RELATIVISTIC SHOCKSAND ULTRA HIGH ENERGY COSM IC RAY OR IG IN

Jacek Niemiec

Instytut Fizyki Jadrowej PAN, ul. Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 K rakow Poland

Abstract

The current status of the theory of particle acceleration at relativistic shocks is presented, and a few possible scenarios for ultra-highenergy cosm ic ray production at such shocks are brie y discussed.

1 Introduction

Relativistic shock waves are widely thought to generate energetic particles producing high-energy emission in m any astrophysical sources. The example of such sources can be hot spots in radio galaxies, jets in blazars and in Galactic \m icroquasars", gam m a-ray burst sources, and pulsar winds. It is also believed that high-energy cosm ic rays have their origin in astrophysical environments in which relativistic shocks occur. The aim of this paper is to present the current status of cosm ic ray acceleration theory at relativistic shock waves and to brie y review and comment on the models proposed for ultra-high-energy cosm ic ray production at such shocks.

2 First-order Ferm iP rocess at Relativistic Shocks

The basic acceleration mechanism discussed in the context of cosm ic ray production at shocks is the rst-order Ferm i process. This process can be applied to su ciently energetic particles, with gyroradii much larger than the shock thickness, de ned by gyroradii of \therm al" ions present in the

1

plasm a. Such energetic particles see the shock front as a sharp discontinuity in the plasm a ow. In the following, we review the results obtained mainly in the test particle approach, in which the in uence of accelerated particles on the physical conditions at the shock is not taken into account.

In the rst-order Ferm i acceleration process particles gain their energies by consecutive crossings of the shock front. In order to do so, they have to be con ned near the shock. The particle con nem ent is provided by the turbulent magnetic elds which perturb particle trajectories leading to particle di usion in pitch-angle. During the acceleration process some fraction of particles transm itted downstream escapes from the vicinity of the shock. The competition between particle energy gains at shock interactions and the escape process determ ines the stationary particle spectrum, often of the power-low form.

In the case of a nonrelativistic shock, where the uid speeds are much lower than the energetic particle velocity, the resulting particle spectrum is independent of the background conditions near the shock, including the con guration of the regular magnetic eld, the spectrum and the amplitude of M H D turbulence. This is mainly because of a nearly isotropic form of the particle distribution function at the shock, in the conditions where magnetic

eld perturbations near the shock are su cient to ensure e cient particle scattering. In such conditions, the spectral index for the phase-space distribution function is given exclusively by the shock compression ratio R, and $= 3R = (R \quad 1)$, where $R = u_1 = u_2$ is given in the shock norm al rest fram e, and u_1 and u_2 are the shock velocities with respect to the upstream and downstream plasm a rest fram es, respectively.

The physical picture is much more complicated for relativistic shocks, where the shock velocity or its projection along the upstream mean magnetic eld $u_{B;1} = u_1 = \cos_1 (_1 \{ \text{the upstream magnetic eld inclination angle to the shock norm all}) is comparable to the speed of light. The energetic particle distribution becomes an isotropic near the shock, and an isotropy increases with growing shock Lorentz factor. This fact substantially in uences the resulting particle spectrum, which is then very sensitive to the background conditions.$

Studies of particle acceleration processes at mildly relativistic shock waves started with the works of K ink & Schneider (1987) and H eavens & D rury (1988) for parallel shocks ($_1$ \mathcal{O}). They showed that the spectral indices are dimensioned in the nonrelativistic case, and depend on the form of the wave power spectrum of the magnetic eld perturbations (see also Ellison et al., 1990). A coeleration processes in oblique sublum inal ($u_{\rm B,r1}$ < c) shock waves were analyzed by K ink &



Figure 1: Spectral indices for the oblique shock waves moving with velocity $u_1 = 0.5c$ versus perturbation amplitude B = B for di erent inclinations of the mean magnetic eld, given in term s of $u_{B,1}$ near the respective curves (0 strow ski, 2002).

Heavens (1989) under the assumption of magnetic moment conservation for particles interacting with the shock. This assumption restricted validity of their considerations to the case of a weakly perturbed magnetic eld, where cross-eld di usion does not play a signi cant role. They showed that in such conditions particle spectra can be even as at as 3 in cases where $u_{B,1}$ is close to the speed of light. This feature results from elective multiple relations of an isotropically distributed upstream particles from the compressed eld downstream of the shock.

