 ID 39 D	то . Э	<u>ک</u> ې د ۵	30.0 1 E 1 1	107	00⊥ 1100	1 50
 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 	0.858-0.952 0.868-0.286 0.874-0.283 0.880+ 0.489 0.891+ 0.209 0.891+ 0.736 0.900-0.856 0.900-1.404 0.901+ 0.405 ^b 0.931-1.136 0.936+ 1.469 0.954-1.619 0.990-0.332 0.993-1.599	17 51 21.70 17 48 47.06 17 48 47.06 17 45 48.31 17 46 54.83 17 44 52.60 17 51 4.99 17 53 13.98 17 46 10.63 17 52 15.19 17 42 9.99 17 54 12.38 17 49 14.96 17 54 13.15	-28 41 31.8 -28 20 30.9 -28 20 8.0 -27 55 49.4 -28 3 56.9 -27 47 32.1 -28 36 26.2 -28 53 11.4 -27 57 22.6 -28 43 23.1 -27 22 8.1 -28 56 55.2 -28 15 39.5 -28 54 18.8	34.3 21.3 92.6 47.9 13.4 20.1 17.6 33.2 36.3 30.2 63.0 46.4 18.9 64.5	3.0 2.3 2.5 2.3 2.9 2.9 4.9 2.4 3.8 6.2 6.8 2.5 6.8	47.1 27.9 141.9 69.1 18.8 32.0 27.4 60.7 183.4 43.2 82.0 55.1 36.8 154.1	4.6 3.8 6.6 5.9 9.2 6.8 9.1 8.1 18.1 3.9 4.6 11.1 12.0 10.7	77.1 57.2 65.0 59.3 73.8 75.1 99.5 63.3 88.1 108.4 112.1 65.1 112.3	ΥSO

