# The discovery of hard X { ray em ission in the persistent ux of the Rapid Burster

M.Falanga<sup>1;?</sup>, R.Farinelli<sup>2</sup>, P.Goldoni<sup>1</sup>, F.Frontera<sup>2;3</sup>, A.Goldwurm<sup>1</sup>, and L.Stella<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CEA Saclay, DSM /DAPN IA /Service d'Astrophysique (CNRS FRE 2591), F-91191, G if sur Y vette, France

<sup>2</sup> D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Ferrara, via Paradiso 12, 44100 Ferrara, Italy

<sup>3</sup> IASF, CNR, Sezione di Bologna, via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy

<sup>4</sup> O sservatorio A stronom ico di R om a, via Frascati 33, 00040 M onteporzio C atone, Italy

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract. We report the rst detection with INTEGRAL of persistent hard X (ray em ission (20 to 100 keV) from the Rapid Burster (MXB 1730(335), and describe its full spectrum from 3 to 100 keV. The source was detected on February/M arch 2003 during one of its recurrent outbursts. The source was clearly detected with a high signal to noise ratio during the single pointings and is well distinguished from the neighboring source GX 354(0. The 3 (100 keV X (ray spectrum of the persistent em ission is well described by a two-component m odel consisting of a blackbody plus a power-law with photon index 2.4. The estimated lum inosity was 8:5  $10^{36}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> in the 3 (20 keV energy band and 1:3  $10^{36}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> in the 20 (100 keV energy range, for a distance of 8 kpc.

Keywords.stars: individual:MXB 1730{335 -stars: neutron -X {ray: bursts: general - X {rays: stars

### 1. Introduction

The Rapid Burster (MXB 1730 {335, hereafter RB) is a low mass X (ray binary system (LMXB) located in the globular cluster Liller 1 at a distance of 8 kpc (Ortolaniet al. 1996) in the Galactic plane (Lew in et al. 1995, for a review). Unique in the Galaxy, the RB is the only LM XB known to produce both type I and type II X {ray bursts. Type I bursts are characteristic of LM XBs hosting a low magnetic eld neutron star (NS) and are known to be the result of explosive therm onuclear burning of accreted m aterial on the surface of the NS.Type II bursts likely arise from the release of gravitational potential energy during sudden briefperiods of higher accretion rate believed to be caused by a recurring accretion instability (e.g. Lew in et al. 1995). The RB is a recurring transient with outbursts which last for a few weeks followed by quiescent intervals. These intervals lasted for 200 days until 1999, but have shrinked to 100 days since 2000 (M asetti 2002).

O ne of the major problem s in studying the persistent emission from this source is its proximity (0.5 apart) to the bright and variable LM X B 4U 1728{34 (G X 354{0). As a consequence, it has never been possible to determine the persistent emission from the RB in the hard X {ray energy range, due to the low angular resolution

of the collim ator detectors used so far. Am ong the most recent results on the RB, we wish to mention those obtained with the Proportional Counter Array (PCA) aboard the Rossi X (ray T im ing Explorer (RXTE) satellite (Guerriero et al. 1999), and those obtained with the BeppoSAX satellite (Masetti et al. 2000). Guerriero et al (1999) monitored four outbursts of the source occurred between 1996 and 1998, detecting type I bursts, type II bursts, and the source persistent em ission up to 20 keV. The persistent emission level was estimated o setting the satellite pointing by 0.5 away from GX 354{0.They found that the 2.5 { 20 keV persistent em ission spectrum was well described by a multi-component model consisting of two blackbodies (bb), plus a power-law (pl), noticing that up to 10 keV the pl is not needed. M asetti et al. (2000) mainly studied in the broad 0.1 { 200 keV energy band the evolution of the spectral properties of the bursting em ission. The persistent em ission spectrum was investigated in the range from 1 to 10 keV, also nding that a 2bb model was suitable to describe the data. At energies higher than 10 keV, a residual contam ination from GX 354{0 prevented an unbiased estimate the persistent em ission ux level and spectrum from being performed with the Phoswich D etection System (PDS). M ahasena et al. (2003) observed type II bursts from th RB with ASCA in 1998 and 1999, and found that both the burst and persistent em ission spectra, in the 1 { 10 keV energy range,

Send o print requests to:M .Falanga

<sup>?</sup> e-m ail:mfalanga@cea.fr

a multi-color disc model and a blackbody.

