

SHOCKED SIMILARITY COLLAPSES AND FLOWS IN STAR FORMATION PROCESSES

YUE SHEN¹ AND YU-QING LOU^{1,2,3}¹Physics Department and the Tsinghua Center for Astrophysics (THCA), Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China;²Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, The University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637 USA;³National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, A20, Datun Road, Beijing 100012, China.

Draft version March 20, 2024

ABSTRACT

We propose self-similar shocked flow models for certain dynamical evolution phases of young stellar objects (YSOs), ‘champagne flows’ of H II regions surrounding OB stars and shaping processes of planetary nebulae (PNe). We analyze an isothermal fluid of spherical symmetry and construct families of similarity shocked flow solutions featured by: 1. either a core expansion with a finite central density or a core accretion at constant rate with a density scaling $\propto r^{-3/2}$; 2. a shock moving outward at a constant speed; 3. a preshock gas approaching a constant speed at large r with a density scaling $\propto r^{-2}$. In addition to testing numerical codes, our models can accommodate diverse shocked flows with or without a core collapse or outflow and an envelope expansion or contraction. As an application, we introduce our model analysis to observations of Bok globule B335.

Subject headings: H II regions – HD – ISM: clouds – shock waves – stars: formation: B335 – winds, outflows

1. INTRODUCTION

Far away from initial and boundary conditions, dynamical evolution of a fluid may lead to self-similar phases with variable profiles shape-invariant and magnitudes properly scaled (Sedov 1959). For star formation, self-similar solutions were found to describe collapses of isothermal gas clouds (Larson 1969; Penston 1969; Shu 1977, hereafter S77). From an initial time $t = 0$ when the core becomes singular to the final stage $t \rightarrow 1$, Shu (1977) derived the ‘expansion-wave collapse solution’ (EWCS) that has a free-fall core of density $\propto r^{-3/2}$, a radial infall speed $u \propto r^{-1/2}$, a constant mass accretion rate at $r \rightarrow 0$ and a static envelope of a singular isothermal sphere (SIS) with the stagnation point moving outward at the sound speed a . This EWCS scenario of ‘inside-out’ collapses for star formation has been advocated by Shu et al. (1987) and compared with observations (e.g., Zhou et al. 1993, hereafter Z93; Choi et al. 1995; Saito et al. 1999, hereafter Sa99; Harvey et al. 2001).

Lou & Shen (2004, hereafter LS04) re-examined this problem and derived new solutions in the ‘semi-complete space’ ($0 < t < +1$), in contrast to the ‘complete space’ ($-1 < t < +1$) of Hunter (1977) and Whitworth & Summers (1985, hereafter WS). Gas flows at large r together with the two distinct asymptotic behaviors near the origin give rise to infinitely many similarity solutions. Those solutions of ‘envelope expansion with core collapse’ (EECC) are especially interesting.

Besides these shock-free solutions, Tsai & Hsu (1995, hereafter TH95) constructed a shocked self-similar collapse solution for a situation where a central energy release initiates an outgoing shock during a protostellar collapse of a low-mass star. Such a shocked solution, matched with a static SIS envelope, has a free-fall core yet with a lower mass accretion rate compared with the EWCS. TH95 also constructed a shocked expansion solution of a finite core density matched with a static

SIS envelope, which was recently generalized and subsumed into a family of shocked ‘champagne flow’ solutions by Shu et al. (2002, hereafter S02) for expansions of H II regions around OB stars (Strömgren 1939; Tenorio-Tagle 1979, 1982; Newman & Axford 1968; Mathews & O’Dell 1969; Franco, Tenorio-Tagle & Bodenheimer 1990, hereafter FTB).

The main thrust of this Letter is to show that in the solution framework of LS04, a variety of shocked similarity flow solutions can be constructed and applied to astrophysical systems.