Table 2 | Continued

#	N am e	RA	Dec	I	RM S	S		0 set	SIM BAD ^a
		(J20)00)	(m Jy b	peam ¹)	(mJy)	(***)	(°)	M atch
203	1.003-1.595	17 54 13 . 65	-28 53 40.5	82.2	6.9	171.8	8.8	112.5	
204	1.011+0.026	17 47 54 . 40	-28 3 29.9	115.7	2.5	132.8	3.4	64.2	
205	1.012-0.372	17 49 27 29	-28 15 45 2	24.2	2.6	46.0	8.7	67.1	
206	1.027+1.544	17 42 5.64	-27 15 8.9	52.2	7.4	155.8	19.0	115.2	
207	1.028-1.112	17 52 23.09	-28 37 38.6	563.2	4.0	892.1	6.5	91.2	
208	1.048+1.572	17 42 2.33	-27 13 11.0	326.6	7.9	363.7	1.8	117.3	
209	1.062+0.381	17 46 39.09	-27 49 53.0	110,2	2.8	125.1	3.3	72.2	IRAS
210	1.067-1.891	17 55 32 . 47	-28 59 17 . 7	59 . 9	11.1	135.4	8.9	129.5	
011	1 004 0 075	17 40 16 00	20.0.22.2	1 - 1	0.7	15.0	1 0	70.4	
211	1.094-0.275	17 49 16 28	-288333	15.4	2.1	15.0	1.9	70.4	T A C DN
212	1.138+0.807	17 45 11 26	-2/32391	24.6	3.8	28.6	/.1	882	IRAS, PN
213	1.173-0.384	17 49 52 58	-28 / 49.8	21.0	3.0	42.9	రచ	76.7	
214	1.1/8-0.381	17 49 52./1	-28 / 28.9	22.5	3.U E 0	31∠ 207.0	5.0	10.7	
215	1.189-1.319	17 53 34.04	-28 35 38.9	236.6	5.9	327Z	5.4	106./	
216	1.200+0.415	17 46 50 58	-2/4144.9		3∠ 22	21.5	4.8	C. U8	
217	1.301+0.130	17 48 10.98	-2/45/22./	21.1	వ న	25.0	2.6	82.0	
218	1.323+0.216	17 47 53.96	-2/41 35.1	20.5	3.2	20.5 71.1	4./	84 ఎ 07 ఎ	
219	1.386-0.291	17 40 22 10	-2/543.1	26.0	3.1	/⊥.⊥ 27.0	12.0	813	
220	1.38/-0.1/2	1/49 33.19	-2/ 50 18.2	22.4	3./	37.0	4.0	86./	
221	1.409-0.385	17 50 25 . 81	-27 55 42.3	133.5	3.9	153.8	3.0	90.3	
222	1.411-0.290	17 50 4.08	-27 52 41.6	22.5	3.9	43.0	8.8	89.3	
223	1.415-0.295	17 50 5.68	-27 52 39.7	21.1	3.9	34.7	5.6	89.6	
224	1.448+1.776	17 42 12 53	-26 46 20 3	82.7	14.9	80.7	11.5	141.6	
225	1.459+0.235	17 48 8.72	-27 34 0.4	98.7	42	237.3	4.9	92.9	
226	1.467+0.053	17 48 52.01	-27 39 15.0	33.6	4.0	32.8	6.4	91.3	
227	1.468+0.229	17 48 11.12	-27 33 44.8	77.6	4.2	122.8	5.6	93.1	
228	1.474-0.247	17 50 2.63	-27 48 8.4	66.4	4.1	124.0	8.5	92.2	IRAS
229	1.480-0.825	17 52 18.34	-28 5 33.6	87.0	5.4	128.9	6.3	103.3	
230	1.490+0.173	17 48 27 23	-27 34 20.9	23.4	42	35.2	5.9	93.5	
221	1 199-1 215	17 53 50 55	-28 17 21 0	111 0	8 0	185 7	75	117 7	
232 231	1 513_1 2243	17 53 55 79	-28 15 52 6	187 7	8.0	10 0 •/ 357 Л	, . 8 0	117 7	
202 222	1 540_0 062	17 52 50 06	-70 F 70 77 0	10/./ Q/5 /	65	1000 2	0.0 1 0	110 /	DCD
232 234	1 620+ 0 261	17 / 8 2/ 00	200392 	286	53 53	78 0 TU 28 0	ч.0 11 7	102.6	I DR
235	1.761 - 0.201	17511299	_27 27 30.0	20.0 38.8	5.	18.8	4 A A	110 7	
235	1 772-1 040	17 53 49 26	_27 57 1 2	62.9	9.2	97 N	62	125 1	TR
235 236	1.761-0.377 1.772-1.040	17 51 12 . 92 17 53 49 26	-27 37 19.9 -27 57 1 <i>2</i>	38 . 8 62 . 9	6.5 9.8	48 . 8 97 . 0	9.8 6.2	110.7 125.1	\mathbb{R}

Table 2 | Continued

#	N am e	RA (J20	D ec 000)	I (m Jy b	RMS Deam ¹)	S (m Jy)	(⁰⁰)	0 set (º)	SIM BAD ^a Match
237	1.777+0.120	17 49 19.67	-27 21 14.7	39.8	6.5	51.6	4.7	110,2	
238 239	1.828+1.068	17 35 48.86 17 45 47.97	-27 55 18.1 -26 49 8.4	09.3 168.0	9.9 11.6	375 . 9	ъ. 7.6	125.5	
240 241	1.894+0.479 2.186+0.043	17 48 12.93 17 50 34.02	-27 4 6.8 -27 2 31.5	61.5 78.8	8.7 12.7	94.3 80.8	5.6 4.6	120.8 134.6	

Note. | I is intensity, RMS is the local sensitivity, S is ux density, is the average deconvolved size, 0 set is the distance of the source from the phase center (J2000 $17^{h}45^{m}$ 40:045^s 29 $00^{0}27:900^{0}$).

References. | Matches made using the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France http://simbadu-strasbg.fr/Simbadi

^aW ithin 1⁰: PSR, pushar; IRAS, IRAS infrared source; YSO, young stellar object; X, X-ray object; PN, planetary nebula; PN?, possible planetary nebula; IR isogal infrared source; XRB, x-ray binary; H II, H II region; OPC, open cluster; MC, m olucular cloud; SY1, Seyfert 1 galaxy. Stellarm atches were neglected, and radio source m atches are in Table 3.

^bTransient source, see H ym an et al. 2002.

