

# Doppler effect of gamma-ray bursts in the reball framework

Yiping Qin<sup>1,2,?</sup> and Fuwen Zhang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Yunnan Observatory, National Astronomical Observatories, CAS, Kunming,  
Yunnan 650011, P.R.China

<sup>2</sup> Chinese Academy of Science-Peking University Joint Beijing Astrophysical  
Center, P.R.China

<sup>3</sup> Physics Department, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi, 530004, P.R.  
China

Received ; accepted

**Abstract.** The influence of the Doppler effect in the reball framework on the spectrum of gamma-ray bursts is investigated. The study shows that the shape of the expected spectrum of an expanding reball remains almost the same as that of the corresponding rest frame spectrum for constant radiations of the bremsstrahlung, Comptonized, and synchrotron mechanisms as well as for that of the GRB model. The peak flux spectrum and the peak frequency are obviously correlated. When the value of the Lorentz factor becomes 10 times larger, the flux of reballs would be several orders of magnitude larger. The expansion speed of reballs is a fundamental factor of the enhancement of the flux of gamma-ray bursts.

**Key words.** gamma-rays: bursts | gamma-rays: theory | radiation mechanisms:  
nonthermal | relativity

## 1. Introduction

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are transient astrophysical phenomena in which the emission is confined exclusively to high energies: they are detected at gamma-ray bands and have short lifetimes (from a few milliseconds to several hundred seconds). Since the discovery of the objects about thirty years ago (Klebesadel et al. 1973), many properties have been revealed. At the same time, various models accounting for the observation have been proposed. Due to the observed great output rate of radiation, most models

---

Send o print requests to: Yiping Qin

<sup>?</sup> e-mail: yipqin@public.km.cn

envison an expanding reball (see e.g., Goodman 1986; Paczynski 1986). The gamma-ray emission would arise after the reball becomes optically thin, in shocks produced when the ejecta collide with an external medium or occurred within a relativistic internal wind (Rees & Meszaros 1992, 1994; Meszaros & Rees 1993, 1994; Katz 1994; Paczynski & Xu 1994; Sari et al. 1996). As there might be a strong magnetic field within the reball and the expansion would be relativistic, it was believed that the synchrotron radiation would become a dominant mechanism (Ramaty & Meszaros 1981; Liang et al. 1983). Unfortunately, the spectra of the objects are so different that none of the mechanisms proposed so far can account for most observed data (Band et al. 1993; Schaefer et al. 1994; Peacock et al. 1998, 2000).

As pointed out by Krolig & Pier (1991), relativistic bulk motion of the gamma-ray-emitting plasma can account for some phenomena of bursts. However, in some cases, the whole reball surface should be considered. As the expanding motion of the outer shell of the reball would be relativistic, the Doppler effect must be at work and in considering the effect the reball surface itself must play a role (Meszaros & Rees 1998). In the following we will present a detailed study of the effect and then analyse the influenced spectrum of reballs radiating under various mechanisms.

## 2. Doppler effect in the reball framework

As the first step of investigation, we concern in the following only the core content of the Doppler effect in the reball framework, with the cosmological as well as other effects being temporarily ignored, and pay our attention only to the reball expanding at a constant velocity  $v = \beta c$  (where  $c$  is the speed of light) relative to its center.

Let the distance between the observer and the center of the reball be  $D$ , with  $D$  the radius of the reball. Suppose photons from the rest frame differential surface,  $ds_0, \theta_0, \phi_0$ , of the reball arriving the observer at time  $t$  are emitted at proper time  $t_0, \theta_0, \phi_0$ , where  $\theta_0$  denotes the angle to the line of sight and  $\phi_0$  denotes the other angular coordinate of the reball surface. Let  $ds, \theta, \phi$  be the corresponding differential surface resting on the observer framework, coinciding with  $ds_0, \theta_0, \phi_0$  at  $t_0, \theta_0, \phi_0$ , and  $t$  be the corresponding coordinate time of the moment  $t_0, \theta_0, \phi_0$ . We assign  $t = t_c$  when  $t_0 = t_{0,c}$ , where  $t_c$  and  $t_{0,c}$  are constants. Considering the travelling of light from the reball to the observer, one can obtain the following relations (see Appendix A):

$$t = \frac{t_0 + D/c + (R_0/c) \cos \theta_0}{1 - \beta \cos \theta_0}; \quad (1)$$

$$t_{0,c} = \frac{t_0 + t_0 \beta \cos \theta_0 + D/c + (R_0/c) \cos \theta_0}{(1 - \beta \cos \theta_0)} + t_{0,c}; \quad (2)$$

and

$$R[\theta(t)] = R_0[\theta_0(t)] = \frac{R_0(t)}{1 - \beta \cos \theta(t)}; \quad (3)$$

with

$$R(t) = [c(t - t_c) - D] + R_c; \quad (4)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Lorentz factor,  $R(t)$  [or  $R_0(t_0; \theta)$ ] is the radius of the reball at  $t$  [or  $t_0; \theta$ ], and  $R_c$  is the radius at time  $t = t_c$  (or  $t_0 = t_{0;c}$ ).