2. SHOCKED SIMILARITY FLOW MODELS

To study basic properties of shocked similarity flows of spherical symmetry, we assume isothermality with a constant sound speed a . The standard self-similar nonlinear ordinary differential equations (ODEs) (S77; TH95; S02; LS04) are:

$$[(x-v)^2 - 1] \frac{dv}{dx} = (x-v) - \frac{2}{x} (x-v); \quad (1)$$

$$[(x-v)^2 - 1] \frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{dx} = -\frac{2}{x} (x-v) (x-v); \quad (2)$$

$$m = x^2 (x-v); \quad (3)$$

where the independent similarity variable $x = r/(at)$ and (x) , $v(x)$, $m(x)$ are the reduced density, radial speed and enclosed mass, respectively. The physical density ρ , radial speed u and enclosed mass M are obtained by the similarity transformation

$$(r; t) = \frac{(x)}{4 G t^2}; \quad u(r; t) = a v(x); \quad M(r; t) = \frac{a^3 t}{G} m(x); \quad (4)$$

The analytical asymptotic solutions are (i) for $x \rightarrow +1$,

$$v \rightarrow V; \quad \dot{m} \rightarrow A x^2; \quad m \rightarrow A x; \quad (5)$$

where V and A are two parameters; and (ii) for $x \rightarrow 0$, either

$$v \rightarrow -(2m_0/x)^{1/2}; \quad \dot{m} \rightarrow [m_0/(2x^3)]^{1/2}; \quad m \rightarrow m_0; \quad (6)$$

or

$$v \neq 2x=3; \quad \neq B; \quad m \neq Bx^3=3; \quad (7)$$

where m_0 and B are two constant parameters (e.g., LS04).

There exist two exact solutions, viz., the SIS solution (Bonner 1956; Chandrasekhar 1957) and the homogeneous ‘Hubble flow’ solution (WS; S02). ODEs (1)–(3) can be solved numerically to connect proper asymptotic solutions (5)–(7).

The isothermal shock conditions¹ are the mass conservation

$$d(v_d - x_{sd})a_d = u(v_u - x_{su})a_u$$

and the momentum conservation

$$d[1 + v_d(v_d - x_{sd})]a_d^2 = u[1 + v_u(v_u - x_{su})]a_u^2$$

with the energy conservation involving radiative losses (e.g., Courant & Friedrichs 1976; Spitzer 1978), where subscript d (u) denotes the downstream (upstream) of a shock, $a_d x_{sd} = a_u x_{su}$ is the shock speed, a_d and a_u are the downstream and upstream isothermal sound speeds, and $x_d = r/(a_d t)$ and $x_u = r/(a_u t)$ are the downstream and upstream independent similarity variables. For a sound speed ratio $a_d = a_u$ with $x_{sd} = x_{su}$, we have

$$v_d - x_{sd} - (v_u - x_{su}) = (v_d - v_u)(v_u - x_{su})(v_d - x_{sd});$$

$$d = u = (v_u - x_{su}) = [(v_d - x_{sd})]; \quad (8)$$

The special isothermal shock conditions of $a_d = a_u$ with $x_{sd} = x_{su} = x_s$ (TH95; S02) are adopted in our numerical examples.

We take the so-called type 2 solutions (Hunter 1977, 1986; LS04) that cross the sonic critical line $x - v = 1$ once at $x < 1$ and approach asymptotic diverging behavior (6) for $x \rightarrow 0$ as downstream (post-shock) flows. By integrating a type 2 solution toward the sonic critical line, imposing shock condition (8) at each integration step and continuing further toward $x \rightarrow +1$ (upstream), we construct a family of shocked similarity flows displayed in Fig. 1 with $a_d = a_u$. The resulting upstream solutions approach asymptotic behavior (5) as $x \rightarrow +1$. Such a solution is uniquely determined by two parameters: the central mass accretion rate m_0 (or equivalently, the crossing point $x < 1$) and the shock location x_s . Simultaneously determined are the velocity and density parameters V and A as $x \rightarrow +1$ in (5). For each such solution (denoted as Class I), a core collapses with a constant mass accretion rate $m_0 a^3 = G$ and a shock travels at speed ax_s outward to match with either an expanding or a contracting envelope; at very large r , the flow approaches a constant speed as either wind (including breeze and SIS) or inflow – all with density scalings of $\rho \propto r^{-2}$ (LS04).

