# M ICROLENSING TOWARDS THE LM C

PHILIPPE JETZER

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Zurich, W interthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland E-m ail: jetzer@physik.unizh.ch

The nature and the location of the lenses discovered in the m icrolensing surveys done so far towards the LM C rem ain unclear. M otivated by these questions we computed the optical depth for the di erent intervening populations and the num – ber of expected events for self-lensing, using a recently drawn coherent picture of the geom etrical structure and dynam ics of the LM C .By com paring the theoretical quantities with the values of the observed events it is possible to put some constraints on the location and the nature of the M A C H O s. C learly, given the large uncertainties and the few events at disposal it is not yet possible to draw sharp conclusions, nevertheless we nd that up to 3-4 M A C H O events m ight be due to lenses in LM C , which are most probably low m ass stars, but that hardly all events can be due to self-lensing. The m ost plausible solution is that the events observed so far are due to lenses belonging to di erent intervening populations: low m ass stars in the LM C , in the thick disk, in the spheroid and som e true M A C H O s in the halo of the M ilky W ay and the LM C itself.

#### 1. Introduction

The boation and the nature of the m icrolensing events found so far towards the Large M agellanic C loud (LM C) is still a matter of controversy. The M ACHO collaboration found 13 to 17 events in 5.7 years of observations, with a mass for the lenses estimated to be in the range 0.15 0.9 M assuming a standard spherical G alactic halo<sup>1</sup> and derived an optical depth of  $= 1.2^{+0.4}_{0.3}$  10<sup>7</sup>. The ERO S2 collaboration<sup>2</sup> announced the discovery of 4 events based on three years of observation. The M ACHO collaboration monitored primarily 15 deg<sup>2</sup> in the central part of the LM C, whereas the ERO S2 experiment covers a larger solid angle of 64 deg<sup>2</sup> but in less crowded elds. The ERO S2 m icrolensing rate should thus be less a ected by self-lensing. This m ight be the reason for the fewer events seen by ERO S2 as compared to the M ACHO experiment.

The hypothesis for a self-lensing component was discussed by several authors  $^{3;4;5;6}$ . The analysis of Jetzer et al. <sup>7</sup> and M ancini et al. <sup>8</sup> has shown that probably the observed events are distributed among di erent galactic components (disk, spheroid, galactic halo, LM C halo and self-lensing). This means that the lenses do not belong all to the same population and their astrophysical features can di er

2

deeply one another.

Some of the events found by the MACHO team are most probably due to selflensing: the event MACHO-LMC-9 is a double lens with caustic crossing<sup>9</sup> and its proper motion is very low, thus favouring an interpretation as a double lens within the LMC. The source star for the event MACHO-LMC-14 is double<sup>10</sup> and this has allowed to conclude that the lens is most probably in the LMC. The expected LMC self-lensing optical depth due to these two events has been estimated to lie within the range<sup>10</sup> 1:1 1:8 10<sup>8</sup>, which is still below the expected optical depth for self-lensing even when considering models giving low values for the optical depth. The event LMC-5 is due to a disk lens<sup>11</sup> and indeed the lens has even been observed with the HST. The other stars which have been microlensed were also observed but no lens could be detected, thus im plying that the lens cannot be a disk star but has to be either a true hab object or a faint star or brown dwarf in the LMC itself.

Thus up to now the question of the location of the observed MACHO events is unsolved and still subject to discussion. Clearly, with much more events at disposal one might solve this problem by looking for instance at their spatial distribution. To this end a correct know ledge of the structure and dynam ics of the lum inous part of the LMC is essential, and we take advantage of the new picture drawn by van der Marelet al. <sup>12;13;14</sup>.

# 2.LM C model

In a series of three interesting papers  $^{12;13;14}$ , a new coherent picture of the geom etrical structure and dynam ics of LM C has been given. In the following we adopt this model and use the same coordinate systems and notations as in van der M arel. We consider an elliptical isotherm all ared disk tipped by an angle i = 34:7 6:2 as to the sky plane, with the closest part in the north-east side. The center of the disk coincides with the center of the bar and its distance from us is D<sub>0</sub> = 50:1 2:5 kpc. We take a barm as M <sub>bar</sub> = 1=5M <sub>disk</sub> with M <sub>bar</sub> + M <sub>disk</sub> = M <sub>vis</sub> = 2:7 10<sup>9</sup> M .