For a radiation independent of directions, when considering the observed amount of energy emitted from the whole object surface, one can obtain the following flux expected from the expanding reball:

$$f(t) = \frac{2}{D^2} \int_{\theta_{min}}^{\theta_{max}} R^2(t) I(t; \theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta d\theta; \quad (5)$$

where  $I(t; \theta)$  is the observer frame intensity. Replacing  $I(t; \theta)$  with the rest frame intensity  $I_0(t_0; \theta_0)$ , where the rest frame frequency  $\omega_0$  is related with the observation frequency  $\omega$  by the Doppler effect, one can obtain the following form for the expected flux:

$$f(t) = \frac{2}{D^2 \gamma^3} \int_{\theta_{min}}^{\theta_{max}} \frac{R_0^2(t_0; \theta_0) I_0(t_0; \theta_0) \cos \theta \sin \theta}{(1 - \beta \cos \theta)} d\theta; \quad (6)$$

The integral limits of  $\theta$  in (6) should be determined by the emitted ranges of  $t_0$  and  $\theta_0$ , together with the reball surface itself. Let

$$t_{0; \theta_{min}} = t_c; \quad t_{0; \theta_{max}} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\theta_{0; \theta_{min}} = \theta_0; \quad \theta_{0; \theta_{max}}; \quad (8)$$

We find that, when the following condition

$$m_{ax} f_{t_{0; \theta_{min}}; \theta_{0; \theta_{min}}} < m_{in} f_{t_{0; \theta_{max}}; \theta_{0; \theta_{max}}} \quad (9)$$

is satisfied,  $t_{0; \theta_{min}}$  and  $t_{0; \theta_{max}}$  would be determined by

$$t_{0; \theta_{min}} = m_{ax} f_{t_{0; \theta_{min}}; \theta_{0; \theta_{min}}} \quad (10)$$

and

$$t_{0; \theta_{max}} = m_{in} f_{t_{0; \theta_{max}}; \theta_{0; \theta_{max}}}; \quad (11)$$

respectively, where

$$t_{0; \theta_{min}} = \cos^{-1} m_{in} f_{t_{0; \theta_{min}}; \theta_{0; \theta_{min}}}; \frac{c(t_{0; \theta_{max}} - t_{0; c}) + D}{c(t_{0; \theta_{max}} - t_{0; c}) + R_c} \frac{c(t - t_c)}{c(t_{0; \theta_{min}} - t_{0; c}) + R_c} g \quad (12)$$

$$t_{0; \theta_{max}} = \cos^{-1} m_{ax} f_{t_{0; \theta_{max}}; \theta_{0; \theta_{max}}}; \frac{c(t_{0; \theta_{min}} - t_{0; c}) + D}{c(t_{0; \theta_{min}} - t_{0; c}) + R_c} \frac{c(t - t_c)}{c(t_{0; \theta_{max}} - t_{0; c}) + R_c} g \quad (13)$$

$$m_{in} = \cos^{-1} m_{in} f_{t_{0; \theta_{min}}; \theta_{0; \theta_{min}}}; \frac{1}{1 - \beta \cos \theta_{0; \theta_{min}}} g \quad (14)$$

$$0 < \frac{1}{1 - \beta \cos \theta_{0; \theta_{min}}}; 0 < \theta_{0; \theta_{min}}; \quad ;$$

and

$$\mu_{\max} = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{v_{\max}}{c} \right); \frac{1}{\mu_{\max}} \left( 1 - \frac{v_{\max}}{c} \right) g \quad (15)$$

Note that, all the conditions in (12) | (15) and the condition of (9) must be satisfied, otherwise no emission from the reball will be detected at frequency  $\nu$  and at time  $t$ .

For a constant radiation of a continuum, which covers the entire frequency band, one would get  $\mu_{\min} = \mu_{\max} = 0$  and  $\mu_{\min} = \mu_{\max} = -2$ , thus  $\mu_{\min} = 0$  and  $\mu_{\max} = -2$ . In practice, radiation lasting a sufficient interval of time would lead to  $\mu_{\min} = 0$  and  $\mu_{\max} = -2$ , especially when  $t_{0,\mu_{\min}}$  and  $t_{0,\mu_{\max}}$  are far beyond the interval  $[(ct - ct_0 - D) = c + t_{0,\mu_{\min}}; (ct - ct_0 - D + R_c) = (c - v_{\max}) + t_{0,\mu_{\max}}]$  (see Appendix B).

### 3. Effect on some continuous spectra

Although for some bursts, their time-dependent spectral data have been published, yet for many GRBs, only average spectral data are available (in Percece et al. 2000, the number of bursts for which time-resolved spectra were presented is 156). When employed to fit the average data, constant radiations were always considered and preferred. In the following we consider constant radiations and illustrate how the Doppler effect on the reball model affecting continuous spectra of some mechanisms.

The bremsstrahlung, Comptonized, and synchrotron radiations were always taken as plausible mechanisms accounting for gamma-ray bursts (Schaefer et al. 1994):

$$I_{0;B}(\nu; \nu_0) = I_{0;B} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{\nu_0}\right) \quad (1 < \nu; < 1; 0 < \nu_0; < 1); \quad (16)$$

$$I_{0;C}(\nu; \nu_0) = I_{0;C} \nu_0^{1+\alpha_C} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{\nu_0}\right) \quad (1 < \nu; < 1; 0 < \nu_0; < 1); \quad (17)$$

$$I_{0;S}(\nu; \nu_0) = I_{0;S} \nu_0 \exp\left[0.3887 \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_0}\right)^{1=3}\right] \quad (1 < \nu; < 1; 0 < \nu_0; < 1); \quad (18)$$

where subscripts "B", "C" and "S" represent the bremsstrahlung, Comptonized and synchrotron radiations, respectively;  $\nu_0;B = kT_{0;B} = h$  and  $\nu_0;C = kT_{0;C} = h$ , with  $T_{0;B}$  and  $T_{0;C}$  being the corresponding temperatures of the bremsstrahlung and Comptonized radiations, respectively;  $\alpha_C$  is the index of the Comptonized radiation;  $\nu_0;S$  is the synchrotron parameter;  $I_{0;B}$ ,  $I_{0;C}$  and  $I_{0;S}$  are constants.