For downstream solutions with asymptotic behavior (7), we can construct Class II solutions of shocked flows in parallel with the Class I solutions. Such a solution is uniquely determined by the finite core parameter B and the shock location x_s . For each Class II solutions, a core expands and a shock travels outward at a speed ax_s to match with envelope expansion or contraction of a constant speed $V \neq 0$ or a breeze $V = 0$ (S02) as $x \rightarrow +1$ shown by heavy solid curves in Fig. 1.

¹ The two jump conditions in the shock framework are the mass and momentum conservations $d(u_d - u_s) = u(u_u - u_s)$ and $a_d^2 d + d u_d(u_d - u_s) = a_u^2 u + u u_u(u_u - u_s)$, where u_s is the shock speed.

² There are infinitely many discrete downstream solutions with extremely large B or small m_0 and oscillating $v(x)$ around zero to drive shocks into a SIS envelope.

Type	Description	Shock location x_s	V	A
Class I	$m_0 = 0.406, x_s = 0.23$	0.43	-2.495	0.250
		1.03	-1.126	0.847
		1.37	0.0	1.865
		1.43	0.235	2.158
Class II	$B = 0.1$	1.30	-2.192	0.0263
		2.00	-0.711	0.148
		2.80	0.637	0.640

A few important features are noted here. 1. Shocked similarity solutions of Class I qualitatively share properties of the EWCS (inside-out collapse) at small r with density $\rho \propto r^{-3=2}$, infall speed $u \propto r^{-1=2}$ and constant core mass accretion rate m_0 . 2. The main distinction is that the upstream solutions for both classes can be an inflow or a wind (LS04) or a breeze (S02) or a SIS² (TH95). 3. For very large B or small m_0 (or small x_s), the downstream solution $v(x)$ can oscillate (Hunter 1977 for the former and LS04 for the latter). The core mass accretion rate can be extremely low for the latter.




FIG. 1.— Examples of Classes I and II (light and heavy solid curves) shocked flow solutions. For Class I solutions, we choose the downstream solution to cross the sonic critical line $x - v = 1$ [the dash-dotted line for $v(x)$] at $x_s = 0.23$ with $m_0 = 0.406$. An infinite number of solutions can be constructed for various shock locations x_s to match asymptotic behavior (5) as $x \rightarrow +1$ with various V and A (either a wind or a breeze or a contraction). This special downstream solution, referred to as the CP1 EECC solution in LS04, can analytically cross the sonic critical line again at $x(2) = 1.65$ (dashed line). For Class II solutions in parallel, we choose the downstream solution of $B = 0.1$ and various x_s to match (5) with various V and A . Parameters of these examples are summarized in Table 1. The dotted lines stand for the EWCS.

3. STAR FORMATION PROCESSES

3.1. Protostellar Collapses and Outflows (Class I solutions)

Regarding the EWCS scenario for star formation (Shu et al. 1987), TH95 argued that a central energy output during a protostellar collapse can initiate a shock into a SIS envelope with

a lower core mass accretion rate. Based on solutions of LS04, we envision a much more general scenario for a central inside-out collapse with an adjustable central mass accretion rate and with an outgoing shock into various possible envelope flows such as winds, breezes, inflows or SIS at large r . The core mass accretion rate is $\dot{M}(0;t) = m_0 a^3 = G$. While the EWCS has $m_0 = 0.975$ and the example of TH95 has $m_0 = 0.105$, our Class I solutions for shocked flows give rise to a continuous range of $0 < m_0 < 0.975$. These solutions can describe certain similarity evolution phases during protostellar collapses and outflows. With these physical concepts, we now turn to the well-observed protostellar cloud B335 that possesses a collapsing core.