The feature discussed is also visible in g. 1, which shows results of M onte C arb particle simulations performed by O strowski (1993). In the gure, one may note the lack of results for superlum inal $(u_{\rm B}, 1 > c)$ shocks propagating in a weakly perturbed magnetic eld. In such conditions, particles are tied to the eld lines. Therefore, the upstream particles can be only transmitted downstream with no possibility of returning to the shock, so the rst-order Ferm i process does not operate in this case and the power-law

particle spectrum cannot be form ed. N evertheless, because of an isotropy of particle distribution at a relativistic shock, particle energy gains in a transm ission process can be much larger than those resulting from the adiabatic com pression at the shock (Begelm an & Kirk, 1990).

In the electively accelerating astrophysical shocks one may expect largeamplitude MHD waves to occur. The role of such nite-amplitude magnetic eld perturbations in forming a particle spectrum was investigated by a num - ber of authors using M onte C arb simulations (e.g., E llison et al., 1990; O strow ski, 1991, 1993; Ballard & H eavens, 1992; Bednarz & O strow ski, 1996, 1998). The derived power-law particle spectra directly depend on the conditions near the shock. They can be either very steep or very at for di erent con gurations of the m ean m agnetic eld with respect to the shock norm al and di erent am plitudes of perturbations, as shown in g. 1. N ote also, that the changes of the particle spectral index can be nonm onotonic with an increasing eld perturbations am plitude (O strow ski, 1991, 1993). In the case of a highly perturbed m agnetic eld, power-law spectra can be form ed for superlum inal shocks. They are, how ever, very steep form ildly perturbed conditions at the shock.

The rst-order Ferm iprocess at ultrarelativistic shocks has been recently discussed by a num ber of authors (e.g., Bednarz & O strow ski, 1998; G allant & Achterberg, 1999; Achterberg et al., 2001; Kirk et al., 2000). This topic is of a great im portance for gam m a-ray burst external shocks astrophysics and UHECR generation in these sources. For 1 the energetic particle distribution function is highly an isotropic at the shock in the upstream plasm a rest frame. This stems from the fact that when a given particle crosses the shock upstream, its momentum direction has an opening angle around the shock norm al 1=, thus it is almost aligned with the shock propagation direction. In such conditions, a small perturbation to the particle trajectory, provided by its m om entum de ection in the upstream regular m agnetic eld or scattering o the MHD uctuations, allows for its being overtaken by the shock and transmitted downstream. As a result, the mean particle energy gain in a single acceleration loop upstream -downstream -upstream is E =E 1 (Bednarz & Ostrowski, 1998; Achterberg et al., 2001), which restricts seriously the e ciency of the acceleration process.

E cient particle acceleration is possible when particle distribution upstream is close to isotropy. However, such conditions can be met at the ultrarelativistic shock wave only at the particle rst shock crossing. Then, the mean energy gain for particles rejected from the shock is very large, E = E ², but it should be stressed that the expected e ciency of such rejection is rather low (A chterberg et al., 2001). As explained above, the conditions at the shock do not allow for particle distribution isotropization in subsequent shock crossings, even if a highly perturbed medium exists upstream of the shock.

The important topic in the context of UHECR production at highshock waves is the existence of the asymptotic spectral index for relativistic shock acceleration. All the above mentioned works on the rst-order Ferm i process at such shocks yield consistent estimates of the accelerated particle spectral index 42 43, in the lim it of the very high shock Lorentz factors (! 1). The models of the burst afterglow spectra often give results pointing to essentially the same value of for synchrotron radiating electrons, which is sometimes interpreted as observational con rm ation of the correctness of theoretical m odels proposed for ultrarelativistic shock acceleration. However, in all discussed derivations there is explicit or in plicit assumption of a highly turbulent conditions near the shock (see O strowski & Bednarz, 2002). It is uncertain whether such conditions are indeed met in astrophysical shocks. On the other hand, in conditions with m edium -am plitude m agnetic eld perturbations, particle spectra generated at oblique realistic shocks with 10 100 can be much steeper than those obtained in the asymptotic limit, as seen in the simulations of Bednarz & O strow ski (1998) (see also N iem iec & O strow ski, 2004). In such conditions, the shocks will be unable to e ciently accelerate particles in the rst-order Ferm iprocess.