Since none of the mechanisms proposed so far can account for most observed spectral data of bursts, an empirical spectral form called the GRB model (Band et al. 1993) was frequently, and rather successfully, employed to fit most spectra of bursts (see e.g., Schaefer et al. 1994; Ford et al. 1995; Percece et al. 1998, 2000). It is

$$I_{0;G}(\nu; \nu_0) = I_{0;G} g_{0;G}(\nu; \nu_0) \quad (1 < \nu; < 1) \quad (19)$$

with

$$g_{0; ;G}(\omega; ) = f \left( \frac{(\frac{\omega;}{\omega;P})^{1+ \omega;G}}{2+ \omega;G} \exp \left[ (2+ \omega;G) \frac{\omega;}{\omega;P} \right] \right)^{\frac{(\omega;}{\omega;P} < \frac{\omega;G}{2+ \omega;G})} \left( \frac{(\frac{\omega;G}{2+ \omega;G})}{\omega;G} \right)^{\omega;G} \exp \left( \omega;G \frac{(\omega;}{\omega;P})^{1+ \omega;G}}{2+ \omega;G} \right); \quad (20)$$

where subscript "G" represents the word "GRB", "p" stands for "peak",  $\omega;G$  and  $\omega;P$  are the lower and higher indexes, respectively, and  $I_{0;G}$  is a constant.

As mentioned in last section, the integral limits of  $\mathbb{R}$  in (6) for these constant radiations would be  $\mathbb{R}_{min} = 0$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{max} = \infty$ . Applying (3) and (16) | (19) to (6), we get the following corresponding spectra:

$$f_{;B}(t) = \frac{2 I_{0;B} \mathbb{R}^2(t)}{D^2} \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{\omega;}{\omega;B} \right) \frac{\cos \sin}{(1 + \cos \mathbb{R})} d \mathbb{R}; \quad (21)$$

$$f_{;C}(t) = \frac{2 I_{0;C} \mathbb{R}^2(t)}{D^2} \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{\infty} \left( \frac{\omega;}{\omega;C} \right)^{1+ \omega;C} \exp \left( -\frac{\omega;}{\omega;C} \right) \frac{\cos \sin}{(1 + \cos \mathbb{R})} d \mathbb{R}; \quad (22)$$

$$f_{;S}(t) = \frac{2 I_{0;S} \mathbb{R}^2(t)}{D^2} \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{\infty} \exp \left[ 0.3887 \left( \frac{\omega;}{\omega;S} \right)^{1=3} \right] \frac{\cos \sin}{(1 + \cos \mathbb{R})} d \mathbb{R}; \quad (23)$$

$$f_{;G}(t) = \frac{2 I_{0;G} \mathbb{R}^2(t)}{D^2} \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{\infty} g_{0; ;G}(\omega; ) \frac{\cos \sin}{(1 + \cos \mathbb{R})} d \mathbb{R}; \quad (24)$$

where  $\omega;P$  and  $\omega;G$  are related by the Doppler effect,  $\mathbb{R}(t)$  is shown in (4) and  $g_{0; ;G}(\omega; )$  is shown in (20).

As instances for illustration, typical values,  $\omega;C = 0.6$  (Schaefer et al. 1994), for the index of the Comptonized radiation, and  $\omega;G = 1$  and  $\omega;S = 2.25$  (Preece et al. 1998, 2000), for the lower and higher indexes of the GRB model, are adopted.

For the sake of comparison, we ignore the development of the magnitude of spectra and consider a particular observation time when photons emitted from  $\mathbb{R} = \infty$  of the rebal with its radius being  $R_c$  reach the observer, which is  $t = D/c + t_c$ . That leads to  $\mathbb{R}(t) = R_c$ .

Shown in Figs. 1{4 are the expected spectra of the bremsstrahlung, Comptonized, synchrotron and GRB form radiations, respectively, emitted from a rebal with various values of  $\mathbb{R}$  and observed at time  $t = D/c + t_c$ .

One can find from these figures that the shape of the rest frame spectrum of the adopted models is not significantly affected by the expansion of reballs. However, as the rebal expands, the peak of the spectrum would shift to a higher frequency band and the flux over the entire frequency range would be amplified. The enhancement of the flux occurs not only at higher bands but also at lower bands. Even  $\mathbb{R}$  is not very large (say  $\mathbb{R} = 2$ ), a dim and undetectable X-ray rest frame radiation might become an observable gamma-ray source.

#### 4. Relation between the peak frequency and the peak flux spectrum

As mentioned above, as the rebal expands, the peak of the spectrum would shift to a higher frequency band and the flux over the entire frequency range would be amplified.




Fig.1. The expected spectrum of a reball with its rest frame radiation being the bremsstrahlung one, where we take  $2 I_{0,B} \mathbb{R}^2(t)_{0,B} = D^2 = 1$ . The solid lines from the bottom to the top correspond to  $\nu = 1, 2, 5, 10, 100, 1000, \text{ and } 10000$ , respectively.




Fig.2. The expected spectrum of a reball with its rest frame radiation being the Comptonized one, where we take  $2 I_{0,C} \mathbb{R}^2(t)_{0,C} = D^2 = 1$  and  $\nu_{0,C} = 0.6$ . The symbols are the same as those in Fig. 1.

This suggests a correlation between the peak flux spectrum,  $(f)_p$ , and the frequency where this peak is found, the so called peak frequency  $\nu_p$  (or the peak energy  $E_p$ ). To get a more detail information of this issue, we calculate  $\nu_p$  as well as  $(f)_p$  for some values of  $\nu$  for the radiations considered above. The results are listed in Tables 1{4}.