Besides the observed bipolar CO outflows on large scales, a SIS environment is presumed to surround the central collapse region and has been modeled using the EWCS (S77; Z93; Sa99). From a temperature profile estimated from dust emissions (Zhou et al. 1990), B335 globule was approximated as an isothermal cloud with an effective sound speed $a_{\text{eff}} = 0.23 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. In essence, a gross spherical symmetry is assumed for B335 cloud by regarding the bipolar outflows as additional features produced by a circumstellar disk within $\sim 100 \text{ AU}$ (Harvey et al. 2003). In the EWCS modeling (Z93), a core collapses as a free fall while an envelope remains static with an estimated infall radius $r_{\text{inf}} = 0.03 \text{ pc}$.

Given some successes of the EWCS model in interpreting the density and velocity profiles (via simulations of spectral lines; Z93; Choi et al. 1995; Velusamy et al. 1995), departures from the EWCS model exist. In Sa99, the estimated infall speed at $r = 2200 \text{ AU}$ is 0.14 km s^{-1} for $r_{\text{inf}} = 7200 \text{ AU}$, smaller than the EWCS prediction of 0.34 km s^{-1} by a factor of ~ 2.4 , and the estimated mass accretion rate is $1.2 - 2.4 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, corresponding to $m_0 = 0.418 - 0.836$ that tends to be less than the EWCS prediction $m_0 = 0.975$ (Z93). Meanwhile, the column density of the outer envelope at $r = 10,000 \text{ AU}$ is $N = 6.3 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, slightly larger than the EWCS prediction $N = 5.4 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Sa99). This last difference is aggravated by the near-IR extinction study of Harvey et al. (2001) that gives an envelope density $3 - 5$ times higher than EWCS prediction while the density scales as r^{-2} . HCN spectral analysis of B335 seems to indicate a central core collapse with an envelope expansion distinctly different from bipolar CO outflows.

The major advantage of our shocked similarity flow model is the capability of accommodating observations of B335 globule while making testable predictions for envelope expansion in contrast to a SIS of the EWCS. As an example, we introduce the unshocked CP1 EECC solution (dashed curves in Fig. 1) that analytically passes the sonic critical line twice (LS04). While the inner core freely falls with a $\propto r^{-3/2}$, the envelope expands with a $\propto r^{-2}$. In contrast to the EWCS, the transition across r_{inf} is smooth without a ‘kink’. Still taking $x = 1$ for $r_{\text{inf}} = 7200 \text{ AU}$ (or an age of the similarity process is $1.5 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$ in the EWCS model, Z93), the infall speed at $r = 2200 \text{ AU}$ is 0.12 km s^{-1} and the mass accretion rate given by CP1 EECC solution

is $m_0 = 0.406$ less than a half of 0.975 in the EWCS. At large r , the density approaches $Aa_{\text{eff}}^2 = (4Gr^2)$ with $A = 5.158$ (Table 3 of LS04). That is, our CP1 EECC model gives an envelope density ~ 2.5 times higher than that of a SIS in the EWCS (i.e. $A = 2$). This tends to agree with the inference of $3 - 5$ times by Harvey et al. (2001). One distinctive consequence of our isothermal model is that the envelope, instead of a SIS, expands with a speed³ of 0.25 km s^{-1} at $r = 25,000 \text{ AU}$. This is qualitatively consistent with the preliminary HCN spectral analysis (A. Lapinov 2004, private communications). By inserting a shock at a proper location, it has a range to adjust the data fit for density and speed profiles and there is a preliminary evidence of shock in B335 system⁴ (e.g., Nisini et al. 1999). Sharing the qualitative features of the EWCS in the central region, our Class I solutions (including CP1 EECC solution) of shocked similarity flows are versatile to model other protostellar cloud or Bok globule systems.




FIG. 2.— The family of Class II solutions with an invariant downstream (S02) as $B \neq 0$ ($B = 10^{-6}$). Here, (x) is rescaled as $R(x) = x^2$ ($x=B$). The heavy solid line stands for the ‘champagne breeze’ of S02. In addition to breezes and contractions at large r , many shocked similarity flows approach constant wind speeds as $x \rightarrow 1$ that can be either supersonic or subsonic.