We observed the RB in hard X {rays, using both the im ager IBIS (Ubertiniet al. 2003) and the module 2 of the X {ray monitor JEM -X (Lund et al. 2003) on board the INTEGRAL satellite (W inkler et al. 2003). Thanks to their high angular resolution it was possible to separate the RB from GX 354{0.This represents a signi cant im provem ent over the previous m easurem ents m ade with low spacial resolution instrum ents. Thus we were able to clearly measure the hard X {ray emission from the RB as distinct from that of the nearby GX 354{0.

We report here the st high energy detection of the RB during the decay phase from outburst to quiescence. The observation was obtained during the February/M arch 2003 outburst. The results are based on the 20 { 100 keV data from IBIS, and on 3 { 20 keV data from JEM-X.In Sec. 2 we describe the observation, in Sec. 3 we present the spectral results, and in Sec. 4 we give the conclusion.

### 2. IN TEGRAL observation and data analysis

The present dataset was obtained during a Target of Opportunity (ToO) observation of the Galactic center region, perform ed from February 28 to M arch 1, 2003 (satellite revolution 46), during the outburst decay phase of the RB. The observation spanned just over 2 days and caught the object as the ux level was decaying from an X { ray intensity corresponding to about 50% of the outburst peak down to quiescence.Fig.1 shows the 2 { 12 keV RB light curve obtained with the All-Sky Monitor (ASM) on board RXTE.Also shown in Fig.1 are the time intervals in which the INTEGRAL observation was performed.



Fig.1. RXTE/ASM light curve in the 2 { 12 keV energy band (data averaged over 1-day intervals) of the February/M arch outburst of the RB. The vertical dashed lines indicate the times of the INTEGRAL observations.

The IB IS in ager is a coded mask instrument with an angular resolution of 12° FW HM, a fully coded eld of Fig.2. The 20 { 40 keV IB IS/ISG R I m osaicked, decon-9, and a partially coded FOV of view (FOV) of 9 29 29 at zero sensitivity. The detection plane consists of two detection layers, ISG R I and P IC sIT . The upper detector, ISGRI (Lebrun et al. 2003), has a passband between

could be tted with a two-component model consisting of 15 keV and 1 MeV, but its best sensitivity is achieved between 20 keV and 200 keV. The bottom detector, P IC sIT (DiCocco et al. 2003), has a passband between 200 keV and 8 M eV. The JEM -X monitor is also a coded m ask telescope with angular resolution of 3° FW HM, a FOV of 4:8 in diameter, and a partially coded FOV of 13:2 in diam eter. It covers the energy range from 3 to 25 keV.

> Our observation consisted of 76 stable pointings (Science W indows, ScW) of 2.2 ks exposure each, with a 5 5 dithering pattern. Due to the dithering, which implied a change of the pointing direction, the RB was not always in the JEM -X eld of view. The RB position o sets, which ranged from 1 to 9, were used in the data extraction.For IB IS, the total exposure time was 173 ks. For JEM -X, for which the data extraction was restricted to pointings with an o set < 3, the total exposure time was 46 ks. Due to the high angular resolution of IB IS and JEM-X the RB was well separated from  $GX 354\{0.$ The spectrom eter (SPI) was not used since its 2 angular resolution is inadequate in this case.

> The data reduction was performed using the standard 0 ine Science Analysis (OSA) version 3.0 distributed by the INTEGRAL Science Data Center (Courvoisier et al. 2003). The algorithm sused in the analysis are described by Goldwurm et al. (2003). For all instrum ents, the new est available (OSA 3.0) response m atriceswere used.For each SdW we extracted 16 channel spectra for IB IS and 256 channel spectra for JEM -X. Single pointings were deconvolved and analyzed separately, and then mosaicked. For each SoW, source positions are determined by thing a 2D gaussian function to each peak in the deconvolved in age. Source spectra are then obtained by a simultaneous t of all sources detected in the image together with the background. The spectrum averaged on the total exposure time was obtained from those of the Sow s by weighting them for the exposure time of the individual pointings. The spectra were analyzed with the XSPEC v.112.0 software package (A maud 1996).



volved sky im age of the 173 ks observation. Im age size 4, centered at the RB position. The pixel size is is 6  $5^{\circ}$ . The RB and GX  $354\{0 \text{ were detected at a signi cance}$ of 37.7 and at 96, respectively.