We find from these tables that  $\nu_p$  as well as  $(f)_p$  always rise with increasing of  $\nu$  (see Tables 1{4}). The distribution of  $\nu_p$  (or  $E_p$ ) was once proposed (Brainerd et al. 1998) to




Fig. 3. The expected spectrum of a reball with its rest frame radiation being the synchrotron one, where we take  $2 I_{0,S} \mathbb{R}^2(t) \frac{2}{\nu_{0,S}} = D^2 = 1$ . The symbols are the same as those in Fig. 1.




Fig. 4. The expected spectrum of a reball with its rest frame radiation bearing the GRB form, where we take  $2 I_{0,G} \mathbb{R}^2(t) \frac{2}{\nu_{0,p}} = D^2 = 1$ ,  $\nu_{0,G} = 1$  and  $\nu_{0,G} = 2.25$ . The symbols are the same as those in Fig. 1.

scale as the bulk Lorentz factor  $\gamma$ . This proposal would be valid for most cases, especially when the expansion is not extremely large.

To obtain an intuitive view of the relation between the two elements, we present Fig. 5. It displays the plots of  $\log(f)_{\nu} - \log \nu_{\nu}$  for the bremsstrahlung, Comptonized, synchrotron, and the GRB model radiations considered above, respectively, where  $\nu_{\nu}$  is scaled respectively to the typical frequencies adopted in Figs. 1{4 and Tables 1{4 for

Table 1. List of  $\nu_p$  and  $(f_p)$  for the bremsstrahlung radiation

	$\nu_p = \nu_{0;B}$		$(f_p)$	
1	$10^0$	9.99	$10^1$	$1.84 \cdot 10^1$
2	$10^0$	3.06	$10^0$	$1.16 \cdot 10^2$
5	$10^0$	8.00	$10^0$	$3.48 \cdot 10^4$
1	$10^1$	1.62	$10^1$	$2.29 \cdot 10^6$
2	$10^1$	3.23	$10^1$	$1.47 \cdot 10^8$
5	$10^1$	8.09	$10^1$	$3.60 \cdot 10^{10}$
1	$10^2$	1.62	$10^2$	$2.31 \cdot 10^{12}$
2	$10^2$	3.25	$10^2$	$1.48 \cdot 10^{14}$
5	$10^2$	8.08	$10^2$	$3.60 \cdot 10^{16}$
1	$10^3$	1.62	$10^3$	$2.31 \cdot 10^{18}$
2	$10^3$	3.24	$10^3$	$1.48 \cdot 10^{20}$
5	$10^3$	8.12	$10^3$	$3.60 \cdot 10^{22}$
1	$10^4$	1.62	$10^4$	$2.31 \cdot 10^{24}$

Table 2. List of  $\nu_p$  and  $(f_p)$  for the Comptonized radiation

	$\nu_p = \nu_{0;C}$		$(f_p)$	
1	$10^0$	1.40	$10^0$	$1.97 \cdot 10^1$
2	$10^0$	4.30	$10^0$	$1.24 \cdot 10^2$
5	$10^0$	1.13	$10^1$	$3.71 \cdot 10^4$
1	$10^1$	2.27	$10^1$	$2.44 \cdot 10^6$
2	$10^1$	4.56	$10^1$	$1.57 \cdot 10^8$
5	$10^1$	1.14	$10^2$	$3.84 \cdot 10^{10}$
1	$10^2$	2.28	$10^2$	$2.46 \cdot 10^{12}$
2	$10^2$	4.55	$10^2$	$1.57 \cdot 10^{14}$
5	$10^2$	1.14	$10^3$	$3.84 \cdot 10^{16}$
1	$10^3$	2.28	$10^3$	$2.46 \cdot 10^{18}$
2	$10^3$	4.54	$10^3$	$1.57 \cdot 10^{20}$
5	$10^3$	1.14	$10^4$	$3.84 \cdot 10^{22}$
1	$10^4$	2.28	$10^4$	$2.46 \cdot 10^{24}$

the corresponding models. The figure shows clearly that the two elements are obviously correlated. Indeed, it was discovered that the mean peak energies of gamma-ray burst spectra are correlated with intensity (Malozzi et al. 1995).

## 5. Change of the spectral shape

In this section, we investigate the change of the spectral shape caused by the expansion of reballs. A direct comparison between the rest frame radiation and the expected observational radiation is made to show the change. This is realized by adjusting the

Table 3 . List of  $\nu_p$  and  $(f_p)$  for the synchrotron radiation

	$\nu = \nu_s$		$(f_s)_p$		
1	$10^0$	3:68	$10^3$	1:68	$10^4$
2	$10^0$	1:13	$10^4$	1:07	$10^7$
5	$10^0$	2:99	$10^4$	3:19	$10^9$
1	$10^1$	6:00	$10^4$	2:10	$10^{11}$
2	$10^1$	1:21	$10^5$	1:35	$10^{13}$
5	$10^1$	3:00	$10^5$	3:31	$10^{15}$
1	$10^2$	6:03	$10^5$	2:12	$10^{17}$
2	$10^2$	1:20	$10^6$	1:36	$10^{19}$
5	$10^2$	3:01	$10^6$	3:31	$10^{21}$
1	$10^3$	6:01	$10^6$	2:12	$10^{23}$
2	$10^3$	1:20	$10^7$	1:36	$10^{25}$
5	$10^3$	3:01	$10^7$	3:31	$10^{27}$
1	$10^4$	6:00	$10^7$	2:12	$10^{29}$