The vertical distribution of stars in an isotherm all disk is described by the sech<sup>2</sup> function; therefore the spatial density of the disk is m odeled by:

$$_{d} = \frac{N M_{d}}{4 q R_{d d}^{2}(0)} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \frac{1}{d (R)} e^{\frac{1}{R_{d}}^{4} (q)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}}; \qquad (1)$$

where q = 0.688 is the ellipticity factor,  $R_d = 1.54$  kpc is the scale length of the exponential disk, R is the radial distance from the center on the disk plane.  $_d(R)$  is the aring scale height, which rises from 0.27 kpc to 1.5 kpc at a distance of 5.5 kpc from the center<sup>14</sup>, and is given by

$$_{\rm d}$$
 (R) = 0.27 + 1.40 tanh  $\frac{\rm R}{4}$ 

N = 0.2765 is a normalization factor that takes into account the aring scale height.

In a rst approach we have described the bar by a Gaussian density profession between the bar by a Gaussian density profession of  $1^{5}$ , whereas in a following paper we choose, instead, a bar

spatial density that takes into account its boxy shape<sup>6</sup>:

$$_{b} = \frac{2M_{b}}{{}^{2}R_{b}^{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{b}}e^{-\frac{1}{R_{b}^{4}}({}^{2}+{}^{2})^{2}}; \qquad (2)$$

where  $_{\rm b}$  = 12 kpc is the scale length of the bar axis,  $R_{\rm b}$  = 0:44 kpc is the scale height along a circular section (for a more detailed discussion and de nition of the coordinate system see<sup>8</sup>).

The column density, projected on the x y sky plane is plotted in Fig. 1, giving a global view of the LMC shape for a terrestrial observer, together with the positions of the m icrolensing events detected by the MACHO ( led stars and empty diam onds) and EROS ( led triangles) collaborations, and the direction of the line of nodes. The maximum value of the column density, 41:5  $10^7$  M kpc<sup>2</sup>, is assumed in the center of LMC.

We use two di erent models to describe the halo pro le density: a spherical halo and an ellipsoidal halo. The values of the parameters have been chosen so that the models have roughly the same mass within the same radius. In the spherical model we neglect the tidal e ects due to our Galaxy, and we adopt a classical pseudo-isotherm also herical density pro le:

$$h_{jS} = 0_{jS} + \frac{R^2}{a^2} + (R_t - R);$$
 (3)

where a is the LM C halo core radius, <sub>0:S</sub> the central density, R<sub>t</sub> a cuto radius and

the H eaviside step function. We use a = 2 kpc. We  $\,$  x the value for the m ass of the halo within a radius of 8.9 kpc equal to  $^{14}$  5.5  $\,10^9$  M  $\,$  that implies  $_{0;S}$  equal to 1:76  $\,10^7$  M  $\,$  kpc  $^3$ . A ssum ing a halo truncation radius  $^{14}$ , R $_t$  = 15 kpc, the totalm ass of the halo is  $\,1.08\,\,10^{10}$  M  $\,$ .

For the galactic halo we assume a spherical model with density pro le given by:

$$_{\rm GH} = \_0 \frac{R_{\rm C}^2 + R_{\rm S}^2}{R_{\rm C}^2 + R^2}; \tag{4}$$

where R is the distance from the galactic center,  $R_c = 5.6$  kpc is the core radius,  $R_s = 8.5$  kpc is the distance of the Sun from the galactic center and  $_0 = 7.9$   $10^6$  M kpc<sup>3</sup> is the mass density in the solar neighbourhood.

#### 3.0 ptical depth

The computation is made by weighting the optical depth with respect to the distribution of the source stars along the line of sight (see Eq.(7) in Jetzer et al. <sup>7</sup>):

$$= \frac{4 \text{ G}}{c^2} \frac{0 \text{ } 0 \text{ } 0$$

 $_{\rm l}$  denotes the m ass density of the lenses,  $_{\rm s}$  the m ass density of the sources, D  $_{\rm ol}$  and D  $_{\rm os}$ , respectively, the distance observer-lens and observer-source.

4

sorrento



Figure 1. Projection on the sky plane (x y plane) of the column density of the LMC disk and bar. The numerical values on the contours are in  $10^7$ M kpc<sup>2</sup> units. The three innerm ost contours correspond to 10, 20 and 30  $10^7$ M kpc<sup>2</sup>. The locations of the MACHO (black stars and empty diam onds) and EROS (triangles) m icrolensing candidates are also show n.