N am e	S (m Jy)	Angular Diameter(⁰⁰)	G P SR N am e	1:4 0:33	2LC Name	1:4 0:33	GPSR5 Name	0:33 5
357.796 0.790	234.2	10.40	357,795 0,788	1.3				
357.809 0.300	237.2	5.79	357,810 0,298	0.7			357,808 0,299	0.9
357.841 0.881	337.0	12.03	357,840 0,880	1.6			007.000 0.200	0.5
357.866 0.997	881.6	15.17	357,865 0,995	0.9				
357.886+ 0.004	292.4	6.62	357.885+ 0.006	0.9			357.885+ 0.005	0.8
357.907+ 0.107	129.0	5.71	357.907+ 0.109	1.2			357.906+ 0.109	0.9
358.003 0.637	654.5	6.62	358.003 0.636	0.4			00,000,01200	0.0
358.118+ 0.006	83.2	10.38					358,116+0,007	0.9
358,154 1,680	1463.6	17.43	358,155 1,678	1.6				
358.157+ 0.027	270.8	6.68	358,157+0,029	1.0			358,157+0,029	0.8
358,267+ 0.037	124.2	5.63					358,266+ 0,038	1.1
358,440 0,212	92.4	7.43	358,440 0,210	0.5			358,439 0,211	0.3
358.475 0.741	39.0	4.99	358.476 0.739	0.4			000,105 0,211	
358.554 0.965	55.3	3.01	358,553 0,963	0.5				
358,556 0,572	40.3	5.03	358,555 0,571	1.0				
358,586 1,528	127.4	10.47	358,584 1,528	1.0				
358,591 1,515	115.9	7.65	358,590 1,513	0.9				
358.592+0.044	351.9	4.53	358.592+0.046	1.0			358,592+0.046	1.1
358 607+ 1 438	287.1	5 41	358 606+ 1 440	0.8			330.3321 0.010	± •±
358.634+ 0.060	186.6	20.31	358.633+ 0.063	0.3			358.633+ 0.062	0.1
358 638 1 162	251 7	5 77	358 638 1 160	12				012
358 645 0 035	104 9	19.73	358 645 0 035	07			358 644 0 033	0.4
358 684 0 118	101.7	14 38	330.043 0.033	0./			358 684 0 116	0.1
358 697+ 0 260	42.5	8.06					358 696+ 0 262	03
358 786+ 1 265	244.6	4 94	358 786+ 1 268	0.8			330.0301 0.202	0.0
358 804 0 012	211.0	7 39	550.7001 1.200	0.0			358 803 0 011	0.4
358 814+ 1 562	103.6	7 1 9	358 813+ 1 564	1 3			330.003 0.011	P.0
358 845 1 599	317.8	5.23	358 844 1 597	07				
358 849+ 0 159	153.6	5 5 9	550.044 1.557	0./			358 849+ 0 161	1 0
358 874+ 0 275	102.1	5 71					358 873+ 0 277	1 1
358 892+ 1 406	144.5	1.63	358 891 + 1 /08	0.7			550.0751 0.277	1.1
250 001+ 1 620	194.5	4.03 7.11	350 000+ 1 630	0.7				
350 010+ 0 071	1622.5	6.43	350 010+ 1.039	1.0	250 017+ 0 072	0.0	359 016+ 0 072	1 1
358 932 1 198	221 7	774	358 932 1 195	1.U	550.91/+ 0.0/2	0.9	550.910+ 0.072	1.1
358 934 1 200	1747	7.74	358 934 1 198	1.0				
358 948+ 1 234	1/1./ 00 5	612	358 948± 1 237	1.0				
250 055 1 0/5	110 /	6.33	350 055 1 0/3	0.9				
358 972 0 181	43.0	10.87	550.555 1.045	0.0	358 972 0 180	0.4		
358 983± 0 578	164 5	3.07	358 983+ 0 580	0.4	550.572 0.100	1.0		
359.011 0.003	77 1	6.17	550.7051 0.500	P.0	359 011 0 001	0.8	359 010 0 001	0.9
359.011 0.003	100.2	6.06	250 010 1 572	1 0	557.011 0.001	0.0	555.010 0.001	0.9
222.012 1.2/1	TOON	0.00	350 010 1 560	⊥ . ठ 1 ∩				
250 150 0 027	37.2	14 42	202.013 1.003	т.0			250 150 0 025	0.0
359 300 0 134	27 Z	0 00 T4.47			350 200 0 122	07	359 300 0 133	1.0
350 305 0 041	03.4 212.2	3.03 10.36	350 305 0 030	07	JJJ 272 0 0 11	1 1	222200 0.122	T .U
JJJ.JUJ U.041	2122	00.01	350 306 0 9/1	1 2	JJ7.JUJ U.041	⊥•⊥		
250 250 0 001	76 7	11 00	350 350 0 000	1.3	250 257 0 000	0.0		
222.222 0.281	10.1	11.09	223,220 0.300	0.9	223.221 0.300	0.9		