#### 3.Results

#### 3.1. In age and light curve properties of the RB

### $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$

Figure 2 shows a signi cance m ap of the sources detected in the region around the RB position in the 20 { 40 keV energy range. Two sources are clearly detected at a signi cance level of 37:7 for the RB and 96 for G X 354{0, respectively. At higher energies, 40 { 80 keV, the con dence level was 7 for the RB and 50 for G X 354{0.W ith the imaging procedure, from the IB IS data the derived celestial position of the RB is given by (J2000)  $R : A := 17^{h} 33^{m} 23^{s} : 81; D ec: = 33 23^{m} 36^{s} : 4, while that$ of G X 354{0 is given by R  $A := 17^{h} 31^{m} 57^{s}$ ;72;D ec: = 33 50<sup>m</sup> 5<sup>s</sup>:6. The source position o set with respect to the catalog position is  $0.34^{\circ}$  for the RB, and  $0.07^{\circ}$  for GX 354{0.This is within the 90% con dence level assum ing the source location error given by G ros et al. (2003). The derived angular distance between the two sources is 32<sup>0</sup>.

The light curve of the RB integrated on each pointing in the same energy bands as above is shown in Fig. 3. The mean count rate in the 20 { 40 keV and 40 { 80 keV energy bands is 2.3 cts/s and 0.5 cts/s, respectively. Above 100 keV the RB was not detected at a statistically signi cant level either in single exposure or in the total exposure time. The IBIS spectrum and background are

tted simultaneously and extracted for each pointing and energy band.We excluded all channels below 20 keV and above 100 keV.



F ig.3. IB IS/ISG R I light curve of the RB in the 20 { 40 keV (top panel) and 40 { 80 keV (bottom panel) energy bands. E ach data point is averaged over one ScW , and the count rate was extracted directly from the source reconstructed ScW in age. T in es are expressed in days starting from the outburst on set on February 14 2003.



Fig.4. JEM -X 3-18 keV count rate light curve of the strongest burst. Time is expressed in hours from the start of the observation. The bin time is 5 s.

#### JEM –X

At o set angles  $\leq$  3 the RB was detected in each SdW at signi cance levels of 15 { 37 , depending on the angular distance from the spacecraft pointing direction. Thanks of the hight angular resolution (3') of JEM -X, the RB is not contam inated from GX 354{0. The derived light curve, in addition to the persistent em ission, show s 11 bursts of duration ranging from 10 s to 60 s. The 3 { 18 keV count rates at the burst peak range from 29 cts/s to 89 cts/s (see the strongest burst in Fig. 4).

The statistics of the data how ever did not allow us to use the hardness ratio to determ ine whether the bursts are of type I or II. To get a reasonable signal to noise ratio, the burst light curves were binned at 5 s, and this prevented us from looking at possible "ringing" e ects in the burst tim ing pro le, which are characteristic of type II bursts (see e.g. M asettiet al. 2000). Because ofmultiple data gaps in the JEM -X light curve of the RB, we could not establish whether the expected linear relation between the X {ray energy in a burst and the time interval to the following burst ('E - t' relation, Lew in et al. 1976) is satis ed by our burst data. For the sam e reason, we cannot easily classify the detected bursts according to the results by Guerriero et al. (1999), which predict type I bursts at particular epochs from the outburst onset. On the basis of the lum inosity of the persistent emission (< 2  $10^{37}$ erg s<sup>1</sup>) in correspondence of the observed bursts, according to Guerriero et al. (1999), type II bursts are favored. However, the estim ated the 3 { 18 keV ratio between the persistent and bursting uence em ission (46 2), which is well above the minimum value found in the case of type I X {ray bursts (Lew in et al. 1995), would point to a type I burst origin of the observed events.

## 3.2. Persistent em ission and X { ray burst spectra

The mean 3 { 100 keV spectrum of the Rapid Burster persistent emission was obtained by using all the use-

ful JEM -X and IB IS data except those corresponding to the 11 time intervals in which the X { ray bursts were observed. A constant factor was also included in the t to take into account both the uncertainty in the instrum ents cross-calibration and the fact that IB IS and JEM -X have di erent exposure tim es: the last point in fact can introduce systematic e ects in the tting result, expecially if the source is characterized by a bursting behaviour at the time of the observations. We xed this constant equal to 1 for JEM -X, allowing it to vary for IB IS.W e found that the spectrum is well described by a photo-electrically absorbed multi-component model consisting of a bb plus a pl plus a G aussian em ission line centered at 6.5 keV .W e were not able to constrain the interstellar colum n density  $10^{22}$  cm  $^2$  in our t,  $\rm N_{\,H}$  , so  $\rm N_{\,H}$  was frozen at 1.6 the Galactic value reported in the radio maps of Dickey & Lockm an (1990) along the source direction. This value is consistent with that m easured by M asettiet al. (2000). The signi cance of the emission line is very high, as also testi ed by the 2/(degrees of freedom , dof) = 178/138in the case the line is not included in the t to  $^{2}/dof =$ 115/135 after its inclusion, with a probability of chance improvement of 10<sup>14</sup>. The best t parameters of the m odel together with the 90% con dence single param eter errors are reported in Table 1, while the count rate and the EF (E) spectrum along with the single t components and the residuals on the count spectrum to the best t model are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig.6.