Table 4 . List of  $\nu_p$  and  $(f_p)$  for the radiation of the GRB model

	$\nu = \nu_p$		$(f_G)_p$		
1	$10^0$	9:99	$10^1$	1:84	$10^1$
2	$10^0$	3:13	$10^0$	1:17	$10^2$
5	$10^0$	8:28	$10^0$	3:50	$10^4$
1	$10^1$	1:66	$10^1$	2:30	$10^6$
2	$10^1$	3:34	$10^1$	1:48	$10^8$
5	$10^1$	8:33	$10^1$	3:62	$10^{10}$
1	$10^2$	1:67	$10^2$	2:32	$10^{12}$
2	$10^2$	3:34	$10^2$	1:48	$10^{14}$
5	$10^2$	8:33	$10^2$	3:62	$10^{16}$
1	$10^3$	1:67	$10^3$	2:32	$10^{18}$
2	$10^3$	3:34	$10^3$	1:48	$10^{20}$
5	$10^3$	8:33	$10^3$	3:62	$10^{22}$
1	$10^4$	1:67	$10^4$	2:32	$10^{24}$

typical frequencies and the magnitudes of the rest frame radiations, which are used for comparison, of the corresponding models so that the values of  $(f_p)$  as well as  $\nu_p$  for both the compared rest frame spectrum and the expected observational spectrum are the same.

Shown in Figs. 6{9 are the same plots of Figs. 1{4, where the expected observational spectra as well as the corresponding compared rest frame spectra are presented.

These figures show that when the expansion speed is not extremely large (say, 1000), the expected spectra become slightly widened and are less steep at higher frequency




Fig. 5. The plots of  $\log(f)_p - \log_p$  for the bremsstrahlung (open circle), Comptonized (open triangle), synchrotron (open square), and the GRB model (filled triangle) radiation, where  $\log(f_{;i})_p$  represents  $\log(f_{;B})_p$ ,  $\log(f_{;C})_p$ ,  $\log(f_{;S})_p$  and  $\log(f_{;G})_p$ , while  $\log(p=_{0;i})$  represents  $\log(p=_{0;B})$ ,  $\log(p=_{0;C})$ ,  $\log(p=_{0;S})$  and  $\log(p=_{0;G})$ . The data are taken from Tables 1-4.

bands for the bremsstrahlung, Comptonized, and synchrotron radiations, and at lower frequency bands for the GRB model radiation. The shapes of the expected spectra are almost the same as the rest frame ones. Therefore, for constant radiations, when a certain form can well describe the observed spectrum at a given observation time, it would also be able to represent the observed spectrum at other observation time. In particular, when we observe a decrease of the temperature (say,  $T_B$  in the bremsstrahlung or  $T_C$  in the Comptonized radiation, see Schaefer et al. 1994), we would expect a decrease of the intensity as well due the decrease of the expansion speed (which causes the observed decrease of the temperature), as long as the radiation is constant.

## 6. Enhancement of the flux

We note that the expected flux of an expanding reball would vary with both frequency and the expansion speed. Different from those figures shown in section 3, we present here plots of  $\log(f) - \log$  at some particular frequencies for the radiations considered above, which would plainly show the enhancement of fluxes caused by the expansion of reballs. They are Figs. 10-13. Figs. 10-12 show that, for constant radiations of the bremsstrahlung, Comptonized, and synchrotron mechanisms, when the expansion speed decreases steadily, fluxes at higher frequencies would decrease very rapidly and later might become undetectable, while those at lower frequencies would also decrease but in a much slow manner. But this phenomenon would be rare because the number of




Fig. 6. The plot for comparing the shapes of the expected spectrum of a reball and the corresponding rest frame spectrum in the case of the bremsstrahlung radiation. The solid lines from the bottom to the top stand for the expected spectra corresponding to  $\beta = 2, 5, 10, 100, 1000, \text{ and } 10000$ , respectively, while the dotted lines from the bottom to the top are the compared rest frame spectra for the corresponding, from the bottom to the top, expected spectra.

reballs with the expansion speed that large would be small. From Fig. 13 one finds that,




Fig. 7. The plot for comparing the shapes of the expected spectrum of a reball and the corresponding rest frame spectrum in the case of the Comptonized radiation. The symbols are the same as those in Fig. 6.




Fig. 8. The plot for comparing the shapes of the expected spectrum of a reball and the corresponding rest frame spectrum in the case of the synchrotron radiation. The symbols are the same as those in Fig. 6.




Fig. 9. The plot for comparing the shapes of the expected spectrum of a reball and the corresponding rest frame spectrum in the case of the radiation bearing the GRB form. The symbols are the same as those in Fig. 6.

for a constant radiation of the GRB form, when the expansion speed decreases steadily, fluxes at any (lower or higher) frequencies would decrease in almost the same manner.

These figures also show that, the expansion speed plays a very important role in the enhancement of fluxes: when the value of  $\beta$  becomes 10 times larger, the flux would be several orders of magnitude larger. The expansion speed of reballs would determine relative magnitudes of their fluxes observed and thus might determine if a gamma-ray




Fig.10. The plot of  $\log(vf_{v,B}) \mid \log \Gamma$  for the bremsstrahlung radiation at various frequencies [the solid lines from the bottom to the top:  $\log(\nu_{0,B}) = \{4, 2, 0\}$ ; the dashed line:  $\log(\nu_{0,B}) = 2$ ; the dotted line:  $\log(\nu_{0,B}) = 3$ ; the dashed and dotted line:  $\log(\nu_{0,B}) = 4$ ; the short and long dashed line:  $\log(\nu_{0,B}) = 5$ ].