The factors in portant for the nst-order Ferm i processes at relativistic shocks are the presence and the con guration of the mean magnetic eld, the structure of the turbulent eld component, and also the presence of the long-wave magnetic eld perturbations. The acceleration studies presented above apply very simple approaches for the modeling of the turbulent M H D m edium near the shock. Their perturbed magnetic eld models either lack some of the factors mentioned above or take them into account in a very simplied way. In some models, the continuity of the perturbed eld across the shock is also not preserved. This factor is, however, very in portant for the acceleration process since it can introduce the correlations in particle motion on both sides of the shock. There is thus a need for a more detailed analysis of the rst-order Ferm i process at relativistic shocks, which would include all the important factors to yield the better insight into the real physical situation at the astrophysical shocks.

An attempt at doing such analysis formildly relativistic shocks has been recently performed by Niemiec & O strowski (2004). We considered the rstorder Fermi process at shocks propagating in \m ore realistically" modeled perturbed magnetic elds. The model assumes a wide wavevector range turbulent eld component with the power-law spectrum imposed on the uniform magnetic eld component upstream of the shock. The continuity of the full perturbed eld across the shock is preserved by the use of the respective shock jump conditions. In ourm odeling, the particle spectra were derived with the method of M onte C arlo simulations by integrating particle trajectories in such magnetic eld, with a hybrid method enabling to treat both long- and short-wave perturbations.



Figure 2: Particle spectra at the oblique superlum inal shock with $u_1 = 0.9c$ for (a) the at and (b) the Kolm ogorov wave spectrum of the magnetic eld turbulence. The upstream perturbation amplitude $B = B_{0;1}$ is given near the respective curves. Linear ts to the spectra are also presented and values of the spectral indices are given. The spectra have vertical shifts for clarity. Particles with energies in the range ($2 = k_{m ax}; 2 = k_{m in}$) can eld ectively interact with the eld perturbations.

Figure 2 sum m arizes the results for oblique superlum inal shocks, where the particle spectra for the shock with $u_1 = 0.9c$ ($u_{\rm B}$; 1' 3:48) are shown as an example. This is a representative example for relativistic shocks, because most eld con gurations in such shocks lead to analogous superlum inal conditions (Begelm an & Kirk, 1990). One can note that particle spectra diverge from a simple power law in the full energy range. For the sm all turbulence amplitude the particle spectrum is essentially the compressed upstream injected distribution. Power-law sections in the spectra are produced at larger turbulence amplitudes, but in our simulations they are form ed by a sm all number of particles. The spectra steepen with growing energy, but still within the resonance range, and are followed by the cuto s.

These features arise due to the nite wavevector range of the turbulence considered in the simulations. In the perturbed magnetic eld with the lim – ited dynamic range of eld perturbations, scattering conditions vary with particle energy. Low -energy particles have their resonance wavevectors close to them axim um wavevector value $k_{m ax}$, so that most of the turbulence reside in the long-wave range for this particles. These long-wave, high-am plitude magnetic eld perturbations form locally oblique, sublum inal eld con gurations at the shock, which enable acceleration of particles interacting with the shock in the locally sublum inal conditions (see also 0 strow ski, 1993). The acceleration process becom es less e cient for high-energy particles due

to decreasing am ount of the respective long waves for these particles, which results in the spectrum steepening and the cuto . This feature is independent of the initial energy of particles injected at the shock (N iem iec & O strow ski, 2004, sec. 3.3), suggesting that actually the turbulent m agnetic eld structure does not allow for particle acceleration to higher energies.

From the above considerations it is evident that the critical component of any shock acceleration study is the applied model of the perturbed magnetic eld near the shock. The real magnetic eld structure and its generation mechanism at a relativistic shock front are poorly known. Therefore, an understanding of these and other related issues (e.g., particle injection, the shock structure) is the basic condition of reaching the real progress in the study of cosm ic ray acceleration at relativistic shock waves.