3.2. ‘Champagne Flows’ in H_{II} Regions (Class II solutions)

As massive OB stars form in molecular clouds, intense ultraviolet radiations ionize surrounding H_{II} clouds and carve out H_{II} regions (Strömgren 1939). As an ionization front passes by, a pressure gradient develops between H_{II} and H_{I} regions to drive flows. Shocks can naturally occur in such an impulsive expansion phase. An expansion of a H_{II} region embedded in a uniform ambient medium has been studied (e.g., Newman & Axford 1968; Mathews & O’Dell 1969). Other possible environs may involve power-law cloud density profiles of $\propto r^{-n}$ with $1 < n < 3$ and a mean of $n = 2$ (e.g. Arquilla & Gold-

³ In reality, a polytropic model should be more pertinent as discussed in LS04. For a polytropic gas, the asymptotic wind speed should tend to vanish at large r .

⁴ Practically, it might be far easier to detect the presence of large-scale shocks than to estimate the velocity and density profiles as shocks will leave non-kinematic signatures amenable to observations, i.e., through emission diagnostics from highly compressed post-shock gas or chemical or radiative cooling processes.

smith 1985). As ionization or shock fronts encounter a strong negative density gradient, the ensuing supersonic expansion of the ionized gas is referred to as the ‘champagne phase’⁵ (FTB). When a fast moving ionization front cannot be confined under certain conditions (FTB) and ionizes the entire cloud in a relatively short time, the inevitable expansion of H II regions might evolve into a self-similar phase (S02).

In the scenario above, a cloud is presumed static initially and the H II region forms instantaneously at $t = 0$ when the stellar nuclear burning turns on. Our more general scenario would allow a molecular cloud with asymptotic flows (either winds and breezes or accretions and contractions) at large r . To model expansions of H II regions as the ionization front travels, we focus on various possible large-scale systematic flows at large r and put aside messy central star-forming processes on much smaller scales. Within the ionized cloud sphere, asymptotic winds and accretions at large r can be connected to inner champagne flows via transonic shocks. As transients peter out, an expanding H II region may become self-similar with an outgoing shock to match with an asymptotic wind, breeze or accretion.

The shocked breeze solutions has been used to model similarity expansions of H II regions (S02). For each ‘champagne breeze’ solution of S02, we can use the same downstream to construct Class II solutions with shocked upstream flows which approach breezes, winds and accretions at large r . As $B \rightarrow 0$, the downstream solution becomes invariant (S02) and the resulting family of upstream solutions is displayed in Fig. 2.

Observations do suggest the presence of systematic flows in H II regions and models involving stellar winds were proposed (e.g. Dyson 1977; Hippelein & Münch 1981; Comerón 1997). Such outflows may be supersonic of a few km s^{-1} (e.g., Relaño et al. 2003). In reference to our Class II models, shocked similarity ‘champagne winds’ in H II regions distinctly differ from ‘champagne breezes’ or SIS by having both constant wind

speeds at large r and outgoing shocks at constant speed. Compared with breezes, ‘champagne winds’ would dynamically impact the surrounding interstellar medium more efficiently.

3.3. Implications for Planetary Nebulae (PNe)

In hydrodynamic models for shaping PNe, the interacting stellar wind (ISW) scenario (Kwok et al. 1978) is often invoked. In a few spherical cases, a much faster stellar wind from the core catches up with a slower dense wind – the remnant of the AGB phase, and form shocks. Our shocked similarity flow solutions of Class I clearly show the physical feasibility that the shaping of a PNe involving ISW can be concurrently accompanied by a central accretion or infall towards a proto white dwarf in a similarity manner. In order to model bipolar PNe other than round or mildly elliptical morphologies, aspherical aspects are included in the so-called generalized ISW (GISW) model (Balick & Frank 2002). By flow instabilities, a shocked envelope can expand to create various nebula morphologies while the central core continuously accretes materials to form a white dwarf. As a proto white dwarf continuously accretes materials to approach the Chandrasekhar limit of $1.39M_{\odot}$, there might be even a possibility of igniting a type Ia supernova explosion.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has been supported in part by the ASCI Center for Astrophysical Thermonuclear Flashes at the U. of Chicago under DoE contract B341495, by the Special Funds for MS-BSRP of China, by the THCA, by the Collaborative Research Fund from the NSF of China (NSFC) for Young Outstanding Overseas Chinese Scholars (NSFC 10028306) at the NAOC, CAS, by NSFC grant 10373009 at the Tsinghua U., and by the Yangtze Endowment from the MoE at the Tsinghua U.. Affiliated institutions of Y.Q.L. share this contribution.