In Fig. 2 we report the optical depth contour m aps for lenses belonging to the halo of LM C in the case of spherical model in the hypothesis that all the LM C dark halo consists of compact lenses. The ellipsoidal model leads to sim ilar results<sup>8</sup>. A striking feature of the m ap is the strong near-far asym m etry.

For the spherical model, the maximum value of the optical depth,  $_{max;S}$  '8:05 10<sup>8</sup>, is assumed in a point falling in the eld number 13, belonging to the fourth quadrant, at a distance of '1:27 kpc from the center. The value in the point sym metrical with respect to the center, belonging to the second quadrant and falling about at the upward left corner of the eld 82, is s' 4:30 10<sup>8</sup>. The increment of the optical depth is of the order of 87%, moving from the nearer to



Figure 2. Sphericalhalo m odel: contourm ap of the optical depth for lenses in the LM C halo. The locations of the MACHO elds and of the m icrolensing candidates are also shown. The num erical values are in 10  $\,^8$  units.

the farther elds.

In Fig. 3 we report the optical depth contour map for self-lensing, i. e. for events where both the sources and the lenses belong to the disk and/or to the bulge of LM C. As expected, there is almost no near-far asymmetry and the maximum value of the optical depth,  $_{\rm max}$  ' 4:80 10<sup>8</sup>, is reached in the center of LM C. The optical depth then rapidly decreases, when moving, for instance, along a line going through the center and perpendicular to the minor axis of the elliptical disk, that coincides also with the major axis of the bar. In a range of about only 0:80 kpc the optical depth quickly falls to '2 10<sup>8</sup>, and afterwards it decreases slow ly to low er values.

6



Figure 3. Contour map of the optical depth for self-lensing. The locations of the MACHO elds and of the microlensing candidates are also shown. The numerical values are in 10  $^8$  units. The innerm ost contours correspond to values 2:4 10  $^8$ , 3:2 10  $^8$ , 4:0 10  $^8$  and 4:6 10  $^8$  respectively.

## 4. Self-lensing event rate

A n important quantity, useful for the physical interpretation of m icrolensing events, is the distribution  $\frac{d}{dT_E}$ , the dimensional rate of m icrolensing events with respect to the E instein time  $T_E$ . In particular it allows us to estimate the expected typical duration and their expected number. We evaluated the microlensing rate in the self-lensing conguration, i.e. lenses and sources both in the disk and/or in the bar of LMC.We have taken into account the transverse motion of the Sun and of the source stars. We assumed that, to an observer comoving with the LMC center, the velocity distribution of the source stars and lenses have a M axwellian prole.

7

with spherical symmetry.

In the picture of van der M arel et al. within a distance of about 3 kpc from the center of LM C, the velocity dispersion (evaluated for carbon stars) along the line of sight can be considered constant, los = 20.2  $\pm 5 \text{ km/s}$ . Most of the elds of the M ACHO collaboration fall within this radius and, furtherm ore, self-lensing events are in any case expected to happen in this inner part of LM C. Therefore, we adopted this value, even if we are aware that the velocity dispersion of di erent stellar populations in the LM C varies in a wide range, according to the age of the stellar population: ' 6 km /s for the youngest population, until ' 30 km /s for the obler ones<sup>15</sup>.

We need now to specify the form of the number density. A ssuming that the mass distribution of the lenses is independent of their position<sup>16</sup> in LMC (factorization hypothesis), the lens number density per unit mass is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{dn}_{1}}{\mathrm{d}} = \frac{\mathrm{d} + \mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{M}} \frac{\mathrm{dn}_{0}}{\mathrm{d}}; \tag{6}$$

where we use  $\frac{dn_0}{d}$  as given in Chabrier<sup>17</sup> ( = M = M ). We consider both the power law and the exponential initial mass functions<sup>a</sup>. However, we nd that our results do not depend strongly on that choice and hereafter, we will discuss the results we obtain by using the exponential M F only.

Let us note that, considering the experim ental conditions for the observations of the MACHO team, we use as range for the lens m asses 0.08 1.5. The lower lim it is xed by the fact that the lens m ust be a star in LMC, while the upper lim it is xed by the requirem ent that the lenses are not resolved stars.