Table 3. Radio Cross-identi cations

N am e	S (mJy)	Angular Diameter(⁰⁰)	GPSR Name	1:4	2LC Name	1:4	GPSR5 Name	0:33
250 2001 0 450	77 /	21 01	250 200 0 460	0.55	250 2001 0 460	0.55	250 200 0 460	0.2
250 201 1 272	204.0	21.01	250 201 + 1 274	1.0	JJ9.J00+ 0.400	0.1	559,500+ 0,400	0.2
250 204 1 270	294.0	7.00	250 204 1 272	1.2				
259.294+ 1.270	00.1 100 E	10.21	250 525 1 724	1.0				
259.000 I./00	170.0	10.21	250 544 1 144	1.9	250 544 1 146	0.0		
359.545 1.147	249.0	4.55	359,544 1,144	1.1	359.544 1.146	0.9		
359.547+0.986	248.9	11.47	359.547+ 0.988	0.7	359.54/+ 0.988	0.5		
359.558+ 0.801	/5.3	13.47	359.558+ 0.804	0.6	359.558+ 0.803	0.4		
359.568+1.145	295./	16.38	359.569+1.147	0.2				
359.591+1.051	50.8	13.34	359.589+1.053	0.9		0.7	250 6041 0 207	1.4
359.605+ 0.305	154.8	13.34			359.606+ 0.305	0./	359.604+ 0.307	1.4
					359.606+ 0.305	1.3	359.606+ 0.305	1.1
250 600 1 211	100 7	11 54	250 605 1 212		359.606+ 0.304	0.6		
359.628+1.311	199./	11.54	359.627+1.313	0.9		1.0		
359.646 0.057	105.0	22.30			359.646 0.055	1.2		0 5
359.657 0.067	82.2	18./4	250 (54 1 05)	0.7			359.655 0.067	0.5
359.673 1.278	36.2	6.92	359.674 1.276	0.7				
359.710 0.586	129.1	6.94	359.709 0.584	0.6	359.708 0.585	8.0	359.709 0.585	0.6
359 710 0 903	175.6	5 57	359 709 0 902	1 0	359 709 0 902	03	339.109 0.385	0.9
359 712 0 907	265.3	8 4 4	359 711 0 906	1 1	333.03 0.302	0.0		
359 744 0 590	763	13.95	555.711 0.500	1.1	359 744 0 588	03	359 744 0 588	0.1
359 776 0 450	29.7	12.01			359 775 0 449	0.5	359 775 0 449	0.4
555.110 0.450	20.1	12.01			555.775 0.445	0.0	359 775 0 449	17
359 780± 0 522	111 6	17 19			359 781± 0 523	1 0	359 781± 0 523	1.7
359 868 1 509	533	3.98	359 867 1 507	07	555.7011 0.525	1.0	555.7011 0.525	0.0
359 873+ 0 177	508.7	19.58	359 873+ 0 179	0./	359 872± 0 178	07	359 873+ 0 178	1 0
333.0731 0.177	500.7	19.50	555.0751 0.175	1.0	359.872+0.178	0.7	555.6751 0.170	1.0
359,900 1,060	81.6	9.53	359,903 1,057	1.6				
359,931 0,876	49.9	5.68	359,934 0,874	0.8	359.930 0.875	0.6		
359 978 1 314	40.1	2 77	359 972 1 313	0.5		0.0		
359 986+ 1 382	177.8	8 54	359 972+ 1 384	13				
359,993+1,590	214.1	5.90	359.972+1.591	1.4				
00010000 21000		0.00	0.000 + 1.591	1.1				
0.005 0.892	568.0	8.67	0.000 0.889	0.5	0.005 0.890	1.3		
0.075 1.066	106.2	13 78	0 074 1 063	07				
0.111 1.392	64.9	5.07	0.112 1.390	0.7				
0.115+ 0.792	78.4	9.33	0112 1000	0.	0.114+0.793	0.9		
0 1 31 1 068	129.0	8 70	0 131 1 065	14	0.1111 0.755	0.0		
J.IJI I.000	127.0	0.10	0.131 1.067	2.0				
0.193 0.688	29.4	5.34	0.191 0.686	0.4	0.192 0.687	05	0.192 0.687	0.2
0.197 1 218	113.6	3,80	0.198 1 216	09	0.1.72 0.007	0.0	0.122 0.007	V #2
0 272+ 1 195	259.5	2.89	0 271+ 1 192	1 1				
0.281 0.485	58.9	17 10	0 279 0 482	0.4	0 280 0 483	07	0.280 0.483	0.4
0 300+ 0 767	31 5	7 69	0.217 0.102	P·	0 200 0.403	0.7	0.200 0.405	r. 0
0 306+ 0 392	50 G	1.0 <i>9</i> 4.70			0.299+0.709	0.9	0 306+ 0 304	07
0.0001 0.002	50.0	J./U			0 305+ 0 304	0.0	0.0001 0.094	0.7
					0.305+0.394	0.7		
					0.202+0.294	0.5		