A noticeable advance in this eld results from the application of particlein-cell (PIC) simulations, developed to study \m icrophysical" properties of relativistic shocks (D rury et al., 2001; Schm itz et al., 2002; N ishikawa et al., 2003; Silva et al., 2003; Frederiksen et al., 2004). As the theoretical considerations show (M edvedev & Loeb, 1999), the turbulent m agnetic eld can be generated locally at the shock via relativistic two-stream (W eibel) instability. The source and the mechanism of the eld generation is kinetic in nature, as the instability is driven by the anisotropy of particle distribution function at the shock associated with a two-stream motion of the two plasm a particle populations: the rst form ed by the upstream particles in owing onto the shock front and the second composed of particles rejected from the shock. The more detailed insight into the dynam ics of the W eibel instability give us particle-in-cell simulations, in which two relativistic plasm a collide with each other to form a shock. The structure of the small-scale strong turbulent magnetic eld, generated downstream of the shock, is very complicated spatially and, in addition, changes with time. The eld is predom inantly transversal and the total magnetic energy becomes a substantial fraction of the equipartition eld energy. The initial perturbations grow in size and the generated eld structures are transported downstream. At the given point downstream of the shock and a given time long after collision, the power spectrum of the turbulent magnetic eld is a power-law, with the most power concentrated at long wavelengths. The scales associated with the magnetic elds thus generated are, however, sm all compared to gyroradii of particles undergoing the rst-order Ferm i acceleration. Nonetheless, the magnetic eld structure evolution is probably accompanied by plasma heating and non-therm al particle acceleration, thus possibly providing the seed particles for the Ferm i process. The PIC simulations can be therefore treated as a rst step towards understanding of processes in portant for the

study of cosm ic ray acceleration at relativistic shocks.

In sum m ary, the present theoretical know ledge of cosm ic ray production at relativistic shocks is incom plete. Existing theoretical models explain only som e features of the observed cosm ic rays. Because of the strong dependence of particle spectra on conditions at the shock, the models do not allow for reliable modeling of astrophysical objects in which relativistic shock waves occur. Further progress requires an increase in the number and the quality of observational data revealing the physical param eters near the shock. On the other hand, there is a need for advance in theoretical studies, which most probably is to be made through advanced num erical simulations. Particle-incellm ethods are appropriate to study the microphysics of relativistic shocks. R ealistic modeling of particle acceleration at astrophysical shocks has to incorporate results of such studies and by itself requires a full plasm a nonlinear description. This should take into account appropriate boundary conditions, modi cation of the shock structure by backreaction of accelerated particles (see E llison & D ouble, 2002) and second-order acceleration processes.

3 UHECRs from Relativistic Shocks

Extragalactic astrophysical objects harboring relativistic shock waves are the likely sources of ultra-high-energy cosm ic rays, with energies in the range E 10^{18} 3 10^{20} eV. In the light of the apparent draw backs in the theory of particle acceleration at relativistic shocks, it is clear that it is now vary hard to judge with certainty which mechanism proposed for UHECR production is in fact responsible for the observational data on cosm ic rays. Nevertheless, it is worthy to comment on some proposals on this topic.

3.1 G am m a-ray Burst Internal and External Shocks

F ineball m odels of gam m a-ray bursts assume the prompt burst emission in gam m a rays to be produced at internal mildly relativistic shocks (2 10) and the afterglow emission to originate at the blast wave propagating with initially ultrarelativistic velocity ($10^2 10^3$) into the external medium (see, e.g., Zhang & Meszaros, 2004). The evidence for ultra-high-energy cosm ic ray production at GRB sources is based on two claim ed coincidences (W axm an, 2004). First, the constraints imposed on reball m odel parameters, inferred from prompt gam ma-ray and early afterglow observations, are similar to the constraints in posed on the shock in order to allow for proton acceleration to the energies above 10^{20} eV. Second, energy generation rate in gam m a rays by gam m a-ray bursts is similar to the rate required to account

for the UHECR energy density. The model of W axm an (2004) assumes cosm ic ray acceleration to very high energies by the rst-order Ferm i processes (see also W axm an, 1995) at internal shocks. The claim ed agreem ent ofm odelestim ates with the observed UHECR ux and spectrum is how ever based on an oversimpli ed assumption about the spectrum of accelerated protons, which is taken as the one derived for non-relativistic shocks, where

= 4. Such assumption cannot be justied for mildly relativistic shocks, where spectral indices are predicted to be much steeper in the most probably realized cases of superlum inal shock conguration. Moreover, the medium into which an internal shock propagates may be already modied, either by previous shell collisions, leading to a highly relativistic temperature of the upstream plasma, or by magnetic eld amplication processes. In the latter case, the close to equipartition magnetic elds may occur near the shock, so that the magnetic eld may play a non-negligible dynamical role. Both e ects lead to the steeper particle spectra than those obtained at unmodied shocks (K irk et al., 2000). Note also, that in conditions of a highly turbulent close-to-equipartition elds near the relativistic shock the second-order acceleration processes may play a signi cant role in UHECR production (D erm er & Hum i, 2001), modifying the resulting particle spectrum.