Fig.11. The plot of  $\log(vf_{v,C}) \mid \log \Gamma$  for the Comptonized radiation at various frequencies [the solid lines from the bottom to the top:  $\log(\nu_{0,C}) = \{4, 2, 0\}$ ; the dashed line:  $\log(\nu_{0,C}) = 2$ ; the dotted line:  $\log(\nu_{0,C}) = 3$ ; the dashed and dotted line:  $\log(\nu_{0,C}) = 4$ ; the short and long dashed line:  $\log(\nu_{0,C}) = 5$ ].

source is detectable. Note that  $(1+z)^2$  is the main factor of the cosmological effect. That suggests that the effect caused by the expansion speed of reballs is really very large and would be the fundamental factor of the enhancement of the flux of the objects.




Fig.12. The plot of  $\log(vf_{v,S}) \mid \log \Gamma$  for the synchrotron radiation at various frequencies [the solid lines from the bottom to the top:  $\log(=_{0,S}) = 0, 2, 4$ ; the dashed line:  $\log(=_{0,S}) = 6$ ; the dotted line:  $\log(=_{0,S}) = 8$ ; the dashed and dotted line:  $\log(=_{0,S}) = 9$ ; the short and long dashed line:  $\log(=_{0,S}) = 10$ ].




Fig.13. The plot of  $\log(vf_{v,G}) \mid \log \Gamma$  for the radiation bearing the GRB form at various frequencies [the solid lines from the bottom to the top:  $\log(=_{0,P}) = \{4, 2, 0, 2\}$ ; the dotted line:  $\log(=_{0,P}) = 4$ ; the dashed line:  $\log(=_{0,P}) = 6$ ; the dashed and dotted line:  $\log(=_{0,P}) = 8$ ].

## 7. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigate how the Doppler effect in the reball framework plays a role on the spectrum of gamma-ray bursts.

We find that the shape of the expected spectrum of an expanding reball remains almost the same as that of the corresponding rest frame spectrum for constant radiations of the bremsstrahlung, Comptonized, and synchrotron mechanisms as well as for that of the GRB model. However, as the reball expands, the peak of the spectrum would shift to a higher frequency band and the flux over the entire frequency range would be amplified. The study reveals that the peak flux spectrum  $(f)_p$  and the peak frequency  $f_p$  are obviously correlated, meeting what was discovered recently (Malozzi et al. 1995). The expansion speed plays a very important role in the enhancement of fluxes: when the value of  $\beta$  becomes 10 times larger, the flux would be several orders of magnitude larger. Even the expansion speed is not very large (say  $\beta = 2$ ), a dim and undetectable X-ray rest frame radiation might become an observable gamma-ray source. The expansion speed of reballs is a fundamental factor of the enhancement of the flux of GRBs.

The study shows that, for constant radiations of the bremsstrahlung, Comptonized, and synchrotron mechanisms, when the expansion speed decreases steadily, fluxes at higher frequencies would decrease very rapidly and later might become undetectable, while those at lower frequencies would also decrease but in a much slow manner. However, for a constant radiation of the GRB form, when the expansion speed decreases steadily, fluxes at any (lower or higher) frequencies would decrease in almost the same manner.

It is my great pleasure to thank Prof. M. J. Rees for his helpful suggestions and discussion. Parts of this work were done when I visited Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge and Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University. Thanks are also given to these institutes. This work was supported by the Special Funds for Major State Basic Research Projects, National Natural Science Foundation of China.

#### Appendix A : Detailed derivation of the formula

We concern a reball expanding at a constant velocity  $v = \beta c$  (where  $c$  is the speed of light) and adopt a spherical coordinate system with its origin being sited at the center of the reball and its axis being the line of sight. Consider radiation from the rest frame differential surface,  $ds_0, \theta_0, \phi_0$ , of the reball at proper time  $t_0$ , where  $\theta_0$  denotes the angle to the line of sight and  $\phi_0$  denotes the other angular coordinate of the reball surface. Let  $ds, \theta, \phi$  be the corresponding differential surface resting on the observer framework, coinciding with  $ds_0, \theta_0, \phi_0$  at  $t_0$ . Obviously,  $ds_0, \theta_0, \phi_0$  moves at velocity  $v$  relative to  $ds, \theta, \phi$ .

Let  $t$  be the corresponding coordinate time when  $ds_{\prime}$  coincides with  $ds_0$ ,  $\prime$  at  $t_0$ . According to the theory of special relativity,  $t$  and  $t_0$  are related by

$$t = t_c + \gamma (t_0 - t_{0;c}); \quad (A.1)$$

where  $t_c$  and  $t_{0;c}$  are constants (here we assign  $t = t_c$  when  $t_0 = t_{0;c}$ ), and  $\gamma$  is the Lorentz factor of the reball.

The area of  $ds_{\prime}$  is

$$ds_{\prime} = R^2(t) \sin \theta d\theta; \quad (A.2)$$

where  $R(t)$  is the radius of the reball at  $t$ . The radius follows

$$R(t) = c(t - t_c) + R_c; \quad (A.3)$$

where  $R_c$  is the radius at time  $t = t_c$ . As assigned above,  $t$  and  $t_0$  correspond to the same moment, thus the radius can also be expressed as

$$R_0(t_0) = R[t(t_0)] = c(t_0 - t_{0;c}) + R_c; \quad (A.4)$$

where equation (A.1) is applied.