REFERENCES

- Arquilla, R., & Goldsmith, P. F. 1985, *ApJ*, 297, 436
 Balick, B., & Frank, A. 2002, *ARA&A*, 40, 439
 Bonner, W. B., 1956, *MNRAS*, 116, 351
 Chandrasekhar, S. 1957, *Stellar Structure*. Dover Publications, New York
 Choi, M. et al. 1995, *ApJ*, 448, 742
 Comerón, F. 1997, *A&A*, 326, 1195
 Courant, R., & Friedrichs, K. O. 1976, *Supersonic Flow and Shock Waves*. Springer-Verlag, New York
 Dyson, J. E. 1977, *A&A*, 59, 161
 Franco, J., & Tenorio-Tagle, G., Bodenheimer, P. 1990, *ApJ*, 349, 126 (FTB)
 Harvey, D. W. A., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 563, 903
 Harvey, D. W. A., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 596, 383
 Hippelein, H., & Münch, G. 1981, *A&A*, 99, 248
 Hunter, C. 1977, *ApJ*, 218, 834
 Hunter, C. 1986, *MNRAS*, 223, 391
 Kwok, S., Purton, C. R., & Fitzgerald, P. M. 1978, *ApJL*, 219, L125
 Landau, L. D., & Lifshitz, E. M. 1959, *Fluid Mechanics*. Pergamon Press, N.Y.
 Larson, R. B. 1969, *MNRAS*, 145, 271
 Lou, Y.-Q., & Shen, Y. 2004, *MNRAS*, 348, 717 (LS04)
 Mathews, W. G., & O’Dell, C. R. 1969, *ARA&A*, 7, 67
 Newman, R. C., & Axford, W. I. 1968, *ApJ*, 153, 595
 Nisini, B., et al. 1999, *A&A* 343, 266
 Penston, M. V. 1969, *MNRAS*, 144, 425
 Relaño, M., et al. 2003, *RevMexAA (Serie de Conferencias)*, 15, 281
 Saito, M., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, 518, 334 (Sa99)
 Shu, F. H. 1977, *ApJ*, 214, 488 (S77)
 Shu, F. H., Adams, F. C., & Lizano, S. 1987, *ARA&A*, 25, 23
 Shu, F. H., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, 580, 969 (S02)
 Spitzer, L. 1978, *Physical Processes in the Interstellar Medium*. Wiley, N.Y.
 Strömgren, B. 1939, *ApJ*, 89, 526
 Tenorio-Tagle, G. 1979, *A&A*, 71, 59
 Tenorio-Tagle, G. 1982, in *Regions of Recent Star Formation*, ed. R. S. Roger and P. E. Dewdney (Dordrecht: Reidel)
 Tsai, J. C., & Hsu, J. J. L. 1995, *ApJ*, 448, 774 (TH95)
 Velusamy, T., Kuiper, T. B. H., & Langer, W. D. 1995, *ApJ*, 451, L75
 Whitworth, A., & Summers, D. 1985, *MNRAS*, 214, 1 (WS)
 Zhou, S., et al. 1990, *ApJ*, 363, 168
 Zhou, S., et al. 1993, *ApJ*, 404, 232 (Z93)

⁵ An ionization front may run into edges of a molecular cloud and burst into a low-density intercloud medium to drive ‘champagne flows’ (Tenorio-Tagle 1979).