The proposal of UHECRs production at GRB external ultrarelativistic shocks, based on a claim ed evidence of the rst-order Ferm iacceleration seen in burst afterglow data (see sec. 2), was rst advanced by Vietri (1995). A nalysis perform ed by G allant & A chterberg (1999) shows how ever, that the Ferm iprocess is ine cient in accelerating particles when the blast wave propagates in the G alactic-like interstellarm edium. Them axim um energy a particle can gain in this case is E_{max} 5 $\frac{1}{10}ZB_{G}$ eV. Therefore, in order to reach higher particle energies, the acceleration processm ust operate in the region with m uch stronger m agnetic elds. Note, how ever, that the generation of high-energy particles at ultrarelativistic shocks probably requires the presence of long-wave eld perturbations (see N iem iec & O strow ski, 2004), even if a highly turbulent m edium exists near the shock.

U ltra-high particle energies can be also reached at GRB external shocks if there are energetic particles preexisting in the medium into which a blast wave propagates. These particles, when rejected from the shock, examine the ² energy boost. The particle rejection process is e cient if the energy density content in relativistic particles $_{\rm R}$ is su ciently large, as the fraction of the blast wave energy that can go into boosting these particles to UHECR energies is proportional to $_{\rm R} = _{\rm tot}$. Cosm ic rays of energy above 10^4 eV (su cient to reach 10^{20} eV if $> 10^3$) in the Galactic-like interstellar medium comprise only a very small fraction 10^{-9} of the total ISM energy

density, and the relection process cannot account for the observed UHECRs, as indicated by Gallant & Achterberg (1999). More promising scenario is when the reball blast wave expands into the pulsar wind bubble. Then, the bubble energy density is dominated by energetic ions, which can be boosted to UHECR energies with high e ciency. As shown in (Gallant & Achterberg, 1999), spectra of the boosted ions are determined by the blast wave deceleration, and the evaluated spectral index is = 4 in this case. This leads to the UHECR spectrum compatible with observations. Note, however, that predictions of the presented model are based on a number of assumptions about physical conditions which lead to the most e cient acceleration. To be considered seriously as the source of UHECRs, this mechanism should be modeled for de nite realistic conditions, including the magnetic eld structure in the pulsar wind bubble.

3.2 Hot Spots of FR II R adio Sources

The possible sites for UHECR production are also the hot spots of FRII radio sources. These are believed to be the downstream regions of mildly relativistic shocks form ed by interaction of relativistic jets with intergalactic m edium. The model of cosm ic ray production at hot spots was presented by Rachen & Bierm ann (1993), who assumed that protons are accelerated in the rst-order Ferm i process at non-relativistic parallel shock. From com parison of the acceleration and the radiative loss time scales, they estimated E_{max} to lie in the UHECR range. They show that in order to explain the observed UHECR ux, the particle spectral index at the shock must be on average = 4 or slightly atter. A though this is in agreem ent with recent results obtained on cosm ic ray acceleration at mildly relativistic parallel shocks (Niemiec & Ostrowski, 2004) (results for sublum inal shocks may also apply given the typical hot spot shock speeds $u_1 = 0.3c$), the assumption made by Rachen & Bierm ann of the Kolm ogorov magnetic eld turbulence downstream, extending up to the scales corresponding to gyroradii of the most energetic protons, m ay not be valid (see sec. 2). M oreover, it is not certain how much the nite size of the hot spots, and corresponding di usive particle losses, in uence the accelerated proton spectrum . A uthors' estimates of the critical energy above which these losses lead to the spectrum cuto give (for 1 kpc and the magnetic eld B ' 0:5 mG) E_{ck} a hot spot size L 10^{18} eV for diusion parallel to the mean magnetic eld and E _{c?} 4 10 eV for cross-eld di usion. These estimates depend on the acceleration time scale, which may be smaller for oblique shocks (Bednarz & Ostrowski, 1996), but even if the perpendicular eld con guration is assumed, the critical energy

cannot be much larger than $E_{c?}$ LB $u_2=c$ 4 $\frac{10}{10}$ eV (see 0 strow ski & Siem ieniec-O zieblo, 2002). Note also, that in that case the proton spectrum is probably very steep.