Table 3 | Continued

	S	Angular	G P SR		2LC		G P SR 5		
N am e	(m Jy)	D iam eter(⁰⁰)	N am e	1:4 0:33	N am e	1:4 0:33	N am e	0:33 5	
0.314+1.643	404.5	10.17	0.314+1.643	1.3				-	
0.315 0.195	28.2	8.45			0.314 0.194	0.8			
0.329 1.668	122.0	4.89	0.328 1.666	0.9					
0.391+ 0.230	27.1	6.43			0.391+ 0.231	0.7			
0.405+1.060	261.1	4.58	0.405+1.062	0.8	0.404+1.062	0.7			
0.426 0.060	90.7	7.48			0.426 0.058	0.1	0.426 0.058	0.2	
0.441+ 0.586	117.0	7.55	0.441+ 0.588	1.4	0.440+ 0.587	0.3			
0.478 0.101	138.8	13.18			0.477 0.100	0.3	0.477 0.100	0.4	
0.481 1.598	58.3	8.08	0.481 1.595	1.2					
0.485 0.703	32.5	11.85			0.486 0.701	0.1			
0.491 0.779	26.3	6.39			0.491 0.777	0.3			
0.491 1.044	72.8	5.23	0.491 1.042	0.5	0.490 1.043	0.8			
0.548 0.851	127.5	16.70	0.548 0.849	0.7	0.546 0.852	1.0			
0.538+ 0.261	30.9	7.50	0.539+ 0.263	0.3	0.538+ 0.262	0.7	0.537+0.263	0.5	
					0.538+ 0.262	0.7			
0.562 0.819	119.7	3.73	0.562 0.816	0.9	0.562 0.817	0.8			
0.636+1.537	88.7	10.31	0.634+1.539	0.7					
0.657+1.054	181.4	7.05	0.656+1.056	0.9					
0.663 0.853	110.4	4.34	0.662 0.852	0.8	0.662 0.852	0.8			
0.667 0.037	194.6	9.51	0.668 0.035	0.5	0.667 0.035	1.4	0.667 0.036	1.1	
					0.667 0.035	2.3			
					0.667 0.035	1.5			
0.736 1.465	169.2	4.56	0.738 1.463	8.0					
0.847+1.171	421.0	2.33	0.846+1.173	0.7					
0.858 0.952	47.1	4.57	0.858 0.950	0.4					
0.874 0.283	141.9	6.60			0.873 0.282	0.5	0.872 0.282	0.9	
0.900 1.404	60.7	8.14	0.899 1.402	0.9					
0.931 1.136	43.2	3.93	0.931 1.134	0.9					
0.936+1.469	82.0	4.64	0.936+1.471	1.5					
0.954 1.619	55.1	11.13	0.954 1.617	8.0					
0.993 1.599	154.1	10.69	0.991 1.598	2.0					
1.003 1.595	171.8	8.77	1.003 1.594	1.1					
1.011+ 0.026	132.8	3.39			1.011+ 0.027	0.7	1.010+0.028	0.9	
1.027+1.544	155.8	19.0	1.025+1.545	2.0					
			1.026+1.546	1.8					
1.028 1.112	892.1	6.51	1.028 1.110	1.2					
1.048+1.572	363.7	1.82	1.047+1.574	0.6					
1.062+0.381	125.1	3.31	1.061+0.382	1.1			1.061+0.382	1.2	
1.138+ 0.807	28.6	7.13	1.139+ 0.809	0.6					
1.189 1.319	327.2	5.41	1.189 1.316	0.7					
1.409 0.385	153.8	2.98	1.409 0.383	0.6			1.408 0.383	1.0	
1.467+0.053	32.8	6.40					1.467+0.055	0.6	
1.480 0.825	128.9	6.33	1.480 0.822	1.3					
1.499 1.245	185.7	7.48	1.499 1.242	8.0					
1.513 1.220	357.4	8.02	1.513 1.218	0.9					
1.540 0.963	1099.3	4.79	1.540 0.961	3.0					
1.772 1.040	97.0	6.18	1.772 1.038	0.8					