We compute the  $\$  eld exposure", E <sub>eld</sub>, de ned, as in A kock et al.<sup>1</sup>, as the product of the number of distinct light curves per eld by the relevant time span, paying attention to eliminate the eld overlaps; moreover we calculate the distribution  $\frac{d}{dT_E}$  along the line of sight pointing towards the center of each eld. In this way we obtain the number of expected events for self-lensing, eld by eld, given by

$$N_{SL; eld} = E_{eld} \frac{d}{dT_E} E(T_E) dT_E;$$
(7)

where E ( $T_E$ ) is the detection e ciency.

Sum m ing over all elds we nd that the expected total number of self-lensing events is 12, while we would get 13 with the the double power law IM F; in both cases altogether 1-2 events<sup>8</sup>. C learly, taking also into account the uncertainties in the parameter used following the van der M arelm odel for the LM C the actual number could also be some what higher but hardly m ore than our upper limit estimate of about 3-4 events given in<sup>7</sup>.

 $<sup>^{</sup>a}\text{W}$  e have used the same norm alization as in Jetzer et al.  $^{7}$  with the mass varying in the range 0.08 to 10 M  $\,$  .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>W e have checked that the results are insensitive to the precise upper lim it value.

4.1. Self-lensing events discrim ination

8

It turns out that, in the fram ework of the LMC geometrical structure and dynam ics outlined above, a suitable statistical analysis allow s us to exclude from the self-lensing population a large subset of the detected events. To this purpose, assum ing all the 14 events as self-lensing, we study the scatter plots correlating the self-lensing expected values of som emeaningfulm icrolensing variables with the measured E instein time or with the self-lensing optical depth. In this way we can show that a large subset of events is clearly incompatible with the self-lensing hypothesis.

We have calculated the self-lensing distributions  $\frac{d}{dT_E}$  of the rate of microlensing events with respect to the E instein time  $T_E$ , along the lines of sight towards the 14 events found by the MACHO collaboration, in the case of a Chabrier exponential type IM F.W ith these distributions we have calculated the modal  $T_{E,mod}$ , the median  $T_{E,50\%}$  and the average <  $T_E$  > values of the E instein time.



Figure 4. Scatter plot of the observed (empty boxes) values of the Einstein time and of the expected values of the median  $T_{E,50~\%}$  (lled stars), with respect to the self-lensing optical depth evaluated along the directions of the events.

In Fig. 4 we report on the y{axis the observed values of  $T_E$  (empty boxes) as well as the expected values for self-lensing of the median  $T_{E;50\%}$  (led stars) evaluated along the directions of the events. On the x{axis we report the value of the self-lensing optical depth calculated towards the event position; the optical depth is growing going from the outer regions towards the center of LMC according to the contour lines shown in Fig. 3. An interesting feature emerging clearly is

the decreasing trend of the expected values of the median  $T_{E,50\%}$ , going from the outside elds with low values of  $_{SL}$  towards the central elds with higher values of  $_{SL}$ . The variation of the stellar number density and the aring of the LMC disk certainly contributes to explain this behaviour.

We now tentatively identify two subsets of events: the nine falling outside the contour line  $_{SL} = 2 \quad 10^{8}$  of Fig. 3 and the ve falling inside. In the fram ework of van der M arel et al. LM C geom etry, this contour line includes alm ost fully the LM C bar and two ear shaped inner regions of the disk, where we expect self-lensing events to be located with higher probability.

We note that, at glance, the two clusters have a clear-cut di erent collective behaviour: the measured Einstein times of the rst 9 points uctuate around a median value of 48 days, very far from the expected values of the median  $T_E$ , ranging from 66 days to 78 days, with an average value of 72 days. On the contrary, for the last 5 points, the measured Einstein times uctuate around a median value of 59 days, very near to the average value 56 days of the expected medians, ranging from 47 days to 65 days. Let us note, also, the som ewhat peculiar position of the event LM C {1, with a very low value of the observed  $T_E$ ; most probably this event is hom ogeneous to the set at left of the vertical line in Fig. 4 and it has to be included in that cluster.

This plot gives a st clear evidence that, in the fram ework of van der M arelet al. LM C geom etry, the self-lensing events have to be searched among the cluster of events with  $_{\rm SL} > 2$  10<sup>8</sup>, and at the same time that the cluster of the 9 events including LM C {1 belongs, very probably, to a dimension.