Fig.5. The 3 { 100 keV count rate spectrum of the RB with the best t model bb + Gaussian + pl. In the bottom panel are shown the residuals between the data and the model in units of sigm a.

A ssum ing that the pl is due to C om ptonization of soft photons o high energy electrons, although we do not nd any evidence for a high-energy cut-o at 100 keV, we attem pted to put a lower lim it on the tem perature of the electron responsible for hard X {ray em ission. For that, we substituted the simple pl, with the C om ptonization m odel compps of Poutanen & Svensson (1996), assum ing a spherical geom etry and a multicolour disk blackbody (M itsuda et al. 1984) seed photon spectrum. This m odel



Fig.6.Unabsorbed 3 { 100 keV EF (E) spectrum of the RB along with the best tm odelbb + G aussian + pl. Di erent line styles show the single m odel components. D otted:bb.D otted-dashed:pl.Long-dashed:G aussian.

also provides a very good description of the data (  $^2/dof$ = 114/133) and gives a 2 lower lim it of 90 keV for the electron temperature, an opacity 0:8 and a inner edge disk tem perature of 13 keV.U sing the JEM -X data, we have also analyzed the X {ray burst spectrum . Because of the low statistics available for the single burst spectra, we sum m ed them together deriving a m ean spectrum .W e found that a photo-electrically absorbed bb describes the data well ( $^{2}$ /dof= 65/57), with a tem perature  $kT_{bb} = 2:1$  0:1, which is consistent with that estimated for the persistent em ission (see Table 1), and a corresponding bb radius of  $R_{bb} = 3.8^{+0.3}_{0.3}$  km . From the bb m odel, we estimated an average burst lum inosity of  $4 10^{37}$  erg s<sup>1</sup>. However, the strongest burst reached a bolom etric lum inosity of 2  $10^{38}$  erg s  $^1$  .

To com pare our spectral results with those obtained by M asetti et al. (2000), we also considered the X (ray burst spectrum with the persistent X (ray emission subtracted. In this case, no signi cant change was observed in the bb tem perature, and bb radius, while the mean burst bolom etric lum inosity is a little bit low er ( $3 \ 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^1$ ).

# 4.D iscussion and Conclusion

IN TEGRAL has provided the rst m easurement of the persistent high energy X {ray emission from the RB without contam ination from the source GX 354{0 located at

 $30^{0}$  from the RB.We observed X (ray em ission from 3 keV up to 100 keV in the tim e period from 14 to 16 days from the outburst onset. The broad band persistent em ission spectrum m easured during the INTEGRAL ToO observation, is well described by the sum of a bb a G aussian plus a pl m odel. The inferred bb tem perature is 2.2 keV, while the photon index of the high energy pl component is 2.4. The persistent em ission source lum inosity is  $10^{37}$  erg s<sup>1</sup> in the energy range from 3 (100 keV.No evidence for a cuto in the power law component was found up to energies of 100 keV.Assum ing for the hard spec-

 Table 1. Spectral twith blackbody, Gaussian & power
 (wellobserved and studied in Black Hole Candidates) also occur in this unique source. This can be achieved with

Param eter	Value
N <sub>H</sub> <sup>a</sup>	$1:6 10^{22}$ cm $^2$
B lackbody T <sub>bb</sub>	2:2 <sup>+ 0:1</sup> (keV)
B lackbody R <sup>b</sup> <sub>bb</sub>	1:4 <sup>+ 0:1</sup> (km )
G aussian E 1	6:5 <sup>+ 0:2</sup> (keV)
Sigma 1	0:7 <sup>+ 0:3</sup> (keV)
Equivalent Width	$470^{+200}_{-150}$ (eV)
Photon index	$2:4^{+0:1}_{0:1}$
2 red	0.85 (135 d.o.f.)
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ (3 – 100 keV )	9:8 $10^{36}$ erg s $^{1}$
$\rm L_{PL}=\!L_{tot}$ (3 – 100 keV)	0.45

a Fixed for the t.

b Assuming a distance of 8 kpc.

tral component a therm al C omptonization model, we get a lower limit for the electron temperature of 90 keV. H ow ever we cannot exclude that the hard X {ray spectral component is due to non-therm al C omptonization processes occurring in the source (see e.g. C oppi, 1999, for a review).