Table 3 | Continued

N am e	S (m Jy)	Angular Diameter(⁰⁰)	G P SR N am e	1:4 0:33	2LC Name	1:4 0:33	GPSR5 Name	0 : 33 5	
1.796 1.025	113.4	6.07	1.796 1.023	1.2					
1.828+1.068	375.9	7.62	1.828+1.070	1.3					
1.894+ 0.479	94.3	5.55	1.893+0.480	8 . 0					
				6					

Table 3 | Continued

References. | (GPSR) Zoonem atkerm aniet al. 1990 & Helfand et al. 1992, (2LC) Lazio & Cordes 1998, (GPSR5) Becker et al. 1994

N am e	PulsarName	Angular Diam eter (⁰)	F lux D ensity (m Jy)	0:33 1:4
358.554 0.965	B1742 30	3.0	55.3	0.5
359.305 0.841	J1747 2958	9.9	83.4	0.7
359.460 1.246	B1746 30	1.6	24.0	1.1
1.540 0.963	B1749 28	4.8	1099.3	3.0

Table 4. Detected Pulsars

References. | Taylor, Manchester, & Lyne (1993) and the ATNF Pulsar Catalogue hhttp://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat/i

PulsarName	D istance From Phase C enter (⁰)	Flux Density (m Jy (=1.4 G H z))	3 Flux Density ^a (mJy (= 0.33 GHz))	0:33b 1:4 (m Jy beam ¹)
J1738 2955	105	0.29	17.7	2.8
B1736 29	80	2	9.9	1.1
J1739 3023	114	1.0	21.3	2.1
В1737 30	100	6.0	14.4	0.6
J1740 3052	128	0.7	32.1	2.5
J1741 2733	106	1.1	17.7	1.9
J1741 2945	73	0.6	9.6	1.9
J1741 3016	96	2.3	14.4	1.3
J1747 2802	62	0.5	8.1	1.9
J1752 2821	97	0.32	14.7	2.7

Table 5. Pulsar non-detections

^a330 M H z ux density required for a 3 detection at location of the pulsar.

^bLower lim it based on 3 non-detection.

N am e	AngularDiameter	Flux Density	0:33 1:4
	()	fu o l	
357.907+0.107	5.71	129	12
358.157+0.027	6.68	270.8	1.0
358.556 0.572	5.03	40.3	1.0
358.592+0.044	4.53	351.9	1.0
358.638 1.162	5 . 77	251.7	12
358.687 1.511	52	42.5	1.5ª
358.756+0.972	7.7	27.6	1.2^{a}
358.874+0.275	5.7	102.1	1.9 ^b
358.918+0.071	6.43	1623.5	1.0
359.096 1.443	8.9	78.1	1.0 ^b
359.145+0.826	5.8	29.6	1.4 ^b
359260+1385	53	36.5	1.4 ^b
359.387 1.764	32	70.4	1.2^{b}
359.545 1.147	4.55	170.8	1.1
359.712 0.907	8.44	265.3	1.1
359.986+1.382	8.54	177.8	13
0.107 1.217	7.9	51.1	1 . 6ª
0,272+1,195	2.89	259.5	1.1
0.359+0.897	6.4	21.9	1 . 0ª
0.426 0.060	7.5	90.7	1 . 0ª
0.481 1.598	8.08	58.3	12
0.749+1.184	5.6	21.8	1 . 0ª
0.809 1.571	6.9	44.5	1.6 ^b
0.936+1.469	4.64	82	1.5
1.011+0.026	3.4	132.8	1.3ª
1.028 1.112	6.51	892.1	12
1.062+0.381	3.31	125.1	1.1
1.474 0.247	8.5	124.0	1.3ª
1.480 0.825	6.33	128.9	1.3
1.796 1.025	6.07	113.4	12