Let us consider an observation within the small intervals  $t | t + dt$  and  $\theta | \theta + d\theta$  carried out by an observer with a detector  $4\pi s_{ob}$  at a distance  $D$  ( $D$  is the distance between the observer and the center of the reball), where  $D = R(t)$ . Suppose radiation from  $ds_0$ ,  $\prime$  arriving the observer within the above observation intervals is emitted within the proper time interval  $t_0 | t_0 + dt_0$  and the rest frame frequency interval  $\nu_0 | \nu_0 + d\nu_0$ . According to the Doppler effect,  $\nu$  and  $\nu_0$  are related by

$$\nu = \frac{\nu_0}{(1 - \beta \cos \theta)}; \quad (A.5)$$

Considering the travelling of light from the reball to the observer, one would come to

$$c(t - t_0) = D - R(t) \cos \theta; \quad (A.6)$$

(note that the cosmological effect is ignored). Combining (A.1), (A.3) and (A.6) yields

$$t = \frac{t_0 - D/c + (R_c/c - t_c) \cos \theta}{1 - \beta \cos \theta} \quad (A.7)$$

and

$$t_0 = \frac{t - t_c - D/c + (R_c/c) \cos \theta}{(1 - \beta \cos \theta)} + t_{0;c}; \quad (A.8)$$

The radius of the reball then can be written as

$$R[t(t)] = R_0[t_0(t)] = \frac{R(t)}{1 - \beta \cos \theta}; \quad (A.9)$$

with

$$R(t) = [c(t - t_c) - D] + R_c; \quad (A.10)$$

Suppose photons, which are emitted from  $ds_0, \theta_0$ , within proper time interval  $t_0, t_0 + dt_0$ , and then reach the observer within  $t, t + dt$ , pass through  $ds, \theta$  within coordinate time interval  $t, t + dt, \theta, \theta + d\theta$ . Since both  $ds, \theta$  and the observer rest on the same frame work, it would be held that  $dt, \theta = dt_0, \theta_0$  (when the cosmological effect is ignored). Of course, the frequency interval for the photons measured by both  $ds, \theta$  and the observer must be the same:  $\nu, \nu + d\nu$ . Suppose the radiation concerned is independent of directions. Then in the view of  $ds, \theta$  (which is also the view of the observer), the amount of energy emitted from  $ds_0, \theta_0$  within  $t_0, t_0 + dt_0$ , and  $\nu_0, \nu_0 + d\nu_0$  (which would pass through  $ds, \theta$  within  $t, t + dt$  and be measured within  $\nu, \nu + d\nu$ ) towards the observer would be

$$dE_{\theta, \nu} = I(t; \theta) \cos \theta ds, \theta d\theta d\nu dt; \tag{A.11}$$

where  $I(t; \theta)$  is the intensity of radiation measured by  $ds, \theta$  or by the observer and  $d\Omega$  is the solid angle of  $4\pi s_{ob}$  with respect to the reball, which is

$$d\Omega = \frac{4\pi s_{ob}}{D^2}; \tag{A.12}$$

(It is clear that any elements of emission from the reball are independent of  $\theta'$  due to the symmetric nature of the object.) Thus,

$$dE_{\theta, \nu} = \frac{4\pi s_{ob} d\theta dt R^2(t) I(t; \theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta d\theta'}{D^2}; \tag{A.13}$$

where (A.2) and (A.12) are applied.

The total amount of energy emitted from the whole reball surface detected by the observer within the above observation intervals is an integral of  $dE_{\theta, \nu}$  over that area, which is

$$dE = \frac{2\pi 4\pi s_{ob} d\theta dt}{D^2} \int_{\theta_{min}}^{\theta_{max}} R^2(t) I(t; \theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta d\theta; \tag{A.14}$$

where  $\theta_{min}$  and  $\theta_{max}$  are determined by the reball surface itself together with the emitted ranges of  $t_0, \theta_0$ . Thus, the expected flux would be

$$f(t) = \frac{2\pi}{D^2} \int_{\theta_{min}}^{\theta_{max}} R^2(t) I(t; \theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta d\theta; \tag{A.15}$$

It is well known that the observer frame intensity  $I(t; \theta)$  is related to the rest frame intensity  $I_0(t_0; \theta_0)$  by

$$I(t; \theta) = \left(\frac{R_0}{R}\right)^3 I_0(t_0; \theta_0); \tag{A.16}$$

The flux then can be written as

$$f(t) = \frac{2\pi}{D^2} \int_{\theta_{min}}^{\theta_{max}} \frac{R_0^2(t_0) I_0(t_0; \theta_0) \cos \theta \sin \theta}{(1 - \cos \theta)^3} d\theta; \tag{A.17}$$

where (A.4) and (A.5) are applied.

Now let us find out how  $\theta_{min}$  and  $\theta_{max}$  are determined. We are aware that the range of  $\theta$  of the visible reball surface is

$$\theta_{min} = 0, \theta_{max} = \pi/2; \tag{A.18}$$

Within this range, suppose the emitted ranges of  $t_0$ ; and  $\theta_0$ ; constrain by

$$t_{0,m \text{ in}} \leq t_{0,m \text{ ax}} \quad (\text{A } 19)$$

and

$$\theta_{0,m \text{ in}} \leq \theta_{0,m \text{ ax}}; \quad (\text{A } 20)$$

respectively. Then when the following condition

$$m \text{ ax} f_{t_{0,m \text{ in}}}; \theta_{0,m \text{ in}} g < m \text{ in} f_{t_{0,m \text{ ax}}}; \theta_{0,m \text{ ax}} g \quad (\text{A } 21)$$

is satisfied,  $m \text{ in}$  and  $m \text{ ax}$  would be obtained by

$$m \text{ in} = m \text{ ax} f_{t_{0,m \text{ in}}}; \theta_{0,m \text{ in}} g \quad (\text{A } 22)$$

and

$$m \text{ ax} = m \text{ in} f_{t_{0,m \text{ ax}}}; \theta_{0,m \text{ ax}} g; \quad (\text{A } 23)$$

respectively.