M oreover, when looking at the spatial distribution of the events one sees a clear near-far asym m etry in the van der M arelgeom etry; they are concentrated along the extension of the bar and in the south-west side of LM C. Indeed, we have perform ed a statistical analysis of the spatial distribution of the events, which clearly shows that the observed asym m etry is greater than the one expected on the basis of the observational strategy<sup>8</sup>.

## 5. Conclusions

We have presented the results of m icrolensing survey towards LM C by using the new picture of LM C given by van der M arelet al.<sup>12</sup>. One interesting feature, that clearly emerges in this fram ework by studying the m icrolensing signature we expect to nd, is an evident near{far asymmetry of the optical depth for lenses located in the LM C hab. Indeed, similarly to the case of M 31<sup>18;19</sup>, and as rst pointed out by G ould<sup>20</sup>, since the LM C disk is inclined, the optical depth is higher along lines of sight passing through larger portions of the LM C hab. Such an asymmetry is not expected, on the contrary, for a self-lensing population of events. W hat we show is that, indeed, a spatial asymmetry that goes beyond the one expected from the observational strategy alone, and that is coherent with that expected because of the inclination of the LM C disk, is actually present. W ith the care suggested by

the small number of detected events on which this analysis is based, this can be looked at, as yet observed by G  ${\rm ould}^{20}$ , as a signature of the presence of an extended halo around LM C .

As already remarked, any spatial asymmetry is not expected for a self-lensing population of events, so that what emerges from this analysis can be considered as an argument to exclude it.

Furtherm ore, keeping in m ind the observation<sup>21</sup> that the tim escale distribution of the events and their spatial variation across the LMC disk o ers possibilities of identifying the dom inant lens population, we have carefully characterized the ensemble of observed events under the hypothesis that all of them do belong to the self-lensing population. Through this analysis we have been able to identify a large subset of events that can not be accounted as part of this population. A gain, the sm all am ount of events at disposal does not yet allow us to draw sharp conclusions, although, the various arguments mentioned above are all consistent am ong them and converge quite clearly in the direction of excluding self-lensing as being the m a jor cause for the events.

Once m one observations will be available, as will hopefully be the case with the SuperM acho experiment under way  $^{22}$ , the use of the above outlined m ethods can bring to a de nitive answer to the problem of the location of the MACHOs and thus also to their nature.

## References

- 1. A loock, C ., A llsm an, R A ., A lves, D R ., et al. 2000a, A pJ 542, 281
- 2. Milsztajn, A., & Lasserre, A. 2001, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Sup. 91,413
- 3. Sahu, K C. 1994, PASP 106, 942
- 4. A ubourg, E., Palanque-Delabrouille, N., Salati, P., et al. 1999, A&A 347, 850
- 5. Evans N.W., Gyuk, G., Tumer M.S. & Binney J.J. 1998, ApJ 501, L45
- 6. Zhao, H.S., & Evans, N.W. 2000, ApJ 545, L35
- 7. Jetzer, Ph., Mancini, L. & Scarpetta, G. 2002, A & A 393, 129
- 8. Mancini, L., Calchi Novati, S., Jetzer, Ph. & Scarpetta G. 2004, to appear in A & A
- 9. A loock, C ., A llsm an, R A ., A lves, D R ., et al. 2000b, A pJ 541, 270
- 10. A loock, C ., A llsm an, R A ., A lves, D R ., et al. 2001b, A pJ 552, 259
- 11. A loock, C ., A llsm an, R A ., A lves, D R ., et al. 2001c, A pJ 552, 582
- 12. van der M arel, R P., & Cioni, M R. 2001, A J 122, 1807
- 13. van der M arel, R P. 2001, A J 122, 1827
- 14. van der Marel, R P., Alves, D R., Hardy, E., & Suntze, N B. 2002, AJ 124, 2639
- 15. Gyuk, G., Dalal, N. & Griest, K. 2000, ApJ 535, 90
- 16. DeRujula, A., Jetzer, Ph., & Masso, E. 1991, MNRAS 250, 348
- 17. Chabrier, G. 2001, ApJ 554, 1274
- 18. Crotts, A P S. 1992, ApJ 399, L43
- 19. Jetzer, Ph. 1994, A&A 286, 426
- 20. Gould, A. 1993, ApJ 404, 451
- 21. Evans, N.W., & Kerins, E. 2000, ApJ 529, 917
- 22. Stubbs, C.W., Rest, A., Miceli, A., et al. 2002, BAAS 201, # 78.07