An interesting feature of the RB 3 { 100 keV spectrum is that, when modeled by a bb plus pl, it is very sim ilar to that of atoll sources in the hard state. Indeed, in som e of these sources, during their hard states, the X { ray spectrum extends up to several hundreds of keV without any evidence of cuto (e.g., KS 1731{260 and GX 354{0,Barret & Vedrenne 1994;AqlX{1,Harm on et al. 1996). This behaviour at high energies is also sim ilar to that of B lack H ole C and idates in the so-called power{ law gam m a { ray state (G rove et al. 1998). The sim ilarity of the observed RB spectrum to that of atoll sources in hard state would be consistent with our classi cation, discussed in Section 3.1 of the observed X {ray bursts as Type I bursts. However in the atoll sources in their hard state the lum inosity of the bb component is less than about 20% with respect to their total lum inosity, while in our case the bb contributes to m ore than 50% of the total lum inosity. A strong contribution of the bb component to the total X { ray lum inosity is instead typical of Z-sources (see e.g. D i Salvo et al. 2000). This \anom aly" of the RB spectrum with respect to that of the other atoll sources could how ever be related to the particular, and still not wellunderstood, physical environm ent of the source (perhaps the \propeller-e ect" caused by the magnetic eld of the neutron star). Indeed we do not know why the RB, and only the RB, displays both Type I and Type IIX { ray bursts in continously changing times and modality. Thus the fact that the RB cannot be fully classi ed as a \classical" atoll source is not surprising, given the peculiarity of the RB. However, with INTEGRAL, a new step towards understanding the source has been taken. A step forward could be made by extending the spectral study at energies well above 100 keV, in order to search for any cuto or to test whether non-therm all om ptonization processes

(well observed and studied in Black Hole Candidates) also occur in this unique source. This can be achieved with dedicated INTEGRAL observations of another, possibly stronger, outburst of the Rapid Burster.

A cknow ledgem ents. M F is grateful to E.W. B onning for valuable suggestions and assistance with the manuscript, and acknow ledges nancial support from the France Spatial A gency (CNES).

#### References

Arnaud K. A., 1996, in ASP Conf. Series 101, Astronom icalD ata Analysis Software and System sV, ed. G.H.Jacoby & J.Barnes (San Francisco: Astronom ical Society of Paci c), p. 17

Barret D ., & Vedrenne G ., 1994, ApJS, 92, 505

Courvoisier T. J.L., Walter R., Beckmann V., et al, 2003, A & A , 411, L57

CoppiP.S., 1999, in ASP Conf.Ser. 161, High Energy Processes in Accreting Black Holes, ed. J. Poutanen & R. Svensson (San Francisco: ASP), 375

- DiCoccc G , <code>CaroliE</code> , <code>CelestiE</code> , et al., 2003, <code>A&A</code> , 411, <code>L189</code>
- DiSalvo T., Stella L., Robba R., et al, Apj 2000, 544, L119

Dickey J.M., & Lockm an F.J., 1990, Annu.Rev.A&A, 28, 215

Goldwurm A., David P., FoschiniL., et al., 2003, A&A, 411, L223

Gros A., Goldwurm A., Cadolle-Bel M., et al., 2003, A&A, 411, L179

Grove J.E., Johnson W.N., Kroeger R.A., et al, 1998, ApJ, 500, 899

Guenniero R., Fox., Kommers J., et al., 1999, MNRAS 307, 179

Harm on B.A., Wilson C.A., TavaniM., et al., 1996, A & A Supl., 120, 197

Lebrun F., Laray J.P., Lavocat Ph., et al., 2003, A&A, 411, L141

Lew in W .H.G., Doty J., Clark G., W ., et al., 1976, ApJ, 207, L99

Lew in W .H.G., van Paradijs J., Taam R.E., 1995, In: Lew in W .H.G., van Paradijs J, van den HeuvelE.P.J. (eds.) X-ray Binaries. Cambridge Univ. Press, p. 175

Lund N ., Budtz-J? rgensen C ., W estgaard N ., J., et al. 2003, A & A , 411, L231

Mahasena, P., Inoue, H., Asai, K., & Dotani, T., 2003, PASJ, 55, 827

M asettiN ., Frontera F ., Stella L ., et al., 2000, A & A , 306, 134

M asetti, N. 2002, A & A, 381, L45

M itsuda, K.K., Inoue H., Koyama K., et al., 1984, PASJ, 36, 741

Ortolani S., Bica E., & Barbuy B., 1996, A & A, 306, 134 Poutanen J., & Svensson R., 1996, A pJ, 470, 249

UbertiniP., Lebrun F., DiCocco G., et al. 2003, A&A, 411, L131

```
W inklerC ,CourvoisierT .J.L.,DiCoccoG ,et al.2003, A&A,411,L1
```