Table 6. Pulsar Candidates

Table 6	C ontinued
---------	------------

Name	Angular Diameter	F lux D ensity	0:33 1:4
	(**)	(m Jy)	

^aSpectral index implied by non-detection in the Columbia Survey (Zoonem atkerm ani et al. 1990 & Helfand et al. 1992) and should be considered an upper limit.

^bSpectral index m easured against the NVSS survey (C ondon et al. 1998), not the C olum bia survey. The NVSS has a resolution of $45^{\circ\circ}$, so spectral index values should be considered an upper lim it.

N am e	Maximum Intensity (mJybeam ¹)	Flux Density (mJy)	Size (°)		P lane A ngle ^a ()
G0.08+0.15	77.7	4990	21:1	03	0,10 ^b
G 359.79+ 0.17	48.0	2540	83	0:5	35
G359 . 54+0.18	37.6	1420	6 : 5	0 : 8	25
G 359 . 96+ 0 . 09	25.7	1450	12:5	0:4	15
Radio Arc	52.0	24000	32:0	4:0	5
Sgr C	99.7	4680	11:5	02	15
G 358.85+ 0.47	7.7	106	32	0:8	90
G 359.10 0 <i>2</i> G 359.85+ 0.39	22.4	1540	22 : 7	0 : 4	0,10 ^b

Table 7. Previously D etected Non-Therm al Filam ents

 $^{\rm a}{\rm P}$ lane A ngle is the angle of the NTF with respect to the norm alto the G alactic P lane.

 $^{\rm b}{\rm This}\,{\rm N\,T\,F}$ shows signicant 'kinks' and has therefore been tted with two orientations.

N am e	Maximum Intensity (mJybeam ¹)	Flux Density (m Jy)	Flux Density Size (m Jy) (°)		Plane Angle ^a ()
G 359.12+0.66	11.9	647	15 : 6	02	35
G 359 22 0.16 ^b	23.4	269	1:8	0:5	55
G359.33 0.42	13.9	81.0	2:4	0:2	55
G 359.36+ 0.09	10.7	65.2	2:5	02	60
G 359.40 0.03	11.9	93.8	1:8	02	5
G 359.40 0.07	40.6	229	1:7	0:3	40
G 359.43+0.13	18.8	265	2:4	0:3	0 , 90°
G359.59 0.34	20.8	188	23	02	25
G359.66 0.11	9.9	226	3:5	0:5	20
G 359.85 0.02	8.5	173	1:8	02	90
G359.86 0.24	11.2	205	8:1	02	35
G 359.88 0.07	33.8	930	1:6	02	5
G 359 . 90+ 0 . 19	11.9	129	2:4	02	35
G359.99 0.54	9.4	88.88	8:6	02	30
G 0 . 02+ 0 . 04	22.3	228	2:0	0:3	0
G0.06 0.07	10.5	163	2:1	02	15
G0.37 0.07	14.1	128	1:1	0:3	5
G0.39+0.05	17.8	232	4:1	0:3	5
G039 012 ^b	16.1	731.2	10:1	0:3	5
G0.43+0.01	11.6	43.9	1:6	0:3	5

Table 8. New Non-Therm alFilam ents and Candidates

 $^{\rm a}{\rm P}$ lane Angle is the angle of the NTF with respect to the norm alto the G alactic P lane.

 $^{\rm b}{\rm Source}$ observed to have signi cant 6 cm polarization (LaRosa et al. 2004.)

 $^{\rm c}{\rm T}\,h$ is source m ay be two interacting NTFs with orientations of 0 and 90 to the G alactic plane