Non-standard Ferm i Process at Ultrarelativistic Shocks 3.3 It is worthy to mention about an interesting non-standard Ferm im echanism of particle acceleration proposed recently by Derishev et al. (2003). This m echanism takes advantage of multiple particle conversions (photon-induced or resulting from nucleon collisions) from the charged state into neutral state and back. The charged particle (proton) downstream from the shock, when converted into neutral state (neutron), can get into the upstream region with no in uence from the magnetic eld. There, conversion to the charge state may occur and the particle is magnetically con ned to the plasma ow. At the moment of conversion, the particle can be far from the shock, so it m ay be de ected by a large angle before reaching the shock again. The distribution of such particles is then isotropic upstream, what enables them ². Because of to increase their energies in a whole cycle by a factor of this, the process can be very e cient in producing UHECR particles, even if the e ciency of the converter mechanism alone is low. It should how ever be investigated in m ore details, also using num erical simulations.

4 FinalRemarks

The purpose of this work was to present the current understanding of the rst-order Ferm i acceleration processes at relativistic shocks. The present know ledge in that m atter is confronted with various proposals of UHECR production at sources harboring relativistic shocks. It was not the aim of the work to fully discuss these m odels, we comment only on the features related to the assumed details of acceleration processes. There are how ever additional conditions that should be met by the models to account for the observed UHECR characteristics. Any successful model, apart from being able to t the spectrum of UHECRs, has also to match their composition and arrival direction distribution, account for possible small-scale clustering of events etc. Such the issues are described in detail in, e.g., (O linto, 2000).

A cknow ledgem ents

I am gratefulto M ichalO strow ski for enlightening discussions and critical remarks on the m anuscript. The work was supported by the Polish State C om – m ittee for Scienti c R esearch through the grant PBZ-K BN -054/P 03/2001.

References

- [1] A chterberg, A., et al.: 2001, MNRAS 328, 393.
- [2] Ballard, K.R., Heavens, A.: 1992, MNRAS 259, 89.
- [3] Bednarz, J., O strow ski, M .: 1996, MNRAS 283, 447.
- [4] Bednarz, J., O strow ski, M .: 1998, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 3911.
- [5] Begelm an, M. C., Kirk, J. G.: 1990, A strophys. J. 353, 66.
- [6] Derishev, E.V., et al.: 2003, Phys. Rev. D 68, 043003.
- [7] Dermer, C.D., Hum i, M.: 2001, A strophys. J. 556, 479.
- [8] D rury, L.O'C., et al.: 2001, in Proc. 27th Int. Cosm ic Ray Conf., K.H. Kampert, G.Heinzelm ann & C.Spiering (eds.), Copernicus G essellschaft, p.2096.
- [9] Ellison, D.C. et al.: 1990, A strophys. J. 360, 702.
- [10] Ellison, D.C., Double, G.P.: 2002, A strop. Phys. 18, 213.
- [11] Frederiksen, J.T., et al.: 2004, A strophys. J. 608, L13.
- [12] Gallant, Y.A., Achterberg, A.: 1999, MNRAS 305, L6.
- [13] Heavens, A., Drury, L.O'C.: 1988, MNRAS 235, 997.
- [14] Kirk, J.G., et al.: 2000, A strophys. J. 542, 235.
- [15] Kirk, J.G., Heavens, A.: 1989, MNRAS 239, 995.
- [16] Kirk, J.G., Schneider, P.: 1987, A strophys. J. 315, 425.
- [17] Medvedev, M.V., Loeb, A.: 1999, Astrophys. J. 526, 697.
- [18] N iem iec, J., O strow ski, M .: 2004, A strophys. J. 610, 851.
- [19] N ishikawa, K.-I., et al.: 2003, A strophys. J. 595, 555.
- [20] O linto, A.V.: 2000, Phys. Rep. 333-334, 329.
- [21] O strow ski, M .: 1991, M N R A S 249, 551.
- [22] O strow ski, M .: 1993, M N R A S 264, 248.

- [23] O strow ski, M .: 2002, J. Phys. Studies 6, 393.
- [24] O strow ski, M., Bednarz, J.: 2002, A stron. A strophys. 394, 1141.
- [25] O strowski, M., Siem ieniec-O zieblo, G.: 2002, A stron. A strophys. 386, 829.
- [26] Rachen, J.P., Bierm ann, P.: 1993, A stron. A strophys. 272, 161.
- [27] Schm itz, H., et al.: 2002, A strophys. J. 570, 637.
- [28] Silva, L.O., et al.: 2003, A strophys. J. 596, L121.
- [29] Vietri, M.: 1995, Astrophys. J. 453, 883.
- [30] W axm an, E .: 2004, A strophys. J. 606, 988.
- [31] W axm an, E .: 1995, P hys. Rev. Lett. 75, 386.
- [32] Zhang, B., Meszaros, P.: 2004, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19, 2385.