Let the emitted ranges of  $t_0$ ; and  $\theta_0$ ; be

$$t_{0,m \text{ in}} \leq t_0 \leq t_{0,m \text{ ax}} \quad (\text{A } 24)$$

and

$$\theta_{0,m \text{ in}} \leq \theta_0 \leq \theta_{0,m \text{ ax}}; \quad (\text{A } 25)$$

respectively. From (A 8) and (A 24) one gets

$$t_{0,m \text{ in}} = \cos^{-1} \left[ m \text{ in} f_{1; \frac{c(t_{0,m \text{ ax}} - t_{0;c}) + D}{c(t_{0,m \text{ ax}} - t_{0;c}) + R_c} \frac{c(t - t_c)}{g}} \right] \quad (\text{A } 26)$$

$$0 \leq \frac{c(t_{0,m \text{ ax}} - t_{0;c}) + D}{c(t_{0,m \text{ ax}} - t_{0;c}) + R_c} \frac{c(t - t_c)}{g}$$

and

$$t_{0,m \text{ ax}} = \cos^{-1} \left[ m \text{ ax} f_{1; \frac{c(t_{0,m \text{ in}} - t_{0;c}) + D}{c(t_{0,m \text{ in}} - t_{0;c}) + R_c} \frac{c(t - t_c)}{g}} \right] \quad (\text{A } 27)$$

$$\frac{c(t_{0,m \text{ in}} - t_{0;c}) + D}{c(t_{0,m \text{ in}} - t_{0;c}) + R_c} \frac{c(t - t_c)}{g} \leq 1 :$$

For  $\theta_0 > 0$ , one can obtain the following from (A 5) and (A 25):

$$m \text{ in} = \cos^{-1} \left[ m \text{ in} f_{1; \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\theta_{0,m \text{ in}}}{g}} \right] \quad (\text{A } 28)$$

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\theta_{0,m \text{ in}}}{g}}; 0 < \theta_{0,m \text{ in}} < g$$

$$m \text{ ax} = \cos^{-1} \left[ m \text{ ax} f_{1; \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\theta_{0,m \text{ ax}}}{g}} \right] \quad (\text{A } 29)$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\theta_{0,m \text{ ax}}}{g}} \leq 1; 0 < \theta_{0,m \text{ ax}} < g$$

Appendix B: Condition for  $t_{\text{in}} = 0$  and  $t_{\text{ax}} = -2$ 

Here we show the conditions for retaining  $t_{\text{in}} = 0$  and  $t_{\text{ax}} = -2$ .

Since  $R(t) > 0$ , from (A 4) and (A 8) we find that

$$\frac{c(t - t_e)}{c(1 - \cos \beta)} > 0: \quad (\text{B } 1)$$

Then from (A 8) we obtain

$$\frac{dt_0}{d\cos \beta} = \frac{c(t - t_e)}{c(1 - \cos \beta)} > 0: \quad (\text{B } 2)$$

It suggests that

$$t_0 = -2 \quad t_e; \quad t_0: \quad (\text{B } 3)$$

From (A 24) and (B 3) one finds that, when

$$t_{0,\text{in}} = t_e = -2 \quad (\text{B } 4)$$

and

$$t_{0,\text{ax}} = t_0; \quad (\text{B } 5)$$

one would get  $t_{\text{in}} = 0$  and  $t_{\text{ax}} = -2$ . Applying (A 8) leads to

$$t_{0,\text{in}} = \frac{c(t - t_e)}{c} + t_{0,c} \quad (\text{B } 6)$$

and

$$t_{0,\text{ax}} = \frac{c(t - t_e)}{c(1 - \cos \beta)} + t_{0,c}: \quad (\text{B } 7)$$

## References

- Band, D., et al. 1993, *ApJ*, 413, 281  
 Brainerd, J. J., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 501, 325  
 Ford, L. A., et al. 1995, *ApJ*, 439, 307  
 Goodman, J. 1986, *ApJ*, 308, L47  
 Katz, J. I. 1994, *ApJ*, 422, 248  
 Klebesadel, R., Strong, I., & Olson, R. 1973, *ApJ*, 182, L85  
 Krolik, J. H., & Pier, E. A. 1991, *ApJ*, 373, 277  
 Liang, E. P., Temjan, T. E., & Rodrigues, R. 1983, *ApJ*, 271, 766  
 Malozzi, R. S., et al. 1995, *ApJ*, 454, 597  
 Meszaros, P., & Rees, M. J. 1993, *ApJ*, 405, 278  
 Meszaros, P., & Rees, M. J. 1994, *MNRAS*, 269, L41  
 Meszaros, P., & Rees, M. J. 1998, *ApJ*, 502, L105  
 Paczynski, B. 1986, *ApJ*, 308, L43  
 Paczynski, B., & Xu, G. 1994, *ApJ*, 427, 708  
 Peerce, R. D., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 496, 849  
 Peerce, R. D., et al. 2000, *ApJS*, 126, 19

Ramaty, R., & Meszaros, P. 1981, *ApJ*, 250, 384

Rees, M. J., & Meszaros, P. 1992, *MNRAS*, 258, 41p

Rees, M. J., & Meszaros, P. 1994, *ApJ*, 430, L93

Sari, R., Narayan, R., & Piran, T. 1996, *ApJ*, 473, 204

Schaefer, B. E., et al. 1994, *ApJS*, 92, 285